Presented in Committee - November 15, 2017

Briefing to Government Audit & Oversight Committee

Performance Audit of the Department of Technology

November 15, 2017 Budget & Legislative Analyst's Office

1

Audit Scope

- 1. Staffing
- 2. Information Security
- 3. Strategic Planning
- 4. Financial Management
- 5. Customer Service
- 6. Project Management

Information Security & Strategic Planning

- □ These areas have been historically underdeveloped in DT, however significant processes initiated and underway during audit → No audit findings in either area.
- Controller, DT, & COIT developing cybersecurity policies
- DT established a strategic plan in Aug 2016.

Staffing

- Although total FTEs increased by 8% since FY 14-15, DT's vacancy rate has decreased, from 17.3% in June 2015 to 14.1% in Dec. 2016.
- Vacancy rate is still higher than 12%, average IT vacancy observed in other counties, but trend is positive.
- CIO turnover is high relative to other cities and other SF department heads.

Staffing – Survey Results

- High scores (>70% agree) for personal motivation (ideas validated, participating in decisions, satisfied with responsibilities, sense of achievement).
- □ Low scores:
 - Internal promotions are fair (22% agree)
 - Confidence in leadership (45% agree)
 - Receiving regular performance evaluations (32% agree)

Financial Management: Service Rates

- □ "Baseline" service is not defined.
- □ DT charges for "baseline" services based on FTEs, not consumption of services → Large departments subsidize smaller ones.
- DT method of adjusting charges based on actuals is not transparent or consistent.
- Customers do not understand what they are paying for and want independence from DT.

Financial Management: Work Orders

- For department-specific projects that require additional funding beyond "baseline," DT create interdepartmental work order to charge customers for cost of projects.
- No consistent criteria for what is included in "baseline" service costs.
- □ Approximately half of such work orders are not documented.
- To the extent documentation exists, it is missing critical information, including:
 - Budgets
 - Basis for costs
 - Scopes of work
 - Project timelines

Financial Management: Contracting

- □ At least \$280m in spending authority (perhaps as much as \$32m more).
- DT does not have a contract management system. Prior assessments going back to 2012 have noted the need for contract management system.
- Lack of monitoring has caused contracts to expire, exposing city to operational and financial risk. As of February 2017, 35 contracts valued at over \$21 million had expired but services that were still being performed.
- □ Contracts often lack controls such as:
 - Scopes of work
 - Schedules for deliverables
 - Not-to-exceed amounts
- □ Most contracts lack performance measures.

Customer Service

- DT's service level agreement with City departments is outdated
- DT's service level agreement does not align with categories in its new workload management system, ServiceNow.

Project Management Office (PMO)

- The PMO does not have a clearly defined role in DT.
- □ Some PMO work efforts are not projects.
- PMO does not have access to funding and expenditure information for projects.
- Project status reporting sometimes unreliable and incomplete.

Overall Conclusion

- DT should improve controls on its financial processes (contracting, charges for services/projects).
- DT needs to regularly obtain input from customers and provide clear descriptions of its services, charges, and support procedures.
- DT needs to better define the purpose and role of the PMO.
- Recommendations will improve the value of DT's services.