File No. 170417

Committee Item No. <u>5</u> Board Item No.

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Land Use and Transportation Committee Date June 26, 2017

	pervisors Meeting	Date
Cmte Board	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget and Legislative Analyst Rep Youth Commission Report Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter an MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Public Correspondence	
OTHER	(Use back side if additional space is	s needed)
	Referral FYI 061317	2217
	by: <u>Erica Major</u> Date by:Date	June 22, 2017

FILE NO. 170417

SUBSTITUTED 6/20/2017

ORDINANCE NO.

[Administrative Code - Owner Move-In Evictions and Other Landlord-Tenant Matters]

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code regarding owner and relative move-in ("OMI") evictions to require a landlord seeking to recover possession of a unit for an OMI to provide the tenant with an approved form to advise the Rent Board of address changes; clarify the evidentiary standard for finding that an OMI was performed in good faith; require a landlord to file documentation with the Rent Board regarding the status of the OMI, with a penalty for not filing such documentation, and requiring the Rent Board to transmit a random sampling of such documentation to the District Attorney; extend from three to five years the time period after an OMI during which a landlord who intends to re-rent the unit must first offer the unit to the displaced tenant; authorize a tenant who has been charged excess rent within five years after an OMI to sue for treble damages; as to matters not limited to OMI evictions, provide that a landlord's failure to timely file a copy of the notice to vacate with the Rent Board is a defense in an unlawful detainer proceeding; provide that a tenant waiver of rights in a buyout agreement is not enforceable if the buyout is not timely filed with the Rent Board; extend from one to three years the statute of limitations for wrongful eviction claims; authorize interested non-profit organizations to sue for wrongful eviction and collection of excess rent; and making clarifying changes.

NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.
 Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u>.
 Deletions to Codes are in <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman font</u>.
 Board amendment additions are in <u>double-underlined Arial font</u>.
 Board amendment deletions are in <u>strikethrough Arial font</u>.
 Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 37.6, 37.9, 37.9B, 37.9E, 37.10A, and 37.11A, to read as follows:

SEC. 37.6. POWERS AND DUTIES.

In addition to other powers and duties set forth in this Chapter, and in addition to powers under the Charter and under other City Codes, including powers and duties under Administrative Code Chapter 49 ("Interest Rates on Security Deposits"), the <u>Rent</u> Board shall have the power to:

* * * *

(k) Compile a list at random, oOn a monthly basis starting January 1, 2018, compile copies at random of 10% percent of the all statements of occupancy filed with the Rent Board pursuant to Section 37.9(a)(8)(vii), and compile a list of all units for which the required statement of occupancy was not filed with the Rent Board notices to vacate filed pursuant to Section 37.9(c) which state on the notice or in any additional written document any causes under Section 37.9(a)(8) as the reason for eviction. Said copies and said list shall be transmitted to the District Attorney on a monthly basis for investigation pursuant to Section 37.9(c). In cases where the District Attorney determines that Section 37.9(a)(8) has been violated, the District Attorney shall take whatever action he or she deems appropriate under this Chapter 37 or under State law.

* * * *

SEC. 37.9. EVICTIONS

Notwithstanding Section 37.3, this Section 37.9 shall apply as of August 24, 1980, to all landlords and tenants of rental units as defined in Section 37.2(r).

(a) A landlord shall not endeavor to recover possession of a rental unit unless:
 * * *

(8) The landlord seeks to recover possession in good faith, without ulterior reasons and with honest intent:

(i) For the landlord's use or occupancy as his or her principal residence for a period of at least 36 continuous months;

(ii) For the use or occupancy of the landlord's grandparents, grandchildren, parents, children, brother or sister, or the landlord's spouse, or the spouses of such relations, as their principal place of residency for a period of at least 36 months, in the same building in which the landlord resides as his or her principal place of residency, or in a building in which the landlord is simultaneously seeking possession of a rental unit under Section 37.9(a)(8)(i). For purposes of this Section 37.9(a)(8)(ii), the term spouse shall include domestic partners as defined in *San-Francisco* Administrative Code Sections 62.1 through 62.8.

(v) <u>Commencing January 1, 2018, the landlord shall attach to the notice to vacate a form</u> <u>prepared by the Rent Board that the tenant can use to keep the Rent Board apprised of any future</u> <u>change in address.</u> It shall be rebuttably presumed that the landlord has not acted in good faith if <u>Evidence that the landlord has not acted in good faith may include, but is not limited to, any of the</u> <u>following: (1)</u> the landlord or relative for whom the tenant was evicted <u>did does</u> not move into the rental unit within three months <u>after the landlord recovered possession</u> and <u>then</u> occupy said unit as that person's principal residence for a minimum of 36 consecutive months: (2) the <u>landlord or relative for whom the tenant was evicted lacks a legitimate, bona fide reason for not</u> <u>moving into the unit within three months after the recovery of possession and/or then occupying said</u> <u>unit as that person's principal residence for a minimum of 36 consecutive months. (3) the landlord did</u> <u>not file a statement of occupancy with the Rent Board as required by Section 37.9(a)(8)(vii), (4) the</u>

landlord violated Section 37.9B by renting the unit to a new tenant at a rent greater than that which would have been the rent had the original tenant remained in continuous occupancy and the rental unit remained subject to this Chapter, and (5) such other factors as a court or the Rent Board may deem relevant.

* * * *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(vii) A landlord who has served a notice to vacate based on Section 37.9(a)(8) on or after January 1, 2018 must complete a statement of occupancy under penalty of perjury on a form to be prepared by the Rent Board that discloses whether the landlord has recovered possession of the unit. The landlord shall file the statement of occupancy with the Rent Board within 90 days after the date of service, and shall file an updated statement of occupancy every 90 days thereafter, unless the statement of occupancy discloses that the landlord is no longer endeavoring to recover possession of the unit, in which case no further statements of occupancy need be filed. If the statement of occupancy discloses that the landlord has already recovered possession of the unit, the landlord shall file updated statements of occupancy once a year for five years, no later than 12 months, 24 months, 36 months, 48 months, and 60 months after the recovery of possession of the unit. Each statement of occupancy filed after the landlord has recovered possession of the unit shall disclose the date of recovery of possession, the number of consecutive months that the landlord or relative for whom the tenant was evicted has been occupying the unit as that person's principal residence, the date such occupancy commenced (or alternatively, the reasons why occupancy has not yet commenced), the rent charged for the unit if any, and such other information and documentation as the Rent Board may require in order to effectuate the purposes of this Section 37.9(a)(8). The Rent Board shall make all reasonable efforts to send the displaced tenant a copy of each statement of occupancy within 30 days of the date of filing, or a notice that the landlord did not file a statement of occupancy if no statement of occupancy was filed. In addition, the Rent Board shall impose an administrative penalty on any landlord who fails to comply with this subsection (a)(8)(vii), in the amount of \$500 for the first violation and \$1,000 for every

subsequent violation. The procedure for the imposition, enforcement, collection, and administrative review of the administrative penalty shall be governed by Administrative Code Chapter 100. "Procedures Governing the Imposition of Administrative Fines," which is hereby incorporated in its entirety; provided, however, that the hearing officer in such appeal shall have discretion to grant a landlord relief from all or part of the penalty owed if the hearing officer finds that imposing the full penalty would cause the landlord to experience financial hardship.

(*viiviii*) If any provision or clause of this *amendment-to*-Section 37.9(a)(8) or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held to be unconstitutional or to be otherwise invalid by any court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity shall not affect other chapter provisions, and clauses of this Chapter are held to be severable; or

* * * *

(c) A landlord shall not endeavor to recover possession of a rental unit unless at least one of the grounds enumerated in Section 37.9(a) or (b) above is (1) the landlord's dominant motive for recovering possession and (2) unless the landlord informs the tenant in writing on or before the date upon which notice to vacate is given of the grounds under which possession is sought, and for notices to vacate under Sections 37.9(a)(8), (9), (10), (11), and (14), state in the notice to vacate the lawful rent for the unit at the time the notice is issued, before endeavoring to recover possession. The Board shall prepare a written form that (1) states that a tenant's failure to timely act in response to a notice to vacate may result in a lawsuit by the landlord to evict the tenant, and that advice regarding the notice to vacate is available from the Board; and (2) includes information provided by the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development regarding eligibility for affordable housing programs. The Board shall prepare the form in English, Chinese, Spanish, Vietnamese, Tagalog, and Russian and make the form available to the public on its website and in its office. A landlord shall attach a copy of the form that is in the primary language of the tenant to a notice to

vacate before serving the notice, except that if the tenant's primary language is not English, Chinese, Spanish, Vietnamese, Tagalog, or Russian, the landlord shall attach a copy of the form that is in English to the notice. A copy of all notices to vacate except three-day notices to *vacate or* pay rent <u>or quit</u> and a copy of any additional written documents informing the tenant of the grounds under which possession is sought shall be filed with the Board within 10 days following service of the notice to vacate. <u>Failure to file such notice with the Rent Board within 10</u> <u>days of service shall be a defense to any unlawful detainer action. The District Attorney shall</u>

determine whether the units set forth on the list compiled in accordance with Section 37.6(k) are still being occupied by the tenant who succeeded the tenant upon whom the notice was served. In cases where the District Attorney determines that Section 37.9(a)(8) has been violated, the District Attorney shall take whatever action he deems appropriate under this Chapter or under State law. In any action to recover possession of the rental unit under Section 37.9, the landlord must plead and prove that at least one of the grounds enumerated in Section 37.9(a) or (b) and also stated in the notice to vacate is the dominant motive for recovering possession. Tenants may rebut the allegation that any of the grounds stated in the notice to vacate is the dominant motive.

* * * *

(f) Whenever a landlord wrongfully endeavors to recover possession or recovers possession of a rental unit in violation of Sections 37.9 and/or 37.10<u>A</u> as enacted herein, the tenant, or <u>the Rent</u> Board, may institute a civil proceeding for injunctive relief, money damages of not less than three times actual damages, (including damages for mental or emotional distress <u>as specified below</u>), and whatever other relief the court deems appropriate. <u>Such action shall be commenced within three years after the plaintiff knew, or through the exercise of reasonable diligence should have known, of the facts constituting the violation.</u> In the case of an award of damages for mental or emotional distress, said award shall only be trebled if the trier of fact finds that the landlord acted in knowing violation of or in reckless disregard of Section 37.9 or

37.10A herein. The prevailing party shall be entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to order of the court. The remedy available under this Section 37.9(f) shall be in addition to any other existing remedies which may be available *to the tenant or the Board*.

SEC. 37.9B. TENANT RIGHTS IN EVICTIONS UNDER SECTION 37.9(a)(8).

(a) Any rental unit which a tenant vacates after receiving a notice to guit based on Section 37.9(a)(8), and which is subsequently no longer occupied as a principal residence by the landlord or the landlord's grandparent, parent, child, grandchild, brother, sister, or the landlord's spouse, or the spouses of such relations must, if offered for rent during the fivethreeyear period following service of the notice to quit under Section 37.9(a)(8), be rented in good faith at a rent not greater than that which would have been the rent had the tenant who had been required to vacate remained in continuous occupancy and the rental unit remained subject to this Chapter <u>37</u>. If it is asserted that a rent increase could have taken place during the occupancy of the rental unit by the landlord if the rental unit had been subjected to this Chapter, the landlord shall bear the burden of proving that the rent could have been legally increased during the period. If it is asserted that the increase is based in whole or in part upon any grounds other than that set forth in Section 37.3(a)(1), the landlord must petition the Rent Board pursuant to the procedures of this Chapter. Displaced tenants shall be entitled to participate in and present evidence at any hearing held on such a petition. Tenants displaced pursuant to Section 37.9(a)(8) shall make all reasonable efforts to keep the Rent Board apprised of their current address. The Rent Board shall provide notice of any proceedings before the Rent Board to the displaced tenant at the last address provided by the tenant. No increase shall be allowed on account of any expense incurred in connection with the displacement of the tenant.

(b) <u>(1) For notices to vacate served before January 1, 2018, any Any</u> landlord who, within three years of the date of service of the notice to quit, offers for rent or lease any unit in which the possession was recovered pursuant to Section 37.9(a)(8) shall first offer the unit for rent or lease to the tenants displaced, in the same manner as provided for in Sections 37.9A(c) and (d).

(2) For notices to vacate served on or after January 1, 2018, any landlord who, within five years of the date of service of the notice to quit, offers for rent or lease any unit in which the possession was recovered pursuant to Section 37.9(a)(8) shall first offer the unit for rent or lease to the tenants displaced, by mailing a written offer to the address that the tenant has provided to the landlord. If the tenant has not provided the landlord a mailing address, the landlord shall mail the offer to the address on file with the Rent Board, and if the Rent Board does not have an address on file, then to the unit from which the tenant was displaced and to any other physical or electronic address of the tenant of which the landlord has actual knowledge. The landlord shall file a copy of the offer with the Rent Board within 15 days of the offer. The tenant shall have 30 days from receipt of the offer to notify the landlord of acceptance or rejection of the offer and, if accepted, shall reoccupy the unit within 45 days of receipt of the offer.

* * * *

(e) Within 30 days after the effective date of a written notice to vacate that is filed with the <u>Rent</u> Board under Section 37.9B(c) the <u>Rent</u> Board shall record a notice of constraints with the County Recorder identifying each unit on the property that is the subject of the Section 37.9B(c) notice to vacate, stating the nature and dates of applicable restrictions under Sections 37.9(a)(8) and 37.9B. <u>For notices to vacate filed under Section 37.9B(c) on or after</u> January 1, 2018, the Rent Board shall send a notice to the unit 30 days after the effective date of the notice to vacate that states the maximum rent for that unit under Sections 37.9(a)(8) and 37.9B, and shall send an updated notice to the unit 12 months, 24 months, 36 months, 48 months, and 60 months

<u>thereafter</u>, or within 30 days of such date. If a notice of constraints is recorded but the tenant does not vacate the unit, the landlord may apply to the <u>Rent</u> Board for a rescission of the recorded notice of constraints. <u>The Rent Board shall not be required to send any further notices to</u> <u>the unit pursuant to this subsection (e) if the notice of constraints on the unit is rescinded.</u>

SEC. 37.9E. TENANT BUYOUT AGREEMENTS.

* * * *

(h) **Filing of Buyout Agreements.** The landlord shall file a copy of the Buyout Agreement with the Rent Board no sooner than the 46th day after the Buyout Agreement is executed by all parties, and no more than 59 days after the agreement is executed by all parties. <u>Any waiver of rights by a tenant in a Buyout Agreement executed on or after January 1, 2018, that is not filed with the Rent Board within 59 days after execution shall not be enforceable.</u> Buyout agreements rescinded under subsection (g) need not be filed with the Rent Board.

SEC. 37.10A. MISDEMEANORS, AND OTHER ENFORCEMENT PROVISIONS.

(c) It shall be unlawful for a landlord or for any person who willfully assists a landlord to request that a tenant move from a rental unit or to threaten to recover possession of a rental unit, either verbally or in writing, unless:

-(1) The landlord in good faith intends to recover said unit under one of the grounds enumerated in Section 37.9(a) or (b); and

-(2) Within five days of any such request or threat the landlord serves the tenant with a written notice stating the particular ground under Section 37.9(a) or (b) that is the basis for the landlord's intended recovery of possession of the unit.

(c) (d) It shall be unlawful for a landlord or for any person who willfully assists a landlord to recover possession of a rental unit unless, prior to recovery of possession of the unit-

(1) The landlord files a copy of the written notice required under Section 37.10A(c) with the Board together with any preceding warning or threat to recover possession, unless the particular ground for recovery is non-payment of rent; and

-(2) - The the landlord satisfies all requirements for recovery of the unit under Section 37.9(a) or (b).

<u>(d)(e)</u> In any criminal or civil proceeding based on a violation of Section $\frac{37.10A(c) \text{ or}}{37.10A(c) \text{ or}}$ 37.10A(<u>c)(d)</u>, the landlord's failure to use a recovered unit for the Section 37.9(a) or (b) ground stated verbally or in writing to the tenant from whom the unit was recovered shall give rise to a presumption that the landlord did not have a good faith intention to recover the unit for the stated ground.

(e) (f) If possession of a rental unit is recovered as the result of any written or verbal statement to the tenant that the landlord intends to recover the unit under one of the grounds enumerated in Section 37.9(a) or (b), the unit shall be subject to all restrictions set forth under this Chapter on units recovered for such stated purpose regardless of any agreement made between the landlord or the landlord's agent and the tenant who vacated the recovered unit. Any unit vacated by a tenant within 120 days after receiving any written or verbal statement from the landlord stating that the landlord intends to recover the unit under Section 37.9(a) or (b), shall be rebuttably presumed to have been recovered by the landlord pursuant to the grounds identified in that written or verbal statement.

-(g) - Except as provided in this subsection, it shall be unlawful for a landlord, or for any person who willfully assists a landlord, including the landlord's attorney or legal representative, to seek or obtain a tenant's agreement not to cooperate with any investigation or proceeding by any

administrative or law enforcement or other governmental agency under this Chapter, or to otherwise seek or obtain a tenant's waiver of rights under this Chapter. Any waiver of rights by a tenant under this Chapter shall be void as contrary to public policy unless the tenant is represented by independent counsel and the waiver is approved in a Court-supervised settlement agreement, or by a retired judge of the California Superior Court sitting as a mediator or arbitrator by mutual agreement of the tenant represented by independent counsel and the landlord. Any settlement agreement shall identify the judge, mediator, or arbitrator reviewing the settlement, all counsel representing the parties, and any other information as required by the Board. The landlord shall file a signed copy of the settlement agreement with the Board within ten days of execution. Unless otherwise required by the Board, the copy of the agreement filed with the Board shall redact the amount of payments to be made to tenants.

(f)(h) It shall be unlawful for a landlord to knowingly fail to disclose in writing to the buyer, prior to entering into a contract for the sale of any property consisting of two or more residential units, the specific legal ground(s) for the termination of the tenancy of each residential unit to be delivered vacant at the close of escrow.

 $(\underline{g})(\underline{i})$ It shall be unlawful for a landlord/owner, when offering a property for sale in the City and County of San Francisco that includes two or more residential units, to knowingly fail to disclose in writing to any prospective purchaser:

(1) The specific legal ground(s) for the termination of the tenancy of each residential unit to be delivered vacant at the close of escrow; and,

(2) Whether the unit was occupied by an elderly or disabled tenant at the time the tenancy was terminated. For purposes of this <u>sSection 37.10A(g)(i)</u>, "elderly" means a tenant defined as elderly by <u>San Francisco</u> Administrative Code <u>Ssections</u> 37.9(i)(1)(A), 37.9A(e)(1)(C), 37.9A(e)(2)(D), or 37.9A(e)(3)(C), or a tenant defined as "senior" by San Francisco Subdivision Code <u>Ssection 1359(d)</u>. For purposes of this <u>sSection 37.10A(g)(i)</u>, "disabled" means a tenant defined as disabled by <u>San Francisco</u> Administrative Code <u>Ssection 37.10A(g)(i)</u>,

37.9(i)(1)(B)(i), 37.9A(e)(1)(C), 37.9A(e)(2)(D), or 37.9A(e)(3)(C), or by San Francisco Subdivision Code <u>S</u>ection 1359(d).

Any disclosure required by this \underline{sS} ubsection $\underline{(g)(i)}$ that is made on a flier or other document describing the property which is made available to prospective purchasers at each open house and at any tour through the property will constitute compliance with the disclosure requirements of this \underline{sS} ubsection $\underline{(g)(i)}$.

(h)(j) Any person who violates Section 37.10A(a), (b), (c), (d), (c), (g), or (h), or (f) is guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a mandatory fine of *one thousand dollars* (\$1,000), and in addition to such fine may be punished by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six months. Each violation shall constitute a separate offense.

SEC. 37.11A. CIVIL ACTIONS,

(a) Whenever a landlord charges a tenant a rent which exceeds the limitations set forth in this Chapter, retaliates against a tenant for the exercise of any rights under this Chapter, or attempts to prevent a tenant from acquiring any rights under this Chapter<u>37</u>, the tenant may institute a civil proceeding for *injunctive relief and/or* money damages<u>*and in cases where the landlord has charged an excessive rent in violation of Section 37.9B(a), injunctive relief and/or money damages of not less than three times the amount of excess rent collected*; provided, however, that any monetary award for rent overpayments resulting from a rent increase which is null and void pursuant to Section 37.3(b)(5) shall be limited to a refund of rent overpayments made during the three-year period preceding the month of filing of the action, plus the period between the month of filing and the date of the court's order. In any case, calculation of rent overpayments and re-setting of the lawful base rent shall be based on a determination of the validity of all rent increases imposed since April 1, 1982, in accordance with Sections 37.3(b)(5) and 37.3(a)(2) above.</u>

(b) Any organization with tax exempt status under 26 United States Code Section 501(c)(3) or 501(c)(4) that has a primary mission of protecting the rights of tenants in San Francisco may bring a civil action for injunctive relief and/or damages against a landlord who has wrongfully endeavored to recover, or has recovered, possession of a rental unit in violation of Section 37.9 and/or Section 37.10A, or who has collected excess rent in violation of Section 37.3(f). Before filing any action under this Section 37.11A(b), the organization shall make all reasonable efforts to inform the tenant(s) of the proposed action in writing. Such action shall be filed within three years after an affected tenant knew, or through the exercise of reasonable diligence should have known, of the facts constituting the violation. Any monetary award for rent overpayments shall be for two times any excess amounts of rent charged, as well as any other sums reasonably expended to investigate and prosecute the claim, and shall be limited to the three-year period preceding the month of filing of the action, plus the period between the month of filing and the date of the court's order.

<u>(c)</u> The prevailing party in any civil action brought under this Section 37.11A shall be entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The remedy available under this Section <u>37.11A</u> shall be in addition to any other existing remedies which may be available <u>the tenant</u>.

Section 2. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

Section 3. Severability. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held to be invalid or unconstitutional by a decision of a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision

Supervisors Peskin; Kim, Ronen BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1

shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions or applications of the ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each and every section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, and word not declared invalid or unconstitutional without regard to whether any other portion of this ordinance or application thereof would be subsequently declared invalid or unconstitutional.

Section 4. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By: Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2017\1600511\01200576.docx .

REVISED LEGISLATIVE DIGEST (Substituted, 6/20/2017)

[Administrative Code - Owner Move-In Evictions and Other Landlord-Tenant Matters]

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code regarding owner and relative move-in ("OMI") evictions to require a landlord seeking to recover possession of a unit for an OMI to provide the tenant with an approved form to advise the Rent Board of address changes; clarify the evidentiary standard for finding that an OMI was performed in good faith; require a landlord to file documentation with the Rent Board regarding the status of the OMI, with a penalty for not filing such documentation, and requiring the Rent Board to transmit a random sampling of such documentation to the District Attorney: extend from three to five years the time period after an OMI during which a landlord who intends to re-rent the unit must first offer the unit to the displaced tenant; authorize a tenant who has been charged excess rent within five years after an OMI to sue for treble damages; as to matters not limited to OMI evictions, provide that a landlord's failure to timely file a copy of the notice to vacate with the Rent Board is a defense in an unlawful detainer proceeding; provide that a tenant waiver of rights in a buyout agreement is not enforceable if the buyout is not timely filed with the Rent Board; extend from one to three years the statute of limitations for wrongful eviction claims; authorize interested non-profit organizations to sue for wrongful eviction and collection of excess rent: and making clarifying changes.

Existing Law

The City's Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance allows a landlord to perform an owner move-in ("OMI") to recover possession of a rental unit if the landlord has a good faith intent to occupy the rental unit as his or her principal residence for a period of at least 36 continuous months. (A landlord can also perform an OMI on behalf of a relative, under certain conditions.)

A landlord formally initiates the eviction process by serving the tenant a notice to vacate. A landlord must file a copy of the notice to vacate with the Rent Board within 10 days of service (unless the notice is based on the tenant's failure to pay rent). For OMIs, the Rent Board is required on a monthly basis to compile a list at random of 10 percent of all notices to vacate filed with the Rent Board, and transmit that list to the District Attorney for investigation. The Rent Board must also record a notice of constraints on a unit whose occupant received an OMI notice, within 30 days of the notice's effective date. If the landlord offers the unit for rent during the three-year period after service of the OMI notice, the landlord must first offer the unit to the original tenant. And the landlord may not charge the original tenant (or any other tenant) a rent higher than what the original tenant would have been required to pay had the original tenant remained in the unit, for a period of five years after service of the notice. See Admin. Code § 37.3(f)(1).

If the OMI leads to an unlawful detainer action, it falls to a court to determine issues such as whether the landlord served a proper notice to vacate, and whether the landlord satisfies the underlying requirement of having a good faith intent to occupy the unit as the landlord or the relative's principal residence for a period of 36 continuous months. Landlords are not currently required to report to the Rent Board regarding the use of a unit following an OMI.

If the OMI leads to a buyout agreement, the landlord must wait 45 days so that the tenant has the opportunity to rescind, and must then file the buyout agreement with the Rent Board before the 60th day.

A landlord can be sued by a tenant for wrongful eviction but such suit is subject to a one-year statute of limitations. A landlord who charges excess rent can be liable to affected tenant for damages and/or be liable for a misdemeanor.

Amendments to Current Law

The proposed ordinance would give the tenant a defense to an action for unlawful detainer if the landlord did not file the notice to vacate with the Rent Board within 10 days. In addition, when serving an OMI notice on the tenant, the landlord would be required to attach a Rent Board form that the tenant could use to advise the Rent Board of any change in address. The ordinance would also (1) clarify that existing law limits the initial rent that a landlord may charge a new tenant for a period of five years after service of an OMI notice; and (2) extend from three years to five years the time period during which a landlord who intends to re-rent the unit must first offer the unit to the displaced tenant.

The legislation would create a reporting obligation by requiring a landlord to file a "statement of occupancy" under penalty of perjury with the Rent Board. Initially, the landlord would only have to disclose whether he or she was still endeavoring to recover possession of the unit. The first disclosure would be due within 90 days after service of the notice and an update would be due every 90 days thereafter. Once a landlord reported that he or she had recovered possession of the unit, updates would be due only once a year, but would have to include additional information regarding the date of recovery of possession, the date of move-in (or reasons for not moving in), the current use of the unit, the rent charged if any, and such other information and documentation as required by the Rent Board. The Rent Board would be required to send a copy of the statement of occupancy to the displaced tenant; transmit a random sampling of statements of occupancy to the District Attorney on a monthly basis; and assess a \$500 administrative penalty on any landlord for a first failure to file a required statement of occupancy and a \$1,000 administrative penalty for every subsequent failure.

The proposed ordinance would also clarify what kind of evidence is relevant towards proving that the landlord did not perform the OMI in good faith. Such evidence could include, but would not be limited to, the following: (1) the landlord or relative did not move into the unit within three months after the recovery of possession and then occupy the unit as their principal residence for at least 36 continuous months; (2) the landlord or relative lack a

legitimate, bona fide reason for not moving in within three months after recovery of possession and/or maintaining a principal residence in the unit for 36 continuous months; (3) the landlord did not file a statement of occupancy with the Rent Board; (4) the landlord charged excessive rent during the five-year period following the service of the OMI notice; or (5) such other factors as a court or the Rent Board may deem relevant.

To secure compliance with existing law that requires the timely filing of buyout agreements with the Rent Board, the proposed ordinance would provide that a tenant waiver contained in buyout agreement that is not timely filed is unenforceable.

The proposed ordinance would extend the statute of limitations for wrongful eviction actions from one year to three years, and would authorize local nonprofits whose mission is to protect tenants to sue for wrongful eviction and/or collection of excess rent. In addition, a tenant who was charged excess rent during the five-year period after an OMI could sue the landlord for treble damages.

Finally, the proposed ordinance deletes portions of Section 37.10A that were invalidated by the decision of the Court of Appeal in *Baba v. Bd. of Sup'rs of City & County of San Francisco* (2004) 124 Cal. App. 4th 504.

n:\legana\as2017\1600511\01199272.docx

June 12, 2017

170417 170349 RECONED VIA ENANL 06107/2017

RE: OMI evictions legislation

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors:

We write regarding two different proposals that seek to address in the serious impact of OMI evictions on our communities citywide: legislation authored by Supervisors Peskin, Kim and Ronen and another measure by Supervisors Farrell, Sheehy, Cohen and Breed. For the reasons we discuss below, we urge you to support the Peskin-Kim-Ronen Anti-Fraud ordinance and to reject the flawed Farrell-Sheehy-Cohen-Breed alternative.

Owner and Relative Move-in (OMI) evictions are undoubtedly the most abused "just cause" for eviction today. Even though our OMI Laws may look strong on paper, many landlord speculators have displaced tenants using OMI eviction loopholes in order to get higher rents for their properties. These fraudulent evictions contribute to our crisis levels of displacement and the ever-shrinking supply of affordable housing for low and middle income residents.

The San Francisco Tenants Union and a large coalition of tenant advocates have spent the last year crafting a number of recommended solutions to close these loopholes. Our recommendations grew from consultations with experts in the field which include eviction defense lawyers, affirmative case lawyers, Rent Board Commissioners, the City Attorney's office, the District Attorney's office, grassroots organizers and our own intricate knowledge from counseling thousands of tenants annually in our offices.

None of the provisions we suggest cause a burden for those landlords who legitimately intend to move into a unit, but they will make it much more difficult for those landlords who wish to evade the law.

There are two major defects in our existing law that can and should be addressed by way of an ordinance:

Problem I: Tenants and the City lack enforcement tools to stop fraudulent OMIs. More reporting requirements without tools to enforce the law will not solve the problem.

As a recent NBC investigative report concludes, in up to 25% of Owner Move-In evictions, the owner or relative for whom the eviction was justified do not in fact move in. This confirms the many individual accounts of displaced tenants who have contacted our organizations. The threat of an OMI eviction most often results in tenants agreeing to move out, rather than deal with the stress of a legal battle or monitoring the landlord's move-in themselves. But once tenants agree to move out, owners often do not move in and may never have intended to move in. Under existing law there is little or nothing that can be done to prosecute that underlying fraudulent eviction.

The Farrell-Sheehy proposal fails to address this the core enforcement gap. That proposal primarily creates a set of *reporting* requirements after a tenant moves out. It does nothing to help

tenants to-stop a sham eviction. It also fails to address an inconvenient truth: most tenants who are threatened with eviction are told they have no choice but to move out and sign an agreement to waive any rights to return. Tenants who sign such an agreement also give up their right to sue. Under the Farrell-Sheehy leg, once tenants waive their rights to sue, the reporting requirements become meaningless and unenforceable. More reporting requirements without stronger enforcement tools will not stop OMI eviction fraud.

The Peskin-Kim proposal helps stop sham OMI evictions before they happen AND fixes the core enforcement gap problem. The proposal includes the following measures recommended by tenants and their representatives:

- Make failure to file eviction notices with the Rent Board a defense against evictions.
- Require landlords to verify that owners and/or relatives actually move-in subject to fines.
- Extend the time period that evicted tenants have a right to return to their units once owners or relatives move out.
- Require landlords to file with the Rent Board all agreements in which a tenant gives up their rights under the law.

Problem II: Landlords who fraudulently evict tenants and then increase rents for subsequent tenants suffer no penalty even if caught.

Under existing law, if an apartment is rented out within three years after an OMI eviction, the landlord cannot increase the rent over the rent charged to the evicted tenant. Existing law requires the owner to file reports about such evictions and the existing rents. But such requirements are frequently ignored. Violators are only required to return illegally charged rent increases, and new tenants are often unwilling to risk angering their landlord even if they find out they are being overcharged. Under existing laws there are no additional penalties for violations of these requirements.

The Farrell-Sheehy proposal only increases reporting requirements but does nothing to strengthen enforcements requirements.

The Peskin-Kim proposal gives tenants and the public new tools to enforce the law including:

- Provides tenants who move in after an OMI eviction and who are charged illegal rent increases the right to sue and seek treble damages.
- Authorizes nonprofit organizations to go to court to require compliance with the OMI law and require payment of penalties for violating the law.

The summary above addresses some of the most essential elements of our recommended solutions to the problem of fraudulent OMI evictions. We would be happy to address questions or discuss additional details.

Fraudulent OMI evictions cause deep and often irreparable harm to evicted tenants, neighborhoods, and future tenants who are charged inflated rents. The lack of effective enforcement encourages new evictions and harm to our City.

For these reasons we urge the Board to take effective action to stop fraudulent OMI evictions by passing the Peskin-Kim-Ronen Anti-Fraud Ordinance -- the only proposal that has broad tenant support. The Farrell-Sheehy-Cohen-Breed alternative is deeply flawed and should not be approved.

Sincerely,

San Francisco Tenants Union

Affordable Housing Alliance

Asian Americans Advancing Justice - Asian Law Caucus

Causa Jüsta :: Just Cause

Chinatown Community Development Center

Eviction Defense Collaborative

Faithful Fools Street Ministry

Housing Rights Committee of San Francisco

North Beach Tenants Committee

Senior and Diability Action

South of Market Community Action Network

Tenderloin Housing Clinic

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Robert Collins, Executive Director, Rent Board Kate Hartley, Acting Director, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development Nadia Sesay, Interim Executive Director, Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure

FROM: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk, Land Use and Transportation Committee

DATE: June 21, 2017

SUBJECT: SUBSTITUTE LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following substitute legislation, introduced by Supervisor Peskin on June 13, 2017:

File No. 170417-4

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code regarding owner and relative move-in ("OMI") evictions to require a landlord seeking to recover possession of a unit for an OMI to provide the tenant with an approved form to advise the Rent Board of address changes; clarify the evidentiary standard for finding that an OMI was performed in good faith; require a landlord to file documentation with the Rent Board regarding the status of the OMI, with a penalty for not filing such documentation, and requiring the Rent Board to transmit a random sampling of such documentation to the District Attorney; extend from three to five years the time period after an OMI during which a landlord who intends to re-rent the unit must first offer the unit to the displaced tenant; authorize a tenant who has been charged excess rent within five years after an OMI to sue for treble damages; as to matters not limited to OMI evictions, provide that a landlord's failure to timely file a copy of the notice to vacate with the Rent Board is a defense in an unlawful detainer proceeding; provide that a tenant waiver of rights in a buyout agreement is not enforceable if the buyout is not timely filed with the Rent Board; extend from one to three years the statute of limitations for wrongful eviction claims; authorize interested non-profit organizations to sue for wrongful eviction and collection of excess rent; and making clarifying changes.

Referral from the Clerk of the Board File No. 170417-4 Page 2

If you have comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: <u>erica.major@sfgov.org</u>.

c: Eugene Flannery, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development Amy Chan, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development **BOARD of SUPERVISORS**



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Robert Collins, Executive Director, Rent Board Olson Lee, Director, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development Nadia Sesay, Interim Executive Director, Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure

FROM: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk, Land Use and Transportation Committee

DATE: June 16, 2017

SUBJECT: SUBSTITUTE LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following substitute legislation, introduced by Supervisor Peskin on June 13, 2017:

File No. 170417-3

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code regarding owner and relative move-in ("OMI") evictions to require a landlord seeking to recover possession of a unit for an OMI to provide the tenant with an approved form to advise the Rent Board of address changes; clarify the evidentiary standard for finding that an OMI was performed in good faith; require a landlord to file documentation with the Rent Board regarding the status of the OMI, with a penalty for not filing such documentation, and requiring the Rent Board to transmit a random sampling of such documentation to the District Attorney; extend from three to five years the time period after an OMI during which a landlord who intends to re-rent the unit must first offer the unit to the displaced tenant; authorize a tenant who has been charged excess rent within five years after an OMI to sue for treble damages; as to matters not limited to OMI evictions, provide that a landlord's failure to timely file a copy of the notice to vacate with the Rent Board is a defense in an unlawful detainer proceeding; provide that a tenant waiver of rights in a buyout agreement is not enforceable if the buyout is not timely filed with the Rent Board; extend from one to three years the statute of limitations for wrongful eviction claims; authorize interested non-profit organizations to sue for wrongful eviction and collection of excess rent; and making clarifying changes.

Referral from the Clerk of the Board File No. 170417-3 Page 2

If you have comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: <u>erica.major@sfgov.org</u>.

c: Eugene Flannery, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development Kate Hartley, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development Amy Chan, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development **BOARD of SUPERVISORS**



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Robert Collins, Executive Director, Rent Board Olson Lee, Director, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development Nadia Sesay, Interim Executive Director, Office of Community Investment

and Infrastructure

FROM: FROM: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk Land Use and Transportation Committee

DATE: June 13, 2017

,

SUBJECT: SUBSTITUTE LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following substitute legislation, introduced by Supervisor Peskin on June 6, 2017:

File No. 170417-2

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code regarding owner and relative move-in ("OMI") evictions to require a landlord seeking to recover possession of a unit for an OMI to provide the tenant with an approved form to advise the Rent Board of address changes; clarify the evidentiary standard for finding that an OMI was performed in good faith; require a landlord to file documentation with the Rent Board regarding the status of the OMI, with a penalty for not filing such documentation, and requiring the Rent Board to transmit a random sampling of such documentation to the District Attorney: extend from three to five years the time period after an OMI during which a landlord who intends to re-rent the unit must first offer the unit to the displaced tenant; and provide that a landlord who charges above the maximum allowable rent during the five-year period after an OMI is quilty of a misdemeanor and, as to matters not limited to OMI evictions, provide that a landlord's failure to timely file a copy of the notice to vacate with the Rent Board is a defense in an unlawful detainer proceeding; provide that a tenant waiver of rights in a buyout agreement is not enforceable if the buyout is not timely filed with the Rent Board; extend

from one to three years the statute of limitations for wrongful eviction claims; authorize a tenant who has been charged excess rent to sue for treble damages; authorize interested non-profit organizations to sue for wrongful eviction and collection of excess rent; and making clarifying changes.

If you have comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: erica.major@sfgov.org.

c: Eugene Flannery, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development Kate Hartley, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development Amy Chan, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development **BOARD of SUPERVISORS**



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

 TO: Robert Collins, Executive Director, Rent Board Olson Lee, Director, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development Nadia Sesay, Interim Executive Director, Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure
 FROM: Alisa Somera, Legislative Deputy Director Land Use and Transportation Committee

DATE: April 19, 2017

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following proposed legislation, introduced by Supervisor Peskin on April 11, 2017:

File No. 170417

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to require a landlord seeking to recover possession of a rental unit based on an owner move-in ("OMI") or relative move-in ("RMI") to provide a declaration under penalty of perjury stating that the landlord intends to occupy the unit for use as the principal place of residence of the landlord or the landlord's relative for a period of at least 36 continuous months; to require a landlord following an OMI or RMI to provide annual documentation for 36 months showing whether the landlord or relative is occupying the unit as his or her principal place of residence; and to provide that a landlord who performs an OMI or RMI and then rents out the unit for more than the maximum allowable rent is guilty of a misdemeanor.

If you have comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: <u>alisa.somera@sfgov.org</u>.

c: Eugene Flannery, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development Kate Hartley, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development Amy Chan, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development Member, Board of Supervisor District 2



MARK FARRELL

City and County of San Francisco

DATE: June 22, 2017

TO: Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Mark S. Jan

- FROM: Supervisor Mark Farrell
- RE: Land Use and Transportation Committee COMMITTEE REPORTS

Pursuant to Board Rule 4.20, as Chair of the Land Use and Transportation Committee, I have deemed the following matters are of an urgent nature and request they be considered by the full Board on Tuesday, June 27, 2017, as Committee Reports:

170702 Fee Waiver - LMC San Francisco I Holdings, LLC - 1515 South Van Ness Avenue

Ordinance approving a fee waiver under Building Code, Section 106A.4.13, for LMC San Francisco I Holdings, LLC's project at 1515 South Van Ness Avenue; and adopting findings under the California Environmental Quality Act.

170349 Administrative Code - Owner Move-In Reporting Requirements

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code regarding owner move-in and relative move-in ("OMI") evictions to require a landlord seeking to recover possession of a unit for an OMI to provide a declaration under penalty of perjury stating that the landlord intends to occupy the unit for use as the principal place of residence of the landlord or the landlord's relative for at least 36 continuous months; require a landlord to provide the tenant with a form prepared by the Rent Board to be used to advise the Rent Board of any change in address; clarify the evidentiary standard for finding that an OMI was not performed in good faith; require a landlord to file documentation with the Rent Board regarding the status of an OMI, and requiring the Rent Board to transmit a random sampling of such documentation to the District Attorney; extend from three to five years the time period after an OMI during which a landlord who intends to re-rent the unit must first offer the unit to the displaced tenant; require the Rent Board to annually notify the unit occupant of the maximum rent for the unit for five years after an OMI; and extend the statute of limitations for wrongful eviction claims based on an unlawful OMI from one year to five years.

City Hall • 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place • Room 244 • San Francisco, California 94102-4689 • (415) 554-7752 Fax (415) 554 - 7843 • TDD/TTY (415) 554-5227 • E-mail: mark.farrell@sfgov.org • www.sfbos.org/farrell

170417 Administrative Code - Owner Move-In Evictions and Other Landlord-Tenant Matters

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code regarding owner and relative move-in ("OMI") evictions to require a landlord seeking to recover possession of a unit for an OMI to provide the tenant with an approved form to advise the Rent Board of address changes; clarify the evidentiary standard for finding that an OMI was performed in good faith; require a landlord to file documentation with the Rent Board regarding the status of the OMI, with a penalty for not filing such documentation, and requiring the Rent Board to transmit a random sampling of such documentation to the District Attorney; extend from three to five years the time period after an OMI during which a landlord who intends to re-rent the unit must first offer the unit to the displaced tenant; authorize a tenant who has been charged excess rent within five years after an OMI to sue for treble damages; as to matters not limited to OMI evictions, provide that a landlord's failure to timely file a copy of the notice to vacate with the Rent Board is a defense in an unlawful detainer proceeding; provide that a tenant waiver of rights in a buyout agreement is not enforceable if the buyout is not timely filed with the Rent Board; extend from one to three years the statute of limitations for wrongful eviction claims; authorize interested nonprofit organizations to sue for wrongful eviction and collection of excess rent; and making clarifying changes.

170296 Planning Code, Zoning Map - Corona Heights Large Residence Special Use District

Ordinance amending the Planning Code and Sectional Maps SU06 and SU07 of the Zoning Map to create the Corona Heights Large Residence Special Use District (the area within a perimeter established by Market Street, Clayton Street, Ashbury Street, Clifford Terrace, Roosevelt Way, Museum Way, the eastern property line of Assessor's Parcel No. 2620, Lot No. 063, the eastern property line of Assessor's Parcel No. 2619, Lot No. 001A, and Douglass Street; and all additional parcels fronting States Street), to promote and enhance neighborhood character and affordability by requiring Conditional Use authorization for large residential developments in the district; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and adopting findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

These matters will be heard in the Land Use and Transportation Committee at a Regular Meeting on Monday, June 26, 2017, at 1:30 p.m.

Print Form	
Introduction Form	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SAM FRANCISCO
By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor	2017 JUN 20 PM 4: 31
I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Cha	rter Amendment).
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning :"Supervisor	inquiries"
5. City Attorney Request.	
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion).	
✓ 8. Substitute Legislation File No. 170417	
9. Reactivate File No.	
10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forward Small Business Commission Youth Commission Planning Commission Building Inspective	arded to the following: Ethics Commission ection Commission
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda),	use the Imperative Form.
Sponsor(s):	
Peskin	
Subject:	
[Administrative Code - Owner Move-In Evictions and Other Landlord-Tenant	Matters]
The text is listed:	
Ordinance amending the Administrative Code regarding owner and relative model and lord seeking to recover possession of a unit for an OMI to provide the tenar Rent Board of address changes; clarify the evidentiary standard for finding that require a landlord to file documentation with the Rent Board regarding the stat filing such documentation, and requiring the Rent Board to transmit a random a District Attorney; extend from three to five years the time period after an OMI to re-rent the unit must first offer the unit to the displaced tenant; and authorized rent within five years after an OMI to sue for treble damages; and, as to matter that a landlord's failure to timely file a copy of the notice to vacate with the Red detainer proceeding; provide that a tenant waiver of rights in a buyout agreement not timely filed with the Rent Board; extend from one to three years the statute claims; and authorize interested non-profit organizations to sue for wrongful events.	Int with an approved form to advise the t an OMI was performed in good faith; us of the OMI, with a penalty for not sampling of such documentation to the during which a landlord who intends e a tenant who has been charged excess s not limited to OMI evictions, provide ent Board is a defense in an unlawful ent is not enforceable if the buyout is of limitations for wrongful eviction

and making clarifying changes.

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:

For Clerk's Use Only

yor BOARD La Print Andread
2017 JUN Time stamp: 30 or meeting date
Charter Amendment).
ing a statistic for
inquiries"
n 🗌
forwarded to the following:
Inspection Commission
nda), use the Imperative Form.
enant Matters]

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code regarding owner and relative move-in ("OMI") evictions to require a landlord seeking to recover possession of a unit for an OMI to provide the tenant with an approved form to advise the Rent Board of address changes; clarify the evidentiary standard for finding that an OMI was performed in good faith; require a landlord to file documentation with the Rent Board regarding the status of the OMI, with a penalty for not filing such documentation, and requiring the Rent Board to transmit a random sampling of such documentation to the District Attorney; extend from three to five years the time period after an OMI during which a landlord who intends to re-rent the unit must first offer the unit to the displaced tenant; and authorize a tenant who has been charged excess rent within five years after an OMI to sue for treble damages; and, as to matters not limited to OMI evictions, provide that a landlord's failure to timely file a copy of the notice to vacate with the Rent Board is a defense in an unlawful detainer proceeding; provide that a tenant waiver of rights in a buyout agreement is not enforceable if the buyout is not timely filed with the Rent Board; extend from one to three years the statute of limitations for wrongful eviction claims; and authorize interested non-profit organizations to sue for wrongful eviction and collection of excess rent;

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:

De

For Clerk's Use Only

Print Form	
Introduction Form RECEIVED	
By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor	
2017 JUN - 6 PM 4: 18 Time stamp	
I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one)	
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment).	
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning :"Supervisor inquirie	es"
5. City Attorney Request.	
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion).	
✓ 8. Substitute Legislation File No. 170417	
9. Reactivate File No.	
10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	1
	_
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following:	
Small Business Commission Vouth Commission Ethics Commission	
Planning Commission Building Inspection Commission	
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form.	
Sponsor(s):	
Supervisors Peskin; Kim, Ronen	
Subject:	
Administrative Code - Owner Move-In Eviction Abuse and Other Landlord-Tenant Matters	
The text is listed:	
Ordinance amending the Administrative Code regarding owner and relative move-in ("OMI") evictions to rea	÷
landlord seeking to recover possession of a unit for an OMI to provide the tenant with an approved form to ac Rent Board of address changes; clarify the evidentiary standard for finding that an OMI was performed in go	
require a landlord to file documentation with the Rent Board regarding the status of the OMI, with a penalty :	
filing such documentation, and requiring the Rent Board to transmit a random sampling of such documentation	
District Attorney; extend from three to five years the time period after an OMI during which a landlord who	
to re-rent the unit must first offer the unit to the displaced tenant; and provide that a landlord who charges ab maximum allowable rent during the five-year period after an OMI is guilty of a misdemeanor; and, as to matt	intends
	intends ove the
limited to OMI evictions, provide that a landlord's failure to timely file a copy of the notice to vacate with the	intends ove the ters not
Board is a defense in an unlawful detainer proceeding; provide that a tenant waiver of rights in a buyout agre not enforceable if the buyout is not timely filed with the Rent Board; extend from one to three years the statu	intends ove the ters not e Rent ement is

damages; and authorize interested no and making clarifying changes.

damages; and authorize interested ne profit organizations to sue for wrongfu. /iction and collection of excess rent;

lı

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:

For Clerk's Use Only

Print Form	
Introduction Form RECEIVED OF SUPERVISORS By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor CANCISCO	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	'ime stamp r meeting date
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Amendment)
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
5. City Attorney request.	
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	
10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following	
Planning Commission Building Inspection Commission	
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative F	orm.
Sponsor(s):	
Supervisors Peskin; Kim	
Subject:	
[Administrative Code - Owner Move-In Reporting And Abuse]	

The text is listed below or attached:

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to require a landlord seeking to recover possession of a rental unit based on an owner move-in ("OMI") or relative move-in ("RMI") to provide a declaration under penalty of perjury stating that the landlord intends to occupy the unit for use as the principal place of residence of the landlord or the landlord's relative for a period of at least 36 continuous months; to require a landlord following an OMI or RMI to provide annual documentation for 36 months showing whether the landlord or relative is occupying the unit as his or her principal place of residence; and to provide that a landlord who performs an OMI or RMI and then rents out the unit for more than the maximum allowable rent is guilty of a misdemeanor.

ale

For Clerk's Use Only: