

MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| Cultural Resources | | | | |
| <p>Mitigation Measure M-CR-1a – HABS Documentation. To document the Lesser Brothers Building more thoroughly than has been done to date, prior to the start of demolition activities, the project sponsor shall cause to be prepared documentation in accordance with the Historic American Buildings Survey (HABS), a program of the National Park Service. The sponsor shall ensure that documentation is completed according to the HABS standards. The photographs and accompanying HABS Historical Report shall be maintained on-site, as well as in the appropriate repositories, including but not limited to, the San Francisco Planning Department, San Francisco Architectural Heritage, the San Francisco Public Library, and the Northwest Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System. The contents of the report shall include an architectural description, historical context, and statement of significance, per HABS reporting standards. The documentation shall be undertaken by a qualified professional who meets the standards for history, architectural history, or architecture (as appropriate), as set forth by the <i>Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards</i> (36 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 61). HABS documentation shall provide the appropriate level of visual documentation and written narrative based on the importance of the resource (types of visual documentation typically range from producing a sketch plan to developing measured drawings and view camera (4x5) black and white photographs). The appropriate level of HABS documentation and written narrative shall be determined by the Planning Department's Preservation staff. The report shall be reviewed by the Planning Department's Preservation staff for completeness. In certain instances, Department Preservation staff may request HABS-level photography, a historical report, and/or measured architectural drawings of the existing building(s).</p> | <p>Project sponsor and qualified historic preservation professional who meets the standards for history, architectural history, or architecture (as appropriate), as set forth by the <i>Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards</i> (36 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 61).</p> | <p>Prior to the issuance of a site permit, demolition permit, or any other permit from the Department of Building Inspection in connection with Lesser Brothers Building at 1629-1645 Market Street</p> | <p>Planning Department Preservation Technical Specialist to review and approve HABS documentation</p> | <p>Considered complete upon submittal of final HABS documentation to the Preservation Technical Specialist and determination from the Preservation Technical Specialist that documentation is complete.</p> |

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| <p>Mitigation Measure M-CR-1b – Interpretive Display. Prior to the start of demolition, the project sponsor shall work with Planning Department Preservation staff and another qualified professional to design a publicly accessible interpretive display that would memorialize the Lesser Brothers Building, which would be effectively demolished under the proposed project. The contents of the interpretive display shall be approved by Planning Department Preservation staff, and may include the history of development of the project site, including the non-historic Local 38 union hall building and the Civic Center Hotel (and possibly buildings demolished previously), and/or other relevant information. This display could take the form of a kiosk, plaque, or other display method containing panels of text, historic photographs, excerpts of oral histories, and maps. The development of the interpretive display should be overseen by a qualified professional who meets the standards for history, architectural history, or architecture (as appropriate) set forth by the <i>Secretary of the Interior’s Professional Qualification Standards</i> (36 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 61). An outline of the format, location and content of the interpretive display shall be reviewed and approved by Planning Department Preservation staff prior to issuance of a demolition permit or site permit. The format, location and content of the interpretive display must be finalized prior to issuance of the Architectural and Mechanical, Electrical, and Plumbing (MEP) Addendum for the Building A project component.</p> | <p>Project sponsor and qualified architectural historian or historian who meets the Secretary of the Interior’s Professional Qualification Standards</p> | <p>Interpretive display to be installed prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for Building A</p> | <p>Planning Department Preservation Technical Specialist to review and approve interpretive display</p> | <p>Considered complete upon installation of display</p> |
| <p>Mitigation Measure M-CR-1c – Protect On-Site Historical Resources from Construction Activities. The project sponsor shall incorporate into construction contracts a requirement that the construction contractor(s) use feasible means to avoid damage to on-site historical resources (portion of the Lesser Brothers Building to be retained and Civic Center Hotel). Such methods may include staging of equipment and materials as far as feasible from historic buildings to avoid direct damage; using techniques in demolition, excavation, shoring, and construction that create the minimum feasible vibration (such as using concrete saws instead of jackhammers or hoe-rams to open excavation trenches, the use of non-vibratory rollers, and hand excavation); maintaining a buffer zone when possible between heavy equipment and historic resource(s); and enclosing construction scaffolding to avoid damage from falling objects or debris. These construction specifications shall be submitted to the Planning Department along with the Demolition and Site Permit Applications. To promote proper coordination of construction logistic activities intended to avoid damage to both adjacent and on-site historical resources, the methods proposed in M-CR-1c should be coordinated with those proposed in M-CR-4a, Protect Adjacent Historical Resources from Construction Activities.</p> | <p>Project sponsor and/or its Construction Contractor</p> | <p>Construction specifications to be developed prior to the issuance of a site permit, demolition permit, or any other permit from the Department of Building Inspection</p> | <p>ERO and/or Planning Department Preservation Technical Specialist to review construction specifications.</p> | <p>Considered complete upon acceptance by Planning Department of construction specifications to avoid damage to on-site historic buildings</p> |

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| <p>Mitigation Measure M-CR-1d – Vibration Monitoring Program for On-Site Historical Resources. The project sponsor shall retain the services of a qualified structural engineer and preservation architect that meet the Secretary of the Interior’s Historic Preservation Professional Qualification Standards to conduct a Pre-Construction Assessment of the on-site historical resources (portion of the Lesser Brothers Building to be retained and Civic Center Hotel) prior to any ground-disturbing activity. The Pre-Construction Assessment shall be prepared to establish a baseline, and shall contain written and/or photographic descriptions of the existing condition of the visible exteriors of the adjacent buildings. The structural engineer and/or preservation architect shall also develop and the project sponsor shall adopt a Vibration Management and Monitoring Plan to protect the on-site historical resources against damage caused by vibration or differential settlement caused by vibration during project construction activities. In this plan, the maximum vibration level not to be exceeded at each building shall be determined by the structural engineer and/or preservation architect for the project. The Vibration Management and Monitoring Plan shall document the criteria used in establishing the maximum vibration level for the project. The Vibration Management and Monitoring Plan shall include vibration monitoring and regular periodic inspections at the project site by the structural engineer and/or historic preservation consultant throughout the duration of the major structural project activities to ensure that vibration levels do not exceed the established standard. The Pre-Construction Assessment and Vibration Management and Monitoring Plan shall be submitted to the Planning Department Preservation staff prior to issuance of any construction permits. Should damage to either of the on-site historical resources be observed, construction shall be halted and alternative techniques put in practice, to the extent feasible, and/or repairs shall be completed as part of project construction. A final report on the vibration monitoring of the portion of the Lesser Brothers Building to be retained shall be submitted to Planning Department Preservation staff prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for the addition to that building, and a final report on the vibration monitoring of the Civic Center Hotel shall be submitted to Planning Department Preservation staff prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for that building following its rehabilitation.</p> | <p>Project sponsor, structural engineer, and preservation architect</p> | <p>Pre-Construction Assessment and Vibration Management and Monitoring Plan to be completed prior to issuance of site permit, demolition permit, or any other construction permit from the Department of Building Inspection. Monitoring to occur during the period of major structural project construction activity, including demolition and excavation</p> | <p>Planning Department Preservation Technical Specialist shall review and approve the Vibration Management and Monitoring Plan.</p> | <p>Considered complete upon submittal to Planning Department of post-construction report on vibration monitoring program and effects, if any, on on-site historical resources, after all major structural project construction activity, including demolition and excavation, has occurred on the site.</p> |

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| <p>Mitigation Measure M-CR-4a – Protect Adjacent Historical Resources from Construction Activities. The project sponsor shall incorporate into construction contracts a requirement that the construction contractor(s) use feasible means to avoid damage to adjacent historical resources at 42 12th Street and 56–70 12th Street. Such methods may include staging of equipment and materials as far as feasible from historic buildings to direct damage; using techniques in demolition, excavation, shoring, and construction that create the minimum feasible vibration (such as using concrete saws instead of jackhammers or hoe-rams to open excavation trenches, the use of non-vibratory rollers, and hand excavation); maintaining a buffer zone when possible between heavy equipment and historic resource(s); and enclosing construction scaffolding to avoid damage from falling objects or debris. These construction specifications shall be submitted to the Planning Department along with the Demolition and Site Permit Applications. To promote proper coordination of construction logistic activities intended to avoid damage to both adjacent and on-site historical resources, the methods proposed in M-CR-4a should be coordinated with those proposed in M-CR-1c.</p> | <p>Project sponsor and/or its Construction Contractor</p> | <p>Construction specifications to be developed prior to the issuance of a site permit, demolition permit, or any other permit from the Department of Building Inspection in connection with Building D</p> | <p>ERO and/or Planning Department Preservation Technical Specialist to review construction specifications</p> | <p>Considered complete upon acceptance by Planning Department of construction specifications to avoid damage to adjacent historic buildings</p> |
| <p>Mitigation Measure M-CR-4b – Vibration Monitoring Program for Adjacent Historical Resources. The project sponsor shall retain the services of a qualified structural engineer and preservation architect that meet the Secretary of the Interior’s Historic Preservation Professional Qualification Standards to conduct a Pre-Construction Assessment of the adjacent historical resources at 42 12th Street and 56–70 12th Street. Prior to any ground-disturbing activity, the Pre-Construction Assessment shall be prepared to establish a baseline, and shall contain written and/or photographic descriptions of the existing condition of the visible exteriors of the adjacent buildings and in interior locations upon permission of the owners of the adjacent properties. The Pre-Condition Assessment shall determine specific locations to be monitored, and include annotated drawings of the buildings to locate accessible digital photo locations and location of survey markers and/or other monitoring devices (e.g., to measure vibrations). The Pre-Construction Assessment shall be submitted to the Planning Department along with the Site Demolition and/or Permit Applications.</p> <p>The structural engineer and/or preservation architect shall develop and the project sponsor shall also adopt a Vibration Management and Monitoring Plan to protect the buildings at 42 12th Street and 56–70 12th Street against damage caused by vibration or differential settlement caused by vibration during project construction activities. In this plan, the maximum vibration level not to be exceeded at each building shall be 0.2 inch/second, or a different level determined by the site-specific assessment made by the structural engineer and/or preservation architect for the project. The Vibration Management and Monitoring Plan should document the criteria used in establishing the maximum vibration level for the project. The Vibration Management and Monitoring Plan shall include continuous vibration</p> | <p>Project sponsor, structural engineer, and preservation architect</p> | <p>Pre-Construction Assessment and Vibration Management and Monitoring Plan to be completed prior to issuance of site permit, demolition permit, or any other construction permit from the Department of Building Inspection in connection with Building D. Monitoring to occur during the period of major structural project construction activity, including demolition and excavation</p> | <p>Planning Department Preservation Technical Specialist shall review and approve Vibration Management and Monitoring Plan.</p> | <p>Considered complete upon submittal to Planning Department of report on Vibration Management and Monitoring Plan and effects, if any, on adjacent historical resources, after all major structural project construction activity, including demolition and excavation.</p> |

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|---|
| <p>monitoring throughout the duration of the major structural project activities to ensure that vibration levels do not exceed the established standard. The Vibration Management and Monitoring Plan shall be submitted to the Planning Department Preservation staff prior to issuance of any construction permits.</p> <p>Should vibration levels be observed in excess of the standard, or if damage to either of the buildings at 42 12th Street and 56-70 12th Street is observed, construction shall be halted and alternative techniques put in practice, to the extent feasible. The structural engineer and/or historic preservation consultant shall conduct regular periodic inspections of digital photographs, survey markers, and/or other monitoring devices during ground-disturbing activity at project site. The buildings shall be protected to prevent further damage and remediated to pre-construction conditions as shown in the Pre-Construction Assessment with the consent of the building owner. Any remedial repairs shall not require building upgrades to comply with current <i>San Francisco Building Code</i> standards. A final report on the vibration monitoring shall be submitted to Planning Department Preservation staff prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for Building D.</p> | | | | |

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| <p>Mitigation Measure M-CR-6 – Archeological Testing. Based on a reasonable presumption that archeological resources may be present within the project area, the following measures shall be undertaken to avoid any potentially significant adverse effect from the proposed project on buried or submerged historical resources. The project sponsor shall retain the services of an archeological consultant from the rotational Department Qualified Archeological Consultants List (QACL) maintained by the Planning Department archeologist. The project sponsor shall contact the Department archeologist to obtain the names and contact information for the next three archeological consultants on the QACL. The archeological consultant shall undertake an archeological testing program as specified herein. In addition, the consultant shall be available to conduct an archeological monitoring and/or data recovery program if required pursuant to this measure. The archeological consultant’s work shall be conducted in accordance with this measure at the direction of the Environmental Review Officer (ERO). All plans and reports prepared by the consultant as specified herein shall be submitted first and directly to the ERO for review and comment, and shall be considered draft reports subject to revision until final approval by the ERO. Archeological monitoring and/or data recovery programs required by this measure could suspend construction of the project for up to a maximum of four weeks. At the direction of the ERO, the suspension of construction can be extended beyond four weeks only if such a suspension is the only feasible means to reduce to a less than significant level potential effects on a significant archeological resource as defined in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(a) and (c).</p> <p><i>Consultation with Descendant Communities.</i> On discovery of an archeological site¹ associated with descendant Native Americans, the Overseas Chinese, or other potentially interested descendant group, an appropriate representative² of the descendant group and the ERO shall be contacted. The representative of the descendant group, shall be given the opportunity to monitor archeological field investigations of the site and to offer recommendations to the ERO regarding appropriate archeological treatment of the site, of recovered data from the site, and, if applicable, any interpretative treatment of the associated archeological site. A copy of the Final Archeological Resources Report shall be provided to the representative of the descendant group.</p> | <p>Project sponsor and Planning Department archeologist or a qualified archeological consultant from the Planning Department pool (archeological consultant)</p> <p>Project sponsor and/or archeological consultant</p> | <p>Archeological consultant shall be retained prior to issuance of site permit from the Department of Building Inspection</p> <p>Throughout the duration of ground-disturbing activities</p> | <p>Project sponsor to retain a qualified archeological consultant who shall report to the ERO. Qualified archeological consultant will scope archeological testing program with ERO and Planning Department staff archeologist</p> <p>Project sponsor and/or archeological consultant to submit record of consultation as part of Final Archeological Resources Report, if applicable</p> | <p>Considered complete when archeological consultant has approved scope from the ERO for the archeological testing program</p> <p>Considered complete upon submittal to ERO of Final Archeological Resources Report, if applicable</p> |

¹ The term “archeological site” is intended here to minimally include any archeological deposit, feature, burial, or evidence of burial.

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| <p><i>Archeological Testing Program.</i> The archeological consultant shall prepare and submit to the ERO for review and approval an archeological testing plan (ATP). The archeological testing program shall be conducted in accordance with the approved ATP. The ATP shall identify the property types of the expected archeological resource(s) that potentially could be adversely affected by the proposed project, the testing method to be used, and the locations recommended for testing. The purpose of the archeological testing program will be to determine to the extent possible the presence or absence of archeological resources and to identify and to evaluate whether any archeological resource encountered on the site constitutes an historical resource under CEQA.</p> | <p>Project sponsor/ archeological consultant at the direction of the ERO.</p> | <p>Prior to any soils-disturbing activities on the project site.</p> | <p>Consultant Archeologist shall prepare and submit draft ATP to the ERO. ATP to be submitted and reviewed by the ERO prior to any soils disturbing activities on the project site.</p> | <p>Date ATP submitted to the ERO: _____</p> <p>Date ATP approved by the ERO: _____</p> <p>Date of initial soils disturbing activities: _____</p> |

² An “appropriate representative” of the descendant group is here defined to mean, in the case of Native Americans, any individual listed in the current Native American Contact List for the City and County of San Francisco maintained by the California Native American Heritage Commission and in the case of the Overseas Chinese, the Chinese Historical Society of America. An appropriate representative of other descendant groups should be determined in consultation with the Department archeologist.

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| <p>At the completion of the archeological testing program, the archeological consultant shall submit a written report of the findings to the ERO. If based on the archeological testing program the archeological consultant finds that significant archeological resources may be present, the ERO in consultation with the archeological consultant shall determine if additional measures are warranted. Additional measures that may be undertaken include additional archeological testing, archeological monitoring, and/or an archeological data recovery program. No archeological data recovery shall be undertaken without the prior approval of the ERO or the Planning Department archeologist. If the ERO determines that a significant archeological resource is present and that the resource could be adversely affected by the proposed project, at the discretion of the project sponsor either:</p> <p>A. The proposed project shall be re-designed so as to avoid any adverse effect on the significant archeological resource; or</p> <p>B. A data recovery program shall be implemented, unless the ERO determines that the archeological resource is of greater interpretive than research significance and that interpretive use of the resource is feasible.</p> | <p>Project sponsor/ archeological consultant at the direction of the ERO.</p> | <p>After completion of the Archeological Testing Program.</p> | <p>Archeological consultant shall submit report of the findings of the ATP to the ERO.</p> | <p>Date archeological findings report submitted to the ERO: _____</p> <p>ERO determination of significant archeological resource present? Y N</p> <p>Would resource be adversely affected? Y N</p> <p>Additional mitigation to be undertaken by project sponsor? Y N</p> |

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| <p><i>Archeological Monitoring Program.</i> If the ERO in consultation with the archeological consultant determines that an archeological monitoring program shall be implemented, the archeological monitoring program shall minimally include the following provisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The archeological consultant, project sponsor, and ERO shall meet and consult on the scope of the AMP reasonably prior to any project-related soils disturbing activities commencing. The ERO in consultation with the archeological consultant shall determine what project activities shall be archeologically monitored. In most cases, any soils-disturbing activities, such as demolition, foundation removal, excavation, grading, utilities installation, foundation work, site remediation, etc., shall require archeological monitoring because of the risk these activities pose to potential archeological resources and to their depositional context; • The archeological consultant shall advise all project contractors to be on the alert for evidence of the presence of the expected resource(s), of how to identify the evidence of the expected resource(s), and of the appropriate protocol in the event of apparent discovery of an archeological resource; • The archeological monitor(s) shall be present on the project area according to a schedule agreed upon by the archeological consultant and the ERO until the ERO has, in consultation with project archeological consultant, determined that project construction activities could have no effects on significant archeological deposits; • The archeological monitor shall record and be authorized to collect soil samples and artefactual/ecofactual material as warranted for analysis; • If an intact archeological deposit is encountered, all soils-disturbing activities in the vicinity of the deposit shall cease. The archeological monitor shall be empowered to temporarily redirect demolition/excavation/construction activities and equipment until the deposit is evaluated. The archeological consultant shall immediately notify the ERO of the encountered archeological deposit. The archeological consultant shall make a reasonable effort to assess the identity, integrity, and significance of the encountered archeological deposit, and present the findings of this assessment to the ERO. <p>Whether or not significant archeological resources are encountered, the archeological consultant shall submit a written report of the findings of the monitoring program to the ERO.</p> | <p>Project sponsor/ archeological consultant/ archeological monitor/ contractor(s), at the direction of the ERO.</p> | <p>ERO & archeological consultant shall meet prior to commencement of soils-disturbing activity. If the ERO determines that an Archeological Monitoring Program is necessary, monitor throughout all soils-disturbing activities.</p> | <p>Project sponsor/ archeological consultant/ archeological monitor/ contractor(s) shall implement the AMP, if required by the ERO.</p> | <p>AMP required? Y N</p> <p>Date: _____</p> <p>Date AMP submitted to the ERO: _____</p> <p>Date AMP approved by the ERO: _____</p> <p>Date AMP implementation complete: _____</p> <p>Date written report regarding findings of the AMP received: _____</p> |

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| <p><i>Archeological Data Recovery Program.</i> If required based on the results of the ATP, an archeological data recovery program shall be conducted in accord with an archeological data recovery plan (ADRP). The archeological consultant, project sponsor, and ERO shall meet and consult on the scope of the ADRP prior to preparation of a draft ADRP. The archeological consultant shall submit a draft ADRP to the ERO. The ADRP shall identify how the proposed data recovery program will preserve the significant information the archeological resource is expected to contain. That is, the ADRP will identify what scientific/historical research questions are applicable to the expected resource, what data classes the resource is expected to possess, and how the expected data classes would address the applicable research questions. Data recovery, in general, should be limited to the portions of the historical property that could be adversely affected by the proposed project. Destructive data recovery methods shall not be applied to portions of the archeological resources if nondestructive methods are practical.</p> <p>If required, the scope of the ADRP shall include the following elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Field Methods and Procedures</i>—Descriptions of proposed field strategies, procedures, and operations. • <i>Cataloguing and Laboratory Analysis</i>—Description of selected cataloguing system and artifact analysis procedures. • <i>Discard and Deaccession Policy</i>—Description of and rationale for field and post-field discard and deaccession policies. • <i>Interpretive Program</i>—Consideration of an on-site/off-site public interpretive program during the course of the archeological data recovery program. • <i>Security Measures</i>—Recommended security measures to protect the archeological resource from vandalism, looting, and non-intentionally damaging activities. • <i>Final Report</i>—Description of proposed report format and distribution of results. • <i>Curation</i>—Description of the procedures and recommendations for the curation of any recovered data having potential research value, identification of appropriate curation facilities, and a summary of the accession policies of the curation facilities. | <p>Archeological consultant, as directed by the ERO</p> | <p>If there is a determination that an ADRP program is required, conduct ADRP throughout all soils-disturbing activities.</p> | <p>Project sponsor/ archeological consultant/ archeological monitor/ contractor(s) shall prepare an ADRP if required by the ERO.</p> | <p>ADRP required? Y N</p> <p>Date: _____</p> <p>Date of scoping meeting for ADRP: _____</p> <p>Date Draft ADRP submitted to the ERO: _____</p> <p>Date ADRP approved by the ERO: _____</p> <p>Date ADRP implementation complete: _____</p> |

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| <p><i>Final Archeological Resources Report.</i> The archeological consultant shall submit a Draft Final Archeological Resources Report (FARR) to the ERO that evaluates the historical significance of any discovered archeological resource and describes the archeological and historical research methods employed in the archeological testing/monitoring/data recovery program(s) undertaken. Information that may put at risk any archeological resource shall be provided in a separate removable insert within the final report.</p> <p>Once approved by the ERO, copies of the FARR shall be distributed as follows: California Archeological Site Survey Northwest Information Center (NWIC) shall receive one (1) copy and the ERO shall receive a copy of the transmittal of the FARR to the NWIC. The Environmental Planning division of the Planning Department shall receive one bound, one unbound and one unlocked, searchable PDF copy on CD of the FARR along with copies of any formal site recordation forms (CA DPR 523 series) and/or documentation for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places/California Register of Historical Resources. In instances of high public interest in or the high interpretive value of the resource, the ERO may require a different final report content, format, and distribution than that presented above.</p> | <p>Archeological consultant</p> | <p>Prior to the issuance of the last certificate of occupancy for the proposed project</p> | <p>ERO</p> | <p>Considered complete upon submittal to ERO and other repositories identified in mitigation measure of Final Archeological Resources Report</p> |
| <p>Mitigation Measure M-CR-7 – Inadvertent Discovery of Human Remains. The treatment of human remains and of associated or unassociated funerary objects discovered during any soils disturbing activity shall comply with applicable State and Federal laws. This shall include immediate notification of the Coroner of the City and County of San Francisco and the ERO, and in the event of the Coroner’s determination that the human remains are Native American remains, notification of the California State Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) who shall appoint a Most Likely Descendant (MLD) (PRC Section 5097.98). The archeological consultant, project sponsor, ERO, and MLD shall have up to but not beyond six days of discovery to make all reasonable efforts to develop an agreement for the treatment of human remains and associated or unassociated funerary objects with appropriate dignity (CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(d)). The agreement should take into consideration the appropriate excavation, removal, recordation, analysis, custodianship, curation, and final disposition of the human remains and associated or unassociated funerary objects. Nothing in existing State regulations or in this mitigation measure compels the project sponsor and the ERO to accept recommendations of an MLD. The archeological consultant shall retain possession of any Native American human remains and associated or unassociated burial objects until completion of any scientific analyses of the human remains or objects as specified in the treatment agreement if such as agreement has been made or, otherwise, as determined by the archeological consultant and the ERO.</p> | <p>Project sponsor, contractor, Planning Department’s archeologist or archaeological consultant, and ERO</p> | <p>Throughout the duration of ground-disturbing activities</p> | <p>Project sponsor to notify ERO, Coroner, and, if applicable, NAHC of any discovery of human remains</p> | <p>Considered complete upon completion of ground-disturbing activities</p> |

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>Mitigation Measure M-CR-8 – Tribal Cultural Resources Interpretive Program. If the ERO determines that a significant archeological resource is present, and if in consultation with the affiliated Native American tribal representatives, the ERO determines that the resource constitutes a tribal cultural resource (TCR) and that the resource could be adversely affected by the proposed project, the proposed project shall be redesigned so as to avoid any adverse effect on the significant tribal cultural resource, if feasible.</p> <p>If the Environmental Review Officer (ERO), in consultation with the affiliated Native American tribal representatives and the Project Sponsor, determines that preservation-in-place of the tribal cultural resources is not a sufficient or feasible option, the Project Sponsor shall implement an interpretive program of the TCR in consultation with affiliated tribal representatives. An interpretive plan produced in consultation with the ERO and affiliated tribal representatives, at a minimum, and approved by the ERO would be required to guide the interpretive program. The plan shall identify, as appropriate, proposed locations for installations or displays, the proposed content and materials of those displays or installation, the producers or artists of the displays or installation, and a long-term maintenance program. The interpretive program may include artist installations, preferably by local Native American artists, oral histories with local Native Americans, artifacts displays and interpretation, and educational panels or other informational displays.</p> | Project sponsor in consultation with tribal representative(s), as directed by the ERO | If directed by the ERO to implement an interpretive program, approval of interpretive plan prior to the issuance of the certificate of occupancy for the proposed building affecting the relevant Tribal Cultural Resource | ERO | Considered complete upon implementation of any required interpretive program |
| 4. Transportation and Circulation | | | | |
| <p>Mitigation Measure M-C-TR-8a – Non-Peak Construction Traffic Hours. To minimize the construction-related disruption of the general traffic flow on adjacent streets during the weekday AM and PM peak periods, truck movements and deliveries requiring lane closures should be limited to occur between 9:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. (Monday to Friday), outside of peak morning and evening weekday commute hours.</p> | Project sponsor and construction contractor | Throughout the construction period | SFMTA, on a complaint basis | Considered complete upon completion of project construction |
| <p>Mitigation Measure M-C-TR-8b – Construction Management Plan. The project sponsor and/or its construction contractor shall propose a Construction Management Plan that includes measures to reduce potential conflicts between construction activities and pedestrians, transit and autos at the Project Site. The contractor shall supplement the standard elements of a construction traffic control/management plan with additional measures for Proposed Project construction, such as staggering start and end times, coordinated material drop offs, collective worker parking and transit to job site and other measures. Any such plan shall be reviewed by the TASC for consistency with the findings included herein and, where needed, additional measures may be imposed to minimize potentially significant construction traffic impacts.</p> | Project sponsor, construction contractor, SFMTA, SF Public Works, ISCOTT, as directed by the ERO | Prior to the issuance of a site permit, demolition permit, or any other permit from the Department of Building Inspection | SFMTA, SF Public Works, Planning Department. | Considered complete upon completion of project construction. |

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <p><i>Alternative Transportation for Construction Workers.</i> Limited parking would be available for construction workers in the future open space portion of the Project Site. The location of construction worker parking shall be identified as well as the person(s) responsible for monitoring the implementation of the proposed parking plan. The use of on-street parking to accommodate construction worker parking shall be discouraged. The project sponsor could provide additional on-site parking once the below grade parking garage is usable. To minimize parking demand and vehicle trips associated with construction workers, the construction contractor shall include in their contracts methods to encourage carpooling and transit access to the Project Site by construction workers. Construction workers should also be encouraged to consider cycling and walking as alternatives to driving alone to and from the Project Site.</p> <p><i>Proposed Project Construction Updates for Adjacent Businesses and Residents.</i> To minimize construction impacts on access for nearby institutions and businesses, the Proposed Project Sponsor shall provide nearby residences and adjacent businesses, such as through a website, with regularly-updated information regarding Proposed Project construction, including a Proposed Project construction contact person, construction activities, duration, peak construction activities (e.g., concrete pours), travel lane closures, and lane closures. At regular intervals to be defined in the Construction Management Plan, an email notice shall be distributed by the project sponsor or its contractor(s) that shall provide current construction information of interest to neighbors, as well as contact information for specific construction inquiries or concerns.</p> <p><i>Coordinate Construction with Nearby Projects.</i> To minimize construction impacts, the Project Sponsor shall coordinate construction activities and closures with nearby projects, such as 10 South Van Ness, One Oak, Better Market Street, and 1500 Mission, as specified in Mitigation Measure M-C-TR-8c – Cumulative Construction Coordination. The Project Sponsor’s Construction Management Plan, which would be required for each development, would include a section that summarizes the coordination efforts.</p> <p><i>Maintain Local Circulation.</i> Comprehensive signage should be in place for all vehicle and pedestrian detours. If necessary, the Project Sponsor should provide a traffic control officer to direct traffic around the Project Site during detour periods. Pedestrian access should be preserved during construction detours as long as safe passage can be provided.</p> | | | | |

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| <p>Mitigation Measure M-C-TR-8c – Cumulative Construction Coordination. If construction of the proposed project is determined to overlap with nearby adjacent project(s) as to result in temporary construction-related transportation impacts, and in addition to preparing its own Construction Management Plan as required by Mitigation Measure M-C-TR-8b, the project sponsor or its contractor(s) shall consult with various City departments such as the SFMTA and Public Works through ISCOTT, and other interdepartmental meetings as deemed necessary by the SFMTA, Public Works, and the Planning Department. This coordination shall address construction-related vehicle routing, detours, and maintaining transit, bicycle, vehicle, and pedestrian movements in the vicinity of the construction area for the duration of the construction period overlap. Key coordination meetings would be held jointly between project sponsors and contractors of other projects for which the City departments determine impacts could overlap. The coordination shall consider other ongoing construction in the project vicinity, including development and transportation infrastructure projects, and topics of coordination shall include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Restricted Construction Truck Access Hours</i>— Coordinate limitations on truck movements requiring lane closures to the hours between 9:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. (Monday-Friday), or other times if approved by the SFMTA, to minimize disruption to vehicular traffic, including transit, during the AM and PM peak periods. ● <i>Construction Truck Routing Plans</i>—Identify optimal truck routes between the regional facilities and the various project sites, taking into consideration truck routes of other development projects and any construction activities affecting the roadway network. ● <i>Coordination of Temporary Lane and Sidewalk Closures</i>—Coordinate lane closures with other projects requesting concurrent lane and sidewalk closures through the ISCOTT and interdepartmental meetings process above, to minimize the extent and duration of requested lane and sidewalk closures. Travel lane closures shall be minimized especially along transit and bicycle routes, so as to limit the impacts to transit service and bicycle circulation and safety. ● <i>Maintenance of Transit, Vehicle, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Access</i>—The project sponsor/construction contractor(s) shall meet with Public Works, SFMTA, the Fire Department, Muni Operations and other City agencies to coordinate feasible measures to include in the Construction Management Plan required by Mitigation Measure M-C-TR-8b to maintain access for transit, vehicles, bicycles and pedestrians. This shall include an assessment of the need for temporary transit stop relocations or other measures to reduce potential traffic, bicycle, and transit disruption and pedestrian circulation effects during | <p>Project sponsor, construction contractor, SFMTA, SF Public Works, ISCOTT, as directed by the ERO</p> | <p>Prior to the issuance of a site permit, demolition permit, or any other permit from the Department of Building Inspection</p> | <p>SFMTA, SF Public Works, Planning Department.</p> | <p>Considered complete upon completion of project construction.</p> |

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| <p>construction of the project.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Carpool, Bicycle, Walk and Transit Access for Construction Workers</i>—Coordinate efforts and methods to encourage carpooling, bicycling, walk and transit access to the various project sites by construction workers (such as providing secure bicycle parking spaces, participating in free-to-employee and employer ride matching program from www.511.org, participating in emergency ride home program through the City of San Francisco (www.sferh.org), and providing transit information to construction workers). • <i>Coordinated Project Construction Updates for Adjacent Businesses and Residents</i>—Coordinate to the extent appropriate, notifications to nearby residences and adjacent businesses with regularly-updated information regarding project construction, including construction activities, peak construction vehicle activities (e.g., concrete pours), travel lane closures, and lane closures. | | | | |
| 5. Noise | | | | |
| <p>Mitigation Measure M-NO-1 – Acoustical Assessment of Mechanical, Electrical, and Plumbing (MEP) Equipment. Prior to issuance of the Architectural and MEP Addendum, the project sponsor shall submit an Acoustical Assessment that analyzes the potential noise impact to adjacent receptors from mechanical equipment and identifies acoustical treatments such as enclosures, acoustical louvers or baffling, as necessary, to achieve a 45 dB interior performance standard resulting from noise generated by mechanical, electrical, and plumbing equipment systems when locations and specifications of such systems are identified in the engineering plans.</p> | Project sponsor | Prior to issuance of the Architectural and MEP Addendum by the Department of Building Inspection | ERO, Department of Building Inspection | Considered complete upon issuance of Architectural and MEP Addendum |
| <p>Mitigation Measure M-NO-2 – Construction Noise Reduction. Incorporate the following practices into the construction contract agreement documents to be implemented by the construction contractor:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct noise monitoring at the beginning of major construction phases (e.g., demolition, excavation) to determine the need and the effectiveness of noise-attenuation measures. Measures needed to reduce activity that exceeds 86 dB at a distance of 50 feet or 73 dBA L_{eq} at the property line shall include plywood barriers, suspended construction blankets, or other screening devices to break line of sight to noise-sensitive receivers; • Post signs on-site pertaining to permitted construction days and hours and complaint procedures and who to notify in the event of a problem, with telephone numbers listed; | Project sponsor and construction contractor | During the construction period | Planning Department, Department of Building Inspection (as requested and/or on complaint basis), Police Department (on complaint basis). | Considered complete at the completion of project construction |

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notify the City and neighbors in advance of the schedule for each major phase of construction and expected loud activities; • Construction activity shall be limited to the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. per San Francisco Police Code Article 29. Construction outside of these hours shall be approved through a development permit based on a site-specific construction noise mitigation plan and a finding by the Director of Building Inspection that the construction noise mitigation plan is adequate to prevent noise disturbance of affected residential uses; • When feasible, select “quiet” construction methods and equipment (e.g., improved mufflers, use of intake silencers, engine enclosures); • Locate stationary equipment, material stockpiles, and vehicle staging areas as far as practicable from all identified sensitive receptors. Avoid placing stationary noise generating equipment (e.g., generators, compressors) within noise-sensitive buffer areas (measured at 20 feet) from immediately adjacent neighbors; • All construction equipment is required to be in good working order and mufflers are required to be inspected proper functionality; • Prohibit unnecessary idling of equipment and engines; • During Phase 2 of construction, stationary equipment should be located internal to the project to the extent feasible to allow for the shielding provided by the Phase 1 buildings; • Impact tools (e.g., jack hammers, pavement breakers, and rock drills) used for construction shall be hydraulically or electrically powered wherever possible to avoid noise associated with compressed air exhaust from pneumatically powered tools. Where use of pneumatic tools is unavoidable, an exhaust muffler on the compressed air exhaust shall be used; this muffler can lower noise levels from the exhaust by up to about 10 dBA. External jackets on the tools themselves shall be used where feasible; this could achieve a reduction of five dBA. Quieter procedures, such as use of drills rather than impact tools, shall be used where feasible; and • The project sponsor shall designate a point of contact to respond to noise complaints. The point of contact must have the authority to modify construction noise-generating activities to ensure compliance with the measures above and with the San Francisco Noise Ordinance. | | | | |

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 6. Air Quality | | | | |
| <p>Mitigation Measure M-AQ-3 – Construction Air Quality. The project sponsor or the project sponsor’s Contractor shall comply with the following:</p> <p>A. <i>Engine Requirements.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Electric construction equipment used during the Phase 1 construction period shall include air compressors, concrete/industrial saws, signal boards, pumps, cement and mortar mixers, and stationary cranes. Electric construction equipment used during the Phase 2 construction period shall include air compressors, concrete/industrial saws, signal boards, pumps, cement and mortar mixers, and stationary cranes. All off-road equipment greater than 25 hp and operating for more than 20 total hours over the entire duration of construction activities shall have engines that meet or exceed either U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) or California Air Resources Board (ARB) Tier 2 off-road emission standards, and have been retrofitted with an ARB Level 3 Verified Diesel Emissions Control Strategy. Equipment with engines meeting Tier 4 Interim or Tier 4 Final off-road emission standards automatically meet this requirement. Where access to alternative sources of power is reasonably available, portable diesel engines shall be prohibited. Diesel engines, whether for off-road or on-road equipment, shall not be left idling for more than two minutes, at any location, except as provided in exceptions to the applicable state regulations regarding idling for off-road and on-road equipment (e.g., traffic conditions, safe operating conditions). The Contractor shall post legible and visible signs in English, Spanish, and Chinese, in designated queuing areas and at the construction site to remind operators of the two-minute idling limit. The Contractor shall require that construction workers and equipment operators properly maintain and tune equipment in accordance with manufacturer specifications. <p>B. <i>Waivers.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Planning Department’s Environmental Review Officer or designee (ERO) may waive the alternative source of power requirement of Subsection (A)(2) if an alternative source of power is limited or infeasible at the project site. If the ERO grants the waiver, the Contractor must submit documentation that the equipment used for onsite power generation meets the requirements of Subsection (A)(1), and that no air quality significance threshold used in this Initial Study would be | Project sponsor/ contractor(s) | Prior to issuance of a site permit, demolition permit, or any other permit from the Department of Building Inspection, with ongoing compliance with the Construction Emissions Minimization Plan throughout the construction period | ERO to review and approve Construction Emissions Minimization Plan; project sponsor and construction contractor to comply with, and document compliance with, Construction Emissions Minimization Plan as required by the ERO | Construction Emissions Minimization Plan considered complete upon ERO review and acceptance of Plan; measure considered complete upon completion of project construction and submittal to ERO of required documentation |

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------|-------------------|---|--------|-------------------|---|--------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| <p>exceeded.</p> <p>2. The ERO may waive the equipment requirements of Subsection (A)(1) if a particular piece of off-road equipment with an ARB Level 3 VDECS is technically not feasible or not commercially available; the equipment would not produce desired emissions reduction due to expected operating modes; installation of the equipment would create a safety hazard or impaired visibility for the operator; or, there is a compelling emergency need to use off-road equipment that is not retrofitted with an ARB Level 3 VDECS. If seeking a waiver, the Contractor must use the next cleanest piece of off-road equipment, according to Table M-AQ-3a-3, and submit documentation showing that no air quality significance threshold used in this Initial Study would be exceeded. No waivers shall be granted if an air quality significance threshold would be exceeded by doing so.</p> <p>TABLE M-AQ-3A-3 OFF-ROAD EQUIPMENT COMPLIANCE STEP-DOWN SCHEDULE</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Compliance Alternative</th> <th>Engine Emission Standard</th> <th>Emissions Control</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Tier 2</td> <td>ARB Level 2 VDECS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Tier 2</td> <td>ARB Level 1 VDECS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Tier 2</td> <td>Alternative Fuel*</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>ow to use the table: If the ERO determines that the equipment requirements cannot be met, then the project onsor would need to meet Compliance Alternative 1. If the ERO determines that the Contractor cannot supply f-road equipment meeting Compliance Alternative 1, then the Contractor must meet Compliance Alternative 2. e ERO determines that the Contractor cannot supply off-road equipment meeting Compliance Alternative 2, th e Contractor must meet Compliance Alternative 3.</p> <p>Alternative fuels are not a VDECS.</p> | Compliance Alternative | Engine Emission Standard | Emissions Control | 1 | Tier 2 | ARB Level 2 VDECS | 2 | Tier 2 | ARB Level 1 VDECS | 3 | Tier 2 | Alternative Fuel* | | | | |
| Compliance Alternative | Engine Emission Standard | Emissions Control | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Tier 2 | ARB Level 2 VDECS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Tier 2 | ARB Level 1 VDECS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Tier 2 | Alternative Fuel* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>C. <i>Construction Emissions Minimization Plan.</i> Before starting on-site construction activities, the Contractor shall submit a Construction Emissions Minimization Plan (Plan) to the ERO for review and approval. The Plan shall state, in reasonable detail, how the Contractor will meet the requirements of Section A.</p> <p>1. The Plan shall include estimates of the construction timeline by phase, with a description of each piece of off-road equipment required for every construction phase. The description may include, but is not limited to equipment type, equipment manufacturer, equipment identification number, engine model year, engine</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| <p>certification (Tier rating), horsepower, engine serial number, and expected fuel usage and hours of operation. For VDECS installed, the description may include technology type, serial number, make, model, manufacturer, ARB verification number level, and installation date and hour meter reading on installation date. For off-road equipment using alternative fuels, the description shall also specify the type of alternative fuel being used.</p> <p>2. The project sponsor shall ensure that all applicable requirements of the Plan have been incorporated into the contract specifications. The Plan shall include a certification statement that the Contractor agrees to comply fully with the Plan.</p> <p>3. The Contractor shall make the Plan available to the public for review on-site during working hours. The Contractor shall post at the construction site a legible and visible sign summarizing the Plan. The sign shall also state that the public may ask to inspect the Plan for the project at any time during working hours and shall explain how to request to inspect the Plan. The Contractor shall post at least one copy of the sign in a visible location on each side of the construction site facing a public right-of-way.</p> <p>D. <i>Monitoring.</i> After start of Construction Activities, the Contractor shall submit quarterly reports to the ERO documenting compliance with the Plan. Within six months of completion of construction activity, the project sponsor shall submit to the ERO a final report summarizing construction activities, including the start and end dates and duration of each construction phase, and the specific information required in the Plan.</p> | <p align="center">Project sponsor</p> | <p align="center">Quarterly, after start of construction activities, and within six months of completion of construction activity</p> | <p align="center">Project sponsor/ contractor(s) and the ERO</p> | <p align="center">Considered complete on findings by ERO that Plan is being/was implemented</p> |

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 13. Geology and Soils | | | | |
| <p>Mitigation Measure M-GE-3a – Design Approval and Construction Monitoring for BART Subway Structure. Prior to issuance of the structural plan addendum to the site permit for the proposed project by DBI, the project sponsor shall submit such plans to BART for its review and approval to ensure that the plans comply with BART guidelines for the construction activity in the BART Zone of Influence (ZOI), including the <i>General Guidelines for Design and Construction Over or Adjacent to BART’s Subway Structures</i>, and <i>Procedures for Permit and Plan Review</i>.</p> <p>The project sponsor and its structural engineer shall coordinate with BART to determine which of the following guidelines must be included in the plans to be submitted to BART for review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Geologic Hazards Evaluation and Geotechnical Investigation reports, which shall include an engineering geology map, a site plan showing the location of subway structures and BART easement, a soil reworking plan, and the geological conclusion and recommendations; • Dewatering monitoring and recharging plans; • A vibration monitoring plan and/or movement and deformation monitoring plans for steel lined tunnels, including locations and details of instruments in subways; • A foundation plan showing the anticipated total foundation loads; • An excavation plan for area in the ZOI, showing excavation slope or shoring system; and • A description of the procedures and control of the soil compaction operation. <p>The project sponsor and its consultant shall monitor the groundwater level in the BART ZOI, and piezometers shall be installed on the sidewalk adjacent to the site if requested by BART.</p> | Project sponsor | Prior to issuance of the structural plan addendum to the site permit from the Department of Building Inspection | BART, Department of Building Inspection | Considered complete on notification to Department of Building Inspection by BART that the foundation and dewatering plans are approved. |

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|
| <p>Mitigation Measure M-GE-3b – Monitoring of Adjacent Structures in the Event of Dewatering. If recommended by the final geotechnical report, the project sponsor would retain a qualified professional to monitor potential settlement and subsidence at permanent structures within 50 feet of the project site. The monitoring shall include, but not be limited to, the following tasks prior to dewatering:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establish survey measurements of the exterior elevations of adjacent properties to monitor any movement or settlement of adjacent permanent structures during excavation; • Photograph and/or video the exterior the relevant structures to document existing conditions prior to commencement of dewatering. The photographic and/or video survey shall be adequate in scope to provide a legally binding “before and after” comparison of the conditions of the adjacent permanent structures; and • Install inclinometers and piezometers if necessary to monitor movement of the shoring system and to monitor groundwater levels, respectively, during excavation and construction. <p>Upon start of construction, the qualified professional shall perform the following tasks:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitor the relevant structures weekly until dewatering and foundation construction and sealing work has been completed; and • In the event that there is more than one-half inch of lateral movement, or one-quarter inch of vertical movement, at an adjacent permanent structure within 50 feet of the project site, the qualified individual shall immediately notify the adjacent property owner, the project sponsor’s general contractor, the shoring and excavation subcontractor, and DBI, and the project sponsor shall instruct its contractor and subcontractor to stop work until such time that appropriate remedial steps have been completed. | <p>Project sponsor</p> | <p>If recommended by final geotechnical report, sponsor to retain qualified professional prior to the start of dewatering; monitoring to occur throughout foundation construction in both Phases 1 and 2.</p> | <p>ERO, Department of Building Inspection</p> | <p>Considered complete at the completion of Phase 2 foundation.</p> |

MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Mitigation Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Actions/Schedule and Verification of Compliance |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| <p>Mitigation Measure M-GE-6 – Inadvertent Discovery of Paleontological Resources. If potential vertebrate fossils are discovered by construction crews, all earthwork or other types of ground disturbance within 50 feet of the find shall stop immediately and the monitor shall notify the City. The fossil should be protected by an “exclusion zone” (an area approximately five feet around the discovery that is marked with caution tape to prevent damage to the fossil). Work shall not resume until a qualified professional paleontologist can assess the nature and importance of the find. Based on the scientific value or uniqueness of the find, the qualified paleontologist may record the find and allow work to continue, or recommend salvage and recovery of the fossil. The qualified paleontologist may also propose modifications to the stop-work radius based on the nature of the find, site geology, and the activities occurring on the site. If treatment and salvage is required, recommendations shall be consistent with SVP’s 2010 Standard Procedures for the Assessment and Mitigation of Adverse Impacts to Paleontological Resources, and currently accepted scientific practice, and shall be subject to review and approval by the City. If required, treatment for fossil remains may include preparation and recovery of fossil materials so that they can be housed in an appropriate museum or university collection [e.g., the University of California Museum of Paleontology], and may also include preparation of a report for publication describing the finds. The City shall ensure that information on the nature, location, and depth of all finds is readily available to the scientific community through university curation or other appropriate means.</p> | <p>Project sponsor, construction contractor, and, if required due to discovery of potential vertebrate fossil(s), qualified paleontologist</p> | <p>Throughout the duration of ground-disturbing activities</p> | <p>Project sponsor to notify ERO of any discovery of potential vertebrate fossil(s)</p> | <p>Considered complete upon completion of ground-disturbing activities</p> |

IMPROVEMENT MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Improvement Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Schedule |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| 4. Transportation and Circulation | | | | |
| <p>Improvement Measure I-TR-2a – Monitoring and Abatement of Queues. As an improvement measure to reduce the potential for queuing of vehicles accessing the project site, it should be the responsibility of the project sponsor to ensure that recurring vehicle queues or vehicle conflicts do not occur adjacent to the site. A vehicle queue is defined as one or more vehicles blocking any portion of adjacent sidewalks or travel lanes for a consecutive period of three minutes or longer on a daily and/or weekly basis.</p> <p>If recurring queuing occurs, the owner/operator of the facility should employ abatement methods as needed to abate the queue. Appropriate abatement methods would vary depending on the characteristics and causes of the recurring queue, as well as the characteristics of the parking and loading facility, the street(s) to which the facility connects, and the associated land uses (if applicable).</p> <p>Suggested abatement methods include, but are not limited to the following: redesign of facility to improve vehicle circulation and/or on-site queue capacity; employment of parking attendants; installation of LOT FULL signs with active management by parking attendants; use of valet parking or other space-efficient parking techniques; use of off-site parking facilities or shared parking with nearby uses; use of parking occupancy sensors and signage directing drivers to available spaces; travel demand management strategies as discussed in the Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Program in the project description; and/or parking demand management strategies such as parking time limits, paid parking, time-of-day parking surcharge, or validated parking.</p> <p>If the Planning Director, or his or her designee, determines that a recurring queue or conflict may be present, the Planning Department should notify the project sponsor, successor owner/operator or garage operator, as applicable, in writing. Upon request, the owner/operator should hire a qualified transportation consultant to evaluate the conditions at the site for no less than seven days. The consultant should prepare a monitoring report to be submitted to the Planning Department for review. If the Planning Department determines that a recurring queue or conflict does exist, the project sponsor should have 90 days from the date of the written determination to abate the recurring queue or conflict, to the satisfaction of the Planning Department.</p> | <p>Project sponsor, successor building owner(s)/operator(s), parking garage operator(s)</p> | <p>Ongoing during project operation</p> | <p>ERO or other Planning Department staff</p> | <p>Monitoring of the public right-of-way would be on-going by the owner/operator of off-street parking operations.</p> |

IMPROVEMENT MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

| Improvement Measure | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Reporting Responsibility | Monitoring Schedule |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| <p>Improvement Measure I-TR-2b – Notification at Driveway. The Project Sponsor should provide visible/audible warning notification at the two driveway entrances to alert pedestrians to vehicles entering and exiting the driveway. Signage should be installed inside and outside the garage entrances, directing drivers to proceed with caution. Conditions at the driveways should be monitored during project occupancy to determine whether an additional audible warning signal(s) or detectible warning surfaces are necessary to supplement the visible warning signal. The final site design will ensure the proposed project driveways are designed appropriately for the visually impaired.</p> | Project sponsor | Prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy for building served by relevant driveway (Building A and Building B) | ERO and SFMTA | Considered complete upon installation of devices. |
| <p>Improvement Measure I-TR-6a – Consolidated Service Deliveries. Building management should work with delivery providers (UPS, FedEx, DHL, USPS, etc.) to coordinate regular delivery times and appropriate loading locations for each building, and retail tenants should be required to schedule their deliveries. The Project Sponsor will evaluate the benefits of consolidating residential deliveries for the market-rate buildings by providing package storage in the buildings that front a loading zone as a potential way to discourage short-term parking on Market Street. Management should instruct all delivery services that trucks bound for the project site are not permitted to stop on Market Street, to encroach in the transit-only or bicycle lanes on Market Street, or to impede the movement of transit vehicles, other vehicles or bicycles by restricting access to the right-turn-only lane on Market Street at 12th Street. Delivery service providers should be strongly encouraged to comply with the project site’s loading procedures.</p> | Project sponsor or successor owner/ manager of residential building, TDM coordinator | Ongoing during project operation | Planning Department – TDM monitoring staff, SFMTA | Ongoing |
| <p>Improvement Measure I-TR-6b – Managed Move-In/Move-Out Operations. Building management should be responsible for coordinating and scheduling all move-in and move-out operations. To the extent possible, such operations requiring the use of on-street loading zones would occur during after-hours and on weekends. Tenants would be strongly encouraged to comply with building move-in/move-out operations.</p> | Project sponsor or successor owner/ manager of residential building, TDM coordinator | Ongoing during project operation | Planning Department – TDM monitoring staff SFMTA | Ongoing |