File No. 171223	Committee Item No.	
	Board Item No. 3	

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST			
Committee:	Date:		
Board of Supervisors Meet			
Cmte Board			
Youth Commis Introduction F Department/A MOU Grant Informa Grant Budget Subcontract E Contract/Agree	egislative Analyst Report ssion Report Form gency Cover Letter and/or Report stion Form Budget eement thics Commission		
OTHER			
Prepared by: Brent Jalipa Prepared by:	Date: December 7, 2017 Date:		

[Affirming the Categorical Exemption Determination - 218-27th Avenue]

Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that a proposed project at 218-27th Avenue is categorically exempt from further environmental review.

WHEREAS, On June 29, 2016, the Planning Department determined that the proposed project at 218-27th Avenue ("Project") is exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 31; and

WHEREAS, The proposed Project involves demolition of the existing building on site and construction of a four-story 6,195-square-foot building containing three residential units and three vehicle parking spaces, including approximately 150 cubic yards of excavation to a depth of 3 feet; and

WHEREAS, On June 29, 2016, pursuant to Title 14 of the CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15300-15387), the Planning Department determined that the Project is exempt from environmental review under Class 1 of the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Reg. Section 15301), which provides an exemption for minor alterations to existing facilities including demolition of up to three single-family residences in urban areas, and Class 3 of the Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Reg. Section 15303), which applies to new construction of small structures, including multi-family residential structures in urban areas designed for not more than six dwelling units; and

WHEREAS, On November 13, 1017, an appeal of the categorical exemption was filed by Robia Crisp of Hanson Bridgett LLC on behalf of Alex Bernstein and Sonia Daccarett ("Appellants"); and

WHEREAS, By memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated November 17, 2017, the Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer determined that the appeal was timely filed; and

WHEREAS, On December 12, 2017, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellants and, following the public hearing, affirmed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal; and

WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors affirmed the exemption determination for the Project based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No.171222, and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set forth, the exemption determination; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole record before it there are no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the

conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the proposed Project is exempt from environmental review; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption determination, this Board concludes that the Project qualifies for an exemption determination under CEQA.

n:\land\as2017\0400241\01238449.docx

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS



Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	or meeting date
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Ar	nendment)
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	•
□ 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor	inquires"
☐ 5. City Attorney request.	
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	
☐ 10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on ☐	
Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the Small Business Commission Youth Commission Ethic Planning Commission Building Inspection Co Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imp	s Commission mmission
Sponsor(s):	
Clerk of the Board	·
Subject:	
Affirming the Categorical Exemption Determination - 218-27th Avenue	
The text is listed below or attached:	
Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed projec categorically exempt from further environmental review.	t at 218-27th Avenue is
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	ein
For Clerk's Use Only:	