File No.	170688	Committee Ite Board Item No	em No
(COMMITTEE/BOAR AGENDA PACKE		
Committee:	Budget & Finance Commi	ittee C	Date June 16, 2017
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Ε	Date
Cmte Boar	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative A Youth Commission Repolation Form Department/Agency Cove MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Command Letter Application Public Correspondence	ort ⁄er Letter and/o	
OTHER	(Use back side if addition	onal space is ne	eded)

Date June 8, 2017
Date

Completed by: Linda Wong
Completed by: Linda Wong

[Grant Agreement - Department of Public Health - Proposition 47 Grant Program]

Resolution authorizing the Director of Health to execute a grant agreement, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, with the California Board of State and Community Corrections for participation in the Proposition 47 Grant Program for the period of July 1, 2017, through August 15, 2020.

WHEREAS, Proposition 47 was a voter-approved initiative on the November 2014 ballot to enact the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Act; and

WHEREAS, Proposition 47 further required the California Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) to administer a grant program to public agencies; and

WHEREAS, The purpose of the Proposition 47 Grant Program is to support mental health treatment, substance use disorder treatment, and diversion programs for people in the criminal justice system with an emphasis on programs that reduce recidivism of people convicted of less serious crimes, and those who have substance abuse and mental health problems; and

WHEREAS, The Workgroup to re-envision the Jail Replacement Project prioritized the need for additional residential treatment beds for system-involved adults struggling with substance use disorder (SUD) and serious mental health (MH) needs; and

WHEREAS, On February 21, 2017, the Department of Public Health (SFDPH) submitted an application to the BSCC to participate the Proposition 47 Grant Program (See, SFDPH Promoting Recovery & Services for the Prevention of Recidivism (PRSPR) Grant Application on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 170688); and

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco's Proposition 47 Grant Program, to be called Promoting Recovery & Services for the Prevention of Recidivism (PRSPR), will increase the availability of community-based residential SUD treatment for criminal justice system-involved adults who may also have co-occurring mental health issues and will also provide dedicated peer outreach and developmentally-appropriate programming for transitional age youth; and

WHEREAS, The Proposition 47 Grant Program will build on existing City and County efforts through close partnership with community organizations and service providers based on shared planning, decision-making, data sharing, and evaluation; and

WHEREAS, On May 30, 2017, SFDPH was notified that the Executive Steering Committee of the BSCC ranked SFDPH's application 4th out of 23 applications submitted and recommended it for full funding of the requested amount of \$6,000,000 for the grant period of June 16, 2017, through August 15, 2020, with years two and three subject to satisfactory progress of the project; and

WHEREAS, The BSCC will decide at its June 8, 2017, meeting whether to accept the Executive Steering Committee's recommendations; and

WHEREAS, BSCC requires a resolution from the City and County's governing board specifically authorizing the applicant's signatory to enter into the agreement to participate in the Proposition 47 Grant Program; and

WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco desires to participate in the Proposition 47 Grant Program administered by the BSCC; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Director of Health is authorized by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors to execute the Grant Agreement with the BSCC, including any amendments thereof, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That grant funds received hereunder shall not be used to supplant expenditures controlled by this body; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco agrees to abide by the terms and conditions of the Grant Agreement as set forth by the BSCC.



Promoting Recovery & Services for the Prevention of Recidivism

PRSPR

Proposal Checklist

A complete Proposition 47 Proposal packet must contain the following (to be submitted in the order listed):

Required:	Check once Complete (√)
Proposal Checklist (signed by the applicant)	√
Section I. Applicant Information Form (with original signature in blue ink)	√ .
Section II. Proposal Narrative (up to and not exceeding 15 pages)	1
Section III. Budget Section (up to and not exceeding 6 pages) Budget Table Budget Narrative	٧.
Required Attachments:	
Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee Member Roster (Attachment D)	1
 Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee Letter(s) of Agreement (Attachment E) 	. 1
 Letter(s) of Agreement for Impacted Local Government Agencies (Attachment F) 	√ √
Proposition 47 Project Work Plan (Attachment I)	1
List of Partner Agencies/Organizations (Attachment J)	4
Optional:	
Governing Board Resolution (Attachment H) Note: The Governing Board Resolution is due prior to Grant Award Agreement, not at time of proposal submission.	In Progress
Assurance:	
Proposition 47 Grant Funds will not be used for the acquisition of real property or for programs or services provided in a custodial setting.	1

I have reviewed this checklist and verified that all required items are included in this proposal-packet.

Public Agency Applicant Authorized Signature (see Applicant Information Form, next page)

Section I. Applicant Information Form

A.PUBLIC AGENCY APPLIC	ANT	B. TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		
NAME OF PUBLIC AGENCY		TAX IDENTIFICATION	ON #:	
San Francisco Department of	Public Health	946000417		
STREET ADDRESS	CITY		STATE ZIP CODE	
101 Grove St	San Francisco		CA 94102	
MAILING ADDRESS (if different) CITY		STATE ZIP CODE	
IF A JOINT PROPOSAL, LIS	T OTHER (NON-LEAD) P	UBLIC AGENCIES:		
, ,				
G. PROJECT TITLE				
Promoting Recovery and Service	s for the Prevention of Recid	ivism (PRSPR)		
D. REQUIRED SERVICES (C			SERVICES (Check all that apply)	
M MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES SUBSTANCE USE DISORDER TREATMENT DIVERSION PROGRAMS			☑ OTHER COMMUNITY-BASED SUPPORTIVE	
F. PROJECT SUMMARY				
the three year grant period, the G, GRANT FUNDS REQUES	project will serve 192 pot	tentially duplicated partic s Sub-Contracted to	p of the residential treatment. Over sipants. I. Total Amount of Other Funds to be Leveraged	
\$6,000,000	\$4,874,364	81.24 percent	\$6,027,557	
J. PROJECT DIRECTOR				
NAME	TITLE		TELEPHONE NUMBER	
Angelica Almeida, PhD	Director of Assisted	Outpatient Treatment	(415) 225-3798	
STREET ADDRESS			FAX NUMBER	
1380 Howard Street, Room 4			(415) 554-2710	
CITY San Francisco	STATE CA	ZIP CODE 94103	EMAIL ADDRESS angelica.almeida@sfdph.org	
K. FINANCIAL OFFICER			9 - F	
NAME	TITLE		TELEPHONE NUMBER	
Greg Wagner	Chief Financial Office	er	(415) 554-2610	
STREET ADDRESS	Office Financial Office		FAX NUMBER	
101 Grove Street, Room 308			(415) 554-2710	
CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	EMAIL ADDRESS	
San Francisco	CA	94102	greg.wagner@sfdph.org	
PAYMENT MAILING ADDRESS			STATE ZIP CODE	
L. DAY-TO-DAY CONTA	CT PERSON	·		
NAME	TITLE		TELEPHONE NUMBER	
Angelica Almeida, PhD		Outpatient Treatment	(415) 225-3798	

STREET ADDRESS		FAX NUMBER		
1380 Howard Street, Room 423		(415) 554-2710		
CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	EMAIL ADDRESS	
San Francisco	CA	94103	angelica.almeida@sfdph.org	

NAME OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER	TITLE	TELEPHONE NUMBER	EMAIL ADDRESS
Barbara Garcia	Director of Health	(415) 554-6227	Barbara.garcia@sfdph.org
STREET ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
101 Grove Street, Room 310	San Francisco	CA	94102

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

All documents submitted as a part of the Proposition 47 proposal are considered to be public documents and may be subject to a request pursuant to the California Public Records Act. The BSCC cannot ensure the confidentiality of any information submitted in or with this proposal. (Gov. Code, § § 6250 et seq.)

Section II. Proposal Narrative

1. PROJECT NEED

Faced with two seismically unsound jails and a three-month jail recidivism rate of 63%, ¹ the San Francisco (SF) Director of Health and the SF Sheriff convened a workgroup in 2016 to plan for permanent closure of the unsafe jails and identify investments in services or facilities that uphold public safety and better serve at-risk individuals. The 37-member Workgroup to Re-envision the Jail Replacement Project (Jail Workgroup), which included 51% community representation, engaged in an extensive 7-month community engagement and research effort from which prioritized strategies were developed. One of the most highly prioritized strategies was the need for additional residential treatment beds for system-involved adults struggling with substance use disorder (SUD) and serious mental health (MH) needs.

Substance Use and Mental Health Issues. Alcohol and drug use is a serious public health issue in SF. Alcohol use disorder is the most problematic addictive disorder in the city. In 2015, 11% of residents reported an alcohol use disorder, and 2,378 people were admitted for treatment. In 2014, there were 127 fatal opioid overdoses, 72% of them from prescription opioids. Approximately 15,000-22,000 people inject drugs in SF, and admissions for methamphetamine SUD treatment have been consistently rising, as have hospitalizations and deaths involving meth. SF's Behavioral Health Services (BHS) serves almost 30,000 residents for MH services and over 22,000 people for SUD services each year; 31% of clients receiving MH treatment have dual diagnoses.

A significant number of the city's system-involved individuals are in need of SUD and/or MH treatment, including approximately 75% of the 3,854 adults on probation.⁵ Of the

13,544 people incarcerated in SF County Jail in 2015, 36% had contact with Jail Behavioral Health Services; 24% had more than one contact; and 7-14% were diagnosed with a serious mental illness (SMI). A study of jail inmates who spent at least 30 days in an SUD, violence prevention, or veteran's service program found that only 43% had recidivated within 12 months after release. Due to the impact of substance use on MH symptoms, many individuals with dual diagnoses would best be served by comprehensive residential SUD treatment and outpatient MH services to address SUD needs prior to completing a MH residential program. However, due to the shortage of SUD beds, this best practice frequently does not occur and can impact the overall effectiveness of MH treatment. Currently, there is a 6-week wait for residential SUD treatment, a 5-day wait for detox, and a 2-4-week wait for residential MH treatment. Individuals in custody can wait up to four months for MH treatment. On June 27, 2016, 21 collaborative court participants were in custody awaiting a SUD treatment bed and 20 were awaiting a MH treatment bed. Lack of timely access to treatment often leads to SUD relapse, MH decline, homelessness, criminal behavior and repeated incarceration.

Transitional age youth (TAY) (ages 18-25) face additional challenges accessing treatment due to extensive histories of trauma, inadequate support systems and housing, and minimal educational and employment histories. TAY comprise 8% of SF's population, but accounted for 22% of arrests⁹ and 14% of County jail inmates accessing BHS in 2015. That same year, 36% of SF TAY reported psychiatric or emotional conditions; 23% reported drug or alcohol abuse; and 26% reported PTSD. While the system of MH and SUD care is available to all TAY in need of services, tailored curricula to meet TAY developmental needs is lacking.

Target Population: The Promoting Recovery and Services for the Prevention of Recidivism (PRSPR) program will expand the city's residential treatment capacity for adults who have been arrested, charged with, or convicted of a criminal offense, and who are assessed and authorized for residential treatment for SUD. Based on BHS' current utilization of SUD residential treatment, we expect the population to be largely people of color (an estimated 33% African American, 10% Latino, and 17% other non White) and two-thirds male. The project will support 5 social detox slots and 32 residential slots for individuals with SUD who may also have co-occurring MH needs. In addition, the project layers TAY-specific programming onto residential treatment. Over the three-year grant period, PRSPR will provide at least 192 episodes of residential treatment, which may include duplicated participants.

2. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

The Jail Workgroup was carefully designed to ensure a 51+% representation of communities overrepresented and/or underserved by the system, including people of color (particularly African Americans), transgender individuals, and homeless and formerly incarcerated men and women. The group also included representatives of SF's criminal justice, health, and social services systems. Members from advocacy groups and CBOs solicited input from their constituents, and significant time was devoted to public comment. Focused meetings were held on topics such as housing, women in jail, and interventions to address racial disparities in the criminal justice system.

The SF Reentry Council will serve as the Prop 47 Local Advisory Committee. The Council's membership overlaps substantially with the Jail Workgroup, which ensures that the Jail Workgroup's strategies are implemented based on the extensive research and planning from which they were developed. The Council, created in 2009 to coordinate

efforts to support adults leaving incarceration, is comprised of senior leadership of all public agency stakeholders in this grant (Mayor's Office, Public Defender, Sheriff, Adult Probation, District Attorney, Police, Juvenile Probation, Children, Youth and Families, Public Health, Human Services Agency, Economic and Workforce Development, and Homelessness and Supportive Housing), and representatives of other city and state criminal justice and social service agencies. The Council includes three mayoral and four Board of Supervisors community appointees who are formerly incarcerated, a survivor of violence or crime, a transitional age youth, and an individual with expertise serving the reentry population. Community appointees must submit an application, which is reviewed during a public meeting by the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor's Office. (See Attachment D: Membership Roster and Attachment E: Letter of Agreement).

The community members serving on the Council are deeply rooted in the issues and cultures of the target population and include those with personal experience with the criminal justice system, SUD and MH issues. Most of the community members work in nonprofit community- and faith-based organizations that directly inform their work on the Council. The group size was determined to ensure that stakeholder agencies are well represented and to allow significant representation of formerly incarcerated individuals. Membership, powers, and duties of the Council were determined by ordinance.

The Reentry Council meets quarterly and is facilitated by one of five co-chairs, following Roberts Rules. Meetings are governed by the Brown Act and SF's sunshine laws, which require all agendas and materials to be posted 72 hours in advance and minutes to be posted within two weeks on the council's website and at the SF Main Library. The Council has a deep commitment to public engagement; all meetings are open to the public and public comment is invited before every vote. The Council maintains an email address for

public input which is forwarded to meetings. To ensure ongoing oversight of the grant, PRSPR will become a standing agenda item at Council meetings.

3. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed PRSPR program will interrupt the cycle of substance abuse, unaddressed mental health needs, homelessness, and incarceration by increasing residential SUD treatment for system-involved adults who may also have co-occurring MH needs. PPH will serve as lead agency and will be responsible for project coordination, grant administration and facilitating connections to the DPH system of care. In-kind staff will include a Transitions and Placement Director (.05 FTE) to oversee utilization management, client placements, and staff supervision; a Clinical Supervisor (.05 FTE) to oversee intakes, assessments, and staff supervision, finalize CBO contracts, and convene the PRSPR workgroup; a Registered Nurse (.15 FTE) to provide care coordination; and a Data Analyst (.20 FTE) to gather data for the external evaluator. Treatment Access Program staff (18.0 FTE, in-kind) will conduct intakes and assessments to determine treatment needs, severity of substance use, and level of care needed, and provide care coordination and short term case management.

DPH will contract with <u>Salvation Army's (SA)</u> Harbor Light facility to provide 5 social detox and 32 residential SUD treatment beds for eligible participants. The average stay in detox is 4-10 days and includes 21 hours of treatment/week. Participants in SA's residential treatment program, which typically lasts up to 6 months, will receive individual and group counseling and therapy, case management, SUD and MH classes, and physical wellness. Their client-centered social model program emphasizes accountability, mutual self-help, and relearning responses to challenges to build positive coping behaviors and social support systems. Participants are part of a healing community based on restorative

justice principles; if individuals cause harm or relapse, they are supported to get back on track. SA utilizes two evidence-based curricula, including *Living in Balance*, which addresses dependency issues via units specifically for formerly incarcerated, and *Change Company*, which incorporates principles of restorative justice to help participants break the cycle of offender behavior and take corrective action.

A Masters-level Clinician (1.0 FTE) from <u>Felton Institute (FI)</u> will provide TAY-specific clinical case management, developmentally appropriate treatment groups based in wellness recovery, evidence-based SUD treatment, outreach and linkage to care. FI is a social services organization that delivers evidence-based social/mental health services, including intensive clinical case management, outpatient services, and home visits. A **Clinical Supervisor** (.15 FTE) will oversee service provision and supervise the Clinician.

Upon completion of residential treatment, each participant will have a community care plan that connects them to needed resources including housing, employment, benefit programs (e.g. medical care, food, AIDS Drug Assistance Program, SSI), and long term behavioral health treatment. Three **Peer Navigators** (2.5 FTE) from **Richmond Area Multi-Services** (RAMS), a non-profit mental health agency committed to advocating for and providing community-based, culturally-competent services, will work with identified participants for 60 days following completion of residential treatment to help them navigate the system, take them to appointments, and stay on course with their plan. One of the Peer Navigators (.5 FTE) will be dedicated to working with TAY participants. Case managers through BHS will continue to provide mental health services for as long as they are clinically indicated. All participants, under the guidance of case managers or Peer Navigators, will have access to the city's system of care including behavioral health services (SUD and MH treatment), physical health services, employment, and the newly

formed Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, which coordinates all of the city's housing resources (bridge housing, support hotels, sober living environments, coops) through one agency.

A PRSPR working group--comprised of the DPH Clinical Supervisor and staff from SA, FI, and RAMS--will meet at least quarterly to review and evaluate project implementation and service delivery, ensure that the referral process is serving the target population, track participants' progress, monitor treatment capacity, and ensure a coordinated system of care.

<u>San Francisco Public Health Foundation</u> will serve as fiscal sponsor and will manage payment for project-related expenses such as office supplies, travel vouchers, document support, and "flex" funds for participants, under the direction of DPH.

Hatchuel Tabernik and Associates (HTA) will serve as the evaluation partner for PRSPR and will work with the DPH Data Analyst to collect, clean and align multi-jurisdictional data; they will also gather qualitative data from participant surveys, focus groups, observations and so forth. HTA will gather and analyze both quantitative and qualitative data and will report to the Reentry Council (and the BSCC evaluators) on a quarterly and annual basis regarding fidelity of implementation and program outcomes. HTA has extensive experience evaluating reentry, diversion, jail reform, inmate education programs, and community oriented support for behavioral health care.

<u>Dr. Joseph Guydish</u>, Director of the NIDA P50 San Francisco Treatment Research Center at University of California, San Francisco (UCSF), will serve as a key advisor on addiction research and best practices for the PRSPR program. Dr. Guydish has published extensively on addiction and substance abuse treatment and prevention and has served on the faculty at UCSF since 1992.

See Attachment J: List of Partner Agencies/Organizations.

Leveraged Funds. PRSPR partners have committed over \$6 million in in-kind staff resources that will be dedicated to PRSPR governance and participants' treatment. Based on BHS' current caseload of individuals with dual diagnoses, we anticipate that approximately 30% of participants will continue to access DPH MH services, funded through Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) (case management, peer support, employment services, vocational programs, supportive housing), Medi-Cal, and local general fund resources, which is a sizable contribution of leveraged funds.

Rationale. DPH-funded services are trauma informed, client centered, and rooted in principles of harm reduction, recovery and wellness. All treatment providers are required to use treatments that are appropriate, evidence-based or promising practices that have been demonstrated to improve outcomes for individuals with SUD, MH, co-occurring treatment needs and criminal justice involvement.

Table 1: Rationale for Treatment

Evidence	Strategy
Harm reduction strategies are widely accepted as an effective approach for assisting individuals with SUD, especially those who use illicit drugs. ¹²	Harm Reduction
We anticipate that most participants will have been exposed to trauma and will require specific, trauma-informed services to promote recovery. There is a growing recognition of the link between exposure to violence and trauma and substance use. ¹³ ¹⁴ ¹⁵ The majority of people with behavioral health issues and justice system contact have significant histories of trauma and exposure to extreme poverty and personal and community violence. Justice system involvement further exacerbates their trauma. Local TAY experience a range of physical and mental health needs, often related to severe trauma in their lives. In fact, most homeless youth have experienced traumatic events before they left home, and the streets are a source of ongoing trauma. ¹⁶ Individuals with criminal justice involvement and PTSD are nearly 1.5 times more likely to reoffend than those without PTSD. ¹⁷ They are also at much greater risk of dropping out of SUD treatment. ¹⁸ All service providers are trained in trauma-informed treatment.	Trauma- informed SUD and MH Treatment

Evidence	Strategy
Participants will be placed initially in residential treatment and then stepped down gradually to day treatment or intensive outpatient treatment and eventually to outpatient. The length of treatment (6 months residential, 2 months of case management/peer follow-up and ongoing outpatient care) aligns with current research findings, which indicate that SUD treatment for a period of 8-12 months is most effective at reducing recidivism. 19 20	Length of Treatment
Studies of drug court participants engaged in residential SUD treatment demonstrated outcomes that were significantly better when participants were offered a continuum of care that included recovery oriented residential treatment, follow on clinical services, housing, and outpatient treatment. ^{21 22}	Continuum of Care
TAY participants will receive developmentally appropriate curricula and group counseling. The service needs of TAY are unique, different from the needs of adolescents and adults, ²³ and they respond to treatment more effectively when services are designed specifically for their age group. ²⁴ TAY are considered to be part of the developmental stage of "emerging adulthood", a period of life that is "theoretically and empirically distinct" from adolescence and adulthood. ²⁵ To ensure successful transition to adulthood, there is a critical need for developmentally appropriate interventions that take into account factors that differentiate this age group from both adolescents and adults, including individualized support to prepare them for transition out of or among service systems. ²⁶	Development ally Appropriate Services for TAY
According to SAMHSA, peer support is described as "a one-on-one relationship in which a peer leader with more recovery experience than the person served encourages, motivates, and supports a peer who is seeking to establish or strengthen his or her recovery." ²⁷ Peer navigators will utilize evidence-based practices to encourage, support, and foster participants' treatment success and recidivism reduction. Peer mentoring is acknowledged and utilized as an effective approach to augment or support recovery services for persons with SUD ²⁸ and co-occurring disorders. ²⁹	Peer Support

Provider Selection Process. All PRSPR service providers have extensive experience working with the target population. <u>Salvation Army</u> has been providing residential SUD treatment since 1903, and many of their clients have criminal justice histories or are referred directly from incarceration. An extremely diverse staff reflects the racial/ ethnic, gender, sexual orientation, economic, and educational diversity of the target population. Most of the staff have successfully completed SA's treatment program and been in

recovery for at least 5 years; many have worked with currently or formerly incarcerated individuals; and many have been incarcerated. All counselors are Certified Addiction Treatment Counselors at Level 1 or higher. Felton Institute has been providing clinical case management and mental health services to TAY through the SF Young Adult Court since 2015, as well as having a dedicated intensive clinical case management team to serve TAY with SMI. They are ideally qualified to provide clinical case management to PRSPR's TAY participants. RAMS currently trains and deploys Peer Navigators at DPH clinics throughout the city. Their Peer Navigators have personal experience with the criminal justice system and/or SUD and MH recovery.

Assessment and Referral. Participants will be referred by staff at DPH's Treatment Access Program (TAP), SF county jail, and community treatment providers. Referral sources will be trained to identify individuals who would qualify for services. Initial eligibility for treatment will be determined by licensed/credentialed MH staff and/or certified SUD counselors and referrals will be submitted to TAP for review and authorization. Referral decisions will be based on a comprehensive assessment of the individual's MH and SUD treatment needs, including a structured clinical interview. In order to determine medical necessity, a modified version of the Addiction Severity Index (ASI) and the American Society of Addiction Medication-Patient Placement Criteria Version 2 (ASAM-PPCv2) will be administered to determine severity of substance use and clinically indicated level of care. The ASI is a widely used semi-structured interview for SUD assessment and treatment planning based on a client's level of stability across 10 domains: cultural (e.g., language capacity), educational, housing, medical, employment and income, SUD, legal, family/social, and psychiatric needs. DPH will maintain authorizing responsibilities, which is consistent with services offered throughout the system of care, and monitor the waitlist to

ensure appropriate and equitable access to services.

Systems Change: The Jail Workgroup's comprehensive community engagement and planning process laid the foundation for a more holistic approach to addressing the needs of system involved residents who struggle with SUD and MH needs, and thereby reduce recidivism and incarceration. PRSPR is an integral part of realizing this goal, filling critical gaps in the service delivery network that will support individuals on their path to recovery. More eligible adults will have access to much needed residential treatment. Incarcerated individuals will spend less time in jail waiting for treatment. TAY participants will have access to SUD and MH treatment with clinical case management and curricula specific to their needs, increasing their chances of breaking the cycle of substance use and its associated harms. PRSPR will increase collaboration between city agencies and CBO providers to strengthen the network of care. SA will build its capacity to bill Drug Medi-Cal, enhancing sustainability beyond this grant for future participants.

Project Start-up. The first two months of PRSPR will be a ramp-up period to finalize contracts with service providers and ensure that FI and RAMS have staff hired and trained. SA has committed to providing treatment for participants as soon as grant funds are available. Treatment slots at SA will be procured as needed until reaching full capacity within the first six months of the grant.

Government Impact. The anticipated impact of the PRSPR program among public agency stakeholders is increased collaboration and information sharing. Should unforeseen issues arise, the Reentry Council will ensure they are addressed to mutual agreement. All public agency stakeholders have committed to the goals of the project and to ongoing participation in the Reentry Council. See Attachment E: Local Advisory Committee Letter of Agreement and Attachment F: Local Government Impact Letter.

4. PROJECT EVALUATION PLAN

Hatchuel Tabernik and Associates (HTA), a private consulting firm, will conduct the evaluation led by Dr. Danielle Toussaint, Director of Research and Evaluation. Dr. Toussaint has extensive experience in evaluating criminal justice and reentry programs in California. Dr. Joseph Guydish, Director of the NIDA P50 San Francisco Treatment Research Center at UCSF, will be a key advisor on addiction research and best practices. The primary goals and objectives of the project include:

Goal 1: Engage the target number of adults with substance use disorder (SUD) or co-occurring disorders who have a history of involvement with the criminal justice system.

Objective 1.1 The program will engage at least 64 individuals with SUD who may also have co-occurring MH issues (who meet the target criteria) annually in residential SUD treatment. **Objective 1.2:** The residential program will maintain at least a 90% occupancy rate.

Goal 2: Participants completing treatment will have a community care plan that connects them to community-based resources that support their ongoing stabilization and recovery.

Objective 2.1: 100% of participants who complete the residential program will leave with a community care plan. Objective 2.2: 100% of community care plans will be individually tailored for each participant and will connect to housing, employment, medical care, mental health treatment, vocational services, and/or other resources, as needed.

Objective 2.3: 90% of participants who successfully complete the residential program will be enrolled in the public benefit programs for which they are eligible (e.g., SSI, GA, CalFresh, Medi-Cal, etc.).

Goal 3: Program participants will demonstrate lower recidivism rates during and after program participation than they did during a similar period before participating in the program.

Objective 3.1: At least 50% of participants will complete 3-6 months of residential treatment. **Objective 3.2:** As a cohort, 40% of participants will demonstrate lower recidivism rates than in a comparable period prior to admission. **Objective 3.3:** As a cohort, participants will utilize 50% fewer jail bed days per year than they did prior to program participation.

The mixed methods evaluation will include **process** and **outcome** measures. The **process evaluation** includes a continuous improvement model to program implementation by addressing fidelity to the program plan and monitoring specific program goals (i.e., number engaged, program occupancy, length of stay). Process data will include: 1) Service utilization records (e.g., intake forms, case notes, assessments, treatment plans, services, referrals, exits); 2) Minutes from check-in calls with project staff; 3) Annual interviews/focus groups with key staff (e.g., SA, FI, RAMS) and other partners such as Adult Probation. Service utilization data will be entered into *Avatar*, DPH's Electronic Health Records system, to store clinical, service and billing information. DPH has full access to Avatar and will retrieve information for each client quarterly. This data will allow us to monitor the amount/types of service, engagement, and retention. Data sharing will be conducted with informed consent from participants and data MOUs as needed.

To monitor fidelity to the program plan, HTA will conduct regular check-ins with project staff and interviews/focus groups with staff and partners to discuss program developments. Topics will include successes/challenges in recruitment and engagement, client progress, areas for improvement, and evidence-based best practices utilized.

The **outcome evaluation**, utilizing a pre-post design, will study whether the program achieved its stated outcomes (i.e., lower recidivism rates, completion of treatment, enrollment in public benefits, etc.). Data sources will include staff administered assessments of: 1) Client well-being (e.g., housing, income and employment status); 2) Recidivism data for three years prior to participation and up to three years after (dates, arrests, convictions, re-incarceration, prior or new offenses); 3) ASI and supplemental survey questions, administered by staff at intake and completion of residential program stays. Most baseline and outcome data will be pulled from Avatar including demographics

(e.g., age, gender, race/ethnicity, sexual orientation) and criminogenic factors known to impact recidivism (e.g., age at first finding/conviction, number of findings/convictions).

Baseline data will allow us to explore differences in outcomes by population (e.g., TAY, African American, LGBTQ). Residential staff will administer the ASI and additional questions to participants at admission and at the completion of residential program stays to explore changes in mental health, substance use, housing, income, and sense of well-being, as well as perceived program impact and satisfaction.

To inform continuous program improvement, analyses will be conducted quarterly and findings folded into quarterly progress reports presented to administrative leadership and in clinical team meetings. Annual reports, including the required Two-Year and Final Local Evaluation Reports, will be presented to the Reentry Council to ensure the involvement of all stakeholders. These presentations will provide a forum to discuss interpretation of findings and direction for additional data collection and analysis.

5. GUIDING PRINCIPLES

San Francisco has long been a leader in compassionate public health policy and criminal justice reform. This grant, based on the Prop 47 guiding principles, will fill a critical gap in SF's comprehensive plan to address serious public health issues and reduce recidivism among repeat offenders with SUD and MH needs. Community representation and engagement is at its core, beginning with the Jail Workgroup and the Reentry Council. These public bodies gathered extensive community input and put people of color and formerly incarcerated community members at the center of identifying the issues and creating the solutions to deeply entrenched problems.

PRSPR builds on strong relationships with CBOs that are committed to providing clientcentered, culturally competent care that results in long term behavioral change. These CBOs meet DPH's high standards for providing gender responsive, trauma-informed services to ensure that all participants, regardless of race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, or immigration status, receive effective treatment in a safe therapeutic environment. CBO staff reflect the diversity and life experiences of the target population, including African Americans and Latinos, formerly incarcerated, and people in recovery. Staff will receive training on Prop 47 eligibility requirements, implicit bias and mircoaggressions to ensure that effective services are provided to the target population, and that individuals who may be reluctant to access services, due to stigma, are supported to participate. Furthermore, PRSPR will continue our efforts to address the disproportionate representation of African Americans and Latinos in the criminal justice system by providing them with life changing treatment as an alternative to incarceration.

The SA's supportive residential environment is based on harm reduction and restorative justice principles, which hold participants accountable to themselves and each other while recognizing that recovery is difficult and setbacks may occur along the way. Counselors emphasize wellness as a key component of recovery, incorporating mindfulness, yoga, exercise and optional spiritual development. TAY will receive additional support that recognizes their social and developmental needs. While all classes and groups are co-ed, housing will be gender specific so that female participants feel safe in the residential environment. Peer navigators will provide non-judgmental support as individuals transition into the community. Upon completion of PRSPR, participants will be on their path to recovery with a long term community care plan that connects them to the city's extensive network of services such as ongoing behavioral health treatment, physical health services, transitional housing, employment, public benefits, and other services.

Section III. Budget Section

Rating Factor 6a: Budget Table

Proposition 47 Budget Table

Budget Line Item	A. Grant Funds: Year 1 (14 months)	B. Grant Funds: Year 2 (12 months)	C. Grant Funds: Year 3 (12 months)	D. Total Grant Funds Requested (A+B+C)	E. Other Funds Leveraged	F. Total Project Value (D+E)
Salaries and Benefits (Lead Agency only)	\$0	\$0	. \$0	\$0	\$6,027,557	\$6,027,557
2. Services and Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Professional Services/Public Agency Subcontracts	\$75,212	\$75,212	\$75,212	\$225,636	\$0	\$225,636
4. Community-Based Organization Subcontracts*	\$1,616,473	\$1,628,798	\$1,629,093	\$4,874,364	\$0	\$4,874,364
5. Indirect Costs**	\$199,076	\$200,446	\$200,478	\$600,000	\$0	\$600,000
6. Data Collection and Evaluation***	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$300,000	\$0	\$300,000
7. Fixed Assets/Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
8. Other (Travel, Training, etc.)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTALS	\$1,990,761	\$2,004,456	\$2,004,783	\$6,000,000	\$6,027,557	\$12,027,557

^{*}minimum 50 percent of grant funds requested

**not to exceed 10 percent of grant funds requested

***minimum 5 percent [or \$25,000, whichever is greater] not to exceed 10 percent of grant funds requested

Rating Factor 6b: Budget Narrative

1. Salaries and Benefits:

a. Total Grant Funds Requested: \$0

b. Other Funds Leveraged: \$6,027,557

Narrative Detail:

Transitions & Placement Director— Oversee utilization	Year 1:	\$8,399
management, client placements, and staff supervision.	Year 2:	\$8,819
0.05 FTE x \$167,986 annual salary x 5% annual COLA	Year 3:	\$9,260
Clinical Supervisor— Oversee intakes, assessments,	Year 1:	\$5,717
and staff supervision. 0.05 FTE x \$114,332 annual salary	Year 2:	\$6,002
x 5% annual COLA	Year 3:	\$6,303
Registered Nurse— Care coordination. 0.15 FTE x	Year 1:	\$18,038
\$120,250 annual salary x 5% annual COLA	Year 2:	\$18,939
	Year 3:	\$19,886
Data Analyst— Data analysis to evaluate success		A47 774
indicators from multiple databases used to track client	Year 1:	\$17,774
touches with healthcare and forensics systems. 0.20 FTE	Year 2:	\$18,662
	Year 3:	\$19,595
x \$88,868 annual salary x 5% annual COLA		
DPH Staff @ Treatment Access Program (TAP)		Accession Control and Report Party Control and Control
2328 - Nurse Practitioner— Program oversight and	Year 1:	\$297,908
staff supervision. Clinical care, level of care assessment.	Year 2:	\$312,803
2 FTE x \$148,954 annual salary x 5% annual COLA	Year 3:	\$328,444

2930 - Behavioral Health Clinician— Client intake and	Year 1:	\$325,104
assessment, care coordination, and case management.	Year 2:	\$341,359
4 FTE x \$81,276 annual salary x 5% annual COLA	Year 3:	\$358,427
1402 - Clerk — Administrative support. 1 FTE x \$43,316	Year 1:	\$43,316
annual salary x 5% annual COLA	Year 2:	\$45,482
	Year 3:	\$47,756
2903 - Eligibility Workers— Client enrollment into	Year 1:	\$175,656
Medi-Cal, SF Health Network, and eligible services. 3	Year 2:	\$184,439
FTE x \$58,552 annual salary x 5% annual COLA	Year 3:	\$193,661
2591 - Health Program Coordinator II— Utilization	Year 1:	\$79,066
Management for SUD residential programs. 1 FTE x	Year 2:	\$83,019
\$79,066 annual salary x 5% annual COLA	Year 3:	\$87,170
2586 - Health Worker II— Assessment and level of care	Year 1:	\$160,524
determination for SUD residential. 3 FTE x \$53,508	Year 2:	\$168,550
annual salary x 5% annual COLA	Year 3:	\$176,978
2587 - Health Worker III— Assessment, level of care	Year 1:	\$234,208
determination for SUD residential, care coordination, and	Year 2:	\$245,918
follow-up. 4 FTE x \$58,552 annual salary x 5% COLA	Year 3:	\$258,214
Benefits Rate— Including medical, retirement, worker's	Year 1:	\$546,284
comp, etc 40%	Year 2:	\$573,598
	Year 3:	\$602,278

2. Services and Supplies: \$0

3. Professional Services/Public Agency Subcontracts:

a. Total Grant Funds Requested: \$225,636

Narrative Detail:

Office supplies— office supplies. \$100/mo.			Years 1-3:	\$1,200
Travel vouchers— client trans	sportation. \$9)81.21/mo.	Years 1-3:	\$11,775
Food and beverages— at service sites. \$200/mo.			Years 1-3:	\$2,400
Client support— bills, clothing, meals, document support, other necessitites. \$3,916.67/mo.			Years 1-3:	\$47,000
Trainings— 2 grantee meetin diem), staff trainings, room res	ervation, food Annual	· ·	Years 1-3:	\$6,000
Transportation - gas, tolls, car rental, parking Space reservation Supplies + Printing Technology + Equipment Food and bev	\$600 \$600 \$1,500 \$3,000 \$300	\$1,800 \$1,800 \$4,500 \$9,000 \$900		
Overhead @ 10%— administration processing payroll, benefits, do contracts; building maintenance	ocumentation		Years 1-3:	\$6,837

b. Other Funds Leveraged: \$0

4. Community-Based Organization Subcontracts:

a. Total Grant Funds Requested: \$4,874,364

Narrative Detail:

Sal	vation	Army
-----	--------	------

Harbor Light - detox spots— administration, utilities,		
food, housing, clinical services, residential care and		
safety related matters. \$100/day x 5 beds with a 5	V 4.0	\$182,500
month ramp up: Month 1, 1 bed; Month 2, 2 beds;	Years 1-3:	
Month 3, 3 beds; Month 4, 4 beds; Month 5-14, 5		
beds		
Harbor Light - residential treatment services—		COCATION CONTROL OF THE COCATION CONTROL OF THE COCATION
administration, utilities, food, housing, clinical services,	V1	
residential care and safety related matters. \$90/day x 32	Year 1:	
beds witih a 5 month ramp up: Month 1, 6 beds; Month	Year 2:	
2, 12 beds; Month 3, 18 beds; Month 4, 24 beds;	Year 3:	\$1,051,200
Month 5-14, 32 beds		•
Overhead @ 10%	Year 1:	\$122,275
	Year 2:	\$123,370
	Year 3:	\$123,370
Felton Institute		
Clinical Supervisor— clinical supervision (2 month ramp up). \$80,000 annual salary x 15% FTE	Years 1-3:	\$12,000
Masters-level clinician — case management targeted for TAY (2 month ramp up). \$65,000 salary x 100% FTE	Years 1-3:	\$65,000
Benefits @ 30%— Including medical, retirement, worker's comp, etc \$23,100 annual salary x 30% FTE	Years 1-3:	\$23,100
Program supplies — office supplies, communication supplies, staff travel. \$416.67 per month	Years 1-3:	\$5,000

Transportation— (1) Staff Muni monthly pass	Year 1:	\$1,274
•		
\$91/month for Yr1 + 5% increase annually thereafter.	Year 2:	\$1,338
	Year 3:	\$1,405
Overhead @ 10%	Year 1:	\$10,637
	Year 2:	\$10,644
	Year 3:	\$10,650
RAMS		-
Outreach worker / peer navigator— 2.0 FTE peer		
outreach/navigators working with adults. A 0.5 FTE peer	·.	•
outreach/navigator will target TAY (18-25yrs old) (2	Years 1-3:	\$95,000
month ramp up/hiring timē). \$38,000 salary x 2.50 FTE		
Benefits @ 38.5%—	Years 1-3:	\$36,575
Program supplies— office supplies, communication	Years 1-3:	φr 000
supplies, staff travel. \$119.05 per month	reals 1-3.	\$5,000
Transportation— (1) Staff Muni monthly pass	Year 1:	\$3,822
\$91/month for Yr1 + 5% increase annually thereafter.	Year 2:	\$4,013
	Year 3:	\$4,214
Overhead @ 10%	Year 1:	\$14,040
	Year 2:	\$14,059
	Year 3:	\$14,079

b. Other Funds Leveraged; \$0

5. Indirect Costs:

a. Total Grant Funds Requested: \$600,000

Narrative Detail:

Indirect Costs— 10%.	Year 1:	\$199,076
	Year 2:	\$200,446
	Year 3 [.]	\$200 478

INDIRECT COSTS	Yr1	Yr2	Yr3	Total
Labor + Administration (salaries, wages, benefits)	\$ 139,722	\$ 140,139	\$ 140,139	\$ 420,000
Occupancy	\$ 29,941	\$ 30,030	\$ 30,030	\$ 90,000
Insurance	\$ 9,980	\$ 10,010	\$ 10,010	\$ 30,000
Communication equipment	\$ 9,980	\$ 10,010	\$ 10,010	\$ 30,000
Postage	\$ 5,988	\$ 6,006	\$ 6,006	\$ 18,000
Printing	\$ 3,992	\$ 4,004	\$ 4,004	\$ 12,000

- b. Other Funds Leveraged: \$0
- 6. Data Collection and Evaluation:
 - a. Total Grant Funds Requested: \$300,000

Narrative Detail:

	HTA - Research Partner— Program ev	aluation.		And the second s
	Annual Evaluation Planning	\$3,950	Years 1-3:	\$100,000
	Annual Evaluation Implementation	\$9,900		+,
	Annual Evaluation Reporting	\$75,100		
FRE	Annual Additional Costs	\$11,050		

- b. Other Funds Leveraged: \$0
- 7. Equipment/Fixed Assets: \$0
- 8. Other (Travel, Training, etc.): \$0

Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee Membership Roster

Lead Public Agency: San Francisco Department of Public Health

Individual Name	Job Title	Agency/Organization
Allen Nance	Chief Juvenile Probation	SF Juvenile Probation
	Officer	Department
Angela Coleman	Board Appointee*	Glide Church
Barbara Garcia	Director	SF Department of Public Health
Craig Murdock	Director, Treatment Access	SF Department of Public Health
	Program	
Edwin M. Lee	Mayor	SF Mayor's Office
George Gascon	District Attorney	SF Office of the District
		Attorney
James Lowden	Board Appointee*	Community Representative
Jeff Adachi	Public Defender	SF Office of the Public
		Defender
Jeff Kositsky	Director	SF Department of
		Homelessness & Supportive
		Housing
Jose Bernal	Board Appointee*	Community Representative
Karen Fletcher	Chief Adult Probation Officer	SF Adult Probation Department
Karen Roye	Director	SF Department of Child Support
		Services
Kimberli Courtney	Board Appointee*	Five Keys Charter School
Leslie Levitas	Mayoral Appointee*	SF Sheriff's Department
Maria Su	Director	SF Department of Children,
		Youth, & Families
Michael Carr	Director of Workforce	SF Office of Economic &
	Development	Workforce Development
Omorede Rico Hamilton	Mayoral Appointee*	Community Representative
Steven Lin	District Administrator	Division of Parole Operations,
		California Department of
		Corrections & Rehabilitation
Trent Rhorer	Executive Director	SF Human Services Agency
Vicki Hennessy	Sheriff	SF Sheriff's Department
William Scott	Chief of Police	SF Police Department
Pending	Mayoral Appointee*	Community Representative

^{*}All Mayoral and Board appointees are formerly incarcerated.

Proposition 47 Local Advisory Committee Letter of Agreement

- 1. Barbara Garcia, Director, Department of Public Health
- Edwin M. Lee, Mayor, Mayor's Office
- 3. Vicky Hennessey, Sheriff, San Francisco Sheriff's Office
- 4. George Gascon, District Attorney, SF Office of the District Attorney
- 5. William Scott. Chief of Police, San Francisco Police Department
- 6. Jeff Adachi, Public Defender, SF Office of the Public Defender
- 7. Karen Fletcher, Chief Adult Probation Officer, Adult Probation Department
- 8. Maria Su, Director, Department of Children, Youth, & Families
- Michael Carr, Director of Workforce Development, Office of Economic & Workforce Development
- 10. Craig Murdock, Director, Treatment Access Program, Department of Public Health
- 11. Steven Lin, District Administrator, Division of Parole Operations, California Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation?
- 12. Allen Nance, Chief Juvenile Probation Officer, Juvenile Probation Department?
- 13. Trent Rhorer, Executive Director, Human Services Agency
- 14. Karen Roye, Director, Department of Child Support Services
- 15. Jose Bernal, Board Appointee*, Community Representative
- 16. Angela Coleman, Board Appointee*, Glide Church
- 17. Kimberli Courtney, Board Appointee*, Five Keys Charter School
- 18. Omorede Rico Hamilton, Mayoral Appointee*, Community Representative
- 19. Leslie Levitas, Mayoral Appointee*, SF Sheriff's Department
- 20. James Lowden, Board Appointee*, Community Representative
- 21. Jeff Kositsky, Director, Department of Homelessness & Supportive Housing



This is a letter of agreement between San Francisco Department of Public Health and all organizations listed herein for the purposes of applying for the Proposition 47 Grant. All individuals listed below are members of the San Francisco Reentry Council, which has agreed to serve as the Local Advisory Committee to the Proposition 47 grant application submitted by the San Francisco Department of Public Health. This advisory body will, at a minimum:

- Advise the San Francisco Department of Public Health during the ongoing implementation of the grant project; and
- Provide a public forum for implementation review and troubleshooting.

In subsequent planning and application years, this advisory body will advise on:

- How to identify and prioritize the most pressing needs to be addressed, including the target population, target area, and other elements as appropriate;
- How to identify the strategies, programs and/or services to be undertaken to address those needs; and
- The development of the grant project.

Signed in mutual agreement,

Barbara Garcia, Director

San Francisco Department of Public Health

101 Grove Street

San Francisco, CA 94102

 $\frac{\cancel{D}}{Date}$



Signed in mutual agreement,

Edwin M. Lee, Mayor

City & County of San Francisco 1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Pl San Francisco, CA 94102 Feburary 14, 2017

Date



Signed in mutual agreement,

Vicki Hennessy, Sheriff

San Francisco Sheriff's Department

1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Pl San Francisco, CA 94102 13 February 2017



Signed in mutual agreement,

George Gascon, District Attorney
San Francisco District Attorney's Office

850 Bryant Street San Francisco, CA 94103



Signed in mutual agreement,

William Scott, Chief of Police San Francisco Police Department 1245 3rd Street San Francisco, CA 94158



Signed in mutual agreement,

Jeff Adachi, Public Defender

San Francisco Public Defender's Office

555 7th Street

.

San Francisco, CA 94103

2/14/17



Signed in mutual agreement,

Karen Pletcher

Chief Adult Probation Officer

Adult Probation Department

880 Bryant Street

San Francisco, CA 94103

2/13/17



Signed in mutual agreement,

Maria Su, Director

Department of Children, Youth and Their Families

1390 Market Street #900



Signed in mutual agreement,

Michael Carr, Director

Office of Workforce Development

1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Pl



Signed in mutual agreement,

Craig Murdock, Director Treatment Access Program

San Francisco Department of Public Health

1380 Howard Street



Signed in mutual agreement,

Steven Lin, District Administrator

Division of Parole Operations

California Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation

1727 Mission Street

San Francisco, CA 94102



2-10-17

Signed in mutual agreement,

Allen A. Nance

Chief Juvenile Probation Officer

San Francisco Juvenile Probation Department

375 Woodside Avenue



Signed in mutual agreement,

Trent Rhorer, Executive Director San Francisco Human Services Agency

170 Otis Street



Signed in mutual agreement,

Karen Roye, Director

San Francisco Department of Child Support Services

617 Mission Street

San Francisco, CA 94105

February 9, 2017



Signed in mutual agreement,

Jose Bernal Board Appointee 2/10/17



Signed in mutual agreement,



Signed in mutual agreement,

Kimberli Courtney
Board Appointee

aberli Courtney



Signed in mutual agreement,

Omorede Rick Hamilton Mayoral Appointee 2-13-2017



Signed in mutual agreement,

Leslie Levitas

Mayoral Appointee

2/15/17



Signed in mutual agreement,

James Lowden Boerd Appointee



Signed in mutual agreement,

Jeff Kositsky, Director

Department of Homelessness & Supportive Housing

101 Grove Street

San Francisco, CA 94102

2/14/2017 Date

Local Government Impact Letters

- 1. Barbara Garcia, Director, Department of Public Health
- 2. Vicky Hennessey, Sheriff, San Francisco Sheriff's Office
- 3. George Gascon, District Attorney, SF Office of the District Attorney
- 4. William Scott. Chief of Police, San Francisco Police Department
- 5. Jeff Adachi, Public Defender, SF Office of the Public Defender
- 6. Karen Fletcher, Chief Adult Probation Officer, Adult Probation Department



Board of State and Community Corrections Corrections Planning and Programs Division 2590 Venture Oaks Way, Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95833

To Whom It May Concern,

This is a letter of agreement between the San Francisco Department of Public Health (SFDPH) and all agencies listed herein in for the purposes of applying for the Proposition 47 grant. Aligned with the city's goal of reducing the jail population, this grant seeks to increase residential substance use disorder treatment services for criminal justice-involved adults, including dedicated resources for adult transitional aged youth (TAY).

In addition to residential treatment, eligible individuals will also receive case management and/or peer navigation to support their transition out of residential treatment and connect them to the city's extensive network of wraparound services, including housing support, job skills, education, and legal services. The listed agencies will work collaboratively to implement, refine, collect and share data, and evaluate the program.

In this effort, the listed agencies do not anticipate any negative impact that will prevent this program or any other programs or services from operating as intended. In fact, all parties anticipate improved collaboration and communication across all partner agencies included in this application. However, if there are any unforeseen impacts on any listed agency, the party will work directly with SFDPH and partner agencies to address and resolve any issues causing this impact.

Signed in mutual agreement,

Barbara Garcia, Director

San Francisco Department of Public Health

101 Grove Street

San Francisco, CA 94102

Data



Signed in mutual agreement,

Vicki L. Hennessy, Sheriff

San Francisco Sheriff's Department

I Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Pl San Francisco, CA 94102 13 February 2017



Signed in mutual agreement,

George Gascon, District Attorney San Francisco District Attorney's Office

850 Bryant Street

San Francisco, CA 94103

2-10-17



Signed in mutual agreement,

Willes	Scall
William Sc	ott, Chief of Police
San Franci	sco Police Department
1215 3015	troot

San Francisco, CA 94158

2/13/2017



Signed in mutual agreement,

Jeff Adachi, Public Defender San Francisco Public Defender's Office

555 7th Street

San Francisco, CA 94103

2/14/17



Signed in mutual agreement,

Karen Fletcher

Chief Adult Probation Officer

Koren Rehhen

Adult Probation Department

880 Bryant Street

San Francisco, CA 94103

2/13/17

Proposition 47 Project Work Plan

MY Cool	stitute, RC=Reentry Council, SA=Salvation Ar		rdor (QUID) as	a a biotani -r				
(1) Goal:	Engage the target number of adults with substance use disorder (SUD) and a history of							
Objectives:	involvement with the criminal justice system.							
Objectives:	1.1 The program will engage at least 64 individuals with SUD who may also have co							
	occurring MH issues (who meet the target criteria) annually in residential SUD treatment.							
Dunio et notivi	1.2 The residential program will maintain at least a 90% occupancy rate. ivities that support the identified goal Responsible staff/ Timeline							
-	ties that support the identified goal	Responsible staff/						
and objective		partners	Start Date	End Date				
	acts with CBOs	Clinical Sup.	June 2017	August 2017				
•	case manager and peer navigators	FI, RAMS	June 2017	August 2017				
-	providers on Prop 47 eligibility	Clinical Sup.	June 2017	August 2017				
	ntry Council and workgroup meetings	RC, Clinical Sup.	June 2017	August 2020				
	ential SUD and MH tx, case mgt and	SA, FI, RAMS	June 2017	August 2020				
peer navigatio	n for 64 participants/year	,						
(2) Goal:	Participants completing treatment will h	nave a community care	plan that con	nects them to				
District of the	community-based resources that suppo	rt their ongoing stabiliz	ation and recov	ery.				
Objectives:	2.1 100% of participants who complete	the residential program	will leave with	a community				
-	care plan. 2.2 100% of community	care plans will be in	dividually tailo	red for each				
	participant and will connect to hou	•	-					
	treatment, vocational services, and/or other resources, as needed. 2.3 90% of participants							
	who successfully complete the residential program will be enrolled in the public benefits for							
	I who successfully complete the resident							
	-	· -	med in the pub	lic benefits for				
Project activi	which they are eligible (SSI, GA, Medi-C	Cal, etc.).		lic benefits for eline				
Project activi	which they are eligible (SSI, GA, Medi-C ties that support the identified goal	· -						
and objective	which they are eligible (SSI, GA, Medi-C ties that support the identified goal es	Cal, etc.). Responsible staff/ partners	Time Start Date	eline End Date				
-	which they are eligible (SSI, GA, Medi-Cities that support the identified goal es	Cal, etc.). Responsible staff/ partners Clinical Sup., RAMS	Time Start Date August 2017	eline End Date August 2020				
and objective Assign Peer N	which they are eligible (SSI, GA, Medi-Cities that support the identified goal es	Cal, etc.). Responsible staff/ partners Clinical Sup., RAMS Clinical Sup., FI	Time Start Date August 2017 August 2017	eline End Date August 2020 August 2020				
and objective Assign Peer N Assign TAY C	which they are eligible (SSI, GA, Medi-Cities that support the identified goal es lavigators linician Program participants will demonstrate	Cal, etc.). Responsible staff/ partners Clinical Sup., RAMS Clinical Sup., FI lower recidivism rate	Time Start Date August 2017 August 2017 s during and	eline End Date August 2020 August 2020 after program				
and objective Assign Peer N Assign TAY C (3) Goal:	which they are eligible (SSI, GA, Medi-Cities that support the identified goal es lavigators linician Program participants will demonstrate participation than they did during a simi	Cal, etc.). Responsible staff/ partners Clinical Sup., RAMS Clinical Sup., FI lower recidivism rate lar period before partici	Time Start Date August 2017 August 2017 s during and pating in the pr	eline End Date August 2020 August 2020 after program				
and objective Assign Peer N Assign TAY C	which they are eligible (SSI, GA, Medicties that support the identified goal escapillations) avigators linician Program participants will demonstrate participation than they did during a similation and similations.	Cal, etc.). Responsible staff/ partners Clinical Sup., RAMS Clinical Sup., FI lower recidivism rate lar period before partici	Time Start Date August 2017 August 2017 s during and pating in the prosident	eline End Date August 2020 August 2020 after program ogram. ial treatment.				
and objective Assign Peer N Assign TAY C (3) Goal:	which they are eligible (SSI, GA, Medicties that support the identified goal es lavigators linician Program participants will demonstrate participation than they did during a simi 3.1 At least 50% of participants will 3.2 As a cohort, 40% of participants	Cal, etc.). Responsible staff/ partners Clinical Sup., RAMS Clinical Sup., FI lower recidivism rate lar period before partici Il complete 3-6 month will demonstrate lowe	Start Date August 2017 August 2017 s during and pating in the properties of resident recidivism ra	eline End Date August 2020 August 2020 after program ogram ital treatment				
and objective Assign Peer N Assign TAY C (3) Goal: Objectives:	which they are eligible (SSI, GA, Medicties that support the identified goal escapilities are lavigators linician Program participants will demonstrate participation than they did during a simi 3.1 At least 50% of participants will as a cohort, 40% of participants comparable period prior to admission.	Cal, etc.). Responsible staff/ partners Clinical Sup., RAMS Clinical Sup., FI lower recidivism rate lar period before partici Il complete 3-6 month will demonstrate lowe 3.3 As a cohort, partici	Start Date August 2017 August 2017 S during and pating in the property of resident recidivism racipants will utilized.	eline End Date August 2020 August 2020 after program ogram ital treatment				
Assign Peer N Assign TAY C (3) Goal: Objectives:	which they are eligible (SSI, GA, Medicties that support the identified goal estavigators linician Program participants will demonstrate participation than they did during a simi 3.1 At least 50% of participants will 3.2 As a cohort, 40% of participants comparable period prior to admission. jail bed days per year than they did prior	Cal, etc.). Responsible staff/ partners Clinical Sup., RAMS Clinical Sup., FI lower recidivism rate lar period before partici Il complete 3-6 month will demonstrate lowe 3.3 As a cohort, partici	Time Start Date August 2017 August 2017 s during and pating in the pr ns of resident r recidivism ra sipants will utilizen.	eline End Date August 2020 August 2020 after program ogram ial treatment ites than in a				
and objective Assign Peer N Assign TAY C (3) Goal: Objectives:	which they are eligible (SSI, GA, Medicities that support the identified goal estavigators linician Program participants will demonstrate participation than they did during a simi 3.1 At least 50% of participants will 3.2 As a cohort, 40% of participants comparable period prior to admission. jail bed days per year than they did prior to the identified goal	Responsible staff/ partners Clinical Sup., RAMS Clinical Sup., FI lower recidivism rate lar period before particill complete 3-6 month will demonstrate lowe 3.3 As a cohort, participation to program participation Responsible staff/	Time Start Date August 2017 August 2017 s during and pating in the properties of resident recidivism racipants will utilizen.	eline End Date August 2020 August 2020 after program ogram: ial treatment ites than in a ze 50% fewer				
and objective Assign Peer N Assign TAY C (3) Goal: Objectives: Project activi and objective	which they are eligible (SSI, GA, Medicaties that support the identified goal estavigators linician Program participants will demonstrate participation than they did during a simi 3.1 At least 50% of participants will 3.2 As a cohort, 40% of participants comparable period prior to admission. jail bed days per year than they did prior ties that support the identified goal estaviors.	Cal, etc.). Responsible staff/ partners Clinical Sup., RAMS Clinical Sup., FI lower recidivism rate lar period before partici Il complete 3-6 month will demonstrate lowe 3.3 As a cohort, partic r to program participation Responsible staff/ partners	Time Start Date August 2017 August 2017 S during and pating in the property of resident recidivism racipants will utilizen. Time Start Date	eline End Date August 2020 August 2020 after program ogram: ial treatment ites than in a ze 50% fewer eline End Date				
Assign Peer N Assign TAY C (3) Goal: Objectives: Project activi and objective Complete Loc	which they are eligible (SSI, GA, Medicaties that support the identified goal estavigators linician Program participants will demonstrate participation than they did during a simi 3.1 At least 50% of participants will 3.2 As a cohort, 40% of participants comparable period prior to admission. jail bed days per year than they did prior ties that support the identified goal estal Evaluation Plan	Cal, etc.). Responsible staff/ partners Clinical Sup., RAMS Clinical Sup., FI lower recidivism rate lar period before partici If complete 3-6 month will demonstrate lowe 3.3 As a cohort, partici reto program participation Responsible staff/ partners Data analyst, HTA	Time Start Date August 2017 August 2017 s during and pating in the properties of resident recidivism racipants will utilized. Time Start Date June 2017	eline End Date August 2020 August 2020 after program ogram ial treatment ites than in a ze 50% fewer eline End Date Sept 2017				
Assign Peer N Assign TAY C (3) Goal: Objectives: Project activi and objective Complete Loc Prepare and s	which they are eligible (SSI, GA, Medicaties that support the identified goal estavigators linician Program participants will demonstrate participation than they did during a simi 3.1 At least 50% of participants will 3.2 As a cohort, 40% of participants comparable period prior to admission. jail bed days per year than they did prior ties that support the identified goal estaviors.	Cal, etc.). Responsible staff/ partners Clinical Sup., RAMS Clinical Sup., FI lower recidivism rate lar period before partici Il complete 3-6 month will demonstrate lowe 3.3 As a cohort, partic r to program participation Responsible staff/ partners	Time Start Date August 2017 August 2017 S during and pating in the property of resident recidivism racipants will utilizen. Time Start Date	eline End Date August 2020 August 2020 after program ogram: ial treatment ites than in a ze 50% fewe eline End Date				

List of Partner Agencies/Organizations

Lead Public Agency: San Francisco Department of Public Health

Other Public Agency Partners

	Name of Agency	2-3 sentence description of services to be provided	
1	SF Mayor's Office	Will serve on the Prop 47 Local Advisory Committee.	
2	SF Juvenile Probation Department	Will serve on the Prop 47 Local Advisory Committee.	
3	SF Office of the District Attorney	Will serve on the Prop 47 Local Advisory Committee.	
4	SF Office of the Public Defender	Will serve on the Prop 47 Local Advisory Committee.	
5	SF Department of Homelessness & Supportive Housing	Will serve on the Prop 47 Local Advisory Committee.	
6	SF Adult Probation Department	Will serve on the Prop 47 Local Advisory Committee.	
7	SF Department of Child Support Services	Will serve on the Prop 47 Local Advisory Committee.	
8	SF Sheriff's Department	Will serve on the Prop 47 Local Advisory Committee.	
9	SF Department of Children, Youth, & Families	Will serve on the Prop 47 Local Advisory Committee.	
10	SF Office of Economic & Workforce Development	Will serve on the Prop 47 Local Advisory Committee.	
11	SF Human Services Agency	Will serve on the Prop 47 Local Advisory Committee.	
12	SF Police Department	Will serve on the Prop 47 Local Advisory Committee.	

Non-Governmental, Community-Based Partners (if known)

	Name of Organization	2-3 sentence description of services to be provided
1	Salvation Army	Salvation Army's Harbor Light facility will provide 5 social detox and 32 residential SUD treatment beds for eligible participants. The program includes individual and group counseling and therapy, case management, substance abuse and mental health classes, and physical wellness.
2	Felton Institute	Felton Institute will provide transitional age youth (TAY) participants with clinical case management, developmentally appropriate treatment groups in wellness recovery and SUD treatment, and outreach.
3	Richmond Area Multi- Services, Inc. (RAMS)	RAMS will provide Peer Navigators to support clients transitioning out of residential treatment at Salvation Army and help them navigate the system, find housing and jobs, take them to appointments, and connect them to existing services to help them achieve stability. One Peer Navigator will be dedicated to working with TAY participants.
4	San Francisco Public Health Foundation	SFPHF will serve as fiscal agent for the Prop 47 grant and manage payment for project-related expenses such as staff trainings, food, office supplies, travel vouchers, clothing, document support, and other incidentals for PRSPR clients.
5	Hatchuel Tabernik and Associates (HTA)	HTA will serve as the local evaluation partner for the PRSPR project and will be responsible for data collection and analysis.
6	Dr. Joseph Guydish, UC San Francisco	Dr. Guydish will serve as a key advisor on addiction research and best practices for the PRSPR program.

Bibliography

- Degeneffe, M. (2011). Knocking on the revolving door: analyzing recidivism in San Francisco. SF Fellows Report.
- 2. San Francisco Department of Public Health. (2016). San Francisco heroin opioid brief.
- 3. National Drug Early Warning System (NDEWS). (2016). San Francisco Sentinel community site (SCS) drug use Patterns and trends.
- 4. San Francisco Department of Public Health. (2016). Behavioral Health Services Presentation.
- Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee. (2015). Three years of realignment in San Francisco.
- 6. Degeneffe, M. (2011).
- 7. San Francisco Department of Public Health. (2016).
- 8. Work Group to Re-envision the Jail Replacement Project. (2016). Issue brief: facility options.
- BSCC data set. (2015).
- 10. San Francisco Department of Public Health. (2016).
- 11. Harder + Co. Community Research. (2015). Providing stability and support: an assessment of San Francisco's transitional age youth housing and services system,
- 12. Ritter, A., and Cameron, J. (2006). A review of the efficacy and effectiveness of harm reduction strategies for alcohol, tobacco and illicit drugs. Drug and Alcohol Review, 25, 611–624.
- 13. Reed, P, Anthony, J., & Breslau, N. (2007) Incidence of drug problems in young adults exposed to trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder: do early life experiences and predispositions matter?

 Archives of General Psychiatry, 64(12): 1435-24.
- Kessler, R., et al. (1995). Posttraumatic stress disorder in the National Comorbidity Study. Archives of General Psychiatry, 52: 1048-1060.
- 15. Chilcoat, H. & Breslau, N. (1998). Posttraumatic stress disorder and drug disorders, Archives of General Psychiatry, 55: 913-17.
- Stewart, A. G., Steiman, M., Cauce, A. M., Cochran, B. N., Whitbeck, L. B., & Hoyt, D. R. (2004). Victimization and posttraumatic stress disorder among homeless adolescents. Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 43(3), 325-331.
- Sadeh, N., & McNiel, D.E. (2015). Posttraumatic stress disorder increases risk of criminal recidivism among justice-involved persons with mental disorders. Criminal Justice and Behavior, 42(6), 573– 586
- 18. Read, J.P., Brown, P.J., Kahler, C.W. (2004). Substance use and posttraumatic stress disorders: Symptom interplay and effects on outcome. Addictive Behaviors, 29(8), 1665–1672.
- 19. DeMatteo, D.S., Marlowe, D.B., & Festinger, D.S. (2003). A sober assessment of drug courts. Federal Sentencing Reporter 16(2), 153–157.
- Carey, S.M., Mackin, J.R., & Finigan, M.W. (2012). What works? The 10 key components of drug court: research-based best practices. *Drug Court Review*, 8(1), 6–42.
- 21. Koob, J., Brocato, J., & Kleinpeter, C. (2011). Enhancing residential treatment for drug court participants. *Journal of Offender Rehabilitation*, *50*(5), 252–271.
- 22. McKee, M. (2010). San Francisco drug court transitional housing program outcome study. San Francisco: SF Collaborative Courts.
- 23. Manteuffel, B., Stephens, R.L., Sondheimer, D.L, & Fisher, S.K. (2008). Characteristics, service experiences, and outcomes of transition-aged youth in systems of care: programmatic and policy implications. Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research. 35(4), 469–87.
- 24. Zajak, K., Sheidow, A., and Davis, M. (2015). Juvenile justice, mental health, and the transition to adulthood: a review of service system involvement and unmet needs in the U.S. Children and Youth Services Review, Sep 1; 56: 139–148.
- 25. Arnett, J. (2000). Emerging adulthood: a theory of development from the late teens through the twenties. American Psychologist, 55(5): 469–480.
- 26. Harder + Co. Community Research, (2015).
- 27. SAMHSA Center for Substance Abuse Treatment. (2009). What are peer recovery support services? HHS Publication No. (SMA) 09-4454, Rockville, MD.
- 28. Boisvert, R., et al. (2008). Effectiveness of a peer-support community in addiction recovery: participation as intervention. Occupational Therapy International, 15(4), 205–220.
- 29. Lucksted A, et al. (2009). Initial evaluation of the peer-to-peer program. Psychiatric Services, 60(2).

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR SAN FRANCISCO



TO:

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

FROM: Vol Mayor Edwin M. Lee Z

RE:

Mayor's Proposed FY 2017-2018 2018-2019 Budget Trailing Legislation

DATE:

June 1, 2017

Attached for introduction to the Board of Supervisors is Mayor's FY 2017-2018 2018-2019 Proposed Budget Trailing legislation.

June 8, 2017 Budget & Finance Committee

Resolution approving the Interim Budget of the Treasure Island Development Authority for FY2017-2018 and FY2018-2019,

Resolution approving the Budget of the Treasure Island Development Authority for FY2017-2018 and FY2018-2019.

- Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to adjust existing surcharges on permit fees, license fees, permit review fees, and permit and license renewal fees for permits and licenses issued by the Planning Department, Department of Building Inspection, Department of Public Health and Police Department that may be appealed to the Board of Appeals.
- Resolution approving the Fiscal Year (FY) 2017-2018 Budget of the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure (OCII), operating as the Successor Agency to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency.

June 15, 2017 Budget & Finance Committee

- Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to establish an Office of Cannabis; to authorize the Director of the Office of Cannabis to issue permits to cannabisrelated businesses; and to delegate to the Director of the Office of Cannabis the authority to establish permit application and annual license fees, subject to approval by the Controller.
- Ordinance adopting the Neighborhood Beautification and Graffiti Clean-up Fund Tax designation ceiling for tax year 2017.
- Resolution concurring with the Controller's establishment of the Consumer Price Index for 2017, and adjusting the Access Line Tax by the same rate.
- Resolution authorizing the Controller's Office and Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector to examine the prepaid mobile telephony services surcharge and local charges collected by the State Board of Equalization.

- Resolution concurring with the Controller's certification that services previously approved can be performed by private contractor for a lower cost than similar work performed by City and County employees, for the following services: budget analyst (Board of Supervisors); citywide custodial services (excluding City Hall), citywide security services, central shops security, convention facilities management (General Services Agency—City Administrator); mainframe system support (General Services Agency—Technology); security services (Human Services Agency); food services for jail inmates (Sheriff); assembly of vote-by-mail envelopes (Department of Elections)
- Resolution concurring with the Controller's certification that security services at the new Medical Examiner facility at 1 Newhall St. can be performed by a private contractor for a lower cost than similar work performed by City and County employees at the General Services Agency—City Administrator.
- Ordinance amending the Planning Code to establish a fee for the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development to monitor Student Housing, affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of public convenience, necessity, and welfare under Planning Code Section 302.
- Ordinance amending Ordinance No. 112-16 to authorize an increase of the issuance and sale of tax-exempt or taxable Water Revenue Bonds and other forms of indebtedness (as described below) by the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (Commission) in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$274,130,430 to finance the costs of various capital water projects benefitting the Water Enterprise, including in addition the Rollins Road Property (as described below) pursuant to amendments to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco enacted by the voters on November 5, 2002 as Proposition E; authorizing the issuance of Water Revenue Refunding Bonds; declaring the Official Intent of the Commission to Reimburse Itself with one or more issues of taxexempt or taxable bonds or other forms of indebtedness; and ratifying previous actions taken in connection therewith.
- Ordinance appropriating \$9,132,962 of proceeds from Water Enterprise Revenue Bonds to purchase the property located at 1657-1663 Rollins Road, Burlingame that has been served as the primary work location for SFPUC staff from the Water Quality Division, the Natural Resources & Land Management Division, and the Water Supply & Treatment Division in FY 2017-2018; and placing \$9,132,962 of proceeds on Controller's Reserve pending receipt of proceeds of indebtedness.
- Ordinance appropriating \$70,060,000, consisting of \$35,000,000 of proceeds from the sale of Airport Capital Plan Bonds and \$60,000 from fund balance, and \$35,000,000 of proceeds transfer from Hotel Special Facility Revenue Bonds to support San Francisco International Airport Hotel Project and placing \$70,000,000 on Controller's Reserve pending receipt of proceeds of indebtedness; deappropriating and re-appropriating \$25,000,000 of Hotel Special Facility Revenue Bonds.

 Ordinance Re-appropriating \$26,200,000 of 2014 Transportation and Road Improvements General Obligation Bonds Series 2015B funded Better Market Street projects and Muni Forward and Pedestrian Safety Improvements Projects to Transit projects including Muni Facility Upgrades in FY2017-18. (8th or 15th?)

June 16, 2017 Budget & Finance Committee

- Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to authorize the Arts Commission to contract for the development, fabrication, maintenance, conservation, removal, or installation of art work.
- Ordinance amending the Business and Tax Regulations Code to require that payment of emergency medical services fees be made to the Department of Public Health rather than the Department of Emergency Management.
- Ordinance amending the Health Code to set patient rates and other services provided by the Department of Public Health for patient and other services rendered, starting July 1, 2017, and continuing through June 30, 2019.
- Resolution authorizing the acceptance and expenditure of State grant funds by the San Francisco Department of Public Health of FY2017-2018.
- Resolution authorizing the Director of Health to sign an agreement, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, with the California Board of State and Community Corrections for participation in the Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion Pilot Program for the period of July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2019.
- Ordinance amending the Fire Code to increase the fees for certain Fire
 Department services, and affirming the Planning Department's determination under
 the California Environmental Quality Act.
- Resolution approving the FYs 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 Expenditure Plans for the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing Fund.
- Resolution authorizing the San Francisco Public Library to accept and expend a
 grant in the amount of up to \$753,851 of in-kind gifts, services, and cash monies
 from the Friends of the San Francisco Public Library for direct support for a variety
 of public programs and services in FY2017-2018.
- Resolution authorizing the Director of Health to sign an agreement, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, with the California Board of State and Community Corrections for participation in the Proposition 47 Grant Program for the period of July 1, 2017 to August 15, 2020.

Should you have any questions, please contact Mawuli Tugbenyoh (415) 554-5168.

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR SAN FRANCISCO



EDWIN M. LEE MAYOR

To:

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

From: Melissa Whitehouse, Mayor's Acting Budget Director

Date: June 1, 2017

Re: Mayor's FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19 Budget Submission

Madam Clerk,

In accordance with City and County of San Francisco Charter, Article IX, Section 9.100, the Mayor's Office hereby submits the Mayor's proposed budget by June 1st, corresponding legislation, and related materials for Fiscal Year 2017-18 and Fiscal Year 2018-19.

In addition to the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, Annual Salary Ordinance, and Mayor's Proposed FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19 Budget Book, the following items are included in the Mayor's submission:

- The budget for the Treasure Island Development Authority for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19
- The budget for the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure for FY 2017-18
- 21 separate pieces of legislation (see list attached)
- A Transfer of Function letter detailing the transfer of 3.0 positions from the City Administrator's Office to the Public Utilities Commission
- An Interim Exception letter
- A letter addressing funding levels for consumer price index increases for nonprofit corporations or public entities for the coming two fiscal years

If you have any questions, please contact me at (415) 554-6253.

Best Regards,

Melissa Whitehouse

Mayor's Budget Director

cc:

Members of the Board of Supervisors

Harvey Rose

Controller

DEPT	Budget & Finance Committee Calendar Date	Description or Title of Local Legislation	Type of Legislation
PAB	June 8 - Thursday	Administrative Code - Board of Appeals Surcharges on Permit Fees	Ordinance
МТА	June 15 -Thursday	Re-Appropriation – 2014 Transportation and Road Improvements General Obligation Bonds Series 2015B Projects - \$26,200,000 - FY2017-18	Ordinance
ADM	June 15 -Thursday	Administrative Code - Cannabis Regulation	Ordinance
AIR	June 15 -Thursday	Appropriation - Airport Hotel Project of \$70,060,000 and Re-Appropriation - Hotel Special Facility Revenue Bond of \$25,000,000 - Airport Commission - FY2016-2017	Ordinance
CON	June 15 -Thursday	Neighborhood Beautification and Graffiti Clean-up Fund Tax Designation Ceiling	Ordinance
CON	June 15 -Thursday	Resolution Adjusting the Access Line Tax with the Consumer Price Index of 2017	Resolution
CON	June 15 -Thursday	Authorization the Examination of Prepaid Mobile Telephony Service Surcharge and Local Charge Records.	Resolution
CON	June 15 -Thursday	Proposition J Contract Certification—Security Guard Services	Resolution
CON	June 15 -Thursday	Proposition J Contract Certification Specified Contracted-Out Services Previously Approved	Resolution
MOHCD	June 15 -Thursday	Planning Code - Establish Fee for Monitoring of Student Housing by Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development	Ordinance
PUC	June 15 -Thursday	Appropriation – Proceeds from Waster Enterprise Fund Balance Revenue Bonds - Property Purchase Located at Rollins Road - FY 2017-2018 - \$9,132,962	Ordinance
PUC	June 15 -Thursday	Amending Ordinance 112-16Public Utilities Commission Water Revenue Bond IssuanceNot to Exceed \$274,130,430	Ordinance
ART	June 16 -Friday	Administrative Code - Arts Commission Contracting Authority	Ordinance
DPH	June 16 -Friday	Business and Tax Regulations Code - Emergency Medical Services Fees	Ordinance
DPH	June 16 -Friday	Health Code - Patient Rates 2017-2019	Ordinance
DPH	June 16 -Friday	Accept and Expend Grants- Recurring State Grant Funds - Department of Public Health- FY2017-2018	Resolution
DPH	June 16 -Friday	Agreement - Department of Public Health - Proposition 47 Grant Program	Resolution
DPH	June 16 -Friday	Agreement – Department of Public Health – LEAD SF Pilot Program	Resolution
FIR	June 16 -Friday	Fire Code - Fire Department Fees	Ordinance
ном	June 16 -Friday	Homelessness and Supportive Housing Fund - FYs 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 Expenditure Plans	Resolution
LIB	June 16 -Friday	Accept and Expend Grant - Friends of San Francisco Public Library - Annual Grant Award, FY2017-2018 - Up to \$753,851 of In-Kind Gifts, Services, and Cash Monies	Resolution

.