1	[Adopting Findings Reversing the Final Mitigated Negative Declaration - 3516 and 3526 Folsom Street]
2	
3	Motion adopting findings reversing the approval by the Planning Commission of a final
4	mitigated negative declaration under the California Environmental Quality Act for a
5	proposed project at 3516 and 3526 Folsom Street.
6	
7	WHEREAS, The Planning Commission approved a final mitigated negative declaration
8	under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and
9	Administrative Code, Chapter 31 for a proposed project located at 3516 and 3526 Folsom
10	Street ("Project"); and
11	WHEREAS, The proposed Project involves the construction of two single-family
12	residences on two vacant lots along the west side of the unimproved portion of Folsom Street
13	the construction of the connecting segment of Folsom Street to provide vehicle and pedestrial
14	access to the Project site, and the construction of a stairway between Folsom Street and
15	Bernal Heights Boulevard; and
16	WHEREAS, Each single-family home would be 27 feet tall, two stories over-garage
17	with two off-street vehicle parking spaces accessed from a twelve-foot-wide garage door; and
18	WHEREAS, The Planning Department published a Preliminary Mitigated Negative
19	Declaration ("PMND") for the proposed Project on April 26, 2017; and
20	WHEREAS, On May 16, 2017, Kathy Angus, for the Bernal Heights South Slope
21	Organization filed an appeal of the Planning Department's decision to issue the PMND; and
22	WHEREAS, On June 15, 2017, the Planning Commission held a publically-noticed
23	hearing on the PMND, denied the appeal, and finalized the PMND ("FMND") by Motion
24	No. 19945; and

1	WHEREAS, On June 15, 2017, the Planning Commission declined to take
2	discretionary review of the proposed project, and approved the Project as proposed; and
3	WHEREAS, On July 17, 2017, Ryan Patterson of Zacks, Freeman and Patterson, on
4	behalf of Bernal Heights South Slope Organization, Bernal Safe & Livable, Neighbors Against
5	the Upper Folsom Street Extension, Gail Newman and Ann Lockett ("Appellants") filed a letter
6	appealing the FMND; and
7	WHEREAS, The Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer, by
8	memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated July 24, 2017, determined that the appeal was
9	timely; and
10	WHEREAS, On September 12, 2017, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to
11	consider the appeal of the FMND filed by Appellants and, following the public hearing,
12	conditionally reversed the Planning Commission's approval of the FMND subject to the
13	adoption of written findings in support of such determination, and requested additional
14	information and analysis be provided; and
15	WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the FMND, this Board reviewed and considered
16	the FMND, the appeal letter and supporting documents, the responses to concerns document
17	that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of
18	Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the FMND
19	appeal; and
20	WHEREAS, The Board finds that the letters and public comment presented in support
21	of and against the appeal, including comment letters presented to the Board on September 11
22	and 12, 2017, raise important questions regarding how project construction activities could
23	create vibration impacts on PG&E Pipeline No. 109; and
24	

WHEREAS, In light of this new information, the Board has requested that the Planning
Department undertake further analysis with respect to the specific issue of the potential
vibration impacts of project construction on PG&E Pipeline 109; and

WHEREAS, This Board considered these issues, heard testimony, and shared concerns that further information and analysis was required regarding whether the proposed project would cause construction impacts to PG&E Pipeline No. 109; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the FMND is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 170851 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors directs the Planning Department to provide additional information and analysis regarding whether the proposed project construction would result in vibration impacts on PG&E Pipeline No. 109 that could create a risk to public safety; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, In conducting any such additional environmental analysis, the Planning Department shall enlist an independent qualified expert to use all appropriate methods to determine the location, depth and condition of Pipeline No. 109 in the project area and prepare a Vibration Management Plan for the project prior to the issuance of the revised environmental review document; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Vibration Management Plan shall specify what types of construction equipment may be used at the project and any limitations on the use or storage of such equipment in the project vicinity, the specific roles of the Planning Department, Department of Building Inspection, PG&E and any other necessary party in monitoring and enforcing the recommendations of the Vibration Monitoring Plan, and any appropriate safety

1	protocols that must be employed during project construction, including communications
2	between the contractors and PG&E, to reduce the risk of damage to the pipeline; and, be it
3	FURTHER MOVED, That a site-specific Emergency Response and Evacuation Plan be
4	prepared to ensure adequate access for emergency response and the ability for a safe and
5	timely evacuation; and, be it
6	FURTHER MOVED, That the Vibration Management Plan shall be reviewed and
7	approved by the Planning Department and PG&E, and the Emergency Response and
8	Evacuation Plan shall be reviewed and approved by the Fire Department, Planning
9	Department, and PG&E, prior to issuance of the revised environmental review document; and,
10	be it
11	FURTHER MOVED, That the Planning Department shall incorporate any
12	recommendations of the approved Vibration Management Plan into the mitigation included in
13	the revised environmental review document; and, be it
14	FURTHER MOVED, As to all other issues, the Board finds the FMND conforms to the
15	requirements of CEQA and is adequate, accurate, and objective, the record does not include
16	substantial evidence to support a fair argument that the project may have a significant effect
17	on the environment, and no further analysis is required.
18	
19	n:\land\as2017\0400241\01220352.docx
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	