File No.	171055	Committee Item No.	
•		Board Item No.	31
		 -	

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST				
Committee: Board of Supervisors Meeting	Date: November 14, 2017			
Cmte Board	tter and/or Report			
☐ ☐ Public Correspondence OTHER				
Prepared by: <u>Lisa Lew</u> Prepared by:	Date: November 8, 2017 Date:			

Motion conditionally reversing the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed project at 20 Nobles Alley is categorically exempt from further environmental review, subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of this determination.

[Conditionally Reversing the Categorical Exemption Determination - 20 Nobles Alley]

WHEREAS, On September 8, 2017, the Planning Department determined that the proposed project located 20 Nobles Alley ("Project") is exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 31; and

WHEREAS, The proposed Project involves the retention and legalization of one unpermitted garage door and the removal of a second unpermitted garage door, associated wall vents, and an entry hall window; the stucco wall finish and remaining rough openings on the ground floor would be restored to a prior appearance, based on pictorial evidence of the subject property; and

WHEREAS, On May 8, 2017, the Planning Department issued a Notice of Planning Department Disapproval for building permit #201608094528 for the Project, proposing to remove one of two existing garage doors on the building's visible front elevation; and

WHEREAS, On July 12, 2017, at the request of the project sponsor, the Board of Appeals reviewed the Planning Department disapproval of building permit #201608094528, continued the hearing to September 13, 2017, and requested that the project sponsor produce a set of plans for delivery to the Board of Appeals and the Planning Department; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Appeals also requested that Planning Department staff conduct CEQA review of the Project in advance of the September 13, 2017, hearing; and

WHEREAS, On September 7, 2017, Dudley and Eustace de Saint Phalle (project sponsor), filed an environmental evaluation application for the proposed Project; and

WHEREAS, On September 8, 2017, the Planning Department determined that the Project is exempt from environmental review under Class 1 of the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Reg. Section 15301), which provides an exemption for existing facilities; and

WHEREAS, On September 13, 2017, at the continuation of the building permit appeal hearing, the Board of Appeals overturned the Planning Department's prior decision and approved the Project as proposed on the plan set dated August 21, 2017; and

WHEREAS, On September 27, 2017, Marc Bruno ("Appellant"), appealed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer, by memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated September 28, 2017, determined that the appeal was timely filed; and

WHEREAS, On November 14, 2017, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant and, following the public hearing, affirmed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal; and

WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors conditionally reversed the exemption determination for the Project subject to the adoption of

written findings of the Board in support of such determination based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 171053, and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors conditionally reverses the determination by the Planning Department that the Project is exempt from environmental review, subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of this determination.

n:\land\as2017\0400241\01232693.docx

Print Form

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	Time stamp or meeting date
Thoroby Submit the following tem for introduction (solver only only).	
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendmen	nt).
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning: "Supervisor	inquiries"
5. City Attorney Request.	
6. Call File No. from Committee.	•.
7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	
10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the fol	lowing:
Small Business Commission Youth Commission Ethics C	ommission
Planning Commission Building Inspection Commission	sion
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imper	rative Form.
Sponsor(s):	
Clerk of the Board	
Subject:	
Conditionally Reversing the Categorical Exemption Determination - 20 Nobles Alley	
The text is listed:	
Motion conditionally reversing the determination by the Planning Department that the propose Alley is categorically exempt from further environmental review, subject to the adoption of we Board in support of this determination.	^ 5
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	noi :
For Clerk's Use Only	

171065