

# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

# **CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination**

# PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address		Block/Lot(s)	
Case No.	Permit No.	Plans Dated	
Addition/	Demolition	New	Project Modification
Alteration	(requires HRER if over 50 years old)	Construction	(GO TO STEP 7)
Project description for Planning Department approval.			

## **STEP 1: EXEMPTION CLASS** TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Note: If neither class applies, an <i>Environmental Evaluation Application</i> is required.			
	Class 1 – Existing Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additions under 10,000 sq. ft.; change		
	of use if principally permitted or with a CU.		
	Class 3 – New Construction. Up to three (3) new single-family residences or six (6) dwelling units		
	in one building; commercial/office structures; utility extensions.		
	Class		

# STEP 2: CEQA IMPACTS

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

If any box i	s checked below, an Environmental Evaluation Application is required.		
	<b>Transportation:</b> Does the project create six (6) or more net new parking spaces or residential units? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities?		
	Air Quality: Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities) within an air pollution hot spot? ( <i>refer to EP _ArcMap &gt; CEQA Catex Determination Layers &gt; Air Pollution Hot Spots</i> )		
	Hazardous Materials: Any project site that is located on the Maher map or is suspected of containing hazardous materials (based on a previous use such as gas station, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing, or a site with underground storage tanks): Would the project involve soil disturbance of any amount or a change of use from industrial to commercial/residential? If yes, should the applicant present documentation of a completed Maher Application that has been submitted to the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH), this box does not need to be checked, but such documentation must be appended to this form. In all other circumstances, this box must be checked and the project applicant must submit an Environmental Application with a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment and/or file a Maher Application with DPH. (refer to EP_ArcMap > Maher layer.)		

	<b>Soil Disturbance/Modification:</b> Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? ( <i>refer to EP_ArcMap &gt; CEQA Catex Determination Layers &gt; Archeological Sensitive Area</i> )		
	<b>Noise:</b> Does the project include new noise-sensitive receptors (schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities) fronting roadways located in the noise mitigation area? ( <i>refer to EP_ArcMap &gt; CEQA Catex Determination Layers &gt; Noise Mitigation Area</i> )		
	<b>Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment:</b> Does the project site involve a subdivision or on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? ( <i>refer to EP_ArcMap &gt; CEQA Catex Determination Layers &gt; Topography</i> )		
	<b>Slope = or &gt; 20%: :</b> Does the project involve excavation of 50 cubic yards of soil or more, square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft., shoring, underpinning, retaining wall work, or grading on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? <i>Exceptions: do not check box for work performed on a previously developed portion of site, stairs, patio, deck, or fence work. (refer to EP_ArcMap &gt; CEQA Catex Determination Layers &gt; Topography) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required and a Certificate or higher level CEQA document required</i>		
	<b>Seismic: Landslide Zone:</b> Does the project involve excavation of 50 cubic yards of soil or more, square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft., shoring, underpinning, retaining wall work, grading –including excavation and fill on a landslide zone – as identified in the San Francisco General Plan? <i>Exceptions: do not check box for work performed on a previously developed portion of the site, stairs, patio, deck, or fence work. (refer to EP_ArcMap &gt; CEQA Catex Determination Layers &gt; Seismic Hazard Zones)</i> If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required and a Certificate or higher level CEQA document required		
	<b>Seismic: Liquefaction Zone:</b> Does the project involve excavation of 50 cubic yards of soil or more, square footage expansion greater than 1000 sq ft, shoring, underpinning, retaining wall work, or grading on a lot in a liquefaction zone? <i>Exceptions: do not check box for work performed on a previously developed portion of the site, stairs, patio, deck, or fence work. (refer to EP_ArcMap &gt; CEQA Catex Determination Layers &gt; Seismic Hazard Zones) <b>If box is checked, a geotechnical report will likely be required</b></i>		
	<b>Serpentine Rock:</b> Does the project involve any excavation on a property containing serpentine rock? <i>Exceptions: do not check box for stairs, patio, deck, retaining walls, or fence work. (refer to EP_ArcMap &gt; CEQA Catex Determination Layers &gt; Serpentine)</i>		
If no boxes are checked above, GO TO STEP 3. <u>If one or more boxes are checked above, an <i>Environmental</i></u>			
<u>Evaluation</u>	Application is required.		
	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The project does not trigger any of the CEQA impacts listed above.		
Comments and Planner Signature (optional):			

### STEP 3: PROPERTY STATUS – HISTORIC RESOURCE TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

<b>PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:</b> (refer to Parcel Information Map)		
	Category A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5.	
	Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 50 years of age). GO TO STEP 4.	
	Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 50 years of age). GO TO STEP 6.	

## **STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST** TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Che	Check all that apply to the project.		
	1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included.		
	3. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building.		
	4. <b>Window replacement</b> that meets the Department's <i>Window Replacement Standards</i> . Does not include storefront window alterations.		
	5. <b>Garage work.</b> A new opening that meets the <i>Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts,</i> and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines.		
	6. Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.		
	7. <b>Mechanical equipment installation</b> that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of- way.		
	8. <b>Dormer installation</b> that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under <i>Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows</i> .		
	9. Addition(s) that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features.		
Note: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding.			
	Project is not listed. GO TO STEP 5.		
	Project <b>does not conform</b> to the scopes of work. <b>GO TO STEP 5</b> .		
	Project involves <b>four or more</b> work descriptions. <b>GO TO STEP 5</b> .		
	Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6.		

### **STEP 5: CEQA IMPACTS – ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW** TO BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER

Check a	Check all that apply to the project.		
	1. Project involves a <b>known historical resource (CEQA Category A)</b> as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.		
	2. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces.		
	3. <b>Window replacement</b> of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character.		
	4. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.		
	5. <b>Raising the building</b> in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.		
	6. <b>Restoration</b> based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.		
	7. <b>Addition(s)</b> , including mechanical equipment that are minimally visible from a public right-of-way and meet the <i>Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation</i> .		

	8. <b>Other work consistent</b> with the Secretary of the Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (specify or add comments):	
	9. <b>Reclassification of property status</b> to Category C. ( <i>Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation Coordinator</i> )	
	a. Per HRER dated: (attach HRER) b. Other ( <i>specify</i> ):	
Note: I	f ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Preservation Planner MUST check one box below.	
	<b>Further environmental review required.</b> Based on the information provided, the project requires an <i>Environmental Evaluation Application</i> to be submitted. <b>GO TO STEP 6.</b>	
	<b>Project can proceed with categorical exemption review</b> . The project has been reviewed by the Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical exemption review. <b>GO TO STEP 6</b> .	
Comments (optional):		
Preserva	ation Planner Signature:	

## **STEP 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATION** TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

	Further environmental review required. Proposed project does not meet scopes of work in either (check		
	all that apply):		
	Step 2 – CEQA Impacts		
	Step 5 – Advanced Historical Review		
	STOP! Must file an Environmental Evaluation Application.		
	No further environmental review is required. The project is categorically exempt under CEQA.		
	Planner Name:	Signature or Stamp:	
	Project Approval Action:		
	*If Discretionary Review before the Planning		
	Commission is requested, the Discretionary		
	Review hearing is the Approval Action for the project.	06/23/2017	
	Once signed or stamped and dated, this document constitutes a categorical exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the Administrative Code. In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of an exemption determination can only be filed within 30 days of the project receiving the first approval action.		

# STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT

#### TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

#### PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address (If different than front page)		Block/Lot(s) (If different than
	front page)	
Case No.	Permit No.	Plans Dated
Exempt Project Approval	Exempt Project Approval Date	New Approval Required
Action		
Modified Project Description:	·	

### DETERMINATION IF PROJECT CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION

Compared to the approved project, would the modified project:

1			
	Result in expansion of the building envelope, as defined in the Planning Code;		
	Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312;		
	Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?		
	Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may no longer qualify for the exemption?		
If at least one of the above boxes is checked, further environmental review is required.			

# DETERMINATION OF NO SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION

	The proposed modification would not result in any of the above changes.		
If this box is checked, the proposed modifications are categorically exempt under CEQA, in accordance with prior project			
approval and no additional environmental review is required. This determination shall be posted on the Planning			
Department website and office and mailed to the applicant, City approving entities, and anyone requesting written notice.			
Planner Name:		Signature or Stamp:	

## Attachment A



Edwin M, Lee, Mayor

Gwyneth Borden, Director

Lee Hsu, Director

Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman

Joél Ramos, Director Malcolm Heinicke, Vice-Chairman Cristina Rubke, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

#### **On-Street Vehicle Share Parking Permit Program**

The SFMTA seeks to make permanent a city-wide on-street vehicle share parking permit program based on an on-street vehicle share parking permit pilot in 2011 and an additional pilot from 2013 to 2016. Based upon evaluation of those pilot programs, the proposed permanent permit program would have the following features and characteristics:

Qualification of eligible permitees: Any entity which meets the definition of "Vehicle Share Organization" established in the Transportation Code may seek on-street vehicle share permits. Specific requirements would be enumerated in the Transportation Code and the program policy document.

Permitted parking space: An On-Street Vehicle Share Parking permit would control and condition parking use in a specific location, as defined in the Transportation Code. The permit would be issued by the SFMTA and would designate exclusive use of an on-street parking space for the permittee Vehicle Share Organization's shared vehicles. Designation of permitted parking spaces would follow the SFMTA's standard review, outreach, and approval process for changes to on-street parking

Parking space markings and signage: Permitted on-street car share parking spaces would be marked in the same manner as pilot spaces. A two-sided sign would be affixed to a short post (approximately 3' tall, set approximately 18" into the ground) adjacent to the space. The parking space curb would be painted red to mark the curb as a tow away zone, and white lines would be painted to delineate the boundaries of the space on the street.

Permit terms and conditions: On-street vehicle share parking permits would be defined and conditioned in the Transportation Code and the program policy document.

Restrictions and Limitations: A maximum of 1,000 (one thousand) permits total would be granted by the SFMTA as part of this program. The SFMTA would not consider applications for shared vehicle parking permits at metered parking spaces in most cases, including along neighborhood commercial corridors.