

FILE NO: 171271

Petitions and Communications received from November 20, 2017, through November 27, 2017, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on December 5, 2017.

**Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.**

From the Office of the Mayor, pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100, designating Supervisor Cohen as Acting-Mayor from Sunday, November 22, 2017, at 10:55 p.m. until November 26, 2017, at 9:23 p.m. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

From the Planning Department, pursuant to Planning Code, Section 210.3C, submitting a report on the allowance for uses to support the development of new PDR space in the PDR-1-D and PDR-1-G Districts. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the Capital Planning Committee, pursuant to the Administrative Code, Section 3.21, regarding Approval of 1) Sale of Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks (2012) General Obligation Bonds, 2) Sale of Transportation and Road Improvement (2014) General Obligation Bonds, 3) Mission Rock Special Use District and 4) Pier 70 Infrastructure Financing District and Infrastructure Revitalization Financing District. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From Ivar C. Satero, Airport, regarding Turo Operations at SFO. Copy Each Supervisor. (4)

From Prabha Milstein, regarding toxic herbicides on Mt. Davidson. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From Alden Jenks, expressing thoughts on the state of the City. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From concerned citizens, regarding a proposed Medical Cannabis Dispensary at 2161-2165 Irving Street. File No. 171188. 3 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From concerned citizens, regarding the resolution authorizing the San Francisco Arts Commission to accept a gift of art, entitled the "Comfort Women's" Column of Strength, valued at \$190,000. 12 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From Teresa Palmer M.D., regarding the closing of the skilled nursing and sub-acute units in St. Luke's Hospital and the efforts of City departments regarding, institutional housing, particularly assisted living, residential care facilities, and small beds for seniors. File NO. 170773 and 170788. Copy Each Supervisor. (9)

From Maggie Muir, submitting Notice of Intention to Circulate Petition regarding term limits for the Mayor and Members of the Board of Supervisors. Copy: Each Supervisor.  
(10)

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
SAN FRANCISCO



EDWIN M. LEE  
MAYOR

Bos-1  
COB, Arden  
Deputies, Dep  
City Atty, Mayor  
office

November 22, 2017

Ms. Angela Calvillo  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Charter Section 3.100, I hereby designate Supervisor Malia Cohen as Acting-Mayor from the time I leave the State of California on Wednesday, November 22, 2017, at 10:55 p.m. until I return on Sunday, November 26, 2017, at 9:23 p.m.

In the event I am delayed, I designate Supervisor Cohen to continue to be the Acting-Mayor until my return to California.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Edwin M. Lee".

Edwin M. Lee  
Mayor

cc: Mr. Dennis Herrera, City Attorney

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2017 NOV 22 AM 10:35  
BY [Signature]



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

November 17, 2017

Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk  
Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Transmittal of report required by Planning Code Section 210.3C:  
Report on the allowance for uses to support the development of new PDR space  
in the PDR-1-D and PDR-1-G Districts  
Case No. 2017-008422PCA


Dear Ms. Calvillo,

In 2014, the Board of Supervisors approved Ordinance 71-14, creating Planning Code Section 219.1 (later changed to 210.3C). This section allows new development for certain non-residential uses—such as office and institutional—in Production, Distribution, and Repair (PDR) Districts if those projects also include a significant amount of new PDR space. The legislation includes a “sunset” provision, whereas the program would expire on December 31, 2017 without Board action.

The legislation included a requirement (Planning Code Section 210.3C(f)(2)) that Planning Department staff submit a report to the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors. The purpose of the report is to provide relevant information about the program to support development of new PDR space and a recommendation on whether the Board should extend or modify the program, or whether it should be allowed to expire.

Please find attached the Planning Department’s report fulfilling the requirement in Planning Code Section 210.3C(f)(2).

Sincerely,

  
Aaron D. Starr  
Manager of Legislative Affairs

cc:

Amy Beinart, Aide to Supervisor Hillary Ronen  
Yoyo Chan, Aide to Supervisor Malia Cohen  
Laurel Arvanitidis, Mayor’s Office of Economic and Workforce Development  
John Rahaim, San Francisco Planning Department  
Steve Wertheim, San Francisco Planning Department  
Pedro Peterson, San Francisco Planning Department  
Kate Stacy, San Francisco City Attorney’s Office

[www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)

1650 Mission St.  
Suite 400  
San Francisco,  
CA 94103-2479

Reception:  
415.558.6378

Fax:  
415.558.6409

Planning  
Information:  
415.558.6377

BOS-1

2

Transmittal Materials

CASE NO. 2017-008422PCA  
Report on Planning Code Section 210.3C

Attachment:

Planning Department Report



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

---

## Planning Department Report

*Date:* November 20, 2017  
*Report Name:* Report on the allowance for uses to support the development of new PDR space in the PDR-1-D and PDR-1-G Districts pursuant to Code Section 120.3C  
*Case No.:* 2017-008422PCA  
*Staff Contact:* Pedro Peterson, Planner  
(415) 575-9163 [pedro.peterson@sfgov.org](mailto:pedro.peterson@sfgov.org)  
*Reviewed by:* Steve Wertheim, Senior Planner  
[steve.wertheim@sfgov.org](mailto:steve.wertheim@sfgov.org)

1650 Mission St.  
Suite 400  
San Francisco,  
CA 94103-2479

Reception:  
415.558.6378

Fax:  
415.558.6409

Planning  
Information:  
415.558.6377

### BACKGROUND

The Eastern Neighborhoods Area Plans (EN Plan), adopted in 2008, designated certain industrial areas within their boundaries as Production, Distribution, and Repair (PDR) districts. The creation of PDR Districts was motivated by the recognition that industrial activity is an important source of employment for San Francisco's working class as well as an important part of the city's economic diversity. PDR Districts limit or prohibit the development of uses—such as housing or office—that are able to pay much more for land than industrial activities, thereby protecting PDR businesses from being priced out of neighborhoods where they have grown and thrived.

Since the adoption of the EN Plan, PDR zones have successfully achieved their goal of protecting PDR activity. However, demand for PDR space in the city has outstripped supply, resulting in low vacancy and quickly increasing rents. Accommodating this demand is possible on underutilized or vacant parcels in PDR Districts. Unfortunately, given construction costs, the relatively low rent paid by PDR businesses, and lack of government subsidies, it is not economically viable to construct stand-alone PDR buildings – as witnessed by the fact that the City has not received applications for the development of new stand-alone PDR space in several decades.

### LEGISLATION

To try to facilitate the creation of new PDR space, in 2014, the Board of Supervisors approved Ordinance 71-14 (sponsored by the Mayor and Supervisors Cohen, Campos, Chiu, Wiener, and Mar). This Ordinance created Planning Code Section 219.1 (later changed to 210.3C) to allow new development for certain non-residential uses—such as office and institutional—in PDR Districts if those projects also include a significant amount of new PDR space. Specifically, the legislation:

- Applies to large parcels (greater than 20,000 square feet) in PDR-1-D and PDR-1-G Districts north of 20<sup>th</sup> Street that do not contain significant PDR space or activities (existing floor-to-area ratio must be less than 0.3);
- Requires that the new developments dedicate at least 1/3 of its net new space to PDR activities;
- Requires that projects present a "PDR Business Plan" conveying how their project will be designed and priced to be suitable for PDR businesses; and

- Requires Conditional Use authorization from the Planning Commission.

The legislation includes a “sunset” provision—that it would expire on December 31, 2017 unless the Board of Supervisors acts to continue, extend, or modify it by Ordinance. It also requires that, “Prior to the sunset date of this Section, the Planning Department shall submit a report to the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors that provides information the Planning Department determines to be relevant in determining whether to continue, expand or limit the allowances for new construction of PDR addressed in this Section” (Planning Code Section 210.3C(f)(2)). This memorandum fulfills the legislation’s requirement that Planning staff complete a report outlining relevant information about the program’s implementation.

#### ANALYSIS

The Office-PDR cross-subsidy program enabled by Planning Code Section 210.3C has been successful at achieving its policy objective—facilitating the development of new PDR space in underdeveloped parcels in PDR Districts. Since the program was launched in 2014, the Planning Department has received two applications for projects proposing to build a substantial amount of net new PDR space under the provisions of Planning Code Section 210.3C:

- 100 Hooper: Entitled by the Planning Commission in 2015, the project is under construction and expected to be completed in 2018. When completed, this development will provide more than 140,000 square feet of new PDR space, 56,000 of which will be leased at below market rates by a non-profit provider (PlaceMade), as well as 280,000 square feet of office development. The property had previously sat unimproved and contained no PDR use, and was used primarily for household storage in stackable shipping containers and for open-air truck rentals.
- 1 De Haro: Under review by Planning staff, this project proposes 47,000 square feet of PDR and 94,000 square feet of office space. The site presently includes 12,000 square feet of open storage sheds and warehouse facilities that were formerly occupied by the San Francisco Gravel Company. The existing facilities are not currently in operation. The project is likely to seek entitlement from the Planning Commission in 2018.

Aside from these two projects, the Planning Department has not received any other applications proposing to utilize Section 210.3C. The Planning Department has not received any complaints about misuse of this program.

#### RECOMMENDATION

Planning staff recommends the renewal of Planning Code Section 210.3C with some modest modifications that are likely to enable more new PDR space to be built while avoiding unintended consequences. First, we recommend that the parcel size threshold in the legislation be reduced from 20,000 square feet to 15,000. Second, the maximum existing FAR on the parcel should be increased slightly from 0.3 to 0.33. These modifications would be in keeping with the intent of the program, and would result in three new sites being eligible for the program.





# Capital Planning Committee

58-11  
58Fcleek  
c-page

Naomi M. Kelly, City Administrator, Chair

## MEMORANDUM

November 13, 2017

**To:** Members of the Board of Supervisors *nkelly*

**From:** Naomi Kelly, City Administrator and Capital Planning Committee Chair

**Copy:** Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Capital Planning Committee

RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
11 NOV 20 PM 1:31

**Regarding:** (1) Sale of Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks (2012) General Obligation Bonds  
(2) Sale of Transportation and Road Improvement (2014) General Obligation Bonds  
(3) Mission Rock Special Use District (4) Pier 70 Infrastructure Financing District  
and Infrastructure Revitalization Financing District

In accordance with Section 3.21 of the Administrative Code, on November 13, 2017, the Capital Planning Committee (CPC) approved the following action items to be considered by the Board of Supervisors. The CPC's recommendations are set forth below.

**1. Board File Number: TBD**      **Approval of the resolution authorizing and directing the sale of General Obligation Bonds (Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks, 2012) in an amount not to exceed \$77,000,000; and approval of the related supplemental request.**

**Recommendation:** Recommend the Board of Supervisors approve the resolution and related supplemental request.

**Comments:** The CPC recommends approval of this item by a vote of 10-0.

Committee members or representatives in favor include: Naomi Kelly, City Administrator; London Breed, Board President; Melissa Whitehouse, Mayor's Budget Director; Mohammed Nuru, Director, Public Works; Kevin Kone, San Francisco International Airport; Phil Ginsburg, General Manager, Recreation and Parks Department; Ed Reiskin, Director, SFMTA; Elaine Forbes, Director, Port of San Francisco; John Rahaim, Director, Planning Department; and Kathy How, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission.

**2. Board File Number: TBD**      **Approval of the resolution authorizing and directing the sale of General Obligation Bonds (Transportation and Road Improvement, 2014) in an amount not to exceed \$177,000,000; and approval of the related supplemental request.**



Recommendation: Recommend the Board of Supervisors approve the resolution and related supplemental request.

Comments: The CPC recommends approval of this item by a vote of 10-0.

Committee members or representatives in favor include: Naomi Kelly, City Administrator; London Breed, Board President; Melissa Whitehouse, Mayor's Budget Director; Mohammed Nuru, Director, Public Works; Kevin Kone, San Francisco International Airport; Phil Ginsburg, General Manager, Recreation and Parks Department; Ed Reiskin, Director, SFMTA; Elaine Forbes, Director, Port of San Francisco; John Rahaim, Director, Planning Department; and Kathy How, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission.

**3. Board File Number: TBD**

**Approval of a Resolution of Intent to form sub-project areas I-1 through I-13 to the existing Port-Wide Infrastructure Financing District; and approval of a Resolution of Intent to issue Bonds for those sub-project areas, which encompass the Mission Rock Special Use District.**

Recommendation: Recommend the Board of Supervisors approve the resolutions of intent.

Comments: The CPC recommends approval of this item by a vote of 10-0.

Committee members or representatives in favor include: Naomi Kelly, City Administrator; London Breed, Board President; Melissa Whitehouse, Mayor's Budget Director; Mohammed Nuru, Director, Public Works; Kevin Kone, San Francisco International Airport; Phil Ginsburg, General Manager, Recreation and Parks Department; Ed Reiskin, Director, SFMTA; Elaine Forbes, Director, Port of San Francisco; John Rahaim, Director, Planning Department; and Kathy How, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission.

**4. Board File Number: TBD**

**Approval of the ordinance establishing the Pier 70 Infrastructure Financing District, sub-project areas G-2, G-3, And G-4; Approval of a resolution authorizing the issuance of \$274,000,000, \$197,000,000, and \$324,000,000, respectively for each sub-project area; And approval of legislation to establish Infrastructure Revitalization Financing District (IRFD) No. 2 on land within the City commonly known as the Hoedown Yard**

**and authorizing the issuance of Bonds in an amount not to exceed \$92,000,000 for the IRFD.**

Recommendation:

Recommend the Board of Supervisors approve the ordinance, resolution, and legislation.

Comments:

The CPC recommends approval of this item by a vote of 10-0.

Committee members or representatives in favor include: Naomi Kelly, City Administrator; London Breed, Board President; Melissa Whitehouse, Mayor's Budget Director; Mohammed Nuru, Director, Public Works; Kevin Kone, San Francisco International Airport; Phil Ginsburg, General Manager, Recreation and Parks Department; Ed Reiskin, Director, SFMTA; Elaine Forbes, Director, Port of San Francisco; John Rahaim, Director, Planning Department; and Kathy How, San Francisco Public Utilities Commission.

---

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**Sent:** Monday, November 27, 2017 8:47 AM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors  
**Subject:** FW: Letter from Airport Director re: Turo Operations at SFO  
**Attachments:** SFO to BOS re Turo Operations at Airport 11-22-2017.pdf

---

**From:** Ivar Satero (AIR)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 22, 2017 4:12 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Lee, Mayor (MYR) <mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Larry Mazzola Sr <ljmazzola@ualocal38.org>; Linda Crayton <linda.crayton@comcast.net>; Eleanor Johns <eleanor\_johns@yahoo.com>; Richard GUGGENHIME (rguggenhime@perkinscoie.com) <rguggenhime@perkinscoie.com>; Peter Stern <peterastern@yahoo.com>  
**Subject:** Letter from Airport Director re: Turo Operations at SFO

Good afternoon:

Attached for your review, please find a letter from Airport Director Ivar C. Satero regarding Turo Operations at San Francisco International Airport.



**Ivar C. Satero**  
Airport Director  
San Francisco International Airport | P.O. Box 8097 | San Francisco, CA 94128  
Office: 650-821-5000 | Email: [ivar.satero@flysfo.com](mailto:ivar.satero@flysfo.com)

Executive Assistant: Chris Arrigale  
Direct: 650-821-5004 | Email: [chris.arrigale@flysfo.com](mailto:chris.arrigale@flysfo.com)



San Francisco International Airport

November 22, 2017

Via Email

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
[Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org)

RE: Turo Operations at SFO

Dear Members of the Board:

I understand that your offices have received many emails regarding Turo operations at San Francisco International Airport (SFO).

Between October 2013 and August 2017, RelayRides (now Turo) operated under an Off-Airport Rental Car Business Permit at SFO. In August, Turo canceled its permit on the representation that it was no longer operating at SFO but that is not the case. Its website currently offers some 200 vehicles to rent at SFO.

Turo has requested that the Airport categorize the company as something other than a rental car company; change the fee structure from a rental car structure (customer-paid AirTrain Fee plus 10% of gross on revenues over \$1 million) to a "trip fee" arrangement such as that required by vehicles providing commercial transportation; and allow Turo to use Airport property for customer pick-ups/drop-offs.

Granting Turo's requests would not only negatively impact the rental car companies currently operating under and complying with SFO's leases/permits, but would negatively impact SFO's already congested roadways and curb. It would also circumvent the \$19 Transportation Fee applied to all rental car contracts which pays for the debt service on the AirTrain system.

On October 30, 2017, Turo was provided 30 days to either (a) remove all reference to SFO from its website, online platforms, and promotional materials, and disallow the use of Airport property for vehicle transfers or (b) execute and comply with the terms of an off-airport rental car permit.

I've provided more detailed background as an attachment to this email. Please let me know if you require any additional information at this time.

Very truly yours,

Ivar C. Satero  
Airport Director

cc: Mayor Edwin M. Lee  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco International Airport Commission Members

Attachment

**AIRPORT COMMISSION** CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

EDWIN M. LEE MAYOR	LARRY MAZZOLA PRESIDENT	LINDA S. CRAYTON VICE PRESIDENT	ELEANOR JOHNS	RICHARD J. GUGGENHIME	PETER A. STERN	IVAR C. SATERO AIRPORT DIRECTOR
-----------------------	----------------------------	------------------------------------	---------------	-----------------------	----------------	------------------------------------





Turo  
NOVEMBER 2017

Turo markets itself as "*Not your typical rental car... Bypass the rental counter and rent unforgettable cars from friendly locals*" (<https://turo.com>)

Between October 2013 and August 2017, RelayRides (now Turo) operated under an Off-Airport Rental Car Business Permit at San Francisco International Airport (SFO).

On October 26, 2016, Turo requested a change in its permit on the premise that it no longer be categorized as a rental car company. In a November 2, 2016 letter, the Office of the City Attorney, Airport Legal Division, denied Turo's by stating the factual bases for the permit category and reiterated that Turo is not acting as a "Personal Vehicle Sharing Program" as it claims since it earns revenue from renting cars.

SFO has limited roadway and curb space due to current construction activities and increased passenger loads. Roadway congestion presents a critical challenge to operating a safe and efficient airport and part of the solution is diverting all rental car customers to the Airport Rental Car Center where there are shuttles to off-Airport rental car companies. Turo refuses to transport its customers to and from the Airport's designated locations and is adding to the roadway and curbside congestion and undercutting permitted Airport rental car companies by offering a service (curbside terminal drop-off/pick-up) which its competitors cannot.

On October 30<sup>th</sup> Turo was provided 30 days to either (a) remove all reference to SFO from its website, online platforms, and promotional materials, and disallow the use of Airport property for vehicle transfers or (b) execute and comply with the terms of an off-airport rental car permit.

- In the six months prior to Turo's termination of its permit, Turo had on average 166 rental transactions per month at SFO, which generated approximately \$3,000 in revenue per month for SFO through payment of the AirTrain Fee (a customer-paid transaction fee). At the meeting, Turo expressed that the number of transactions at SFO is currently lower than this figure.
- Arriving passengers at SFO who have rented a car through Turo can pick up the vehicle in one of three ways: (1) the vehicle owner meets the passenger curbside for the exchange and the vehicle owner takes alternative transportation home; (2) the passenger takes transportation to the vehicle owner's home or other location where the exchange takes place; or (3) the passenger takes transportation to Turo's valet lot at 965 San Mateo Avenue in San Bruno where the vehicle/keys are waiting.
- Turo engages in the following activities to support its car rentals: provides liability insurance, roadside assistance, and a 24/7 customer service line; screens and verifies the identities of prospective renters who fill out profiles on Turo's website; sets minimum rental durations; sets car prices with owner consent based on an algorithm designed to maximize profits; enforces a series of policies governing all rentals, including a gas policy, cancellation policy, extension and late return policy, and late fees; processes all bookings and payments through its website; and retains a portion of the rental fees as profit.

---

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**Sent:** Monday, November 27, 2017 8:47 AM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors  
**Subject:** FW: Herbicide Use on Mt. Davidson

**From:** Prabha Milstein [mailto:p2mil@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Friday, November 24, 2017 10:29 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Herbicide Use on Mt. Davidson

Dear San Francisco Supervisors:

I have lived in San Francisco for 42 years; 29 of them on Mt. Davidson. I am deeply disturbed by the use of toxic herbicides, as well as the killing of 1,600 "invasive" trees such as eucalyptus which provide shade, oxygen and wind protection. I walk in the park nearly every day. Apparently in mid 217 the SFPUC began mixing local groundwater into the household water supply which contains cancer causing herbicides. I am appealing to your humanity to not kill the trees and to not continue the use of toxic herbicides, and to view this land as precious to those of us who live here.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,  
Prabha Milstein  
791 Myra Way  
SF 94127



---

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**Sent:** Monday, November 27, 2017 8:34 AM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors  
**Subject:** FW: A City in Decline?

**From:** Jendo [mailto:jendo@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, November 25, 2017 10:58 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** A City in Decline?

A friend arrived from out of town a few days ago. The first thing he said was "This is truly a city in decline!" I asked why he said so. His reply: the homeless, everywhere. Well, I thought I knew what he was talking about. But last night, Friday around 5:30 PM I walked along the underground passageway at the Civic Center BART station. I saw two middle-aged men, slumped against the wall, actively injecting drugs with hypodermics into their arms; two other persons completely unconscious in a manner that suggested they were not just sleeping. These people need help, and not just permission to use BART facilities to continue their self-destruction. If I had been a tourist arriving from the airport, I would have turned around and gone home. It was shocking. Somehow priorities are not in line with necessities.

Alden Jenks

---

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**Sent:** Monday, November 27, 2017 8:33 AM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors; BOS Legislation, (BOS)  
**Subject:** FW: Marijuana Dispensary on Irving b/t 22nd and 23d

**Categories:** 171188, 171128

**From:** Paul Roscelli [mailto:paulroscelli@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 26, 2017 1:12 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Marijuana Dispensary on Irving b/t 22nd and 23d

Dear Board

As a long time member of the outer sunset community, I strongly oppose the opening of the Marijuana Dispensary on Irving b/t 22nd and 23d. I do NOT challenge the legality of using marijuana but rather the location of the dispensary in this community, nearby as it will be to so many local businesses, the park and schools and churches. Please reconsider the permit. I have seen what these places have done in other parts of SF—not to mention those who now come from Marin and San Mateo to do what their communities will not allow. I will not support members of the board who endorse this proposal. I will not be able to make the Dec 5th discussion, so I'd appreciate it if you would make my voice heard

Paul Roscelli  
1347 31st Ave SF

"It is difficult to free fools from the chains they revere."  
-- Voltaire

"Go Bears!"--Oski

## Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

---

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**Sent:** Monday, November 27, 2017 8:33 AM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors; BOS Legislation, (BOS)  
**Subject:** FW: Marijuana Dispensary

**Categories:** 171128, 171188

**From:** Grace Garcia [mailto:grace.garcia@att.net]  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 26, 2017 1:24 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Marijuana Dispensary

I join with other Sunset district residents in opposing the proposed marijuana dispensary at 22<sup>nd</sup> & Irving.  
It is not an appropriate location for this type of business.

Thank You,  
Grace Garcia  
1622-38<sup>th</sup> Ave.

**Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)**

---

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**Sent:** Monday, November 27, 2017 8:33 AM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors; BOS Legislation, (BOS)  
**Subject:** FW: Ban Marijuana Dispensary @ 2161-5 Irving St., SF

**Categories:** 171128, 171188

**From:** Louis Chan [mailto:louiskchan46@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 26, 2017 2:25 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Ban Marijuana Dispensary @ 2161-5 Irving St., SF

Dear Board of Supervisors of SF:

Please note that we are writing to oppose having the adult marijuana dispensary at 2161-5 Irving Street, San Francisco.

At the same time, we are for the following proposals.

- 1) Make buffer zones minimum 1,000'.
- 2) Count preschools, daycare centers and public libraries in the buffer zones.

Sincerely,  
Louis Chan  
Ivy Chan  
1630 36th Avenue, San Francisco

## Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

---

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**Sent:** Monday, November 27, 2017 8:25 AM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors  
**Subject:** FW:

**From:** 高木 優希 [mailto:yuuki20041224@yahoo.co.jp]  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 23, 2017 3:43 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:**

A comfort woman is proved to be a lie.  
I strongly disagree with setting up a comfort woman!

**Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)**

---

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**Sent:** Monday, November 27, 2017 8:25 AM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors  
**Subject:** FW:

**From:** 笠谷 由佳莉 [mailto:nhfs2889@i.softbank.jp]  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 23, 2017 6:28 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:**

**Firstly, we Japanese didn't kidnap and raip any Korean women during World War II. Those Korean women were employed by Japanese military as professional prostitute. Those prostitute volunteered News Paper advertisement for highly salary. I attached the picture of the news paper.**



**Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)**

---

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**Sent:** Monday, November 27, 2017 8:24 AM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors  
**Subject:** FW: About eliminating sister city between Osaka city and San Francisco city

-----Original Message-----

**From:** sato [mailto:satouyouhei.g@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 23, 2017 10:35 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** About eliminating sister city between Osaka city and San Francisco city

The mayor of San Francisco recognized the image of comfort women as public and decided to cancel the sister city with Osaka city.

The comfort women issue is a strategy to split America and Japan that China and Korea are planning.  
Is the Chinese mayor of San Francisco the Chinese spy?

## Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

---

**From:** 有井和雄 <500arii@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 22, 2017 4:23 AM  
**To:** Lee, Mayor (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**Cc:** online@rafu.com; opinion@sfchronicle.com; caleb@eater.com;  
hknight@sfchronicle.com; jcurran@sfmediaco.com; gandersen@sfexaminer.com;  
ldudnick@sfexaminer.com; lkatz@sfexaminer.com; jsabatini@sfexaminer.com  
**Subject:** Statue of hypocrite

Nice to meet you.

I am Arii.

I am Japanese.

The statue of a hypocrite against the fact.

Many Japanese are angry.

Please do not make Blaspheme of Japanese people.

Please, thank you.



ウイルスフリー。 [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)

## Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

---

**From:** 有井和雄 <arii@early-st.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 22, 2017 4:21 AM  
**To:** Lee, Mayor (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**Subject:** Statue of hypocrite

Nice to meet you.  
I am Aarii.  
I am Japanese.  
The statue of a hypocrite against the fact.  
Many Japanese are angry.  
Please do not make Blaspheme of Japanese people.  
Please, thank you.



ウイルスフリー。 [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)

## Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

---

**From:** 彦山進 <s-hikoyama@rx.tnc.ne.jp>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 22, 2017 12:25 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Page\_Ritchie, Sharon (ART); Patterson, Kate (ART); online@rafu.com; opinion@sfchronicle.com; caleb@eater.com; hknight@sfchronicle.com; jcurran@sfmediaco.com; gandersen@sfexaminer.com; ldudnick@sfexaminer.com; lkatz@sfexaminer.com; jsabatini@sfexaminer.com  
**Subject:** ●It'll be a reference data, so please check it.● Expression regrettable for comfort woman document UNESCO registration seeing off in Korea  
**Attachments:** 魯圭惠 ( Noh GYUDOKU ) spokesperson of Korean①.jpg; 魯圭惠 ( Noh GYUDOKU ) spokesperson of Korean②.jpg; ( 1 ) Flag\_of\_UNESCO..png; ( 2 ) Irina Georgieva Bokova ( Ирина Георгиева Бокова ) United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, UNESCO Secretary general.jpg; ( 3 ) Irina Georgieva Bokova ( Ирина Георгиева Бокова ) United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, UNESCO Secretary general.jpg; ( 4 ) Irina Georgieva Bokova ( Ирина Георгиева Бокова ) United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, UNESCO Secretary general.jpg; ( 5 ) Irina Georgieva Bokova ( Ирина Георгиева Бокова ) United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, UNESCO Secretary general / 習主席 ( Xí Jìnpíng chairman or president ) .jpg; ( 6 ) Chinese Tiananmen royal ( VIP ) box / Irina Georgieva Bokova ( Ирина Георгиева Бокова ) United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, UNESCO Secretary general.png; ( 7 ) Irina Georgieva Bokova ( Ирина Георгиева Бокова ) United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, UNESCO Secretary general / China 習主席 ( Xí Jìnpíng chairman or president ) Wife.png; ( 8 ) 朴 槿惠 ( Park Geun Hye ) Ex-President / Irina Georgieva Bokova ( Ирина Георгиева Бокова ) United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, UNESCO Secretary general.jpg; ( 9 ) Irina Georgieva Bokova ( Ирина Георгиева Бокова ) United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, UNESCO Secretary general / 趙允旋 ( Jo Yunseon ) Female family chief director authorities..png; ( 10 ) 趙允旋 ( Jo Yunseon ) Female family chief director authorities. I was arrested by misfeasance suspicion with a 金淇春 (KIM UGICHUN) president general manager of the secr.jpg; ( 11 ) 朴 槿惠 ( Park Geun Hye ) Ex-President / Michael Makoto Mike Honda congressman.jpg; ( 12 ) 趙允旋 ( Jo Yunseon ) Female family chief director authorities. with a Michael Makoto Mike Honda congressman.jpg; ( 13 ) Mr. Honda conferred Order of Diplomatic Service Merit on.jpg; Comfort woman image in front of the Japanese Embassy (Vienna Convention violation)①.jpg; Comfort woman image in front of the Japanese Embassy (Vienna Convention violation)②.jpg; Comfort woman image in front of the Japanese Embassy (Vienna Convention violation)③.jpg; Comfort woman image in front of the Japanese Embassy (Vienna Convention violation)④.jpg; Comfort woman image in front of the Japanese Embassy (Vienna Convention violation)⑤.jpg; Comfort woman image in front

**Attachments:**

of the Japanese Embassy (Vienna Convention violation)⑥.jpg; Comfort woman image in front of the Japanese Embassy (Vienna Convention violation)⑦.jpg; Comfort woman image in front of the Japanese Embassy of Seoul City, Korea / Mayor Frank Quinn terrorism former Glenn Dale①and 金曙灵 ( Kim Seo - Kyung ) Sculptor / in Korea.jpg; Comfort woman image in front of the Japanese Embassy of Seoul City, Korea / Mayor Frank Quinn terrorism former Glenn Dale②.jpg; Comfort woman image in front of the Japanese Embassy of Seoul City, Korea / Mayor Frank Quinn terrorism former Glenn Dale③.jpg; Comfort woman image in front of the Japanese Embassy of Seoul City, Korea / Mayor Frank Quinn terrorism former Glenn Dale④.png; Comfort woman image in front of this zai embassy of the Republic of Korea and Japan(Soul music)①.jpg; Comfort woman image in front of this zai embassy of the Republic of Korea and Japan(Soul music)②.jpg; Comfort woman image in front of this zai embassy of the Republic of Korea and Japan(Soul music)③.jpg; Comfort woman image in front of this zai embassy of the Republic of Korea and Japan(Soul music)④.jpg; Why is the comfort woman image barefoot①.jpg; Why is the comfort woman image barefoot②.jpg

Dear Mayor of **San Francisco**, member of a municipal assembly, park executive committee

Dear Board of Supervisors ,Dear Supervisors of City and County of **San Francisco** ( **California** / **United States of America** )

Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco : **Mayor Edo Lee** it in the **comfort woman image acceptance last on September 24**

Please do not adopt Resolution #171070

The comfort women issue deals with matters between foreign governments and has nothing to do with **San Francisco**.

I have read the inscription of the memorial, and found out there are many factual errors.

I believe **San Francisco** must not make a historical judgement without verifications.



It will open the floodgates for others seeking a platform for incidents that occurred outside of this country.

【 Expression regrettable for comfort woman document UNESCO registration seeing off in Korea. 】 ( October 31, 2017 )

\* Aiming at registration to "world memory" of the UNESCO which protected the ancient documents which reached all parts of the world, I saw off registration according to the advice of the committee of the expert who did it about the document about the comfort woman problem that a citizen group of Korea or China applied for if the talks of the relations country were necessary for Irina Georgieva Bokova secretary general of the UNESCO.

About this, the 魯圭憲 ( Noh GYUDOKU ) spokesperson of Korean Ministry of Foreign Affairs stated, "the Korean government expresses a regret for the advice of the committee of the UNESCO and the decision of the secretary general" at the press conference on the 31st.

About the UNESCO having shelved registration to "the world memory" of the document about the issue of comfort woman, the spokesperson of Korean Ministry of Foreign Affairs stated, "I continue making a diplomatic effort so that a comfort woman-related document was objective and was evaluated fairly" and expressed a regret.

The group which installed a comfort woman image in front of Japanese Embassy of Seoul was included in the Korean citizen's group which applied for registration this time.

( "The Korean Council for the Women Drafted for Military Comfort woman by Japan"<sup>[</sup> )



I perform periodical demonstration activity (Wednesday demonstration) in front of the Japanese Embassy of Seoul City and comfort woman image setting campaigns to each place.

In addition, I run the permanent construction Museum in Seoul City.

【 It is effort = Korea diplomatic service to comfort woman-related document UNESCO registration 】 ( October 24, 2017 ) Yonhap News Agency (YNA) Korea

\*The 魯圭憲 ( Noh GYUDOKU ) spokesperson of the Korean diplomatic service stated, "the Korea government makes an effort for registration from the basic situation to have to do a comfort woman problem for a historic lesson" about registration examination of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (the UNESCO) of the comfort woman-related document of the former Japan forces "world memory" (world memory inheritance) having begun by a regular interview of 24th.

魯圭憲 ( Noh GYUDOKU ) spokesperson answered it, "I do not agree" for indication that it might be against the agreement between the two countries which said, what "criticism criticized each other in international stage waits" in the process when the Korean government aimed at the registration of the comfort woman document.

【 Diplomatic service "document, UNESCO registration effort of the comfort woman connection" in Korea 】 ( October 25, 2017 )

\*I announced that I made an effort for the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (the UNESCO) registration for the document that Korean diplomatic service was comfort woman-related.

【 Before inspection result Korea Government, the year of the comfort woman Japan and Korea agreement 】 ( September 29, 2017 )

\* The Korean government is irreversible, and the government of Japan-Korea two countries pushes forward the negotiations process to an agreement and the inspection of agreement contents for the issue of comfort woman about having done the final agreement" on December 28, 2015, and "they are to start an inspection result for the last time within this year.

○ The Korean government launches issue of Japan-Korea comfort woman agreement examination TF (taskforce) of the Secretary of diplomatic service direct control in July and pushes forward inspection.

○ The 魯圭憲 ( Noh GYUDOKU ) spokesperson of the Korean diplomatic service was a regular interview of 28th and told saying "I repeated situations that I decided the situation of the government about the issue of comfort woman based on examination results of Japan and Korea comfort woman problem agreement examination TF (taskforce) including a comfort woman image (move) problem and expressed it".

○ A voice of the repulsion that smoldered in an agreement in Korean public opinion in the Japan-Korea comfort woman last increased after the 朴槿惠 ( Park Geun-hye ) President downfall in Korea, Seoul City 鍾路区 ( Jongno-gu ) appointed the comfort woman who symbolized a comfort woman in front of Seoul, the Japanese Embassy to "a community molding thing". "A public molding thing"

○ In addition, Diet woman family Committee approves the bill that included an establishment on "a day to praise a comfort woman victim", and concerns increase for the Japan and Korea relations construction for the future intention.



●Dear **Irina Georgieva Bokova** UNESCO secretary general, Iskra-Panebusuka UNESCO memory inheritance secretariat, Boyan Radoykov Chief of Section ( United Nations Educational, Science and Cultural Organization / 7, place de Fontenoy 75352 Paris 07 SP FRANCE )

We are against **Korea's and China's** applications to the UNESCO, 'Comfort Women as Sex Slaves'

**China's and Korea's** action is driven by her political motivation to defame Japan's reputation.

( Behind a Korean group, there is North Korea and China. )

Hence it is not in line with the UNESCO constitution which is "Peace must be established on the basis of humanity's moral and intellectual solidarity."

We request that the UNESCO examine the documents fairly and carefully, and make a correct decision based on the verified facts.

\*I am afraid this resolution will become a trigger to create racial conflicts in **San Francisco** ( **California** / United States of America ) .

\*I believe the monument will divide your multi-cultural good communities.

And also it will drive a wedge among US, South Korea, and Japan alliance, and that will only make Communist China and North Korea happy.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Susumu Hikoyama

3-5 Kuniyoshida 6-choume, suruga-ku, shizuoka-city, shizuoka- Prefecture 422-8004, JAPAN

**Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)**

---

**From:** g.subaru <g.subaru@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 21, 2017 7:36 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**Subject:** I am against the comfort women Resolution

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I strongly protest against "Resolution declaring September 22, 2017, as Comfort Women Day in the City and County of San Francisco."

It will create conflict between Chinese and Korean background citizens and Japanese background citizens in SF. It is not good in your multicultural society.

Sincerely,

sueo

**Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)**

---

**From:** matsuvan2@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 21, 2017 1:00 PM  
**To:** Lee, Mayor (MYR)  
**Cc:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS); online@rafu.com; opinion@sfchronicle.com; caleb@eater.com; hknight@sfchronicle.com; jcurran@sfmediaco.com; gandersen@sfexaminer.com; ldudnick@sfexaminer.com; lkatz@sfexaminer.com; jsabatini@sfexaminer.com; gandersen@sfexaminer.com  
**Subject:** Comfort Women Sex Slaves is "Fake News".

Dear Mayor of San Francisco,

The link below is one of many historians reports about the issues.

<https://japan-forward.com/200000-south-korean-wartime-sex-slaves-is-fake-news-says-historian-an-interview-with-dr-ikuhiko-hata/>

Sincerely,

Avery Matsu  
Vancouver, Canada

## Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

---

**From:** matsuvan2@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 21, 2017 12:06 PM  
**To:** Lee, Mayor (MYR)  
**Cc:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS); online@rafu.com; opinion@sfchronicle.com; caleb@eater.com; hknight@sfchronicle.com; jcurran@sfmediaco.com; gandersen@sfexaminer.com; ldudnick@sfexaminer.com; lkatz@sfexaminer.com; jsabatini@sfexaminer.com  
**Subject:** Fake Statue and China's Purpose

Dear Mayor of San Francisco,

Is there the justice in San Francisco? The City has been proceeding the comfort women matter unilaterally, only listening the Chinese/Korean's side. The Fact is ignored, all the official documents/records are ignored, your government's research \*IWG Report is ignored (\*7 yrs & 30 million spent). Is this in the USA? It is more like China.

Mr. Mayor, I think you know that the comfort women story is a Fabrication. Some Board of Supervisors know that too. You know that the comfort women were highly paid prostitutes as the USA Repots say. You know that those women's description change every time and they have never testified. You know that China's Communist Party and Korea's Communist Party are behind of this issue and using it as the Political Propaganda. You know that the Chinese/Korean activists are getting money from China's Communist Party (China's money is everywhere).

Please go ahead, build a lot of Fake Prostitute Statues and place them at every corner of the City of San Francisco as the "City of Prostitutes". Almost 1/3 of the sex trade in the USA is by Chinese/Korean anyway. Eventually, the world would know what are Chinese and Korean, "Liars". China won't become a World Hegemony (take over the USA is China's ultimate purpose). Although spending enormous money for the propaganda to disgrace Japan and separate the ties with the USA and wants to be a Asia's Hegemony first. China has started "Anti-Japan Campaign" since 1980s.

Sincerely,

Amery Matsu  
Vancouver, Canada

## **Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)**

---

**From:** Tomomi Kinukawa <tkinukawa63@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 20, 2017 10:59 PM  
**To:** Lee, Mayor (MYR)  
**Cc:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**Subject:** Letters from Osaka, Japan, in Support of the "Comfort Women" Memorial  
**Attachments:** Letters to SF Mayor 11 20 2017.pdf

Attn: Mayor Edwin Lee

November 20, 2017

Dear Honorable Edwin Lee,

Hereby I submit letters from organizations and people in Osaka, Japan, in support of the acceptance of the Comfort Women Memorial as a municipal memorial.

Should you have any questions about the attached letters, please do not hesitate to contact me as the liaison in San Francisco.

Those include letters by major Labor Unions in cities Osaka, Tokyo, and Chiba as well as those by individual citizens in Osaka.

Those organizations and individual citizens would like the City of San Francisco to note the fact that the opposition against the memorial recently expressed by Osaka Mayor Hirofumi Yoshimura and by the Japanese Consul General in San Francisco Jun Yamada is a minority voice and by no means reflects views held by a vast majority of laborers and people in Osaka and the rest of Japan. Especially people are infuriated by those politician's denial of the historical truth and ashamed by Osaka Mayor's threat to terminate the sister city relationship between the two cities.

In the letters, the organizations and citizens in Osaka are expressing their full support for the Comfort Women Memorial in San Francisco and their deep respect to the efforts made by people in San Francisco to stand firmly with Grandmothers, and to confront the Japanese Government's war-time crime and human rights violation. Many letters make direct quotes from the memorial's inscription and express their whole-hearted support for it. Especially they deeply appreciate the inscription's powerful call for human rights and dignity of the victims. The organization and citizens also note that the so-called 2015 ROK-Japan "Agreement" by the foreign ministers of the two countries was legally invalid. The letters squarely oppose the Japanese Government's attempt to silence victims of the Japanese Military "Comfort Women" System through fabricating a "final and irreversible" resolution to the issue through a negotiation between two government officials.

Finally the letters highlight a critical role that the City of San Francisco plays in guiding the world on this important human rights issue. The whole world is watching how the City of San Francisco with a long reputable history of fighting for civil and human rights, stands in solidarity with Grandmothers.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Tomomi Kinukawa  
1879 41st Ave., San Francisco, CA 94122  
[tkinukawa63@gmail.com](mailto:tkinukawa63@gmail.com)



## Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

---

**From:** toshihi <toshihi@ictv.ne.jp>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 20, 2017 10:44 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**Cc:** toshihi@ictv.ne.jp  
**Subject:** RE: 「NANKING massa. Chinese Communist fabricated, created」 (1)

**Attachments:** (A)-4-4-6-2020-10 「NANKING massa. Chinese Communist fabricated,created」 (1).xlsx

Dear Board of Supervisors, in the City and County of San Francisco.”  
I strongly protest against “Resolution declaring September 22, 2017, as Comfort Women Day in the City and County of San Francisco.”  
It will promote hostility towards the Japanese American community, including increasing tensions between Asian American. The resolution, rather than promoting inclusion, will promote intolerance.  
Sincerely,  
Nov 21<sup>st</sup>, 2017  
Tokyo, Japan  
Toshihiko Okumura

これは、久保(アリマサ)氏によって英文で発表された「南京虐殺事件は中共によって、でっち上げられたウソ話である」を証明した初期の頃(少なくとも2014年3月以前)に発表された克明な論文です。江沢民によって建てられた「南京虐殺事件記念館」に展示されている写真は合成されたものであるという説明は末尾にある、「[南京大虐殺」は捏造だった](#)」をクリックすれば日本文の文章が出てきます(英語でも見れます)。Yahooで題名をそのまま記入、検索ことで関連動画も見れます。

[Reverend Arimasa Kubo](#)

(Japanese Christian pastor and non-fiction writer)

「NANKING massa. Chinese Communist fabricated, created」(1)

I send this, of which I once sent about 3 years ago,

[ATTN] to all persons concerned

A message from T.Okumura (Japanese, 73 years old, make)



「NANKING massa. Chinese Communist fabricated/created」(1)

I'm sending you on materials you can know the truth with, We are facing and attacked by Korean and Chinese with their fabricated false stories.

As you may know, Media like NHK, private TVs, Asahi News, Mainichi News and so on, except Sankei and Yomiuri are Korean/Chinese Japanese Media managed by Korean and Chinese and they are campaigning anti-Japan and anti-Abe cabinet all the time.

So you should be careful, when you read and see Japanese media. I recommend you that you collect news from [Sankei and Yomiuri] first, of which you will surprise with their contents, But their news are very near to the truth. And also you will collect from other Japanese, after you got news from Korean And Chinese.

I will send you more materials for your better and true understanding on the issues.

Nowadays Chinese are in effort to spread Just Anti-Japan. In the world.

Because they have been tried to obtain small Japanese islands locating near China in vain for years because of crude oil and fishery.

And also they want to use it to turn aside nation's angry, of which almost all nation's leaders and bureaucrats are energetically taking enormous amount of bribes.

「NANKING massa. Chinese Communist fabricated/created」(2)

On the other side Koreans in USA are inferior to set sitting of comfort women statues anywhere in US, for which Korean made false stories, to which Japanese show true histories with old official documents and newspapers, and with even testimony of Koreans scholars assisting favorably Japanese refutation  
Therefore They merged both Chinese and Korean activity of Anti-Japan.

After the war many Japanese soldiers were arrested by Soviet Russia and taken them to Siberia, from whom 969 Japanese executives were transferred to Chinese Communist party (soldiers: app. 800 and Bureaucrats/military polices app 200) after 5 years.

They received radical brainwashing for 6 years (1950-1956), and 3 of them were released in 1964. After they returned to Japan, they have engaged to broaden their knowledge brainwashed by Chinese, as if Japanese did, in which Chinese actually killed many Chinese soldiers escaping from the war places and many Chinese people hid those escaped soldiers.

Mr. Tatsuo Kage who is one of Japanese brainwashing men and sympathizer of Chinese

Anti-Japanese. I think he is one of war victims who are still in deep Chinese brainwashing and has not been awoken. He cannot understand what he is doing. Kindly leave him along.

### 「NANKING massa. Chinese Communist fabricated/created」(3)

Chinese built Nankin Memorial museum where they showed many photos they took their massacres of Japanese people (mainly women and children) in TSUUSYUU city, when Japanese men were out of the city as soldiers. We call its massacre as [TSUUSYUU incident]. Chinese raped and then killed Japanese women and children app, 270. Please check the News in 1937 which was very notorious massacre Chinese did.

Following photos are all showed in Chinese Memorial Museum, in which bodies of women and child are photos taken by Chinese in TSUUSYUU city, when they killed Japanese. All other photos are composed from many photos, as if Japanese soldiers did. Please watch them carefully, you could know the truth of comosition from the photos of Chinese Grand Culural Revolution (appr.20 million Chinese were killed by Chinese Communist during 1966-1977) and TSUSYU Incident (appr.300Japanese women and childeren were raped and then killed by Chinese soldiers in 1973). A child sitting on the road was recently taken for getting sympathy.

### 「NANKING massa. Chinese Communist fabricated/created」

A message from Japan, T.Okumura ,(70 years old, male)

I have to explain another fabrication Chinese Communist soldiers created , for which they used other photos and films of TSUUSYU massacre of Japanese families and Chinese grand cultural revolution.

Communist soldiers massacred in the city of TSUSYU near Beijing during the War , where Japanese families lived, around 500 persons.

<Please refer to the attached afterwards>

### 「NANKING massa. Chinese Communist fabricated/created」(4)

---

---

---

---

---



**Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)**

---

**From:** SFandBayarea Truthseeker <sfandbayareatruthseeker@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 22, 2017 10:27 AM  
**To:** Lee, Mayor (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**Cc:** opinion@sfchronicle.com; caleb@eater.com; hknight@sfchronicle.com; Jay Curran; gandersen@sfexaminer.com; Laura Dudnick; lkatz@sfexaminer.com; jsabatini@sfexaminer.com; online@rafu.com  
**Subject:** Fwd: PETITION: We support Osaka to Cut Sister City Ties if SF accepts Comfort Women Statue

Hello,

We are sending the updated petition results to you.

Currently, the number signed for this petition, **We support Osaka to Cut Sister City Ties if SF accepts Comfort Women Statue**, is **1,556**.

<https://www.change.org/p/edwin-lee-we-support-osaka-to-cut-sister-city-ties-if-sf-accepts-comfort-women-statue>

The number signed for this petition, **Vote "No" on establishing the Comfort Women statue in San Francisco**, is **6,876**.

<https://www.change.org/p/vote-no-on-establishing-the-comfort-women-statue-in-san-francisco>

Please considers people's opinion to make San Francisco better place.

Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Sincerely,

San Francisco Women for Justice and Peace

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** SFandBayarea Truthseeker <sfandbayareatruthseeker@gmail.com>  
**Date:** Tue, Nov 21, 2017 at 12:24 PM  
**Subject:** PETITION: We support Osaka to Cut Sister City Ties if SF accepts Comfort Women Statue  
**To:** [mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org](mailto:mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org)

November 21, 2107

Dear Mayor Edwin Lee,



We have collected the signatures for petition, **We support Osaka to Cut Sister City Ties if SF accepts Comfort Women Statue.**

【Dear SF Mayor Ed Lee, Please do not accept the comfort women statue donations, and veto the Resolution #171070.】

<https://www.change.org/p/edwin-lee-we-support-osaka-to-cut-sister-city-ties-if-sf-accepts-comfort-women-statue>

As of this morning, total number of the signature is 1,396.

Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Sincerely,

San Francisco Women for Justice and Peace

## Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

---

**From:** Carroll, John (BOS)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 22, 2017 1:45 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS)  
**Subject:** FW: Overview of Long-Term Care and Post Acute Care Delivery In San Francisco; Teresa Palmer M.D. (attachment)  
**Attachments:** Post Acute and Long Term Care SF Palmer 11 20 2017.pdf  
**Categories:** 170773, 170788

---

**From:** Carroll, John (BOS)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 22, 2017 1:44 PM  
**To:** 'Teresa Palmer' <teresapalmer2014@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Overview of Long-Term Care and Post Acute Care Delivery In San Francisco; Teresa Palmer M.D. (attachment)

Thanks for your comment letter. I have added your message to the official file for each hearing.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the links below:

[Board of Supervisors File No. 170773](#)

[Board of Supervisors File No. 170788](#)

**John Carroll**  
Assistant Clerk  
Board of Supervisors  
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415)554-4445 - Direct | (415)554-5163 - Fax  
[john.carroll@sfgov.org](mailto:john.carroll@sfgov.org) | [bos.legislation@sfgov.org](mailto:bos.legislation@sfgov.org)

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

*Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**From:** Teresa Palmer [<mailto:teresapalmer2014@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 22, 2017 1:23 PM  
**To:** Ronen, Hillary <[hillary.ronen@sfgov.org](mailto:hillary.ronen@sfgov.org)>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <[ahsha.safai@sfgov.org](mailto:ahsha.safai@sfgov.org)>; Yee, Norman (BOS)

<[norman.yee@sfgov.org](mailto:norman.yee@sfgov.org)>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <[sandra.fewer@sfgov.org](mailto:sandra.fewer@sfgov.org)>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <[jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org](mailto:jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org)>; Breed, London (BOS) <[london.breed@sfgov.org](mailto:london.breed@sfgov.org)>; Farrell, Mark (BOS) <[mark.farrell@sfgov.org](mailto:mark.farrell@sfgov.org)>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <[jane.kim@sfgov.org](mailto:jane.kim@sfgov.org)>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <[aaron.peskin@sfgov.org](mailto:aaron.peskin@sfgov.org)>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <[katy.tang@sfgov.org](mailto:katy.tang@sfgov.org)>; Morewitz, Mark (DPH) <[mark.morewitz@sfdph.org](mailto:mark.morewitz@sfdph.org)>; Garcia, Barbara (DPH) <[barbara.garcia@sfdph.org](mailto:barbara.garcia@sfdph.org)>; McSpadden, Shireen (HSA) <[shireen.mcspadden@sfgov.org](mailto:shireen.mcspadden@sfgov.org)>; Carroll, John (BOS) <[john.carroll@sfgov.org](mailto:john.carroll@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Overview of Long-Term Care and Post Acute Care Delivery In San Francisco; Teresa Palmer M.D. (attachment)

To:

1. Supervisors Hilary Ronen, Ahsha Safai, Norman Yee, Sandra Fewer, Jeff Sheehy, Mark Farrell, Jane Kim, Katy Tang, Aaron Peskin, Malia Cohen, London Breed

(Note-Mr. Carroll:

In anticipation of 11/29 and 12/7 Meetings of the Neighborhood and Public Services Safety Committee of the Board of Supervisors

File #:1.170773. (11/29)

2. Please also file for December 7 meeting on Residential Care:

<https://sfgov.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=3093305&GUID=628500B9-45FB-4D6B-94EF-EAED965B792D&Options=&Search=>

Title:

Hearing to consider the state of, and understand the efforts of City departments regarding, institutional housing, particularly assisted living, residential care facilities, and small beds for seniors in San Francisco; and requesting the Department of Aging and Adult Services, and Department of Public Health to report.

Sponsors:

[Norman Yee](#), [Aaron Peskin](#), [Sandra Lee Fewer](#), [Jeff Sheehy](#), [Hillary Ronen](#), [Ahsha Safai](#)

2. San Francisco Health Commission members Care of Mr. Morowitz

3. Director of Public Health Barbara Garcia

4.DAAS Director Shireen McSpadden

From:

Teresa Palmer M.D.

[teresapalmer2014@gmail.com](mailto:teresapalmer2014@gmail.com). 415-260-8446

1845 Hayes St.

San Francisco 94117

As a geriatrician who has worked for 30 years in San Francisco, as the daughter of an elderly nursing home resident, and as an aging person who loves San Francisco, here is my best effort to summarize the issues around long term and post acute care that face us now in San Francisco, and as many solutions and ideas as I could come up with.

For those of you who need to know more about this, I hope this can serve as a primer. For all of you who want to find solutions, I hope this helps. I want to work with you.

Even with current Trumpian threats to health and other funding, we must plan for what we need or there is absolutely no hope in getting it.



None of us are getting younger.....let us grow old together in a City that can pride itself on being a good place for all of us.

I am the sole author of this, and any errors are mine.

Thanks a lot,  
Teresa Palmer MD

**SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER**

835 MARKET ST, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103  
Telephone (415) 314-1835 / Fax (510) 743-4178

MAGGIE MUIR  
MUIR CONSULTING  
906 YORK STREET  
OAKLAND, CA - 94610

**PROOF OF PUBLICATION**

(2015.5 C.C.P.)

State of California )  
County of SAN FRANCISCO ) ss

Notice Type: GPN - GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE

Ad Description:

**TERM LIMITS FOR THE MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF THE**

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of California; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer and publisher of the SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER, a newspaper published in the English language in the city of SAN FRANCISCO, county of SAN FRANCISCO, and adjudged a newspaper of general circulation as defined by the laws of the State of California by the Superior Court of the County of SAN FRANCISCO, State of California, under date 10/18/1951, Case No. 410667. That the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

11/19/2017

Executed on: 11/20/2017  
At Los Angeles, California

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.



Signature



RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

This space for filing stamp only

2017 NOV 21 PM 1:13

BY *[Signature]*

*105-11  
CP/afk*

CNS #: 3073034

**Notice of Intent to Circulate Petition**  
Notice is hereby given by the persons whose names appear hereon of their intention to circulate the petition within the City and County of San Francisco ("City and County") for the purpose of qualifying for the ballot an initiative amending the Charter of the City and County to establish a reasonable, two-term lifetime limit for the offices of Mayor and member of the Board of Supervisors.  
Proponent's Name: Corey Smith  
Proponent's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date: 11/6/17

**Title and Summary**  
The City Attorney has prepared the following title and summary of the chief purpose and points of the proposed measure:

**TERM LIMITS FOR THE MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

The City's Charter limits the terms that persons serving as the Mayor and members of the Board of Supervisors ("Board") may be in office.

A full term as mayor is four years. A person may not serve as mayor for more than two consecutive terms. The Charter does not limit the non-consecutive terms that a person may serve as mayor.

A full term on the Board is four years. Board members may not serve more than two consecutive four-year terms. A Board member who serves two consecutive four-year terms may not serve on the Board again unless at least four years have passed since the end of the second term.

The proposed Charter amendment would prohibit any person from ever serving as mayor for more than two four-year terms. The proposed Charter amendment would apply to current and former mayors.

The proposed Charter amendment would also prohibit any person from ever serving on the Board for more than two four-year terms. This prohibition would apply to current and former Board members, with two exceptions.

The first exception is for any current Board member who: (1) served two consecutive terms on the Board; and (2) was re-elected to the Board before the adoption of this proposed Charter amendment. A current Board member who meets these conditions could serve the remainder of the four-year term and, if re-elected, serve an additional consecutive four-year term.

The second exception is for any person who: (1) served two consecutive terms on the Board more than four years ago; and (2) is elected to an additional term on the Board at the same election that this proposed Charter Amendment is on the ballot. A person who meets these conditions could serve on the Board only until a new Board member is elected at the next November election.  
11/19/17  
CNS-3073034#  
SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

10