FILE NO. 171223

MOTION NO.

1	[Affirming the Categorical Exemption Determination - 218-27th Avenue]
2	
3	Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that a proposed project
4	at 218-27th Avenue is categorically exempt from further environmental review.
5	
6	WHEREAS, On June 29, 2016, the Planning Department determined that the proposed
7	project at 218-27th Avenue ("Project") is exempt from environmental review under the
8	California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco
9	Administrative Code, Chapter 31; and
10	WHEREAS, The proposed Project involves demolition of the existing building on site
	and construction of a four-story 6,195-square-foot building containing three residential units
11	and three vehicle parking spaces, including approximately 150 cubic yards of excavation to a
12	depth of 3 feet; and
13	WHEREAS, On June 29, 2016, pursuant to Title 14 of the CEQA Guidelines (California
14	Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15300-15387), the Planning
15	Department determined that the Project is exempt from environmental review under Class 1 of
16	the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Reg. Section 15301), which provides an exemption for
17	minor alterations to existing facilities including demolition of up to three single-family
18	residences in urban areas, and Class 3 of the Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Reg. Section 15303),
19	which applies to new construction of small structures, including multi-family residential
20	structures in urban areas designed for not more than six dwelling units; and
21	WHEREAS, On November 13, 1017, an appeal of the categorical exemption was filed
22	by Robia Crisp of Hanson Bridgett LLC on behalf of Alex Bernstein and Sonia Daccarett
23	("Appellants"); and
24	
25	

WHEREAS, By memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated November 17, 2017, the
 Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer determined that the appeal was timely
 filed; and

WHEREAS, On December 12, 2017, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to
consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellants and, following the
public hearing, affirmed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board
reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the
appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before
the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to
the exemption determination appeal; and

WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors affirmed the exemption determination for the Project based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No.171222, and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set forth, the exemption determination; and, be it FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole record before it there are no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the

1	conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the
2	proposed Project is exempt from environmental review; and, be it
3	FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption
4	determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the
5	public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption
6	determination, this Board concludes that the Project qualifies for an exemption determination
7	under CEQA.
8	
9	
10	n:\land\as2017\0400241\01238449.docx
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	