File Number: 171116 (Provided by Clerk of Board of Superv	_ isors)		
Grant	t Resolution Int (Effective Jul	'	<u>m</u>
Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of expend grant funds.	Supervisors res	solutions autho	rizing a Department to accept and
The following describes the grant referred	to in the accom	panying resolut	ion:
1. Grant Title: Scholarships Grant			
2. Department: Recreation and Park Dep	artment		
3. Contact Person: Nathan Tinclair, Assis	tant Director of	Partnerships	Telephone: 415-831-6842
4. Grant Approval Status (check one):			
[X] Approved by funding agency	•	[] Not yet ap	proved
5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or A	Applied for: \$11	0,000	
3a. Matching Funds Required: \$0 b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applica	ble): N/A		
7a. Grant Source Agency: San Francisco F b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applica			
8. Proposed Grant Project Summary: The Department with a \$110,000 grant to bene from the annual Crab Fest event that the D	fit the Departme	ent's scholarshi	p program. These funds are proceed
9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in a	approval docume	ents, or as prop	posed:
Start-Date: 12/1/17	End-Date: 6/30)/18	
10a. Amount budgeted for contractual services. b. Will contractual services be put out to c. If so, will contract services help to fur requirements? N/A d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongo	bid? N/A ther the goals o	1	
11a Does the hudget include indirect cost	s?	I 1 Yes	[X] No

12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments:

c2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs? \$5,500

b1. If yes, how much?

b2. How was the amount calculated?

[] Other (please explain):

c1. If no, why are indirect costs not included?

[] Not allowed by granting agency

[X] To maximize use of grant funds on direct services

**Disability Access Check Forms to the Mayor's Office		a copy of all completed Grant Information			
13. This Grant is intended fo	or activities at (check all that apply):				
[] Existing Site(s) [] Rehabilitated Site(s) [] New Site(s)	[] Existing Structure(s) [] Rehabilitated Structure(s) [] New Structure(s)	[X] Existing Program(s) or Service(s)[] New Program(s) or Service(s)			
concluded that the project a other Federal, State and loc	s proposed will be in compliance w	on Disability have reviewed the proposal and ith the Americans with Disabilities Act and all ons and will allow the full inclusion of persons d to:			
 Having staff trained in h 	now to provide reasonable modifica	tions in policies, practices and procedures;			
2. Having auxiliary aids and services available in a timely manner in order to ensure communication access;					
	approved by the DPW Access Com	to the public are architecturally accessible and appliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on			
If such access would be tech	nnically infeasible, this is described	in the comments section below:			
Comments:					
Departmental ADA Coordina	ator or Mayor's Office of Disability F	Reviewer:			
<u>Lucas Tobin</u> (Name)	*	1			
ADA Coordinator for Programmatic Access, Recreation and Park Department (Title) Date Reviewed: 15 7 (Stanature Paguired)					
		(Signature Required)			
Department Head or Desig Philip A. Ginsburg (Name)	nee Approval of Grant Information	on Form:			
General Manager, Recreation (Title) Date Reviewed:	on and Park Department	My M			
	×	(Signature Required)			