F	il	е	1	٧	O	171148

Committee	Item No.	
Board Item	No.	

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	•	Date:	
	pervisors Meeting	Date:	November 28, 2017
Cmte Boar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Motion		
	Resolution		
	Ordinance		
	Legislative Digest		
	Budget and Legislative Analys	t Repor	t
	Youth Commission Report		
	Introduction Form		
	Department/Agency Cover Lett	er and/	or Report
	MOU		
	Grant Information Form		
	Grant Budget		
	Subcontract Budget		
	Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission	•	
	Award Letter	l	
	Application		
	Public Correspondence		•
	Tablic Correspondence		
OTHER	(Click text of checked items for	r a dired	ct link to the document)
			•
H	•		
Prepared by	: Brent Jalipa	Date:	November 20, 2017
Prepared by		Date:	
· ·			

[Affirming the Categorical Exemption Determination - SFMTA Hairball Intersection Improvement Project]

Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Hairball Intersection Improvement Project is categorically exempt from further environmental review.

WHEREAS, On May 16, 2017, the Planning Department determined that the proposed San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Hairball Intersection Improvement Project ("Project") is exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 31; and

WHEREAS, The proposed Project involves paint-only modifications to the existing roadway on Bayshore Boulevard (between Jerrold Avenue and Marin Street) and Jerrold Avenue (between Bayshore Boulevard and Barneveld Avenue), including modifications to existing travel lanes to create a new bicycle lane on Jerrold Avenue, installation of new high visibility crosswalks at the intersection of Marin Street/Bayshore Boulevard and Jerrold Avenue/Bayshore Boulevard, the removal of 10 parking spaces and two loading zones along westbound Jerrold Avenue and establishment of parking restrictions; and

WHEREAS, On May 26, 2017, the Planning Department determined that the Project is exempt from environmental review under Class 1 of the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Reg. Section 15301), which provides an exemption for minor alterations to existing facilities; and

WHEREAS, On September 19, 2017, the SFMTA Board of Directors (the "SFMTA Board") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting and approved the Project by SFMTA Board Resolution No. 1170919-119; and

WHEREAS, On October 19, 2017, Mary Miles, Attorney for the Coalition for Adequate Review ("Appellant") filed an appeal of the categorical exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department's Acting Environmental Review Officer, by memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated October 24, 2017, determined that the appeal was timely filed; and

WHEREAS, On November 28, 2017, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant and, following the public hearing, affirmed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal; and

WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors affirmed the exemption determination for the Project based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No.171147, and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set forth, the exemption determination; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole record before it there are no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the proposed Project is exempt from environmental review; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption determination, this Board concludes that the Project qualifies for an exemption determination under CEQA.

n:\land\as2017\0400241\01235494.docx

Print Form

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	or meeting date
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter A	mendment).
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	
✓ 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning :"Supervisor	inquiries"
5. City Attorney Request.	
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	
10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
	Ethics Commission
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the	ie Imperative Form.
Sponsor(s):	
Clerk of the Board	
Subject:	Y
Affirming the Categorical Exemption Determination - SFMTA Hairball Intersection	Improvement Project
The text is listed:	
Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed Sa Transportation Agency's Hairball Intersection Improvement Project is categorically environmental review.	exempt from further
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	i a Somera
For Clerk's Use Only	

171148