File No	170922	Committee Item No Board Item No.	2
	COMMITTEE/B	OARD OF SUPERVISO	ORS .

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST Committee: Land Use and Transportation Date November 13, 2017 Date December 5 2017 **Board of Supervisors Meeting Cmte Board** Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest **Budget and Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report** Introduction Form Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) **Grant Information Form Grant Budget** Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 - Ethics Commission Award Letter Application Form 700 **Vacancy Notice** Information Sheet **Public Correspondence OTHER** (Use back side if additional space is needed) Historic Preservation Commission Resolution Nos. 854, 881 Landmark Designation case Report Article 10 Landmark Designation Application Notice of Public Hearings

43

Date November 9, 2017

Date November 21,2017

Completed by: Alisa Somera

Completed by: Alisa Comera

NOTE:

[Planning Code -	· Landmark Designation o	of 2/31-2/35 Folson	ı Street (aka	i Gaugnran House)
•				

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to designate 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House), Lot No. 031 in Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3640, as a Landmark under Article 10 of the Planning Code; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making public necessity, convenience and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.

Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font.

Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font.

Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font.

Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font.

Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: Section 1. Findings.

- (a) CEQA and Land Use Findings.
- (1) The Planning Department has determined that the proposed Planning Code amendment is subject to a Categorical Exemption from the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code section 21000 et seq., "CEQA") pursuant to Section 15308 of the Guidelines for Implementation of the statute for actions by regulatory agencies for protection of the environment (in this case, landmark designation). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 170922 and is incorporated herein by reference.

- (2) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, the Board of Supervisors finds that the proposed landmark designation of 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House), Lot No. 031, in Assessor's Parcel Block 3640, will serve the public necessity, convenience and welfare for thereasons set forth in Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 881, recommending approval of the proposed designation, which is incorporated herein by reference.
- (3) The Board finds that the proposed landmark designation of 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House), Lot No. 031, in Assessor's Parcel Block 3640 is consistent with the San Francisco General Plan and with Planning Code Section 101.1(b) for the reasons set forth in Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 881, recommending approval of the proposed designation, which is incorporated herein by reference.
 - (b) General Findings.
- (1) Pursuant to Section 4.135 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Historic Preservation Commission has authority "to recommend approval, disapproval, or modification of landmark designations and historic district designations under the Planning Code to the Board of Supervisors."
- (2) The Designation report was prepared by Page & Turnbull and reviewed by Planning Department Preservation staff. All preparers meet the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards and the report was reviewed for accuracy and conformance with the purposes and standards of Article 10.
- (3) The Historic Preservation Commission, at its regular meeting of March 15, 2017, reviewed Department staff's analysis of 2731-2735 Folsom Street's (aka Gaughran House) historical significance per Article 10 as part of the Landmark Designation Case Report dated March 15, 2017.

21²

- (4) On March 15, 2017, the Historic Preservation Commission passed Resolution No. 854, initiating designation of 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House), Lot No. 031 in Assessor's Parcel Block 3640, as a San Francisco Landmark pursuant to Section 1004.1 of the San Francisco Planning Code. Such motion is on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 170922 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (5) On July 19, 2017, after holding a public hearing on the proposed designation and having considered the specialized analyses prepared by Planning Department staff and the Landmark Designation Case Report, the Historic Preservation Commission recommended approval of the proposed landmark designation of 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House), Lot No. 031, in Assessor's Parcel Block 3640, in Resolution No. 881. Such resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 170922.
- (6) The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House), Lot No. 031, in Assessor's Parcel Block 3640, has a special character and special historical, architectural, and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a Landmark will further the purposes of and conform to the standards set forth in Article 10 of the San Francisco Planning Code.

Section 2. Designation.

Pursuant to Section 1004 of the Planning Code, 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House), Lot No. 031, in Assessor's Parcel Block 3640, is hereby designated as a San Francisco Landmark under Article 10 of the Planning Code.

Section 3. Required Data.

- (a) The description, location, and boundary of the Landmark site consists of the City parcel located at 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House), Lot No. 031, in Assessor's Parcel Block 3640, in San Francisco's Mission neighborhood.
- (b) The characteristics of the Landmark that justify its designation are described and shown in the Landmark Designation Case Report and other supporting materials contained in Planning Department Case Docket No. 2016-010894DES. In brief, 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House), Lot No. 031, in Assessor's Parcel Block 3640, is eligible for local designation under National Register of Historic Places Criterion A and C (as it is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history, embodies distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, and represents the work of a master architect). Specifically, designation of 2731-2735 Folsom Street is proper given it is significant for its association with the development of the Mission District as a streetcar suburb, is a fine example of residential Beaux-Arts style architecture, and is notable as the work of local master architect James Francis Dunn.
- (c) The particular features that shall be preserved, or replaced in-kind as determined necessary, are those generally shown in photographs and described in the Landmark Designation Case Report, which can be found in Planning Department Docket No. 2016-010894DES, and which are incorporated in this designation by reference as though fully set forth. Specifically, the following features shall be preserved or replaced in kind:
- (1) All exterior elevations, form, massing, structure, roofline, architectural ornament and materials of 2731-2735 Folsom Street, identified as:
 - (A) Three-story building with slightly pitched hip roof;
 - (B) Asymmetrical primary (west) façade;
 - (C) Wood shiplap cladding:
 - (D) Rusticated ground level cladding;

1	
1	(E) Location, size, and shape of fenestration openings on primary
2	façade;
3	(F) Original wood-sash and wood-frame single-hung windows with ogeo
4	lugs;
5	(G) Ground level openings with dentil and rope moldings and keystone
6	cartouches;
7	(H) Molded belt course with acanthus leaves and geometric details;
8	(I) Wood stair to first story entries;
9	(J) Partially glazed doors with transom windows; leaded stained glass
10	window within entry vestibule;
11	(K) Double-height engaged Corinthian columns; capitals with female
12	masks;
13	(L) Egg and dart molding above rounded bay transom windows;
14	(M) Molded balcony with iron railing;
15	(N) Elongated quatrefoil windows;
16	(O) Entablature with projecting cornice, acanthus modillions, dentil
17	course, ribbon of textured plaster with x-motifs pinned with florettes, and a thin rope molding
18	(P) Double-height rounded bay;
19	(Q) Domed turret above double-height rounded bay; and
20	(R) Form, massing and simple-drop style horizontal siding at secondary
21	(north, south and east) elevations.
22	
23	Section 4. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
24	enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
25	

ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

Ву:

n:\land\as2017\0900449\01217610.doc

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Planning Code - Landmark Designation of 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House)]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to designate 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House), Lot No. 031 in Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3640, as a Landmark under Article 10 of the Planning Code; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making public necessity, convenience and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

Existing Law

Under Article 10, Section 1004 of the Planning Code, the Board of Supervisors may, by ordinance, designate an individual structure that has special character or special historical, architectural or aesthetic interest or value as a City landmark. Once a structure has been named a landmark, any construction, alteration, removal or demolition for which a City permit is required necessitates a Certificate of Appropriateness from the Historic Preservation Commission ("HPC"). (Planning Code Section 1006; Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, Section 4.135.) Thus, landmark designation affords a high degree of protection to historic and architectural structures of merit in the City. There are currently more than 260 individual landmarks in the City under Article 10, in addition to other structures and districts in the downtown area that are protected under Article 11. (See Appendix A to Article 10.)

Amendments to Current Law

This ordinance amends the Planning Code to add a new historic landmark to the list of individual landmarks under Article 10: 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House).

The ordinance finds that 2731-2735 Folsom Street is eligible for designation as a City landmark under National Register of Historic Places Criteria A and C (as it is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history, embodies distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, and represents the work of a master architect). Specifically, designation of 2731-2735 Folsom Street is proper given it is significant for its association with the development of the Mission District as a streetcar suburb, is a fine example of residential Beaux-Arts style architecture, and is notable as the work of local master architect James Francis Dunn.

As required by Section 1004, the ordinance lists the particular exterior features that shall be preserved, or replaced in-kind as determined necessary.

Background Information

Following receipt of a Community-Sponsored Article 10 Landmark Designation Application by the Planning Department, the landmark designation was initiated by the HPC pursuant to its authority under the Charter to recommend approval, disapproval, or modification of landmark designations and historic district designations under the Planning Code to the Board of Supervisors. The HPC held a hearing to initiate the landmark designation of 2731-2735 Folsom Street on March 15, 2017. On July 19, 2017, the HPC held a public hearing on the proposed designation and considered the Landmark Designation Case Report prepared by Page & Turnbull, which was reviewed by Department Staff Shannon Ferguson and Tim Frye, all of which meet the Secretary of the Interior Professional Qualification Standards. At such hearing, the HPC voted to recommend approval of the designation of 2731-2735 Folsom Street as a City landmark under Article 10 of the Planning Code to the Board of Supervisors.

n:\land\as2017\0900449\01205321.docx

Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 854

HEARING DATE MARCH 15, 2017

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

RESOLUTION TO INITIATE DESIGNATION OF 2731-2735 FOLSOM STREET (AKA GAUGHRAN HOUSE), LOT 031 IN ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 3640, AS ARTICLE 10 LANDMARK.

- 1. WHEREAS, Historic Preservation Consultant Page & Turnbull prepared the Landmark Designation Report for 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House) on behalf of property owners Sean Lundy and Carol Wai, which was reviewed by Department Staff Shannon Ferguson and Tim Frye, who meet the Secretary of Interior's Professional Qualification Standards, for accuracy and conformance with the purposes and standards of Article 10; and
- WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission, at its regular meeting of March 15, 2017, reviewed Department staff's analysis of 2731-2735 Folsom Street's historical significance per Article 10 as part of the Landmark Designation Case Report dated March 15, 2017; and
- WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that 2731-2735 Folsom Street nomination
 is in the form prescribed by the HPC and contains supporting historic, architectural, and/or
 cultural documentation; and

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Historic Preservation Commission hereby initiates designation of 2731-2735 Folsom Street, Assessor's Block 3640, Lot 031 as a Landmark pursuant to Article 10 of the Planning Code with the following conditions:

- Include information from the South Mission Survey on the development of the neighborhood and analyze 2731-2735 Folsom Street for its potential significance of being located in an area developed as one of the Mission's early street-car suburbs;
- 2. Analyze the integrity of secondary elevations and include drawings from the recent remodel to compare original and existing conditions;
- 3. Include the massing, form and the style of simple-drop horizontal siding on the secondary elevations in the character-defining features. Delete gold leaf and metal railings from the character-defining features as these elements are contemporary features.

www.sfplanning.org

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Historic Preservation Commission at its meeting on March 15, 2017.

Johas P. Jonin

Commission Secretary

AYES:

Hyland, Hasz, Johnck, Johns, Matsuda, Pearlman, Wolfram

NAYS:

None

ABSENT:

None

ADOPTED:

March 15, 2017



HEARING DATE:

July 19, 2017

CASE NUMBER:

2016-010894DES

PROJECT ADDRESS

2731-2735 Folsom Street

BLOCK/LOT

3640/031

TO:

Historic Preservation Commission

FROM:

Shannon Ferguson

Preservation Planner, 415-575-9074

REVIEWED BY:

Tim Frye

Historic Preservation Officer, 415-575-6822

RE:

Landmark Recommendation Resolution

On March 15, 2017, the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) adopted Resolution No. 854 to initiate Article 10 landmark designation of 2731-2735 Folsom Street, known historically as Gaughran House (subject property). Under Article 10, initiation and recommendation are two distinct steps of the landmark designation process which require separate hearings and resolutions. The item before the HPC is consideration of a Resolution to Recommend Article 10 landmark designation of the subject property to the Board of Supervisors.

Please note, at the March 15, 2017 hearing, the HPC requested the historic preservation consultant analyze the subject property for its potential significance of being located in an area developed as one of the Mission's early, prototypical residential neighborhoods, or street-car suburb and include information from the South Mission Historic Resource Survey and Mission Context Statement on the development of the neighborhood. The HPC also requested the character-defining features be refined to include the form, massing and simple-drop style horizontal siding at secondary (north, south and east) elevations and omit contemporary features including "gold leaf" and metal stair railings.

The attached revised Landmark Designation Report includes information on the development of the Mission neighborhood and has found the subject property to be significant under Criterion A (associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history) for its association with the development of the Mission District as a streetcar suburb in addition to its significance under Criterion C (embodies distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction and represents the work of a master architect) as fine example of residential Beaux-Arts style architecture, and notable as the work of local master architect James Francis Dunn. The revised report also includes refinements to the character-defining features as requested.

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception:

415.558.6378

rax:

415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377 Attached is a draft Resolution to recommend to the Board of Supervisors designation of 2731-2735 Folsom Street, known historically as Gaughran House as a San Francisco landmark under Article 10 of the Planning Code, Section 1004.1. Also attached is a revised Designation Ordinance reflecting the changes detailed above.

The Planning Department (Department) recommends adopting the Resolution.

ATTACHMENTS:

Draft Resolution
Resolution 854
Revised Designation Ordinance
Revised Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
Revised Landmark Designation Report



Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 881

HEARING DATE JULY 19, 2016

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: **415.558.6409**

Planning

Information: 415.558.6377

Case No.

2016-010894DES

Project:

2731-2735 Folsom Street (Gaughran House) Recommendation to Board of Supervisors

Staff Contact:

Shannon Ferguson (415) 575-9074

shannon.ferguson@sfgov.org

Reviewed By:

Tim Frye - (415) 575-6822

tim.frye@sfgov.org

RESOLUTION TO RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ARTICLE 10 LANDMARK DESIGNATION OF 2731-2735 FOLSOM STREET (AKA GAUGHRAN HOUSE), ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 3640, LOT 031 IN, AS LANDMARK NO. XXX

- WHEREAS, Historic Preservation Consultant Page & Turnbull, who meets the Secretary of Interior's Professional Qualification Standards, prepared the Landmark Designation Report for 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House) on behalf of property owners Sean Lundy and Carol Wai, which was reviewed by Department Staff Shannon Ferguson and Tim Frye, who meet the Secretary of Interior's Professional Qualification Standards, for accuracy and conformance with the purposes and standards of Article 10; and
- WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission, at its regular meeting of March 15, 2017 reviewed Department staff's analysis of 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House) historical significance per Article 10 as part of the Landmark Designation Case Report dated April 2017 and;
- 3. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission initiated Landmark designation process through Resolution 854 with conditions to include information from the South Mission Survey on the development of the neighborhood and analyze 2731-2735 Folsom Street for its potential significance of being located in an area developed as one of the Mission's early street-car suburbs; analyze the integrity of secondary elevations and include drawings from the recent remodel to compare original and existing conditions; include the massing, form and the style of simple-drop horizontal siding on the secondary elevations in the character-defining features; delete gold leaf and metal railings from the character-defining features as these elements are contemporary features.
- 4. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that the 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House) nomination has been revised to address the conditions mentioned above, is in the form prescribed by the Historic Preservation Commission and contains supporting historic, architectural, and/or cultural documentation; and

- 5. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House) is significant for its association with the development of the Mission District as a streetcar suburb, is a fine example of residential Beaux-Arts style architecture, and is notable as the work of local master architect James Francis Dunn; and
- 6. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House) meets two of the Historic Preservation Commission's priorities for designation which are the designation of underrepresented Landmark property types including landscapes; and the designation of buildings located in geographically underrepresented areas; and
- WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House) meets the eligibility requirements per Section 1004 of the Planning Code and warrants consideration for Article 10 landmark designation; and
- 8. WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that the boundaries and the list of exterior and interior character-defining features, as identified in the Landmark Designation Report, should be considered for preservation under the proposed landmark designation as they relate to the building's historical significance and retain historical integrity; and
- WHEREAS, the proposed designation is consistent with the General Plan priority policies
 pursuant to Planning Code sections 101.1 and 302; and furthers Priority Policy No. 7, which
 states that historic buildings be preserved; and
- WHEREAS, the Department has determined that landmark designation is exempt from environmental review, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15308 (Class Eight - Categorical); and

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Historic Preservation Commission hereby recommends to the Board of Supervisors approval of landmark designation of 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House), Assessor's Block 3640, Lot 031 pursuant to Article 10 of the Planning Code.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Historic Preservation Commission at its meeting on July 19, 2017.

Christine L. Silva

Acting Commission Secretary

AYES:

Johns, Johnck, Pearlman, Matsuda, Wolfram

NAYS:

None

ABSENT:

Hyland, Hasz

ADOPTED:

July 19, 2017

Landmark Designation Case Report

1650 Mission St.

CA 94103-2479 Reception: 415.558.6378

415.558.6409

415.558.6377

Planning Information:

Suite 400 San Francisco,

Hearing Date:

March 15, 2017

Case No.:

2016-010894DES

Project Address:

2731, 2733, 2735 Folsom Street

Zoning:

RH-2

Block/Lots:

3640/031 Property Owner: Sean Lundy, Carol Wai

2731-2735 Folsom Street

San Francisco, CA 94110

Staff Contact:

Shannon Ferguson - (415) 575-9074

shannon.ferguson@sfgov.org

Reviewed By:

Tim Frye – (415) 575-6822

tim.frye@sfgov.org

PROPERTY DESCRIPTIONS & SURROUNDING LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT

2731-2735 Folsom Street, known as the Gaughran House, is located on the west side of Folsom Street between 23rd and 24th streets. 2731-2735 Folsom Street is a three-story, wood frame, three-unit, multifamily, residential building with a rectangular plan. It was designed in the Beaux-Arts style by architect James Francis Dunn (1874-1921) and constructed in 1900 for James Gaughran. The attached Community-Sponsored Article 10 Landmark Designation Application contains a detailed exterior building description on pages 1-15.

The subject property is located in the South Mission neighborhood. This neighborhood was surveyed as part of the South Mission Survey (adopted by the Historic Preservation Commission on November 17, 2011). The subject property is adjacent to the National Register-eligible Shotwell Street Victoriana Historic District, which was identified as resembling an "ideal" Victorian-era suburban neighborhood with mostly high-style architecture and detached, single-family dwellings for the 19th-century middle classes. Located between very early streetcar lines on Howard (South Van Ness Avenue) and Folsom Streets, the area developed as one of the Mission's early, prototypical residential neighborhoods:

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The case before the Historic Preservation Commission is the consideration of the initiation of a Community-Sponsored Article 10 Landmark Designation Application for 2731 Folsom Street as a San Francisco Landmark under Article 10 of the Planning Code, Section 1004.1, and recommending that the Board of Supervisors approve of such designation.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW STATUS

The Planning Department has determined that actions by regulatory agencies for protection of the environment (specifically in this case, landmark designation) are exempt from environmental review, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15308 (Class Eight - Categorical).

www.sfplanning.org

GENERAL PLAN POLICIES

The Urban Design Element of the San-Francisco General Plan contains the following relevant objectives and policies:

OBJECTIVE 2: Conservation of Resources that provide a sense of nature, continuity with the

past, and freedom from overcrowding.

POLICY 4: Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural or aesthetic value,

and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide

continuity with past development.

Designating significant historic resources as local landmarks will further continuity with the past because the buildings will be preserved for the benefit of future generations. Landmark designation will require that the Planning Department and the Historic Preservation Commission review proposed work that may have an impact on character-defining features. Both entities will utilize the Secretary of Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties in their review to ensure that only appropriate, compatible alterations are made.

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1 - GENERAL PLAN CONSISTENCY AND IMPLEMENTATION

Planning Code Section 101.1 – Eight Priority Policies establishes and requires review of permits for consistency with said policies. On balance, the proposed designation is consistent with the priority policies in that:

a. The proposed designation will further Priority Policy No. 7, that landmarks and historic buildings be preserved. Designation of 2731-2735 Folsom Street will help to preserve an important historical resource that is significant as a notable work of local master architect James Francis Dunn (1874-1921) and is a fine example of residential Beaux-Arts architecture.

BACKGROUND / PREVIOUS ACTIONS

The Community-Sponsored Article 10 Landmark Designation Application was prepared by Page & Turnbull and submitted by Sean Lundy and Carol Wai, the property owners, to the Department in October 2016. A final draft of the landmark designation report was received by the Department in February 2017.

2731-35 Folsom Street is included in the 1976 Department of City Planning Architectural Quality Survey (1976 DCP Survey) with a rating of "4". The subject property was also documented as part of the South Mission Historic Resource Survey (adopted November 17, 2011) and given a survey rating of "3CS" meaning the property appears eligible for the California Register as an individual property through survey evaluation.

If the Historic Preservation Commission decides to initiate Article 10 landmark designation of the subject property, the item will be considered again by the Historic Preservation Commission at a subsequent hearing. At that time the Historic Preservation Commission may adopt a resolution recommending that

the Board of Supervisors support the designation. The nomination would then be considered at a future Board of Supervisors hearing for formal Article 10 landmark designation.

APPLICABLE PRESERVATION STANDARDS

ARTICLE 10

Section 1004 of the Planning Code authorizes the landmark designation of an individual structure or other feature or an integrated group of structures and features on a single lot or site, having special character or special historical, architectural or aesthetic interest or value, as a landmark. Section 1004.1 also outlines that landmark designation may be initiated by the Board of Supervisors or the Historic Preservation Commission and the initiation shall include findings in support. Section 1004.2 states that once initiated, the proposed designation is referred to the Historic Preservation Commission for a report and recommendation to the Board of Supervisors to approve, disapprove or modify the proposal.

Pursuant to Section 1004.3 of the Planning Code, if the Historic Preservation Commission approves the designation, a copy of the resolution of approval is transmitted to the Board of Supervisors and without referral to the Planning Commission. The Board of Supervisors shall hold a public hearing on the designation and may approve, modify or disapprove the designation.

In the case of the initiation of a historic district, the Historic Preservation Commission shall refer its recommendation to the Planning Commission pursuant to Section 1004.2(c). The Planning Commission shall have 45 days to provide review and comment on the proposed designation and address the consistency of the proposed designation with the General Plan, Section 101.1 priority policies, the City's Regional Housing Needs Allocation, and the Sustainable Communities Strategy for the Bay Area. These comments shall be sent to the Board of Supervisors in the form of a resolution.

Section 1004(b) requires that the designating ordinance approved by the Board of Supervisors shall include the location and boundaries of the landmark site, a description of the characteristics of the landmark which justify its designation, and a description of the particular features that should be preserved.

Section 1004.4 states that if the Historic Preservation Commission disapproves the proposed designation, such action shall be final, except upon the filing of a valid appeal to the Board of Supervisors within 30 days.

ARTICLE 10 LANDMARK CRITERIA

The Historic Preservation Commission on February 4, 2009, by Resolution No. 001, adopted the National Register Criteria as its methodology for recommending landmark designation of historic resources. Under the National Register Criteria, the quality of significance in American history, architecture, archaeology, engineering, and culture is present in districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects that possess integrity of location, design, setting, feeling, materials, workmanship, and association, and that are associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history; or that are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past; or that embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT lack individual distinction; or properties that have yielded, or may likely yield, information important in prehistory or history.

PUBLIC / NEIGHBORHOOD INPUT

There is no known public or neighborhood opposition to designation of 2731-2735 Folsom Street as an Article 10 landmark. The Department will provide any public correspondence received after the submittal of this report in the Historic Preservation Commission's correspondence folder.

PROPERTY OWNER INPUT

The property owner is supportive of landmark designation.

STAFF ANALYSIS

The case report and analysis under review was prepared by Department preservation staff. The Department has determined 2731-2735 Folsom Street meets the requirements for Article 10 eligibility as an individual landmark. The justification inclusion is outlined below under the Significance and Integrity sections of this case report.

SIGNIFICANCE

2731-35 Folsom Street is significant as a notable work of local master architect James Francis Dunn (1874-1921) and is a fine example of residential Beaux-Arts architecture. The building is clearly identifiable as a James Dunn building, especially with its intricately molded balcony topped by an elaborate wrought iron railing — a feature that Dunn frequently used in his apartment building designs. His other signature design preferences are also present, including: a rusticated ground floor, a tripartite composition, molded surrounds, exuberant surface ornamentation, and arched openings and that are also hallmarks of the Beaux-Arts style. In designing 2731-35 Folsom Street, Dunn combined formal compositional elements with playful decorative detailing.

The Community-Sponsored Landmark Designation Application fails to analyze the subject property for its potential significance of being located in an area developed as one of the Mission's early, prototypical residential neighborhoods, or street-car suburb. At the very least, discussion of the development of the Mission neighborhood should be included in the neighborhood development section of the Community-Sponsored Landmark Designation Application.

Staff recommends information from the South Mission Historic Resource Survey and Mission Context Statement on the development of the neighborhood be included in the application and the subject property analyzed for its potential significance of being located in an area developed as one of the Mission's early street-car suburbs.

INTEGRITY

The building was originally constructed in its current location in 1900. The building has not been moved and retains integrity of location. The building retains integrity of setting because the subject block remains primarily residential. The building retains integrity of feeling as it continues to express itself as a Beaux-Arts residence constructed in 1900, and it retains integrity of association as the majority of character-defining features linking the building to architect James Dunn have been retained. With its

intricately designed decorative details, the primary façade retains its original materials and evidence of workmanship.

The Community-Sponsored Landmark Designation Application states that the secondary facades of the subject property do not retain integrity because they have been altered, but does not offer a complete analysis for this conclusion, nor does the application include drawings from the recent remodel completed by the current owners that could be used for comparison of original and existing conditions. Based on Staff analysis and site visit, the subject property retains sufficient integrity including alterations to secondary elevations. Although the secondary elevations have been altered (likely the windows types and locations as well as siding have been altered), they still retain their original massing and form and are clad in a compatible style of simple-drop siding. Therefore the secondary elevations retain integrity.

Staff recommends that the integrity of secondary facades be analyzed and drawings from the recent remodel be included to compare original and existing conditions.

CHARACTER-DEFINING FEATURES

Whenever a building, site, object, or landscape is under consideration for Article 10 landmark designation, the Historic Preservation Commission is required to identify character-defining features of the property. This is done to enable owners and the public to understand which elements are considered most important to preserve the historical and architectural character of the proposed landmark.

The Community-Sponsored Landmark Designation Application lists the character-defining features on page 34. The Application limits the character-defining features to the primary (west) façade of the building and does not include secondary facades. As discussed above, secondary (north, south and east) elevations retain integrity; therefore features on secondary elevations should be included in the character-defining features. "Gold-leaf" and the metal stair railings are also listed as character-defining features in the Community-Sponsored Landmark Designation Application. However, both elements are contemporary features and are not character-defining.

Staff recommends the character-defining features include the massing, form and the style of simple-drop horizontal siding on the secondary elevations. Staff also recommends "gold leaf" and metal stair railings deleted from the character-defining features as these elements are contemporary features.

Based on staff analysis, the character-defining features should be revised as follows:

Character defining features include the form, massing, structure, architectural ornament and materials identified as:

- Three-story building with slightly pitched hip roof
- Asymmetrical primary façade
- Wood shiplap cladding
- Rusticated ground level cladding
- Location, size, and shape of fenestration openings
- Original wood-sash and wood-frame single-hung windows with ogee lugs
- Ground level openings with dentil and rope moldings and keystone cartouches

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

- · Molded belt course with acanthus leaves and geometric details
- Wood stair to first story entries
- Partially glazed doors with transom windows; leaded stained glass window within entry vestibule
- Double-height engaged Corinthian columns; capitals with female masks
- · Egg and dart molding above rounded bay transom windows
- Molded balcony with iron railing
- Elongated quatrefoil windows
- Entablature with projecting cornice, acanthus modillions, dentil course, ribbon of textured plaster with x-motifs pinned with florettes, and a thin rope molding
- Double-height rounded bay
- Domed turret above double-height rounded bay
- Form, massing and simple-drop style horizontal siding at secondary (north, south and east) elevations

BOUNDARIES OF THE LANDMARK SITE

Encompassing all of and limited to Lot 031 on the Assessor's Block 3640 on the east side of Folsom Street, between 23rd and 24th streets.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION

Based on the Department's analysis, 2731-2735 Folsom Street meets the requirements for Article 10 eligibility as an individual landmark as it embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, and represents the work of a master.

However, it is important to note that the subject property does not meet the Historic Preservation Commission's priorities for designation which are:

- 1. The designation of underrepresented Landmark property types including landscapes
 The subject property is a multi-family residence designed in the Beaux-Arts style. Three nearby
 multi- family residences have been designated as Landmarks (#208 McCormick House located at
 4040-4042 17th Street was constructed in 1902 in Queen Anne style; #191 Oakley Residence and
 Flats located at 200-202 Fair Oaks was constructed in 1886 in the Italianate style, and #206
 Howard/26th Street Cottages located at 3274-3294 26th Street was constructed in 1905 in the
 Craftsman style), though none in the Beaux-Arts style. There are numerous single family
 residences designated as landmarks, four in the vicinity of the subject property.
- The designation of buildings of Modern design
 The subject building is not a Modern style building.
- 3. The designation of buildings located in geographically underrepresented areas
 The subject property is not located in an area that is geographically underrepresented in landmark buildings. There are eight landmarks located in the Mission neighborhood: #245 The New Mission Theater located at 2550 Mission Street; #166, Trinity Presbyterian Church at 3261 23rd Street; #234 Mission Branch Library at 3359 24th Street; #74 Stone House located at 1348 South

Van Ness Avenue; #125 Havens Mansion & Carriage House located at 1381 South Van Ness Avenue; #206 Howard/26th Street Cottages located at 3274-3294 26th Street; #191 Oakley Residence and Flats located at 200-202 Fair Oaks Street; and #189 Frank G. Edwards House located at 1366 Guerrero Street.

4. The designation of properties with strong cultural or ethnic associations.

The subject building does not appear to have any cultural or ethnic associations.

Although the subject property does not meet the Historic Preservation Commission's priorities for designation, it still meets the eligibility requirements for Article 10 designation as a notable work of local master architect James Francis Dunn and a fine example of residential Beaux-Arts architecture. As a Community-Sponsored and funded Landmark Designation Application, the Department recommends the Historic Preservation Commission initiate Article 10 Landmark designation for 2731-2735 Folsom Street

Under Article 10, The Historic Preservation Commission may recommend approval, disapproval or approval with modifications of the proposed initiation of 2731-2735 Folsom Street landmark designation. If the Historic Preservation Commission approves initiation, a second hearing will be held to consider whether or not to recommend the landmark designation to the Board of Supervisors. A copy of the motion of recommendation is then transmitted to the Board of Supervisors, which will hold a public hearing on the designation and may approve, modify or disapprove the designation (Section 1004.4). If the Historic Preservation Commission disapproves the proposed designation, such action shall be final, except upon the filing of a valid appeal to the Board of Supervisors within 30 days (Section 1004.5).

ATTACHMENTS

- A. Exhibits
- B. Draft Resolution initiating designation
- C. Draft Ordinance
- D. Landmark Designation Fact Sheet
- E. Historic Landmark Designation Application prepared by Page & Turnbull



Community-Sponsored Article 10 Landmark Designation Application

Staff Contact:

Shannon Ferguson - (415) 575-9074

shannon.ferguson@sfgov.org

Reviewed By:

Timothy Frye - (415) 575-6822

tim.frye@sfgov.org

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479


Reception:

415.558.6378

Fax:

415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377



Historic Name:	Gaughran House
Address:	2731-2735 Folsom Street
Block/Lot:	3640/031
Zoning:	RH-2
Year Built:	1900
Architect:	James Francis Dunn
Applicant:	Sean Lundy & Carol Wai, Property Owners
Prior Historic	The building was documented in South Mission Historic Resources Survey and
Studies:	given a survey rating of "3CS" or appears eligible for the California Register as an
	individual property through survey evaluation. The 1976 Survey gave the building
	a survey rating of 4 out of 5 for its architecture.
Prior HPC Actions:	None

Significance Criteria	Events: Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the
	broad patterns of our history
	Architecture: Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, and represents the work of a master.
Statement of	2731-2735 Folsom Street is significant for its association with the development of
Significance:	the Mission District as a streetcar suburb, as a notable work of local master architect James Francis Dunn (1874-1921), and as a fine example of residential Beaux-Arts architecture.
	The following is excerpted from the Community-Sponsored Article 10 Landmark Designation Application:
	<u>Events</u>
	The construction, architectural design, and location of the subject building are directly associated with transit developments that occurred in the latter half of the nineteenth century. The period of 1864-1906, often termed the "Gilded Age," was one of the most significant periods of population growth and development in the Mission District. Installation of mass transit lines (and the
	expansion of city utilities) enabled the Mission District to become San Francisco's first southerly "streetcar suburb." Streetcar lines initially began as horse-car routes that ran from downtown down Valencia, Mission, Howard and Folsom streets. From 1865 to 1883, extensive and reliable streetcar service
	of the Mission District was established on major north-south routes, including Folsom Street. The improved mass transit system transported neighborhood residents to and from downtown work places and shopping areas.
	Naturally, the lots in close proximity to the streetcar routes were developed to take advantage of the convenience of transit. 2731-35 Folsom Street is a reflection of the mixed, primarily middle-class socioeconomic identity of the Mission District prior to the 1906 earthquake and fires. The building was constructed as a multi-unit flat, rather than a single-family residence. The building's location provided direct proximity to the Folsom Street streetcar line, which began as a horse-car route and transitioned to electrified streetcars in the 1890s, not long before the building at 2731-35 Folsom Street was constructed in 1900.
	Architecture James Dunn (1874-1921) was a self-taught architect, having studied building and design journals. He is known to have travelled the U.S., and it is likely that he visited France as well. By 1897, he partnered with Albert Schroepfer and had a San Francisco office at 3rd and Market streets. Dunn actively designed residential and some commercial buildings from 1897 to 1921 – first as part of his partnership with Albert Schroepfer, then independently, and finally with Daniel Kearns. Dunn was a member of the San Francisco Chapter of the AIA

and belonged to the Union League Club. The Architect and Engineer journal ran several pieces by or about Dunn, including his lead piece, "Apartment

Houses" in a special September 1919 apartment house issue, and his April 1919 article, "Poor Designing One Reason for Apathy in Apartment House Building."

James Dunn is considered a master architect in San Francisco due to the number of commissions completed and their respective degrees of architectural excellence. Dunn is best is known as a designer of multi-unit residential buildings in the Beaux-Arts style. His designs often featured curved balconies and bay windows, delicate ironwork, and exuberant ornamentation, including animal and human faces. Decorative details like cartouches and shields are common. Dunn used eagles or phoenixes to support balconies and cornices. Many of his buildings have a broad, heavily ornamented cornice and a rusticated first story topped with a belt course, defining the ground level from the upper, full-living levels.

Although Dunn often designed his buildings with Beaux-Arts influences, he also experimented with Art Nouveau, Mission Revival, Moorish, Classical Revival, French Renaissance, and Baroque styles. The Alhambra Apartments at 860 Geary Street (1913) have been illustrated and reproduced in architectural journals. Located at the edge of the Tenderloin, the building features a dome, scalloped Moorish windows, and multicolored tile columns. The Chambord Apartments (1922) sit atop Nob Hill at 1298 Sacramento Street. "Few San Francisco apartment houses would have been as at home in Paris as the Chambord," architectural historian Michael Corbett wrote about Dunn's most famous apartment house. Dunn died before this final building was completed. His former partner, Schroepfer, finished the 11-story Chambord. In the early 1980s, the building was restored using Dunn's original scheme, emulating details from other Dunn buildings. The Chambord Apartments building is San Francisco Landmark #106.

The building is clearly identifiable as a James Dunn building, especially with its intricately molded balcony topped by an elaborate wrought iron railing – a feature that Dunn frequently used in his apartment building designs. His other signature design preferences are also present, including: a rusticated ground floor, a tripartite composition, molded surrounds, exuberant surface ornamentation, and arched openings. He combines formal compositional elements with playful decorative detailing, such as his use of women's faces.

Beaux-Arts is a style that became popular in San Francisco around the turn of the twentieth century. In San Francisco, as in other cities in the United States, the classical design and planning principles of the Ecole des Beaux-Arts were translated into architecture and city planning through the City Beautiful Movement.

The World's Columbia Exposition, held in Chicago in 1893, popularized Beaux-Arts Classicism across the United States. Also known as the "White

City," the Exposition was an early example of Beaux-Arts planning and architecture in the United States that impacted the course of planning and design during the decade before and after the turn-of-the-twentieth-century.

The influence of the Beaux-Arts planning and design principles exhibited in Chicago began to manifest itself in the architecture of San Francisco that same decade. According to *Splendid Survivors*, "During the rest of the 1890s, although little building actually occurred in downtown San Francisco, the City of Paris, the Ferry Building, the Emporium, and the Call Building all... promoted the image of the City Beautiful." After the 1906 earthquake and fires, the City of San Francisco was poised for reconstruction. By this time, many Beaux-Arts-trained architects were already practicing in the city. It was the designs created by these architects that helped shape the new character of San Francisco (moving away from the Victorian-era architectural styles). Increasingly, architects incorporated Beaux-Arts features such as paired columns, surface ornamentation, elaborate decorative detailing, and rusticated ground levels into their designs.

In 1915, San Francisco held its own exposition — the Panama-Pacific International Exposition (PPIE) — modeled on Chicago's exposition held 22 years earlier. The PPIE showcased visionary Beaux-Arts buildings designed by local and nationally-known architects that were constructed out of temporary, lightweight materials. At the same time, the San Francisco Civic Center was planned as a monumental City Beautiful core, classically detailed and symmetrically ordered. By the outbreak of the First World War, San Francisco had a Beaux-Arts Civic Center planned in accordance with the precepts of the City Beautiful Movement. After the PPIE, construction in San Francisco slowed down due to WWII, and by the early 1920s, Beaux-Arts Classicism had begun to transition into the Moderne.

The elements that lend 2731-2735 Folsom Street its Beaux-Arts character include decorative shields, cartouches, Corinthian engaged columns, and a rusticated first story. The engaged column capitals creatively feature women's faces. The molded surrounds of the third story windows are intricately designed (the northern vertically oriented quatrefoil window includes a human form). The heavy entablature consists of multiple layers of detailed ornamentation, including a dentil course. The cornice's modillions are pressed with an organic floral pattern. The primary façade also features arched openings and clearly defined levels – both characteristics in keeping with the Beaux-Arts style. Although the first story is not masonry, rusticated stone is mimicked by using stucco to heavily exaggerate the joints. The first story, with its stucco rustication and molded belt course, is clearly distinguished from the second and third stories.

Character-Defining Features


Character defining features include the form, massing, structure, architectural ornament and materials identified as:

- Three-story building with slightly pitched hip roof
- Asymmetrical primary façade
- Wood shiplap cladding
- Rusticated ground level cladding
- Location, size, and shape of fenestration openings
- Original wood-sash and wood-frame single-hung windows with ogee lugs
- Ground level openings with dentil and rope moldings and keystone cartouches
- Molded belt course with acanthus leaves and geometric details.
- Wood stair to first story entries
- Partially glazed doors with transom windows; leaded stained glass window within entry vestibule
- Double-height engaged Corinthian columns; capitals with female masks
- Egg and dart molding above turret transom windows
- Molded balcony with iron railing
- Elongated quatrefoil windows
- Entablature with projecting cornice, acanthus modillions, dentil course, ribbon of textured plaster with x-motifs pinned with florettes, and a thin rope molding
- Double-height rounded bay
- Domed turret above double-height rounded bay
- Form, massing and simple-drop style horizontal siding at secondary (north, south and east) elevations

Additional Photos




Detail of primary (west) façade




Detail of entry to upper floors




Detail of rounded bay




Detail of rusticated base



North elevation



East (rear) elevation





Aerial view with subject property outlined. Source: Google



Planning Department 1650 Mission Street Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-9425

T: 415.558.6378 F: 415.558.6409

APPLICATION FOR

Historic Landmark Designation

Landmark designation is authorized by Section 1004 of the San Francisco Planning Code. The designation process includes a review of the Landmark Designation Application by the Planning Department and the Historic Preservation Commission. Final approval is made by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors.

PRESERVING SAN FRANCISCO HISTORY

Since 1967, San Francisco's Historic Preservation Program has helped preserve important facets of the city's history. The list of designated city landmarks and landmark districts includes iconic architectural masterpieces, monuments to historic events, and places associated with cultural and social movements that have defined our city. However, there are still many more untold stories to celebrate through landmark designation.

PROPERTIES ELIGIBILE FOR LANDMARK DESIGNATION

Most San Francisco landmarks are buildings. But a landmark can also be a structure, site, feature or area of special historical, architectural or aesthetic interest. Collections of properties can also be designated as landmark districts.

Landmarks can be significant for a variety of reasons. The criteria are based on those used by the National Register of Historic Places. They include:

- Properties significant for their association with historic events, including the city's social and cultural history
- Properties significant for their association with a person or group important to the history of the city, state or country
- · Properties significant for their architecture or design
- Properties that are valued as visual landmarks, or that have special character or meaning to the city and its residents
- Collections of properties or features that are linked by history, plan, aesthetics or physical development.

INCENTIVES FOR LANDMARK DESIGNATION

Landmark designation recognizes the property as a significant element of San Francisco history. There are also various incentives, including the following:

- · Eligibility for the Mills Act program, which can result in property tax reduction
- Eligibility to use the California Historical Building Code
- Eligibility for land use incentives under the San Francisco Planning Code
- Eligibility to display a plaque regarding the building's landmark status

HOW TO APPLY TO DESIGNATE A LANDMARK

Any member of the public may nominate a property for landmark designation. The application must contain supporting historic, architectural and/or cultural documentation. More information about the Planning Department's Historic Preservation program can also be found here: http://www.sf-planning.org/index.aspx?page=1825

THE LANDMARK DESIGNATION PROCESS

The landmark designation process is a multi-step process. This includes the following:

- Set a preliminary application review meeting with Planning Department Preservation staff. The
 meeting will focus on reviewing the draft designation application. Preservation staff can provide
 advice for improving the application, including any additional research which may be needed.
- Submit the completed final application for review. Once it is determined to be complete,
 Preservation staff will place the application on the agenda for a Historic Preservation
 Commission (HPC) hearing.
- 3. During the hearing, the HPC will hear public testimony and determine if the property meets the criteria for landmark designation. If so, the Commission will vote to initiate landmark designation and schedule a follow-up hearing.
- 4. If the landmark designation is for a district, the Planning Commission will provide its review and comment on the proposed designation prior to the HPC making a final recommendation to the Board of Supervisors.
- 5. At the second hearing, the HPC will hear public testimony and vote on whether to recommend landmark designation to the Board of Supervisors.
- 6. An HPC recommendation supporting landmark designation will be forwarded to the Board of Supervisors and will be heard by its Land Use and Economic Development Committee. This is a public hearing where the owner(s) and members of the public can offer testimony.
- 7. The Land Use and Economic Development Committee will forward its recommendation on the designation to the full Board of Supervisors for a first reading. The Board of Supervisors will vote on the designation. A majority of Supervisors must vote in favor of the landmark designation for it to be approved. This is a public hearing, although no public testimony will be heard.
- 8. At a following Board of Supervisors hearing the proposed designation will have a second reading. This is a public hearing, although no public testimony will be heard. If the majority of Supervisors remain in favor of the landmark designation, the designating ordinance is sent to the Mayor for final signature.

		1									
REPORT PRODUCTION				HEAR	INGS &	ENGA	GEMENT			CLO	SURE
	2 - 1 - 1 2 - 1 - 10	A STATE OF	18.29	143	[- 1 Tel	. 1		1.73		.: 21	$\forall z \in A$
LANDMARK REPORT	CASE REPORT	OUTREACH	HPC 1	HPC 2	BOS SUBMIT	BOS INTRO	LAND USE	BOS 1	BOS MAYOR	NOTIFY	MEDIA

COMPLETING THE APPLICATION

Please fill out all of the sections of the application. Use the checklist at the end of this application to ensure that all required materials are included. If more space is needed, please feel free to attach additional sheets as necessary. If you are unsure how to answer any of the questions, please contact Planning Department preservation staff.

Please submit the completed application to:

San Francisco Planning Department Attn: Landmark Designation Application 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-9425

Historic Landmark Designation Application

1. Current Owner / Applic	cant Informa	ation		Date: Augus	t 2016	
PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME:						
Sean Lundy and Ca	rol Wai	·				
PROPERTY OWNER'S ADDRESS:	o.t			TELEPHONE:		
2731-35 Folsom Stre San Francisco, CA 94			•			
San Francisco, CA 3-	+110			EMAIL:	(Oama	il oom
				sean.lundy	wyma	II.COIII
APPLICANT'S NAME:		,				
				SAME AS ABOVE		
APPLICANT'S ADDRESS:				TELEPHONE:		
				EMAIL:	•	
,						•
CONTACT FOR PROJECT INFORMATION	,	,				
CONTROL ON THOSE OF INTO THE OTHER CONTROL	•			SAME AS ABOVE	•	
ADDRESS:				TELEPHONE:	-	
				EMAIL:		
,						
			, ,			
2. Location of the Propos	ed Landma	rk				
STREET ADDRESS OF PROJECT:			·			ZIP CODE;
2731-35 Folsom Str	eet			•		94110
CROSS STREETS:	nd 24th C	troot (oout	h\			
23rd Street (north) a	110 2411 8	street (Souti	[1]			
	OT DIMENSIONS:	LOT AREA (SQ.FT):	ZONING DISTRICT	ī:	HEIGHT/BULI	CDISTRICT:
3640-031	0x122x49x123	4,974	RH-2		40-x	
OTHER ADDRESS / HISTORIC ADDRESS	(if applicable)					ZIP CODE:
OTTER ADDRESS / FIIS TO NO ADDRESS.	. (паррпоаме)					ZIF GODE.
<u> </u>						
3. Property Information						
LUCTORIO NAME OF PROPERTY OF ARRIVE	· ·		NOTE INTO I			Lagura
HISTORIC NAME OF PROPERTY (IF APPL	ICABLE)		INSTRUCTION:	ACTUAL Y	EAR	SOURCE FOR DATE OF CONSTRUCTION:
		1900		☐ ESTIMATI	ED YEAR	Sanborn Fire Insurance Co, map
ARCHITECT OR BUILDER:			ARCHITECTURAL	STYLE		:
James Dunn			Beaux-	-Arts		
SOURCE OF INFORMATION FOR ARCHIT	ECT OR BUILDER		HISTORIC USE	7 (1 (0	PRESENT	USE
The California Architect and Buildin		no 9) Sept.20, 1899	1	tial	į.	dential
PROPERTY INCLUDED IN A PRIOR HISTO	PRIC SURVEY?	SURVEY NAME:	1		SURVEY	
☑ Yes ☐ No		į.	2. South Mission H	listoric Resource Surv		

T. Oldicinent of Oldinioance	4.	Statement	of	Significance
------------------------------	----	-----------	----	--------------

ıne	e proposed landmark is significant for the following reason(s). Please check all that apply:
	It is associated with significant events or patterns, or reflects important aspects of social or cultural history
\exists	It is associated with a person or persons important to our history
	It is significant for its architecture or design, or is a notable work of a master builder, designer or architect
J	It is valued as a visual landmark, or has special character or meaning to the city and its residents
]	It contains archaeological deposits that have the potential to yield important information about history or prehistory

Please summarize why the property or district should be designated a San Francisco Landmark. Whenever possible, include footnotes or a list of references that support the statement of significance. Copies of historic photographs, articles or other sources that directly relate to the property should also be attached.

2731-35 Folsom Street is significant for its architecture as a notable work of James Dunn and as an excellent example of residential Beaux-Arts architecture.

Please see Continuation Report.

5. Property / Architecture Description

Please provide a detailed description of the exterior of the building and any associated buildings on the property. This includes the building's shape, number of stories, architectural style and materials. For example, is the building clad with wood, brick or stucco? What materials are the windows and exterior doors made of? Please be sure to include descriptions of the non-publicly visible portions of the building. Attach photographs of the property, including the rear facade.

2731-35 Folsom Street is located on the east side of Folsom Street between 23rd and 24th streets. The detached residence faces Folsom Street; to the south is 2737 Folsom Street, to the north is 2721 Folsom Street, and to the east is 1030 Treat Avenue.

Please see Continuation Report.

6. Neighborhood or District Description

Please provide a narrative describing the buildings both adjacent to, and across the street from, the subject property. This includes describing their architectural styles, number of stories, exterior materials (e.g., wood or stucco cladding) and landscape features, if any. Attach representative photographs.

If the application is for a landmark district, please provide similar information describing the architectural character of the district. Also be sure to include a map outlining the boundaries of the district, as well as a list of all properties including their addresses, block and lot numbers, and dates of construction. This information may be gathered using the San Francisco Property Information Map, available here: http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM/

According to the San Francisco Property Information Map, 2731-35 Folsom Street is located within the Mission. The subject property sits in the southern portion of the neighborhood.

Please see Continuation Report.

7. Building Permits and History of Alterations

Please list all building permits from the date of construction to present. Be sure to include any alterations or additions to the building. These include changes such as window replacement, construction of a new garage, or installation of roof dormers. Also attach photocopies of building permits. Copies of building permits are available from the Department of Building Inspection, 1660 Mission Street, 4th Floor (http://sfdbi.org/record-request-form).

^{**}Note: Do not complete this section if the application is for a landmark district

PERMIT:	DATE; 2.5	DESCRIPTION OF WORK:
1.		Please see Continuation Report.
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		
7.		
8.		

Please describe any additional alterations that are not included in this table. For example, have any obvious changes been made to the property for which no building permit record is available?

Please see Continuation Report.

8. Ownership History Table

Please list all owners of the property from the date of construction to present. Building ownership may be researched at the San Francisco Assessor-Recorder's Office, located at City Hall, Room 190.

^{*}Note: Do not complete this section if the application is for a landmark district

OWNER: DATES:(FROM - TO):	NAME(S);	OCCUPATION:
- 1	Please see Continuation Report.	
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
± 6. ↓		
7.		
8		

If the property is significant for its association with a person important to history, please be sure to expand on this information in Section 9.

9. Occupant History Table

Please list occupants of the property (if different from the owners) from the date of construction to present. It is not necessary to list the occupants for each year. A sample of every five to seven years (e.g., 1910, 1917, 1923, etc.) is sufficient. For multi-unit buildings, please use a representative sampling of occupants. A chronological list of San Francisco city directories from 1850 – 1982 is available online. Choosing the "IA" link will take you to a scan of the original document: http://www.sfgenealogy.com/sf/sfdatadir.htm

Beginning with the year 1953, a "reverse directory" is available at the back of each volume, allowing you to look up a specific address to see the occupants.

*Note: Do not complete this section if the application is for a landmark district

OCGUP: DATES (FROM - TO)	, NAME(S);	FOCCUPATION:
	Please see Continuation Report.	
2		
3.		
4.		
5.6.0		
्. 6. ः		
7.		
8		

If the property is significant for having been used by an occupant, group or tenant important to history, please expand on this information below.

2731-35 Folsom Street is not significant for an association with a person important to history. The building is also not significant for having been used by an occupant, group, or tenant important to history. Please see Continuation Report for individual occupancy tables for 2731, 2733, and 2735 Folsom Street.

10. Public Information Release

Please read the following statements and check each to indicate that you agree with the statement. Then sign below in the space provided.

- I understand that submitted documents will become public records under the California Public Records Act, and that these documents will be made available upon request to members of the public for inspection and copying.
- I acknowledge that all photographs and images submitted as part of the application may be used by the City without compensation.

•		
Name (Print):	Date:	Signature:

Submittal Checklist

Use the checklist below to ensure that all required materials are included with your application.

CHECKLIST:	REQUIRED MATERIALS:
\mathbf{Z}	Photographs of subject property, including the front, rear and visible side facades
4	Description of the subject property (Section 5)
Ø	Neighborhood description (Section 6) with photos of adjacent properties and properties across the street
Y	Building permit history (Section 7), with copies of all permits
\blacksquare	Ownership history (Section 8)
☑	Occupant history (Section 9)
\mathbf{Z}	Historic photographs, if available
	Original building drawings, if available
Ø	Other documentation related to the history of the property, such as newspaper articles or other references

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
I. ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION	2
2731-35 FOLSOM STREET	2
SURROUNDING NEIGHBORHOOD	15
II. THE MISSION DISTRICT- NINETEENTH CENTURY & STREETCAR NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT	
III. 2731-35 FOLSOM STREET DEVELOPMENT HISTORY	20
SITE DEVELOPMENT	20
CONSTRUCTION CHRONOLOGY	26
IV. OWNERSHIP HISTORY TABLE	28
V. OCCUPANT HISTORY TABLE	29
VI. MASTER ARCHITECT: JAMES FRANCIS DUNN (1874-1921)	30
VII. ARCHITECTURE: BEAUX-ARTS STYLE	33
VIII. DESIGNATION CRITERIA	34
IX. STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE	34
X. PERIOD OF SIGNIFICANCE	35
XI. INTEGRITY	36
XII. BOUNDARIES OF LANDMARK SITE	36
XIII. CHARACTER-DEFINING FEATURES	
XIV. PROPERTY INFORMATION	37
XV. REFERENCES CITED	
PUBLISHED WORKS	37
PUBLIC RECORDS	
INTERNET SOURCES (accessed July 2016)	38
XVI. APPENDIX	
BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATIONS	38

INTRODUCTION

This Historic Landmark Designation Continuation Report has been prepared at the request of Sean Lundy and Carol Wai for 2731-35 Folsom Street (APN 3640/031) in San Francisco's Mission neighborhood. The multi-family residence was designed in 1899 and completed in 1900 by master architect James Dunn for James Gaughran, the original owner.




Figure 1: Assessor's map of the subject block. The subject parcel is outlined in orange. Source: San Francisco Assessor's Office. Edited by Page & Turnbull.

This report follows the outline provided by the San Francisco Planning Department for Historic Landmark Designation. Page & Turnbull prepared this report using research collected at various local repositories, including the San Francisco Department of Building Inspection, the San Francisco Assessor's Office, and the San Francisco Public Library History Center. All photographs in this report were taken by Page & Turnbull in July 2016 unless otherwise noted.

The subject property is not currently listed on the National Register of Historica Places or the California Register of Historical Resources, nor is it listed in the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) database. 2731-35 Folsom Street is included in the 1976 Department of City Planning Architectural Quality Survey (1976 DCP Survey) with a rating of "4". The survey looked at the entire City and County of San Francisco to identify and rate architecturally significant buildings and structures on a scale of "-2" (detrimental) to "+5" (extraordinary). No research was performed and the potential historical significance of a resource was not considered when a rating was assigned.¹

The subject property was documented as part of the South Mission Historic Resource Survey and given a survey rating of "3CS" meaning the property appears eligible for the California Register as an individual property through survey evaluation.² The South Mission Survey included the documentation and assessment of nearly 3,800 individual buildings in the area bounded approximately by 20th Street to the north, Cesar Chavez Street to the south, Potrero Avenue to the east, and Guerrero Street to the west.

¹ The 1976 DCP Survey has not been officially recognized by the San Francisco Planning Department as a valid local register of historic resources for the purposes of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

² The survey was adopted by the San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission on November 17, 2011.

I. ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION

2731-35 FOLSOM STREET

2731-35 Folsom Street is located on the east side of Folsom Street between the intersections with 23rd and 24th streets (Figures 1 and 2). The detached residence faces Folsom Street; to the south is 2737-41 Folsom Street and to the north is 2721 Folsom Street.³ The subject property features a shallow, concrete paved area at the front (west), which is contained by a contemporary iron fence. The wood-frame building sits on a flat parcel; the foundation is not visible. The low-pitched hip roof is composite-shingle-clad. The primary façade features a parapet and the southern half of the rear façade features an open gable. The three-story, multi-family residence is best described as Beaux-Arts in style, with a stucco-clad rusticated first story (ground level) and exuberant ornamentation. The building features a domed turret, an iron balconette, elaborate entablature, and Corinthian engaged columns. These elements are limited to the wood-shiplap-clad primary façade; the north, east, and south façades are not ornamented. Unlike the original wood windows on the primary façade, the secondary façades feature replacement vinyl windows set within wood casings. The secondary façades are all clad in replacement simple-drop composite wood siding.



Figure 1: 2731-35 Folsom Street marked by an orange star. Source: Google Maps, 2016. Edited by Page & Turnbull.




Figure 2: 2731-35 Folsom Street outlined in orange. Source: Google Maps, 2016. Edited by Page & Turnbull.

³ The building at 2721 Folsom Street is located at the far northern edge of its lot. This has created a large open area that separates 2721 Folsom Street and 2731-35 Folsom Street.

Primary (West) Façade

The Beaux-Arts features of 2731-35 Folsom Street are limited to the primary façade (Figure 3 and Figure 4). The building's first story at ground level includes the primary entry to Unit 2735, which is recessed within an arched opening topped with a keystone cartouche. The partially glazed wood door is set within a dentil molded wood frame (Figure 5). North (left) of the Unit 2735 entry, beneath the exterior stairs to the second level, is a one-over-one single-hung wood sash arched window with ogee lugs. The window, similar to other windows on the first story of the primary façade, is deeply recessed within a wood dentil and rope molded frame with a stepped sill. South (right) of the Unit 2735 entry is the ground level of the three-story rounded bay. Three windows are set into the rounded bay base; the north and central windows are topped with a keystone cartouche (Figures 6 and 7). South of the bay is an arched pass-through that provides access to the south façade and backyard. The corridor is blocked by a contemporary metal security gate (Figure 8). The rustication of the stucco first story (ground level) distinguishes it from the second and third stories. A molded belt course with acanthus leaves and geometric details serves to further define and visually separate the ground and upper levels.




Figure 3: Primary (west) façade of 2731-35 Folsom Street.




Figure 4: Ground level of primary façade.



Figure 5: Entry to Unit 2735.

Figure 6: Ground level rounded bay base.






Figure 7: Central window of rounded bay base.

Figure 8: Security gate leading to south façade and backyard.

A staircase located at the north side of the primary façade provides access to a second story landing. The staircase consists of wood steps and a double-sided metal railing. The stair landing leads to two partially glazed wood doors sheltered within an arched entry area, flanked by two-story Corinthian engaged columns. The doors are topped with individual transoms labeled with unit numbers 2731 and 2733 (Figures 9 and 10). To the south of the doors is a fixed leaded stained glass window with a ribbon motif (Figure 11). The entry area features carved wood moldings and dentil trim. To the south of the entry area is the rounded bay (Figure 12). At the second story, double height Corinthian engaged columns separate three wood windows that wrap around the rounded bay body. Each window consists of one fixed curved glass pane topped with a fixed leaded stained glass transom, each set within an elaborately molded surround (Figure 13). They are topped with an ornate entablature that contains egg and dart molding.







Figure 11: Fixed leaded stained glass window within entry area.



Figure 10: Recessed entry area to Units 2731 and 2733.






Figure 12: Double height Corinthian engaged columns.

Figure 13: Second story rounded bay window with transom.

A molded balcony with an iron railing sits above the entry area between the second and third stories (Figures 14 and 15). A third story one-over-one single-hung wood casement window with ogee lugs sits above the (inaccessible) balcony. Two Corinthian engaged columns continue upwards from the second story and flank the balcony and window (Figure 16). The Corinthian capitals feature female masks. Mid façade of the third story is an elongated quatrefoil window set within a stretched surround (Figure 17). The fixed pane of the wood window is a narrow oval; however, the molded surround outlines a vertically elongated quatrefoil shape. To the south of the window, the rounded bay continues to ascend towards the building's entablature. Three one-over-one single-hung curved glass windows with ogee lugs wrap around the bay body (Figure 18). To the south of the bay is a second fixed quatrefoil window with a less fanciful surround.



Figure 14: Molded balcony with flanking engaged columns.




Figure 15: Balcony detail.



Figure 16: North side of primary façade.




Figure 17: Vertically elongated quatrefoil surround with oval pane (north of bay).




Figure 18: Bay flanked by oval windows in clongated quatrefoil surrounds (south window has a simpler surround).

The building's ornate entablature on the primary façade features various Beaux-Arts details. The projecting cornice protrudes in a half circle to accommodate the bay. A molded edge shields modillions carved to resemble acanthus leaves (Figure 19). Below the eave, in the frieze, runs a dentil course set above a ribbon of textured plaster with evenly spaced X-motifs pinned with florettes. The architrave is smooth with a thin gold-painted rope molding (Figure 20). The rounded bay on the primary façade is topped with a composite-shingle-clad turret dome (not visible from the street due to trees blocking visibility). The dome is visible from a 3-D Google maps view (Figure 21).



Figure 19: Entablature detail.




Figure 20: Entablature detail.




Figure 21: Aerial photograph from Google Maps, 2016.

South Façade

The south façade faces the neighboring building at 2737-41 Folsom Street; a wood fence wall in a contemporary style separates the lots. A shed-roofed projection at the western portion of the building juts south from the main building mass to create a passageway to the backyard (Figure 22). The first story (ground level) of the south façade consists of two paired casement windows, a casement window, and one partial height casement window (Figure 23). The second story consists of one east-facing casement window on the projection and three south-facing casement windows (two of which are paired). The third story consists of one east-facing casement window on the projection, four south-facing casement windows (two of which are paired), and one south-facing partial-height casement window. Air vents are placed intermittently (Figure 24).




Figure 22: South façade with projection and passageway, view facing west.




Figure 23: First story fenestration of south façade, facing east.




Figure 24: Second and third story fenestration of south façade.

Rear (East) Façade

The rear façade faces the backyard (discussed in the Landscape section below). The three-story façade can be divided into a south (left) side and a north (right) side. The south side sits under a gable, while the north side projects beyond the south and has a flat roof without an attic space (Figure 25). The doors of the south façade are wood.

The south side's first story (ground level) features a glazed full-height fixed window and outward swinging paired doors (Figure 26). North of the doors is a concrete passageway set underneath a three-story wood exterior staircase (Figure 27). The passageway leads to a fully glazed door set at the juncture of the south and north sides. The passageway also wraps around to a wood door that opens into a storage space set underneath the exterior stairs (Figure 28). The second story of the south façade consists of three paired casement windows and a fully glazed door (Figure 29). The third story includes a partial height awning window, two full-height windows, and two fully glazed doors (Figure 30).




Figure 25: Rear (east) façade with south (left) and north (right) sides.




Figure 26: South side ground level entry, passageway and storage area underneath exterior




Figure 27: Exterior three-story stairs.




Figure 28: Storage door and south façade access door.




Figure 29: South side fenestration.





Figure 30: South side fenestration.

The north side of the rear façade projects beyond the south side and thus has a south-facing portion. This south-facing portion consists of full composite siding on the first story, a fully glazed door and a large casement window on the second story, and two paired casement windows on the third story. The east-facing portion features fully glazed paired doors and a full height window on the first story (Figure 31). The second story features three paired casement windows. The third story features two unaligned windows (one rectangular casement window and one square awning window) (Figure 32).






Figure 31: North side ground level.

Figure 32: North side fenestration.

North Façade

The north façade faces the open space between the subject property and the building at 2721 Folsom Street. There are three planes, which all have different rooflines; the east (left) plane has a raised section, the central plane has a shed roof, and the west (right) plane is a low-pitched hipped roof. The central projecting plane features a west-facing fully glazed door (first story) and two west-facing casement windows (one at the second story, one at third story) (Figure 33). The west plane of the north façade features one fully glazed north-facing door (ground level) and four north-facing casement windows (two unaligned at the second story, two at the third story) (Figure 34).

⁴ First story doors were not able to be closely examined due to access limitations.




Figure 33: North façade.




Figure 34: North façade.

SURROUNDING NEIGHBORHOOD

The subject property sits in the southern central portion of the Mission District (Figure 35).




Figure 35: Map of the Mission neighborhood boundary. Subject property marked by an orange star. Source: San Francisco Property Information Map, 2016. Edited by Page & Turnbull.

The 2000 block of Folsom Street is residential, consisting of single-family houses and apartment buildings. Nearby, 24th Street is a commercial corridor of the neighborhood. Building heights in the area surrounding the subject property range from one to three stories, and are typically rectangular in shape. Many include angled or boxed bay windows. Buildings constructed before 1920 are clad with wood, while those constructed later are clad with stucco.

To the north of 2731-35 Folsom Street is 2721 Folsom Street (Figure 36). The building is located on a quadrilateral lot with 60 feet of frontage on the east side of Folsom Street, between 23rd and 24th streets. Built circa 1870, 2721 Folsom Street is a two-story, wood-frame single-family residence designed in the Italianate style. The west-facing rectangular-plan building, clad in wood siding, is capped with a flat roof. The foundation is not visible. Typical fenestration consists of double-hung vinyl-sash windows. Entrances include recessed, partially-glazed double wood doors with a fanlight. The primary entry includes a recessed paneled porch accessed through a triangular-pediment portico supported by fluted Corinthian-order columns. Architectural features include arched molded surrounds, bracketed hoods, and triangular pediments at the windows; and a paneled frieze, dentils, and a cornice at the roofline. Site features include an ornamental metal fence atop a low stucco-clad wall, as well as a landscaped garden.

To the south of 2731-35 Folsom Street is 2737-41 Folsom Street, built ca. 1865 (Figure 37). This multi-family residence is rectangular-plan, clad in vinyl siding with a formstone ground level. A door sits at ground level and an exterior stair provides access to two second story doors.




Figure 36: 2721 Folsom Street, looking northeast. Source: Page & Turnbull DPR Form, 2008.




Figure 37: 2737-41 Folsom Street, looking southeast. Source: Google Maps, 2016.

To the west of 2731-35 Folsom Street are 2732 and 2728 Folsom Street (Figure 38). The buildings are located just across the street from the subject property. 2732 Folsom Street, built in 1886, is a one-story, wood frame, single-family residence designed in the Italianate style. The building is rectangular in plan and clad in channel-drop wood siding. Typical fenestration consists of double-hung wood-sash windows with colonettes and paneling, some set within an angled bay. Entrances include a flush wood door with a bracketed hood, recessed and accessed by a flight of wood stairs. 2728 Folsom Street was built ca. 1900. Similarly rectangular-plan and clad in wood shiplap siding, 2728 Folsom Street is a one-story, wood frame, single-family residence designed in the Queen Anne style. It is capped with a gabled roof.




Figure 38: 2732 and 2728 Folsom Street. Source: Google Maps, 2016.

II. THE MISSION DISTRICT- NINETEENTH CENTURY & STREETCAR NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT

In 1776, Father Francisco Palou founded Mission Dolores, which still stands at the southwest corner of Dolores and Sixteenth streets. After the Mexican government secularized the California missions in 1833, what is now the Mission District passed into the hands of several prominent Californio families. California was incorporated into the United States with the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo in 1848. For almost a decade after statehood, what is now the Mission District remained a rural area outside jurisdiction of the city of San Francisco. In 1850, a financier named Charles L. Wilson constructed a plank toll road along the current route of Mission Street between downtown and Sixteenth Street. The toll road provided the first reliable route from the Mexican/American settlement at Yerba Buena Cove to the patchwork settlement that had grown up around Mission Dolores.

Soon after the completion of the plank road San Francisco annexed the land now comprising the Mission District as part of the Consolidation Act of 1856. Steadily improving transportation during the second half of the nineteenth century allowed better access to the area. The largely underdeveloped land provided the opportunity for horse-racing tracks, and the popularity of the racecourse entertainments drew more people to the area, which in turn led to the construction of new roads and began to increase property values. The Mission District also served as a major source of agriculture. John Center, a figure who was later dubbed the "father of the Mission," developed a thriving fruit and vegetable trade to meet the influx of residents. Center was a major landholder who subdivided large expanses of land to facilitate new streets and housing, and was also active in developing streetcar lines.


San Francisco's status as a major port and a manufacturing and financial center was cemented in the latter half of the nineteenth century. The period of 1864-1906, often termed the "Gilded Age," was also one of the most significant periods of growth for the Mission District. Installation of mass transit service to outlying areas like the Mission District was a key factor in the city's residential expansion. In the 1860s, horse-car routes were extended from downtown along the north-south routes of Valencia, Mission, Howard and Folsom streets. The transit innovation of the electric streetcar was implemented in the 1890s. During that decade, horse-cars in the Mission District were replaced by electric lines, including on Mission, Howard, and Folsom Streets, with the Folsom line extending from the Embarcadero southward into Bernal Heights. The Folsom Street line became known as Line 36 in 1914 (Figure 39).

⁵ Horatio Stoll, "Growth and Development of the Mission: Wonderful Record of Sixty Years," San Francisco Call, July 18, 1908

⁶ Horatio Stoll, "Growth and Development of the Mission," San Francisco Call, July 18, 1908.

⁷ City and County of San Francisco Planning Department, "City Within A City: Historic Context Statement for San Francisco's Mission District," November 2007, p. 31.

⁸ Philip Hoffman, San Francisco's Market Street Railway, Arcadia Publishing (March 2005) p. 77.



With the Bay Bridge and Hills Bros. Coffee in the background, the No. 36 two-man car 1561 is on Folsom near Steuart in 1939. (Courtesy Will Whitaker.)

Figure 39: Folsom Street Line 36 car (1939). Source: Philip Hoffman, San Francisco's Market Street Railway (2005) p. 77.

Residential development in the Mission District grew as transit routes continued to be improved throughout the latter half of the nineteenth century. Following the arrival of effective mass transit, speculators and homestead associations began to plat the district, laying out a grid of streets as far south as what is now Cesar Chavez Street (previously Army Street). The 1886-89 and 1899-1900 Sanborn Insurance Company maps of San Francisco provide detailed cartographic images of the Mission District, including the streetcar routes. The expansion of city utilities such as water, gas, and eventually electricity also facilitated residential development. While the 1906 earthquake and fires destroyed almost all buildings north of 20th Street, the area surrounding the subject building remained largely intact. Most properties were rebuilt along the streetcar lines. Nevertheless, by the midtwentieth century, the Mission District was transformed by automobility. From 1941 to 1950, every one of the Mission's streetcar lines was removed and replaced by bus routes. The Folsom Street (36) line went out of service by 1945.

The Mission District grew into a collection of dense neighborhoods representing a variety of classes and cultures. According to San Francisco historian Chris Carlsson, "The socioeconomic level of the Mission neighborhood was generally middle-class although not as affluent as other Victorian streetcar suburbs such as the Western Addition." A mixed building stock developed, reflecting a range of Victorian styles that were popular in the later nineteenth century. Some large-scale development in the vicinity was carried out by major real estate companies such as the Real Estate Associates and San Francisco Homestead Union. However, there were also many individual developments that created an eclectic collection of building types within the Mission District. Popular architectural styles included the Italianate style (mid-1860s to 1870s) and the Stick-Eastlake and Queen Anne styles (1880s to 1890s). A few dwellings were constructed in the Shingle style during this time, but it was less common, as well as occasional Classical Revival and Beaux-Arts style residences.¹³

^{9&}quot;City Within A City," p. 31.

^{10 &}quot;City Within A City," p. 64.

¹¹ Chris Arvin, "Where the Streetcars Used to Go." http://sfstreetcars.co/

¹² Chris Carlsson, "Armory," Found SF, http://www.foundsf.org/index.php?title=Armory

^{13 &}quot;City Within A City," p. 49.

III. 2731-35 FOLSOM STREET DEVELOPMENT HISTORY

SITE DEVELOPMENT

Mission District lots in close proximity to streetcar routes were developed in the latter half of the nineteenth century to take advantage of transit convenience. According to "City Within a City: Historic Context Statement for San Francisco's Mission District," published by the San Francisco Planning Department, the Folsom Street line was electrified in the 1890s. The subject block of Folsom Street between 23rd and 24th Streets was nearly completely built out with residences, both single-family and flats, by 1900. Sanborn Fire Insurance Company maps show that the subject lot was one of the very last to be developed (Figure 42 – Figure 43). 2731-35 Folsom Street held a prime location in direct proximity to the recently electrified Folsom Street streetcar line (Figure 45 – Figure 46). The location provided ease of access to workplaces and different parts of the city.

Completed in 1900, the subject building reflected the mixed, primarily middle-class socioeconomic identity of the Mission prior to the 1906 earthquake and fires. The building was constructed as a three-unit flat, rather than a single-family residence. City directory research revealed that oftentimes, multiple people lived in a unit. Although it was designed as a flats building for middle-class renters, 2731-35 Folsom featured intricate Beaux-Arts detailing. Constructed during the height of San Francisco's Gilded Age, the building stood out amongst other, primarily Italianate style, buildings on the block. Folsom streetcar riders would have enjoyed the relative opulence of 2731-35 Folsom Street as they rode past.

Although no original building permit is on file at the San Francisco Department of Building Inspection, the site development of 2731-35 Folsom Street is reflected in Spring Valley Water Works water tap records, Sanborn Fire Insurance Co. maps, an 1899 development progress update from *California A rchitect and Building News*, and historic photographs. The update below reports a project on Folsom Street near 23rd Street involving carpentry work, etc., and indicates the permit was filed September 7, 1899 and was signed September 8, 1899 (Figure 40). It appears the estimated cost of the project was \$3,720.

Folsom near 23d: Carpentry woak, etc. o. James Gaughran; a. J. F. Dunn; c. Richard Sinnott & Co. signed, Sept. 8; filed, Sept. 7; cost \$3720.

Figure 40: Development progress update news clipping. Source: California Architect and Building News, vol. xx, no. 9 (September 20, 1899).

Water tap records from the Spring Valley Water Works include an Application for Service Installation for 2731-35 Folsom Street (Figure 41). The Agreement states that water will be provided at the rate of "3 families"-worth. The owner is listed as James Gaughran. The Application and Agreement is dated October 24, 1899.

Application f	or Service In	stallation	Wenter grandly gold
2731-5-40 SOM/STREET	////////////////////////////////////	No. P. S.	
LOOKHON	-10 Go San I	Francisco,	
TO THE OTHER TABLET WHICK COMMENT.			
You are hereby requested and authorized to	make service installati	ion for water supply at	the state of the s
for account of	······································	Company of the second of the s	
(Signed	·\		Owner
्र /ञाङ्गाल	.,,	,	Owner
By		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Architect-Plumber-Agent
NOTE This order covers service in	laliation only, a separate	order is required for supply	1
APPLICATION AND AGREEMENT,			
Thereby agree to take water from the Spring Valley Water Works, at the state of the product to the market management of the white has a spring to the state of th	Andrew Ext. 1984	24797	the Vo doese
2731-5 Valence IS 175 B. Force of This di-	**************************************	original of the state of the st	Wholes SONF 16-14-60 - The LECK
is rejudations are an atty respect violated, the said Spring Valley Water Works man disconnect the water at my over our and charden according to the falces resulting to the falces resulting to the falces resulting to the falces and many the falces and the falces and the falces are the falces are the falces and the falces are the falce		a da	1 : [2]
Orrmal Rext 3 × 96 7 37 Fre belding /620 54 Per 3 Grun.	· ,	Gibst.	
Simo G Nich Yang Walk Janon 30			
Such and Ladgest St. Ladgest St. Such St.	 -	The second of th	1
Served Herror House by Art House present and again that had been the surface of the first transport of the first t		Ed 98 birthen	
San Farmone Det 20 1899 10 F Walneyand		1277 A 10 down 100	

Figure 41: Spring Valley Water Works service application and agreement for 2731-35 Folsom Street.

Source: San Francisco Public Library.

The 1889 fire insurance map by the Sanborn Fire Insurance Co. reflects that the boundaries of the subject property were established but (at the time of record) the building had not yet been constructed (Figure 42).




Figure 42: 1889 Sanborn Fire Insurance Co. map. The subject parcel is outlined in orange.

Source: San Francisco Public Library. Edited by Page & Turnbull.

By 1900, the Sanborn map illustrates that the building had been constructed. The footprint mostly mirrors that of the existing building today (the projecting rear staircase has since been enclosed as livable space). The 1900 Sanborn depicts a shingled roof (x), two stories and basement (2B), and a three-story shingled-roof rear projection that may have been an exterior staircase. A one-story shingled-roof outbuilding sits on the southeast corner of the property (Figure 43 and 44).




Figure 43: 1900 Sanborn Fire Insurance Co. map. The subject parcel is outlined in orange. Source: San Francisco Public Library. Edited by Page & Turnbull.




Figure 44: Detail of 1900 Sanborn Fire Insurance Co. map showing subject parcel. Source: San Francisco Public Library. Edited by Page & Turnbull.

A 1938 aerial photograph by Harrison Ryker shows the footprint reflected in the 1900 Sanborn map (Figures 45 and 46). It is unclear if the east projection is a covered exterior stairway or an addition.

¹⁴ What was recorded as two stories and basement is now interpreted as three stories, given that the first (ground) level now contains livable space.




Figure 45: Detail of the 1938 aerial photograph by Harrison Ryker with arrow pointing to 2731-35 Folsom Street. Red arrow pointing to streetcar. Source: David Rumsey Collection. Edited by Page & Turnbull.



Figure 46: Zoomed-in detail of the 1938 aerial photograph by Harrison Ryker with arrow pointing to 2731-35 Folsom Street. Streetcar lines visible down Folsom Street. Source: David Rumsey Collection.

Edited by Page & Turnbull.

The Sanborn map from 1950 shows the east projecting exterior stairs were enclosed as livable space and a two-story shingled-roof exterior staircase was constructed (Figure 47). This occurred at an unknown date between 1938 and 1950. In the 1950 map, the main building mass is newly labeled with a "PC" representing a patent chimney. It also appears the outbuilding at the southeast corner of the lot was extended (also visible in the 1938 aerial photograph). The 1990's Sanborn map reflects a change in roofing material from shingle (x) to composite (•). The outbuilding also appears to have been downsized (Figure 48).



Source: San Francisco Public Library. Edited by Page & Turnbull.




Figure 48: Detail of 1990's Sanborn Fire Insurance Co. map showing subject parcel. Source: San Francisco Public Library. Edited by Page & Turnbull.

In 1976, the Ladies Junior League conducted an architectural survey that included the subject property. They completed a brief form and took photographs of the primary façade (Figures 49 and 50). The volunteer surveyor noted that the appeal to pretension appeared at a new scale. The subject building was also documented in 2008 as part of a larger South Mission Historic Resource Survey conducted by the San Francisco Planning Department (with assistance from Page & Turnbull). The photographs below were included with the Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) 523A (Primary Record) form. They reflect the building in decline and disrepair (Figures 51 to 53).




Figure 49: Ladies Junior League 1976 Architectural Survey. Source: San Francisco Property Information Map.




Figure 50: Ladies Junior League 1976 Architectural Survey. Source: San Francisco Property Information Map.



Figure 51: Page & Turnbull 2008 DPR 523A form. Source: South Mission Historic Resource Survey.




Figure 52: Page & Turnbull 2008 DPR 523A form. Source: South Mission Historic Resource Survey.



Figure 53: Page & Turnbull 2008 DPR 523A form. Source: South Mission Historic Resource Survey.

CONSTRUCTION CHRONOLOGY

The following provides a timeline of construction activity at 2731-35 Folsom Street, based on building permit applications on file with the San Francisco Department of Building Inspection and available online through the San Francisco Property Information Map. Permits have been attached (see Appendix). Only permits with statuses as "complete" have been included.

Date Filed	Permit# and/ or Application#	Owner	Architect/Builder	Scope of Alterations
7/23/1928	172259	F. G. Hamilton	Illegible	Raise foundation 1 foot. New back stairs. \$300.
4/24/1959	199512	Gene and May Spediacer		Remove all dry rot, open ground floor for further inspection of decay. Replace front and rear stairs. Extend main stairs to roof with self closing fire door. \$7,000.
3/17/1964	264906	Giorgi Realty Agents	Mayer B.	Illegible. \$256.
9/11/1972	377564	Harry Friehauf	Tony (last name illegible)	Remove debris under steps. Loosen windows (stuck). Bathroom paint. Renail steps. Remove unapproved heater.
5/8/1974	88637	Harry Friehauf		Comply with DAHI notice. \$1,000.
8/23/1978	439883	Edward and Paula Yoshioka		Comply with BBI report. \$5,000.
12/31/1984	Permit# 527110 Application# 8413555	Neil H. Bleuler		3-story rear staircase will be removed (wood) and replaced. \$2,000.

Date Filed	Permit# and/or Application#	Owner	Architect/Builder	Scope of Alterations
7/23/2008	Application# 200807237406			Comply with complaint #200448382. Repair dry rot at rear stairs. Less than 50% at rear. No change to windows or doors. \$800.
11/21/2008	200811217162			Comply with NOV #20048382. Replace 3- story rear stairs. \$1,200. Permit in triage.
12/6/2012	Permit# 1281317	Wells Fargo Home Mortgage	Contractor: Momentum Builders Architect: MH Architect	Removed stairs in front of building and replace with like kind. To comply with NOV 200922446. Incorporate old railing onto new railing for historic value. Remove front gate. \$25,000.
1/29/2013	Application# 201301248710	Wai Ahead LLC	Contractor: Castle Construction Architect: TKA	NOV correction PA inkind egress stair replacement with minor modifications for code compliance. Front and rear stair. NOV correction item #'s 201175573, 201176254. 201271741. 200922446, 200448382 & 20126580. \$22,000.
6/20/2013	Application# 201306200016	Wai Ahead LLC	Contractor: Pegasus Builders	Exploratory demo, remove sheetrock for new insulation & electrical & living areas. Replace with new sheetrock & paint all 3 units. \$15,000.
9/17/2013	Application# 201309177003	Wai Ahead LLC	Contractor: Castle Construction Architect: Troy Kashanipour	Foundation replacement, interior remodel at 1st story and associated structural work, remodel kitchen & bathrooms, add bath. \$180,000.00

Date Filed	Permit# and/or Application#	Owner	Architect/Builder	Scope of Alterations
11/15/2013	Application# 201310250260	Wai Ahead LLC	Contractor: Castle Construction Architect: Troy Kashanipour	Interior remodel at 2nd & 3rd story, associated structural remodel kitchens & bath, add 1/2 bath at 2nd story. All work within envelope of bldg., no expansion. \$550,000

The building permit applications reflect several modifications to the front and rear exterior stairs (1928, 1959, 1984, 1987, 2008, 2012). They also describe significant interior alterations; kitchens, baths, and living spaces have been completely renovated. The foundation of the building was replaced in 2013 when the bulk of the interior updates were performed. The permit applications do not reflect changes to the secondary facades: the replacement of the original wood windows with vinyl, the addition of simple-drop composite siding.

IV. OWNERSHIP HISTORY TABLE

Owner History

The following information has been gathered using sales records held at the San Francisco Assessor-Recorder's Office, building permit applications, and San Francisco city directories.

Years	Owner(s)
1899-1917	James Gaughran
1917-1920	Frances Gaughran
1920	Matilda Marcen
1921-1924	Frank and Annie Tournich
1924-1940	Mary E. Hamilton
1940-1964	J. Erdelata
1957-1959	Gene and May Spediacer
1960	Russell Bertuccelli
1963	Tony C. and Myriam Guell
1964	Giorgi Realty Agents
1964-1976	Harry and Tanya Friehauf
1976-1981	Edward and Paula Yoshioka
1984	Neil H. Bleuler
1987-2006	Joe Atsus
2012	Wells Fargo Home Mortgage
2012-2014	Wai Ahead
2014-present	Sean Lundy and Carol Wai

2731-35 Folsom Street is not significant for an association with a person or persons important to history. Research did not uncover additional information about the building's original owner, James Gaughran.

V. OCCUPANT HISTORY TABLE

Occupant History

The following occupancy information has been gathered using San Francisco City Directories. Owners were rarely documented as having lived at this property. It is likely that the property was an investment type acquisition due to the lack of owner occupancy.

37	2721 F. I	orga D. 1	2735 Folsom Street
Years	2731 Folsom Street		
1933	Mrs. Lucille Shockey	Unknown	Unknown
1940	Unknown	Unknown	Harry Fergad
1953	Tony Deluma and Anthony Esquer	Marjorie V. Patterson	Boyd E. Bennet and Jas F. Hayden
1954	Mrs. Frances Cole, Mrs. Mary Delema, and Josefina Delzado	Alf Martinez	Boyd E. Bennet
1955-56	Mrs. Frances Cole	John P. Severa	Mrs. Marjorie V. Patterson
1957	Mrs. Frances Cole 2731a: Vacant 2731b: Carlos Medina	John P. Severa	Margaret J. Mitchum and Marjorie Patterson
1958	Raymond Squeri 2731a: Alexandria Olquin 2731b: Carlos Medina	John P. Severa	Mrs. Marjorie Patterson, Dante M. Lavagnino, Margaret J. Mitchum
1959	Gloria J. Cole	John P. Severa	Mrs. Marjorie Patterson, Dante M. Lavagnino, Margaret J. Mitchum
1960	Vacant	Vacant	Vacant
1961	Frank V. Saldate	Rev. Elias Belgado and William Olmstead	Larry E. Court
1962	Frank V. Saldate	William Castillo	Reydalgo Brand
1963	Piofalo Guell	William Castillo	Alfredo Labro
1964-1965	Vacant	Vacant	No Return
1966	Vacant	Vacant	Fred Amistosso
1967 .	Mrs. Alice Gregory	Vacant	Fred Amistosso
1968	Fernando E. Suncin	Vacant	Fred Amistosso
1969-1970	No Return	Waldo Welch	Fred Amistosso
1971	Vacant	Mrs. Eileen Bailey	Fred Amistosso
1972	Jeannette Campbell	Mrs. Eileen Bailey	Joaquin Salazar
1973	Donna K. Lighthall	Vacant	Joaquin Salazar
1974	Vacant	Vacant	Joe Pitney
1975	Fred Lass	E. Poole	Orville H. Pitney
1976	Edw. H. Yoshioka	E. Poole	Orville H. Pitney
1977	Edw. H. Yoshioka	E. Poole	N. Salazar
1978	Edw. H. Yoshioka	Irma Encinas	Claire Mortimer
1979	No Directory	No Directory	No Directory
1980	Edw. H. Yoshioka	Irma Encinas	J. Long

		Occupants	
Years	2731 Folsom Street	2733 Folsom Street	2735 Folsom Street
1981	Edw. H. Yoshioka	Irma Encinas	Jean Metcalf
1982	Davis, Bob	Billy Wilcox	Raymond Bucko
2014-2016	Sean Lundy and Carol Wai	Unknown	Unknown

2731-35 Folsom Street is not significant for having been used by an occupant, group, or tenant important to history.

VI. MASTER ARCHITECT: JAMES FRANCIS DUNN (1874-1921)

Born to Irish immigrants, James Francis Dunn was born and raised in predominately Irish, working class South of Market neighborhood. He was a self-taught architect, having studied building and design journals. He is known to have travelled the U.S., and it is likely that he visited France as well. By 1897, he partnered with Albert Schroepfer and had a San Francisco office at 3rd and Market streets. Dunn actively designed residential and some commercial buildings from 1897 to 1921 – first as part of his partnership with Albert Schroepfer, then independently, and finally with Daniel Kearns. Dunn was a member of the San Francisco Chapter of the AIA and belonged to the Union League Club. The Architect and Engineer journal ran several pieces by or about Dunn, including his lead piece, "Apartment Houses" in a special September 1919 apartment house issue, and his April 1919 article, "Poor Designing One Reason for Apathy in Apartment House Building."

James Dunn is considered a master architect in San Francisco due to the number of commissions completed and their respective degrees of architectural excellence. Dunn is best is known as a designer of multi-unit residential buildings (Figures 54 to 61). His designs often featured curved balconies and bay windows, delicate ironwork, and exuberant ornamentation, including animal and human faces. Decorative details like cartouches and shields are common. Dunn used eagles or phoenixes to support balconies and cornices. Many of his buildings have a broad, heavily ornamented cornice and a rusticated first story topped with a belt course, defining the ground level from the upper, full-living levels.

Although Dunn often designed his buildings with Beaux-Arts influences, he also experimented with Art Nouveau, Mission Revival, Moorish, Classical Revival, French Renaissance, and Baroque styles. The Alhambra Apartments at 860 Geary Street (1913) have been illustrated and reproduced in architectural journals. Located at the edge of the Tenderloin, the building features a dome, scalloped Moorish windows, and multicolored tile columns. The Chambord Apartments (1922) sit atop Nob Hill at 1298 Sacramento Street. "Few San Francisco apartment houses would have been as at home in Paris as the Chambord," architectural historian Michael Corbett wrote about Dunn's most famous apartment house. 17 Dunn died before this final building was completed. 18 His former partner, Schroepfer, finished the 11-story Chambord. In the early 1980s, the building was restored using Dunn's original scheme, emulating details from other Dunn buildings. The Chambord Apartments building is San Francisco Landmark #106.

¹⁵ The 1910 United States Federal Census states that Dunn's mother and father were both born in Ireland.

¹⁶ Dave Weinstein, "French Connection / S.F. architect's designs recall the boulevard buildings of the City of Light," *The Chronicle* (May 14, 2005).

¹⁷ Ibid.

¹⁸ Dunn died in 1921 at age 47 due to an illness. Architect and Engineer penned a short piece on Dunn, "Passing of 2 California Architects."

James Francis Dunn Residential Projects Extant in San Fra	ancisco
Address	Date
1347 McAllister Street	1900
2415-17 Franklin Street	1900
2250 Vallejo Street	1901
2134 Green Street	1901
405 Baker Street	1904
91 Central Avenue	1905
1677-81 Haight Street	1906
1201-19 Leavenworth Street	1908
1201 Pine Street	1909
961 Pine Street	1912
Alhambra Apartments- 860 Geary Street	1913
798 Post Street	1913
2411 Webster Street	1914
630 Leavenworth Street	1917
1250 Pine Street	1919
625 Hyde Street	1920
Chambord Apartments- 1298 Sacramento Street	1922

The following photographs were taken in 1976 as part of the Ladies Junior League architectural survey.




Figure 54: 1347 McAllister Street (1900)




Figure 55: 2415-17 Franklin Street (1900)



Figure 56: 1677-81 Haight Street (1906)




Figure 58: 860 Geary Street (1913)




Figure 57: 961 Pine Street (1912)






Figure 59: 2411 Webster Street (1914)








Figure 61: 1298 Sacramento Street (1922)

VII. ARCHITECTURE: BEAUX-ARTS STYLE

Development of the Beaux-Arts Style

Beaux-Arts is a style that became popular in San Francisco around the turn of the twentieth century. As there were few formal architectural education programs in the United States in the nineteenth century, many Americans turned to the Ecole des Beaux-Arts in Paris for their education and training. Upon returning to the United States, the newly-trained architects brought back with them the styles and attitudes of the Ecole's rigorously classical program. In San Francisco, as in other cities in the United States, the classical design and planning principles of the Ecole des Beaux-Arts were translated into architecture and city planning through the City Beautiful Movement.

The World's Columbia Exposition, held in Chicago in 1893, popularized Beaux-Arts Classicism across the United States. Also known as the "White City," the Exposition was an early example of Beaux-Arts planning and architecture in the United States that impacted the course of planning and design during the decade before and after the turn-of-the-twentieth-century. As a clean and orderly microcosm of an ideal city, with grand buildings, lagoons, and ample open space, the Exposition provided a tangible example to the rest of the country of what the chaotic American city could become.

The influence of the Beaux-Arts planning and design principles exhibited in Chicago began to manifest itself in the architecture of San Francisco that same decade. According to *Splendid Survivons*, "During the rest of the 1890s, although little building actually occurred in downtown San Francisco, the City of Paris, the Ferry Building, the Emporium, and the Call Building all... promoted the image

of the City Beautiful." ¹⁹ After the 1906 earthquake and fires, the City of San Francisco was poised for reconstruction. By this time, many Beaux-Arts-trained architects were already practicing in the city. It was the designs created by these architects that helped shape the new character of San Francisco (moving away from the Victorian-era architectural styles). Increasingly, architects incorporated Beaux-Arts features such as paired columns, surface ornamentation, elaborate decorative detailing, and rusticated ground levels into their designs. ²⁰

In 1915, San Francisco held its own exposition – the Panama-Pacific International Exposition (PPIE) – modeled on Chicago's exposition held 22 years earlier. The PPIE showcased visionary Beaux-Arts buildings designed by local and nationally-known architects that were constructed out of temporary, lightweight materials. At the same time, the San Francisco Civic Center was planned as a monumental City Beautiful core, classically detailed and symmetrically ordered. By the outbreak of the First World War, San Francisco had a Beaux-Arts Civic Center planned in accordance with the precepts of the City Beautiful Movement. After the PPIE, construction in San Francisco slowed down due to WWII, and by the early 1920s, Beaux-Arts Classicism had begun to transition into the Moderne.²¹

VIII. DESIGNATION CRITERIA

Check all criteria applicable to the significance of the property that are documented in the report. The criteria checked are the basic justification for why the resource is important.

X Association with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history.

Association with the lives of persons significant in our past.

X Embody distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction.

__Has yielded or may be likely to yield information important in history or prehistory.

IX. STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

2731-35 Folsom Street is characteristic of pre-1906 construction that occurred following improved transit routes in the Mission District, which is considered the first southerly "streetcar suburb" of San Francisco. It is also significant both as a notable work of local master architect James Francis Dunn (1874-1921) and as an excellent example of residential Beaux-Arts architecture.

Events

The construction, architectural design, and location of the subject building are directly associated with transit developments that occurred in the latter half of the nineteenth century. The period of 1864-1906, often termed the "Gilded Age," was one of the most significant periods of population growth and development in the Mission District. Installation of mass transit lines (and the expansion of city utilities) enabled the Mission District to become San Francisco's first southerly "streetcar suburb." Streetcar lines initially began as horse-car routes that ran from downtown down Valencia,

¹⁹ Charles Hall Page and Associates, Splendid Survivors: San Francisco's Downtown Architectural Heritage (San Francisco: California Living Books, 1979), 29.

²⁰ Many of the most recognizable Beaux-Arts buildings in San Francisco are civic or commercial. Examples include the Hibernia Bank Building (1892), City Hall (1915), the old Main Library (1917), the Palace of Fine Arts (1915, rebuilt 1965) the War Memorial Opera House (1932).
²¹ Ibid., 38.

Mission, Howard and Folsom streets. From 1865 to 1883, extensive and reliable streetcar service of the Mission District was established on major north-south routes, including Folsom Street. The improved mass transit system transported neighborhood residents to and from downtown work places and shopping areas.

Naturally, the lots in close proximity to the streetcar routes were developed to take advantage of the convenience of transit. 2731-35 Folsom Street is a reflection of the mixed, primarily middle-class socioeconomic identity of the Mission District prior to the 1906 earthquake and fires. The building was constructed as a multi-unit flat, rather than a single-family residence. The building's location provided direct proximity to the Folsom Street streetcar line, which began as a horse-car route and transitioned to electrified streetcars in the 1890s, not long before the building at 2731-35 Folsom Street was constructed in 1900.

Architecture

2731-35 Folsom Street is an exceptional building among Dunn's many commissions. Representing his considerable mastery of various styles, the building is identifiable as a Dunn building. His signature design preferences are present, including: a rusticated ground floor, a tripartite composition, molded surrounds, exuberant surface ornamentation, and arched openings. He combines formal compositional elements with playful decorative detailing (such as his use of women's faces).

2731-35 Folsom Street is significant as a residential example of the Beaux-Arts architectural style. The subject building is distinguished within the Mission neighborhood as an example of Beaux-Arts architecture, and is also notable within the greater context of San Francisco. A classical style similar to other styles of Renaissance classical inspiration, Beaux-Arts is unique in its exuberant surface ornamentation. The major features and detailed ornamentation of the primary, visible façade of 2731-35 Folsom Street retain a high degree of artistic style and physical integrity.²² Residential Beaux-Arts buildings often feature façades with quoins, pilasters, and/or columns (usually paired with Ionic or Corinthian capitals); decorative garlands, floral patterns, and/or shields; masonry walls (usually smooth, light-colored stone); a rusticated first story (stonework joints exaggerated); and symmetry throughout.²³

The elements that lend 2731-35 Folsom Street its Beaux-Arts character include decorative shields, cartouches, Corinthian engaged columns, and a rusticated first story. The engaged column capitals creatively feature women's faces. The molded surrounds of the third story windows are intricately designed (the northern vertically oriented quatrefoil window includes a human form). The heavy entablature consists of multiple layers of detailed ornamentation, including a dentil course. The cornice's modillions are pressed with an organic floral pattern. The primary façade also features arched openings and clearly defined levels – both characteristics in keeping with the Beaux-Arts style. Although the first story is not masonry, rusticated stone is mimicked by using stucco to heavily exaggerate the joints. The first story, with its stucco rustication and molded belt course, is clearly distinguished from the second and third stories.

X. PERIOD OF SIGNIFICANCE

The period of significance for 2731-35 Folsom Street is 1900, the year the building was constructed.

²² Although no original drawings or turn of the century historic photographs exist, the building's primary façade appears to maintain all original features. The secondary façades have been modified; original wood siding and wood windows have been replaced (see Construction Chronology). The original design of these façades is unknown.

²³ Virginia and Lee McAlester, Field Guide to American Houses (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1986), 379.

XI. INTEGRITY

The seven aspects of integrity are location, design, materials, workmanship, setting, feeling and association in relation to the period of significance established above. Cumulatively, the building retains sufficient integrity to convey its Beaux-Arts architectural design by master architect James Francis Dunn.

Location, Feeling, Setting, Association

The building was originally constructed at its current location in 1900. The building has not been moved and retains integrity of location. The building retains integrity of setting because the subject block remains primarily residential. The building retains integrity of feeling as it continues to express itself as a Beaux-Arts residence constructed in 1900, and it retains integrity of association as the majority of character-defining features linking the building to James Dunn have been retained. Therefore, 2731-35 Folsom Street retains its location, feeling, setting and association.

Design, Materials, Workmanship

The primary façade retains integrity of design, materials and workmanship. The primary façade retains the design features present during the ca. 1900 period of significance (see section above, "Building Description"), as well as original materials and evidence of workmanship.

XII. BOUNDARIES OF LANDMARK SITE

Encompassing all of and limited to Lot 031 on the Assessor's Block 3640 on the east side of Folsom Street, between 23rd and 24th Street.

XIII. CHARACTER-DEFINING FEATURES

The following is a list of the character-defining features to be preserved that represent the Beaux Arts style. These features include form, massing, structure, architectural ornament and materials identified as:

- Three-story building with slightly pitched hip roof
- Asymmetrical primary façade
- Wood shiplap cladding
- Rusticated ground level cladding
- Location, size, and shape of fenestration openings
- Original wood-sash and wood-frame single-hung windows with ogee lugs
- Ground level openings with dentil and rope moldings and keystone cartouches
- Molded belt course with acanthus leaves and geometric details
- Wood stair to first story entries
- Partially glazed doors with transom windows; leaded stained glass window within entry vestibule
- Double-height engaged Corinthian columns; capitals with female masks
- Egg and dart molding above rounded bay transom windows
- Molded balcony with iron railing
- Elongated quatrefoil windows

- Entablature with projecting cornice, acanthus modillions, dentil course, ribbon of textured plaster with x-motifs pinned with florettes, and a thin rope molding
- Double-height rounded bay
- Domed turret above double-height rounded bay
- Form, massing and simple-drop style horizontal siding at secondary (north, south and east) elevations

XIV. PROPERTY INFORMATION

Please reference the Historic Landmark Designation Application Form.

XV. REFERENCES CITED

PUBLISHED WORKS

Charles Hall Page and Associates. Splendid Survivors: San Francisco's Downtown Architectural Heritage. San Francisco: California Living Books, 1979.

Callwell, Robert. "Transit in San Francisco: A Selected Chronology, 1850-1995." San Francisco Municipal Railway Communications Department. San Francisco: September 1999.

Hoffman, Philip. San Francisco's Market Street Railway. Arcadia Publishing, 2005.

McAlester, Virginia and Lee. Field Guide to American Houses. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1986.

Richards, Rand. Historic San Francisco: A Concise History and Guide. San Francisco: Heritage House Publishers, 2001.

San Francisco Planning Department, "Central Mission Shotwell Street Historic District," San Francisco: November 2010.

San Francisco Planning Department. "City Within a City: Historic Context Statement for San Francisco's Mission District." San Francisco: November 2007.

San Francisco Planning Department. "Preservation Bulletin No. 9: Landmarks." San Francisco: January 2003.

San Francisco Planning Department. "San Francisco Preservation Bulletin No. 16: City and County of San Francisco Planning Department CEQA Review Procedures for Historic Resources." San Francisco: October 2004.

Staff of the National Register of Historic Places. "How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation." U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service. 2002.

PUBLIC RECORDS

Building Permit Applications at the San Francisco Department of Building Inspection.

Deeds and Sales Leases. San Francisco Assessor/Recorder's Office.

Ryker, Harrison. "San Francisco Aerial Views." David Rumsey Historical Map Collection. 1938.

San Francisco Property Information Map.

San Francisco Public Library: San Francisco History Center (City Directories and Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps).

INTERNET SOURCES (accessed July 2016)

- Dunn, James F. "Apartment Houses." Architect and Engineer, volume LVII no3 (September 1919), p43-81.
- Dunn, James F. "Poor Designing One Reason for Apathy in Apartment House Building." Architect and Engineer, volume LVII no1 (April 1919), p47.
- "James Francis Dunn (1874-1921)." http://noehill.com/architects/dunn.aspx.
- "Passing of 2 Architects." Architect and Engineer, volume 64-67 (1921) p113.
- Mafi, Nick. "San Francisco's Most Expensive Home on Sale for 28 Million." *Architectural Digest*, (December 3, 2015).
- "Two Interesting San Francisco Houses." *Architect and Engineer*, volume LXIII (November 2, 1920), p61-67.
- Weinstein, Dave. "French Connection / S.F. architect's designs recall the boulevard buildings of the City of Light." *The Chronicle* (May 14, 2005).

XVI. APPENDIX

BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATIONS

Scanned front and back pages of building permit applications currently on file with the San Francisco Department of Building Inspection.

. .

	्रीचित्रकोरो
	172259
hygythe '	
4	APPLICATION
	OF
	y Off le
ž	Do Canaldon Owner
	To make additions, alterations or repairs
	to building
	Location
	2733 Falom Street
7	Estimated Cost, 8 300
37	Filed Jul 23 28
7	
A Page	Referred to Inspector
·****	For Report,
	<u>:</u> ·
yt."	W. 27/28
	and the second

	in the Comark
	AND OF THE
	SUPERINTENDENT OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF THE INSPECTION THE SUPERINTENDENT OF THE SUPERINT OF THE SUPERINT OF THE SUPERINT OF THE SUP
	Chief Building Inspector.
	1124128:
de de la la	Walter of the Control

THOU BE OF PERSON OF BUILDING

ALTERATION BLANKS

E Control		Will comply	with Section 228, Ordinance 7791	Ordinance 1 to notify In	165; Sections	n 102a for lathing.	Sub Floors:	•	•
677	то тне н	ONORABLE:	WRITE IN	ink—fil	e two c	OPIES			•
		THE	BOARD	OF P	UBLIG	: WO	RKS	-	
سيدنىك كۆن را			OF THE CITY A	ND COUNTY	OF SAN FI	LANGISCO			:
14.7 V 1	Gentlemen: The un	dersigned resp	ectfully petition	our Honorab	le Board (or	permission	to do the folk	wing work	•
	at corner	. ,	// / The state of the comments	A-1187.		· · · · · · ·	. 4489	: "	
	side of	***********		str <u>e</u>	et	*****************	feet	····	:
	of		275	3406	om	********		street	
	W	RITE PLA	INLY FULL I	DESCRIPT	ION OF	WORK T	o be don		
	Raise	Louse	dation 17	<i>-</i>	*****************		*		
			Stairs						
			" Ento						
	4-0-012-0-0-13-0-13-0				1				
٠,						•			
	***************************************				•				
				*******************	************	***************************************		******	
T. Tr	(*************************************	///						******* *** *** *** *** ***	
		\$	··,						


•		·	·, ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					•	
. 44	. 4	*****************	*********************			*********	**************	************	
	***************************************		•						
			300%						
			Dueller					************	
	officials age and county the use or	inst all liabilit in consequence occupancy of a	ve, indemnify and ies, judgments, co e of the granting any sidewalk, stre anditions of this p	sts and expen of this permi et or sub-side	ses which m it, and all cost	ay in anywits and dama	se accrue agair ges which may	ist said city scerue from	
	Name of A	rchitect	anes		4 A	Han	allen .	Owner	
G.P.			terled alone des estedant à fortancs d	summer.	2/40		treck	Address	٠.
		ilder Occa	nez	**** *****	Por		The Land		
, i	Address;	1:1560				. (A STATE OF THE STA		
<i>,</i>	Reperbate	n	vorably	****************	* *** ***				
a t S	***************************************				** ***				
	4147114114 181441	s course so translations				·	* *** ***		
(40000000000		m. m. interest to a	m. van en	
		! **** *** **** **** ****							
	í	!			ر پیچرور	a Ka	rade sear		
					Co			inspector.	
				****		- *************************************	me afinak t	18 <u>0</u> .K.	
	: \$a								


			VQO2 IAISIBB
			SULLY STATE OF THE
Approved: Zone Zul ll CPC Setback This is it. it.	Approved: provided aft ketchen has 3: 4 ft of superfecial flow over - ELLIS 30X Department of Public Health	REFER TO: Bureau of Engineering BBI Struct. Engineer Boller Inspector Art Commission Dept. of Public Health	3 APPLICATION OF Gene - May Spediacowner
Department of City Planning	Approved:	CORRECT ALL VIOLATIONS LIGHTO OF	FOR PERMIT TO MAKE ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS or REPAIRS TO BUILDING
Approved: Ul., Ul.,	Approved:	1- Ramore all dry pot opan ground floor for their insported	Location 2751-35 fo/50m 51
were and buy there	Art Commission	2- Roplace front & war styles. 3- Humber ofunds for approved on const for front flow all bade 2 moons	Total Cost \$ 77.50 4/2/39 Filed 4/24 1959
Bureau af Fire Prevention & Public Safety	Approved:	4- Estand main starts to rouf with closie five chor self closing	Approved:
Approved:	Boller Inspector Approved:	5- No structural bearing partifical plans.	Account to the same
		Building Inspector, Bureau of Building Inspection I agree to comply with all conditions or stipulations of the various Bureaus or Departments noted hereon.	Permit No. / 995/72 1
Structural Engineer, Burdau of Building Inspection	Bureau of Engineering	Owner's Authorized Agent	ISBUCK ASSESSION TO THE ISBUCK ALLERS AND THE ISBUCK ALLERS AND THE ISBUCK ALLERS AND THE ISBUCK

			10. 1	
SAN FIRM	77507			A. waster
	CENTRAL PERMIT BUREAU FOS	en er er vik met min Ce	ani ar	
到了 / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	1)	Write in Ink—File Two Co	RECEIVED	
DEPARTME	NT O DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WOR		RANGESCOF PUBLIC WORKS CENTRAL PERMIT I	BUREAU
V) 12 // 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	DECLICION DO BOBIL	ICATION FOR BUILDING	1959 APR 28 PM 2-58	
	3 ADDI	Tions, alterations or	REPAREDING HISPECTION	
			4/24	_19_57
\$ \$2.50	Application is hereby made to build in accordance with the plans a and for the purpose hereinafter set	nd specifications submitted	Yorks of San Francisco for pern herewith and according to the de	nission to escription
	(I) Location 2737-350	FOLISONS 57	<i>Y</i> ···	 .
17. s	(man ev)	(3) No. of stories 3	(4) Basement No	
	(5) Present use of building	2/5	Yes o	r No
- }	(7) Proposed use of building	7,75	(8) No. of families	2
1	(9) Type of construction	5 N	(10) 18-1	·.
- 2	(II) Any other building on lot	1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 1/0 (Must be shown or	Building Code Occupancy Class plot plan if answer is Yes.)	ification
\ _ !	Yes (12) Does this alteration create an a	or No	No	
	•		Yes or No	
en the substitute of	(13) Does this alteration create an	1/ 1	Yes or No	5
	(14) Electrical work to be perform	Yes or No		or No
d v	(15) Ground floor area of building	1500 sq. ft. (16)	Height of building	tt
- 	(17) Describe Work to be done (in	addition to reference to dra	wings & specifications)	
	<i>P</i>		./ 57 //	
	Comply	, with A	D.P.F.	
- \$ \bar{\psi}	Com Plaint	J. B. B. I.	condition	1

	Processing and the Control of the Co			
12.		<u> </u>		
, the state of the			urumana kobuse us ki go wurana na pipa ba ku ku ka kin ba deleny eta pre Parkuk vere	y

. :				
•	are the state of t	<u>pap kód buy no pnop Pakkahonuh perakcyn pip B D Ar piad ketinak pida be</u> Fi		
*	(18) No portion of building or structure any wire containing more than 750	cture or scaffolding used du volts. See Sec. 385, Califor	ring construction, to be closer t mia Penal Code.	han 6'0" to
•	(19) Supervision of construction b			
· 1	(20) General contractor Nat	Let	California License No	
	Address		مود و در داده در	
	(21) Architect	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	California Certificate No	
	Address	سو وی کست اسا قبل جشور جود به ما بیست و در به جنوب برای به بی با به بیان است و با او جود و ۱۹ میجود در	د د د او د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د	
	(22) Engineer		California Certificate No	**********
3, 1,	Address		Na ndajaan ee o ka ay a ja a ee o gaarah dii dagad ee oo waxaa ah aanda hayaa ee ya	.,
1	(23) I hereby certify and agree the tion, all the provisions of the perm	hat if a permit is issued for	the construction described in t	this applica-
25	I further agree to save San Fra	ncisco and its officials and	d employees harmless from al	l costs and
	damages which may accrue from anything else in connection with t	he work included in the pe	rmit. The foregoing covenant sh	ace or from
\$2.1	ing upon the owner of said propert	y, the applicant, their ders,	successors and assignees.	5046
50 j. l	(24) Owner GENE - Address 26 N.M	1215-12	(Phone 5 7-	y Bureau)
- 33	Address & O M	HY TAIL WY	UHLY CITY	i





	CITY AND COUNTY OF BAN FRANCISCO	
	NTHEAT OF PUBLIC WORKS . YOUR CENTRAL PRINT BORNAY	
-	APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PRENT	177
4	Accuracy alternations of Repairs	
*	to the state of th	
	aplication is knowled made to the Department of Public Works of Sea Propoleto by principle to Interest with the place and openimentions submitted between the generating to the descrip-	
	ad for the propers barries the facts:	
	Marine And Marine 22 and Carlotte Millian Sheem commencement and accommenced	
	Total Cost (1) and 12 man (8) No. of Starles	611
	Present Use of beliefer	
	Proposed Use of building numerous commencement and an artistic and the file the same	224
) '	Type of construction	
)	Any other building on lot	FILE
	Osea this alteration create an additional story to the building!	
	Does this alteration create a horizontal extension to the building?	THE
	Dose this alleration constitute a change of occupancy const	全
	Electrical work to be performed	202
		131
	Automobile runway to be altered or fratalled marketing	BES.
	Sidewalk over sub-sidewalk space to be ampaired or altered.	ESE
1	Will street space for used during construction i	***
3)	Write in description of all work to be performed under this application: (Reference to plane is not sufficient)	
	Selection of the state of the second of the	e. Oslik
	A Comment of the last the last of the last	
6	Gen Literatur State af fil state of the state of the second state of the state of the state of the second s	
	A Comment of the last the last of the last	
	A Comment of the last the last of the last	
	And the state of t	
	Supervision of construction by M. M. Luce, Advance 10.5.	
	Supervision of construction by California Mostre Rolls	
2)	Supervision of construction by Manual Address: 10.5 Millionia Monard Address: Address: No. 10.5 Millionia Address: No. 10.5 Mill	
2)	Supervision of construction by August Address: IT Contractor California License No. 69 Address: Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 69 (and California Cartificate No. 69)	
ž) 3)	Supervision of construction by Canaral Contractor Address: Address: Address: No. 24 Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 24 Address: Address: Address: No. 24 Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 24 Address:	
2) 3)	Supervision of construction by Alexander Address: 1245 Address General Contractor California Mosane No. 200 Address Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 200 Address Archit	
2) 3)	Supervision of construction by Alfrent Address: 1215 Address Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. Address Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No.	
2) 3)	Supervision of construction by Address II. Supervision of construction by California Cartificate No. 29 Address Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 29 Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 29 Address Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 29 Address Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 29 Address I hereby certify and agree that if a permit is inspect for the construction described in this application all the provisions of the permit and all laws and ordinances applicable thereby of the	
2) 2) 2)	Supervision of construction by General Contractor Address: California Liberta No. (in design) Address Architect or Engineer (for cause) Architect or Engineer (for cause) Although Contractor Address I hereby certify and agree that if a permit is issued for the construction described in this application, all the provisions of the permit and all laws and ordinances applicable thereby complied with. I further agree to save San Francisco and its officials and ampliyate harming from all costs and damners which may account from size or occurrence of the significant control of the si	
2) 2) 3)	Supervision of construction by California Liberta No. California Cartificata No. California Cartif	
2) 3) 3)	Supervision of construction by General Contractor Address: California Lineaus No. Address: Architect or Engineer (for cause) Architect or Engineer (for cause) Architect or Engineer (for cause) Address: Architect or Engineer (for construction of the permit is issued for the construction described in this application, all the provisions of the permit and all laws and ordinances applicable thereto will be compiled with. I further agree to save San Francisco and its officials and amployees harming from all costs and damages which may accrue from new or occupancy of the sidewall, showed or unbaldewalk space or from anything else in connection with the work included in the permit. The foregoing covenant shall be binding upon the owner of said property, the applicant, their hairs successors and assignees.	
2) 3) 5)	Supervision of construction by General Contractor Address: California Lineaus No. Address: Architect or Engineer (for cause) Architect or Engineer (for cause) Architect or Engineer (for cause) Address: Architect or Engineer (for construction of the permit is issued for the construction described in this application, all the provisions of the permit and all laws and ordinances applicable thereto will be compiled with. I further agree to save San Francisco and its officials and amployees harming from all costs and damages which may accrue from new or occupancy of the sidewall, showed or unbaldewalk space or from anything else in connection with the work included in the permit. The foregoing covenant shall be binding upon the owner of said property, the applicant, their hairs successors and assignees.	
2) 3) 5)	Supervision of construction by California Livens No. California Livens No. California Cartificate No. Address Architect or Engineer (for construction) Address Architect or Engineer (for construction) Address Architect or Engineer (for construction of the permit is inspect for the construction described in this application, all the provisions of the permit and all laws and ordinances applicable these of the compiled with. I further agree to save San Francisco and its officials and amployees harmless from all costs and damages which inay accurate from see or occupancy of the sidewalt, silves or ubsidewalk space or from anything else in connection with the work induced in the permit. The foregoing covanant shall be binding upon the owner of said property, the applicant, their hairs successors and assignees. Owner Architect Office (Phone Cartificate (Phone Cartifica	
2) 2)	Supervision of construction by California License No. (6) Address Architect or Engineer (for desire) Address Architect or Engineer (for construction) Address I hereby cartify and agree that if a permit is issued for the construction described in this application, all the provisions of the permit and all laws and ordinances applicable thereto will be compiled with. I further agree to save San Francisco and its officials and amployes harping from all costs and damages which may accrue from use or occupancy of the sidewalls, these for subsidewalk space or from anything size in connection with the work included in the permit. The foregoing covenant shall be binding upon the owner of said property, this applicant, their hairs successors and assignees. Owner Address Addre	
	Supervision of construction by General Contractor Address Architect or Engineer Architect or Engineer (for design) Address (for design) Address (for design) Address (for design) Address (for design) (for design) (for design) Address (for design) (for design) (for design) (for design) (for design) Address (for design) (for design)	
ž)	Supervision of construction by General Contractor Address Architect or Engineer Architect or Engineer (for design) Address (for design) Address (for design) Address (for design) Address (for design) (for design) (for design) Address (for design) (for design) (for design) (for design) (for design) Address (for design) (for design)	
ž)	Supervision of construction by Adversaria Address Total Address No. 162 Address No. 163 Address California License No. 163 Address Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 163 Address Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 163 Address Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 164 Address Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 165 Address Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 165 Address Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 165 Address Address Architect or Engineer Canada and State of California Cartificate No. 165 Address Address and Canada and Cartificate Inc. 165 Address Address Address Analysis Interior of California Cartificate of Engineer California Cartificate No. 165 Address A	
2) 3) 3)	Supervision of construction by General Contractor Address: The California Livense No. 188 Architect or Engineer (for deags) Address Architect or Engineer (for construction of the permit is issued for the construction described in this application, all the provisions of the permit and all naws and ordinances applicable thereis will be compiled with. I further agree to save San Francisco and its officials and amployees harmless room all costs and damages which may accure from use or occupantly of the side will be foregoing covenant shall be binding upon the owner of said property, his applicant, their half successors and assigness. Owner Authorized Agent to be Owner's Authorized Architect, Englass or General Contractors and assigness. Owner Authorized Agent to be Owner's Authorized Architect, Englass or General Contractors and assigness. Owner Authorized Agent to be Owner's Authorized Architect, Englass or General Contractors and assigness. Owner Owner Authorized Agent to be Owner's Authorized Architect, Englass or General Contractors and Englass and	
2) 3) 5)	Supervision of construction by Adversaria Address Total Address No. 162 Address No. 163 Address California License No. 163 Address Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 163 Address Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 163 Address Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 164 Address Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 165 Address Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 165 Address Architect or Engineer California Cartificate No. 165 Address Address Architect or Engineer Canada and State of California Cartificate No. 165 Address Address and Canada and Cartificate Inc. 165 Address Address Address Analysis Interior of California Cartificate of Engineer California Cartificate No. 165 Address A	

SAN FRANCIS	FOR DEPARTMENTAL US	7 - 1973 B	DEPARTMENT OF	OF SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC WORKS	SIDG.
	A PPEDVE			BUILDING PERMIT TIONS OR REPAIRS	5-12
BUILDING INSPEC	TION MAY 8019/3	A	HE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS SUR O THE DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE	DE PUBLIC WORK LONG ACCORDANCE WITH MITTED HEREWIN AND ACCORDING PURPOSE HEREMATTER SET FORTH	4137
MEANITY .	7/11/1	EE RECEIPT NO.) STREET ADDRESS OF JOB!	Parla	8
	1 D 2 D 3 D 4 D 5 D	DESCRIPTION AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	NG AFTER PROPOSED ALTER	ATION	"Mining
TIGAL	E OF CONSTA. 1-5r D N D IE 1 D 2 D 3 D 4 D 5 M ODES THIS ALTERATION PREAME ADDITIONAL ROAD ILL SIDEWALK OVER UB-SIDEWALK SPACE BE E-SAIRED OR ALTERED? NO-22	STONIES OF STATE OCCUPANCY: 3 AND CELL TIOL IF YES, STATE NEW HEIGHT AT CENTER LINE OF FRONT:	OF 7 PROPOSED USE: ARS: APTS ARS:	YES O (II) IF YES, STATE NO IX (II) WILL STREET STACE HE USED DURING NO IX (IV) WILL STREET STACE HE USED DURING NO IX (IV) WILL STREET STACE HE USED DURING NO IX (IV) WILL STREET STACE	SQ. FT.
(19) Ab (23) GE	TOTHER EXISTING BIDD. YES DON LOTY (IF YES, SHOW) NO SENDENCE OF THE CONTRACTOR CHIEFET OF ENGINEER (FOR DESIGN)	20) DOES THIS ALTERATION Y CONSTITUTE A CHANGE OF DOCUPANCY? ADDR	ES [] [2]) ELECTRICAL WORK TO BE PERFORMEDT ESS	YES	YES ON HO
(26) CO	CHITECT OR ENGINEER (FOR CONSTRUCTION LENDER IENTER NAME ANTHERE IS NO KNOWN CONSTRUCTION VYDER— LENGER (DROSS OUT ONE)		ADD	CALIF. CERTIFICA	TE NO.
	JANET .	FRICKAS (1)	ATION OFFERENCE TO PLANS IE NOT		THE STREET
	Leon Win	Lucis (Steint	Bethrum	Paintel	
	Fernanz Shu	more (Clas	ek 1 2753 Talon) Atchruser	
			-		• • •
	Septone Glass	Markey Hin	i Tik		
i first obt	MAPORTANT N age shall be made in the characte ulting a Building Permit cuthorizing 104.C. 562. 562.1 569. Experies	r of the prouponcy or use without such change, See Sec. 103, 104.	AI I HEREBY CERTIFY AND STRUCTION DESCRIBED	PLICANT'S CERTIFICATION AGREE THAT IF A PERMIT IS ISSUE IN THIS APPLICATION, ALL THE PRO	OVISIONS OF THE
first obtained first of the first obtained first	IMPORTANT N nge shall be made in the characte ulning a Bullding Permit cuthorizing 104.C, 692, 502.1, 50n Francisco 0 Housing Ceda. Illon of bullding or structure or at least than 60° to any with contain (liferrila Penal Code. to See, 102.A.B, San Francisco E posted on the job, The owner is posted on the job, The owner is to being kept of bullding site.	NOTICES r of the pecuponcy or use without the pecuponcy of the pecuponc	AI I HEREBY CERTIFY AND STRUCTION PESCRIBED PERMIT AND ALL LAWS I CERTIFY THAT IN THE EMPLOY ANY PERSON I RELATING TO WORKMEI	AGREE THAT IF A PERMIT IS ISSUE IN THIS APPLICATION, ALL THE PRO AND ORDINANCES THERETO WILL BE PERFORMANCE OF THE ABOYE WO IN VIOLATION OF THE LABOR CODI I'S COMPENSATION INSURANCE.	OVISIONS OF THE COMPLIED WITH. DRK I SHALL NOT E OF CALIFORNIA
first obtain the state of the s	IMPORTANT Is not delighted to the character and	COTICES r al the accuponcy or use withous the change. See Sec. 103, 104, the suiding Code and Sec. 104, See Stationary of the continuation of the	I HEREBY CERTIFY AND STRUCTION DESCRIBED IN PERMIT AND ALL LAWS I CERTIFY THAT IN THE EMPLOY ANY PERSON I IN FLATING TO WORKMEI I FURTHER AGREE TO S PLOYEES HARMLESS FRO DESCRIPTION USE OR OCCUP, SPACE OR PROM ANYTH I FON THE PERMIT. THE THE OWNER OF SAID F AND ASSIGNEES.	AGREE THAT IF A PERMIT IS ISSUE IN THIS APPLICATION, ALL THE PRO AND ORDINANCES THERETO WILL BE PERFORMANCE OF THE ABOVE WO IN VIOLATION OF THE LABOR CODI	DVISIONS OF THE COMPLIED WITH, DORK I SHALL NOT E OF CALIFORNIA FICIALS AND EMPCH MAY ACCRUED SUBSIDEWALK IE WORK INCLUDIE BINDING UPON
first obt 104.B.1, Francisco No por 10 be c 1385, Cul Pursuant 1 hoil be opplicati Grade I 10 be c throwing retaining oppyavel ANY ST BUILDIN IS POST REQUIRE APPROV, SEPARAT GUESTIC THIS IS:	IMPORTANT No moe shall be made in the characte unling a building Pernit outhorizing 104.C, 602, 502.1, 5on Francisco o Heusing Cade, 100.2, 502.1, 5on Francisco isser litan 60° to any wite contain literal Penal Code. 10. Sec. 302.A,8, 5on Francisco E to Sec. 302.A,8, 5on Francisco I to Sec. 302.A,8, 5on Francisco I to Sec. 302.A,8, 5on Francisco I to Sec. 302.A,8, 5on Francisco E to Sec. 302.	NOTICES r ai the accuponcy or use without a the accuponcy or use without and the accuponcy or use without and the accuponcy or use without and the accuponcy of the accuponcy o	AI I HEREBY CERTIFY AND STRUCTION DESCRIBED PERMIT AND ALL LAWS. I CERTIFY THAT IN THE EMPLOY ANY PERSON I RELATING TO WORKMEI I FURTHER AGREE TO S PLOYEES HARMLESS FRO FROM USE OR OCCUPY SPACE OR FROM ANYTH ED IN THE PERMIT. THE THE OWNER OF SAID F AND ASSIGNEES. IN SIGNATURE OF DWINEYO	AGREE THAT IF A PERMIT IS ISSUE IN THIS APPLICATION, ALL THE PROAND ORDINANCES THERETO WILL BE PERFORMANCE OF THE ABOVE W. "VIOLATION OF THE LABOR CODI "S COMPENSATION INSURANCE. AVE SAN FRANCISCO AND ITS OF MALL COSTS AND DAMAGES WHINCY OF THE SIDEWALK, STREET LING ELSE IN CONNECTION WITH THE FOREGOING COVENANT SHALL BE ROPERTY, THE APPLICANT, THEIR HE AUTHORIZED AGENT	DYISIONS OF THE COMPUED WITH. DRK I, SHALL NOT E OF CALIFORNIA FICIALS AND EM- CH MAY ACCRUE OR SUB-SIDEWALK E WORK INCLUD. E BINDING UPON IRS, SUCCESSORS

, n

		DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
SAN FR	ANCISCO HAY 9 1074	
FICIAL J.		APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT
		DDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS
ODEPART		TION IS HEREBY MADE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
BUITDING		MS AND SPECIFICATIONS SELMITTED HEREWITH AND ACCORDANCE WITH DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE PURPOSE HEREINAFTER SET FORTH: OF ADDRESS OF JOI: ET ADDRESS OF JOI:
~	Alle Di A	DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE PURPOSE HEREINAFTER SET FORTH:
f		EL YEDSIGN ON YOR
,	-8-74 83477 2°	731-5 Folsom
	12177 -71-74	1.0.00 = 13/
		EDITING BUILDING [PAI MESENTUSE] [PAI MESENTUSE] [PAI MESENTUSE] [PAI MESENTUSE] [PAI MESENTUSE] [PAI MESENTUSE]
•	PE DE CONSTR. 1-4 D IN DE CON HUMBER 10 20 10 40 5 00 OF OCCUPANCY: 3 AND CHIAMS.	U Upus III I
	FOR CONSTR. 1-47 2 H & (S) ASSUMED OF 2 (6) HUMBER OF	AFTER PROPOSED MITERATION (ii) MOPOSED USE: (iii) MOPOSED USE: (iii) MOPOSED USE: (iii) MOPOSED USE: (iv) MOPOSED USE: (i
	DESTHIS ALIEMATION YES D (10) IF YES, STATE REATE ADOSTROMAL NEW HEIGHT AT	(IIIA) DOES THIS ALTERATION YES D (III) IF YES, STATE OFFICE A MODIFICATION YES D (III) IF YES, STATE
	TORY TO BUILDING! HO CONTER LINE OF FRONT: FT. IT SIDEWALK OVER TES CONTEN LI	TO A CONSTRUCTED TES S (IT) WILL STREET SPACE YES D
	Y OTHER EXISTING RIDG. YES () [70) DOES THIS ALTERATION YES () N LOTT VIE THE SHOW CONSTITUTE A CHANGE	[21] ELECTRICAL YES = [22] FEMANING TEE E
	VERAL CONTRACTOR ADDRESS	FERFORMED! NO [] PERFORMED! NO []
	FLF HITECT OR ENGINEER (FOR DESIGN) ADDRESS	CALIF. CERTIFICATE NO.
	NITIECT OF ENGINEER (FOX CONSTRUCTION: ADDRESS	CALIF. CENTIFICATE INC.
	STRUCTION LENDER FATER HAME AND BEAUCH DESIGNATION IF ANY. HERE IS NO KNOWN CONSTRUCTION LENDER, ENTER WIKNOWN	ASSISS
	IER - ETELL (CAULTY ONE) ADDRESS	PHONE (FOR CONTACT BY EVERAU)
	FAILURY THE ALL WORK TO BE PERFORMED UNDER THIS APPLICATION	WALLER Z. ST. SUFFICIENTS: SUB-1076
	4	
	Comply a	tu tu
	To amolitica	with death
	· resim Cur	# 413704
•		
	IMPORTANT NOTICES	APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION
	e that! be maile in the character of the occupancy or use without sing a Building Fermir outhorizing such change. See Sec. 103, 104.8, 14.C, 502, 502.1, San Francisco Building Code and Sec. 104, San	I HEREAY CERTIFY AND AGREE THAT IF A PERMIT IS ISSUED FOR THE CON- STRUCTION DESCRIBED IN THIS APPLICATION, ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE
	bousing Code, to the building or structure or scallolding used during construction, to then 600 to any wire containing more than 750 volts. See Sec.	PERMIT AND ALL LAWS AND ORDINANCES THERETO WILL BE COMPLIED WITH. I CERTIFY THAT IN THE PERFORMANCE OF THE ABOVE WORK I SHALL NOT
	min Penol Code. 5 Sec. 302.A.B., Son francisco Building Code, the building permit outed on the job. The owner it responsible for approved plass and	EMPLOY ANY PERSON IN VIOLATION OF THE LABOR CODE OF CALIFORNIA RELATING TO WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION INSURANCE.
	being kept at building site. 1 as shown on drawings occumentation this application are assumed	1 FURTHER AGREE TO SAVE SAN FRANCISCO AND ITS OFFICIALS AND EM- PLOYEES HARMLESS FROM ALL COSTS AND DAMAGES WHICH MAY ACCULE FROM USE OR OCCUPANCY OF THE SIDEWALK, STREET OR SUB-SIDEWALK SPACE OR FROM ANYTHING ELSE IN CONNECTION WITH THE WORK INCLUD.
	et. If actual grade lines are not the same as thown revised drawings rest grade lines, cuts and fills together with complete deals of alls and wall toolings required must be submitted to this bureau for	THE OWNER OF SAME PROPERTY. THE APPLICANT THEIR HEIRS, SUCCESSORS
	LATION REQUIRED HEREIN OR BY CODE MAY BE APPEALED. NOT TO BE OCCUPIED UNTIL CERTIFICATE OF FINAL COMPLETION	AND ASSIGNEES
	ON THE BUILDING OR PERMIT OF OCCUPANCY GRANTED, WHEN APPROVAL OF THIS APPLICATION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN	SIGNATURE OF OWNER OR ANTHORIZED AGENT
	FOR THE ELECTRICAL WIRING OR PLUMBING INSTALLATIONS. A PERMIT FOR THE WIRING AND PLUMBING MUST BE OBTAINED, ERMITS ARE REQUIRED IF ANSWER IS "YES" TO ANY OF ABOVE	CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX.
	(15) (16) (17) (20) (21) or (22). If A BUILDING PERMIT, NO WORK SHALL BE STARTED UNTIL A EXAIT IS ISSUED.	COWNER ARCHITECT ENGINEER
	all insulating metalois must have a clearance of not less that two off electrical wires or equipment.	CONTRACTOR C CATTORNEY IN FACT

1.35

FICIAL O DEPARTA	APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATIONS AFTERNATIONS OF PERMIT APPLICATIONS AFTERNATIONS OF PERMIT
	APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO SWOLD
	ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
·	FORM 3 PRE-APPROVAL SITE INSPECTION REQUIRED APPLICATION IS, HEREBY MADE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR PERMISSION TO BUILDING CORPUS OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR PERMISSION TO BUILDING CORPUS OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR PERMISSION TO BUILDING CORPUS OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR PUBLIC WORKS OF SAN FRANCISCO
İ	DATE FILED FILING FEE RECEIPT NO. (1) STREET ADDRESS OF DOS STORY STREET AND THE FILED STREET ADDRESS OF DOS S
. į	13-31-84 /35782 /2731-2735 FOLDOM ST LOT#37 BOMINO. ISSUED / IZA ESTIMATED COST OF JOB OF AND PERSON COST.
	507/10 2-11-85 #2,000/CO
	INFORMATION TO BEYURNISHED BY ALL APPLICANTS
•	DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING BUILDING (4A) YITE OF CONSTR. ISA) NO. OF (6A) NO. OF (7A) PRESENT USE ISA) NO. OF (6A) NO. OF (7A) PRESENT USE ISA) NO. OF (7A) PRES
	COMPANY DECLIPANCE 3 INDICATION OF THE STATE
P	WOOD STORES OF 2 MASSMENTS () POOC HE AT I (1) NO. OF DECEMBENTS () POOC HE AT I (1)
•	OD AS ALTO STRAWAY
	TO SE CONSTRUCTED 150
•	(15) OWNER HISSET (CROSS OUT ONE) ADDRESS PHONE (FOR CONTACT BY BUREAU)
	NEIL H. BLEULER P.O.BOX 11229 SF. CA 94101 626-1614
Į.	THREE-STORY PEAR-STALECASE WILL BE REMOVED (WOOD 1. 2 3.)
<u>р</u> .	AND REPLACED WITH NEW WOOD STAIRCASE (3 STORY).
	i i
r	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION — FORM 3 APPLICANTS ONLY
-	17] DOSS TRIS ALTERATION YES 18] F 7] S YES, STATE (17) DOSS TRIS ALTERATION YES 18) S YES, STATE 18 S YES 19 S YES, STATE 18 S YES
•	(21) WILL SEEWALK OVER YES (22) WILL SUIDING YES (23) ANY OHER EXISTING BLOG. YES (24) DOES THIS ALTERATION YES (25) SALE SEEWALK SPACE BE SUIDEWALK SPACE BE SEPARED OR ALLERED NO CH SOCKWART WEY NO CH SOCKWART WEY NO CH SOCKWART NO CH SOCKWART NO NO CH SOCKWART NO CH
	(23) ARCHITECT OR ENGINEER (DESIGN CONSTRUCTION) ADDRESS CAUE. CERTIFICATE NO.
	(24) CONSTRUCTION LENDER (ENTER NAME AND BEANCH DESIGNATION IF ANY, ADDRESS OF THERE IS NO PROWN CONSTRUCTION LENDER, ENTER "WIGNOWN").
	IMPORTANT NOTICES NOTICE TO APPLICANT
	No change shall be made in the character of the occupancy or use without first obtaining a Building Permit authorizing such change. See San Francisco Building indemnity and hold harmless the City and County of San Francisco from and against
	Penal Code. It confarmity with the provisions of Section 3800 of the Lobar Code of the State of Cultivaries, the applicant shall have online, the applicant shall have only the content of the shall have only the
<u>.</u>	correct, if actual grade lines are not the same at shown revised drawings showing — () I. Certificate of Consent to Self-Insure issued by the Director of a correct grade lines, cuts and fills tagether with complete details of retaining walls and wall footings required must be submitted to this bureau for approval. () 11. Certificate of Workman's Compensation Insurance issued by an
<i>t</i>	ANY STIPULATION REQUIRED HEREIN OR BY CODE MAY BE APPEALED. BUILDING NOT TO BE OCCUPIED UNIT CERTIFICATE OF FINAL COMPLETION IS () III. An axord copy or duplicate of (i) certified by the Director or (ii)
•	APPROVAL OF THIS APPLICATION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN APPROVAL FOR THE V. The cost of the work to be performed is \$100 or less.
	WIRING AND PLUMBING MUST BE OBTAINED. SEPARATE PERMITS ARE REQUIRED IF subject to the workman's compensation laws of Collinnic. 1 ANSWER IS "YES" TO ANY OF ABOVE QUESTIONS (10) (11) (12) (12) (22) or (24). Subject of the workman's compensation laws of Collinnic. 1
	PERMIT IS ISSUED. Lober Code of Colifornia and full to comply forthwith with the Lober Code of Colifornia and full to comply forthwith with the Colifornia and Col
	The contraction of electrical wires or equipment. (a) Spiplied for fault and electrical wires or equipment. (b) I certify as the owner for the agent of the powner; that in the performance of the work for which filts Form it is issued, I will employ a contractor who complies with the workman's compensation lows of Colifornio and who have no more proposed in the performance of the work for which filts Form it is issued. I will employ a contractor who complies with the workman's compensation lows of Colifornio and who have no file, or prior to the commencement of any work will file, with the Control Form Burgau evidence that workman's compensation insurance is corried.
	CONTRACTOR CATTORNEY IN FACT APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION
	HEREBY CERTIFY AND AGREC THAT IF A PERMIT IS ISSUED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION DESCRIBED IN THIS APPLICATION, ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE PERMIT AND ALL LAWS AND ORDINANCES THERETO WILL BE COMPLIED WITH. Applicant's Standard Applicant's Standard Applicant's Standard

₹_129[°]

SANFRANC	7300	SHAN SHOP
CAL ODEPARTME	ECTION ATION AT START OF WORK CHEEPHONE NO. 553 67951 PHILE	PPROVED S IS B S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
Y	APPLICATION IS APPAUSE WITHOUT SITE INSPECTION AND L'I DOTS HOT COLLTHUITE AN APPAUSTA OF THE BUILDING WORK AUTHORIZED MUST BE DONE IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE CODE.	Licept. of Public Works OCT 2 2 1987
		MARINE REPORTED T Chan
	APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
	ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS FORM 3 OTHER AGENCIES REVIEW REQUIRED	APPLICATION IS HEREBY MADE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF UNICONSTRUCT OF SALL PROPERCY LEGAL PERMISSION TO UNITED HANDS AND SPECIFICATIONS
	FORM 8 Over-the-counter issuance	UNID-MACCORDANCE WITH THE PLOSS AND SPECIFICATIONS UNANTIFED HEREWITH AND ACCORDING TO THE DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE PURPOSE HEREINAFTER SET FORTH. SOT DELIVEY 1007 DELIV
	NUMBER OF PLAN SETS VIOLOGY WATER AS THE PAPER PARTS PARTS PER INCOME NO. [10] STREET ADDRESS OF	Note the Dec A
مام و المام ال المام المام ال	16-22-67 2731- PELMI NO. 5540 CALIFORNIA COST 7 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 2	07 (08 ((70) SENSEO COST:
	INFORMATION TO	BE FURNISHED BY ALL APPLICANTS
	MUTTH OF CONSTR. MUHOL OF MUHOL OF PAYMERENT LOS	HON OF EXISTING BUILDING LESIDEATIAL FLATS RISERS OF DIVISION TO DIVISIONALI DIVISIONALI DIVISIONALI DIVISIONI DI DIVISIONI DIVISIONI DIVISIONI DIVISIONI DIVISIONI DIVISIONI DI
		JIRDING AFTER PROPOSED ALTERATION
	CONTINUED NO SA CONSTRUCTION OF CONSTRUCTION O	ESIDENTIAL FLATS R DISTRICT STATES R DISTRICT ST
	JOE ATSUS 2410 CHESTINUT	#24 ST 398-4840 OWNER BUILDER
	JOE ATSUS 2410 CHESTINL	17 FEY SF CA. 94123 398-4840
	REPAIR AND/OR REPLACE	FRONT STAIR FREADS
. ·	NON STE	MCHUKAI NO DESIGNI
	(40)	79.
	ADDITIONAL INFORM UT) DOES THIS AUTERATION CERCITE ADDITIONAL STORY TO MADINAL TO SEE OF FRONT	ATION — FORM 3 APPLICANTS ONLY OP COLD PER MINISTER TEST COP FOR EXEL STATE
	(21) WALL SECHMENT CHARLE IN CO. THE C	122 COL VANCOLLAND SHOW NO COQUILINE Y CONCERNOLL NO COCUMENTS Y CONCERNOLL NO CONCERNOLL NO COCUMENTS Y CONCERNOLL NO COC
	(25) AMONTHOU ON ENGNESS (PERCH CONTINUENCH) (25) CONSTRUCTION LIBROS (PIETE NEWS YOU MAND) (PERCHATION & JUTY), F PRESS NO ENGNESS (PIETE NEWS YOU MAND (PERCHATION))	ADDRESS CUE. CEMPICATE NO.
	IMPORTANT NOTICES	NOTIGE TO APPLICANT
A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	No change shall be made in the character of the occupancy or use with obstaining a Building Permit authorizing such change. See Son Francisco to Code and Son Francisco touging Code. No portion of building or structure or scrifteding used during construction, so than 80° to got with containing more than 750° volts. See Sec. 335, Co	and first HOD HARMLESS CLAUSE: The Permittee(i) by occeptance of the permit, agree(i) is widding indemnify and hald hormless the City and County of San Francisco from and against any and all Colem, demands and others for demoges resulting from operations under this permit, reportless of negligency of the City and County of San Francisco against all each claims, of the City and County of San Francisco against all each claims, and the City and County of San Francisco against all each claims, and the City and County of San Francisco against all each claims, and the City and County of San Francisco against all each claims, and the City and County of San Francisco against all each claims, and the City and County of San Francisco against all each claims.
· ·	Perol Code. Peronan to San Francisco Building Code, the building permit shall be posted job. The owner is responsible for appared plant and application being building site.	kept of Carbinole (i) or (ii) or (iii) designoled below or sholl indicate item (iv) or (v) or (vi) Larbinole (ii) or (ii) or (iii) designoled below or sholl indicate item (iv) or (vi) artivity Larbinole (ii) or (ii) or (iii) or (iii) designoled below or sholl indicate item (iv) or (vi) artivity Larbinole (ii) or (ii) or (iii) or (i
	Grade lines as shown on drawings accompanying this application are assume correct. If actual grade lines one not the same as shown servised drawings a correct grade lines and affiliate gritter with complete delaits of selecting we wall footings required most be submitted to this buseau for approval.	having () L. Curtificate of Consent to Self-Insure issued by the Director of 1.5
	ANY STIPULATION REQUIRED HEREIN OR BY CODE MAY BE APPEALED. BUILDING NOT TO BE OCCUPIED UNTIL CERTIFICATE OF FINAL COMPLET BOSTED ON THE NUMBER OR PERMIT OF OCCUPIANCY GRANTED WHEN REC	NON IS - () III. An exoct copy or duplicate of (I) certified by the Einschaf or (II) Appen. certified by the insurer.
er e	APPROVAL OF THIS APPROATION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN APPROVAL F ELECTRICAL WIRENG OR PLUMEING INSTALLATIONS. A SEPARATE PERMIT HE WIRENG AND PLUMEING MUST BE OBTAINED. SEPARATE PERMITS ARE REQL AUSWER IS YES? TO ANY OF ABOVE QUESTIONS (10) (11) (13) (22)	
	ANSWER IS TYPES TO ANY OF ABOVE QUESTIONS (10) (11) (13) (13) (22) THIS IS NOT A BUILDING PERMIT. NO WORK SHALL BE STARTED UNTIL A BL PERMIT IS ISSUED. In dwellings of linkulating metaloids mut have a chearance of not less than two from all affectived wires or equipment.	Index Code of Colifornia and fail to comply forthwith with the provisions of Section 3800 of the Labor Code, that the Permit herein and anything the department anything to confine for the bed anything anything to the confined for the confined f
	CHICK APPROPRIATE IOX BOWNER DARCHITECT DENGINEER	() V. learning as the owner (or the agent of the owner) that is the performance of the work for which this Permit is issued, I will employ a controlled who complete with the workmand's compensation love to Collottice who complete with the workmand's compensation love to Collottic and who but on life, or prior to the coursencement of any work well Rile, with the Centrical Permit Byreau effectors.
5. th., "************************************	COMINACIOS AHONNELIN LYCT	Colorina and who bottoniae, or price to the commencement of any work well file, with the Central Parmit Byresu evidence that workmon's companion insurance is carried.
	APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION I HEREBY CERTEY AND AGREE THAT IF A PERMIT IS ISSUED FOR THE CONSTR DESCRIBED BY THIS APPLICATION, ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE PERMIT A LAWS AND ORDINANCES THERETO WILL BE COMPUED WITH.	NOTON Joseph Atten 10/22/87

9003 03

9000-0d

DEPARTMENTOF SUILDING MISPECTION BUILDING ENLARGEMENT ANCELLED ON: 1127-90 DESCRIPTION OTIFIED: (thus/20ldren AILED ON: 11-7-90 MALCOTTE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS APPLICATED FOR PARKET OF PERMISSON TO PHEUC WORKS OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR PERMISSON TO PHEUC WORKS OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR PERMISSON TO PHEUC WORKS OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR PERMISSON TO SUMMITTED FREEWITH AND ACCORDING TO THE DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE PURPOSE HEREMAFTER SET FORTH. FORM 3 DOTHER AGENCIES REVIEW REQUIRED WHY FORM B OVER THE COUNTER ISSUANCE IA APPROVAL REQ IROVAL NUMBER; Z + PREAPPL 211509 REQ'D FOLSOMST 1,100 CELE INFORMATION TO BE FURNISHED BY ALL APPLICANTS (m.L. MB 7.4 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION -- FORM 3 APPLICANTS ONLY (16, DOES THE ANTERATION CHEATE DECK ON NORSE EXTENSION TO BRADING استا عود 66 BROADWAY A CA.94111 C17166 NOTICE TO APPLICANT IMPORTANT NOTICES USE: The Permittee(j) by outsplones of the permit, agree(j) to amilist the Gry and County of Son Francisco from and agonit monds and bottoms for domings resulting from spersions under so of negligence of the Gry and County of Son Francisco, and to the County of Son Francisco gooded at such domin. DESCHIECT DENGNEER DAGENT WITH POWER OF ATTORNEY □ IESSEE CONTRACTOR CLASSICAL IN FACT HEREBY CERTIFY AND JORGE HATE & ATRIANT IS ISSUED FOR THE CONSTITUTION OF THE ATRIANT IS ISSUED FOR THE CONSTITUTION ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE FEART AND ALL LAWS AND DESIRANCES RECEIO WALL BE COMPLETE WITH.

If the image of this document appears less sharp than this notice, it is due to the quality of the original.

BUILDING ENLARGEMENT DESCRIPTION L-28.97 How works Loate BUILDING ENLARGEMENT NOTIFIED: 3 MAILED ON:	0920 001 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10
APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS PENDA 10 HARM MORE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF DE WORKS OF SAN FAMILIES AND SPECIFICATIONS MITTED HEREWITH AND COOK DATE OF DESCRIPTION FOR THE PURPOSE HEREING AS SET OF THE PURPOSE HEREING AS
AND I TEHO HE MICEFT HO. (1) STREET ADDRESS OF YOR	01504 57. 3670 37 g
HADDEGERCOSTIN ENABLES OF SHAPE OF SHAP	RESTING BUILDING LOSS MALE 3 AGA EN 3 AGE BAYCON COSS MALE AND MAL
(10) COLONICALE (2) HOU OF ADDRESS HOUSE HEAR OSD. (10) COLONICALE (2) HOU OF ADDRESS HOUSE HEAR OSD. (10) COLONICALE (2) HOU OF ADDRESS HOUSE HEAR OSD. (10) COLONICALE (2) HOU OF ADDRESS HOUSE HEAR OSD. (10) COLONICALE (2) HOU OF ADDRESS HOUSE HEAR OSD. (10) COLONICALE (2) HOU OF ADDRESS HOUSE HEAR OSD. (10) COLONICALE (2) HOU OF ADDRESS HOUSE HEAR OSD.	TEST DENTIFY TEST IN HIMSON WAS TO BE
THE OPLE TAND LEVEL	ADDESS ST 9410 398-1840 B NOT SOFTCOM TO TO ADDR ST 1. 2 3. 1
(IV) COSIT THE AUTEMION TILES OF THE STATE O	(I) DOES THE ALTERATION ELEMATE DECK ON HOME ELEMATE DECK ON HOM
(I) WE HENNIE ONE THE DESCRIPTION OF THE DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	1 COD PANDURA EGGING INDC ALC AND B COCCUMANCE. NO B COCCUMANCE. NO B COCCUMANCE. NO B COCCUMANCE.
MAPORTANT NOTICES No chance shall be mode in the chanceter of the occupancy or use without find	NOTICE TO APPLICANT HOLD HARMLESS CLAUSE: The Permittee(s) by occupions of the permit, ogree(s)

IMPORTANT NOTICES

No change shall be mode in the character of the occupancy or use without first obsolving a bridging Parmit authoriting such change. See Son Franchice Bridding Code in 15 on, franchice through Code.

No profice of bridging parmit authoriting such change. See Son Franchice Bridding Code in 15 on, or on white so collidating used during construction, to be closer that the control of the control of the control of the part of the control o

CONTRACTOR ATTORNEY IN FACT

APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION

THEREIN' (CERTIF AND AGREE THAT IF A PERMIT IS ISSUED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION
DESCRIPE IN THAT APPLICATION, ALL THE PROVISSIONS OF THE PERMIT AND ALL
LIW'S AND ORDINANCES THERETO WILL BE COMPUED WITH.

, IL.

ordiss of nephenoco of the City and Compros own assumes, we want in each of the City on County of Don Frenctice organized its defect foliate, and the City on County of Don Frenctice organized its defect foil the providence of the State of the City of the City of the State of the City of the Ci

<u>n</u> sam		
	AUG 18 1997 AUG 18 1997 AUG 18 1997	
	APPLICATION FOR BUILDING FETMERBUILDING INSPECTION AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS FORM 8 OTHER AGENCIES REVIEW REQUIRED PERMISSION TO BUILD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FORM 8 OVER-THE-COUNTER ISSUANCE APPLICATION IS HEREBY MADE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR PERMISSION TO BUILD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FORM ACCORDANCE WITH THE PURPOSE HEREWITH AND ACCORDING TO THE DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE PURPOSE HEREWITH AND ACCORDING TO THE DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE PURPOSE HEREWITH AND ACCORDING TO THE DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE PURPOSE HEREWITH AND ACCORDING TO THE DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE PURPOSE HEREWITH AND ACCORDING TO THE DESCRIPTION ACCORDING TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION OF SAN FRANCISCO CONTROL OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR PERMISSION TO BUILD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PURPOSE HEREWITH AND ACCORDANCE WITH THE PURPOSE HEREWITH AN	OSHA APPROVAL REQUI
	CATE PLES PARTIES NEEDED IN DO NOT WASTE ABOVE THIS LINE 1/8/17	WAL HEO'D []
		YES D
	CALTERED NO A CONTINUED NO A CONTINU	NO 33
	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION [ID] DOOR THIS ATERATION CHARTE ADDITIONAL HOUSE THE ATERATION CHARTE ADDITIONAL HOUSE DESIGN TO DEALERADY NO 55 CENTER ADDITIONAL TO CHARTE SOCIONAL PROVIDED TO THE PROVIDED TO	\$0.FT.
	BA CONTINUENCE DOOR DISTRICTORUSING DISTRICTORUSING HAVE BY THERE HA DISCHILL CONTINUENCE DOOR THE PRODUCTION OF THE PR	YES D
=	IMPORTANT NOTICES No training shall be made in the strategy of the without that obtaining a Babting from the properties of the occurrency or true without that obtaining as Babting from the properties of the pro	all claims, antiess of a City and formia, the ii), or (IV), of as well.
	ANY STIPMLATION REQUIRED HEREIN OR BY CODE MAY BE APPEALED. BULDING NOT TO BE OCCUPIED MAY BE APPEALED. BULDING NOT TO BE OCCUPIED WITH CREMING TO GO IN A COMPLETION IS POSTED ON THE BULDING OR PERMIT OF OCCUPIENT GRANTED WHITH REQUIRED. APPROVAL OF THIS APPLICATION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN APPROVAL FOR THE BECETRICAL WHITHING OR PLUMBENS INSTITUTIONS. A SEPARATE PERMIT FOR THE WITHING AND PLUMBING INSTITUTIONS. A SEPARATE PERMIT FOR THE WITHING AND PLUMBING NAME IN THE PERMIT FOR THE WITHING AND PLUMBING BUSINESS INSTITUTIONS. A SEPARATE PERMIT FOR THE WITHING AND PLUMBING BUSINESS INSTITUTIONS. A SEPARATE PERMIT SHE RECURRED IF WITHING AND PLUMBING BUSINESS INSTITUTIONS. A SEPARATE PERMIT FOR THE BUSINESS IN THE PERMIT FOR THE P	y Section plant is
	CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX I OWNER I ARCHITECT I LESSEE AGENT SCOOLINEACHTECT CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX 1 ARCHITECT CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX ARCHITECT CHECK APPROPRIATE CHECK APP	ons of the of Section worked.

APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION
INFREST CERTIFY AND AGREE THAT IF A PERMIT IS ISSUED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION
DESCRIBED IN THIS APPLICATION, ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE PERMIT AND ALL LIWS
AND CHORANCES THEREOT WILL BE COMPUTED WITH

CTION			(1)	F96
			West 1	\$ P
• "	' RESIDE	NTIME NOW ATOUT LIFE	AUG 1	ω
7.5	THURST KIND OF D	SERATI VIDAK (KIL) TIGAT VIDAK (KIL)	·· VED	(∞)
r	The firm Human Company	[ENTER AND GENERAL FOR STATE	F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	
	and the said	ALD DURBUUM REFE	1995 A ISSUANCE	
		ATTENED SOUTH TEN	NCE	5.0.
		.,		C
APPLICATION FOR BUILDING I ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR I	Per 19110	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN F EPARTMENT OF BUILDING I		ICATION NULLBER
FORM 3 OTHER AGENCIES REVIEW	REQUIRED APPLICA	TION IS HEREBY MADE TO THE	FRANCISCO FOR	
FORM 8. X OVER-THE-COUNTER ISSUA	PLANS A	SION TO BUILD IN ACCORD IND SPECIFICATIONS SUBMITTI	ED HEREWITH AND	App
7 OVER-112-000 NOVER 1200 G		DING TO THE DESCRIPTION THE REMARKS FORTH.	I AND FOR THE	A APA
NUMBER OF PLAN SETS	▼ DO NOT WRITE ABOVE THIS	WO FEILE		L NUI
8-19-99 FLUXO FEE PIECEIPT NO.	2735 FOLS	ou St	PY	OSHA APPROVAL REGID APPROVAL NUMBER:
886933 8-19-99	PASTANTED COST OF AND	ba) senzes cost.	·	
	MATION TO BE EURNIG	SHED BY ALL APPLICANTS	DATE:	
	LEGAL DESCRIPTION O	F EXISTING BUILDING		
INTTYPE OF CONSTR. IMANO. OF STORES OF BASEMENTS AND CELLARS.	RESOURCE	THE FLAT	R-/	3
DESC	RIPTION OF BUILDING AF	TER PROPOSED ALTERATION	ioccur. cuss 14 Imple	
19) TITE OF CONSTITUTE OF STREET STRE	PESCOFATT	Tar Frat	OCCUP. CLASS	
TO BE CONSTRUCTED YES D BEUSED DO		THE ELECTRICAL YES WORK TO BE YES	WORKTORS PERFORMED	YES-E
(M) DEMEND CONTRACTOR	ADDRESS NO D	ZIP NICKE		10/12/
INFOMER, ESSEE CHOSS OUT ONE	WITH ANE 9	4121 38/-011)	SG1658 PHONE (FOR	7-7-7
TOE AUSUS 2733	FOLSOM ST.	SF 94110	415-28	2-1498
Delaying to perchange we way to ache to controlled it is an	LANCY I WALKES ENFINCE TO MOVED FOR			
I RE-140DEE FXIS	TING BATT	4ROOM		
RE-MODEL EXIS	TWY BATT	4R0044		
RE-MODEL EXIS	THY BATT	HR0014		
RE-MODEL EXIS	THY BAT	HR004		
RE-MODEL EXIS	TWY BAT	HR0044		
THE - MODEL EXIS	ADDITIONAL IN		Dico punip ser grate	
ID) COCCUPATION OF THE TOTAL OF	S. STATE TAJ E DEFROAT FL.	(19) DOES THIS ALTERATION YES CREATE DEDUC COMMONS. YES EXTENSION TO BULLDATO? NO	D (00) B (IMID NOS. STATE ALCORAMSA DEQ 0005 INS ALEBATION	50.Ft.
THE STANDARD OF THE STANDARD O	S. STATE TAY TAY THE COMMAND TO THE COMMAND THE COMMAN	(19) DOES THIS ALTERATION YES	D DOES THIS ALTERATION CONSTITUTE A CHANGE OF OCCUPANOTI	YES II
TO WILL SDEWALK OFFA BY THE STILL MILL SHE SHAPE BY THE STILL MILL SHE	S. STATE TO T	(19) DOES THIS ALTERATION YES CREATE DEDUC COMMONS. YES EXTENSION TO BULLDATO? NO	D DOES THIS ALTERATION CONSTITUTE A CHANGE OF OCCUPANOTI	YES 🗅
THE STANDARD OF THE STANDARD O	S. STATE TO T	(19) DOES THIS ALTERATION YES CREATE DEDUC COMMONS. YES EXTENSION TO BULLDATO? NO	D DOES THIS ALTERATION CONSTITUTE A CHANGE OF OCCUPANOTI	YES II
THE WILL SOMMAN COME. THE SOM STATE OF THE SOMMAN COME. THE SOMMAN COME SOMM	S SING SECONDARY FL. SCORES OF MACH NO DESCRIPTION OF SECONDARY NO DESCRIPTION OF SECO	(18) DOES THE ALTERATOR TEST CONTROL TEST CO	TAGORAPE ACORATION OF COLUMNIC A CANONIC OF COCUMENT A COCUMENT A COCUMENT A CANONIC OF COCUMENT A	YES II NO -ES PEATE NO.
INTO POLICE SHAPE	\$ 5/1/16 \$ 60 FFRout FT. \$ 60 FFRout FT. \$ 70 PT. \$ 70 PT	(IN) DOES HOS ANTENTON CREATE DOES HOS ANTENTON EXTENSION TO BULEATO DO NOT DOES EXTENSION ON NOT PLAN ADDRESS HOLD HARMLESS CLAUSE: The permatency and hold harmless to Cay and Dorsely or 2	COAPPLICANT O APPLICANT O APP	YES IN NO -23
INTO POLICE SOURCE STATE SOURCE SOURC	S STATE S OF FRONT S OF	(III) DOES HIS ALTERATOR YES CHARLES DOES HIS OUT SHOULD S	TACORAGE TO THE ATTENTION TO THE ATTENTI	YES D NO -EI NO
THE MEL SOCIAL COVER SECTION S	S. SIANE SEPPROUT FIL SECTION	(IN DOES HE ALTERATOR (IN CALL PARTY AND ALTERATION OF A IN PARTY AND A	COSTINUE ACCUSATION CONSTITUTE A COUNTY	YES D NO -ET PLANTE NOET PLANTE N
IMPORTANT NOTICES No profession of backing or structure or scattling or structure or support of the backing or structure or support of the backing or structure or support of the company or use Permit authorities and the backing or structure or support of the company or use Permit authorities or structure or support or support of the profession of the company or use Permit authorities or structure or support of the company or use Permit authorities or structure or support of the company or use Permit authorities or structure or support of the company or use Permit authorities or structure or support of the company or use Permit authorities or structure or support of the company or use Permit authorities or structure or support of the company or use Permit authorities or structure or support of the company or use Permit authorities or structure or support of the company or use Permit authorities or structure or support of the company or use Permit authorities of the company of the c	S SING S OF PROUT S OF	(III) DOES HIS ALTERATOR YES CHARLES DEC AN INDICE. EXTENSION TO SUCCESS! DEC AND TO THE SECTION OF THE SECTI	O APPLICANT b) processes of the point, and a spaint of the point, and the point of the point, and a spaint of the point of the	YES D NO -ET PLANTE NOET PLANTE N
The Manage shall be made in the others for examining or stucture or small following or stucture or small following part of the company of the	SAINE SEPROUT FI. SEPROUT FIL	(IN) DOES HES ALTERATOR YES CREATE BOOK ON HOND. EXTENSION TO BULEZON NO DOES ANT TO THE SECTION ON THE SECTION	O APPLICANT by peoples and the point, and the point of the point, and the point, and the point of the point	YES D NO -ST NO
THE WILL SOMMAN COVIE. THE STATE OF THE STATE OF THE STATE OF EACH OF STATE OF EACH OF STATE OF THE STATE OF EACH OF STATE OF THE STAT	SAINE SOFFRONT FI.	(III) POEST HIS ATTENTORY EXEMPLE DECEMBERS OF AN INDIA. EXTENSION TO BULLETON ON PLOT HAS BEEN ON THE STATE OF AN INDIA. THE STATE OF AN INDIA. AND THE STATE OF AN INDIA. AND THE STATE OF AN INDIA. HOLD HARIMLESS CLAUSE: The permitted and hold harriess the City and County of State of An India. HOLD HARIMLESS CLAUSE: The permitted and hold harriess the City and County of State of An India. County of San Francisco against all such day in cardiamy with the provisions of Section 5 and The County of San Francisco against all such day in cardiamy with the provisions of Section 5 and The County of San Francisco against an India. Mark the appropriate multiple of compliance in the County of San Francisco against and San India. Line and San India. Line and Mark the appropriate multiple of pergrap one of Clause of County o	TACORANG TO COMPANY O APPLICANT b) by scespance of the permit, in the permit of the	YES D NO -ST VICENTE NOST V
THE WILL DOMAND COVIE TO THE STATE OF THE ST	SAINE SOFFICIAT SOFFICIAT SOFFICIAT SOFFICIAT SOFFICIA SO	(III) DOES HES ALTERATORY EXTENSION TO BULLETON EXTENSION TO BULLETON NO DEPART TO THE REST, SHOWN THE REST, SHOWN NO THE REST,	O APPLICANT by personance of the pormst, the processor of the pormst of the port of the port of the pormst of the port of the por	YES D NO -et VICATE NOet VICATE N
THE DESIGNATION OF THE STATE OF	S STATE S OF FROUT S O	(III) POOSS ING ANTENTON EREATS BOCK ON INDIA. EXTENSION TO SULEMON EXTENSION TO SULEMON ON PROTECTION ON PROTECTION HOUD HARMLESS CLAUSE: The permitters and hold harmless the Cry and County of San erended the Cry and County of San in certainty with the provisions of Saction 3 perplant shall here everage under 11, or (II) ANALY SAN In certainty with the provisions of Saction 3 perplant shall here everage under 11, or (III) ANALY SAN In the propriate mishod of complance by United the Cry and County of San In certainty with the provisions of Saction 3 perplant shall here everage under 11, or (III) L. I. I have and will making a companion to the permitter of the county of the c	O APPLICANT by pecapane of the point, by pec	YES D NO -et VICATE NOet VICATE N
THE DESCRIPTION FOR THE STATE OF THE STATE O	SAINE SEPROUT FI.	(19) FORST HIS ATTENTORY CREATE BOCK ON HONG. ETUSION TO BULLETON ON PLOT PLANS ON PLOT PLANS HOUSE AND THE PLANS AND THE PLANS NO THE PLANS HOUSE AND THE PLANS	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF COLUMNICATION OF COLUMNICATION OF COLUMNICATION OF COLUMNICATION OF COLUMNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF COLUMNICATION OF COLUMNICATI	YES D NO -et NO
THE DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF TH	SAINE SEPROUT FI.	(III) POEST HE ATTENTORY CREATE BOCK ON HONG. EXTURISION TO BULESTON NO TEXT AND THE SECTION OF THE SECTION OF THE SECTION TO BULESTON NO TEXT AND THE SECTION OF THE	TACORANG DESCRIPTION OF THE PRINCE OF COCUMANION OF COCUMA	YES D NO -est
THE STATE SOME AND THE STATE OF	SAINE SEPROUT FI.	(III) POEST HIS ATTENTORY EXEMPLE DECEMBERS OF CAN INCIDENT EXTENSION TO BULLETON ON PLOT HORSE SERVING MANY AND HE SERVING MANY HOLD HARIMLESS CLAUSE: The permitted and hold harriess the City and County of 8 HOLD HARIMLESS CLAUSE: The permitted and hold harriess the City and County of 8 HOLD HARIMLESS CLAUSE: The permitted and hold harriess the City and County of 8 HOLD HARIMLESS CLAUSE: The permitted and hold harriess the City and County of 8 HOLD HARIMLESS CLAUSE: The permitted and hold harriess the City and County of 8 HOLD HARIMLESS CLAUSE: The permitted AND HARIMLESS CLAUSE: The permitted County of San Francisco appetit of 8 HOLD HARIMLESS CLAUSE: The permitted AND HARIMLESS CLAUSE: The p	DI ROGRAME JEST COST MIS ALTERATION DE COSTUMATION ON APPLICANT I) by pecepanee of the permit, in the permit of the permit o	YES D NO -et VICATE NOet VICATE N
THE DESCRIPTION FOR THE STATE OF THE STATE O	SAINE SEPROUT FI.	(18) DOES HES ALTERATOR (18) CERTIS GOLD CONTROLL (18) CERTIS CONTROLL (18) CENTROLL (18)	O APPLICANT b) possepance of the permit- color of cocuración o cocura	YES D NO - ST
THE STATE SHOWN COME.	SAINE SEPRIOR	(III) DOES HE ANTENTON CREATE BOOK OR HOND. EXTENSION TO BULERON NO DOES HOND THE SECTION OR OF HOND HANDLESS CLAUSE: The permatered and hold harriess the Cry and Country of Se demands and actions for chamages resulting mergicance of the Cry and Country of Se demands and actions for chamages resulting mergicance of the Cry and Country of Se demands and actions for chamages resulting in centificantly with the provisione of Section 3 applicant shall here coverings under 11,0 of 101 In centificantly with the provisione of Section 3 applicant shall here coverings under 11,0 of 101 And the paperoparate multiple of perpury one of (1 L 1 have and will maintain at a compression, as provided by Se Diff have and will maintain worder And II have and will maintain worder Policy Number Policy Number Policy Number (2) III. The cost of the work to be done in tomperesstoon base of Cabifornia overill and 1 chaptel become such and 1 shapte become such control and 1 chaptel become such to the control of the such come and the overill and 1 chaptel become and the to the control of the such come and the overill and 1 chaptel become and the overill and 1 chaptel become and the overill and 1 chaptel become and 1 chaptel chaptel come point 1 chaptel control and 1 chaptel chaptel come point 1 chaptel control and 1 chaptel chaptel come point 1 chaptel chaptel chaptel chaptel chaptel chapte	O APPLICANT I by personance of the pormst. O APPLICANT O APPLICANT I by personance of the pormst. O APPLICANT O APPL	YES D NO -et NO
THE STATE SHOWN COME. THE SHOWN COME. THE STATE SHOWN COME. THE	SAINE SEPRIOR	(III) DOES HE ANTENTON CREATE BOOK OR HOND. EXTENSION TO BULERON NO DOES HOND THE SECTION OR OF HOND HANDLESS CLAUSE: The permatered and hold harriess the Cry and Country of Se demands and actions for chamages resulting mergicance of the Cry and Country of Se demands and actions for chamages resulting mergicance of the Cry and Country of Se demands and actions for chamages resulting in centificantly with the provisione of Section 3 applicant shall here coverings under 11,0 of 101 In centificantly with the provisione of Section 3 applicant shall here coverings under 11,0 of 101 And the paperoparate multiple of perpury one of (1 L 1 have and will maintain at a compression, as provided by Se Diff have and will maintain worder And II have and will maintain worder Policy Number Policy Number Policy Number (2) III. The cost of the work to be done in tomperesstoon base of Cabifornia overill and 1 chaptel become such and 1 shapte become such control and 1 chaptel become such to the control of the such come and the overill and 1 chaptel become and the to the control of the such come and the overill and 1 chaptel become and the overill and 1 chaptel become and the overill and 1 chaptel become and 1 chaptel chaptel come point 1 chaptel control and 1 chaptel chaptel come point 1 chaptel control and 1 chaptel chaptel come point 1 chaptel chaptel chaptel chaptel chaptel chapte	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF COLUMNIC TO THE PROPERTY OF COLUMNIC TO THE PROPERTY OF COLUMNIC TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	YES D NO -et NO



RESIDENTIAL NON-STRUCTURAL REPAIR WORK ONLY

REPAIR IN KIND OR BETTER; BUILDING INSPECTOR MAY REQUIRE ADDITIONAL PERMIT(S) AND/OR PLANS FOR ANY STRUCTURAL WORK OR FOR ANY WORK BEYOND SCOPE OF THIS PERMIT, OBSERVED DURING INSPECTION.

PROVIDE SMOKE DETECTOR(S) PER SEC. 310.9.1 (UBC) # VALUATION EXCEEDS \$1000.

APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT

ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS

FORM 3 | OTHER AGENCIES REVIEW REQUIRED

FORM 8 DOVER-THE COUNTER ISSUAND



JUL 2 4 2006

ACTING DIRECTOR

OSHA APPROVAL REQ'D

Ò

APPROVAL NUMBER:

DEPT. OF BUILDING INSPECTION
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION

PECATION IS HEREBY MADE FOR THE BEPARTMENT OF LIDING INSPECTION OF SAN REANCISCO FOR PLANS .

RMISSION TO BUILD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS . AND SPECIFICATIONS SUBMITTED HEREWITH AND ACCORDING TO THE DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE PURPOSE HEREINAFTER SET FORTH.

OBA NUMBER OF PLAN SETS TO NOT WRITE ABOVE THIS LINE 2795 Clay DATE FILED FLING FEE RECEIPT NO. 400.00 724.06

INFORMATION TO BE FURNISHED BY ALL APPLICANTS
LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING BUILDING
194) TYPE OF COINSTR. STORING OF STAND
DESCRIPTION OF BUILDING AFTER PROPOSED ALTERATION (21)
(4) TYPE OF COLUMN IS) ID. OF STORIES OF ST
(10) SAUTO RUMWAY TO BE CONSTRUCTION TO BE C
(14) GENERAL CONTRACTOR ADDRESS DP PHONE CALFLICATE BYPATRON OATE
TOS 6P4 ATSUS 2233 FOCSO4 St. 94110 PHONE (FOR CONTACT BY CIPT.)
(16) WHITE IN DESCRIPTION OF ALL WORK TO BE PERFORMED UNDER THIS APPLICATION (REFERENCE TO PLANS IS HOT SUPPLICIENT)
PATCH of REPAIR PLASTER HOLES IN LIVING
(AL INTOLON MPAIN) A ROOM
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
(17) DOES THIS ALTERATION CHARGE (19) DOES THIS ALTERATION CREATE DEECK OR HORIZ YES ON CREATE DEECK OR
C21 WILL SIDEWALK OVER C23 WILL SIDEWALK OVER C23 MIY OTHER DOSTING BLDG. C44 DIGES THIS ALTERATION C45 DIGES THIS ALTERATION C45 DIGES THE ALTE
(25) ARCHITECT OR ENGINEER (DESIGNED) CONSTRUCTION (D) ADDRESS CAUF, CERTIFICIATE NO.
(25) CONSTRUCTION LENDER (ISNIER NAME AND BRANCH DESIGNATION FAIN), IF THERE IS NO KNOWN CONSTRUCTION LENDER, ENTER "USKNOWN"] (7) 47

IMPORTANT NOTICES

No change shall be made in the character of the occupancy or use without first obtaining a Building Permit authorizing such change. See San Francisco Building Code and San Francisco Housing

No portion of building or structure or scalfolding used during construction, to be closer than 6'0' to any wire containing more than 750 volts See Sec 385, California Penal Code.

Pursuant to San Francisco Building Code, the building permit shall be posted on the job. The owner is responsible for approved plans and application being kept at building site.

Grade lines as shown on drawings accompanying this application are assumed to be correct, if actual grade lines are not the same as shown rovised drawings showing correct grade lines, cuts and life together with complete detaks of retaining walls and wall footings required must be submitted to this department for approval.

ANY STIPULATION REQUIRED HEREIN OR BY CODE MAY BE APPEALED.

BUILDING NOT TO BE OCCUPIED UNTIL CERTIFICATE OF FINAL COMPLETION IS POSTED ON THE BUILDING OR PERMIT OF OCCUPANCY GRANTED, WHEN REQUIRED.

APPROVAL OF THIS APPLICATION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN APPROVAL FOR THE ELECTRICAL WIRING OR PLUMBING INSTALLATIONS. A SEPARATE FERMIT FOR THE WIRING AND PLUMBING MUST BE OSTAMINED, SEPARATE FERMITS ARE REGOURED IF ANSWER IS YES TO ANY OF ABOVE QUESTIONS (10) (11) (12) (13) (22) OR (24).

THIS IS NOT A BUILDING PERMIT, NO WORK SHALL BE STARTED UNTIL A BUILDING PERMIT IS ISSUED.

In dwellings all insulating materials must have a clearance of not less than two inches from all electrical wires or equipment.

CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX

OWNER DARCHITECT

LESSEE DAGENT

CONTRACTOR DENGINEER

APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY AND AGREE THAT IF A PERMIT IS ISSUED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION
DESCRIBED IN THIS APPLICATION, ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE PERMIT AND ALL LAWS
AND ORDINANCES THERETO WILL BE COMPLIED WITH.

9003-03 (NEV. 1/02)

NOTICE TO APPLICANT
HIDD HARMLESS CLAUSE. The permittee(s) by acceptance of the permit, agree(s) to indemnity and hold harmless the City and County of San Francisco from and against any and dirictain, definands and actions for dramager resulting from operations under this permit, regardless of neighborse of the City and County of San Francisco, and to assume the defense of the City on County of San Francisco against all such claims, demands or actions.
In conformity with the provisions of Section 3800 of the Labor Code of the State of Catifornia, the applicant straft have coverage under (f), or (f) designated below or shall indicate them (fill), or (fV), or (V), whichever is applicable. It alwayer tiem (V) is checked item (V) must be checked as well. Mark the appropriate method of compliance below.

I hereby affirm under penalty of perjury one of the following declarations:

PLCCABCE

- I have and will maintain a certificate of consent to self-insure for workers compensation, as provided by Section 3700 of the Labor Code, for the performance the work to which this permit is Issued.
- I have and will maintain workers compensation insurance, as required by Section 3700 of the Labor Code, for the performance of the work for which this permit is issued. My workers' compensation insurance carrier and policy number are:

nier	
loy Number	

III. The cost of the work to be done is \$100 or less. (i) Lertify that in the performance of the work for which this permit is issued, I shall not employ any person in any manner so as to become subject to the worker's compensation laws of California, I further acknowledge that I undestand that in the event that I should become subject to the worker's compensation provisions of the Labor Code of California and fial to comply forthwith with the provisions of Section 3600 of the Labor Code, that the permit herein applied for shall be deemed revoked.

15:11					3F
NTO MPPROYED				<u>}</u>	골육
Dent of Building Insp		M STRUCTURAL	1	APPROVED FOR ISSUANCE	ω
2000	1. 19. 19	THE PERSON NAMED IN THE PE		ED FO	- COMA
EXTRE ACTION OF	TOU ANY	THURST FEYLIND SCOPE OF	F	OA ISS	
POPULATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	CO GUASIA	HISPECTION ERISEO, 310,9 1 TE VALUA-		UANC	5 PZ
DEPT OF BUILDING INSPECTION WIDE SWOKE DET				ři	O - O
APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS		CITY AND COUNTY OF DEPARTMENT OF BUIL			10-04-73-
FORM 3 OTHER AGENCIES REVIEW REQUIRED	BUILDIN		SAN F	rancisco for	
FORM 8 O OVER-THE-COUNTER ISSUANCE	PLANS	SION TO BUILD IN A AND SPECIFICATIONS SI DING TO THE DESCI			
9-23-40		SE HEREIN OF E	CE	COPY	OSHA APPROVAL REQ'D APPROVAL NUMBER:
NUMBER OF PLAN SETS ▼ DO NOT WRITE PLED! / FALMS FEE PECEPT HO (UPF) PART AND ADDRESS.	OF JOB	SLINE ¥	BLOOK	LOT	NUVAL
9/23/00 2735	-ta	50045 3	640	31	E REQ
191231 9-13 D RAYESTHATED COST	7 400	(28) REVISEO COST:		ATE:	
INFORMATION TO E	BE FURNI	SHED BY ALL APPLIC		ATE:	
LEGAL DESC	CRIPTION C	FEXISTING BUILDING	(84)	3745, 3 APP	NINO OF THELLING
- A AS OSCUPANCY. NUD CELLARS: ()	JILDING AF	TIAL TUÁ	ON L	7/20	
(4) TITESTON CONSTR. (8) NO. OF (10) NO. OF (17) PROPOSED USE (18) NO. CELLARS (18) NO		CIAL FINE		COOR OLASS / O	PRO, OF WELLING S
TIIS IS AUTO SUPPRAY TO SE CONSTRUCTION OF AUTOSED YES CONSTRUCTION NO D CONSTRUCTION	YES E	NO TIO BE PERFORMED?	YES D	HORK TO BE PERFORMENT	YES O
144 GENERAL CONTRACTOR SCAPE WALL STATE ACCRESS STA	()F	9417 1 787	0917	CALLE NO.	EXPHATION DATE
The contest to the state of the	5	CT- 04111	<u> </u>	16060000000000000000000000000000000000	ORCONTACT BY DEPT.)
(IN) WHITE HI DESCRIPTION OF ALL WORK TO BE PERFORMED UNDER THIS APPLICATION PREFERENCE	ETOPLANSISH	O MI	<u> </u>	//3 G	77/7
(Now FICTURES PAR (N)	SHEETE	ocks)	<u> </u>	1 W 2/35	F.O.C.Sonic.
			_/		
ADDI	TIONAL II				
		NFORMATION			
(17) DOES THIS ALTERATION CHEATE ADDITIONAL HEIGHT OR STORY TO BULLONGS* NO D CENTER LIKE OF FRONT	> Fī.	(19) DOES THIS ALTERATION CREATE DECK OR HORIZ. EXTENSION TO BULDING?	YES D	(20) AF (10) IS YES, STATE HEW GROUND FLOOR AREA	50 FT.
(II) DOES THE ALTERATORY CHARLES ADDITIONAL REPORT NO D REPORTED ADDITIONAL REPORT NO D REPORT THE REPORT REPORT THE REPORT THE REPORT REPORT THE REP	FI. YES D	[19] DOES THIS ALTERATION CREATE DECK OR HORIZ. EXTENSION TO BULDONO' [23] ANY OTHER EXISTING BUDG. ON LOT'S IT PES, SICHY ON PLOT PLANS	YES DI YES DI NO UI	FLOOR AREA (24) DOES THIS ALTERATION CONSTITUTE A CHANGE OF DOCUMANCY	YES D
TO DOES THE ALTERATION TO THE PROPERTY OF THE	FI. YES O	[19] DOES THIS ALTERATION CREATE DECK OR HORIZ. EXTENSION TO BULDONO' [23] ANY OTHER EXISTING BUDG. ON LOT'S IT PES, SICHY ON PLOT PLANS	YES D	FLOOR AREA (24) DOES THIS ALTERATION CONSTITUTE A CHANGE OF DOCUMANCY	YES D
(II) DOES THE ALTERATORY CHARLES ADDITIONAL REPORT NO D REPORTED ADDITIONAL REPORT NO D REPORT THE REPORT REPORT THE REPORT THE REPORT REPORT THE REP	FI. YES D	[19] DOES THIS ALTERATION CREATE DECK OR HORIZ. EXTENSION TO BULDONO' [23] ANY OTHER EXISTING BUDG. ON LOT'S IT PES, SICHY ON PLOT PLANS	YES D	FLOOR AREA (24) DOES THIS ALTERATION CONSTITUTE A CHANGE OF DOCUMANCY	YES D NO D
TO DOES THE ALTERATOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	YES ON DO	I 19) DESCRIBE ALTERATION PROPERTY OF THE PROP	NO D YES D NO U ADDRESS	(24) DOES THIS ALTERATION CONSTITUTE A CHANGE OF OCCUPANCY CALLS.	YES D NO D HTTPACATE NO.
IN) DOES THE ALTERUSON TO BUILDONG THE ALTERUSON TO BUILDONG THE ALTERUSON TO BUILDONG THE ALTERUSON	YES ON OO O	IND DOES THE ALTERATION CARATE DESCRIPTION CARATE DESCRIPTION EXTENSION TO BULDING COLLOT IF YES, SHOW ON FLOT FLAIN HOLD HARMLESS CLAUSE: The and hidd harmless the City and defanatis, and actions for damps motioness of the City and County	ADDRESS TICE TO permittee(s) bounty of San Prace of San	ROOR AREA REQUESTION OF THE ADMINISTRATION CONSTITUTE A CHANGE OF OCCUPANCY OF COCUPANCY OF COCUPANCY APPLICANT APPLICANT APPLICANT Francisco from and again on operations under this Sec, and to assume the of	YES D NO D ATTUCATE NO.
TO DOES THE ALTERATION CHARGE CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY HE STATE CHARGE ADDITIONAL REPORT OF THE PROPERTY HE STATE CHARGE ADDITIONAL REPORT OF THE PROPERTY HE STATE CHARGE ADDITIONAL CHARGE ADDI	YES ON ADORES ADORES To Building the Housing than 60° to	IND DESCRIBE ALTERATION CARATE DESCRIBE DESCRIBE EXTERSON TO BULDING EXTERSON TO BULDING EXTERSON TO BULDING EXTERSON TO BULDING OFFICE TEXTS OFFICE	ADDRESS TICE TO permittee(s) bounty of San such claims, Section 3800 (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (1	ROOF AREA (RID DOES THE ALTERATION COURTRIE ROUMANC COURTRIE ROUMANC COURTRIE ROUMANC COURTRIE ROUMANC COURTRIE ROUMANC APPLICANT y prosplace of the permit permit y prosplace of the permit pe	YES D NO D ATTURCATE NO. II. agree(s) to indemnity not any and all claims, permit regardless of soleness of the Cdy and State of Cationnia, the circles hom VIIII are this.
TO DOES THE ALTERATOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	YES ON O O NO O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	IND DESCRIBE ALTERATION CARAFILE DESCRIBE DESCRI	NO DI YES DI NO DI ADDRESS TICE TO permittee(s) b ounty of San França such claims. Saction 3800 r (t), or (li) de overver ilem (no prisance below	ROOG AREA REQUESTION A CHARGE OF COLUMNOT	YES D NO D ATTURCATE NO. II. agree(s) to indemnity not any and all claims, permit regardless of soleness of the Cdy and State of Cationnia, the circles hom VIIII are this.
TO DOES THE ALTERATION CHARGE OF THE PROPERTY	YES ON O O NO O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	IND ONES THE ALTEMNON CREATE DECK OR HORIZ EXTÉNSION TO BULCOMO? ON FLOT FLUS, STOWN ON	NO DI YES DI NO DI ADDRESS TICE TO permittee(s) bi oculty of San France such claims, Section 1800 of San France such claims, Section 1800 of San France wury one of the minimal or certification or certification or certification or certification or certification or certification or cert	ROOF AREA (RID DOES THE ALTERATION CONSTRUCTE A CHANGE OF COLUMNATION CALLET CE APPLICANT APPLICANT APPLICANT APPLICANT Francisco from and again on operations under this Roo, and to assume the of themade on actions. of the Labor Code of the signated below or shall in the code of the signated below or shall in the code of the signated below or shall in ficate of consent to as a 3700 of the Labor Code.	VES D NO D PROPERTY OF THE PRO
TO DOES THE ALTERATOR CHARGE TO CHARGE A CONTROLLED CON	YES 20 ADORES OF THE PROPERTY	IND DOES THE ALTEMATOR CREATE DECK OR MORIZ EXTENSION TO BULCOMO? EXTENSION TO BULCOMO? EXTENSION TO BULCOMO? ON FLOT FLAV. HOLD HARMLESS CLAUSE: THE and hidd harmless the Gity and ded harmless the Gity and cell of the City and Comment registers of the City and Comment registers of the City and Comment of Up, whicheve it applicant had the appropriate method of exh the appropriate method of exh the the proposition, as prov the work for which thus, 1. I have and will maint 1. I have and will	NO DI YES DI NO UI ADDRESS ADDRESS TICE TO permittee(s) b ounty of San s resulting (s) s or (s) or (l) or (l) de overer libra (r) or (l) de overer libra (r) or (l) de overer libra (s) or (l) or (l) de overer libra (s) or (l) or (l) de overer libra (s) or (l) de	ROOG AREA REQUERATION CONSTRUTE A CHANGE CHECKIPANCY CHECKIPANCY APPLICANT y acceptance of the permit Francisco from and again om operations under this sco, and to assume the demands or actions. of the Labor Code of the signature of the common construction of the common construction of the common construction of the common construction of the c	VES D NO D PROPERTY OF THE PRO
TO DOES THE ALTERATION CHARACTER AND DESCRIPTION TO BELLOROPY OR STORY TO BULLOROPY OR STORY TO BULLOROPY STORY TO BULLOROPY STORY TO BULLOROPY STORY TO BULLOROPY PER CONTROL THE STORY OR STORY TO BULLOROPY STEPS STORY OR ST	YES ON THE	IND DOES THE ALTEMATOR CREATE DECK OR MORIZ EXTENSION TO BULCOMO? EXTENSION TO BULCOMO? EXTENSION TO BULCOMO? ON FLOT FLAV. HOLD HARMLESS CLAUSE: THE and hidd harmless the Gity and ded harmless the Gity and cell of the City and Comment registers of the City and Comment registers of the City and Comment of Up, whicheve it applicant had the appropriate method of exh the appropriate method of exh the the proposition, as prov the work for which thus, 1. I have and will maint 1. I have and will	NO DI YES DI NO UI ADDRESS ADDRESS TICE TO permittee(s) b ounty of San s resulting (s) s or (s) or (l) or (l) de overer libra (r) or (l) de overer libra (r) or (l) de overer libra (s) or (l) or (l) de overer libra (s) or (l) or (l) de overer libra (s) or (l) de	ROOG AREA REU DOES THE ALTERATION CONSTITUTE A CHANGE CHECKER ACTION CALLE CE APPLICANT APPLICANT A PCPLICANT A PC	VES D NO D PROPERTY OF THE PRO
TO DOES THE ALTERATOR CHARGE TO CHARGE A CONTROLLED CON	yes 2 NO 2 N	IND CORES THE ALTERNION CREATE CORE OF BOOK OR	NO DI YES DI NO UI ADDRESS ADDRESS TICE TO permittee(s) to county of San França	ROOF AREA (RID DOES THE ALTERATION COURTTUTE A CHANGE OF COLUMNOT CALLE, CELL APPLICANT APPLICANT APPLICANT Prancisco from and again on operations under this demands or actions, of the Labor Code of the signated below or shall in ficate of consent to ar a 3700 of like Labor Code, and compensation insurance, a efformance of the work is surance caving and policy	VES D NO D PROPERTY OF THE PRO
TO DOES THE ALTERATION CHARGE CHARGE AND CHARGE ALTERACY PROCEDED AND CHARGE AND CHARGE ALTERACY PROCEDED AND CHARGE ALTERACY PROCED	yes 20 NO 20	IND CORES THE ALTERATION CREATE DECK OR MORIZ EXTENSION TO BULCOMO? HOLD HARIMLESS CLAUSE: The and hidd harmless the Gity and C demands and actions for damage registerce of the City and Code and hidd harmless the Gity and C demands and actions for damage registerce of the City and Code registerce of the City and Code registerce of the City and Cod (IV), whicheve is applicable. If h Mark the appropriate method of eon I hereby affirm under penalty of per (I) I. I have and will main companison, as prov hereby affirm under penalty of per Extension to the code State of the Work for which this, II. I have and will main State of the City and Code I have and will main the penalty and the code I have and will main the penalty I have and will	NO DI YES DI NO UI ADDRESS ADDRESS TICE TO permittee(s) bo control of san Pranci such dalms, Seachon 3300 or (II), or (II) do revery ilyn (II) do revery ilyn (II) do permit a issue un ury one of the natain a cert permit a issue ui n workers' of ded by Sectio permit a issue ui n workers' of de, for the premension in the control of the bo done is 51 ormance of II to n adv mane	ROOF AREA RELIGIORATION CONTINUES ADMINISTRATION CONTINUES ADMINISTRATION CONTINUES ADMINISTRATION CONTINUES ADMINISTRATION OF A CONTINUES ADMINISTRATION OF	VES D NO D STUDENTE NO. D NO D NO. D
TO DOES THE ALTERATION CHART ADDITIONAL REPORT STUDY TO BUILDING STEPS CHART REPORT CHART ADDITIONAL REPORT OF CONSTITUCTIONAL CHART ADDITIONAL REPORT CHART ADDITIONAL REPORT OF CHART ADDITIONAL DISCONSTRUCTIONAL REPORT CHART AND BRANCH DESIGNATION IF ANY. BY THERE IS NO YEAR AND CHART AND BRANCH DESIGNATION IF ANY. IF THERE IS NO YEAR AND CHART AND BRANCH DESIGNATION IF ANY. IF THERE IS NO YEAR AND CHART AND BRANCH DESIGNATION IF ANY. IF THERE IS NO YEAR AND CHART AND BRANCH DESIGNATION IF ANY. IF THERE IS NO YEAR AND CHART AND BRANCH DESIGNATION IF ANY. IF THERE IS NO YEAR AND CHART AND BRANCH DESIGNATION IF ANY. IF THERE IS NO YEAR AND CHART AND BRANCH DESIGNATION IF ANY. IF THERE IS NO YEAR AND CHART AND BRANCH DESIGNATION IF ANY. IF THERE IS NO YEAR AND CHART AND BRANCH DESIGNATION IF ANY. IF THERE IS NO THE ADDITION OF THE ADDITION IN THE BUILDING THE ADDITION OF THE ADDITION OF THE ADDITION OF THE ADDITION OF THE BUILDING OF THE ADDITION OF THE ADDITION OF THE ADDITION OF THE BUILDING OF THE ADDITION OF THE ADDITION OF THE ADDITION OF THE BUILDING OF THE ADDITION OF THE BUILDING OF THE ADDITION OF A BUILDING OF THE ADDITION OF THE BUILDING OF THE ADDITION OF A BOY COURSE AND PLUMBING MINED SEPARATE PERMITS ARE REAL ANSWERS. YES TO ANY OF ABOVE OURSETIONS (10) (11) (12) (13) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12) (12	yes 20 NO 20	IND ONES THE ATTENTION CREATE DECK OR MONIX EXTENSION TO BULCOMP EXTENSION TO BULCOMP EXTENSION TO BULCOMP EXTENSION TO BULCOMP EXTENSION ON FLOT FLUX ON FLOT FLUX ON FLUX HOLD HARMLESS CLAUSE: The land hidd hammless the City and Code and hidd hammless the City and Code demands and actions for damage registence of the City and Code (1) In In have and will mainly STOO of the Labor Co- issued My workers co Carrier Policy Number	ADDRESS ADDRESS ADDRESS TICE TO permittee(s) be own to see the see	ROOF AREA (RID DOES THE ALTERATION CONSTTUTE A CHANGE CHECKER AND AND A CHANGE AND	VES D NO D ATTURCATE NO. II. agree(s) to indemnity not any and ell claims, permit, regardless of befores of the Cdy and State of Cationia, the disclash lam (III), or (IV), and be checked as well, the checked as well, t
TO DOES THE ALTERATION CHARLE ADDITIONAL PROOF CHARLE ADDITIONAL PROPERTY OF THE ALTERNATION CHARLE ADDITIONAL PROOF CHARLE ADDITIONAL PROOF CHARLE AND CH	yes 20 NO 20	IND ODES THE ALTEMATOR CREATE DECK OR MORIZ EXTENSION TO BULCOMO?	NO DI YES DI NO UI ADDRESS ADDRESS TICE TO permittee(s) bo control of san Pranci such dalms, sersavillag (s of san Pranci such dalms, Sachon 3300 (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) permit ai sear unit of san Pranci ded by Socia ded by Socia ded, for the premit ai sear unit of san permit ai sear unit of san Pranci ded by Socia ded, for the premit ai sear unit of san Pranci and some social of san Pranci be done is \$1 commance of it in any mann Callonva, 1 (s) comma social commander ava and tal to ava that the permit ai sear unit of the sagon It is sissioned us sissioned us sissioned us	ROOF AREA REQUESTIONS A CHARGE OF COURANT A PPLICANT A PCPLICANT A	VES D NO D NOTIFICATE NO. D NO D NOTIFICATE NO. D NO D NOTIFICATE NO. D NOTIFICATE NOT
TO DOES THE ALTERATION CHARACTERIST OF PROOF CHARACTERIST OF CHARACT	y YES DO NO	IND COSES THE ALTERNION CREATE CONTROL OF THE ACT OF TH	NO DI YES DI NO UI ADDRESS ADDRESS TICE TO permittee(s) be own to see the se	ROOF AREA RELIGIORATION CONSTRUCTS A CHANGE OF COLUMNATION APPLICANT y acceptance of the permit Francisco from and again om operations under this stock, and to assume the of demands or actions. of the Labor Code of the signated below or shall in the company of the company of the code of the code of the code of the signated below or shall in the code of the code of the signated below or shall in from the code of the signated below or shall in formation of the theory of company of the code of the signated of the work is survey caving and policy. Out less. On work for which this poeme is do as to become su unther arknowledge that I to the works for company comply Edithark with the herein applete for shall in here applete for shall in here applete in here applet	VES D NO D ATTOCKTE NO. II. agree(s) to indemnify not any and ell claims, permit, regardless of Johnson of the Caylonda, the Sidele of Cationia, the Sidele of Cationia, the Cationia time (III) or (IV), but he checked as well, or the performance of an erquired by Section or which this permit is rumber are; In the sissued, I shall not object to the workers' understand that in the side provisions of the complex with the complex with the complex with the complex with the

	÷							
SANFRAI	ACISCO						111	고 말
SAM FRAT	()		RESIDENT RE	TAL NON-S PAIR WORK	STRUCTURAL ONLY		APPRO	BLDG FORM
G DEFARTM BUILDING IN	ENTOF	CO a Trigger		VAL PERMIT(S) /	DING INSPECTOR N AND/OR PLANS FO ANY WORK BEYON		JUL 2 3 2008 APPROVED FOR ISSUANCE	3
Υ ,	D.p. of	Bulland	IDE SMOKE DE	TECTOR(S) PE	A SECTION 907 2 10	5	3 2008 ISSUANO	137
· γν	-11	מייטעא אוייסיים	C) IF VALUATIO	N EXCEEDS \$1	100 00		<u></u>	APPLICATION NUMBER
, (0	APPLICATION	N FOR BUILDING	PERMIT	CITY	AND COUNTY O	of San Fran	CISCO	TION NUI 07 23
	ADDITIONS IN	TERATIONS OF OF BUILD R AGENCIES REVIEW	HEPAIRS		HTMENT OF BU I IS HEREBY MADE			UMBER 3 7406
	N/			BUILDING IN	SPECTION OF SAN TO BUILD IN ACC	I FRANCISCO F ORDANCE WITT	OR HITHE PLANS	[<u>%</u>]
	~ ~ // //	THE COUNTER ISSU	ANCE	ACCORDING	CATIONS SUBMITT TO THE DESCRIPT R SET FORTH	TION AND FOR	THE PURPOSE	osha approval req d approval number
	DATE RED	BÉR OF PLAN SETS	▼ DO NOT WAS	TTE ABOVE THIS LIN SFJOR	E¥ 2731- 27	35 BLOCK &LOT		AT NO
	7/23/08		273		· SF	C# 36	A6 031	WBEH
	1 16 11 19	JUL 2 3 2008	(24) ESTRIATED COST	1	28) 182 19500 (38)	200	7/23/28	
		INFORM	MATION TO E	BE FURNISHÉ		ICÁNTS	1	
	(4A) TYPE OF CONSTR (5A) NO STORIES OCCUPA	OF (BA) HO OF BASEMENTS OX	(TA) PRESENT USE	١. ٨.	ISTING BUILDING	(BA) OCCU	CLASS (9A) A R-2 (1HITS	10 OF
	(4) TYPE OF CONSTR (5) NO (DESCRI		LDING AFTER	PROPOSED ALTE		774	
	STORIES OCCUPA (10) IS AUTO RUNWAY TO BE CONSTRUCTED	OF 3 BASEMENTS DELLARS	Reside	NE DITTE	ECTRICAL	VES D (13) P.	P-2 UNITS UNITS DAKTORE	YES D
	OR ALTERED? (14) SEMERAL CONTRACTOR	NO ES CONSTRUCT ADDRESS	1067	HO DX PE	INK TO BE REOPMED? PHONE		REOPRIED? EXPRISTION D	NO XE
•	(15) OWNER LESSEE (CROCKS OUT	J - 1))	31 Fols	wn St	SECA	THG# PHON	NE (FOR CONTACT BY DEPT)	
	1 3	WORK TO BE PERFORMED UNDER THIS A	PPLICATION (FLETERENCE	TO PLANS IS NOT SUFFIC		·	1.2001111	- 22
	Sew th	Dry Bot At an 50% @	Dear Si	ot 2011	couldn't mi	complai	nt 200448	380
•	1	NO Cham	ge to	Door	or WIND	hws_	///	71
	1					$\angle \lambda$		
	(17) DOES THIS ALTERATION CREATE ADDITIONAL HEIGHT	YES CI (18) F (17 IS YES	STATE		IMATION S THIS ALTERATION ATE DECK ON HORIZ	YES [] (20) IF	(18) IS YES STATE W GROUND	
	OR STORY TO BUILDING? (21) WILL SIDEWALK OVER SUB SIDEWALK SPACE BE	HO XI CENTERLINE YES CI (22) WILL BUILDING YES CI EXTEND BEYO	DF FROHT G NO	FT EXT	OTHER EASTING BLOG OTHER EASTING BLOG OT? (IF YES SHOW)	ND DS F1. YES □ (24) DC	DOR AREA DES THIS ALTERATION WISTITUTE A CHANGE	SO FT YES []
	REPARIED OR ALTERED? (25) ARCHITECT OR ENGINEER (DES	NO 25 PROPERTY LIN KEN CONSTRUCTION (2)	E,	ADDRESS DNF	COT PLAN)	10 EN OF	CALIF CHITIFICATE NO	<u> </u>
	(28) CONSTRUCTION LENDER (ENTE IF THERE IS NO KNOWN CONST	R NAME AND BRANCH DESIGNATION IF AN RUCTION LENDER ENTER "UNKNOWN")	iy	·	ADDRESS			
	No change shall be made in the	MPORTANT NOTICES	hout first obtail tog a B	kaldha adha	(ARMLESS CLAUSE The De	OTICE TO APP	of false the ferred add to so	Indem tyx d
	Code No portio of b #d g atructu	See San Francisco Building Code an a scattoid g sed during co struct	to tobel exth	action Comby	rmises the City and Coulty of for damages reaching in mic of Sen Francisco and to assi- lms, damands — cti n	person sunde this pa	ന്നു വേണ്ടുടെ വു മറ്റുറ്റ് ഡ	on of the City and
	P retant to Ban Francisco Builds owner is responsible for approve	O voits See Sec 385 Callions: Pa at ing Code this building peops shi it be if plans and application being kept at	posted the job Th building side	In confo applicad whiches	ernety with the provisions of S at ahell have cove age unde- ver is applicable. If however it	(i) to (ii) this sign and be tenn (iv) to checked hem	or Code of the State of California shall discale from (ii) (iii) muit be checked as we	emathe no(tv) (v) of Markthe
•	actual Gracie Dries are not the sen	e accompany) ig this policatio as ne as abown existed drawings showin stalls of setal i g walf ind walf footi conormal	ng correct grada I nee	ll appopi	iste method of complet cab raffinitide parakyof penyu	elow uy one of the foll. Wing:		
	ANY STIPULATION REQUIRED H	EREIN OR BY CODE MAY BE APPEAD ID UNTIL CERTIFICATE OF FINAL CO OF OCCUPANCY GRANTED WHEN F	MPLETION IS POSTE		p ovided by Section 370 permit is beauted If i have a division with the section is a section of the section o	0 of the Lube Gode for workers comparisatio	the performance the work in Insure on as increased by Se	lor which this side 3700 of the
	APPROVAL OF THIS APPLICATION WIRING OR PULMBING INSTALL	ON DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN APP ATIONS A BEPARATE PERMIT FOR E PERMITS ARE RECUIRED IF ANSW	ROVAL FOR THE ELE	UMBING	Labo Coda for the pirfe compensatio 1 MUA e C rife	ormance of the work to berrier and policy mani	which this permit in assind becare	My workers
	ABOVE CUESTIONS (10) (11) (1)	Z) (13) (22) OR (24) T NO WORK BHALL BE STARTED U		n.	Policy N imber		takin this permit is bened. I p	ahali nun annolour
	electrical wirse or equipment CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX	amelhave a do na ce of otiese th	ning two is chose from the	· 'V'	a y person in any mann California. I further solor subject to the workers' or	ordensetton provisions ordensetton provisions	ect to the workers compani nd that in the event that I sha of the Labor Code of Califo	setion level of ould become mis and hill to
	DOWNER DARCH RIESSEE DAGEN DONTHACTOR DENGE	T		P	herein applied for shall b	e risemed revoked	1900 of the Labor Code that That in the performs co-of t actor who complies with the	•
	THEREBY CHRITTEY AND ACRES	LICANTS CERTIFICAT THAT IF A PERMIT IS IBSUED FOR T THE ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE P	HE CONSTRUCTION	v v	compensation laws of Ca completed copy of this ke	DESTRUCTION WITH THOSE	THE CONTINUENCEMENT OF BUT	y work will the a
	AND ORDINANCES THERETO W	AT BE COMPLIED MITH		OFFICE CO	Japanoni S As N		F/23/08	5
				138	,			

오	MAE	FRA	<u>.</u> ИС	13 C	ö
걸		11	ſ	1)	
Ä	,1,	1/1	4		Sit.
Š	DEP	ARTA	4 E F	VT C) F
Y	חזוחק	ו מאונו	MSP.	ECTIC)ı\

PPROV Dept. of Building Insp

DEC - 6 2012

TOM C. HUI, S.E. ACTING DIRECTOR DEPT. OF BUILDING INSPECTION

Tom C. Hui

APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT **ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS**

.FORM 3 | OTHER AGENCIES REVIEW REQUIRED

FORM 8 OVER-THE COUNTER ISSUANCE NUMBER OF PLAN SETS

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION

Ô

APPLICATION IS HEREBY MADE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR PERMISSION TO BUILD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS SUBMITTED HEREWITH AND ACCORDING TO THE DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE PURPOSE HEREINAFTER SET FORTH. 1121-0125 715

	mari ar 1 mari 4 mili	- 4 TO NOT WRITE ABO	WE THIS LINE ▼ /1/C/Y	2171-21	12 70L	
DATERLED	PLANS PEE RECEIPTING	(i) STREET ADDRESS OF JOB		BLOCK & LOT		
13-618	PLNG	2731 /	d Son ste	7640-	150	
PERMIT NO.	SSUED	(IA) ESTIMATED COST OF JOIN	(75) NEWSED COST:	5,600	,	
1281311	12-6-12	25K	BT 24	3/000	DATE 18	[3]
	INFORM	IATION TO BE FU	RNISHED BY ALL AP	PLICANTS	-	
			N OF EXISTING BUILD			
(AA) THE DECONSTR. (SA) NO STURIES COOLEY	BOF 'A IDASOMON'S ()	Residen	tral/Bunit	[\$4) C	12-2-C	SAINOLOF DWELLING 3
		TION OF BUILDING	AFTER PROPOSED A		1/2	/
(4) TYPE OF CONSTR. (5) NO. STORES OCCUPY	enr 4. lausements /)	Resider		(a) (x	12 2 ·	
(10) IS AUTO HEREAY TO EXCESSIBLISTED OR ALTERED!	YES CI MEUSED DU	TENNOE YES	IODELESIMON	WHX TNI'	13) PLEMONG WORK TO BE PERFORMENT	YE CI 22 ON
(14) SEMERAL EXPERACION	ADDRESS		ZP 7HOME	29 CAPLESO.	EXPR	ATION DATE
Momentu	m Builders	2762 Filh	118794123	7,003	X7 4/3	30/2017
(15) DHONEH - LEGGEE (CHURSE OLL)	(DME) ADDRESS		75	1	PHONE FOR DOMINION BY DE	
WEUS FOR	o Mone mort	. 704 Pav		maru ca	510-2	37 - 195 5
Nemove &	NOR TO BE PERFORMED LINGER THES A	Front of	Builde an	1 voplac	c with	like_
Kink 7	ocomply.	with No	V#200922	446.		
MEORPERIC	obs vailes o	nto non ra	illy Br Hist	Drc. Val	ne.	
Revnoue f	rout gate.					
	- 2					
		ADDITION	UL INFORMATION		·	
(17) DOES THIS ALTERATION CHEATE ADDITIONAL HEIGHT OR STORY TO SUILDING!	AES CI NEM HEIGHT	αt	(19) DOES THIS ALTERATION CREATE DECK ON HORSE. FT. EXTERSION TO BUILDING?	Ata Ca	20) IF (19) IS YES, STATE NEW ERQUIO 11.00CR AREA	£1.FT.
(21) WILL EXCEPTLY OVER SUB-SEVENTLY SPACE BE	YES CI (22) WELFILLED IN	ED AE2	DI CONTROL DESTRUCTIVE BLOG.	AEE CIÍ.	PA) DOES THIS ALTERATION CONSTITUTE A CHANGE	V23 C2
REPARED OR ALTERED? (25) ARCHITECT OR ENGINEER (DE	NO NET PROPERTY LE	TAT NO	SS ON PLOTPLAN	<u>но </u> јяц	OF DOCUMENTY DAUF. CHITCHCATE N	n ====
MH Archit	to's 450	5 strate	of CA 946		2825	2
IF THERE IS NO KNOWN CORS	er made and behanch designation if a Truction Lender, enter "Unicotary	" NA	AĢ	OREAS	•	

IMPORTANT NOTICES

ologi mirrompanying this application was almosted to be posts sens as almost seeked demitties (thoubig correct grade from a demits of remaining seds and self boolings required past) be

ANY STPLEATION NEGLETED HEREN OR BY CODE MAY BE APPEALED

UNLOING NOT TO BE OCCUPIED UNTIL CERTIFICATE OF FINAL COMPLETION IS POSTED. IN THE BUILDING OR PERINT OF OCCUPANCY GRANTED, WHEN REQUIRED.

VPROVAL OF THE APPLICATION DOCE NOT CONSTITUTE AN APPROVAL FOR THE RECEIPCAL MEMORY OF PLANERS NOTALIATIONS. A REPARATE PRINTIF FOR THE WEING AND PLIMISHES AND THE WEING AND PLIMISHES AND THE OFFICE TO ANY OF

CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX
CHOMER
CHECK CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHARLES
CHA

APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY AND AGREET THAT FA PERMIT IS SISTED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION
DESCRIBED IN THIS APPLICATION, ALL THE PROVISIONE OF THE PERMIT AND ALL LAWS
AND DEDMANGES THERETO WILL BE COMPLETE WITH.

9003-00 (REN. 1/02)

NOTICE TO APPLICANT
The permittine(s) by acceptance of the permit,
unity of Gain Francisco from and against any ar

- I have and will maintain a constitute of postern to self-induce to provided by Section 3700 of the Labor Code, for the performan
- Legar Codes, for the performance of the work for which is compared to the month of the compared to the compared to the code of
Á ci that in the event that I should be of the Labor Code of California are

V. I certify me thus contain for the against for the owns which this parent in issued, I will preciou a con-





SEP 17 2013

lom C. Hui TOM C. HUI, S.E. ACTING DIRECTOR DEPT OF BUILDING INSPECTION APPROVED FOR ISSUANCE SEP E107

9 APPLICATION ည်

NUMBER \overline{g}

> APPROVAL NUMBER OSHA APPROVAL REQ'D

DATE FLET

9/17

APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS

FORM 3

OTHER AGENCIES REVIEW REQUIRED

FORM 8 X OVER-THE-COUNTER ISSUANCE NUMBER OF PLAN SETS

SSED

HEREINAFTER SET FORTH. ▼ DO NOT WRITE ABOVE THIS LINE ▼

(1) STREET ADDRESS OF JOB HUNG THE RECEPT NO 2735 FOLSOM ST

CALESTMATERICOST OF JOH

3640/03 (28) REVISED COST

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION

APPLICATION IS HEREBY MADE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR

PERMISSION TO BUILD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS SUBMITTED HEREWITH AND

ACCORDING TO THE DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE PURPOSE

RI (VX & I fit

\$ 150,000 BY:

INFORMATION						ANTS
LEGAL	DESCRI	PTION OF	EXISTIN	G BUIL	DING	

(BA) NO. OF BASEMENTS AND CELLARS: (7A) PRESENT USE 0 3 UNIT RESIDENTIAL VB

DESCRIPTION OF BUILDING AFTER PROPOSED ALTERATION (B) NO, OF BASEMENTS AND CELLARS: (7) PROPOSED USE (LEGAL USE) 3 UNIT

2-2

PHONE (FOR CONTACT BY DEPT.)

(AA) (YCHUR C) AS

YESX

DWELLING 3

(10) IS AUTO RUNWAY TO BE CONSTRUCTED OR ALTERED?

(4) TYPE OF CONSTR

V B

YES C | (11) WILL STREET SPACE | DE USED DUFING | CONSTRUCTION?

PESIDENTIAL VES CI MES ELECTRICAL WORK TO BE NO PERFORMENT

YES XI (13) PLUMBIN WORK TO BE NO D PERFORMED? CALIF.LIG. NO.

742941

ND D EXPIRATION DATE NOUL

415,299,794

ZIP 500 SPEAR STREET SUITE

FOUNDATION PEPLACEMENT

INTERIOR REMODEL & FIRST STORY AND ASSOCIATED STRUCTURAL WORK

HITCHENG AND BATHPOONS, ADD BATH

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

(17) DOES THIS ALTERATION CREATE ADDITIONAL HEIGHT ON STORY TO BUILDING? YES (18) IF (17) IS YES, STATE
NEW NEIGHT AT
CENTER LINE OF FRONT | 271 WILL SIDEWALK OVER | SIDE SIDE SIDE SIDEWALK SPACE BE | SEPARED OR ALTERED? | NO CX | PROPERTY LINE? | 225) ARCHITECT OR ENGINEER (DESIGN C) CONSTRUCTION C)

(19) DOES THIS ALTERATION CHEATE DECK OR HORIZ. EXTENSION TO BUILDING? YES O (23) ANY OTHER EXISTING BLDG.
ON LOTY (IF YES, SHOW
ON PLOT PLAN)

(20) IF (19) IS YES, STATE NEW GROUND FLOOR AREA YES (YES 🚨

5Q. FT. (24) DOES THIS ALTERATION CONSTITUTE A CHANGE OF OCCUPANCY? CALIF, CERTIFICATE NO. YES 🗆 NO AS

ADDR THOY KASHANIPOUR 2325 ST SUITE (28) CONSTRUCTION LENDER (ENTER NAME AND BRANCH DESIGNATION IF ANY. IF THERE IS NO KNOWN CONSTRUCTION LENDER, ENTER "UNKNOWN")

CZ7646

IMPORTANT NOTICES

unt to Barn Franciaco Building Gode, the building permit atrait be posted on the job. The on withe for approved plans and application being loop at building wite.

Crade lines as aboven on drawings accompanying this application are assemble to be correct, if actual gen-lines are not the same as shown, terised drawings showing correct grade times, cuts and fills, and complete details of retaining write and wall footings must be submitted to this department for approval.

ANY STIPULATION REQUIRED HEREIX OR BY CODE MAY BE APPEALED.

EULDING NOT TO BE OCCUPIED UNTIL GENTHICATE OF FINAL COMPLETION IS POSTED ON THE BUILDING ON PERINT OF OCCUPANOT BRANTED, WHEN BENERED.

APPROVAL OF THIS APPLICATION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN APPRICAL FOR THE ELECTRICAL WIRING BY PLUBBING INSTALLATIONS. A BEPARATE PERBUT FOR THE WIRING AND PLUMBING MAST BE COTATION. SEPARATE PERBUTS ARE RESURED IF ANSWER IS "YES" TO ANY OF ANOVE CRESTIONS (10) (11) (12) (13) (22)

THIS IS NOT A BUILDING PERMIT, WO WORK SHALL BE STARTED UNITE, A BUILDING PERMIT IS ISSUED, in committings, all instaining materials must have a communicational loss than two inches from all absorbed where or equipment.

CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX

D ARCHITECT D AGENT D ENGINEER

CONTRACTOR

APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION

INCREMY CENTRY AND AGREET THAT IS A PERSON IS ESSENDED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION DESCRIBED IN THIS
APPLICATION, ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE PERSON THAT AND ALL CAMES AND ORDINARICES THERETO WILL BE
COMPLETED WITH.

REV 06/13

NOTICE TO APPLICANT

NO Y

wker's compensation coverage imder (I) in [ii] designated below, or shall knikcale flwm [iii], [iv], or [i], wr is applicable. It however liten (ii) is obecked, item (ii) mant he checked sa well. Merk the appropriate

I hereby affirm under penalty of perjury one of the following declarationar

- I have and will maintake worker's compensation instance, as required by Santon 5700 of the Labor Code, for the performance of the work for which hits permit is based. My worker's compensation instance carrier and policy number are:

() III. The cost of the work to be some to \$100 or less.

It. I certify that is the performance of the work by which this permit is issued, I shall not employ any person is any person in any meanine so as to become adopted to the worker's compensation issue of California.

I further subminsion that I understand that in the erect that I should become subject to the worker's compensation provides of the Labor Code of California and Ali to comply forthirth with the provisions of Section 3500 of the Labor Code, that the permit bench applied for shall be deemed travolad.

(1) V. I can'tly as the corner (or the agent for the promet) that is the performance of the work for which this permit is issued, I Will couply a contractor who complies with the worker's cooperantion away of cultionia and who, prior to the commencement of any work, will the a completed copy of this form with the contract Fermit Busses.

OFFICE COPY 140





JAN 2 9 2013

lom C. Hai TOM C. HUI, S.E.



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION



2013-01-24

ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS FORM 3 OTHER AGENCIES REVIEW REQUIRED

APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT

FORM 8 NO OVER-THE COUNTER ISSUANCE

NUMBER OF PLAN SETS

APPLICATION IS HEREBY MADE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR PERMISSION TO BUILD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS SUBMITTED HEREWITH AND ACCORDING TO THE DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE PURPOSE HEREMAFTER SET FORTH. TO NOT WHITE ABOVE THE LINE Y

PLNG MOCK ALIN (1) ATTHEET ACCORDING OF JUS 2731-2735 FOLSOM 3640/031 FEE 22,000= INFORMATION TO BE FURNISHED BY ALL APPLICANTS LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING MUILDING 3 THE DECIMENT (7A) THE ST USE MI OCCIP, CLASS APARTMENT - 3 DWELLING V-B DESCRIPTION OF BUILDING AFTER PROPOSED ALTERATION 3 STORES OF STORES OF OCCUPANT) REND OF MAXIMENTS AND CELLARS THE OF COMME OF PROPERTY LESS SERVICE (USE) V-B - 3 DWELLING R-2 TOPERSON OF THE PROPERTY OF TH APARTMENT CONTRICTORY

NO. D. STANDARDON

ARE DE LOS HOMBORIOS

ARE DE LOS H TO E COMMITTO THE COMMITT Yes CI (11) WELL STREET BYS BE THESE CLASSIC SECTION OF THE PERSON OF TH 18 7 SFOA EXPLOSION DATE 11/30 742941 C 500 SPEAR # 303 SF CA 94105 415.299.7912 NOV CORRECTION PERMIT: IN-KIND EGRESS STAIR RES MODIFICATIONS FOR CODE COMPLIANCE NOV COPPECTION ITEMS ON THE FOLLOWING COMPLAINTS 2012717+1,,200922446, 200448382, 201265801 PARTIAL ACATEMENT ADDITIONAL INFORMATION 77 DOES THIS ALTERATION CREATE MODIFICAME, MIGHT DR STORY TO SEE CHIEFT (14) F (17 IS YES, STATE NEW HEIGHT AT CENTER LINE OF FRONT (119) DOES THES ALTERATION EMEATE DECK ON HORSE EXTENSION TO SEALOWING YES COMPTON STREET STREET
MEN SHIPLESTON
HO SEE PLOON AMEA 193 D EL) WILL MICENNA, X CHER BLUE-SELENCE, MANUEL ME ALPANIED DIR ALVERSOY PATENTO NEVENE PATENTO NEVENE PROPERTY LINE YES CO CONCLOTY OF YES, SHOW ON PLOTY OF YES, SHOW ON PLOTY PLANS CHO DOES THE ALTERATO 2

SF GA

IMPORTANT NOTICES

3RD ST SUMBLE

hợi Đác spydioption and ambornaci to bụ core princi glumbiou proming dorroys areals linte

TALATYSIN RESIDENCE HERRING OR BY CODE MAY SE APPRALED

TO CONTROLLING LEADER (CRIEF NAME AND CAMICALORS MARTING IF ARY,
IF THERE IS NO CHOOSE CONTROLLING LEADER, EXTER "CHOOSENING")

BUILDING HOT TO BE OCCUPIED UNTIL DESTINATE OF FINAL CONFLETION IS POSTED ON THE BUILDING OR PESSIT OF OCCUPINGY SWITZD, WHEN REQUIRED.

APPROVING OF THE AMPLICATION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN APPROVING THE SELECTRICAL WHISH RESULTING THE SELECTRICAL WHISH CAPTURED SHEET AROUND A REPORT FOR THE WEIGHT AND PLUMBING SHEET AROUND A REPORT FOR THE WEIGHT AND PLUMBING MAD THE WEIGHT AND PLUMBING AND PLUMBING AND PROVING PERMITS AND PROVINCE OF AMERICA IS THE TO ANY OF ACCURACY CURRENCES OF AMERICA IS THE TO ANY OF ACCURACY CURRENCES OF AMERICA IS THE TO ANY OF ACCURACY CURRENCES OF AMERICA IS THE TO ANY OF ACCURACY CURRENCES OF AMERICA IS THE TO ANY OF ACCURACY CURRENCES OF AMERICA IS THE TO ANY OF ACCURACY CURRENCES OF AMERICA IS THE TO ANY OF ACCURACY CURRENCES OF AMERICA IS THE TO ANY OF ACCURACY CURRENCES OF AMERICA IS THE TO ANY OF ACCURACY CURRENCES OF AMERICA IS THE TO ANY OF ACCURACY CURRENCES OF AMERICA IS THE TO ANY OF ACCURACY CURRENCES OF AMERICA IS THE TO ANY OF ACCURACY CURRENCES OF AMERICA IS THE TO ANY OF ACCURACY CURRENCES OF AMERICA IS THE TO ANY OF ACCURACY CURRENCES OF AMERICA IS THE TO ANY OF ACCURACY CURRENCES OF AMERICA IS THE TO ANY OF ACCURACY CURRENCES OF AMERICA IS THE TO ANY OF ACCURACY CURRENCES OF AMERICA IS THE TOTAL OF ACCURACY CURRENCES OF ACCUR

THIS ME MOTE A MEM DIMME PERMIT, MICHIGAN SHIPLE ME STARTED LIMITE. A SURDING PERMIT IS

CHECK APPROVMICE BOX

TKA 2325

APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION
AND AGREE THAT IF A PERMIT IS REQUED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION
APPLICATION, ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE PERMIT AND ALL LAND
APPLICATION. DESCRIBED HITHER APPLICATION, ALL THE PROP AND OROSSANCES THERETO WILL SE COMPLED

1000 03 (NEV. 100)

NOTICE TO APPLICANT

CONSTITUTE A CHANGE OF DECLINARIZAT

027646

ally of parkey cars of the fall

- I have and will maintain Lator Code, for the par

The post of the texts to an union or an expension of the post of t Test I should

OFFICE COPY

141





JUN 2 0 2013

lom C. Hui TOM C. HUL S.E.

ACTING DIRECTOR
DEPT. OF BUILDING INSPECTION

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION

ANNOSED FOR ISSUANCE

20

2013

2013-06-20-0016

APPLICATION IS HEREBY MADE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF APPLICATION IS HEREBY MADE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR PERMISSION TO BUILD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS SUBMITTED HEREWITH AND ACCORDING TO THE DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE PURPOSE HEREINAFTER SET FORTH.

FORM 8 12 OVER-THE COUNTER ISSUANCE NUMBER OF PLAN SETS **▼ DO NOT WINTE ABOVE THIS LINE ▼** RUNG FOR MEDIUM NO. 2731-2735 FOLSOM ST 6/20/13 1640 CONTRACTOR CONT. #5 \$15,000 INFORMATION TO BE FURNISHED BY ALL APPLICANTS LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING BUILDING AN THE OF COME BUNIT RES 58 DOCUMENT MACHENIA DESCRIPTION OF BUILDING AFTER PROPOSED ALTERATION (7) PHOPOSED LAS CAMPA LIES IN THE OF COMME R2 unit RES YES DE WORKTONS
NO CI PENFORMEN
CAUFICINO WE D SOMETALCHON AEZ DI NEMENDERO MONK LO PE MONK LO PE TO ME COMMERCIAL COMMERCED OF AUTOPED? Builders SS DORMAN AVE SF CA 742941 PEGASUS 1 WAI-AHEAD LLC 415-299-7912 WA1-AKEAD LLC 2727-2725 Folk EXPLORATIONS REMOVE SHEETRA DEMO LIVINGING AREAS. 415 MUTTS ADDITIONAL INFORMATION THE COMPANY TO STATE HE STATE OF THE STATE O (17) DOES THIS ALTERATION CASATE ACONTIONAL MISSIN OR STORY TO MULCOMO? THE DOOR THE ALTERATION CHEATE DECK OR HOME EXTERNED TO MULTIME THE CI SERVICENCE STATE
HO DE PLOOR ANNA MO.FT. ARE TO EXCHANG SEASONS TO SEASONS SEASONS TO SEASONS THE SEASONS T ARY OTHER EQUITING IN DO ON LOTY OF YES, MYON ON PLOT PLANS CHI DOSE THE ALTERATION COMMITTUTE A CHARGE CE COCCUMENCY? 21) WELL SCIENNER OVER HIS ORDERNER SPACE DE HEFMILED ON ALTERED? AER CE Y2 [] YES CI HEMICED ON ALTERED? NO 27 PT CEN INFORTEST ON ENGINEEN DESIGNET SCHOOL TO TO CONSTRUCTION LENGER CONTEN MAKE AND REMAIN DEMANTION F ARY.
F THENE IS NO LONGING CONTINUITION LENGTH, ENTER "MICROSOMY) Livery

IMPORTANT NOTICES

APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT

ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS

FORM S COTHER AGENCIES REVIEW REQUIRED

NAL STANDAR MAINTEN HARMAN DE DA DA CODER MAY MA APPEALED.

BLALDING MOT TO SE OCCUPED UNTIL CENTIFICATE OF FINAL CONFLICTION IN POSTED ON THE BLALDING ON PERMIT OF OCCUPANCY GRANTED, WHEN RECUMPED,

MAL DE THIS APPLICATION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN APPROVAL FOR THE ELECTRICAL CAP PLINNING INSTRUATIONS. A SEPARATE PENSIT FOR THE WINDS AND PLINNING OF CONTROLS WINDS AND PLINNING OF CONTROLS OF ANSWER IN TIME TO ANY OF CREATED PLANTING OF THE PROPERTY OF

THE BOND A GLEICONG PERMIT. HIS WORK SHOULDS STARTED WHILL A BLEICHIG PERMIT IS SPORED.

CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX
DIDWINGS DISCONTRACTOR
DISCONTRACTOR DISCONTRACTOR
DISCONTRACTOR
DISCONTRACTOR
DISCONTRACTOR

APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION

I HENSEY CERTIFY AND AGREE THAT IF A PERMIT HI BOLLED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION
DESCRIPTION OF THE APPLICATION, ALT, THE PROVINCING OF THE PRIMATE AND ALL LANS
AND CROSSWAGES THESE OF WALLE COMPLETE WITH.

B003-08 (MEV. 1/08)

NOTICE TO APPLICANT

on under namelie of circle's arm of the folio

- I friese and will restricte a continue of across to believe to be provided by Beston 2700 of the Labor Dode, he the performance parent is feeled.

10. The scott at the territ to be done in \$100 or less.





JAN 14 2014

C. Hui TOM C. HUI, S.E.
DIRECTOR
DEPT. OF BUILDING INSPECTION



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION

APPLICATION IS HEREBY MADE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR

PERMISSION TO BUILD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS SUBMITTED HEREWITH AND

ACCORDING TO THE DESCRIPTION AND PORTHE PURPOSE

APPROVAL NUMBER

APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS

FORM 3-57 OTHER AGENCIES REVIEW REQUIRED FORM 8 DEOVER-THE-COURTER ISSUANCE

HEREINAFTER SET FORTH. A NUMBER OF PLAN SETS 🗥 ▼ DB NOT WRITE ABOVE THIS LINE ▼ HUNG FEE RECEPT NO. (1) STREET ADDRESS OF JOB DATE FILED ET 1/1/13 2731-3735 FOLLOW 3640/031

- Land Company	1 13118474	2101-2100	100000	<i></i>	1071	_ 1
PERMIT NO.	155UED	(5V) ESTIMATED COST OF JOS	(28) NEVASED	40 Cm. 14	. 17	7)
1314368	JAN 1 4 2014	\$350,000	BH X	\$550,000°	DATE 1/7/14	
	INFORM	ATION TO BE FURI	IISHED BY A	LL APPLICANTS	17,	
•		LEGAL DESCRIPTION	OF EXISTING B	BUILDING		
(4A) TYPE OF CONSTR. GA) N	D. OF (BA) NO. OF BASEMENTS	(7A) PRESENT LISE:			(6A) OCCUR CLASS bZ	(BA) HO. OF DWELLING UNITS: 3
V-B ROOM	PANTY: 3 AND CELLARS: C		SIDENTIA		(22)	Junita: 2
	DESCR					
(4) TYPE OF CONSTR. (5) HIS	LOF (5) NO. OF ES OF 3 BASEMENTS CONTROL OF SAND CELLARS: C	7 PROPOSED USE (LEGUL.	_ '		P-2	ON HOLOF DWELLING 3
(10) IS AUTO RUNWAY		FET SPACE	(12) ELECTRICAL		N SO PERSONAL	YER CY
TO BE CONSTRUCTED OR ALTERED?	KO C) COMPTRUCTI	DN? NO	☐ PENFORMED?	NO	PERFORMED?	<u>₩</u>
(14) GENERAL CONTRACTOR	ADDRES			PHONE GALIFIL		RATION DATE
	suilders 155					31-14
(15) OWNER - LESSEE (CROSS			,	BTRC#	PHONE (FOR CONTACT B	
MAI AHEA		, - , - ,	SUITE	303 SF	SA 94105 9	299.7912
(18) WRITE IN DESCRIPTION OF	E ALL WORK TO BE PERFORMED UN	DEN THIS APPLICATION (REFEREN	CE TO PLANS IS HOT S	UFFICIENT)		
INTEPLOP	PEMODEL AT	SECOND 8	THIRDS	OPY, ASSC		UCTURAL
PEMODEL	KITCHENS &	BATH ADI	LBATH_	AT ZND	STOPLH BAT	th at
ALLWORK	WITHIN E	NEVELOP	OF BL	DG, NO	EXPANSIO	и.
WORK @	unit 2133	-2735 01	144	UNIT PER	FLOOR (E) Sc.
		ADDITIONAL	. INFORMATION			
(17) DOES THIS ALTERATION CREATE ADDITIONAL RE OR STORY TO BURLDING	TEHT YES CO (18) IF (17) IS NEW HEN	YER, BTATE SHT AT ME OF FRONT	(19) DOES THIS AL CREATE DECK OR EXTENSION TO BU	HORIZ. TES		SD.FT,
(21) WILL SIDEWALK OVER SUB-SIDEWALK SPACE REPAIRED OR ALTERED?	YES CJ (ZZ) WILL BU EXTEND NO JZK PROPERO	SEYONO TES		EXISTING BLDB. YES		ANGE YES C
(25) ARCHITECT OR ENGINEE	N (DESIGN D CONSTRUCTION D)	ROCA	258		CALLE CERTIFICAT	ENG.
TROY HAGI			F 401 5	FCA 9410	C27646	
(28) CONSTRUCTION LENGER	(ENTER NAME AND BRANCH DESIGN STRUCTION LENDER, ENTER "UNKNO	IATION IF ANY. WN")		ADDRESS		

IMPORTANT NOTICES

of building or strankers or scattering used studing compluation in to be whose them 6°0° to any sein more than 750 water. Now Sec Sec, California Provid Code.

Furnated to Sen Francisco Building Dode, the building papell shall be posted on the job. The owner is temporatible for approved plans and application being leads at building size.

Brade libers as shown on drawings accompanying this application are assumed to be contact. It actual grade lines are not the same as shown, revised descript absoring corned grade libers, code and fills, and complete details of relating walls and applicatings must be submitted to this department for approval.

ANY STIPULATION REQUIRED HEREIX ON BY CODE WAY BE APPEALED.

Building hot to be occupied until centificate of final completion in Posted on the Building or Permit of occupancy changes, when required.

APPROVAL OF THIS APPLICATION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN APPROVAL FOR THE ELECTRICAL WINING OR PELOMBIRG INSTALLATIONS. A REMAKED FEMILIF FOR THE WINING AND FLIAMING MILET BE COSTANIZE. SEPARATE PERMITS AND FLIAMING MILET BE

THE REPORT A SIDERFEIT PERMIT. HE WANT CHAIL OF STARTES UNITS, A RESIDENCE PERMIT IS SESSED

ls gravelings, all tradicting greencies must turn a clearance of not leas than two leading from all electrics whose or equipment.

CHECK APPROPRIATE NOX D OWNER D LESSEE

- CONTRACTOR
- LI ANCHITECT DI AGENT DI ENGINEER

APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION

1 HEREBY CERTIFY AND AGREETING IT A PERIOD FOR THE CONSTRUCTION DESCRIBED IN THE
APPLICATION, ALL THE PROPRIEWS OF THE PERRIT AND ALL LIMIT AND GROUNAIGST THERETO WILL BE
COMPLIED WITH.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT

miline(s) by measurance of the premit, agrae(s) to in-or from and against any and all chains, denience an is permit, regardless of regligence of the City and Co

unity with the provisions of Section 1800 of the Labor Cools of the Sizie of California, the spyllouni shell rivers compensation strywings lander (Q or [U] designated below, or shall ladicate from [U], [W], or (Y).

- if the pheticus scale of the neark for which this permit is bessed, I shall not employ eithy manner so set to become earliest to the worder's compensation interest faithful embedge first! I subsect that the sevent that it should become subject to the seve provisions of the labor Code of California send foil to comply feathers with the section 3000 of the Labor Code, the the permit brains along paid for what the descend-tion of the section 3000 of the Labor Code, the the permit brains along that for what the descend-
- r (or the apart for the owner) that its live performance of the work for which , I will simpley a contractor who compiles with the worker's companies the base, , prior to the commencement of any work, will the a completed copy of this form

10/22/13



July 26, 2017

Honorable Supervisor Hilary Ronen Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

1650 Mission St.

Suite 400

Reception: 415.558.6378

415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Re:

Transmittal of Planning Department Case Number 2016-010894DES: 2731-2735 Folsom Street Landmark Designation (Gaughran House)

BOS File No: _____ (pending)

Historic Preservation Commission Recommendation: Approval

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

On July 19, 2017 the San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission (hereinafter "HPC") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider a recommendation for landmark designation of 2731-2735 Folsom Street, known historically as the Gaughran House, to the Board of Supervisors. At the hearing, the HPC voted to <u>approve</u> a resolution to recommend landmark designation pursuant to Article 10 of the Planning Code.

The proposed amendments have been determined to be categorically exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15060(c)(2).

Please find attached documents relating to the HPC's action. If you have any questions or require further information please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Aaron D. Starr

Manager of Legislative Affairs

CC:

Erica Major, Office of the Clerk of the Board Andrea Ruiz-Esquide, City Attorney's Office

Nate Allbee, Legislative Aide

Attachments (one copy of the following):

Draft Article 10 Landmark Designation Ordinance Historic Preservation Commission Resolution Nos. 854, 881

Planning Department Memo dated July, 19, 2017

Planning Department Case Report dated March 15, 2017

Article 10 Landmark Designation Report

www.sfplanning.org

1 // //

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Land Use and Transportation Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

Date:

November 13, 2017

Time:

1:30 p.m.

Location:

Legislative Chamber, Room 250, located at City Hall

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA

Subject:

File No. 170922. Ordinance amending the Planning Code to designate

2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House), Lot No. 031 in

Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3640, as a Landmark under Article 10 of the Planning Code; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making public necessity, convenience and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority

policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments to the City prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made part of the official public record in this matter, and shall be brought to the attention of the members of the Committee. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102. Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board. Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, November 10, 2017.

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

PROOF OF MAILING

Legislative File No. 170922
Description of Item(s):
Ordinance amending the Planning Code to designate 2731-2735 Folsom Street (aka Gaughran House), Lot No. 031 in Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3640, as a Landmark under Article 10 of the Planning Code; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making public necessity, convenience and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.
I, Alisa Somera , an employee of the City and County of San Francisco, mailed the above described document(s) by depositing the sealed items with the United States Postal Service (USPS) with the postage fully prepaid as follows:
Date: November 2, 2017
Time: 2:54 p.m.
USPS Location: Repro Pick-up Box in the Clerk of the Board's Office (Rm 244)
Mailbox/Mailslot Pick-Up Times (if applicable): N/A
Signature: Alisa Somera

Instructions: Upon completion, original must be filed in the above referenced file.