FILE NO. 170692

## ORDINANCE NO.

- [General Plan Amendments Implementing the City's Vision Zero Policy Regarding Pedestrian Safety]
   2
- 3 Ordinance amending the Transportation and Urban Design Elements of the General
- 4 Plan to implement the City's Vision Zero policy regarding pedestrian safety; making
- 5 findings, including findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority
- 6 policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and affirming the Planning Department's
- 7 determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.
- NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.
  Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font.
  Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font.
  Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font.
  Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font.
  Asterisks (\* \* \* \*) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.
- 12
  - 13 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
- 14

15 Section 1. Findings.

- 16 (a) Charter Section 4.105 and Planning Code Section 340 provide that the Planning
- 17 Commission shall periodically recommend to the Board of Supervisors, for approval or
- 18 rejection, proposed amendments to the San Francisco General Plan.
- 19 (b) Planning Code Section 340 provides that an amendment to the General Plan

20 may be initiated by a resolution of intention by the Planning Commission, which refers to, and

- 21 incorporates by reference, the proposed General Plan amendment. Section 340 further
- provides that the Planning Commission shall adopt the proposed General Plan amendment
- after a public hearing if it finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience
- and general welfare require the proposed amendment or any part thereof. If adopted by the
- 25

Commission in whole or in part, the proposed amendment shall be presented to the Board of
 Supervisors, which may approve or reject the amendment by a majority vote.

(c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 340, the Planning Commission initiated this
amendment on April 13, 2017, in Resolution No. 19895. Pursuant to Planning Code Section
340 and Charter Section 4.105, the Planning Commission adopted this amendment to the
various elements of the General Plan on May 18, 2017 in Resolution No. 19921, finding that
this amendment serves the public necessity, convenience and general welfare, and is in
conformity with the General Plan and the eight Priority Policies in Planning Code Section
101.1.

(d) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this
 ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources
 Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
 Supervisors in File No. 170768 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms
 this determination.

(e) The May 23, 2017 letter from the Planning Department transmitting the
proposed amendments to the Transportation and Urban Design Elements of the General Plan
associated with the City's Vision Zero policy regarding pedestrian safety, and the resolutions
adopted by the Planning Commission with respect to the approval of this amendment General
Plan, are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 170692.

(f) The Board of Supervisors finds, pursuant to Planning Code Section 340, that
this General Plan amendment, set forth in the documents on file with the Clerk of the Board in
File No. 170692, will serve the public necessity, convenience and general welfare for the
reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 19921 and incorporates those
reasons herein by reference.

25

2 in the documents on file with the Clerk of the Board in Board File No. 170692, is in conformity 3 with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 for the 4 reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 19921. The Board hereby adopts 5 the findings set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 19921 and incorporates those 6 findings herein by reference. 7 8 Section 2. The San Francisco General Plan is hereby amended by revising the 9 objectives and policies of the Transportation and Urban Design Elements specified below, and by renumbering the remainder of the Objectives and Policies accordingly: 10 11 12 Transportation Element. 13 **OBJECTIVE 18** 14 ACHIEVE STREET SAFETY FOR ALL *Vision Zero is a strategy to eliminate all traffic fatalities and severe injuries, while increasing* 15 safe, healthy, equitable mobility for all. The City and County of San Francisco adopted the Vision Zero 16 policy in 2014, prioritizing safety for all road users through good road design; providing meaningful 17 18 education to the public and decision makers on traffic safety; equitable enforcement of traffic laws 19 focused on dangerous behaviors and locations; and advancing policies that enhance safety. 20 21 *POLICY 18.1*: Prioritize safety in decision making regarding transportation choices, and ensure safe mobility 22 23 options for all in line with the City's commitment to eliminate traffic fatalities and severe injuries.

The Board of Supervisors finds that this General Plan amendment, as set forth

25

24

1

(g)

2	Advance policies at the local, state and federal level, as appropriate, to support safety in our
3	transportation system, with a priority on those areas expected to have the greatest impact on improved
4	safety, such as managing travel speeds; reducing reckless, distracted, and impaired driving; ensuring
5	pedestrian right of way; and reducing barriers to building safe streets.
6	<u>POLICY 18.3:</u>
7	Focus the City's limited resources toward those areas most in need of safety improvements,
8	based on appropriate data, recognizing that those most disproportionately impacted by traffic injuries
9	and deaths are children, seniors, people of color and those in low-income communities.
10	
11	TABLE 2: DESIGN GUIDELINES FOR STREETS
12	* * * *
13	Street width, traffic controls, destination and route information and illumination should
14	be designed to maximize safety-maximized at the intersection of two major arterials.
15	* * * *
16	
17	POLICY 18.2
18	Design streets for a level of traffic that serves, but will not cause a detrimental impact
19	on adjacent land uses, nor eliminate the efficient and safe movement of transit vehicles and
20	bicycles.
21	* * * *
22	The widening of streets at the expense of sidewalks or of setbacks should not occur
23	where space is necessary for pedestrian movement, buffering from noise, useful open space
24	and landscaping. This is especially true in densely populated neighborhoods with little public
25	or private open space. No additional sidewalk narrowings, tow-away zones and one-way

1 streets should be instituted in a residential neighborhood if it would compromise the safety 2 and comfort of the pedestrian resident. Existing towaway lanes should be phased out if they 3 present a hazard to pedestrian safety. In addition, widening of streets should not occur at the expense of bicycle travel. The roadway space needed by bicyclists, whether between the line 4 5 of traffic and the curb or the line of on-street parking varies between four and six feet. The needs of bicyclists must be considered wherever the curb lane is proposed to be narrowed. Street 6 7 restripings and widenings may be appropriate in industrial areas where access for oversize 8 freight vehicles is important, but these projects should not reduce or eliminate the efficient movement of transit vehicles and bicycles. 9

10

11 *POLICY 19.1* 

- 12 *Eliminate unnecessary cross traffic conflicts and improve traffic flow along major arterials.* 13 Excessive numbers of intersections on major arterials reduce the average speed of traffic and encourage use of local streets for through movements. Cross traffic should be eliminated, where 14 15 possible, if needed to speed the flow of traffic on the arterials intended to carry the bulk of inter-district travel and to reduce accidents. In some cases, where two major arterials meet, it may be necessary to 16 17 create grade separations to avoid conflicts. However, measures to minimize this conflict that are less 18 costly and disruptive should be used wherever possible. 19 Traffic signal synchronization and roadway vehicle detectors should be used to reduce traffic 20 congestion on major arterials. At the same time, use of regulatory devices along local streets will 21 discourage through traffic when a good signal system is in effect on the major arterials. Lane striping, curb cuts, parking configurations and service roads or lanes should provide for access in a manner that 22
- 23 *will not conflict with through traffic flows.*
- 24 OBJECTIVE 23
- 25

1	IMPROVE THE CITY'S PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION SYSTEM TO PROVIDE FOR
2	<del>EFFICIENT, PLEASANT, AND SAFE MOVEMENT.</del> <u>DESIGN EVERY STREET IN SAN FRANCISCO</u>
3	FOR SAFE AND CONVENIENT WALKING
4	
5	POLICY 23.1
6	Provide sufficient pedestrian movement space with a minimum of pedestrian congestion in
7	accordance with a pedestrian street classification system.
8	<u>POLICY 23.1</u>
9	Every surface street in San Francisco should be designed consistent with the Better Streets Plan
10	for safe and convenient walking, including sufficient and continuous sidewalks and safe pedestrian
11	crossings at reasonable distances to encourage access and mobility for seniors, people with disabilities
12	and children.
13	Sidewalks should be sufficiently wide to comfortably carry existing and expected levels
14	of pedestrians, and to provide for necessary pedestrian amenities and buffering from adjacent
15	roadways. The need for these elements varies by the street context – sidewalk width should
16	be based on the overall context and role of the street.
17	Where it is not feasible to provide a continuous pedestrian route due to topography,
18	construction, preexisting barriers, or other factors, there should be a safe alternate route that
19	minimizes the distance a pedestrian has to go out of their way.
20	
21	POLICY 23.3
22	Maintain a strong presumption against reducing sidewalk widths, eliminating
23	crosswalks and forcing indirect crossings to accommodate automobile traffic.
24	
25	

1	New crosswalk closures should not be implemented. Existing closed crosswalks should
2	be evaluated and <i>removed opened</i> where feasible. When appropriate, unmarked crosswalks should
3	be evaluated and improved where feasible.
4	Sidewalks should not be narrowed if doing so would result in the sidewalk becoming
5	less than the minimum sidewalk width for the relevant street type.
6	
7	POLICY 23.5
8	Establish and enforce a set of sidewalk zones that provides guidance for the location of
9	all pedestrian and streetscape elements, maintains sufficient unobstructed width for passage
10	of people, strollers and wheelchairs, consolidates raised elements in distinct areas to activate
11	the pedestrian environment, and allows sufficient access to buildings, vehicles, and
12	streetscape amenities.
13	Sidewalks should be viewed holistically and through the organizing logic of a set of
14	zones. Sidewalk zones ensure that there is sufficient <i>elear</i> width for <i>pedestrians people walking</i>
15	as well as, and that there are appropriate areas for streetscape elements that will activate the
16	sidewalk and provide amenities to pedestrians. New streetscape elements should be placed
17	according to established guidelines for sidewalk zones, and existing elements should be re-
18	located to meet these guidelines as opportunities arise to do so.
19	
20	<u>POLICY 23.10</u>
21	Maintain a presumption against the use of actuated pedestrian signals.
22	Actuated pedestrian signals favor motor-vehicle traffic over pedestrians, and are relatively
23	uncommon in San Francisco. Where they do occur, the signal must be triggered to secure enough time
24	to cross. Otherwise, only a very short time is allocated for cross traffic, not pedestrians. As such,
25	

1	demand-activated traffic signals present an inconvenience to pedestrians and should not be used on
2	streets except where there is a need to prioritize transit or there is no significant pedestrian traffic.
3	
4	OBJECTIVE 25
5	<del>DEVELOP A CITYWIDE PEDESTRIAN NETWORK</del> . <u>DEVELOP AND MAINTAIN A MAP OF</u>
6	<u>KEY WALKING STREETS</u>
7	
8	POLICY 25.1
9	Create a citywide pedestrian street classification system.
10	Similar in scope to the classification systems developed for pedestrians downtown and for
11	automobiles citywide, the system permits directed planning for pedestrian improvements and the
12	designation of pedestrian routes between significant destinations. Also similar to the other systems is
13	the need to balance treatments and priority functions on streets that have an important function as
14	defined by one or more street classification system, such as Van Ness Avenue, Geary Boulevard and
15	The Embarcadero.
16	The classification system also addresses auto-oriented conditions that conflict with pedestrian
17	travel on pedestrian-priority streets.
18	TABLE 5: PEDESTRIAN CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM
19	There are four types of pedestrian streets: Exclusive Pedestrian, Living Street, Pedestrian-
20	oriented Vehicular, Vehicular Thoroughfare that are manifested in a variety of conditions as outlined
21	<del>below.</del>
22	Exclusive Pedestrian Street:
23	Street on which vehicles are not permitted (except for transit vehicles and bicycles).
24	Living Street:
25	

1	A street or alley designed to enhance its role in the City's open space network and to provide a
2	visual focus for neighborhood activity and use.
3	Pedestrian-oriented Vehicular Street:
4	Street with vehicular traffic that has significant pedestrian importance. Design treatments and
5	measures to ensure that pedestrians movement remains a primary function should be employed.
6	Vehicular Street:
7	A Major Arterial or freeway as identified in the Master Plan. While pedestrian traffic must be
8	accommodated on every street except a freeway, a balance between vehicle and pedestrian movement
9	must be maintained.
10	POLICY 25.2
11	Utilizing the pedestrian street classification system, develop a citywide pedestrian network that
12	includes Design streets devoted to or primarily oriented to pedestrian use.
13	This network is composed of existing routes such as the Bay and Ridge trails, stairways,
14	exclusive pedestrian streets, and pedestrian-oriented vehicular streets. The network links important
15	destinations, neighborhood commercial districts, and open spaces.
16	<del>POLICY 25.3</del>
17	Develop design guidelines for pedestrian improvements in Neighborhood Commercial Districts,
18	Residential Districts, Transit-Oriented Districts, and other pedestrian-oriented areas as indicated by
19	the pedestrian street classification plan.
20	The design guidelines ensure identifiable, pedestrian-oriented treatments for important
21	pedestrian streets and set minimum standards for the placement of pedestrian streetscape elements.
22	<u>Pedestrian Enclaves</u>
23	The City can also improve portions of public rights-of-way to improve neighborhood character and
24	provide open space improvements on portions of streets by establishing "pedestrian enclaves."
25	Pedestrian enclaves are defined by location rather than size; enclaves can utilize portions of the street

1	and can establish broad corner bulb-outs. They should provide either restful space for pedestrians to
2	enjoy a moment of reflection or active space such as open air weights or a dog obstacle course. In all
3	cases, the design of the space should be mindful of adjacent activities and uses. In most cases enclaves
4	should include benches, landscaping, and should improve the streetscape environment. A vista, garden,
5	or streetscape view should be included to provide the user with a springboard for reflection. Examples
6	of pedestrian enclaves include bulb outs on Noe Street north of Market Street, Octavia Square at the
7	base of Octavia and Market, and could include programming on some major transit plazas. Pedestrian
8	enclaves serve a very localized population.
9	POLICY 25.4
10	Maintain a presumption against the use of demand-activated traffic signals on any well-used
11	pedestrian street, and particularly those streets in the Citywide Pedestrian and Neighborhood
12	Networks.
13	Demand-activated traffic signals favor motor-vehicle traffic over pedestrians, and are relatively
14	uncommon in San Francisco. Where they do occur, the signal must be triggered to secure enough time
15	to cross. Otherwise, only a very short time is allocated for cross traffic, not pedestrians. As such,
16	demand-activated traffic signals present an inconvenience to pedestrians and should not be used on
17	streets except where there is no significant pedestrian traffic.
18	TABLE 6: PEDESTRIAN NETWORK STREETS AND DESIGN GUIDELINES
19	Citywide Pedestrian Network Street
20	Definition: An inter-neighborhood connection with citywide significance" includes both
21	exclusive pedestrian and pedestrian- oriented vehicular streets, e.g. Market, California, Van Ness,
22	<del>24th.</del>
23	• On a large scale, the Citywide Pedestrian Network connects much of the northern part
24	of the city.
25	• Includes the Bay, Ridge, and Coast trails (part of a regional system).

1	• Includes stairways and other exclusive pedestrian walkways.
2	• Used by commuters, tourists, general public, and recreaters.
3	• Enhances walking as a primary means of commuting. Connects major institutions with
4	transit facilities.
5	<del>Design Goals.</del>
6	• Visible marker/connection throughout to tie network together.
7	• Pedestrian movement is a priority and should not be compromised.
8	• Minimize conflicts with other modes.
9	• Priority street for pedestrian improvements (safety, access, aesthetics, and circulation)
10	• Pedestrian scale and orientation for street improvements and building frontages.
11	• Use non-obtrusive signage or markers along regional trails (Bay, Ridge and Coast) to
12	alert pedestrians to changes in trail direction, and integrate and make consistent with symbols, markers
13	and signage used throughout the regional system.
14	Neighborhood Network Street (intra-neighborhood connection)
15	Definition: A neighborhood commercial, residential, or transit street that serves pedestrians
16	from the general vicinity. Some Neighborhood Network Streets may be part of the citywide network, but
17	they are generally oriented towardsneighborhood serving uses. Types include exclusive pedestrian and
18	pedestrian-oriented vehicular streets, and living streets.
19	Neighborhood Commercial Street
20	Definition: A street in a Neighborhood Commercial District as identified in the Master Plan.
21	Predominately commercial use withparking and loading conflicts. e.g. Clement, Castro, West Portal.
22	Design Goals.
23	• Maintain at least 4 feet unobstructed width for pedestrian passage.
24	• Encourage pedestrian-oriented uses.
25	• Priority street for pedestrian improvements (safety, access, aesthetics, and circulation).

1	• Maintain a buffer (trees, parking, etc.) between pedestrian and vehicular circulation.
2	• Minimum crosswalk requirements.
3	• Turning movement restrictions in areas with high pedestrian volumes.
4	• Restrictions on curb cuts/auto entrances.
5	<ul> <li>Coordinated pedestrian improvements to reflect neighborhood character.</li> </ul>
6	Transit Street
7	Definition: A Primary Transit Preferential Street as identified in the Master Plan. e.g.
8	<i>Divisadero, Masonic.</i>
9	Design Goals.
10	• Enhanced pedestrian/transit connections including bus bulbs, better stop markings, and
11	transit system/ neighborhood information.
12	• Maximum distance between crosswalks and transit stops.
13	• Minimum transit stop treatments including benches, shelters, and information.
14	Residential Street
15	Definition: A street within a R zoned district.
16	Design Goals.
17	• Every street has trees, where sidewalk widths allow.
18	• Maintain a buffer (trees, parking, etc.) between pedestrian and vehicular circulation.
19	The extent of buffering is related to the magnitude of vehicular traffic.
20	• Capture the street for open space." On streets with sufficient width and without
21	significant vehicular traffic. (i.e. Duboce Triangle style improvements)
22	Neighborhood Network Connection Street
23	Definition: An intra-neighborhood connection street that connects neighborhood destinations.
24	e.g. 18th, Vulcan Steps.
25	Design Goals.

1	<ul> <li>Crosswalks and signals should enhance the pedestrian path of travel.</li> </ul>
2	Maintain an obstructed width of 4 feet for pedestrian passage.
3	<ul> <li>Pedestrian scale and orientation for street improvements and buildings.</li> </ul>
4	• Maintain a buffer (trees, parking, etc.) between pedestrian and vehicular circulation.
5	• Minimize/discourage large volume vehicular traffic ingress and egress.
6	• Priority street for pedestrian improvements (safety, access, aesthetics, and circulation).
7	
8	POLICY 25.5
9	Where intersections are controlled with a left-turn only traffic signal phase for automobile
10	traffic, encourage more efficient use of the phase for pedestrians where safety permits.
11	Left-turn only phases often occur where the streets from which the turn is made are wide and
12	heavily-trafficked, and are usually followed by a red light that activates cross traffic. To help overcome
13	the pedestrian challenges of street width and traffic volume, the left-turn phase time may enable
14	pedestrians to begin their crossing earlier when safety allows. If the left turn is made onto a one-way
15	street, the pedestrian traffic crossing against the one-way direction would have a relatively conflict-
16	free opportunity to begin crossing early.
17	
18	POLICY 25.6
19	Provide enforcement of traffic and parking regulations to ensure pedestrian safety, particularly
20	on streets within the Citywide Pedestrian and Neighborhood Networks.
21	Cars that fail to stop at signs and lights, park across sidewalks and travel at excessive speeds
22	pose serious threats to pedestrian safety.
23	
24	<u>POLICY 25.1</u>
25	

1	Identify Key Walking Streets to be defined by the factors that contribute to high concentrations
2	<u>of people walking.</u>
3	Key Walking Streets are defined by street segments in close proximity to significant pedestrian
4	generators such as transit stops, schools, parks, tourist activities and shopping districts. Key Walking
5	Streets are also defined by street segments in neighborhoods where there is more dependence on
6	walking as a means of transportation, due to demographics, street slope, and/or limited access to
7	transit or private automobiles.
8	
9	<u>POLICY 25.2</u>
10	Prioritize safe and convenient walking as a mode of travel on Key Walking Streets. Ensure a
11	high level of pedestrian quality and safety, and give sufficient right-of-way space to pedestrians.
12	
13	<u>POLICY 25.3</u>
14	Prioritize funding for streetscape and pedestrian improvements on Key Walking Streets
15	
16	<u>POLICY 25.4</u>
17	Design pedestrian improvements on Key Walking Streets consistent with the principles and
18	guidelines for the appropriate street type in the Better Streets Plan and other adopted plans.
19	<u>Pedestrian Enclaves</u>
20	The City can also improve portions of public rights-of-way to improve neighborhood character
21	and provide open space improvements on portions of streets by establishing "pedestrian enclaves."
22	Pedestrian enclaves are defined by location rather than size; enclaves should utilize portions of the
23	street and should establish broad corner bulb-outs. They should provide either restful space for
24	pedestrians to enjoy a moment of reflection or active space such as open air weights or a dog obstacle
25	course. In all cases, the design of the space should be mindful of adjacent activities and uses. In most

1	cases enclaves should include benches, landscaping, and should improve the streetscape environment.
2	<u>A vista, garden, or streetscape view should be included to provide the user with a springboard for</u>
3	reflection. Examples of pedestrian enclaves include bulb outs on Noe Street north of Market Street,
4	Octavia Square at the base of Octavia and Market, and could include programming on some major
5	transit plazas. Pedestrian enclaves serve a very localized population.
6	
7	<u>POLICY 25.5</u>
8	Develop streetscape and public realm plans for areas with high pedestrian activity in
9	collaboration with community members.
10	
11	<u>OBJECTIVE 26</u>
12	<u>EMPLOY A MULTI-DISCIPLINARY APPROACH TO IMPROVING PEDESTRIAN SAFETY</u>
13	
14	<u>POLICY 26.1</u>
15	Identify locations of high pedestrian injuries and fatalities based on available pedestrian safety
16	data and established methodologies.
17	
18	<u>POLICY 26.2</u>
19	Prioritize funding for pedestrian safety programs and improvements at high injury locations
20	and programmatic initiatives that support Vision Zero citywide.
21	
22	<u>POLICY 26.3</u>
23	Apply best practices in pedestrian safety education and enforcement to improve knowledge and
24	awareness of pedestrian safety for the public and decision makers across the City.
25	

1	<u>POLICY 26.4</u>				
2	Apply best practices in street design and transportation engineering to improve pedestrian				
3	safety across the City.				
4					
5	<u>POLICY 26.5</u>				
6	Focus enforcement on the top violations that most greatly affect pedestrian safety and at				
7	locations of high pedestrian injuries and fatalities.				
8					
9	POLICY 27.8				
10	Encourage biking as a mode of travel through the design of safer streets, education programs				
11	and targeted enforcement. Prevent bicycle accidents though bicycle safety education and improved				
12	traffic law enforcement.				
13	Streets should be designed to incorporate effective safety measures to help people to bike safely				
14	and comfortably across the City.				
15	Education of bicyclists and appropriate training should be made available at a wide				
16	variety of sources. These may include education of employees at work sites as part of				
17	alternative transportation education, to students at schools and colleges, and to new riders				
18	through bicycle shops and dealers.				
19	Cars that fail to use turn signals, park in bike lanes, travel at excessive speeds and car				
20	passengers which open doors without looking pose serious threats to the safety of bicyclists.				
21	Education of motorists, bicyclists and the public should be actively and vigorously pursued.				
22	Such avenues may include billboards and public service messages, motor vehicle licensing				
23	procedures, traffic schools, and driver education and driver training courses. The cyclist's				
24	equal right to the road, as well as the responsibilities in using this access, should be				
25	emphasized.				

Traffic enforcement should extend to protection of bicyclists' rights-of-way which are
often violated by motorists. Special emphasis also needs to be placed upon theft prevention
and investigation. Special training for police officers concerning bicycle-related laws and
concerns should be included in their academy and in-service training.

5

7

6 Urban Design Element.

POLICY 1.10

8 Indicate the purposes of streets by adopting and implementing the Better Streets Plan,
9 which identifies a hierarchy of street types and appropriate streetscape elements for each
10 street type.

Orientation for travel is most effectively provided where there is a citywide system of streets with established purposes: major through streets that carry traffic for considerable distances between districts, local streets that serve only the adjacent properties, and other streets with other types of assigned functions. Once the purposes of streets have been established, the design of street features should help to express those purposes and make the whole system understandable to the traveler.

17 The appropriate purpose of and role for a street in the overall city street network 18 depends on its specific context, including land use and transportation characteristics, and other special conditions. Streets in residential areas must be protected from the negative 19 20 influence of traffic and provide opportunities for neighbors to gather and interact. Streets in 21 commercial areas must have a high degree of pedestrian amenities, wide sidewalks, and seating areas to serve the multitude of visitors. Streets in industrial areas must serve the 22 23 needs of adjacent businesses and workers; and so forth. Similarly, some streets play a greater role in the movement of people and goods across the city 24

25 *and beyond, with higher volumes of pedestrians, cyclists, transit users, and vehicles, while others serve* 

1 <u>a more local context with less transportation activity.</u> Similarly, busy transportation corridors by

2 *necessity carry high volumes and speeds of vehicle traffic, while neighborhood streets have lower* 

3 speeds and volumes. Hence, t<u>The goals</u> for <u>throughways busier corridors should focuses on creating</u>

4 *are to enhance pedestrian safety, buffer pedestrians from negative effects of vehicular traffic, and* 

5 <u>create</u> a strong image appropriate to the street's importance to the city pattern., *buffering* 

6 *pedestrians from vehicular traffic, and improving conditions for pedestrians at crossings.* The goals

7 for neighborhood streets *should be <u>are</u>* to *protect neighborhoods by* calm*ing* traffic and provid*eing* 

8 neighborhood-serving amenities.

9 The Better Streets Plan identifies and defines a system of street types and describes 10 the appropriate design treatments and streetscape elements for each street type. Future 11 decisions about the design of pedestrian and streetscape elements should follow the policies 12 and guidelines of the Better Streets Plan, as adopted by the Board of Supervisors on 13 December 7, 2010 and amended from time to time. The Better Streets Plan, is incorporated 14 herein by reference.

15

16 Section 3. The Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the City Attorney's Office to 17 work with Planning Department staff to carry out the provisions of this Ordinance, particularly 18 to ensure that all the different objectives and policies that follow the objectives and policies 19 added, deleted or amended herein are numbered appropriately.

20

Section 4. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

25

1		Section 5.	Scope of Ordinance	e. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors		
2	intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,					
3	numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the General					
4	Plan that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment					
5	additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under					
6	the official title of the ordinance.					
7	APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney					
8						
9	By:					
10			RUIZ-ESQUIDE ty Attorney			
11	n:\land\as2017\9690391\01183254.docx					
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						