[Urging the California State Legislature to Support Assembly Bill 915]

Resolution urging the California State Legislature to support and pass Assembly Bill 915, introduced by Assemblymember Phil Ting, which would add Section 65915.4 to the California Government Code, and provide that if a city, county, or city and county has adopted an inclusionary housing ordinance then the city, county, or city and county shall apply that ordinance to the total number of housing units, including additional units granted under a density bonus.

WHEREAS, San Francisco's Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program has produced approximately 4,600 units of permanently affordable housing since its adoption and helps the City and County of San Francisco meet its housing production goals under the Regional Housing Need Allocation (RHNA) plan; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors is currently considering legislation that would amend Planning Code Section 415 to require units built pursuant to the state density bonus law to pay the affordable housing impact fee; and

WHEREAS, Assemblymember Phil Ting has introduced AB 915, which would allow San Francisco to apply its inclusionary housing requirements to both the principle baseline project, as well as any units created from a density bonus; and

WHEREAS, If AB 915 were to become law, it would be San Francisco's intent to require affordable units from projects receiving a density bonus and remove the option of paying the affordable housing fee; and

WHEREAS, AB 915 would support current efforts to apply our city's inclusionary requirements when project sponsors opt to avail themselves of the development increases under state density bonus law; and

WHEREAS, AB 915 supports the City and County of San Francisco's goal of incentivizing density and affordable housing along transit-accessible infill corridors; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco recognizes that any updates to Planning Code Section 415 will provide the best policy outcome for the City without the changes to state law contemplated in AB 915; and

WHEREAS, The applicability of AB 915 is only effective if it is tied to the feasibility recommendations of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) that was convened by the Board of Supervisors and populated by the Controller's Office subsequent to the passage of Proposition C in June of 2016; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco supports AB 915 and urges the California State Legislature to pass AB 915; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit this Resolution to the City Lobbyist and the respective offices of the members of the State Legislature upon final passage.



City and County of San Francisco **Tails**

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Resolution

File Number:

170596

Date Passed: May 16, 2017

Resolution urging the California State Legislature to support and pass Assembly Bill 915, introduced by Assemblymember Phil Ting, which would add Section 65915.4 to the California Government Code, and provide that if a city, county, or city and county has adopted an inclusionary housing ordinance then the city, county, or city and county shall apply that ordinance to the total number of housing units, including additional units granted under a density bonus.

May 16, 2017 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 9 - Breed, Cohen, Fewer, Kim, Peskin, Ronen, Safai, Tang and Yee

Excused: 1 - Farrell Absent: 1 - Sheehy

File No. 170596

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 5/16/2017 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

Unsigned

5/26/2017

Mayor

Date Approved

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, or time waived pursuant to Board Rule 2.14.2, became effective without his approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter or Board Rule 2.14.2.