1	[Adopting Findings Reversing the Final Environmental Impact Report Certification - Alameda Creek Recapture Project]
2	
3	Motion adopting findings reversing the Planning Commission's certification of the
4	Final Environmental Impact Report for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's
5	proposed Alameda Creek Recapture Project.
6	
7	WHEREAS, The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) approved the
8	Alameda Creek Recapture Project (the Project) by Resolution No 17-0146 on June 23, 2017;
9	and
10	WHEREAS, The proposed Project would recapture water that would be released from
11	Calaveras Reservoir and/or bypassed around the Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (ACDD)
12	when the SFPUC implements the instream flow schedules required as part of the regulatory
13	permits for future operations of Calaveras Reservoir; and
14	WHEREAS, Released and bypassed water would flow naturally down Alameda Creek
15	through the Sunol Valley and would percolate into and collect in a quarry pit referred to as Pit
16	F2, which is currently leased to Mission Valley Rock Company for water management
17	activities related to aggregate mining activities; and
18	WHEREAS, The SFPUC would recapture water collected in Pit F2 by pumping it to
19	existing SFPUC water supply facilities in the Sunol Valley for treatment and eventual
20	distribution to its water supply customers in the Bay Area; and
21	WHEREAS, The Planning Department determined that an Environmental Impact
22	Report (hereinafter "EIR") was required for the proposed Project and provided public notice of
23	that determination by publication in a newspaper of general circulation on June 24, 2015; and
24	WHEREAS, The Planning Department published a Draft EIR for the proposed Project
25	on November 30, 2016, and circulated to local, state, and federal agencies and to interested

1	organizations and individuals for a 45-day public review period that was later extended for two	
2	weeks by the Planning Department, resulting in a 62-day public review period that ended on	
3	January 30, 2017; and	
4	WHEREAS, The Planning Commission held a public hearing on the Draft EIR on	
5	January 5, 2017; and	
6	WHEREAS, The Planning Department prepared a Responses to Comments document	
7	(RTC), responding to all comments received orally at the public hearings and in writing, and	
8	published the RTC on June 7, 2017; and	
9	WHEREAS, On June 22, 2017, the Planning Commission, by Motion No. 19952,	
10	certified a Final Environmental Impact Report (Final EIR) for the proposed Project under the	
11	California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.,	
12	the CEQA Guidelines, 14 California Code of Regulations, Section 15000 et seq., and San	
13	Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31, finding that the Final EIR reflects the independent	
14	judgment and analysis of the City and County of San Francisco, that it is adequate, accurate	
15	and objective, and contains no significant revisions to the Draft EIR; and	
16	WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board, received by the Clerk's Office on July	
17	24, 2017, Robert Shaver, General Manager, on behalf of the Alameda County Water District,	
18	appealed the Final EIR certification ("Appellant"); and	
19	WHEREAS, The Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer, by	
20	memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated July 26, 2017, determined that the appeal had	
21	been timely filed; and	
22	WHEREAS, On September 5, 2017, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to	
23	consider the appeal of the Final EIR certification filed by Appellant and, following the public	
24	hearing, conditionally reversed the Final EIR certification, subject to the adoption of these	

25

written findings in support of such determination, and requested additional information and analysis be provided; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the Final EIR certification, this Board reviewed and considered the determination, the appeal letters, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the Final EIR appeal; and

WHEREAS, In addition to the appeal letter, the National Marine Fisheries Service ("NMFS") and the Alameda Creek Alliance each submitted a letter in support of the appeal, on July 27, 2017 and August 2, 2017, respectively; and

WHEREAS, In its letter, NMFS stated that it "believes the document does not contain sufficient information to conclude the [Project] will not result in substantial effects on streamflow that support the migration of C[entral] C[alifornia] C[oast] steelhead [fish] in Alameda Creek;" and

WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors conditionally reversed the Final EIR certification, subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of such determination, based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the Final EIR certification is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 170893 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety;

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

WHEREAS, The Board finds that the letter from NMFS's raised important questions regarding how the project would affect low flow levels in Alameda Creek, and information in the NMFS letter constitutes significant new information that NMFS had not previously identified that affects the CEQA evaluation of operational impacts of the project on threatened steelhead fish; and

WHEREAS, In light of this new information, the Planning Department proposed to undertake further analysis of the potential operational impacts of the project on threatened steelhead fish related to changes caused by the project on streamflow in Alameda Creek, and proposed to recirculate a portion of the Draft EIR to address this single issue; and

WHEREAS, This Board considered these issues, heard testimony, and shared concerns that further information and analysis was required regarding whether the proposed project would result in operational impacts on steelhead in the lower watershed as a result of project-induced effects on streamflow in Alameda Creek; now therefore be it

MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors directs the Planning Department to provide additional information and analysis regarding whether the proposed project would result operational impacts on steelhead fish in the lower watershed as a result of project-induced effects on streamflow in Alameda Creek; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, In conducting any such additional environmental analysis the Planning Department shall enlist an independent third party review of the groundwater/surface water model to determine if the current model adequately and accurately analyzes the fisheries issues as required by CEQA; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, As to all other issues, the Board finds the Final EIR adequate, accurate, and objective, and no further analysis is required.

24

25

23

n:\land\as2017\0400241\01219799.docx