# Appendix I <br> Project Area I Comprised of Sub-Project Areas I-1, I-2, I-3, I-4, I-5, I-6, I-7, I8, I-9, I-10, I-11, I-12, and I-13 (Mission Rock - Port of San Francisco) 


#### Abstract

This Appendix supplements and amends the main body of the Infrastructure Financing Plan (the "IFP") for City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure Financing District No. 2 (Port of San Francisco) (the "IFD") as it relates to Project Area I ("Project Area I"), which is comprised of Sub-Project Areas I-1,I-2, I-3, I-4, I-5, I-6, I-7, I-8, I-9, I-10, I-11, I-12, and I-13 (each a "SubProject Area" and collectively, the "Sub-Project Areas"). This Appendix constitutes the Infrastructure Financing Plan for Sub-Project Areas in accordance with the provisions of Section $53395.8(g)$ of Chapter 2.8 of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the California Government Code, commencing with Section 53395 of the California Government Code (the "IFD Law"). In the event of any inconsistency between the main body of the IFP and this Appendix, the provisions of this Appendix shall govern with respect to the Sub-Project Areas.


Background: The City, acting by and through the Port Commission (the "Port"), and Seawall Lot 337 Associates, LLC ("Developer") anticipate entering into a Disposition and Development Agreement (the "DDA"), including a Financing Plan, which will govern the disposition and development of the Seawall 337 and Pier 48 and provide for the financing of certain capital facilities and public services related to the proposed project. The Project, known as "Mission Rock" (the "Project") is anticipated to be comprised of the following components:

- Over 8 acres of parks and open space;
- 1,327 rental residential apartments, of which $40 \%$ will be affordable to households earning less than 150\% of the Area Median Income;
- 1.7 million square feet of office, retail, and production space; and
- Approximately one million square feet of structured parking.

The cost of public parks, plazas, utilities, open space, and streets to serve the Project is anticipated to total approximately $\$ 191$ million in current 2017 dollars. It is currently expected that these public improvements will be built by the Mission Rock developer and then transferred to public ownership. Tax increment revenues to be allocated to the IFD from the Sub-Project Areas will be used to acquire and construct the public improvements, and will also fund additional public improvements within the boundaries of the IFD.

The Project is anticipated to be constructed in Phases, as follows:

- Phase 1 - Sub-Project Area 1 (Block A), Sub-Project Area 2 Block B), Sub-Project Area 7 (Block G), Sub-Project Area 11 (Block K)
- Phase 2 - Sub-Project Area 3 (Block C), Sub-Project Area 4 (Block D)
- Phase 3 - Sub-Project Area 5 (Block E), Sub-Project Area 6 (Block F), Sub-Project Area 13 (Mission Rock Square)
- Phase 4 - Sub-Project Area 8 (Block H), Sub-Project Area 9 (Block I), Sub-Project Area 10 (Block J), Sub-Project Area 12 (Pier 48)

Port as agent of the IFD with respect to the Sub-Project Areas: The Board of Supervisors has appointed the City, acting by and through Port, as the agent of the IFD to implement this Appendix.

Boundaries and legal descriptions of Sub-Project Areas: The boundaries of the Sub-Project Areas are described in the maps attached to this Appendix as Attachment 1. The legal descriptions of the Sub-Project Areas are also attached to this Appendix as Attachment 1. The Sub-Project Areas do not initially correspond to the boundaries of assessor parcels. Tax increment will not be allocated to the IFD from a Sub-Project Area until assessor parcels for the development parcels within the Sub-Project Areas have been created.

Waterfront District: Each of the Sub-Project Areas is a "waterfront district," as defined in Section 53395.8(c)(17) of the IFD Law. This Appendix includes an infrastructure financing plan for the Sub-Project Areas in accordance with the requirements of Section 53395.8(g)(3).

Other initially-capitalized terms used, but not defined in this Appendix, have the meanings ascribed to them in the IFD Law or the IFP.

Future Amendments of this Infrastructure Financing Plan. The Board of Supervisors reserves the right, and nothing in this Appendix or the IFP limits the ability of the Board of Supervisors, to update or amend this Infrastructure Financing Plan in accordance with and subject to applicable law. In addition, and in furtherance of the foregoing, the Board reserves the right to amend this Appendix and the IFP by ordinance, and without any public hearing or vote of the registered voters or landowners in the Sub-Project Areas or other proceedings, for the following purposes:
a. to extend the effective date of this Appendix and the period for allocation of tax increment from the Sub-Project Areas to the IFD, if the IFD Law is amended to allow a longer period;
b. to allocate to the IFD from the Sub-Project Areas any portion of the ad valorem property tax revenue that has not been allocated to the IFD prior to the date of the amendment of this Appendix, if the IFD Law is amended to permit such an allocation;
c. to increase the maximum amount of bonded indebtedness and other debt for the SubProject Areas based on the increased period of tax increment allocation described in the preceding clause (a) or the increased allocation of tax increment described in the preceding clause (b);
d. to adopt any alternative amendment or annexation procedure with respect to the SubProject Areas that is permitted by an amendment to the IFD Law; and
e. to amend the list of Facilities as long as the Board finds that the resulting Facilities are permitted by the IFD Law, will serve the development in the Sub-Project Areas and are of communitywide significance.

## A. Base Year; Commencement of Tax Increment Allocation

The "Base Year" for each of the Sub-Project Areas is the fiscal year in which the assessed value of taxable property in such Sub-Project Area was last equalized prior to the effective date of the ordinance adopted to create the Sub-Project Areas or a subsequent fiscal year. The Base Year for each Sub-Project Area is FY 2017-2018.

Tax increment may begin to be allocated to the IFD from each Sub-Project Area beginning in the fiscal year following the Base Year, provided that no tax increment will be allocated to the IFD from a Sub-Project Area until (i) assessor parcels for the development parcels within the Sub-Project Area have been created and (ii) the amount of increment available to be allocated from the Sub-Project Area in the fiscal year is equal to at least $\$ 100,000$.

## B. Allocation of Tax Increment

1. The annual allocation of tax increment generated in each of the Sub-Project Areas to the IFD for purposes of Section 53396(b) of the IFD Law will be the amount appropriated in each fiscal year by the Board of Supervisors for deposit in the respective special fund established for each Sub-Project Area.
2. The Board of Supervisors will appropriate 100 percent of the "Allocated Tax Increment" (as defined below) for allocation to the IFD until the IFD repays all debt (as defined in the IFD Law) from Allocated Tax Increment to fund the capital facilities authorized by Section 53395.8(d) and listed in Exhibit I-1 of this Appendix (the "Facilities"). The financing of the Facilities satisfies the "waterfront set-aside" requirement set forth in Section 53395.8(g)(3)(C)(ii) of the IFD Law.
3. In order for the Facilities to be developed concurrently with the Project, and because there will be some lag time between the construction of the Facilities and availability of Allocated Tax Increment, multiple sources of funding will be needed to pay for the Facilities, and such sources, to the extent repaid by the IFD with Allocated Tax Increment from the Sub-Project Areas, will constitute secured debt of the Sub-Project Areas.

- Funds ("Developer Capital") to be advanced by the Developer;
- Funds to be advanced by the Port as either direct Port capital or advances of land proceeds;
- Proceeds from bonds that would be issued by the IFD and/or a Community Facilities District ("CFD") that would be established by the City to include all or a portion of the property in the Sub-Project Areas.

In addition, the Port, as the agency of the IFD, may use Allocated Tax Increment to pay directly for Facilities costs. The financial obligation of the IFD to fund Facilities costs with Allocated Tax Increment from each of the Sub-Project Areas is a debt of each of the Sub-Project Areas and will be reflected in the annual Statement of Indebtedness required by the IFD Law.
4. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the allocation made by the Board of Supervisors in this Appendix shall be the following:
(A) The Board of Supervisors hereby irrevocably allocates all of the "City Share of Tax Increment" (as defined below) from the Sub-Project Areas to the IFD to the extent that the City Share of Tax Increment is necessary to repay bonds, notes or related agreements or to meet contractual obligations that the IFD or the Port is obligated to satisfy with Allocated Tax Increment (including the DDA), in each case to the extent such bonds, notes, agreements or obligations have been approved by the Board of Supervisors.
(B) The Board of Supervisors retains the discretion to make annual appropriations for the allocation of City Share of Tax Increment from the Sub-Project Areas to the IFD to pay for debt that is not described in the preceding clause (A), including the financial obligation to fund Facilities costs from annual deposits of Allocated Tax Increment.
5. For purposes of this Appendix, the following capitalized terms are defined as follows:
"Gross Tax Increment" is, for each of the Sub-Project Areas, 100\% of the revenue produced by the application of the $1 \%$ ad valorem tax rate to the Incremental Assessed Property Value of property within each Sub-Project Area;
"Incremental Assessed Property Value" is, in any year, for each Sub-Project Area, the difference between the assessed value of the property within such Sub-Project Area for that fiscal year and the assessed value of the property within such Sub-Project Area in the Base Year, to the extent that the difference is a positive number;
"City Share of Tax Increment" is $\mathbf{6 4 . 5 8 8 2 0 6 \%}$ of Gross Tax Increment.
"Allocated Tax Increment" is, for each of the Sub-Project Areas, the City Share of Tax Increment.
"CFD" is a Mello-Roos community facilities district formed over the Project.

## C. Maximum Portion of Tax Increment Revenue of San Francisco and Affected Taxing Agencies to be Committed to the Sub-Project Areas

$100 \%$ of the City Share of Tax Increment shall be allocated to the IFD from each of the SubProject Areas.

None of the incremental tax revenue of the local educational agencies in the boundaries of the Sub-Project Areas will be allocated to the IFD.

## D. Projection of Tax Increment Revenue to the Sub-Project Areas

The financing section for a Sub Project Area must include a projection of the amount of tax increment expected to be allocated to the IFD from the Sub-Project Area assuming an allocation period for such Sub-Project Area of 45 fiscal years after the fiscal year in which the City projects that the IFD will have received $\$ 100,000$ of tax increment from such SubProject Area under the IFD Law.

The projection of Allocated Tax Increment from the Sub-Project Areas to be allocated to the IFD is attached in the following Riders:

| Sub-Project Area | Rider |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sub-Project Area I-1 | $\# 1$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-2 | $\# 2$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-3 | $\# 3$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-4 | $\# 4$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-5 | $\# 5$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-6 | $\# 6$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-7 | $\# 7$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-8 | $\# 8$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-9 | $\# 9$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-10 | $\# 10$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-11 | $\# 11$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-12 | $\# 12$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-13 | $\# 13$ |

E. Tax Increment Limit

The financing section must include a limit on the total number of dollars of tax increment that may be allocated to the IFD pursuant to the IFP, subject to amendment of the IFP.

The initial tax increment limit for each Sub-Project Area is listed below. The total limit on the property tax increment that can be allocated to the IFD from the Sub-Project Areas over their 45 -year terms is $\$ 3.85$ billion. These limits reflect projected total property tax increment plus a contingency factor of approximately 200 percent to account for variables such as higher assessed values of taxable property due to resales. And, the limit for Sub-Project Area 13 reflects the assumption that subterranean parking is built on the Mission Square block.

| Sub-Project Area | Tax Increment Limit |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sub-Project Area I-1 | $\$ 370,000,000$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-2 | $\$ 236,000,000$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-3 | $\$ 384,000,000$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-4 | $\$ 829,000,000$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-5 | $\$ 170,000,000$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-6 | $\$ 411,000,000$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-7 | $\$ 266,000,000$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-8 | $\$ 182,000,000$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-9 | $\$ 280,000,000$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-10 | $\$ 204,000,000$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-11 | $\$ 130,000,000$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-12 | $\$ 240,000,000$ |
| Sub-Project Area I-13 | $\$ 143,000,000$ |

## F. 20\% Waterfront Set-Aside Requirement for Waterfront Districts

Pursuant to Section 53395.8(g)(3)(C)(ii) of the IFD Law, 20\% of the Allocated Tax Increment received by the IFD as a whole ("Set-Aside") must be set aside to be expended solely on shoreline restoration, removal of bay fill, or waterfront public access to or environmental remediation of the San Francisco waterfront ("Authorized Set-Aside Uses"). The IFD Law allows the Set-Aside Requirement to apply on a Project Area wide basis (entire Project Area I) rather than on a Sub-Project Area basis.

On a cumulative basis, it is estimated that approximately $37.5 \%$ of the aggregate Allocated Tax Increment to the IFD from the Sub-Project Areas will be used for Authorized Set-Aside Uses.

## G. Time Limits

The financing section must include the following time limits for each Sub-Project Area:

1. A date on which the effectiveness of the infrastructure financing plan and all tax increment allocations to the Sub-Project Area will end, not to exceed 45 years from the date the IFD actually received $\$ 100,000$ in incremental tax revenues from the SubProject Area under the IFD Law;
2. A time limit on the IFD's authority to repay indebtedness with incremental tax revenues received in the Sub-Project Area under the IFD Law, not to exceed 45 years from the date the IFD actually received $\$ 100,000$ in incremental tax revenues from the SubProject Area under the IFD Law;

For Sub-Project Areas I-1 through I-12, the following are the applicable time limits:

- Date on which the effectiveness of the infrastructure financing plan with respect to the Sub-Project Area and all tax increment allocations to the Sub-Project Area will end: for each Sub-Project Area, the final day of the $45^{\text {th }}$ fiscal year after the fiscal year in which the IFD actually receives $\$ 100,000$ of Allocated Tax Increment from such Sub-Project Area under the IFD Law.
- Date after which the IFD may no longer repay indebtedness with incremental tax revenues received under the IFD Law from the Sub-Project Area: for each SubProject Area, the final day of the $45^{\text {th }}$ fiscal year after the fiscal year in which the IFD actually receives $\$ 100,000$ of Allocated Tax Increment from such SubProject Area under the IFD Law.

Sub-Project Area I-13 (Mission Square) is anticipated to be developed with tax-exempt public facilities. Therefore, it is not anticipated to generate tax increment. However, the Developer may elect to build up to 700 subterranean spaces at Mission Square. Given this potential but not anticipated scenario, the applicable time limits for Sub-project Area I-13 are as follows:

- Date on which the effectiveness of the infrastructure financing plan with respect to Sub-Project Area I-13 and all tax increment allocations to Sub-Project Area I-13 will end: the final day of the $45^{\text {th }}$ fiscal year after the fiscal year in which the IFD actually receives $\$ 100,000$ of Allocated Tax Increment from Sub-Project Area I13 under the IFD Law or June 30, 2073, whichever occurs first.
- Date after which the IFD may no longer repay indebtedness with incremental tax revenues received under the IFD Law from Sub-Project Area I-13: the final day of the $45^{\text {th }}$ fiscal year after the fiscal year in which the IFD actually receives \$100,000 of Allocated Tax Increment from Sub-Project Area l-13 under the IFD Law or June 30, 2073, whichever occurs first.


## H. Description of Public Facilities

The IFD Law requires an infrastructure financing plan to contain the following information with respect to each of the Sub-Project Areas:

1. Public facilities to be provided by the private sector.

In accordance with the terms of the DDA, the Developer and/or private vertical developers are obligated to provide the Project's public facilities identified in Exhibit l-1, excluding the Seawall and Port-wide sea level rise facilities to be built by the Port. The facilities will be partially initially funded by Developer capital, which will be repaid by IFD tax increment and CFD special taxes and/or bond proceeds supported by IFD tax increment and/or CFD special taxes.
2. Public facilities to be provided by governmental entities without assistance under the IFD Law.

In addition to issuing bonds that may be payable from CFD special taxes and Allocated Tax Increment (as described in this Appendix), the Port intends to levy two or more special taxes and/or issue bonds secured by such special taxes on some or all of the Project through the CFD to fund improvements that will not be funded by the IFD ("CFDonly Financing").
3. Public facilities to be financed with assistance from the Sub-Project Areas.

The Facilities that will be funded with Allocated Tax Increment from the Sub-Project Areas are listed in Exhibit I-1.

| Exhibit l-1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Facilities to be Funded by IFD | Target Timing | Estimated Cost (2017 \$) |
| Entitlement Phase Costs related to Facilities | 2012-2018 | \$25,000,000 |
| Location: Phase 1 - Sub-Project Areas I-1, I-2, I-7, I-11 |  |  |
| Demo, Grading \& Compaction, Building Pads, Piles | 2018-2025 | \$6,070,000 |
| Streets, Streetscape and Stone Columns | 2021-2025 | \$31,380,000 |
| Parks and Open Space | 2021-2025 | \$14,010,000 |
| Soft Costs and Contingency related to Facilities | 2018-2025 | \$29,740,000 |
| Subtotal - Phase 1 | 2018-2025 | \$81,200,000 |
| Location: Phase 2 - Sub-Project Areas I-3, and I-4 |  |  |
| Demo, Grading \& Compaction, Building Pads, Piles | 2019-2025 | \$8,160,000 |
| Streets, Streetscape and Stone Columns | 2021-2025 | \$17,060,000 |
| Parks and Open Space | 2021-2025 | \$0 |
| Soft Costs and Contingency | 2019-2025 | \$14,580,000 |
| Subtotal - Phase 2 | 2019-2025 | \$39,800,000 |
| Location: Phase 3 - Sub-Project Areas I-5, I-6, and I-13 |  |  |
| Demo, Grading \& Compaction, Building Pads, Piles | 2019-2026 | \$640,000 |
| Streets, Streetscape and Stone Columns | 2022-2026 | \$5,700,000 |
| Parks and Open Space | 2022-2026 | \$7,260,000 |
| Soft Costs and Contingency related to Facilities | 2019-2026 | \$8,070,000 |
| Subtotal - Phase 3 | 2019-2026 | \$21,670,000 |
| Location: Phase 4 - Sub-Project Areas I-8, I-9, I-10, and I-12 |  |  |
| Demo, Grading \& Compaction, Building Pads, Piles | 2023-2029 | \$460,000 |
| Streets, Streetscape and Stone Columns | 2025-2029 | \$10,840,000 |
| Parks and Open Space | 2025-2029 | \$3,200,000 |
| Soft Costs and Contingency related to Facilities | 2023-2029 | \$8,820,000 |
| Subtotal - Phase 4 | 2023-2029 | \$23,320,000 |
| Estimated Cost, Facilities | NA | \$190,990,000 |
| Location: Historic Rehabilitation, Seawall, Sea Level Rise Portwide |  |  |
| Pier 48 Sub- \& Super-structure | 2025-2029 | \$90,400,000 |
| Seawall \& Sea Level Rise, Port-wide | Throughout IFD Term | Not available |

Pursuant to Attachment 2: "Guidelines for Establishment and Use of an Infrastructure Financing District (IFD) with Project Areas on Land under the Jurisdiction of the San Francisco Port Commission", which were adopted by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Resolution No. 123-13 on April 23, 2013, excess tax increment not required to fund horizontal improvements in the Project Areas will be allocated to either (a) the City's General Fund, (b) funding improvements to the City's seawall, or (c) protecting the City against sea level rise, as allowed by State law. Accordingly, the Port plans to allocate any excess tax increment not required to fund the Phase 1-Phase 4 horizontal improvements listed in Exhibit I-1 to protecting the City against sea level rise.
4. Public facilities to be provided jointly by the private sector and governmental entities

There are no public facilities that will be jointly provided by the private and governmental entities.

## I. Projected Sources of Financing for the Public Facilities

The financing section must include the projected sources of financing for the Facilities, including debt to be repaid with Allocated Tax Increment, projected revenues from future leases, sales, or other transfers of any interest in land within the Sub-Project Areas and any other legally available sources of funds.

The financing plan is presented in Exhibit I-2. As shown, it is anticipated that the Facilities will be financed with a combination of Allocated Tax Increment from the Sub-Project Areas, used on a pay-go basis, CFD and/or IFD bond proceeds, capital to be advanced by the Developer (to be repaid by the IFD with Allocated Tax Increment from the Sub-Project Areas and/or CFD revenues), and advances of land proceeds (to be repaid by the IFD with Allocated Tax Increment and/or CFD revenues from the Sub-Project Areas). At this time, it is contemplated that CFD and/or IFD bonds will be issued. In the case of CFD bonds, Allocated Tax Increment may be used to pay debt service. In the case of IFD bonds, Allocated Tax Increment will be used to pay debt service. The type of bond to be issued will be determined based on market conditions approaching the time of issuance.

The Port intends to levy a "shoreline special tax" on all development in the Project to finance shoreline improvements. All of the Shoreline Special Taxes from Phase 1 are anticipated to be used to protect the Project site from sea level rise. Shoreline special taxes from subsequent phases will be used within the Port-wide IFD, including the Project site. Additionally, it is anticipated that the Port will advance capital to finance facilities (to be repaid by the IFD with Allocated Tax Increment and/or CFD revenues from the Sub-Project Areas). The Financing Plan allows Port advances in the form pre-paid ground lease revenue or other discretionary Port sources. Current project modeling only contemplates Port advances in the form of pre-paid ground lease revenue.

The amounts shown in Exhibit I-2 include the City Share of Tax Increment that will be allocated to the IFD from the Sub-Project Areas to pay for Facilities on a pay-go basis pursuant to Government Code Section 53395.2. A cash flow projection of annual sources and uses of funds is provided in Attachment 3. As described elsewhere in this Appendix, for each Sub-Project Area, the obligation of the IFD to use Allocated Tax Increment from each Sub-Project Area to pay for the Facilities under this Appendix constitutes a debt (as defined in the IFD Law) and shall be payable from Allocated Tax Increment from the applicable SubProject Area through the period ending on the final day of the $45^{\text {th }}$ fiscal year after the fiscal
year in which the IFD actually receives $\$ 100,000$ of Allocated Tax Increment from the applicable Sub-Project Area.

| Exhibit l-2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Sources and Uses of Funds Excluding Bond Debt Service |  |  |
|  | Dollars (millions) |  |
| Anticipated Sources and Uses | 2017 | Nominal Total |
| Project Sources |  |  |
| Horizontal Sponsor Capital Contribution | \$193.3 | \$217.6 |
| Port's Advance of Land Proceeds | \$63.1 | \$67.0 |
| CFD |  |  |
| Net Bond Proceeds | \$61.2 | \$73.7 |
| CFD Pay Go | \$84.0 | \$257.2 |
| Tax Increment |  |  |
| Net IFD Bond Proceeds | \$109.3 | \$143.2 |
| IFD Pay Go | \$186.7 | \$563.7 |
| Total Sources | \$697.6 | \$1,322.4 |
| Project Uses |  |  |
| Entitlement Costs | \$25.0 | \$25.0 |
| Hard and Soft IFD Facility Costs | \$203.3 | \$300.6 |
| Preferred Return to Horizontal Sponsor | \$88.3 | \$111.4 |
| Reimbursement of Horizontal Sponsor Capital | \$180.0 | \$217.6 |
| Repayment of Port's Advance of Land Proceeds | \$71.9 | \$171.1 |
| Seawall \& Sea Level Rise, Port-Wide Improvements | \$129.2 | \$496.7 |
| Total Uses | \$697.6 | \$1,322.4 |

*All Numbers are in millions of dollars

This Appendix does not project the anticipated costs of administering the IFD, but the Port, as agent of the IFD, expects to pay the costs of administering the IFD with Allocated Tax Increment from the Sub-Project Areas.

## J. Accounting Procedures

The IFD will maintain accounting procedures for each of the Sub-Project Areas in accordance, and otherwise comply, with Section 6306 of Public Resources Code for the term of this Appendix.

## K. Cost and Revenue Analysis

The financing section must include an analysis of: (a) the costs to the City's General Fund for providing facilities and services to the Sub-Project Areas while they are being developed and
after they are developed and (b) the taxes, fees, charges, and other revenues expected to be received by the City's General Fund as a result of expected development in Project Area I.

1. Costs to the City's General Fund for providing facilities and services to the Sub-Project Areas, while they are being developed and after they are developed.

Estimates of costs to the City's General Fund for providing facilities and services to the Sub-Project Areas, while they are being developed and after they are developed are detailed in Attachment 4: "Assessment of Fiscal Impacts to the City and County of San Francisco - Mission Rock - Port of San Francisco (Project Area I of Infrastructure Financing District No. 2)" and summarized in the following Exhibit I-3. As shown, upon build-out the annual cost to the City's General Fund to provide services to the thirteen Sub-Project Areas is estimated to be approximately $\$ 6.4$ million (2017\$). Service costs during the construction period are estimated to range from $\$ 2.6$ million to $\$ 6.3$ million (2017\$). General Fund costs are comprised of marginal costs to the following departments: police, fire, community health, human welfare, recreation, general administration, and finance. The cost of maintaining and operating the Project's parks, plazas, open spaces, and public right of way will not be funded by the General Fund. These costs will be funded by a CFD services tax and/or other exactions on property owners.

Exhibit I-3: Annual Cost to City's General Fund

| General Fund <br> Expenditures | Low-Year Operating Costs <br> during Construction <br> FY 2021/22 | High-Year Operating Costs <br> during Construction <br> FY 2025/26 | Stabilized Year <br> FY 2028/29 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ 2017$ millions | $\$ 2017$ millions | $\$ 2017$ millions |
| Total | $\$ 2.6$ | $\$ 6.3$ | $\$ 6.4$ |

2. Taxes, fees, charges and other revenues expected to be received by the City's General Fund as a result of expected development in the Sub-Project Areas.

Taxes, fees, charges and other revenues expected to be received by the City's General Fund as a result of expected development in the Sub-Project Areas are detailed in Attachment 4 and summarized in the following Exhibit l-4. As shown, upon stabilization, the Project is anticipated to generate annually $\$ 12.1$ million (2017\$) of new revenue to the City's General Fund.

Under current City policies, approximately 20\% of aggregate discretionary revenues (ADR) are transferred from the General Fund to the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), Library Preservation and Children's Services Funds.

As shown in Exhibit I-5, it is estimated that the Project will annually generate a net fiscal surplus to City's General Fund of \$5.7 million per year (2017\$), before ADR transfers
and $\$ 3.1$ million after ADR transfers. The Project is anticipated to generate an annual fiscal surplus during each and every year, from construction through the anticipated termination of the IFD in FY 2072/73.

| Exhibit I-4: Recurring General Fund Revenues, Before ADR Transfers |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund Revenues | Stabilized Year FY 2028/29 |  |
| Recurring Revenues | $\$ 2017$ millions | \$nominal millions |
| Total | $\$ 12.1$ | $\$ 16.8$ |

Exhibit l-5: Net General Fund Impact

|  | Annual Impacts Upon Build-out / <br> Stabilization (FY 2028/29) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 2017$ millions | \$ nominal millions |
| Impacts Before ADR Transfers | $\$ 12.1$ | $\$ 16.8$ |
| Revenues | $(\$ 6.4)$ | $(\$ 8.8)$ |
| Expenditures | $\$ 5.7$ | $\$ 8.0$ |
| Net Surplus (Expense) | $\$ 9.5$ | $\$ 13.1$ |
| Impacts After ADR Transfers | $(\$ 6.4)$ | $(\$ 8.8)$ |
| Revenues | $\$ 3.1$ | $\$ 4.3$ |
| Expenditures |  |  |
| Net Surplus (Expense) |  |  |

## Appendix l-1

Rider \#1
Projection of Allocated Tax Increment, Sub-Project Area l-1 (Block A, Mission Rock - SWL 337 \& Pier 48)

|  | Fiscal Year | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 |
| 1* | 2021-22 | \$115,000 |
| 2 | 2022-23 | \$118,000 |
| 3 | 2023-24 | \$1,858,000 |
| 4 | 2024-25 | \$1,895,000 |
| 5 | 2025-26 | \$1,933,000 |
| 6 | 2026-27 | \$1,972,000 |
| 7 | 2027-28 | \$2,012,000 |
| 8 | 2028-29 | \$2,053,000 |
| 9 | 2029-30 | \$2,094,000 |
| 10 | 2030-31 | \$2,136,000 |
| 11 | 2031-32 | \$2,179,000 |
| 12 | 2032-33 | \$2,223,000 |
| 13 | 2033-34 | \$2,268,000 |
| 14 | 2034-35 | \$2,313,000 |
| 15 | 2035-36 | \$2,360,000 |
| 16 | 2036-37 | \$2,407,000 |
| 17 | 2037-38 | \$2,456,000 |
| 18 | 2038-39 | \$2,505,000 |
| 19 | 2039-40 | \$2,556,000 |
| 20 | 2040-41 | \$2,607,000 |
| 21 | 2041-42 | \$2,660,000 |
| 22 | 2042-43 | \$2,713,000 |
| 23 | 2043-44 | \$2,768,000 |
| 24 | 2044-45 | \$2,823,000 |
| 25 | 2045-46 | \$2,880,000 |
| 26 | 2046-47 | \$2,938,000 |
| 27 | 2047-48 | \$2,997,000 |
| 28 | 2048-49 | \$3,057,000 |
| 29 | 2049-50 | \$3,119,000 |
| 30 | 2050-51 | \$3,181,000 |
| 31 | 2051-52 | \$3,245,000 |
| 32 | 2052-53 | \$3,310,000 |
| 33 | 2053-54 | \$3,377,000 |
| 34 | 2054-55 | \$3,445,000 |
| 35 | 2055-56 | \$3,514,000 |
| 36 | 2056-57 | \$3,585,000 |
| 37 | 2057-58 | \$3,657,000 |
| 38 | 2058-59 | \$3,730,000 |
| 39 | 2059-60 | \$3,805,000 |
| 40 | 2060-61 | \$3,881,000 |
| 41 | 2061-62 | \$3,959,000 |
| 42 | 2062-63 | \$4,039,000 |
| 43 | 2063-64 | \$4,120,000 |
| 44 | 2064-65 | \$4,202,000 |
| 45 | 2065-66 | \$4,287,000 |
|  | Nominal TOTAL 2017 (3\% discount) | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 125,000,000 \\ & \$ 55,000,000 \end{aligned}$ |

*For purposes of illustration only. The actual commencement date for Allocated Tax Increment in Sub-Project Area I-1 will be the fiscal year in which the IFD actually receives $\$ 100,000$ of Allocated Tax Increment from Sub Project Area I-1 under the IFD Law.

## Appendix l-2

Rider \#2

## Projection of Allocated Tax Increment, Sub-Project Area I-2 (Block B, Mission Rock - SWL 337 \& Pier 48)

|  | Fiscal Year | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 |
| 1* | 2021-22 | \$116,000 |
| 2 | 2022-23 | \$118,000 |
| 3 | 2023-24 | \$1,184,000 |
| 4 | 2024-25 | \$1,208,000 |
| 5 | 2025-26 | \$1,232,000 |
| 6 | 2026-27 | \$1,257,000 |
| 7 | 2027-28 | \$1,282,000 |
| 8 | 2028-29 | \$1,308,000 |
| 9 | 2029-30 | \$1,335,000 |
| 10 | 2030-31 | \$1,361,000 |
| 11 | 2031-32 | \$1,389,000 |
| 12 | 2032-33 | \$1,417,000 |
| 13 | 2033-34 | \$1,445,000 |
| 14 | 2034-35 | \$1,474,000 |
| 15 | 2035-36 | \$1,504,000 |
| 16 | 2036-37 | \$1,534,000 |
| 17 | 2037-38 | \$1,565,000 |
| 18 | 2038-39 | \$1,597,000 |
| 19 | 2039-40 | \$1,629,000 |
| 20 | 2040-41 | \$1,662,000 |
| 21 | 2041-42 | \$1,695,000 |
| 22 | 2042-43 | \$1,729,000 |
| 23 | 2043-44 | \$1,764,000 |
| 24 | 2044-45 | \$1,799,000 |
| 25 | 2045-46 | \$1,836,000 |
| 26 | 2046-47 | \$1,873,000 |
| 27 | 2047-48 | \$1,910,000 |
| 28 | 2048-49 | \$1,949,000 |
| 29 | 2049-50 | \$1,988,000 |
| 30 | 2050-51 | \$2,028,000 |
| 31 | 2051-52 | \$2,069,000 |
| 32 | 2052-53 | \$2,110,000 |
| 33 | 2053-54 | \$2,152,000 |
| 34 | 2054-55 | \$2,196,000 |
| 35 | 2055-56 | \$2,240,000 |
| 36 | 2056-57 | \$2,285,000 |
| 37 | 2057-58 | \$2,331,000 |
| 38 | 2058-59 | \$2,378,000 |
| 39 | 2059-60 | \$2,425,000 |
| 40 | 2060-61 | \$2,474,000 |
| 41 | 2061-62 | \$2,524,000 |
| 42 | 2062-63 | \$2,574,000 |
| 43 | 2063-64 | \$2,626,000 |
| 44 | 2064-65 | \$2,679,000 |
| 45 | 2065-66 | \$2,732,000 |
|  | Nominal TOTAL 2017 (3\% discount) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 80,000,000 \\ & \$ 35,000,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

*For purposes of illustration only. The actual commencement date for Allocated Tax Increment in Sub-Project Area I-2 will be the fiscal year in which the IFD actually receives $\$ 100,000$ of Allocated Tax Increment from Sub Project Area I-2 under the IFD Law.

## Appendix l-3

Rider \#3
Projection of Allocated Tax Increment, Sub-Project Area I-3 (Block C, Mission Rock - SWL 337 \& Pier 48)

|  | Fiscal Year | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 |
| 1* | 2023-24 | \$161,000 |
| 2 | 2024-25 | \$164,000 |
| 3 | 2025-26 | \$1,631,000 |
| 4 | 2026-27 | \$1,664,000 |
| 5 | 2027-28 | \$1,697,000 |
| 6 | 2028-29 | \$1,731,000 |
| 7 | 2029-30 | \$1,766,000 |
| 8 | 2030-31 | \$1,802,000 |
| 9 | 2031-32 | \$1,838,000 |
| 10 | 2032-33 | \$1,875,000 |
| 11 | 2033-34 | \$1,913,000 |
| 12 | 2034-35 | \$1,951,000 |
| 13 | 2035-36 | \$1,991,000 |
| 14 | 2036-37 | \$2,031,000 |
| 15 | 2037-38 | \$2,072,000 |
| 16 | 2038-39 | \$2,113,000 |
| 17 | 2039-40 | \$2,156,000 |
| 18 | 2040-41 | \$2,199,000 |
| 19 | 2041-42 | \$2,243,000 |
| 20 | 2042-43 | \$2,289,000 |
| 21 | 2043-44 | \$2,335,000 |
| 22 | 2044-45 | \$2,382,000 |
| 23 | 2045-46 | \$2,429,000 |
| 24 | 2046-47 | \$2,478,000 |
| 25 | 2047-48 | \$2,528,000 |
| 26 | 2048-49 | \$2,579,000 |
| 27 | 2049-50 | \$2,631,000 |
| 28 | 2050-51 | \$2,684,000 |
| 29 | 2051-52 | \$2,737,000 |
| 30 | 2052-53 | \$2,792,000 |
| 31 | 2053-54 | \$2,849,000 |
| 32 | 2054-55 | \$2,906,000 |
| 33 | 2055-56 | \$2,964,000 |
| 34 | 2056-57 | \$3,024,000 |
| 35 | 2057-58 | \$3,084,000 |
| 36 | 2058-59 | \$3,146,000 |
| 37 | 2059-60 | \$3,210,000 |
| 38 | 2060-61 | \$3,274,000 |
| 39 | 2061-62 | \$3,340,000 |
| 40 | 2062-63 | \$3,407,000 |
| 41 | 2063-64 | \$3,475,000 |
| 42 | 2064-65 | \$3,545,000 |
| 43 | 2065-66 | \$3,616,000 |
| 44 | 2066-67 | \$3,689,000 |
| 45 | 2067-68 | \$3,763,000 |
|  | Nominal TOTAL 2017 (3\% discount) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 110,000,000 \\ & \$ 46,000,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

*For purposes of illustration only. The actual commencement date for Allocated Tax Increment in Sub-Project Area I-3 will be the fiscal year in which the IFD actually receives $\$ 100,000$ of Allocated Tax Increment from Sub Project Area I-3 under the IFD Law.

Appendix l-4
Rider \#4
Projection of Allocated Tax Increment, Sub-Project Area I-4
(Block D, Mission Rock - SWL 337 \& Pier 48)

|  | Fiscal Year | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 |
| 1* | 2025-26 | \$3,513,000 |
| 2 | 2026-27 | \$3,583,000 |
| 3 | 2027-28 | \$3,655,000 |
| 4 | 2028-29 | \$3,728,000 |
| 5 | 2029-30 | \$3,803,000 |
| 6 | 2030-31 | \$3,879,000 |
| 7 | 2031-32 | \$3,957,000 |
| 8 | 2032-33 | \$4,036,000 |
| 9 | 2033-34 | \$4,117,000 |
| 10 | 2034-35 | \$4,200,000 |
| 11 | 2035-36 | \$4,284,000 |
| 12 | 2036-37 | \$4,370,000 |
| 13 | 2037-38 | \$4,457,000 |
| 14 | 2038-39 | \$4,547,000 |
| 15 | 2039-40 | \$4,638,000 |
| 16 | 2040-41 | \$4,731,000 |
| 17 | 2041-42 | \$4,825,000 |
| 18 | 2042-43 | \$4,922,000 |
| 19 | 2043-44 | \$5,021,000 |
| 20 | 2044-45 | \$5,121,000 |
| 21 | 2045-46 | \$5,224,000 |
| 22 | 2046-47 | \$5,329,000 |
| 23 | 2047-48 | \$5,435,000 |
| 24 | 2048-49 | \$5,544,000 |
| 25 | 2049-50 | \$5,655,000 |
| 26 | 2050-51 | \$5,769,000 |
| 27 | 2051-52 | \$5,884,000 |
| 28 | 2052-53 | \$6,002,000 |
| 29 | 2053-54 | \$6,122,000 |
| 30 | 2054-55 | \$6,245,000 |
| 31 | 2055-56 | \$6,370,000 |
| 32 | 2056-57 | \$6,497,000 |
| 33 | 2057-58 | \$6,628,000 |
| 34 | 2058-59 | \$6,760,000 |
| 35 | 2059-60 | \$6,896,000 |
| 36 | 2060-61 | \$7,034,000 |
| 37 | 2061-62 | \$7,175,000 |
| 38 | 2062-63 | \$7,318,000 |
| 39 | 2063-64 | \$7,465,000 |
| 40 | 2064-65 | \$7,614,000 |
| 41 | 2065-66 | \$7,767,000 |
| 42 | 2066-67 | \$7,922,000 |
| 43 | 2067-68 | \$8,081,000 |
| 44 | 2068-69 | \$8,243,000 |
| 45 | 2069-70 | \$8,408,000 |
|  | Nominal TOTAL 2017 (3\% discount) | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 253,000,000 \\ & \$ 102,000,000 \end{aligned}$ |

*For purposes of illustration only. The actual commencement date for Allocated Tax Increment in Sub-Project Area I-4 will be the fiscal year in which the IFD actually receives $\$ 100,000$ of Allocated Tax Increment from Sub Project Area I-4 under the IFD Law.

Appendix l-5
Rider \#5
Projection of Allocated Tax Increment, Sub-Project Area I-5
(Block E, Mission Rock - SWL 337 \& Pier 48)

|  | Fiscal Year | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 |
| 1* | 2025-26 | \$647,000 |
| 2 | 2026-27 | \$660,000 |
| 3 | 2027-28 | \$673,000 |
| 4 | 2028-29 | \$687,000 |
| 5 | 2029-30 | \$700,000 |
| 6 | 2030-31 | \$715,000 |
| 7 | 2031-32 | \$729,000 |
| 8 | 2032-33 | \$744,000 |
| 9 | 2033-34 | \$759,000 |
| 10 | 2034-35 | \$774,000 |
| 11 | 2035-36 | \$789,000 |
| 12 | 2036-37 | \$805,000 |
| 13 | 2037-38 | \$822,000 |
| 14 | 2038-39 | \$838,000 |
| 15 | 2039-40 | \$855,000 |
| 16 | 2040-41 | \$872,000 |
| 17 | 2041-42 | \$890,000 |
| 18 | 2042-43 | \$908,000 |
| 19 | 2043-44 | \$926,000 |
| 20 | 2044-45 | \$944,000 |
| 21 | 2045-46 | \$963,000 |
| 22 | 2046-47 | \$983,000 |
| 23 | 2047-48 | \$1,003,000 |
| 24 | 2048-49 | \$1,023,000 |
| 25 | 2049-50 | \$1,043,000 |
| 26 | 2050-51 | \$1,064,000 |
| 27 | 2051-52 | \$1,086,000 |
| 28 | 2052-53 | \$1,107,000 |
| 29 | 2053-54 | \$1,130,000 |
| 30 | 2054-55 | \$1,152,000 |
| 31 | 2055-56 | \$1,176,000 |
| 32 | 2056-57 | \$1,199,000 |
| 33 | 2057-58 | \$1,223,000 |
| 34 | 2058-59 | \$1,248,000 |
| 35 | 2059-60 | \$1,273,000 |
| 36 | 2060-61 | \$1,298,000 |
| 37 | 2061-62 | \$1,324,000 |
| 38 | 2062-63 | \$1,351,000 |
| 39 | 2063-64 | \$1,378,000 |
| 40 | 2064-65 | \$1,406,000 |
| 41 | 2065-66 | \$1,434,000 |
| 42 | 2066-67 | \$1,463,000 |
| 43 | 2067-68 | \$1,492,000 |
| 44 | 2068-69 | \$1,522,000 |
| 45 | 2069-70 | \$1,553,000 |
|  | Nominal TOTAL 2017 (3\% discount) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 47,000,000 \\ & \$ 19,000,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

*For purposes of illustration only. The actual commencement date for Allocated Tax Increment in Sub-Project Area I-5 will be the fiscal year in which the IFD actually receives $\$ 100,000$ of Allocated Tax Increment from Sub Project Area I-5 under the IFD Law.

Appendix I-6
Rider \#6
Projection of Allocated Tax Increment, Sub-Project Area I-6
(Block F, Mission Rock - SWL 337 \& Pier 48)

|  | Fiscal Year | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 |
| 1* | 2024-25 | \$100,000 |
| 2 | 2025-26 | \$102,000 |
| 3 | 2026-27 | \$1,603,000 |
| 4 | 2027-28 | \$1,636,000 |
| 5 | 2028-29 | \$1,669,000 |
| 6 | 2029-30 | \$1,702,000 |
| 7 | 2030-31 | \$1,737,000 |
| 8 | 2031-32 | \$1,772,000 |
| 9 | 2032-33 | \$1,807,000 |
| 10 | 2033-34 | \$1,844,000 |
| 11 | 2034-35 | \$1,881,000 |
| 12 | 2035-36 | \$1,919,000 |
| 13 | 2036-37 | \$1,957,000 |
| 14 | 2037-38 | \$1,997,000 |
| 15 | 2038-39 | \$2,037,000 |
| 16 | 2039-40 | \$2,078,000 |
| 17 | 2040-41 | \$2,119,000 |
| 18 | 2041-42 | \$2,162,000 |
| 19 | 2042-43 | \$2,205,000 |
| 20 | 2043-44 | \$2,250,000 |
| 21 | 2044-45 | \$2,295,000 |
| 22 | 2045-46 | \$2,341,000 |
| 23 | 2046-47 | \$2,388,000 |
| 24 | 2047-48 | \$2,436,000 |
| 25 | 2048-49 | \$2,485,000 |
| 26 | 2049-50 | \$2,535,000 |
| 27 | 2050-51 | \$2,586,000 |
| 28 | 2051-52 | \$2,638,000 |
| 29 | 2052-53 | \$2,691,000 |
| 30 | 2053-54 | \$2,745,000 |
| 31 | 2054-55 | \$2,800,000 |
| 32 | 2055-56 | \$2,856,000 |
| 33 | 2056-57 | \$2,914,000 |
| 34 | 2057-58 | \$2,972,000 |
| 35 | 2058-59 | \$3,032,000 |
| 36 | 2059-60 | \$3,093,000 |
| 37 | 2060-61 | \$3,155,000 |
| 38 | 2061-62 | \$3,218,000 |
| 39 | 2062-63 | \$3,283,000 |
| 40 | 2063-64 | \$3,349,000 |
| 41 | 2064-65 | \$3,416,000 |
| 42 | 2065-66 | \$3,484,000 |
| 43 | 2066-67 | \$3,554,000 |
| 44 | 2067-68 | \$3,626,000 |
| 45 | 2068-69 | \$3,698,000 |
|  | Nominal TOTAL 2017 (3\% discount) | $\begin{gathered} \$ 108,000,000 \\ \$ 44,000,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

*For purposes of illustration only. The actual commencement date for Allocated Tax Increment in Sub-Project Area I-6 will be the fiscal year in which the IFD actually receives $\$ 100,000$ of Allocated Tax Increment from Sub Project Area I-6 under the IFD Law.

Appendix I-7
Rider \#7
Projection of Allocated Tax Increment, Sub-Project Area I-7 (Block G, Mission Rock - SWL 337 \& Pier 48)

|  | Fiscal Year | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 |
| 1* | 2021-22 | \$129,000 |
| 2 | 2022-23 | \$132,000 |
| 3 | 2023-24 | \$1,314,000 |
| 4 | 2024-25 | \$1,340,000 |
| 5 | 2025-26 | \$1,367,000 |
| 6 | 2026-27 | \$1,395,000 |
| 7 | 2027-28 | \$1,423,000 |
| 8 | 2028-29 | \$1,452,000 |
| 9 | 2029-30 | \$1,481,000 |
| 10 | 2030-31 | \$1,511,000 |
| 11 | 2031-32 | \$1,541,000 |
| 12 | 2032-33 | \$1,572,000 |
| 13 | 2033-34 | \$1,604,000 |
| 14 | 2034-35 | \$1,636,000 |
| 15 | 2035-36 | \$1,669,000 |
| 16 | 2036-37 | \$1,703,000 |
| 17 | 2037-38 | \$1,737,000 |
| 18 | 2038-39 | \$1,772,000 |
| 19 | 2039-40 | \$1,808,000 |
| 20 | 2040-41 | \$1,844,000 |
| 21 | 2041-42 | \$1,881,000 |
| 22 | 2042-43 | \$1,919,000 |
| 23 | 2043-44 | \$1,958,000 |
| 24 | 2044-45 | \$1,997,000 |
| 25 | 2045-46 | \$2,037,000 |
| 26 | 2046-47 | \$2,078,000 |
| 27 | 2047-48 | \$2,120,000 |
| 28 | 2048-49 | \$2,163,000 |
| 29 | 2049-50 | \$2,206,000 |
| 30 | 2050-51 | \$2,250,000 |
| 31 | 2051-52 | \$2,296,000 |
| 32 | 2052-53 | \$2,342,000 |
| 33 | 2053-54 | \$2,389,000 |
| 34 | 2054-55 | \$2,437,000 |
| 35 | 2055-56 | \$2,486,000 |
| 36 | 2056-57 | \$2,536,000 |
| 37 | 2057-58 | \$2,587,000 |
| 38 | 2058-59 | \$2,639,000 |
| 39 | 2059-60 | \$2,692,000 |
| 40 | 2060-61 | \$2,746,000 |
| 41 | 2061-62 | \$2,801,000 |
| 42 | 2062-63 | \$2,857,000 |
| 43 | 2063-64 | \$2,914,000 |
| 44 | 2064-65 | \$2,973,000 |
| 45 | 2065-66 | \$3,032,000 |
|  | Nominal TOTAL 2017 (3\% discount) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 89,000,000 \\ & \$ 39,000,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

*For purposes of illustration only. The actual commencement date for Allocated Tax Increment in Sub-Project Area I-7 will be the fiscal year in which the IFD actually receives $\$ 100,000$ of Allocated Tax Increment from Sub Project Area I-7 under the IFD Law.

Appendix l-8
Rider \#8
Projection of Allocated Tax Increment, Sub-Project Area I-8 (Block H, Mission Rock - SWL 337 \& Pier 48)

|  | Fiscal Year | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 |
| 1* | 2026-27 | \$713,000 |
| 2 | 2027-28 | \$727,000 |
| 3 | 2028-29 | \$742,000 |
| 4 | 2029-30 | \$757,000 |
| 5 | 2030-31 | \$772,000 |
| 6 | 2031-32 | \$787,000 |
| 7 | 2032-33 | \$803,000 |
| 8 | 2033-34 | \$819,000 |
| 9 | 2034-35 | \$836,000 |
| 10 | 2035-36 | \$853,000 |
| 11 | 2036-37 | \$870,000 |
| 12 | 2037-38 | \$887,000 |
| 13 | 2038-39 | \$905,000 |
| 14 | 2039-40 | \$923,000 |
| 15 | 2040-41 | \$942,000 |
| 16 | 2041-42 | \$961,000 |
| 17 | 2042-43 | \$980,000 |
| 18 | 2043-44 | \$1,000,000 |
| 19 | 2044-45 | \$1,020,000 |
| 20 | 2045-46 | \$1,041,000 |
| 21 | 2046-47 | \$1,062,000 |
| 22 | 2047-48 | \$1,083,000 |
| 23 | 2048-49 | \$1,105,000 |
| 24 | 2049-50 | \$1,127,000 |
| 25 | 2050-51 | \$1,150,000 |
| 26 | 2051-52 | \$1,173,000 |
| 27 | 2052-53 | \$1,196,000 |
| 28 | 2053-54 | \$1,220,000 |
| 29 | 2054-55 | \$1,245,000 |
| 30 | 2055-56 | \$1,270,000 |
| 31 | 2056-57 | \$1,295,000 |
| 32 | 2057-58 | \$1,321,000 |
| 33 | 2058-59 | \$1,348,000 |
| 34 | 2059-60 | \$1,375,000 |
| 35 | 2060-61 | \$1,403,000 |
| 36 | 2061-62 | \$1,431,000 |
| 37 | 2062-63 | \$1,459,000 |
| 38 | 2063-64 | \$1,489,000 |
| 39 | 2064-65 | \$1,519,000 |
| 40 | 2065-66 | \$1,549,000 |
| 41 | 2066-67 | \$1,580,000 |
| 42 | 2067-68 | \$1,612,000 |
| 43 | 2068-69 | \$1,644,000 |
| 44 | 2069-70 | \$1,677,000 |
| 45 | 2070-71 | \$1,711,000 |
|  | Nominal TOTAL 2017 (3\% discount) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 51,000,000 \\ & \$ 20,000,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

*For purposes of illustration only. The actual commencement date for Allocated Tax Increment in Sub-Project Area I-8 will be the fiscal year in which the IFD actually receives $\$ 100,000$ of Allocated Tax Increment from Sub Project Area I-8 under the IFD Law.

Appendix I-9
Rider \#9
Projection of Allocated Tax Increment, Sub-Project Area I-9
(Block I, Mission Rock - SWL 337 \& Pier 48)

|  | Fiscal Year | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 |
| 1* | 2027-28 | \$1,004,000 |
| 2 | 2028-29 | \$1,024,000 |
| 3 | 2029-30 | \$1,045,000 |
| 4 | 2030-31 | \$1,066,000 |
| 5 | 2031-32 | \$1,087,000 |
| 6 | 2032-33 | \$1,109,000 |
| 7 | 2033-34 | \$1,131,000 |
| 8 | 2034-35 | \$1,154,000 |
| 9 | 2035-36 | \$1,177,000 |
| 10 | 2036-37 | \$1,201,000 |
| 11 | 2037-38 | \$1,225,000 |
| 12 | 2038-39 | \$1,250,000 |
| 13 | 2039-40 | \$1,275,000 |
| 14 | 2040-41 | \$1,301,000 |
| 15 | 2041-42 | \$1,327,000 |
| 16 | 2042-43 | \$1,354,000 |
| 17 | 2043-44 | \$1,381,000 |
| 18 | 2044-45 | \$1,409,000 |
| 19 | 2045-46 | \$1,437,000 |
| 20 | 2046-47 | \$1,466,000 |
| 21 | 2047-48 | \$1,495,000 |
| 22 | 2048-49 | \$1,525,000 |
| 23 | 2049-50 | \$1,556,000 |
| 24 | 2050-51 | \$1,587,000 |
| 25 | 2051-52 | \$1,619,000 |
| 26 | 2052-53 | \$1,652,000 |
| 27 | 2053-54 | \$1,685,000 |
| 28 | 2054-55 | \$1,719,000 |
| 29 | 2055-56 | \$1,753,000 |
| 30 | 2056-57 | \$1,788,000 |
| 31 | 2057-58 | \$1,824,000 |
| 32 | 2058-59 | \$1,861,000 |
| 33 | 2059-60 | \$1,898,000 |
| 34 | 2060-61 | \$1,936,000 |
| 35 | 2061-62 | \$1,975,000 |
| 36 | 2062-63 | \$2,015,000 |
| 37 | 2063-64 | \$2,055,000 |
| 38 | 2064-65 | \$2,097,000 |
| 39 | 2065-66 | \$2,139,000 |
| 40 | 2066-67 | \$2,182,000 |
| 41 | 2067-68 | \$2,225,000 |
| 42 | 2068-69 | \$2,270,000 |
| 43 | 2069-70 | \$2,315,000 |
| 44 | 2070-71 | \$2,362,000 |
| 45 | 2071-72 | \$2,409,000 |
|  | Nominal TOTAL 2017 (3\% discount) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 72,000,000 \\ & \$ 27,000,000 \end{aligned}$ |

*For purposes of illustration only. The actual commencement date for Allocated Tax Increment in Sub-Project Area I-9 will be the fiscal year in which the IFD actually receives $\$ 100,000$ of Allocated Tax Increment from Sub Project Area I-9 under the IFD Law.

Appendix l-10
Rider \#10
Projection of Allocated Tax Increment, Sub-Project Area I-10
(Block J, Mission Rock - SWL 337 \& Pier 48)

|  | Fiscal Year | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 |
| 1* | 2027-28 | \$734,000 |
| 2 | 2028-29 | \$749,000 |
| 3 | 2029-30 | \$764,000 |
| 4 | 2030-31 | \$779,000 |
| 5 | 2031-32 | \$795,000 |
| 6 | 2032-33 | \$811,000 |
| 7 | 2033-34 | \$828,000 |
| 8 | 2034-35 | \$844,000 |
| 9 | 2035-36 | \$861,000 |
| 10 | 2036-37 | \$879,000 |
| 11 | 2037-38 | \$896,000 |
| 12 | 2038-39 | \$914,000 |
| 13 | 2039-40 | \$933,000 |
| 14 | 2040-41 | \$951,000 |
| 15 | 2041-42 | \$971,000 |
| 16 | 2042-43 | \$990,000 |
| 17 | 2043-44 | \$1,010,000 |
| 18 | 2044-45 | \$1,030,000 |
| 19 | 2045-46 | \$1,051,000 |
| 20 | 2046-47 | \$1,072,000 |
| 21 | 2047-48 | \$1,094,000 |
| 22 | 2048-49 | \$1,116,000 |
| 23 | 2049-50 | \$1,138,000 |
| 24 | 2050-51 | \$1,161,000 |
| 25 | 2051-52 | \$1,184,000 |
| 26 | 2052-53 | \$1,208,000 |
| 27 | 2053-54 | \$1,232,000 |
| 28 | 2054-55 | \$1,257,000 |
| 29 | 2055-56 | \$1,282,000 |
| 30 | 2056-57 | \$1,308,000 |
| 31 | 2057-58 | \$1,334,000 |
| 32 | 2058-59 | \$1,361,000 |
| 33 | 2059-60 | \$1,388,000 |
| 34 | 2060-61 | \$1,416,000 |
| 35 | 2061-62 | \$1,445,000 |
| 36 | 2062-63 | \$1,474,000 |
| 37 | 2063-64 | \$1,503,000 |
| 38 | 2064-65 | \$1,533,000 |
| 39 | 2065-66 | \$1,564,000 |
| 40 | 2066-67 | \$1,596,000 |
| 41 | 2067-68 | \$1,628,000 |
| 42 | 2068-69 | \$1,660,000 |
| 43 | 2069-70 | \$1,694,000 |
| 44 | 2070-71 | \$1,728,000 |
| 45 | 2071-72 | \$1,762,000 |
|  | Nominal TOTAL 2017 (3\% discount) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 53,000,000 \\ & \$ 20,000,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

*For purposes of illustration only. The actual commencement date for Allocated Tax Increment in Sub-Project Area I-10 will be the fiscal year in which the IFD actually receives $\$ 100,000$ of Allocated Tax Increment from Sub Project Area I-10 under the IFD Law.

Appendix l-11
Rider \#11
Projection of Allocated Tax Increment, Sub-Project Area I-11
(Block K, Mission Rock - SWL 337 \& Pier 48)

|  | Fiscal Year | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 |
| 1* | 2023-24 | \$586,000 |
| 2 | 2024-25 | \$598,000 |
| 3 | 2025-26 | \$610,000 |
| 4 | 2026-27 | \$623,000 |
| 5 | 2027-28 | \$635,000 |
| 6 | 2028-29 | \$648,000 |
| 7 | 2029-30 | \$661,000 |
| 8 | 2030-31 | \$674,000 |
| 9 | 2031-32 | \$688,000 |
| 10 | 2032-33 | \$702,000 |
| 11 | 2033-34 | \$716,000 |
| 12 | 2034-35 | \$730,000 |
| 13 | 2035-36 | \$745,000 |
| 14 | 2036-37 | \$760,000 |
| 15 | 2037-38 | \$775,000 |
| 16 | 2038-39 | \$791,000 |
| 17 | 2039-40 | \$807,000 |
| 18 | 2040-41 | \$823,000 |
| 19 | 2041-42 | \$839,000 |
| 20 | 2042-43 | \$856,000 |
| 21 | 2043-44 | \$874,000 |
| 22 | 2044-45 | \$891,000 |
| 23 | 2045-46 | \$909,000 |
| 24 | 2046-47 | \$927,000 |
| 25 | 2047-48 | \$946,000 |
| 26 | 2048-49 | \$965,000 |
| 27 | 2049-50 | \$984,000 |
| 28 | 2050-51 | \$1,004,000 |
| 29 | 2051-52 | \$1,024,000 |
| 30 | 2052-53 | \$1,045,000 |
| 31 | 2053-54 | \$1,066,000 |
| 32 | 2054-55 | \$1,087,000 |
| 33 | 2055-56 | \$1,109,000 |
| 34 | 2056-57 | \$1,131,000 |
| 35 | 2057-58 | \$1,154,000 |
| 36 | 2058-59 | \$1,177,000 |
| 37 | 2059-60 | \$1,201,000 |
| 38 | 2060-61 | \$1,225,000 |
| 39 | 2061-62 | \$1,250,000 |
| 40 | 2062-63 | \$1,275,000 |
| 41 | 2063-64 | \$1,300,000 |
| 42 | 2064-65 | \$1,326,000 |
| 43 | 2065-66 | \$1,353,000 |
| 44 | 2066-67 | \$1,380,000 |
| 45 | 2067-68 | \$1,408,000 |
|  | Nominal TOTAL 2017 (3\% discount) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 42,000,000 \\ & \$ 18,000,000 \end{aligned}$ |

*For purposes of illustration only. The actual commencement date for Allocated Tax Increment in Sub-Project Area I-11 will be the fiscal year in which the IFD actually receives $\$ 100,000$ of Allocated Tax Increment from Sub Project Area I-11 under the IFD Law.

Appendix l-12
Rider \#12
Projection of Allocated Tax Increment, Sub-Project Area I-12 (Block Pier 48, Mission Rock - SWL 337 \& Pier 48)

|  | Fiscal Year | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 |
| 1* | 2028-29 | \$792,000 |
| 2 | 2029-30 | \$808,000 |
| 3 | 2030-31 | \$825,000 |
| 4 | 2031-32 | \$841,000 |
| 5 | 2032-33 | \$858,000 |
| 6 | 2033-34 | \$876,000 |
| 7 | 2034-35 | \$893,000 |
| 8 | 2035-36 | \$911,000 |
| 9 | 2036-37 | \$930,000 |
| 10 | 2037-38 | \$948,000 |
| 11 | 2038-39 | \$968,000 |
| 12 | 2039-40 | \$987,000 |
| 13 | 2040-41 | \$1,007,000 |
| 14 | 2041-42 | \$1,027,000 |
| 15 | 2042-43 | \$1,048,000 |
| 16 | 2043-44 | \$1,069,000 |
| 17 | 2044-45 | \$1,091,000 |
| 18 | 2045-46 | \$1,113,000 |
| 19 | 2046-47 | \$1,135,000 |
| 20 | 2047-48 | \$1,158,000 |
| 21 | 2048-49 | \$1,181,000 |
| 22 | 2049-50 | \$1,205,000 |
| 23 | 2050-51 | \$1,229,000 |
| 24 | 2051-52 | \$1,254,000 |
| 25 | 2052-53 | \$1,279,000 |
| 26 | 2053-54 | \$1,305,000 |
| 27 | 2054-55 | \$1,331,000 |
| 28 | 2055-56 | \$1,358,000 |
| 29 | 2056-57 | \$1,385,000 |
| 30 | 2057-58 | \$1,413,000 |
| 31 | 2058-59 | \$1,441,000 |
| 32 | 2059-60 | \$1,470,000 |
| 33 | 2060-61 | \$1,500,000 |
| 34 | 2061-62 | \$1,530,000 |
| 35 | 2062-63 | \$1,561,000 |
| 36 | 2063-64 | \$1,592,000 |
| 37 | 2064-65 | \$1,624,000 |
| 38 | 2065-66 | \$1,657,000 |
| 39 | 2066-67 | \$1,690,000 |
| 40 | 2067-68 | \$1,724,000 |
| 41 | 2068-69 | \$1,759,000 |
| 42 | 2069-70 | \$1,794,000 |
| 43 | 2070-71 | \$1,830,000 |
| 44 | 2071-72 | \$1,867,000 |
| 45 | 2072-73 | \$1,904,000 |
|  | Nominal TOTAL 2017 (3\% discount) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 57,000,000 \\ & \$ 21,000,000 \end{aligned}$ |

*For purposes of illustration only. The actual commencement date for Allocated Tax Increment in Sub-Project Area I-12 will be the fiscal year in which the IFD actually receives $\$ 100,000$ of Allocated Tax Increment from Sub Project Area I-12 under the IFD Law.

Appendix l-13
Rider \#13
Projection of Allocated Tax Increment, Sub-Project Area I-13
(Block Mission Square, Mission Rock - SWL 337 \& Pier 48)

|  | Fiscal Year | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 |
| 1* | 2028-29 | \$0 |
| 2 | 2029-30 | \$0 |
| 3 | 2030-31 | \$0 |
| 4 | 2031-32 | \$0 |
| 5 | 2032-33 | \$0 |
| 6 | 2033-34 | \$0 |
| 7 | 2034-35 | \$0 |
| 8 | 2035-36 | \$0 |
| 9 | 2036-37 | \$0 |
| 10 | 2037-38 | \$0 |
| 11 | 2038-39 | \$0 |
| 12 | 2039-40 | \$0 |
| 13 | 2040-41 | \$0 |
| 14 | 2041-42 | \$0 |
| 15 | 2042-43 | \$0 |
| 16 | 2043-44 | \$0 |
| 17 | 2044-45 | \$0 |
| 18 | 2045-46 | \$0 |
| 19 | 2046-47 | \$0 |
| 20 | 2047-48 | \$0 |
| 21 | 2048-49 | \$0 |
| 22 | 2049-50 | \$0 |
| 23 | 2050-51 | \$0 |
| 24 | 2051-52 | \$0 |
| 25 | 2052-53 | \$0 |
| 26 | 2053-54 | \$0 |
| 27 | 2054-55 | \$0 |
| 28 | 2055-56 | \$0 |
| 29 | 2056-57 | \$0 |
| 30 | 2057-58 | \$0 |
| 31 | 2058-59 | \$0 |
| 32 | 2059-60 | \$0 |
| 33 | 2060-61 | \$0 |
| 34 | 2061-62 | \$0 |
| 35 | 2062-63 | \$0 |
| 36 | 2063-64 | \$0 |
| 37 | 2064-65 | \$0 |
| 38 | 2065-66 | \$0 |
| 39 | 2066-67 | \$0 |
| 40 | 2067-68 | \$0 |
| 41 | 2068-69 | \$0 |
| 42 | 2069-70 | \$0 |
| 43 | 2070-71 | \$0 |
| 44 | 2071-72 | \$0 |
| 45 | 2072-73 | \$0 |
|  | Nominal TOTAL 2017 (3\% discount) | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 0 \\ & \$ 0 \end{aligned}$ |

*For purposes of illustration only. The actual commencement date for Allocated Tax Increment in Sub-Project Area I-13 will be the fiscal year in which the IFD actually receives $\$ 100,000$ of Allocated Tax Increment from Sub Project Area I-13 under the IFD Law.

## Attachment 1:

Infrastructure Financing District Sub-Project Area Boundary Maps and Legal Descriptions


CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA





INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING
CITY AND COUNTY DISTRICT I (MISSION ROCK-PORT OF SAN FRANCISCO) OF SAN FRANCISCO,
BY JP CHKD. BR DATE 11-3-17 SCALE 1"=100' SHEET 4 OF 5 JOB NO. S-9229

MARTIN M. RON ASSOCIATES, INC. LAND SURVEYORS


## LEGAL DESCRIPTION

"INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING DISTRICT I"

ALL THAT REAL PROPERTY SITUATED IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

PARCEL I-1
COMMENCING AT THE POINT OF INTERSECTION OF THE FORMER WESTERLY LINE OF THIRD STREET (100.00 FEET WIDE) WITH THE FORMER SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF FOURTH STREET (102.50 FEET WIDE), AS SAID STREET LINES ARE SHOWN ON THAT CERTAIN MAP ENTITLED "AMENDED RECORD OF SURVEY MAP OF MISSION BAY" RECORDED JUNE 3, 1999, IN BOOK "Z" OF MAPS AT PAGES 74-94 INCLUSIVE, IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECORDER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; THENCE ALONG THE PROLONGATION OF SAID LINE OF THIRD STREET N0 $3^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ 88.38 FEET; THENCE N $86^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} E 88.50$ FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT IN THE CURRENT EASTERLY LINE OF THIRD STREET (88.50 FEET WIDE); THENCE ALONG SAID EASTERLY LINE OF THIRD STREET NO $3^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 828.57 \mathrm{FEET}$ TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE NO $3^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 418.30$ FEET; THENCE N $60^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} E$ 30.88 FEET; THENCE N $30^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 8.34 \mathrm{FEET}$ TO THE BEGINNING OF A NONTANGENT CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHEAST WHOSE RADIUS POINT BEARS S41 ${ }^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} E 69.10$ FEET; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID CURVE TO THE RIGHT THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF $43^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$, AN ARC LENGTH OF 52.75 FEET; THENCE N $86^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} E 559.17$ FEET TO THE BEGINNING OF A NON-TANGENT CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHWEST WHOSE RADIUS POINT BEARS S18 ${ }^{\circ} 03^{\prime \prime} 44^{\prime \prime} E 94.45$ FEET; THENCE EASTERLY AND SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID CURVE TO THE RIGHT THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF $70^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$, AN ARC LENGTH OF 116.26 FEET; THENCE S08 ${ }^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 121.53 \mathrm{FEET}$; THENCE N88 $8^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 46.81 \mathrm{FEET}$; THENCE S02 ${ }^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 79.53 \mathrm{EEET}$; THENCE S $82^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 88.72 \mathrm{FEET}$; THENCE S $03^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ 80.38 FEET; THENCE N $80^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 501.20 \mathrm{FEET} ; \mathrm{THENCE}$ S03${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 235.05$ FEET; THENCE $586^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 251.10$ FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 242,260 SQ. FT. MORE OR LESS.
PARCEL I-2
BEGINNING AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL I-1, AS SAID PARCEL IS DESCRIBED HEREINABOVE, SAID POINT OF BEGINNING BEING ON THE EASTERLY LINE OF THIRD STREET ( 88.50 FEET WIDE) ; THENCE N86 ${ }^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} E 215.10$ FEET; THENCE SO3 $3^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 291.31 \mathrm{FEET}$; THENCE $586^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 215.10$ FEET TO SAID EASTERLY LINE OF THIRD STREET; THENCE ALONG SAID EASTERLY LINE, N03¹0'56"W 291.31 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 62,662 SQ. FT. MORE OR LESS..

## PARCEL I-3

BEGINNING AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL I-2, AS SAID PARCEL IS DESCRIBED HEREINABOVE, SAID POINT OF BEGINNING BEING ON THE EASTERLY LINE OF THIRD STREET ( 88.50 FEET WIDE) ; THENCE N $86^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime E} 215.10$ FEET; THENCE SO $3^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 298.70 \mathrm{FEET} ; \mathrm{THENCE} \mathrm{S} 86^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 215.10 \mathrm{FEET}$ TO SAID EASTERLY LINE OF THIRD STREET; THENCE ALONG SAID EASTERLY LINE, NO ${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 298.70$ FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 64,250 SQ. FT. MORE OR LESS.

## PARCEL I-4

BEGINNING AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL I-3, AS SAID PARCEL IS DESCRIBED HEREINABOVE, SAID POINT OF BEGINNING BEING ON THE EASTERLY LINE OF THIRD STREET ( 88.50 FEET WIDE) ; THENCE N $86^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} E 461.12$ FEET; THENCE S03 ${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 261.92$ FEET TO THE FUTURE NORTHERLY IINE OF MISSION ROCK STREET ( 65.25 FEET WIDE) ; THENCE ALONG SAID NORTHERLY LINE S86 $6^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} W$ 448.62 FEET TO THE EASTERLY LINE OF THIRD STREET; THENCE ALONG SAID EASTERLY LINE, N0 $3^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 23.36 \mathrm{FEET}$ TO AN ANGLE POINT THEREIN; THENCE ALONG SAID EASTERUY LINE OF THIRD STREET S $86^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 12.50$ FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT THEREIN; THENCE ALONG SAID EASTERLY LINE OF THIRD STREET ( 88.50 FEET WIDE) NO $3^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 238.56 \mathrm{FEET}$ TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 120,483 SQ. FT. MORE OR LESS.
PARCEL I-5
BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF PARCEL I-4, AS SAID PARCEL IS DESCRIBED HEREINABOVE; THENCE S $86^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 246.02$ FEET; THENCE NO3 ${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ $165.00 \mathrm{FEET} ; \mathrm{THENCE} \mathrm{N} 86^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime E} 246.02 \mathrm{FEET}$; THENCE SO3${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 165.00$ FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 40,593 SQ. FT. MORE OR LESS.

## PARCEL I-6

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF PARCEL I-2, AS SAID PARCEL IS DESCRIBED HEREINABOVE; THENCE N $86^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 246.02$ FEET; THENCE S03 $10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} E$ $165.00 \mathrm{FEET} ; \mathrm{THENCE} \mathrm{S} 86^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 246.02 \mathrm{FEET}$; THENCE NO $3^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 165.00$ FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 40,593 SQ. FT. MORE OR LESS.

## PARCEL I-7

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF PARCEI I-2, AS SAID PARCEL IS DESCRIBED HEREINABOVE; THENCE NO $3^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 235.05$ FEET; THENCE S $80^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} E$ 252.02 FEET; THENCE S $03^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} E 180.38$ FEET; THENCE $586^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 246.02$ FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING $51,100 \mathrm{SQ} . \operatorname{FT} . \mathrm{MORE}$ OR LESS.

## PARCEL I-8

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF PARCEL I-4, AS SAID PARCEL IS DESCRIBED HEREINABOVE; THENCE N $86^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} E 240.25$ FEET; THENCE S $03^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} E$ 261.92 FEET TO THE EASTERLY PROLONGATION OF THE FUTURE NORTHERLY LINE OF MISSION ROCK STREET ( 65.25 FEET WIDE); THENCE ALONG SAID PROLONGATION AND ALONG SAID NORTHERLY LINE S $86^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 240.25 \mathrm{FEET}$; THENCE NO $3^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ 261.92 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 62,927 SQ. FT. MORE OR LESS.

## PARCEL I-9

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF PARCEL I-4, AS SAID PARCEL IS DESCRIBED HEREINABOVE; THENCE NO $3^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 298.70$ FEET; THENCE N86 ${ }^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} E$ $206.25 \mathrm{FEET} ; \mathrm{THENCE} \mathrm{S} 03^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 107.77 \mathrm{FEET} ; \mathrm{THENCE}$ N86 ${ }^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 34.00$ FEET; THENCE $503^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 190.93 \mathrm{FEET}$; THENCE S $86^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 240.25$ FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 68,099 SQ. FT. MORE OR LESS.

## PARCEL I-10

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL I-10, AS SAID PARCEL IS DESCRIBED HEREINABOVE; THENCE N0 $3^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 291.31$ FEET; THENCE N86 $6^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} E$ $206.25 \mathrm{FEET} ; \mathrm{THENCE} \mathrm{S} 03^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 166.36 \mathrm{FEET}$; THENCE N82${ }^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 124.58$ FEET; THENCE SO3 $05^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} E 241.14 \mathrm{FEET}$; THENCE S86 ${ }^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 123.91 \mathrm{FEET}$; THENCE NO3 ${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 107.77$ FEET; THENCE $586^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 206.25 \mathrm{FEET}$ TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 89,487 SQ. FT. MORE OR LESS.
PARCEL I-11

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL I-11, AS SAID PARCEL IS DESCRIBED HEREINABOVE; THENCE N0 $3^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 180.38 \mathrm{FEET}$; THENCE S $80^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime E}$ 211.28 FEET; THENCE S03 ${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 134.54 \mathrm{FEET}$; THENCE S $86^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 206.25$ FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 32,476 SQ. FT. MORE OR LESS.

## PARCEL I-12

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF PARCEL I-12, AS SAID PARCEL IS DESCRIBED HEREINABOVE; THENCE S $03^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 300.90$ FEET; THENCE N $82^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} E$ 760.95 FEET; THENCE NO7 $00^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime} W 351.44$ FEET TO THE BEGINNING OF A NONTANGENT CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHWEST WHOSE RADIUS POINT BEARS N $88^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} W 18.66$ FEET; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID CURVE TO THE LEFT THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF $64^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 2^{\prime \prime}$, AN ARC LENGTH OF 21. 12 FEET; THENCE S82 ${ }^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 691.00 \mathrm{FEET}$; THENCE S03${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 80.38$ FEET; THENCE N80 ${ }^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 37.90$ FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 273,958 SQ. FT. MORE OR LESS.

## PARCEL I-13

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF PARCEL I-5, AS SAID PARCEL IS DESCRIBED HEREINABOVE; THENCE $586^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 246.02$ FEET; THENCE NO3 $10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} W$ 260.01 FEET; THENCE N86 ${ }^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} E 246.02 \mathrm{FEET}$; THENCE S03 ${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 260.01$ FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 63,967 SQ. FT. MORE OR LESS.
THE BASIS OF BEARINGS FOR THE ABOVE DESCRIPTION IS THE THIRD STREET MONUMENT LINE TAKEN TO BE NO $3^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ AS SHOWN ON THAT CERTAIN "FINAL MAP" FILED FOR RECORD ON MAY 31, 2005, IN BOOK BB OF MAPS, AT PAGES 6-10 INCLUSIVE, IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECORDER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.


## Attachment 2:

Guidelines for Establishment and Use of an Infrastructure Financing District (IFD) with Project Areas on Land under the Jurisdiction of the San Francisco Port Commission

# COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS <br> AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST 

| Committee: Budget and Finance Sub-CommitteeBoard of Supervisors Meeting |  | Date 04/17/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Date April |
| Cmte Board |  |  |
| $\square \quad \square$ | Motion |  |
| $\triangle \square$ | Resolution |  |
|  | Ordinance |  |
|  | Legislative Digest |  |
| $\square \square$ | Budget and Legislative Analyst Rep |  |
|  | Legislative Analyst Report |  |
|  | Youth Commission Report |  |
|  | Introduction Form (for hearings) |  |
| ® | Department/Agency Cover Letter an | lor Report |
|  | MOU |  |
|  | Grant Information Form |  |
|  | Grant Budget |  |
|  | Subcontract Budget |  |
| $\square \quad \square$ | Contract/Agreement |  |
|  | Form 126 - Ethics Commission |  |
| $\square \quad \square$ | Award Letter |  |
| $\square \quad \square$ | Application |  |
| $\square \quad \square$ | Public Correspondence |  |

OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)


[^0][Adoption of Guidelines for the Establishment and Use of an Infrastructure Financing District on Port Land]

## Resolution adopting Guidelines for the Establishment and Use of an Infrastructure Financing District with Project Areas on Land Under the Jurisdiction of the San Francisco Port Commission.

WHEREAS, Government Code Sections 53395-53398.47 (IFD Law) authorizes certain public agencies, including the City and County of San Francisco, to establish infrastructure financing districts (IFDs) to finance the planning, design, acquisition, construction, and improvement of public facilities meeting the requirements of IFD Law; and

WHEREAS, IFDs are formed to facilitate the design, acquisition, construction, and improvement of necessary public facilities and provide an alternative means of financing when local resources are insufficient; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Sections 53395.8 and 53395.81 authorize the establishment of IFDs on land under the jurisdiction of the Port Commission of San Francisco (Port) to finance additional public facilities to improve the San Francisco waterfront and further authorizes the establishment of project areas within an IFD for the same purposes; and

WHEREAS, By Board Resolution No. 110-12, adopted on March 27, 2012, and Board Resolution No. 227-12, adopted on June 12, 2012, the Board stated its intention to form a single IFD consisting of all Port land (waterfront district) with project areas corresponding to Port development projects within the waterfront district; and

WHEREAS, By Board Resolution No. 66-11, adopted on February 8, 2011, the Board adopted "Guidelines for the Establishment and Use of Infrastructure Financing Districts in the
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City and County of San Francisco," which do not apply to land owned or managed by the Port; and

WHEREAS, A draft document entitled "Guidelines for the Establishment and Use of an Infrastructure Financing District with Project Areas on Land under the Jurisdiction of the San Francisco Port Commission" (Port Guidelines) setting forth proposed policy criteria and guidelines for the waterfront district is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. ${ }^{130264}$, which is hereby declared to be a part of this Resolution as if set forth fully herein; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that the Port Guidelines will ensure that a rational and efficient process is established for the formation the waterfront district and project areas within it, and adopts the Port Guidelines; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That this Resolution and the Port Guidelines will be effective on the date the Board of Supervisors adopts this Resolution.

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney

By:

souter

Joanne Sakai Deputy City Attorney

# TO: . Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors <br> FROM: Fou Mayor Edwin M. Lee gt <br> RE: Adoption of Guidelines for the Establishment and Use of an Infrastructure Financing District on Port Land 

DATE: March 19, 2013

Attached for introduction to the Board of Supervisors is the Resolution adopting "Guidelines for the Establishment and Use of an Infrastructure Financing District with Project Areas on Land Under the Jurisdiction of the San Francisco Port Commission".

Please note this item is cosponsored by Supervisors Kim
I request that this item be calendared in Budget and Finance Committee.
Should you have any questions, please contact Jason Elliott (415) 554-5105.

cc. Supervisor Jane Kim

## Item 6 <br> Department: <br> File 13-0264 <br> The Port

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Legislative Objectives

- The proposed resolution would adopt "Guidelines for the Establishment and Use of an Infrastructure Financing District (IFD) with Project Areas on Land under the Jurisdiction of the San Francisco Port Commission". The Port IFD Guidelines establish the threshold criteria that must be met in order to establish a Port IFD and the strategic criteria that should be considered by the Board of Supervisors but are not required to establish the Port IFD.


## Key Points

- State law authorizes the establishment of a Port IFD to finance public improvement projects along the San Francisco waterfront. The Port IFD may finance the same types of improvement projects that are financed by non-Port IFDs (open space, parks, and street improvements), as well as projects specific to the Port, including removal of bay fill, storm water management facilities, shoreline restoration, and maritime facility improvements. Increased property tax revenues resulting from certain Port development projects (tax increment) may be redirected from the General Fund to the Port IFD in order to finance public improvements, subject to Board of Supervisors approval.
- The Board of Supervisors previously approved a resolution of intention (1) to establish the Port IFD consisting of eight project areas; and (2) directing the Port Executive Director to prepare a financing plan, subject to Board of Supervisors' approval. The Port intends to submit a Port IFD financing plan for proposed development on Piers 30-32 and Seawall Lot 330 to the Board of Supervisors in late 2014.
- The Budget and Legislative Analyst recommends amendments to the proposed Port IFD guidelines, including to Threshold Criteria 6, 7, and 8, to clarify the intent of the threshold criteria, as noted in the recommendations below.


## Fiscal Impact

- Threshold Criteria 5 requires that financing plans for each of the Port IFD project areas demonstrate a net economic benefit, while the City's IFD Guidelines. Previously approved by the Board of Supervisors require that the IFD demonstrate a net fiscal benefit to the General Fund. The City's IFD Guidelines acknowledge that the Port's use of IFD law differs from the City. However, in order to fully disclose the fiscal impact of the Port IFD on the City's General Fund, the proposed Port IFD Guidelines should be amended to require that project area financing plans project the net fiscal impact to the City's General Fund, as well as the net economic benefits.


## Policy Considerations

- Property taxes are apportioned to the Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF), the City's General Fund, and other taxing entities. Under State law, in five of the Port IFD project areas, the ERAF portion of tax increment may be redirected to the Port IFD in an amount proportional to the General Fund portion of tax increment that is redirected to the Port IFD. Threshold Criteria 6 maximizes redirection of the ERAF portion of tax increment to the Port IFD in order to maximize the Port's ability to finance public improvements. Redirecting the ERAF's share of tax increment could potentially result in a State General Fund cost to backfill those monies intended for education.
- The proposed Port IFD Guidelines will guide future Board of Supervisors' decisions on allocation of City and ERAF tax increment. Therefore, approval of the proposed resolution is a policy decision for the Board of Supervisors.


## Recommendations

1. Amend the proposed resolution to request the Port to amend:
(a) The Port IFD Guidelines to specify that the threshold criteria must be met in order to establish a Port IFD or project area, and the strategic criteria should be considered by the Board of Supervisors but are not required to establish a Port IFD;
(b)Threshold Criteria 5 to require that the project area financing plan projects the net fiscal impact to the City's General Fund, as well as the net economic benefits, over the term of the Port IFD;
(c) Threshold Criteria 6 and 7 to specify that the share of tax increment allocated to the City and ERAF is the tax rate established annually by the State for the ERAF and by the Board of Supervisors for the City pursuant to the California Revenue and Taxation Code; and
(d)Threshold Criteria 8 to specify that ERAF's excess share of tax increment may not be re-allocated to the City's General Fund or to improvements in the City's seawall and other measures to protect against sea level rise.
2. Approval of the proposed resolution, as amended, is a policy decision for the Board of Supervisors.

## MANDATE STATEMENT AND BACKCROUND

## Mandate Statement

California Government Code Section 53395 et seq., which became law in 1990, authorizes cities and counties to establish Infrastructure Financing Districts (IFD), subject to approval by the city council or county board of supervisors, to finance "public capital facilities of communitywide significance." The definition of such public facilities includes parks, other open space, and street improvements. In addition, Section 53395.8 authorizes the establishment of an IFD by the Port of San Francisco (Port IFD) to finance additional improvement projects along the San Francisco waterfront, such as structural repairs and improvements to piers, seawalls, and wharves as well as historic rehabilitation of and seismic and life-safety improvements to existing buildings. The establishment of a Port IFD is subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors.

## Background

## State Law Authorizes the Establishment of Infrastructure Financing Districts

In order to provide alternative financing mechanisms for local jurisdictions to fund public works and services, State law ${ }^{1}$ authorizes cities and counties to establish IFDs within individual city or county boundaries to finance the:

- Purchase, construction, expansion, improvement, seismic retrofit or rehabilitation of any real or other tangible property with an estimated life of 15 years or longer, including parks, other open space, and street improvements;
- Planning and design work directly related to the purchase, construction, expansion, improvement, seismic retrofit or rehabilitation of that property;
- Reimbursement to a developer of a project located entirely within the boundaries of an IFD for any permit expenses incurred and to offset additional expenses incurred by the developer in constructing affordable housing units;

[^1]- Costs incurred by a county in connection with the division of taxes collected.

An IFD, once established with specific boundaries, obtains revenue in the same manner as former redevelopment districts. Assessed values on properties located within the IFD, and the property taxes derived from those values, are fixed at a baseline value. Increases in assessed value above the baseline and the associated increase in property tax, known as tax increment, may then be used to pay for the new public facilities that the IFD was established to pay for.

The City's Guidelines for IFDs, "Guidelines for the "Establishment and Use of Infrastructure Financing Districts in the City and County of San Francisco" were adopted by the Board of Supervisors on February 8, 2011 (Resolution No. 66-11). The City's Guidelines do not apply to an IFD on land owned or managed by the Port. The City currently has one established IFD, located in Rincon Hill, which is subject to the adopted guidelines, and was approved by the Board of Supervisors on February 15, 2011 (Ordinance No. 19-11).

## State Law Authorizes the Establishment of an Infrastructure Financing District on Port Property

State law ${ }^{2}$ authorizes the establishment of a Port IFD to finance additional improvement projects along the San Francisco waterfront. The additional improvement projects include removal of bay fill, storm water management facilities, shoreline restoration, maritime facility improvements, historic rehabilitation, and other improvement projects not included in non-Port IFDs.
A Port IFD may be divided into individual project areas, subject to Board of Supervisors approval. The State laws described in this report would apply to each Port project area that the Board of Supervisors approves. ${ }^{3}$ On March 27, 2012, the Board of Supervisors approved a resolution of intention to establish a Port IFD (Resolution No. 110-12), with seven project areas. On June 12, 2012, the Board of Supervisors amended the resolution of intention to include Seawall Lot 351 as the eighth project area in the Port IFD (Resolution No. 227-12). The eight project areas for the Port IFD in the amended resolution of intention are:

1. Seawall Lot 330 (Project Area A)
2. Piers 30-32 (Project Area B)
3. Pier 28 (Project Area C)
4. Pier 26 (Project Area D)
5. Seawall Lot 351 (Project Area E)
6. Pier 48 (Project Area F)
7. Pier 70 (Project Area G)
8. Rincon Point-South Point (Project Area H)

The resolution of intention allows the Port to establish additional project areas in compliance with State law, as noted below.

The previously approved resolution of intention directs the Port Executive Director to prepare a financing plan, which is subject to approval of the Board of Supervisors. According to Mr. Brad

[^2]Benson, Port Special Projects Manager, the Port intends to submit a Port IFD financing plan associated with the proposed multi-purpose venue on Piers 30-32 and the companion mixed use development on Seawall Lot 330 to the Board of Supervisors in late 2014, after the City has completed environmental review of the proposed project.
According to State law ${ }^{4}$, the portion of the tax increment allocated to local educational agencies, San Francisco Unified School District, San Francisco Community College District, and the San Francisco County Office of Education, may not be allocated to the Port IFD. The tax increment from other recipients of City property taxes, including the Bay Area Air Quality Management District and Bay Area Rapid Transit District, may be allocated to the Port IFD if a resolution approving the financing plan is adopted by that recipient and sent to the Board of Supervisors. ${ }^{5}$
Except for specified circumstances, State law ${ }^{6}$ mandates that any tax increment allocated to the Port IFD must be used within the Port IFD's boundaries. In addition, a minimum of 20 percent of the tax increment allocated to the Port IFD must be set aside to be expended exclusively on shoreline restoration, removal of bay fill, or waterfront public access to or environmental remediation of the San Francisco waterfront.

## Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund Tax Increment Allocated to Port IFD in. Specific Project Areas

According to State law ${ }^{7}$, the Port may use tax increment generated by the five project areas noted below, which would otherwise be allocated to the Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund ${ }^{8}$ 's (ERAF), subject to specific limitations. Two of the five project areas - Seawall Lot 330 and Pier 70 - were included in the resolution of intention, previously approved by the Board of Supervisors, while three of the five project areas - Piers 19, 23, and 29 - may be proposed by the Port for inclusion in the Port IFD at a future date. According to Ms. Joanne Sakai, Deputy City Attorney, the Board of Supervisors may opt to not allocate ERAF's share of tax increment generated by any of the five project areas to the Port IFD on a case-by-case basis when considering whether to approve the proposed Port IFD financing plan.

[^3]
## Pier 70 Project Area

A Pier 70 project area may not be formed prior to January 1, 2014. According to Mr. Benson, the Port intends to submit a financing plan for the Pier 70 project area for Board of Supervisors consideration after it completes environmental review of the proposed Pier 70 mixed use development, likely in 2015 or 2016. The Port may allocate ERAF's share of tax increment from the Pier 70 project area to the Port IFD to fund public improvements at Pier 70. Under State law, the amount of ERAF's share of tax increment allocated to the Port IFD is proportional to the City's share of tax increment allocated to the Port IFD. ${ }^{9}$
The Port may issue debt, secured by-the ERAF share of tax increment from the Pier 70 project area for up to 20 fiscal years from the first Pier 70 debt issuance. Once any ERAF-secured debt issued within the Pier 70 project area has been paid, ERAF's share of tax increment will be paid into ERAF. Beginning in the $21^{\text {st }}$ fiscal year, ERAF's share of tax increment may only be used to meet debt service obligations for previously issued debt secured by ERAF's allocation of tax increment. ERAF's share of tax increment exceeding debt service obligations must be paid into ERAF.

## Seawall Lot 330 and Piers 19, 23, and 29 Project Areas

ERAF"s share of tax increment from Seawall Lot 330 and Piers 19, 23, and 29 may only be allocated to fund (a) construction of the Port's Cruise Terminal at Pier 27, (b) planning and design work directly related to construction of the Port's Cruise Terminal at Pier 27, (c) future installations of shoreside power facilities on Port maritime facilities, and (d) planning, design, acquisition, and construction of improvements to publicly-owned waterfront lands held by trustee agencies, such as the National Park Service, California State Parks, and City and County of San Francisco Departments to be used as a public spectator viewing site for America's Cup related events.

ERAF's share of tax increment allocated to Seawall Lot 330 and Piers 19, 23, and 29 project areas must be equal to the percentage of the City's share of tax increment allocated to these project areas and cannot exceed $\$ 1,000,000$ annually. The Port must set aside a minimum of 20 percent of ERAF's share of tax increment allocated to these project areas to pay for planning, design, acquisition, and construction of improvements to waterfront lands owned by Federal, State, or local trustee agencies, such as the National Park Service or the California State Parks. ${ }^{10}$
Any improvements made with ERAF's share of tax increment for the above purposes are not required to be located within the individual project areas from which ERAF's share of tax increment is allocated. To enable allocation of ERAF's share of tax increment from all of the eligible project areas noted above, the Board of Supervisors would have to approve an amendment the previously approved resolution of intention to form the Port IFD to authorize Piers 19, 23 and 29 as Port IFD project areas.

[^4]Maps of the Port IFD, with specific project area boundaries defined, are provided in the Attachment to this report.

DETAILS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION
The proposed resolution would adopt "Guidelines for the Establishment and Use of an Infrastructure Financing District with Project Areas on Land under the Jurisdiction of the San Francisco Port Commission" (Port IFD Guidelines). The City's Capital Planning Committee recommended approval of the Port IFD Guidelines on January 2, 2013.
The Port IFD Guidelines identify 10 threshold criteria and four strategic criteria. According to Mr. Benson, the threshold criteria must be met in order to establish a Port IFD and the strategic criteria should be considered by the Board of Supervisors but are not required for the establishment of a Port IFD. Because neither the proposed Port IFD Guidelines nor the proposed resolution define the purpose of the threshold criteria and strategic criteria, the proposed Port IFD Guidelines should be amended to specify that (1) the threshold criteria must be met in order to establish a Port IFD, and (2) the strategic criteria should be considered by the Board of Supervisors but are not required for the establishment of a Port IFD, comparable to language in the City's Guidelines.

The Port IFD Guidelines are summarized below.

## Threshold Criteria of the Port IFD Guidelines

1. Any Port IFD initially established is subject to Board of Supervisors approval and must:

- Consist exclusively of Port property;
- Meet the threshold criteria proposed in the Port IFD Guidelines;
- Be accompanied by a project area-specific financing plan that meets State law requirements.

2. Potential property annexations to the Port IFD of non-Port property adjacent to Port property are subject to Board of Supervisors approval and will be evaluated individually to determine whether to annex the non-Port property. If annexation is approved, the percentage of the tax increment generated by the non-Port property not used to finance Port public facilities should be subject to the City's IFD Guidelines.
3. No tax increment will be allocated to the Port IFD without completion of environmental review and recommendation for approval by the City's Capital Planning Committee.
4. Public facilities financed by tax increment in project areas and any adjacent property annexations approved by the Board of Supervisors must be consistent with:

- State law regarding IFDs;
- The Port's Waterfront Land Use Plan;
- Any restrictions on Port land use pursuant to the Burton Act;
- The Port's 10 -Year Capital Plan.

5. The Port must demonstrate that the project area will result in a net economic benefit to the City in the project area-specific financing plan by including:

- Total revenue that the General Fund is projected to receive;
- Total number of jobs and other economic development benefits the project is expected to produce.

6. When an allocation of ERAF's share of tax increment, identified in the Port IFD Guidelines as $\$ 0.25$ per $\$ 1.00$ in tax increment, is authorized under State law, the City, subject to Board of Supervisors approval, should maximize such contributions to those project areas by allocating the maximum amount of City tax increment to those areas, identified in the Guidelines as $\$ 0.65$ per $\$ 1.00$ in tax increment. As previously noted, ERAF's share of tax increment is authorized for allocation within the Seawall Lot 330, Pier 19, Pier 23, Pier 29, and Pier 70 project areas.
7. Tax increment amounts based on project area-specific financing plans for project areas are subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors and should be sufficient to enable the Port to:

- Obtain fair market rent for Port leases after build-out of the project area;
- Enable proposed development projects to attract equity;
- Fund debt service and debt. service coverage for any bonds issued in public facilities financed by tax increment in Port IFD project areas;
- Fund the Port's administrative costs and authorized public facilities with available revenue on a pay-as-you-go ${ }^{11}$ basis.

8. Excess tax increment not required to fund public facilities in project areas will be allocated to either (a) the City's General Fund, (b) funding improvements to the City's seawall, or (c) protecting the City against sea level rise, as allowed by State law, contingent upon Board of Supervisors approval.
9. The Port will include pay-as-you-go tax increment revenue allocated to the project area in the Port's Capital Budget if the Port issues revenue bonds to be repaid by tax increment revenue generated in one or more Port project areas in order to provide debt service coverage for Port revenue bonds as a source of funding.
10. The Port is required to identify sources of funding to construct, operate and maintain public facilities by project area tax increment in the project area-specific financing plan.

## Strategic Criteria of the Port IFD Guidelines

The four strategic criteria for the Board of Supervisors to consider, when approving the Port IFD, provide guidance in the appropriate use of Port IFD financing and in the selection of projects within the Port IFD. These strategic criteria are:

- Port IFD financing should be used for public facilities serving Port land where other Port monies are insufficient;
- Port IFD financing should be used to leverage non-City resources, such as any additional regional, State, or Federal funds that may be available;
- The Port should continue utilizing the "'best-practices' citizen participation procedures ${ }^{12}$ to help establish priorities for public facilities serving Port land;

[^5]- The Port, the Mayor's Budget Office and the Controller should collaborate to conduct periodic nexus studies every ten years, at minimum, to examine whether the cost of basic municipal services, such as services provided by the Fire and Police Departments, are covered by the sum of the portion of property taxes the City receives from Port land, hotel, sales, payroll or gross receipts taxes, and any other taxes the City receives from Port land, and any other revenues that the City receives from Port land.


## FISCAL ANALYSIS

While there is no direct fiscal impact of the proposed resolution to adopt the Port's Guidelines for Establishment and Use of an Infrastructure Financial District with Project Areas on Land under the Jurisdiction of the Port Commission, there are criteria within the Port IFD Guidelines that may have fiscal impacts to the Port and the City.

## Threshold Criteria 5 Requires Net Economic, Not Fiscal, Benefit to the City

Threshold Criteria 5 requires that the project area financing plan demonstrate a net economic benefit to the City that, over the term of the project area, includes the (a) total estimated amount of revenue to the City's General Fund; and (b) number of jobs and other economic development benefits. In contrast, the City's IFD Guidelines require that the IFD provide a net fiscal benefit over the 30 -year term of the IFD, "guaranteeing that there is at least some gain to the General Fund in all circumstances". In addition, State law ${ }^{13}$ requires only an analysis of costs and revenues to the City.

Threshold Criteria 5 states that the project area financing plan should be similar to findings of fiscal responsibility and feasibility reports prepared in accordance with Administrative Code Chapter 29. Administrative Code Chapter 29 requires more detailed evaluation of fiscal benefits to the City than required by the proposed Port IFD Guidelines, including direct and indirect financial benefits to the City, project construction costs, available funding to pay project costs, ongoing maintenance and operating costs, and debt service costs.
The City's IFD Guidelines acknowledge that the Port's use of IFD law differs from the City in that the Port intends to build infrastructure to attract private investment to create jobs, small business, waterfront visitors and other growth, and therefore would not necessarily be "predicated on up-zonings ${ }^{14}$ that result in net fiscal benefits to the General Fund". However, in order to fully disclose the fiscal impact of the Port IFD on the City's General Fund, the Budget and Legislative Analyst recommends that the proposed Port IFD Guidelines be amended to require that the project area financing plan project the net fiscal impact to the City's General Fund, as well as the net economic benefits, over the term of the Port IFD.

[^6]
## Threshold Criteria 6 and 7 Refer to Specific Tax Increment Percentages Which are Subject to Change

Threshold Criteria 6 and 7 refer to specific property tax rate allocations, as they are currently allocated. The City's property tax allocation is referred to in specific numeric terms as $\$ 0.65$ per $\$ 1.00$ in tax increment and ERAF's Property Tax allocation is referred to as $\$ 0.25$ per $\$ 1.00 \mathrm{in}$ tax increment. However, future State law may change these property tax allocations. In addition, these property tax allocations are subject to approval by the State for ERAF and by Board of Supervisors for the City on an annual basis. Therefore, the Budget and Legislative Analyst recommends that Threshold Criteria 6 and 7 specify that the share of tax increment allocated to the City and ERAF is the tax rate established annually by the State for ERAF and by the Board of Supervisors for the City pursuant to the California Revenue and Taxation Code.

## Threshold Criteria 8 Does Not Specify ERAF's Excess Share of Tax Increment May Not be Re-Allocated to the City's General Fund

Threshold Criteria 8 states that excess tax increment not required to fund project area-specific public facilities should be allocated to the General Fund or to improvements in the City's seawall and other measures to protect against sea level rise. However, Threshold Criteria 8 does not specify that ERAF's excess share of tax increment may not be diverted in the manner outlined by Threshold Criteria 8. State law contains specific restrictions for how ERAF's share of tax increment may be used, as described in the Background Section of this report. Therefore, the Budget and Legislative Analyst recommends that Threshold Criteria 8 should specify that ERAF tax increment may not be re-allocated to the City's General Fund or to improvements in the City's seawall and other measures to protect against sea level rise.

## POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

## State Law Allows ERAF Tax Increment Intended to Fund Local Education to be used to Fund Construction of the Pier 27 Cruise Terminal and Development at Pier 70

As previously noted, ERAF's share of tax increment may be allocated to five project areas within the Port IFD and used for limited purposes. Threshold Criteria 6 specifies that the City should maximize ERAF contributions in designated project areas by allocating the maximum City contribution to those same project areas. ${ }^{15}$ The rationale for maximizing ERAF contributions is to maximize the Port's ability to pay for development of public infrastructure along the Port, such as the Cruise Terminal at Pier 27. Such allocations are subject to Board of Supervisors approval for each individual project area.
According to the Senate Appropriation Committee's fiscal summary of the State law, diverting ERAF's share of tax increment could potentially result in a State General Fund cost to backfill those monies intended for education. However, the potential State General Fund cost is unknown because the economic activity that would be generated absent a Port IFD is unclear.

[^7]
## Approval of the Proposed Resolution is a Policy Decision for the Board of Supervisors

The proposed Port IFD Guidelines will guide future Board of Supervisors' decisions on allocation of City and ERAF tax increment. Therefore, approval of the proposed resolution is a policy decision for the Board of Supervisors.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Amend the proposed resolution to request the Port to amend:
(a) The Port IFD Guidelines to specify that the threshold criteria must be met in order to establish a Port IFD or project area, and the strategic criteria should be considered by the Board of Supervisors but are not required to establish a Port IFD;
(b) Threshold Criteria 5 to require that the project area financing plan projects the net fiscal impact to the City's General Fund, as well as the net economic benefits, over the term of the Port IFD;
(c) Threshold Criteria 6 and 7 to specify that the share of tax increment allocated to the City and ERAF is the tax rate established annually by the State for the ERAF and by the Board of Supervisors for the City pursuant to the California Revenue and Taxation Code; and
(d) Threshold Criteria 8 to specify that ERAF's excess share of tax increment may not be reallocated to the City's General Fund or to improvements in the City's seawall and other measures to protect against sea level rise.
2. Approval of the proposed resolution, as amended, is a policy decision for the Board of Supervisors.




Revised 4-11-13

[^8]
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# Draft Guidelines for the Establishment and Use of an Infrastructure Financing District with Project Areas on Land under the Jurisdiction of the San Francisco Port Commission (Revised 4/16/13 per Budget Analyst's recommendations) <br> Threshold Criteria: The following Threshold Criteria must be met to establish an infrastructure 

 financing district (IFD) or project area on Port land.1. At formation, limit waterfront districts and project areas to Port land. Consistent with California Infrastructure Financing District (IFD)-law (Gov. Code §§ 53395-53398.47) (IFD law), the City may form an IFD consisting only of land under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Port Commission (Port) without an election (waterfront district). The formation of a waterfront district consisting of all Port land with project areas corresponding to Port development projects within the waterfront district will be subject to the criteria in these Guidelines for Establishment and Use of Infrastructure Financing Districts and Project Areas on Land under the Jurisdiction of the San Francisco Port Commission (Port Guidelines). The City will consider allocating property tax increment from a project area to the waterfront district when the Port submits a project area-specific infrastructure financing plani that specifies: (a) the public facilities to be financed by tax increment ${ }^{2}$ generated in the project area; (b) the projected cost of the proposed public facilities; (c) the projected amount of tax increment that will be generated over the term of the project area; (d) the amount of tax increment that is proposed to be allocated to the IFD to finance public facilities; and (e) any other matters required under IFD law.
2. Consider requests to annex non-Port land to a project area on a case-by-case basis. If an owner of non-Port land adjacent to a project area petitions to add the adjacent property to the project area in accordance with the IFD law, the City will consider on a case-by-case basis: (a) whether to annex the non-Port property to the project area to assist in financing public facilities; and (b) the extent to which tax increment generated by the non-Port land but not used for Port public facilities should be subject to the Guidelines for the Establishment and Use of Infrastructure Financing Districts in the City and County of San Francisco (City Guidelines). ${ }^{3}$
3. Require completion of environmental review and the affirmative recommendation of the Capital Planning Committee before approving any infrastructure financing plan that allocates tax increment from a project area. The City may form the Port-wide waterfront district without allocating tax increment to the waterfront district. The City will

[^9]not approve an infrastructure financing plan that would allocate property tax increment to the waterfront district from any project area, however, until the following have occurred: (a) the City has completed environmental review of the proposed development project associated with the project area and any proposed public facilities to be financed with property tax increment from the project area; and (b) the Capital Planning Committee has recommended approval of the related infrastructure financing plan.
4. Public facilities financed by tax increment must be consistent with applicable laws, policies, and the Port's capital plan. Project areas in the waterfront district must finance public facilities that are consistent with: (a) IFD law; (b) the Port's Waterfront Land Use Plan; (c) any restrictions imposed by the public trust for commerce, navigation, and fisheries, the Burton Act (stats. 1968, ch. 1333), or other applicable statute; and (d) the Port's 10-Year Capital Plan, all as in effect on the date the City approves any project area infrastructure financing plan.
5. The Port must demonstrate the net fiscal impact of the proposed project area on the City's General Fund and show that the project area will result in a net economic benefit to the City, including the Port. The Port must include in the infrastructure financing plan for each project area: (a) the total amount of revenue that the City's General Fund is projected to receive and the projected costs to the City's General Fund over the term of the project area; and (b) the number of jobs and other economic development benefits that the project assisted by the waterfront district is projected to produce over the term of the project area. The projections in the infrastructure financing plan should be similar to those prepared to demonstrate that certain projects are fiscally feasible and responsible in accordance with Administrative Code Chapter 29 and include projections of direct and indirect financial benefits to the City. construction costs. available funding to pay project costs. ongoing operating and maintenance costs. and debt service:-
6. Where applicable, maximize State contributions to project areas through matching City contributions. IFD law authorizes the allocation of the State's share of property tax increment to certain Port project areas in proportion to the City's allocation of tax increment to the Port project area to assist in financing specified Port public facilities, such as historic preservation at Pier 70 and the Port's new James R. Herman Cruise Terminal at Pier 27. When an allocation of the State's share of property tax increment to a Port project area is authorized under IFD law, the City will allocate to the waterfront district the amount of tax increment from the project area that will maximize the amount of the State's tax increment that is available to fund authorized public facilities. In accordance with the California Revenue and Taxation Code. the Board of Supervisors annually approves the share of City property tax dollars allocated to the City (\$0.646 in FY 2012-2013), and the State annually approves the State's share of City property tax dollars ( $\$ 0.253$ in FY 2012-2013). To maximize State contributions to project areas through matching City contributions in project

[^10] areas where the City's use of the State's share is authorizedde so, the City would budget up $\$ 0.90$ per the sum of all of the City's share of property tax dollars from the project area plus all of the State's share of property tax dollars from the project area fi.e., the sum of $\$ 0.65$ of tax increment alloeated by the City to the waterfront-district from the project area and the-state's share of tax inerement), until the earlier to occur of: (a) full financing of the authorized public facilities by tax increment; or (b) the allocation to the waterfront district of the full amount of tax increment from the project area authorized under the approved infrastructure financing plan.
7. Determine the amount of tax increment to be allocated to the waterfront district from a project area in relation to project economics. The City will consider approving infrastructure financing plans for Port project areas that provide for allocations of tax ineremt it to $\$ 0.65$ per-up to the sum of property tax dollars allocated to the City from
the project area in accordance with tax rates established annually by the Board of Supervisors for the City, or, where permitted by IFD law, the sum of the City's share of property tax dollars from the project area $\$ 0.65$ of tax increment so that, in combination with plus Statethe State's share of property tax dollars from the project area as established annually by the State's share of tax increment, the total allocated is up to $\$ 0.90$ per property tax-dollar, to fund authorized public facilities necessary for each proposed development project. Each infrastructure financing plan must include projections of the amount of tax increment that will be needed to fund necessary public facilities. The allocation should be sufficient to enable the Port to: (a) obtain fair market rent for Port ground leases after build-out of the project area; and (b) enable proposed development projects to attract private equity. No tax increment will be used to pay a developer's return on equity or other internal profit metric in excess of limits imposed by applicable state and federal law; the IFD law currently measures permissible developer return by reference to a published bond index and both the State Mello-Roos Community Facilities Act and federal tax law require a return that is consistent with industry standards. The Board of Supervisors in its discretion may allocate additional tax increment to other public facilities serving the waterfront district that require funding.

An approved infrastructure financing plan will state the City's agreement that, for any debt secured by tax increment allocated to the waterfront district from a project area to finance authorized public facilities, the City will disburse tax increment to the waterfront district from the project area in amounts sufficient to fund: (a) debt service and debt service coverage for bonds issued under IFD law (IFD Bonds), bonds issued under the Mello-Roos Community Facilities Act of $1982^{4}$ (CFD Bonds), and other forms of indebtedness that the Port is authorized to issue to fund public facilities authorized to be financed in the infrastructure financing plan to the extent not funded by special tax levies; and (b) costs of administration and authorized public facilities on a pay-as-you-go basis.
8. Use excess tax increment for citywide purposes. Any portion of the City's share of Ttax increment that the City allocated to the waterfront district from the project area but that is not required to fund eligible project-specific public facilities will be re-allocated to the City's General Fund or to improvements to the City's seawall and other measures to protect the City against sea level rise or other foreseeable risks to the City's waterfront. Under IFD law, any portion of the State's share of tax increment not needed to fund cligible public facilities reverts to the State and may not be re-allocated for citywide purposes.
9. Port Capital Budget. If the Port issues Port revenue bonds (instead of CFD Bonds or IFD Bonds) to be repaid by tax increment revenue generated in one or more Port project areas, to further the purposes Port Commission Resolution No. 12-22 adopting the Port's Policy for Funding Capital Budget Expenditures, the Port will include annually in its Capital Budget any tax increment revenue allocated to the waterfront district from the project area to provide debt service coverage on any Port revenue bond debt payable from tax increment.
10. Require each project area infrastructure financing plan to identify sources of funding to construct, operate, and maintain public facilities financed by project area tax increment. Tax increment will be allocated to the waterfront district from a project area under a project area infrastructure financing plan only if the Port has identified anticipated sources of funding to construct, operate, and maintain any public facilities to be financed with project area tax increment. Examples of acceptable sources for operation and maintenance are: (a) private financing mechanisms, such as a homeowners association assessmẹnt; (b) a supplemental special tax levied by a community facilities district formed

[^11]under the Mello-Roos Act or assessments levied by a community benefits district; and (c) the Port's maintenance budget or other allocation of the Port Harbor Fund.
Strategic Criteria: are to be considered by the Board of Supervisors. but are not required to
establish a Port IFD or project area.

- Use Port IFD financing for public facilities serving Port land where other Port moneys are insufficient. Port IFD financing should be used to finance public facilities serving Port land when the Port does not otherwise have sufficient funds.
- Use Port IFD financing to leverage non-City resources. Port IFD financing should be used to leverage additional regional, state, and federal funds. For example, IFD funds may prove instrumental in securing matching federal or state dollars for transportation projects.
- Continue the Port's "best-practices" citizen participation procedures to help establish priorities for public facilities serving Port land. Continue to use the Port's "bestpractices" citizen participation procedures to: (a) establish community and municipal priorities for construction of infrastructure serving Port land; and (b) ensure that infrastructure financing plans for Port project areas provide financing to help the Port and the City meet those priorities.
- The Port, the Mayor's Budget Office, and the Controller should collaborate to conduct periodic nexus studies. No less than every ten years, the Port, the Mayor's Budget Office, and the Controller should collaborate on a nexus study. The nexus analysis will examine whether the cost of basic municipal services provided to Port property, such as services provided by the Fire and Police Departments, is covered by the sum of: (a) the portion of property taxes the City receives from Port land that is not allocated to the waterfront district; (b) hotel, sales, payroll or gross receipts, and any other taxes the City receives from Port land; and (c) any other revenues that the City receives from Port land.


## Draft <br> Guidelines for the Establishment and Use of an Infrastructure Financing District with Project Areas on Land under the Jurisdiction of the San Francisco Port Commission

## Threshold Criteria:

1. At formation, limit waterfront districts and project areas to Port land. Consistent with California Infrastructure Financing District (IFD) law (Gov. Code §§ 53395-53398.47), the City may form an IFD consisting only of land under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Port Commission (Port) without an election (waterfront district). The formation of a waterfront district consisting of all Port land with project areas corresponding to Port development projects within the waterfront district ${ }^{1}$ will be subject to the criteria in these Guidelines for Establishment and Use of Infrastructure Financing Districts and Project Areas on Land under the Jurisdiction of the San Francisco Port Commission (Port Guidelines). The City will consider allocating property tax increment from a project area to the waterfront district when the Port submits a project area-specific infrastructure financing plan that specifies: (a) the public facilities to be financed by tax increment ${ }^{2}$ generated in the project area; (b) the projected cost of the proposed public facilities; (c) the projected amount of tax increment that will be generated over the term of the project area; (d) the amount of tax increment that is proposed to be allocated to the IFD to finance public facilities; and (e) any other matters required under IFD law.
2. Consider requests to annex non-Port land to a project area on a case-by-case basis. If an owner of non-Port land adjacent to a project area petitions to add the adjacent property to the project area in accordance with the IFD law, the City will consider on a case-by-case basis: (a) whether to annex the non-Port property to the project area to assist in financing public facilities; and (b) the extent to which tax increment generated by the non-Port land but not used for Port public facilities should be subject to the Guidelines for the Establishment and Use of Infrastructure Financing Districts in the City and County of San Francisco (City Guidelines). ${ }^{3}$
3. Require completion of environmental review and the affirmative recommendation of the Capital Planning Committee before approving any infrastructure financing plan that allocates tax increment from a project area. The City may form the Port-wide waterfront district without allocating tax increment to the waterfront district. The City will not approve an infrastructure financing plan that would allocate property tax increment to the

1 In according with Board of Supervisors intent as stated in Board Resolution No. 110-12, adopted on March 27, 2012, and Board Resolution No. 227-12, adopted on June 12, 2012. These Port Guidelines will apply even if the Board later decides to create multiple IFDs on Port land, rather than a single waterfront district.
2 IFD law generally authorizes certain classes of public facilities to be financed through FFDs. The Legislature has broadened the types of authorized public facilities for waterfront districts to include: (1) remediation of hazardous materials in, on, under, or around any real or tangible property; (2) seismic and life-safety improvements to existing buildings; (3) rehabilitation, restoration, and preservation of structures, buildings, or other facilities having special historical, architectural, or aesthetic interest or value and that are listed on the National Register of Historic Places, are eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places individually or because of their location within an eligible registered historic district, or are listed on a state or local register of historic landmarks; (4) structural repairs and improvements to piers, seawalls, and wharves, and instaliation of piles; (5) removal of bay fill; (6) stormwater management facilities, other utility infrastructure, or public open-space improvements; (7) shoreline restoration; (8) other repairs and improvements to maritime facilities; (9) planning and design work that is directly related to any public facilities authorized to be financed by a waterfont district; ( 10 ) reimbursement payments made to the Califormia Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank in accordance with IFD law; (11) improvements, which may be publicly owned, to protect against potential sea level rise; (12) Port maritime facilities at Pier 27; (13) shoreside power installations at Port maritime facilities; and (14) improvements to publicly-owned waterfront lands used as public spectator viewing sites for America's Cup activities in San Francisco. Gov. Code §§ 53395.3, 53395.8(d), and 53395.81(c)(1).
3 Adopted on February 8,2011 , by the Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 66-11. The City Guidelines do not apply to IFDs on land owned or managed by the Port.
waterfront district from any project area, however, until the following have occurred: (a) the City has completed environmental review of the proposed development project associated with the project area and any proposed public facilities to be financed with property tax increment from the project area; and (b) the Capital Planning Committee has recommended approval of the related infrastructure financing plan.
4. Public facilities financed by tax increment must be consistent with applicable laws, policies, and the Port's capital plan. Project areas in the waterfront district must finance public facilities that are consistent with: (a) IFD law; (b) the Port's Waterfront Land Use Plan; (c) any restrictions imposed by the public trust for commerce, navigation, and fisheries, the Burton Act (stats. 1968, ch. 1333), or other applicable statute; and (d) the Port's 10-Year Capital Plan, all as in effect on the date the City approves any project area infrastructure financing plan.
5. The Port must demonstrate that the project area will result in a net economic benefit to the City, including the Port. The Port must include in the infrastructure financing plan for each project area: (a) the total amount of revenue that the City's General Fund is projected to receive over the term of the project area; and (b) the number of jobs and other economic development benefits that the project assisted by the waterfront district is projected to produce over the term of the project area. The projections in the infrastructure financing plan should be similar to those prepared to demonstrate that certain projects are fiscally feasible and responsible in accordance with Administrative Code Chapter 29.
6. Where applicable, maximize State contributions to project areas through matching City contributions. IFD law authorizes the allocation of the State's share of property tax increment to certain Port project areas in proportion to the City's allocation of tax increment to the Port project area to assist in financing specified Port public facilities, such as historic preservation at Pier 70 and the Port's new James R. Herman Cruise Terminal at Pier 27. When an allocation of the State's share of property tax increment to a Port project area is authorized under IFD law, the City will allocate to the waterfront district the amount of tax increment from the project area that will maximize the amount of the State's tax increment that is available to fund authorized public facilities. To do so, the City would budget up to $\$ 0.90$ per property tax dollar (i.e., the sum of $\$ 0.65$ of tax increment allocated by the City to the waterfront district from the project area and the State's share of tax increment), until the earlier to occur of: (a) full financing of the authorized public facilities by tax increment; or (b) the allocation to the waterfront district of the full amount of tax increment from the project area authorized under the approved infrastructure financing plan.
7. Determine the amount of tax increment to be allocated to the waterfront district from a project area in relation to project economics. The City will consider approving infrastructure financing plans for Port project areas that provide for allocations of tax increment of up to $\$ 0.65$ per property tax dollar, or, where permitted by IFD law, $\$ 0.65$ of tax increment so that, in combination with State's share of tax increment, the total allocated is up to $\$ 0.90$ per property tax dollar, to fund authorized public facilities necessary for each proposed development project. Each infrastructure financing plan must include projections of the amount of tax increment that will be needed to fund necessary public facilities. The allocation should be sufficient to enable the Port to: (a) obtain fair market rent for Port ground leases after build-out of the project area; and (b) enable proposed development projects to attract private equity. No tax increment will be used to pay a developer's return on equity or other internal profit metric in excess of limits imposed by applicable state and federal law; the IFD law currently measures permissible developer return by reference to a published bond index and both the State Mello-Roos Community Facilities Act and federal tax law require a return that is consistent with industry standards. The Board of Supervisors
in its discretion may allocate additional tax increment to other public facilities serving the waterfront district that require funding.

An approved infrastructure financing plan will state the City's agreement that, for any debt secured by tax increment allocated to the waterfront district from a project area to finance authorized public facilities, the City will disburse tax increment to the waterfront district from the project area in amounts sufficient to fund: (a) debt service and debt service coverage for bonds issued under IFD law (IFD Bonds), bonds issued under the Mello-Roos Community Facilities Act of $1982^{4}$ (CFD Bonds), and other forms of indebtedness that the Port is authorized to issue to fund public facilities authorized to be financed in the infrastructure financing plan to the extent not funded by special tax levies; and (b) costs of administration and authorized public facilities on a pay-as-you-go basis.
8. Use excess tax increment for citywide purposes. Tax increment not required to fund eligible project-specific public facilities will be allocated to the City's General Fund or to improvements to the City's seawall and other measures to protect the City against sea level rise or other foreseeable risks to the City's waterfront.
9. Port Capital Budget. If the Port issues Port revenue bonds (instead of CFD Bonds or IFD Bonds) to be repaid by tax increment revenue generated in one or more Port project areas, to further the purposes Port Commission Resolution No. 12-22 adopting the Port's Policy for Funding Capital Budget Expenditures, the Port will include annually in its Capital Budget any tax increment revenue allocated to the waterfront district from the project area to provide debt service coverage on any Port revenue bond debt payable from tax increment.
10. Require each project area infrastructure financing plan to identify sources of funding to construct, operate, and maintain public facilities financed by project area tax increment. Tax increment will be allocated to the waterfront district from a project area under a project area infrastructure financing plan only if the Port has identified anticipated sources of funding to construct, operate, and maintain any public facilities to be financed with project area tax increment. Examples of acceptable sources for operation and maintenance are: (a) private financing mechanisms, such as a homeowners association assessment; (b) a supplemental special tax levied by a community facilities district formed under the Mello-Roos Act or assessments levied by a community benefits district; and (c) the Port's maintenance budget or other allocation of the Port Harbor Fund.

## Strategic Criteria

- Use Port IFD financing for public facilities serving Port land where other Port moneys are insufficient. Port IFD financing should be used to finance public facilities serving Port land when the Port does not otherwise have sufficient funds.
- Use Port IFD financing to leverage non-City resources. Port IFD financing should be used to leverage additional regional, state, and federal funds. For example, IFD funds may prove instrumental in securing matching federal or state dollars for transportation projects.
- Continue the Port's "best-practices" citizen participation procedures to help establish priorities for public facilities serving Port land. Continue to use the Port's "bestpractices" citizen participation procedures to: (a) establish community and municipal priorities for construction of infrastructure serving Port land; and (b) ensure that

[^12]infrastructure financing plans for Port project areas provide financing to help the Port and the City meet those priorities.

- The Port, the Mayor's Budget Office, and the Controller should collaborate to conduct periodic nexus studies. No less than every ten years, the Port, the Mayor's Budget Office, and the Controller should collaborate on a nexus study. The nexus analysis will examine whether the cost of basic municipal services provided to Port property, such as services provided by the Fire and Police Departments, is covered by the sum of: (a) the portion of property taxes the City receives from Port land that is not allocated to the waterfront district; (b) hotel, sales, payroll or gross receipts, and any other taxes the City receives from Port land; and (c) any other revenues that the City receives from Port land.


# City Policy for Port IFD Board of Supervisors Budget Committee 

## Infrastructure Financing Districts

- A city or county may form an Infrastructure Financing District (technically a separate political subdivision) to finance public improvements like new streets, utility infrastructure and parks.
- The method of financing - tax increment - is similar to redevelopment, where growth in property taxes may be captured for periods of up to 45 years, except that in most cases, only local property tax may be captured.
- Tax increment may be used to pay for infrastructure via the sale of bonds, or on a pay-as-you go basis.
- Port IFDs are structured to provide different types of public benefits than redevelopment, which focused on affordable housing. By state law, 20\% of the Port IFD tax increment must be spent on parks, Bay access and fill removal and environmental remediation.


## Port 10 Year <br> Capital Plan




## IFD Legislative Efforts

- SB 1085 (2005) - Authorized the Board of Supervisors to form Infrastructure Financing Districts along Port of San Francisco property
- AB 1199 (2010) - Pier 70 State Share of Tax Increment
- AB 664 \& AB 2259 (2012) - $34^{\text {th }}$ America's Cup IFD State Share of Tax Increment


## Proposed Port IFD Policy

## Nexus Analysis

- Charter and the Burton Act established Port Harbor Fund
- 2004 and 2008 nexus analysis (taxes and revenues from Port vs. cost of City services)
- Taxes generated from Port property are sufficient to pay for City services on leased property and the workorder budget supports services on unleased property.
- Principle: General Fund should not subsidize City services for unleased Port property, and the Harbor Fund should not pay for City services on leased property.


## Portwide IFD

- Waterfront project areas for each project
- Eligible uses:
> Piers, docks, wharves \& aprons
$>$ Parks and Bay access
$>$ Fill removal
$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \quad>$ Installation of piles
$>$ Seismic upgrades
$>$ Utility infrastructure
$>$ Streets and sidewalks
$>$ Environmental remediation
$>$ Historic rehabilitation
$>$ Seawall and sea level rise
$>$ Port maritime facilities


## Proposed Port IFD Policy

1. Port land. Districts formed on Port property.
2. Annexing Non-Port Land. Case-by-case policy decision about applying existing City IFD Guidelines.
3. CEQA. Conduct CEQA prior to adopting an Infrastructure Financing Plan.
4. Priority of Improvements. Consistent with: IFD law, Waterfront Plan, public trust and Capital Plan.
5. Economic Benefit and General Fund Impact. Results in total net revenue to General Fund, jobs and other economic development benefits.
6. State and City matching contributions. Maximize use of local increment to leverage the maximum available State share.

## Proposed Port IFD Policy

7. Amount of increment allocated. Up to $\$ 0.65$ per property tax dollar, or, where permitted by State law, up to $\$ 0.90$ per property tax dollar, until the costs of required infrastructure are fully paid or reimbursed. No increment will be used to pay a developer's return, except as permitted by law.
8. Excess increment. To the City's General Fund or to improvements to the City's seawall or to address sea level rise.
9. Port Annual Capital Program. If the Port issues revenue bonds, debt service coverage to Port Capital Program.
10. Funding for Infrastructure Maintenance. Identify source to maintain improvements.

## PORt IFD FORMATION

- Resolution 110-12 - "City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure Financing District No. 2 (Port of San Francisco)"
- City staff will develop an Infrastructure Finance Plan ("IFP") which will include a separate "IFP appendix" for each project
- Port, DPW, SFPUC review of horizontal infrastructure proposals and third-party cost estimates
- Mechanisms to ensure a fair infrastructure price (e.g., GMP contracts)
- CPC recommendation to full BOS regarding each IFP appendix


## Strategic Criteria \& Nexus

1. Use IFDs where other Port moneys are insufficient.
2. Use IFDs strategically to leverage non-City resources.
3. Continue the "best-practices" citizen participation procedures used to help City agencies prioritize implementation.

Conduct periodic nexus analysis every ten years to review net economic benefits to City. What are the costs of City services to the proposed development vs. general taxes (net of tax increment)?

## Major Waterfront Projects ${ }^{1}$

- SWL 337 \& Pier 48
3.6 million sf of mixed use development, est. all-in cost of $\$ 1.47$ billion $\$ 341$ million in tax increment captured to service debt ( $12.5 \%$ of total generated over 75 year term)
- Pier 70 Waterfront Site ${ }^{2}$
$>3.5$ million sf of mixed use development, est. all-in cost of $\$ 1.76$ billion
- Piers 30-32 and SWL 330
$\sim 2$ million sf of mixed use development, est. cost of $\$ 875-975$ million
Notes:
1 Figures for all development projects (sf of development, cost estimates and financial projections are conceptual, pre-entitlement projections.
2 The Port proposes to form a broader infrastructure financing district project area over all of Pier 70 ( 69 acres). The Waterfront Site is 25 acres.


## SWL 337 FISCAL IMPACT

## BASED ON CHAPTER 29 FISCAL FEASIBILITY REPORT PROJECTION IS SUBJECT TO REFINEMENT

- Net Fiscal Benefit to CCSF
$\$ 13$ million tax and dedicated revenue
- $\$ 2.5$ million Police, Fire and DPW costs
$=\$ 10.5$ million annual fiscal benefit
- While SFMTA is projected to receive $\$ 1.7$ million of this amount, the full costs of SFMTA service to the site will be further analyzed during CEQA and SFMTA's related planning studies
- After IFD pays for eligible infrastructure costs, the project will generate $\$ 8$ million annually (in 2013 dollars) which the Board may allocate to the City's seawall or for General Fund purposes.


## SWL 337 \& Pier 48: Costs for Parks, Streets, Historic Rehab, Utilities and Site Work




Notes:

- Costs presented in 2012 USD.
- Phase 4 also includes projected costs for Pier 48 of $\$ 22,050,000$ ( $\$ 28,428,311$ inflated), paid through tenantfunded capital improvements and project IFD proceeds.
- Total $=$ hard costs $+10 \%$ contingency $+25 \%$ soft costs.



## Pier 70 Waterfront Site Total Infrastructure \& Site Conditions Costs

Type of Infrastructure
Entitlements
Roads and Utilities
Site Preparation
Seacant Wall
$\stackrel{\infty}{8}$ Open Space
Site Remediation
Off-site Improvements
Total

Est. Cost
$\$ 21,000,000$
\$38,856,000
\$27,837,000
\$23,413,000
\$28;894;000
\$11,452,000
$\$ 26,894,000$
\$178,346,000

Notes:

- Costs presented in 2012 USD.
- Does not include approximately $\$ 90$ million in historic building rehab work, net costs of which (after.federal historic tax credits and building revenues) will be eligible for IFD reimbursement.



## Warriors: Fiscal Feasibility \& COSts

1. Direct \& indirect economic benefits of the project

- City Revenue: \$19.4M (inc. tax increment)/ \$53.8M (one-time)
- Visitor Spending: $\$ 60 \mathrm{M} /$ year
- Jobs: 2,623 (construction) / 1,757 (permanent)

2. Construction costs: \$875-975M (hard \& soft costs)

- City will reimburse Warriors for agreed improvements to Piers 30-32 capped at \$120 M
- Reimbursement from 3 sources: Piers 30-32 Rent Credits, Sale Price of SWL 330, IFD
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## Attachment 3:

## Cash Flow Projection of Annual Sources and Uses of Funds

| Anticipated Sources and Uses | Dollars (millions) |  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | Nominal Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horizontal Sponsor Capital Contribution | \$193.3 | \$217.6 | \$2.6 | \$4.5 | \$2.8 | \$2.5 | \$7.2 | \$4.2 | \$5.0 | \$21.1 | \$29.7 | \$43.7 |
| Port's Advance of Land Proceeds | \$63.1 | \$67.0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | \$65.1 | \$1.9 | -- |
| CFD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Bond Proceeds | \$61.2 | \$73.7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | \$18.9 | \$16.3 |
| CFD Pay Go | \$84.0 | \$257.2 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | \$0.6 |
| Tax Increment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net IFD Bond Proceeds | \$109.3 | \$143.2 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| IFD Pay Go | \$186.7 | \$563.7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | \$0.0 | \$0.2 | \$0.7 | \$0.7 |
| Total Sources | \$697.6 | \$1,322.4 | \$2.6 | \$4.5 | \$2.8 | \$2.5 | \$7.2 | \$4.2 | \$5.0 | \$86.4 | \$51.1 | \$61.3 |
| Project Uses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entitlement Costs | \$25.0 | \$25.0 | \$2.6 | \$4.5 | \$2.8 | \$2.5 | \$7.2 | \$4.2 | \$1.1 | -- | -- | -- |
| Hard and Soft IFD Facility Costs | \$203.3 | \$300.6 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | \$3.9 | \$21.1 | \$29.7 | \$43.7 |
| Preferred Return to Horizontal Sponsor | \$88.3 | \$111.4 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | \$17.0 | \$0.8 | \$0.7 |
| Reimbursement of Horizontal Sponsor Capital | \$180.0 | \$217.6 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | \$48.3 | \$20.6 | \$16.3 |
| Repayment of Port's Advance of Land Proceeds | \$71.9 | \$171.1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Seawall \& Sea Level Rise, Port-Wide Improvements | \$129.2 | \$496.7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | \$0.0 | -- | -- | \$0.6 |
| Total Uses | \$697.6 | \$1,322.4 | \$2.6 | \$4.5 | \$2.8 | \$2.5 | \$7.2 | \$4.2 | \$5.0 | \$86.4 | \$51.1 | \$61.3 |

<br>SF-FS2\wp\19\19067\017\Mission Rock - Summary Sources and Uses -- 11-30-17

| Anticipated Sources and Uses | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horizontal Sponsor Capital Contribution | \$32.8 | \$29.6 | \$18.8 | \$13.0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Port's Advance of Land Proceeds | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| CFD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Bond Proceeds | -- | -- | \$13.6 | \$5.0 | \$8.9 | \$0.4 | \$4.5 | \$1.2 | \$1.5 | \$0.9 | \$0.9 | \$0.9 | \$0.9 |
| CFD Pay Go | \$0.9 | \$1.1 | \$1.4 | \$1.4 | \$1.4 | \$1.4 | \$1.5 | \$1.5 | \$1.5 | \$1.6 | \$1.6 | \$1.6 | \$1.7 |
| Tax Increment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net IFD Bond Proceeds | -- | -- | \$50.5 | \$18.7 | \$33.0 | \$1.4 | \$16.7 | \$4.3 | \$5.5 | \$3.2 | \$3.2 | \$3.3 | \$3.4 |
| IFD Pay Go | \$4.5 | \$10.5 | \$6.2 | \$3.7 | \$2.9 | \$3.5 | \$2.7 | \$2.4 | \$2.0 | \$1.9 | \$1.7 | \$1.6 | \$1.4 |
| Total Sources | \$38.2 | \$41.2 | \$90.5 | \$41.9 | \$46.2 | \$6.7 | \$25.4 | \$9.4 | \$10.5 | \$7.5 | \$7.4 | \$7.4 | \$7.4 |
| Project Uses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entitlement Costs | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Hard and Soft IFD Facility Costs | \$32.8 | \$29.6 | \$18.8 | \$13.0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Preferred Return to Horizontal Sponsor | \$4.5 | \$8.1 | \$26.4 | \$11.6 | \$8.1 | \$1.5 | \$11.6 | \$3.5 | \$5.4 | \$0.3 | \$6.6 | \$5.0 | \$0.3 |
| Reimbursement of Horizontal Sponsor Capital | -- | -- | \$44.2 | \$16.9 | \$37.0 | \$2.6 | \$11.7 | \$4.2 | \$3.5 | \$5.3 | -- | \$1.3 | \$5.7 |
| Repayment of Port's Advance of Land Proceeds | -- | -- | \$0.7 | \$0.4 | \$1.0 | \$2.6 | \$2.1 | \$1.7 | \$1.6 | \$1.9 | \$0.9 | \$1.1 | \$1.3 |
| Seawall \& Sea Level Rise, Port-Wide Improvements | \$0.9 | \$3.6 | \$0.4 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | -- | \$0.0 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - |
| Total Uses | \$38.2 | \$41.2 | \$90.5 | \$41.9 | \$46.2 | \$6.7 | \$25.4 | \$9.4 | \$10.5 | \$7.5 | \$7.4 | \$7.4 | \$7.4 |


| Anticipated Sources and Uses | 2035 | 2036 | 2037 | 2038 | 2039 | 2040 | 2041 | 2042 | 2043 | 2044 | 2045 | 2046 | 2047 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horizontal Sponsor Capital Contribution | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Port's Advance of Land Proceeds | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| CFD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Bond Proceeds | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| CFD Pay Go | \$1.7 | \$1.7 | \$1.8 | \$1.8 | \$1.8 | \$1.9 | \$1.9 | \$1.9 | \$2.0 | \$2.0 | \$2.1 | \$2.1 | \$2.1 |
| Tax Increment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net IFD Bond Proceeds | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| IFD Pay Go | \$1.4 | \$1.5 | \$1.7 | \$1.8 | \$1.9 | \$2.1 | \$2.2 | \$2.3 | \$2.5 | \$2.6 | \$2.8 | \$2.9 | \$3.1 |
| Total Sources | \$3.1 | \$3.2 | \$3.4 | \$3.6 | \$3.7 | \$3.9 | \$4.1 | \$4.3 | \$4.5 | \$4.6 | \$4.8 | \$5.0 | \$5.2 |
| Project Uses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entitlement Costs | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Hard and Soft IFD Facility Costs | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Preferred Return to Horizontal Sponsor | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Reimbursement of Horizontal Sponsor Capital | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Repayment of Port's Advance of Land Proceeds | \$3.1 | \$3.2 | \$3.4 | \$3.6 | \$3.7 | \$3.9 | \$4.1 | \$4.3 | \$4.5 | \$4.6 | \$4.8 | \$5.0 | \$5.2 |
| Seawall \& Sea Level Rise, Port-Wide Improvements | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Total Uses | \$3.1 | \$3.2 | \$3.4 | \$3.6 | \$3.7 | \$3.9 | \$4.1 | \$4.3 | \$4.5 | \$4.6 | \$4.8 | \$5.0 | \$5.2 |
| *All Numbers are in millions of dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Anticipated Sources and Uses | 2048 | 2049 | 2050 | 2051 | 2052 | 2053 | 2054 | 2055 | 2056 | 2057 | 2058 | 2059 | 2060 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horizontal Sponsor Capital Contribution | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Port's Advance of Land Proceeds | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| CFD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Bond Proceeds | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| CFD Pay Go | \$2.7 | \$3.7 | \$5.0 | \$6.1 | \$6.6 | \$7.3 | \$8.0 | \$8.1 | \$8.3 | \$8.4 | \$8.6 | \$8.8 | \$9.0 |
| Tax Increment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net IFD Bond Proceeds | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| IFD Pay Go | \$3.2 | \$3.4 | \$3.0 | \$14.4 | \$14.9 | \$15.5 | \$20.1 | \$22.2 | \$25.5 | \$26.2 | \$28.1 | \$29.1 | \$30.1 |
| Total Sources | \$6.0 | \$7.1 | \$8.1 | \$20.5 | \$21.5 | \$22.8 | \$28.1 | \$30.3 | \$33.7 | \$34.6 | \$36.7 | \$37.8 | \$39.1 |
| Project Uses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entitlement Costs | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- |
| Hard and Soft IFD Facility Costs | -- | -- | -- | \$10.0 | \$10.5 | \$11.0 | \$11.6 | \$12.2 | \$12.8 | \$13.4 | \$14.1 | \$12.5 | -- |
| Preferred Return to Horizontal Sponsor | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Reimbursement of Horizontal Sponsor Capital | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Repayment of Port's Advance of Land Proceeds | \$6.0 | \$7.1 | \$8.1 | \$8.9 | \$9.8 | \$10.7 | \$11.8 | \$13.0 | \$14.3 | \$12.7 | -- | -- | -- |
| Seawall \& Sea Level Rise, Port-Wide Improvements | -- | -- | -- | \$1.6 | \$1.2 | \$1.0 | \$4.7 | \$5.2 | \$6.7 | \$8.5 | \$22.6 | \$25.4 | \$39.1 |
| Total Uses | \$6.0 | \$7.1 | \$8.1 | \$20.5 | \$21.5 | \$22.8 | \$28.1 | \$30.3 | \$33.7 | \$34.6 | \$36.7 | \$37.8 | \$39.1 |


| Anticipated Sources and Uses | 2061 | 2062 | 2063 | 2064 | 2065 | 2066 | 2067 | 2068 | 2069 | 2070 | 2071 | 2072 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horizontal Sponsor Capital Contribution | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Port's Advance of Land Proceeds | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| CFD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Bond Proceeds | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| CFD Pay Go | \$9.1 | \$9.3 | \$9.5 | \$9.7 | \$9.9 | \$10.1 | \$10.3 | \$10.5 | \$10.7 | \$10.9 | \$11.1 | \$11.4 |
| Tax Increment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net IFD Bond Proceeds | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| IFD Pay Go | \$31.0 | \$31.9 | \$32.8 | \$33.8 | \$32.3 | \$22.8 | \$23.3 | \$18.5 | \$15.2 | \$5.3 | \$3.7 | \$1.9 |
| Total Sources | \$40.2 | \$41.2 | \$42.4 | \$43.5 | \$42.2 | \$32.9 | \$33.6 | \$29.0 | \$25.9 | \$16.3 | \$14.9 | \$13.3 |
| Project Uses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entitlement Costs | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Hard and Soft IFD Facility Costs | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Preferred Return to Horizontal Sponsor | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Reimbursement of Horizontal Sponsor Capital | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Repayment of Port's Advance of Land Proceeds | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Seawall \& Sea Level Rise, Port-Wide Improvements | \$40.2 | \$41.2 | \$42.4 | \$43.5 | \$42.2 | \$32.9 | \$33.6 | \$29.0 | \$25.9 | \$16.3 | \$14.9 | \$13.3 |
| Total Uses | \$40.2 | \$41.2 | \$42.4 | \$43.5 | \$42.2 | \$32.9 | \$33.6 | \$29.0 | \$25.9 | \$16.3 | \$14.9 | \$13.3 |
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## I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The fiscal impact analysis presented in this report has been prepared to meet the requirements of Section 53395.8 (g) (3) (C) (vii) of the California Government Code relating to the formation of Project Area I and the addition of Sub-Project Areas I-1 through I-13 to the City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure Financing District No. 2. The boundaries of Project Area I encompass the boundaries of the proposed Mission Rock Project ("the Project"). For purposes of this analysis, the term "District" means the aggregate sum of Sub-Project Area I-1 through I13. The analysis addresses the following:
"The costs to San Francisco for providing facilities and services to the District while the District is being developed and after the district is developed, and of the taxes, fees, charges, and other revenues expected to be received by San Francisco as a result of expected development in the district."

The Project is anticipated to be comprised of the following components ${ }^{1}$ :

- Over 8 acres of parks and open space;
- 1,327 rental residential apartments, of which $40 \%$ will be affordable to households earning less than 150\% of the Area Median Income ${ }^{2}$,
- 248,931 square feet of retail;
- 1.7 million square feet of office, retail, and production space; and
- 983,876 square feet of structured parking.

Completion and full occupancy of the Project is anticipated by FY 2026/27. Upon buildout, the Project's service population is projected to reach 2,999 residents and 5,084 employees.

The analysis reflects the anticipated development program and phasing schedule provided by project sponsors in October 2017, as well as current fiscal information derived from the City and County of San Francisco (CCSF) FY 2017/18 Budget and Appropriation Ordinance.

Each Sub-Project area will receive tax increment for 45 years from the date that the such SubProject Area receives $\$ 100,000$ in incremental tax revenues under the IFD Law. This fiscal analysis evaluates the impacts of the entire Project from FY 2018/19 through FY 2072/73 which is the projected termination date of the last Sub-Project Area.

[^13]The analysis evaluates the cumulative and annual fiscal impacts to the CCSF General Fund. In accordance with the terms of the draft Disposition and Development Agreement between the Port and Seawall Lot 337 Associates, LLC ("Developer"), this analysis assumes that:

- $100 \%$ of the City's share of tax increment ( $64.59 \%$ of every dollar of gross tax increment) will be allocated from the General Fund to the IFD to fund eligible facilities; and
- The maintenance of all of the Project's public facilities, including the parks, open space, plazas, and public right of way, will be funded by a Community Facility District (CFD) and/or other exaction on property owners. Maintenance will not be funded by the CCSF General Fund.


## Net Fiscal impacts to the General Fund

The Project is anticipated to generate a surplus to the City's General Fund during each and every year, from construction through the anticipated termination of the IFD in FY 2072/73. The cumulative surplus to the City's General Fund from FY 2017/18 through FY 2072/73 will total approximately $\$ 750.9$ million in nominal dollars or $\$ 288.6$ million in current (2017) dollars. Net of transfers from the General Fund pursuant to the City Charter and policies, the cumulative surplus to the General Fund is estimated to total $\$ 402.3$ million in nominal dollars or $\$ 156.9$ million in current (2017) dollars.

Upon stabilization in FY 2028/29, the Project is estimated to generate an annual surplus of $\$ 8.0$ million in nominal dollars or $\$ 5.7$ million in current (2017) dollars. After accounting for City Charter and policy transfers, the annual surplus upon stabilization is estimated at $\$ 4.3$ million in nominal dollars or $\$ 3.1$ million in current (2017) dollars. The Project is anticipated to generate an annual General Fund surplus throughout the study period.

| Exhibit 1 - Net General Fund Impacts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cumulative Impacts(FY 2017/18 - FY 2071/72) |  | Annual Impacts Upon Build-out I Stabilization (FY 2028/29) |  |
|  | \$2017 millions | \$nominal millions | \$2017 millions | \$nominal millions |
| Impacts Before ADR Transfers |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues* <br> Expenditures | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 610.1 \\ (\$ 321.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 1,613.5 \\ (\$ 862.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 12.1 \\ & (\$ 6.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 16.8 \\ & (\$ 8.8) \end{aligned}$ |
| Net Surplus (Expense) | \$288.6 | \$750.9 | \$5.7 | \$8.0 |
| Impacts After ADR Transfers |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues* | \$478.4 | \$1,264.9 | \$9.5 | \$13.2 |
| Expenditures | \$(321.5) | \$(862.6) | (\$6.4) | (\$8.8) |
| Net Surplus (Expense) | \$156.9 | \$402.3 | \$3.1 | \$4.3 |

* Includes annual recurring and construction-related revenues


## II. INTRODUCTION

The fiscal impact analysis presented in this report has been prepared to meet the requirements of Section 53395.8 (g) (3) (C) (vii) of the California Government Code relating to the formation of Project Area I and the addition of Sub-Project Areas I-1 through I-13 to the City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure Financing District No. 2. The boundaries of Project Area I encompass the boundaries of the proposed Mission Rock Project ("the Project"). For purposes of this analysis, the term "District" means the aggregate sum of Sub-Project Area I-1 through I13. The analysis addresses the following:
"The costs to San Francisco for providing facilities and services to the District while the District is being developed and after the district is developed, and of the taxes, fees, charges, and other revenues expected to be received by San Francisco as a result of expected development in the district."

## A. Project Description

The Project consists of the development of a mixed use community on SWL 337 and Pier 48 to be undertaken by Seawall Lot 337 Associates, LLC as the Master Developer and additional developers to construct vertical improvements. The Project will also contain over eight (8) acres of Community Facilities District- maintained parks and open space, among other community amenities. Full occupancy of the Project is anticipated by FY 2026/27. Exhibit 2 summarizes the anticipated development program ${ }^{3}$.

| Exhibit 2 - Development Program |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use |  | Total |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |
| Units | Market | BMR |  |  |
| For Rent | 801 | 526 | 1,327 | DU |
| Commercial (Gross Sq Ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Retail |  |  | 248,931 | SqFt |
| Office |  |  | 1,231,091 | SqFt |
| Production |  |  | 202,500 | SqFt |
| Total Excluding Parking |  |  | 1,682,522 | Sq Ft |
| Parking |  |  | 983,876 | Sq Ft |
| Total Including Parking |  |  | 2,666,398 | Sq Ft |

## B. Service Population

In accordance with the Project's Draft EIR, the Project's population is projected to reach 2,999 residents and 5,084 employees (Exhibit 3), with an average household size of 2.35 (Appendix

[^14]Table C2). For purposes of this analysis, the service population is equivalent to the sum of the resident and the half of the employee population (day and evening population).

| Exhibit 3 - Project Demographics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service Population | Measure | Estimate |
| Households |  |  |
| Market Rate Units | 95\% occupied | 761 |
| BMR | 98\% occupied | 515 |
| Residents | Appendix Table C2 | 2,999 |
| Employees |  |  |
| Retail | 90\% occupied, 327 sf/employee | 685 |
| Office | 95\% occupied, 276 sf/employee | 4,237 |
| Production | 90\% occupied, 1,213 sf/employee | 150 |
| Parking | 90\% occupied, 75,000 sf/employee | 12 |
|  |  | 5,084 |
| Service Population: |  |  |
| Day \& Evening Population | pop + 0.5*emp. | 5,541 |

## C. Approach and Key Assumptions

The subject analysis evaluates the marginal impacts of the Project on the CCSF General Fund. The time horizon of the analysis extends from FY 2017/18 through FY 2072/73, which encompasses the full construction period and the anticipated duration of the IFD.

The fiscal impacts are presented net of General Fund tax increment to be diverted to the IFD. The analysis reflects the diversion of $100 \%$ of the General Fund's $64.59 \%$ share of gross property tax increment.

Pursuant to the City's charter and adopted policies, this analysis measures General Fund revenues before and after transfers to the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) Fund, Children's Services Fund and Library Preservation Fund. The baseline revenue transfers reflected in the analysis are as follows:

- MTA Fund - 9.19\% of General Fund Aggregate Discretionary Revenue (ADR)
- Library Preservation Fund - 2.29\% of ADR
- Children's Services Fund - 8.76\% of ADR

Projections contained in the subject analysis reflect the Developer's anticipated development and absorption schedule. Projected revenues and costs are based on a combination of Projectspecific information and average per capita factors derived from the City's budget. Projectspecific information includes anticipated development costs, the estimated value of improved
land to be transferred to vertical builders, estimated gross receipts, estimated rental rates for completed buildings and parking, and the number of additional police and fire staff that will be required to meet the Project's residents and employees (which have been determined by the Project's Draft EIR). Projected utility user revenues, licenses, permits and franchise fees, community health expenditures, human welfare, culture and recreation, and general administration and finance department expenses are based on current per capita revenues/expenses applied to the Project's projected population and employment (as shown on Exhibit 3). Given that public facility maintenance costs will be funded by property owners, the Project will not generate any costs to the City's public works department.

With the exception of property-based revenues, revenue and service cost factors are assumed to increase at an annual rate of $3 \%$ per year. Assessed property values reflect the sum of the estimated value of land to be conveyed to vertical builders and estimated development costs of vertical improvements. For purposes of this analysis, assessed values are conservatively assumed to increase at the Proposition 13 statutory rate of $2 \%$ per year.

Annual projections contained in the attached tables are presented in nominal (inflated) dollars, unless otherwise noted. Current (2017) dollar figures are calculated based on a 3\% per year discount rate and are included in summary tables for comparison purposes.

## III. FISCAL IMPACTS

## A. Summary of Net Fiscal Impacts to the General Fund

Exhibits 4 and 5 and Table A1 (attached) present the revenue and service cost impacts of the Project to the CCSF General Fund after the diversion of tax increment to each Sub-Project Area.

The Project is anticipated to generate a cumulative surplus to the City's General Fund of \$288.6 million (2017\$) before General Fund transfers or $\$ 156.9$ million after transfers (2017\$). Upon stabilization in FY 2028/29, the annual surplus is estimated to total $\$ 5.7$ million before General Fund transfers or $\$ 3.1$ million after transfers. The Project is expected to generate an annual surplus to the General Fund during each and every year of the projection, from construction through the termination of the IFD.

## Exhibit 4 - Summary of General Fund Fiscal Impacts

| General Fund Impact | Cumulative <br> FY 2017/18 - FY 2072/73 |  | Stabilized Year <br> FY 2028/29 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$2017 millions | \$nominal | \$2017 millions | \$nominal |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |
| Recurring Revenues | \$598.8 | \$1,600.5 | \$12.1 | \$16.8 |
| Construction Revenues | \$11.3 | \$12.9 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Revenues | \$610.1 | \$1,613.4 | \$12.1 | \$16.8 |
| Expenditures | (\$321.5) | (\$862.6) | (\$6.4) | (\$8.8) |
| Net GF Surplus, Before Transfers | \$288.6 | \$750.9 | \$5.7 | \$8.0 |
| Transfers | (\$131.7) | (\$348.6) | (\$2.6) | (\$3.6) |
| Net General Fund Surplus, After Transfers | \$156.9 | \$402.3 | \$3.1 | \$4.3 |

Exhibit 5 - Cumulative General Fund Impacts After Transfers (\$2017 millions)


## B. General Fund Revenues

Exhibits 6 through 8 and Tables A1 and A2 (attached) summarize the sources of General Fund revenues to be generated by the Project. Detailed assumptions are provided on Table A2 through A10, and Appendix B and C.

## 1. Recurring Revenues

Cumulative recurring General Fund revenues are estimated to total $\$ 598.8$ million (2017\$). Upon stabilization, the Project is estimated to generate approximately $\$ 12.1$ million in annual General Fund revenues (2017\$). Gross Receipts tax revenues are expected to be the leading category accounting for $57 \%$ of all General Fund revenue to be generated by the Project, followed by property taxes in lieu of motor vehicle license fee revenues at 16\%, and sales and use tax revenues at $13 \%$.

| General Fund Revenues | Cumulative <br> FY 2017/18 - FY $2072 / 73$ |  | Stabilized Year <br> FY 2028/29 |  | \% Share |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2017 \\ \text { millions } \end{gathered}$ | \$nominal | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2017 \\ \text { millions } \end{gathered}$ | \$nominal |  |
| Recurring Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portion of General Fund Property Tax | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | 0\% |
| Property Tax in Lieu of Motor Vehicle Fees | \$79.2 | \$205.8 | \$2.0 | \$2.7 | 16\% |
| Sales and Use Tax | \$78.3 | \$210.6 | \$1.6 | \$2.2 | 13\% |
| Gross Receipts Tax | \$346.8 | \$929.2 | \$6.9 | \$9.5 | 57\% |
| Business Registration Fee | \$7.2 | \$19.4 | \$0.1 | \$0.2 | 1\% |
| Net New Parking Tax Revenue | \$36.0 | \$97.8 | \$0.6 | \$0.8 | 5\% |
| Utility Users Tax - Water Users Tax | \$1.1 | \$3.1 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | 0\% |
| Utility Users Tax - Gas Electric Steam Users Tax | \$18.6 | \$49.8 | \$0.4 | \$0.5 | 3\% |
| Utility Users Tax - Telephone Users Tax | \$11.9 | \$31.8 | \$0.2 | \$0.3 | 2\% |
| Access Line Tax | \$11.5 | \$30.9 | \$0.2 | \$0.3 | 2\% |
| Licenses, Permits and Franchise Fees | \$7.1 | \$19.1 | \$0.1 | \$0.2 | 1\% |
| Fines and Forfeitures | \$1.1 | \$2.9 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | 0\% |
| TOTAL | \$598.8 | \$1,600.5 | \$12.1 | \$16.8 | 100\% |

Exhibit 7 - Recurring Revenues by Source in Stabilized Year FY $2027 / 28$

| @ Property Tax In-Lieu of VLF | $\square$ Sales Tax |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Gross Receipts Tax | $\Delta$ Parking Tax |
| $\square$ Utility Users Tax - Gas Electric Steam Users Tax | Other Fees \& Taxes |



## 2. One-Time Construction Revenues

In addition to recurring revenues, the Project will generate one-time, construction-related revenues totaling $\$ 11.3$ million (2017\$) through buildout (Exhibit 8). Sales and Use tax revenues account for $31 \%$ of revenues, and Gross receipts tax account for $69 \%$ of the total revenue.

| General Fund Revenues (Construction-Related) | CumulativeFY 2017/18 - FY 2026/27 |  | \% Share |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$2017 millions | \$nominal |  |
| Construction Revenues |  |  |  |
| Sales and Use Tax | \$3.4 | \$4.0 | 31\% |
| Gross Receipts Tax | \$7.8 | \$9.0 | 69\% |
| Total Construction Revenues | \$11.3 | \$12.9 | 100\% |

## 3. Property Tax In-Lieu of Motor Vehicle License Fees (VLF) Revenues

Pursuant to SB 1096, the City receives subvention revenues from the State in the form of an allocation of property tax revenues to replace a large portion of the motor vehicle license fee revenues that were distributed proportionate to population prior to the adoption of the legislation in 2004. These subvention payments are based on the growth in assessed value relative to the Citywide assessed value as of 2004/05. Under the State's formula, the City receives $\$ 1.07$ per $\$ 1,000$ of growth in assessed property values. Revenue from the Project is based on the Project's contribution to growth in assessed values (Tables A1, A2, and A3).

## 4. Sales and Use Tax Revenues

The CCSF General Fund receives 1\% of taxable sales. Recurring sales tax revenues will be generated from on-site retail sales and through spending by Project residents and employees within the City. Construction-related sales tax revenues comprise business-to-business sales generated from the purchase of construction materials. Specific sales tax assumptions by source are summarized below:

- Retailer-generated: Taxable sales to be generated by on-site retailers have been estimated based on an average taxable sales productivity of $\$ 400$ per rentable square foot, with $75 \%$ of retail space being taxable. Retail employees are estimated to spend $\$ 47$ per week on taxable merchandise. Off-site sales have been reduced by $10 \%$ to avoid double-counting of on-site employee expenditures (Tables A4, A4.1, and A4.2).
- Office-generated: Offices tenants are estimated to generate taxable sales averaging $\$ 25$ per rentable square foot. Office employees are estimated to generate taxable sales of $\$ 72$ per week. Off-site sales have been reduced by $10 \%$ to avoid double-counting of on-site employee expenditures (Tables A4, A4.1, and A4.2).
- Resident-generated: Taxable sales to be generated by new residents have been estimated based on the level of household income required to support the anticipated apartment rental rates and consumer expenditure data published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics and California Department of Housing and Community Development (Tables C3, A4, and A4.1).
- Construction-generated: Use tax revenues to be generated by construction contractors are estimated based on development costs provided by the Developer and typical relationships between "hard" and "soft" development costs and material and labor costs. The revenue estimate reflects the assumption that San Francisco is designated as the point of sale by the general and sub-contractors for $50 \%$ of materials purchased for the construction of the Project (Tables B3.3, A4, A4,2).


## 5. Gross Receipts Tax Revenues

Per the San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12-A-1: Gross Receipts Tax, the tax rate varies by business type and by the amount of gross receipts generated. Businesses generating less than $\$ 1$ million each year in gross receipts are exempt from the tax.

Average retail, office and production gross receipts are based on industry standard productivity levels. Rental and leasing gross receipts are based on the Developer's estimates of rental income. Tax rates have been estimated for the Project's businesses by estimating a range of industry categories. The assumed types and numbers of businesses are provided in Table B1. (Tables B1, and A5). Gross receipts tax revenues have also been calculated for construction activities, based on the development budget for horizontal infrastructure costs, vertical development costs and softs costs. (Table A5.1)

## 6. Business Registration Fee Revenues

Per the San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12: Business Registration, the business registration fee is charged by tier based on the level of gross receipts generated. Average gross receipts for office, retail and hotel businesses used to determine applicable fee rates are consistent with gross receipts tax estimating assumptions (Tables A6, and B1).

## 7. Net New General Fund Parking Tax Revenues

The City and County of San Francisco imposes a 25\% tax on rental income derived from parking for non-residential uses. In accordance with the City's policies, 20\% of parking tax proceeds is allocated to the General Fund and $80 \%$ is transferred for specified uses. For purposes of this analysis, parking tax revenues have been calculated net of revenues that are currently generated from the site. Future parking revenues are based on the Developer's projection.

## 8. Utility Users Tax Revenues

The City and County of San Francisco imposes a $7.5 \%$ tax on charges for certain utilities services. These include non-residential electricity, natural gas, steam, and water services, and both residential and non-residential telephone and cellular telephone services. For purposes of this analysis, the utility users tax has been estimated based on CCSF budget factors for FY 2017/18. The budget factors have been calculated on a per employee or per persons served basis for electricity, natural gas, steam, and water taxes, and on a per service population basis for telephone services (Tables A8).

## 9. Access Line Tax Revenues

Access line taxes are levied against residential and commercial users. For purposes of this analysis, the access tax is estimated based on CCSF budget factors for FY 2017/18. The budget factors have been calculated on a per service population basis. Based on the City's 2017/18 budget, access line tax revenues total approximately $\$ 41.25$ per resident/employee (Tables A8).

## 10. Licenses, Permits and Franchise Fees and Fines, Forfeitures and Penalties

Licenses, permits, and franchise fees, and fines, forfeitures, and penalties are estimated based on CCSF budget factors for FY 2017/18. The budget factors have been calculated on a per service population basis. Based on the City's 2017/18 budget, Licenses, Permits and Franchise Fees revenues total approximately $\$ 25.59$ per resident/employee; Fines and Forfeitures revenues are $\$ 3.91$ per resident/employee (Table A8).

## C. General Fund Expenses

Exhibits 9 and 10, Tables A1 and A9 provide information on the expense impacts of the Project on the CCSF General Fund after the expected diversion of tax increment to the sub-project areas of the IFD.

Cumulative General Fund expenses are estimated to total $\$ 321.5$ million (2017\$). The Project is estimated to generate approximately $\$ 6.4$ million in General Fund expenditures in stabilized year FY 2028/29 (2017\$). Exhibit 10 illustrates the distribution of recurring General Fund expenditures in the stabilized year. Police Services are expected to be the leading expense category, accounting for $48 \%$ of the cost to the General Fund, followed by Fire Protection at $22 \%$, and Community Health at $10 \%$.

| Exhibit 9 - General Fund Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund Expenditures \$2016 millions | CumulativeFY 2017/18 - FY $2072 / 73$ |  | Stabilized Year FY 2028/29 |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Share } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | \$2017 millions | \$nominal | \$2017 millions | \$nominal |  |
| Recurring Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Administration and Finance | \$14.0 | \$37.5 | \$0.3 | \$0.4 | 4\% |
| Public Protection - Police | \$154.6 | \$414.7 | \$3.1 | \$4.3 | 48\% |
| Public Protection - Fire | \$69.7 | \$186.9 | \$1.4 | \$1.9 | 22\% |
| Other Public Protection | \$24.3 | \$65.3 | \$0.5 | \$0.7 | 8\% |
| Community Health | \$31.0 | \$83.2 | \$0.6 | \$0.9 | 10\% |
| Human Welfare and Neigh. Dev. | \$19.3 | \$51.8 | \$0.4 | \$0.5 | 6\% |
| Culture and Recreation | \$8.6 | \$23.1 | \$0.2 | \$0.2 | 3\% |
| Total | \$321.5 | \$862.6 | \$6.4 | \$8.8 | 100\% |

## Exhibit 10 - Expenditures by Source in Stabilized Year FY 2027/28

$\square$ Public Protection - Police 日Public Protection - Fire $\quad$ Community Health

圂 Other Public Protection Other Expenditure


## 1. Police Department Expenditures

In accordance with the Draft EIR, police department expenditures are based on a service level of 2.01 sworn officers per 1,000 residents and employees.. The Project's on-site population and employment is anticipated to require 16 officers upon buildout. The average cost per officer is assumed to be $\$ 189,000$ based on the "Pier 70 Fiscal and Economic Analysis Update", August 31, 2017. (Tables A10).

## 2. Fire Department Expenditures

In accordance with the Draft EIR, fire department expenditures are based on a service level of 0.96 fire department personnel per 1,000 residents and employees. The Project's on-site population and employment is anticipated to require 8 officers upon buildout. The average cost per new personnel is $\$ 178,329$ based on the "Pier 70 Fiscal and Economic Analysis Update", August 31, 2017. (Tables A10).

## 3. Public Works

Per the City's agreement with the Developer, the maintenance costs of all of the Project's public facilities will be funded through a privately funded CFD. Therefore, the Project will not generate any additional cost to the City's General Fund.

## 4. Community Health

Community health department expenses have been estimated based on the CCSF budget. Cost estimates reflect a cost of $\$ 202.62$ per resident. (Table A9).

## 5. Human Welfare and Neigh. Dev.

Human welfare and neighborhood development department expenses have been estimated based on the CCSF budget. Cost estimates reflect a cost of $\$ 128.03$ per resident. (Table A9).

## 6. Other Public Protection

Other Public Protection expenses have been estimated based on the CCSF budget. Cost estimates reflect a cost of $\$ 87.30$ per person served. (Table A9).

## 7. General Administration and Finance

General Administration and Finance department expenses have been estimated based on the CCSF budget. Cost estimates reflect a cost of $\$ 50.18$ per person served. (Table A9).

## 8. Culture and Recreation

Culture and Recreation department expenses have been estimated based on the CCSF budget. Cost estimates reflect a cost of $\$ 57.17$ per resident (Table A9). A service CFD will be established to fund the ongoing maintenance costs of the projects public parks, and open space.

## D. Summary of General Fund Revenues Transfers to Other Funds

Under current City policies, approximately 20\% of aggregate discretionary revenues (ADR) are transferred from the General Fund to the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), Library Preservation and Children's Services Funds, as detailed on Exhibit 11.

| Exhibit 11 - General Fund Set-Asides |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Fund | Set-Aside \% |  |
| MTA* | $9.19 \%$ | of ADR |
| Library Preservation | $2.29 \%$ | of ADR |
| Children's Services | $8.76 \%$ | of ADR |

* Baseline transfer only. ADR = Aggregate General Fund Discretionary Revenues

The cumulative transfer over the aggregate life of the IFD is anticipated to total $\$ 131.7$ million (2017\$) through FY2072/73 (Exhibit 12). The annual transfer upon stabilization of the Project in FY 2028/29 is anticipated to be $\$ 2.6$ million (2017\$).

| Exhibit 12 - Transfers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cumulative <br> FY 2017/18 - FY $2072 / 73$ |  | Stabilized Year <br> FY 2028/29 |  |
|  | \$2017 millions | \$nominal | \$2017 millions | \$nominal |
| From Recurring Revenues |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund, ADR Set-aside |  |  |  |  |
| Children's Services | \$52.5 | \$140.2 | \$1.1 | \$1.5 |
| Library Preservation | \$13.7 | \$36.6 | \$0.3 | \$0.4 |
| MTA | \$55.0 | \$147.1 | \$1.1 | \$1.5 |
| Licenses, Permits, Fines, Franchise Fees | \$8.2 | \$22.1 | \$0.2 | \$0.2 |
| Subtotal | \$129.4 | \$346.0 | \$2.6 | \$3.6 |
| From Non-Recurring Revenues (Construction Related Revenues) |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund, ADR Set-aside |  |  |  |  |
| Children's Services | \$1.0 | \$1.1 |  |  |
| Library Preservation | \$0.3 | \$0.3 |  |  |
| MTA | \$1.0 | \$1.2 |  |  |
| Subtotal | \$2.3 | \$2.6 |  |  |
| Aggregate |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund, ADR Set-aside |  |  |  |  |
| Children's Services | \$53.4 | \$141.3 | \$1.1 | \$1.5 |
| Library Preservation | \$13.9 | \$36.9 | \$0.3 | \$0.4 |
| MTA | \$56.1 | \$148.3 | \$1.1 | \$1.5 |
| Licenses, Permits, Fines, Franchise Fees | \$8.2 | \$22.1 | \$0.2 | \$0.2 |
| Total General Fund Transfers | \$131.7 | \$348.6 | \$2.6 | \$3.6 |

* Numbers may be slightly different because of rounded numbers.


## 1. SFMTA Revenues

Transfers to the SFMTA Fund over the life of the IFD are anticipated to total $\$ 56.1$ million (2017\$). (Table A1, and A2).

## 2. Library Preservation Fund

Transfers to the Library Preservation Fund are anticipated to total $\$ 13.9$ million (2017\$). (Table A1, and A2).

## 3. Children's Services Fund Revenues

Transfers to the Children's Services Fund are anticipated to total $\$ 53.4$ million (2017\$). (Table A1, and A2)

## 4. Licenses, Permits, Fines, Franchise Fees

It is our understanding that licenses, permits, fines and franchise fee revenues are transferred from the General Fund to be used for specific purposes. The Project is anticipated to generate a total of $\$ 8.2$ million (2017\$). (Table A1, and A2).
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Table A1
Estimated Annual Project Fiscal Impact
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Revenues | 2028-29 | 2029-30 | 2030-31 | 2031-32 | 2032-33 | 2033-34 | 2034-35 | 2035-36 | 2036-37 | 2037-38 | 2038-39 | 2039-40 | 2040-41 | 2041-42 | 2042-43 | 2043-44 | 2044-45 | 2045-46 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Recurring Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recurring General Fund Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Property Tax In-Lieu of VLF | \$2,736,854 | \$2,791,932 | \$2,848,112 | \$2,905,416 | \$2,963,866 | \$3,023,484 | \$3,084,295 | \$3,146,323 | \$3,209,591 | \$3,274,124 | \$3,339,948 | \$3,407,088 | \$3,475,571 | \$3,545,424 | \$3,616,674 | \$3,689,349 | \$3,76,477 | \$3,839,088 |
| Sales Tax | \$2,162,915 | \$2,227,803 | \$2,294,637 | \$2,363,476 | \$2,434,380 | \$2,507,412 | \$2,582,634 | \$2,60,113 | \$2,739,916 | \$2,822,114 | \$2,906,777 | \$2,993,981 | \$3,083,800 | \$3,176,314 | \$3,271,603 | \$3,369,751 | \$3,470,844 | \$3,574,969 |
| Gross Receipts Tax | \$9,502,046 | \$9,787,829 | \$10,082,185 | \$10,385,372 | \$10,697,655 | \$11,019,306 | \$11,350,607 | \$11,691,846 | \$12,043,323 | \$12,405,344 | \$12,778,226 | \$13,162,294 | \$13,557,885 | \$13,965,342 | \$14,385,024 | \$14,817,296 | \$15,262,537 | \$15,721,134 |
| Business Registration Fee | \$199,281 | \$205,260 | \$211,417 | \$217,760 | \$224,293 | \$231,022 | \$237,952 | \$245,091 | \$252,443 | \$260,017 | \$267,817 | \$275,852 | \$284,127 | \$292,651 | \$301,431 | \$310,474 | \$319,788 | \$329,382 |
| Net New Parking Tax Revenue | \$789,727 | \$825,443 | \$862,231 | \$900,122 | \$939,150 | \$979,349 | \$1,020,754 | \$1,063,401 | \$1,107,327 | \$1,152,571 | \$1,199,172 | \$1,247,172 | \$1,296,611 | \$1,347,534 | \$1,399,984 | \$1,454,008 | \$1,509,653 | \$1,56,967 |
| Utility Users Tax - Water Users Tax | \$31,269 | \$32,207 | \$33,173 | \$34,168 | \$35,193 | \$36,249 | \$37,337 | \$38,457 | \$39,610 | \$40,799 | \$42,023 | \$43,283 | \$44,582 | \$45,919 | \$47,297 | \$48,716 | \$50,177 | \$51,683 |
| Utility Users Tax - Gas Electric Steam Users Tax | \$510,463 | \$525,777 | \$541,551 | \$557,797 | \$574,531 | \$591,767 | \$609,520 | \$627,806 | \$646,640 | \$666,039 | \$686,020 | \$706,601 | \$727,799 | \$799,633 | \$772,122 | \$795,285 | \$819,144 | \$843,718 |
| Utility Users Tax - Telephone Users Tax | \$326,460 | \$336,254 | \$346,342 | \$356,732 | \$367,434 | \$378,457 | \$389,810 | \$401,505 | \$413,550 | \$425,956 | \$438,735 | \$451,897 | \$465,454 | \$479,418 | \$493,800 | \$508,614 | \$523,873 | \$539,589 |
| Access Line Tax | \$316,408 | \$325,900 | \$335,677 | \$345,747 | \$356,120 | \$366,803 | \$377,807 | \$389,142 | \$400,816 | \$412,840 | \$425,226 | \$437,982 | \$451,122 | \$464,656 | \$478,595 | \$492,953 | \$507,742 | \$522,974 |
| Licenses, Permits and Franchise Fees | \$196,291 | \$202,179 | \$288,245 | \$214,492 | \$220,927 | \$227,555 | \$234,381 | \$241,413 | \$288,655 | \$256,115 | \$263,798 | \$271,712 | \$279,864 | \$288,260 | \$296,907 | \$305,815 | \$314,989 | \$324,439 |
| Fines and Forfeitures | \$29,997 | \$30,896 | \$31,823 | \$32,778 | \$33,761 | \$34,774 | \$35,817 | \$36,892 | \$37,999 | \$39,139 | \$40,313 | \$41,522 | \$42,768 | \$44,051 | \$45,372 | \$46,734 | \$48,136 | \$49,580 |
| Recurring General Fund Revenues, Before Transfers | \$16,801,710 | \$17,291,480 | \$17,795,393 | \$18,313,861 | \$18,847,309 | \$19,396,177 | \$19,960,915 | \$20,541,987 | \$21,139,870 | \$21,755,058 | \$22,388,055 | \$23,039,385 | \$23,709,583 | \$24,399,202 | \$25,108,811 | \$25,838,995 | \$26,590,359 | \$27,363,522 |
| In 20175 | \$12,137,913 | \$12,127,896 | \$12,117,796 | \$12,107,619 | \$12,097,371 | \$12,087,056 | \$12,076,682 | \$12,066,252 | \$12,055,773 | \$12,045,248 | \$12,034,683 | \$12,024,082 | \$12,013,450 | \$12,002,790 | \$11,992,108 | \$11,981,406 | \$11,970,689 | \$11,959,959 |
| Less: Transfers Pursuant to City Charter and City Policies | \$3,626,281 | \$3,732,180 | \$3,841,144 | \$3,953,263 | \$4,068,630 | \$4,187,339 | \$4,309,490 | \$4,435,181 | \$4,564,518 | \$4,697,607 | \$4,834,558 | \$4,975,484 | \$5,120,503 | \$5,269,733 | \$5,42, 299 | \$5,581,327 | \$5,743,950 | \$5,911,301 |
| In 2017s | \$2,619,703 | \$2,617,676 | \$2,615,632 | \$2,613,573 | \$2,611,499 | \$2,609,411 | \$2,607,312 | \$2,605,202 | \$2,603,081 | \$2,600,951 | \$2,598,813 | \$2,596,668 | \$2,594,516 | \$2,592,359 | \$2,590,198 | \$2,588,032 | \$2,585,863 | \$2,583,692 |
| Recurring General Fund Revenues, After Transfers | \$13,175,429 | \$13,559,300 | \$13,954,249 | \$14,360,597 | \$14,778,680 | \$15,208,838 | \$15,651,425 | \$16,106,805 | \$16,575,352 | \$17,057,451 | \$17,553,497 | \$18,063,900 | \$18,589,080 | \$19,129,469 | \$19,685,512 | \$20,257,668 | \$20,846,409 | \$21,452,221 |
| In 20175 | \$9,518,210 | \$9,510,221 | \$9,502,164 | \$9,494,047 | \$9,485,872 | \$9,477,645 | \$9,469,370 | \$9,461,051 | \$9,452,692 | \$9,444,297 | \$9,435,870 | \$9,427,414 | \$9,418,933 | \$9,410,431 | \$9,401,910 | \$9,393,374 | \$9,384,825 | \$9,376,267 |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Administration and Finance | \$384,918 | \$396,466 | \$408,360 | \$420,610 | \$433,229 | \$446,226 | \$459,612 | \$473,401 | \$487,603 | \$502,231 | \$517,298 | \$532,817 | \$548,801 | \$565,265 | \$582,223 | \$599,690 | \$617,680 | \$636,211 |
| Public Protection - Police | \$4,250,499 | \$4,378,014 | \$4,509,354 | \$4,644,635 | \$4,783,974 | \$4,927,493 | \$5,075,318 | \$5,27,578 | \$5,384,405 | \$5,545,937 | \$5,712,315 | \$5,883,685 | \$6,060,195 | \$6,242,001 | \$6,429,261 | \$6,62, 139 | \$6,820,803 | \$7,025,427 |
| Public Protection - Fire | \$1,915,470 | \$1,972,934 | \$2,032,122 | \$2,093,085 | \$2,15, 878 | \$2,220,554 | \$2,287,171 | \$2,355,786 | \$2,426,460 | \$2,499,253 | \$2,574,231 | \$2,651,458 | \$2,731,002 | \$2,812,932 | \$2,897,320 | \$2,984,239 | \$3,073,766 | \$3,165,979 |
| Other Public Protection | \$669,598 | \$689,686 | \$710,376 | \$731,687 | \$753,638 | \$776,247 | \$799,535 | \$823,521 | \$848,226 | \$873,673 | \$899,883 | \$926,880 | \$954,686 | \$983,327 | \$1,012,826 | \$1,043,211 | \$1,074,508 | \$1,106,743 |
| Community Health | \$853,589 | \$879,196 | \$905,572 | \$932,739 | \$960,722 | \$989,543 | \$1,019,229 | \$1,049,806 | \$1,081,301 | \$1,13,740 | \$1,147,152 | \$1,18, ,566 | \$1,217,013 | \$1,253,524 | \$1,291,129 | \$1,32, 863 | \$1,369,759 | \$1,410,852 |
| Human Welfare and Neigh. Dev. | \$531,477 | \$547,421 | \$563,844 | \$580,759 | \$598,182 | \$616,128 | \$634,611 | \$653,650 | \$673,259 | \$693,457 | \$714,261 | \$735,689 | \$757,759 | \$780,492 | \$803,907 | \$828,024 | \$852,865 | \$878,451 |
| Culture and Recreation | \$237,334 | \$244,454 | \$251,788 | \$259,342 | \$267,122 | \$275,136 | \$283,390 | \$291,891 | \$300,648 | \$309,667 | \$318,957 | \$328,526 | \$338,382 | \$388,533 | \$358,989 | \$369,759 | \$380,852 | \$392,277 |
| Total Expenditures | \$8,842,884 | \$9,108,171 | \$9,381,416 | \$9,62,858 | \$9,952,744 | \$10,251,326 | \$10,558,866 | \$10,875,632 | \$11,201,901 | \$11,537,958 | \$11,884,097 | \$12,240,620 | \$12,607,838 | \$12,986,074 | \$13,375,656 | \$13,776,925 | \$14,190,233 | \$14,615,940 |
| In 2017 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,38,288 | \$6,38,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Recurring General Fund Revenues, Before Transfers | \$7,958,826 | \$8,183,310 | \$8,413,977 | \$8,651,002 | \$8,894,565 | \$9,144,851 | \$9,402,049 | \$9,666,354 | \$9,937,969 | \$10,217,099 | \$10,503,958 | \$10,798,765 | \$11,101,744 | \$11,413,128 | \$11,733,155 | \$12,062,070 | \$12,400,126 | \$12,747,582 |
| In 2017\% | \$5,749,625 | \$5,739,609 | \$5,72, 509 | \$5,719,332 | \$5,709,083 | \$5,98,769 | \$5,688,394 | \$5,677,965 | \$5,667,485 | \$5,656,960 | \$5,646,395 | \$5,635,794 | \$5,625,162 | \$5,614,503 | \$5,603,820 | \$5,593,118 | \$5,582,401 | \$5,571,672 |
| Net Recurring General Fund Revenues, Atter Transfers | \$4,332,545 | \$4,451,130 | \$4,572,833 | \$4,697,739 | \$4,825,935 | \$4,957,511 | \$5,092,559 | \$5,231,173 | \$5,373,451 | \$5,519,492 | \$5,669,400 | \$5,823,281 | \$5,981,242 | \$6,143,395 | \$6,309,856 | \$6,480,743 | \$6,656,176 | \$6,836,281 |
| In 2017\$ | \$3,129,923 | \$3,121,933 | \$3,113,877 | \$3,105,759 | \$3,097,584 | \$3,089,357 | \$3,081,082 | \$3,072,763 | \$3,064,404 | \$3,056,009 | \$3,047,582 | \$3,039,126 | \$3,030,646 | \$3,022,143 | \$3,013,622 | \$3,005,086 | \$2,996,538 | \$2,987,980 |
| Construction Related Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Related Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales Tax | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gross Receipts Tax | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal, Construction Related Revenues, Before Trans | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | so | so |
| In 2017 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so |
| Less: Transfers Pursuant to City Charter and City Policies | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| In 2017s | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction Revenues, After Transfers | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| In 2017 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Fiscal Impact |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Fiscal Impact, Before ADR Transfers | \$7,958,826 | \$8,183,310 | \$8,413,977 | \$8,651,002 | \$8,894,565 | \$9,144,851 | \$9,402,049 | \$9,666,354 | \$9,937,969 | \$10,217,099 | \$10,503,958 | \$10,798,765 | \$11,101,744 | \$11,413,128 | \$11,733,155 | \$12,062,070 | \$12,40,126 | \$12,747,582 |
| Net Fiscal Impact, Before ADR Transers, in 2017s | \$5,749,625 | \$5,739,609 | \$5,729,509 | \$5,719,332 | \$5,709,083 | \$5,698,769 | \$5,688,394 | \$5,677,965 | \$5,667,485 | \$5,656,960 | \$5,646,395 | \$5,635,794 | \$5,625,162 | \$5,614,503 | \$5,603,820 | \$5,593,118 | \$5,582,401 | \$5,571,672 |
| Net Fiscal Impact, After ADR Transers | \$4,332,545 | \$4,451,130 | \$4,572,833 | \$4,697,739 | \$4,825,935 | \$4,957,511 | \$5,092,559 | \$5,231,173 | \$5,373,451 | \$5,519,492 | \$5,669,400 | \$5,823,281 | \$5,981,242 | \$6,143,395 | \$6,309,856 | \$6,480,743 | \$6,656,176 | \$6,836,281 |
| Net Fiscal Impact, After ADR Transfers, in 2017\$ | \$3,129,923 | \$3,121,933 | \$3,113,877 | \$3,105,759 | \$3,097,584 | \$3,08, 357 | \$3,081,082 | \$3,072,763 | \$3,064,404 | \$3,056,009 | \$3,047,582 | \$3,039,126 | \$3,030,646 | \$3,022,143 | \$3,013,622 | \$3,005,086 | \$2,996,538 | \$2,987,980 |

Table A1
Estimated Annual Project Fiscal Impact
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA
Estimates with Inflation

| Revenues | 2046-47 | 2047-48 | 2048-49 | 2049-50 | 2050-51 | 2051-52 | 2052-53 | 2053-54 | 2054-55 | 2055-56 | 2056-57 | 2057-58 | 2058-59 | 2059-60 | 2060-61 | 2061-62 | 2062-63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Recurring Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recurring General Fund Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Property Tax In-Lieu of VLF | \$3,916,212 | \$3,994,877 | \$4,075,116 | \$4,156,960 | \$4,240,441 | \$4,325,591 | \$4,412,444 | \$4,501,034 | \$4,591,397 | \$4,683,566 | \$4,777,579 | \$4,873,472 | \$4,971,282 | \$5,071,050 | \$5,172,812 | \$5,276,610 | \$5,382,483 |
| Sales Tax | \$3,682,218 | \$3,792,685 | \$3,906,465 | \$4,023,659 | \$4,144,369 | \$4,268,700 | \$4,396,761 | \$4,528,664 | \$4,664,524 | \$4,804,460 | \$4,948,594 | \$5,097,051 | \$5,249,963 | \$5,407,462 | \$5,569,686 | \$5,736,776 | \$5,908,880 |
| Gross Receipts Tax | \$16,193,490 | \$16,680,016 | \$17,181,138 | \$17,697,294 | \$18,228,934 | \$18,776,523 | \$19,340,540 | \$19,921,478 | \$20,519,844 | \$21,136,161 | \$21,770,967 | \$22,424,817 | \$23,098,283 | \$23,791,953 | \$24,506,433 | \$25,242,348 | \$26,000,340 |
| Business Registration Fee | \$339,263 | \$349,441 | \$359,924 | \$370,722 | \$381,843 | \$393,299 | \$405,098 | \$417,251 | \$429,768 | \$442,661 | \$455,941 | \$469,619 | \$483,708 | \$498,219 | \$513,166 | \$528,561 | \$544,417 |
| Net New Parking Tax Revenue | \$1,626,000 | \$1,686,805 | \$1,749,433 | \$1,813,940 | \$1,880,383 | \$1,948,819 | \$2,019,308 | \$2,091,911 | \$2,166,693 | \$2,243,718 | \$2,323,054 | \$2,404,770 | \$2,488,937 | \$2,575,630 | \$2,664,923 | \$2,756,895 | \$2,851,626 |
| Utility Users Tax - Water Users Tax | \$53,233 | \$54,830 | \$56,475 | \$58,169 | \$59,914 | \$61,712 | \$63,563 | \$65,470 | \$67,434 | \$69,457 | \$71,541 | \$73,687 | \$75,898 | \$78,175 | \$80,520 | \$82,935 | \$85,423 |
| Utility Users Tax - Gas Electric Steam Users Tax | \$869,030 | \$895,101 | \$921,954 | \$949,612 | \$978,101 | \$1,007,444 | \$1,037,667 | \$1,068,797 | \$1,100,861 | \$1,133,887 | \$1,167,903 | \$1,202,940 | \$1,239,028 | \$1,276,199 | \$1,314,485 | \$1,353,920 | \$1,394,537 |
| Utility Users Tax- Telephone Users Tax | \$555,776 | \$572,450 | \$589,623 | \$67,312 | \$625,531 | \$644,297 | \$663,626 | \$683,535 | \$704,041 | \$725,162 | \$746,917 | \$769,325 | \$792,404 | \$816,176 | \$840,662 | \$865,882 | \$891,858 |
| Access Line Tax | \$538,663 | \$554,823 | \$571,468 | \$588,612 | \$606,270 | \$624,458 | \$643,192 | \$662,488 | \$682,362 | \$72,833 | \$723,918 | \$745,636 | \$768,005 | \$791,045 | \$814,776 | \$839,220 | \$864,396 |
| Licenses, Permits and Franchise Fees | \$334,172 | \$344,197 | \$354,523 | \$365,159 | \$376,113 | \$387,397 | \$399,019 | \$410,989 | \$423,319 | \$436,019 | \$449,099 | \$462,572 | \$476,449 | \$490,743 | \$505,465 | \$520,629 | \$536,248 |
| Fines and Forfeitures | \$51,067 | \$52,599 | \$54,177 | \$55,802 | \$57,476 | \$59,201 | \$60,977 | \$62,806 | \$64,690 | \$66,631 | \$68,630 | \$70,689 | \$72,809 | \$74,994 | \$77,243 | \$79,561 | \$81,948 |
| Recurring General Fund Revenues, Before Transfers | \$28,159,124 | \$28,977,823 | \$29,820,296 | \$30,687,241 | \$31,579,376 | \$32,497,440 | \$33,442,195 | \$34,414,423 | \$35,414,933 | \$36,444,554 | \$37,504,142 | \$38,594,578 | \$39,716,768 | \$40,871,645 | \$42,060,171 | \$43,283,335 | \$44,542,157 |
| In 2017S | \$11,949,222 | \$11,938,479 | \$11,927,735 | \$11,916,992 | \$11,906,254 | \$11,895,522 | \$11,884,801 | \$11,874,092 | \$11,863,398 | \$11,852,722 | \$11,842,066 | \$11,831,432 | \$11,820,822 | \$11,810,239 | \$11,799,684 | \$11,789,159 | \$11,778,667 |
| Less: Transfers Pursuant to City Charter and City Policies | \$6,083,519 | \$6,260,748 | \$6,443,135 | \$6,630,831 | \$6,823,992 | \$7,022,779 | \$7,227,358 | \$7,437,898 | \$7,654,575 | \$7,877,569 | \$8,107,067 | \$8,343,260 | \$8,586,344 | \$8,836,522 | \$9,094,005 | \$9,359,005 | \$9,631,746 |
| In 2017s | \$2,581,519 | \$2,579,345 | \$2,577,171 | \$2,574,997 | \$2,572,824 | \$2,570,653 | \$2,568,883 | \$2,566,316 | \$2,564,152 | \$2,561,992 | \$2,599,835 | \$2,557,683 | \$2,555,536 | \$2,553,395 | \$2,551,259 | \$2,549,129 | \$2,547,006 |
| Recurring General Fund Revenues, Atter Transers | \$22,075,605 | \$22,717,075 | \$23,377,161 | \$24,056,410 | \$24,755,384 | \$25,474,661 | \$26,214,837 | \$26,976,525 | \$27,760,358 | \$28,566,985 | \$29,397,075 | \$30,251,318 | \$31,130,424 | \$32,035,123 | \$32,966,166 | \$33,924,330 | \$34,910,410 |
| In 2017 ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | \$9,367,703 | \$9,359,134 | \$9,350,564 | \$9,341,995 | \$9,333,429 | \$9,324,870 | \$9,316,318 | \$9,307,776 | \$9,299,246 | \$9,290,730 | \$9,282,231 | \$9,273,749 | \$9,265,286 | \$9,256,844 | \$9,248,425 | \$9,240,030 | \$9,231,661 |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Administration and Finance | \$655,297 | \$674,956 | \$695,205 | \$716,061 | \$737,543 | \$759,669 | \$782,459 | \$805,933 | \$830,111 | \$855,014 | \$880,665 | \$907,085 | \$934,297 | \$962,326 | \$991,196 | \$1,020,932 | \$1,051,560 |
| Public Protection - Police | \$7,236,190 | \$7,453,276 | \$7,676,874 | \$7,907,180 | \$8,144,396 | \$8,388,727 | \$8,640,389 | \$8,899,601 | \$9,16,589 | \$9,441,587 | \$9,724,834 | \$10,016,579 | \$10,317,077 | \$10,626,589 | \$10,945,387 | \$11,273,748 | \$11,611,961 |
| Public Protection - Fire | \$3,260,959 | \$3,358,787 | \$3,459,551 | \$3,563,338 | \$3,670,238 | \$3,780,345 | \$3,893,755 | \$4,010,568 | \$4,130,885 | \$4,254,812 | \$4,382,456 | \$4,513,930 | \$4,649,347 | \$4,788,828 | \$4,932,493 | \$5,080,467 | \$5,232,881 |
| Other Public Protection | \$1,139,945 | \$1,174,143 | \$1,209,368 | \$1,245,649 | \$1,283,018 | \$1,321,509 | \$1,361,154 | \$1,401,989 | \$1,444,048 | \$1,487,370 | \$1,531,991 | \$1,577,951 | \$1,625,289 | \$1,674,048 | \$1,724,269 | \$1,775,997 | \$1,829,277 |
| Community Health | \$1,453,178 | \$1,996,773 | \$1,541,676 | \$1,587,926 | \$1,635,564 | \$1,684,631 | \$1,735,170 | \$1,787,225 | \$1,840,842 | \$1,896,067 | \$1,952,949 | \$2,011,538 | \$2,071,884 | \$2,134,040 | \$2,198,061 | \$2,264,003 | \$2,331,923 |
| Human Welfare and Neigh. Dev. | \$904,804 | \$931,948 | \$959,907 | \$988,704 | \$1,018,365 | \$1,048,916 | \$1,080,383 | \$1,112,795 | \$1,146,179 | \$1,180,564 | \$1,215,981 | \$1,252,460 | \$1,290,034 | \$1,328,735 | \$1,368,597 | \$1,409,655 | \$1,451,945 |
| Culture and Recreation | \$404,046 | \$416,167 | \$428,652 | \$441,512 | \$454,757 | \$468,400 | \$482,452 | \$496,925 | \$511,833 | \$527,188 | \$543,004 | \$559,294 | \$576,073 | \$593,355 | \$611,156 | \$629,490 | \$648,375 |
| Total Expenditures | \$15,054,418 | \$15,506,051 | \$15,971,232 | \$16,450,369 | \$16,943,881 | \$17,452,197 | \$17,975,763 | \$18,515,036 | \$19,070,487 | \$19,642,601 | \$20,231,879 | \$20,838,836 | \$21,464,001 | \$22,107,921 | \$22,771,159 | \$23,454,293 | \$24,157,922 |
| In 20175 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,38,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Recurring General Fund Revenues, Before Transers | \$13,104,706 | \$13,471,772 | \$13,849,064 | \$14,236,872 | \$14,635,495 | \$15,045,243 | \$15,466,432 | \$15,899,387 | \$16,344,446 | \$16,801,953 | \$17,272,263 | \$17,755,742 | \$18,252,767 | \$18,763,724 | \$19,289,013 | \$19,829,042 | \$20,384,235 |
| In 2017\% | \$5,560,934 | \$5,550,192 | \$5,539,447 | \$5,528,705 | \$5,517,966 | \$5,507,235 | \$5,496,513 | \$5,485,804 | \$5,475,111 | \$5,464,434 | \$5,453,778 | \$5,443,144 | \$5,432,534 | \$5,421,951 | \$5,411,396 | \$5,400,872 | \$5,390,379 |
| Net Recurring General fund Revenues, After Transfers | \$7,021,187 | \$7,211,024 | \$7,005,929 | \$7,606,041 | \$7,81,503 | \$8,022,464 | \$8,23,074 | \$8,461,489 | \$8,68,871 | \$8,924,383 | \$9,165,196 | \$9,412,482 | \$9,666,423 | \$9,927,202 | \$10,195,008 | \$10,470,037 | \$10,752,488 |
| In 2017\$ | \$2,979,415 | \$2,970,846 | \$2,962,276 | \$2,953,707 | \$2,945,142 | \$2,936,582 | \$2,928,030 | \$2,919,488 | \$2,910,959 | \$2,902,443 | \$2,893,943 | \$2,885,461 | \$2,876,998 | \$2,868,557 | \$2,860,137 | \$2,851,743 | \$2,843,373 |
| Construction Related Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Related Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Receipts Tax | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal, Construction Related Revenues, Before Trans | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| In 2017 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Less: Transfers Pursuant to City Charter and City Policies | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| In 20175 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction Revenues, After Transfers | so | so | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | so | so | \$0 | so | so |
| In 2017 5 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Fiscal Impact |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Fiscal Impact, Before ADR Transfers | \$13,104,706 | \$13,471,772 | \$13,849,064 | \$14,236,872 | \$14,635,495 | \$15,045,243 | \$15,466,432 | \$15,899,387 | \$16,344,446 | \$16,801,953 | \$17,272,263 | \$17,755,742 | \$18,252,767 | \$18,763,724 | \$19,289,013 | \$19,829,042 | \$20,384,235 |
| Net Fiscal Impact, Before ADR Transfers, in 2017\$ | \$5,560,934 | \$5,550,192 | \$5,539,447 | \$5,528,705 | \$5,517,966 | \$5,507,235 | \$5,496,513 | \$5,485,804 | \$5,475,111 | \$5,464,434 | \$5,453,778 | \$5,443,144 | \$5,432,534 | \$5,421,951 | \$5,411,396 | \$5,400,872 | \$5,390,379 |
| Net Fiscal Impact, After ADR Transfers | \$7,021,187 | \$7,211,024 | \$7,405,929 | \$7,606,041 | \$7,811,503 | \$8,022,464 | \$8,239,074 | \$8,461,489 | \$8,689,871 | \$8,924,383 | \$9,165,196 | \$9,412,482 | \$9,666,423 | \$9,927,202 | \$10,195,008 | \$10,470,037 | \$10,752,488 |
| Net Fiscal Impact, After ADR Transfers, in 2017\$ | \$2,979,415 | \$2,970,846 | \$2,962,276 | \$2,953,707 | \$2,945,142 | \$2,936,582 | \$2,928,030 | \$2,919,488 | \$2,910,959 | \$2,902,443 | \$2,893,943 | \$2,885,461 | \$2,876,998 | \$2,868,557 | \$2,860,137 | \$2,851,743 | \$2,843,373 |

Table A1
Estimated Annual Project Fiscal Impact
Mission
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Revenues | Estimates with Inflation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2063-64 | 2064-65 | 2065-66 | 2066-67 | 2067-68 | 2068-69 | 2069-70 | 2070-71 | 2071-72 | 2072-73 |
| Net Recurring Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recurring General Fund Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Property Tax In-Lieu of VLF | \$5,490,474 | \$5,600,625 | \$5,712,979 | \$5,827,580 | \$5,944,473 | \$6,063,704 | \$6,185,320 | \$6,30, 367 | \$6,435,896 | \$6,56,956 |
| Sales Tax | \$6,086,146 | \$6,268,730 | \$6,456,792 | \$6,650,496 | \$6,850,011 | \$7,055,511 | \$7,267,177 | \$7,485,192 | \$7,709,748 | \$7,941,040 |
| Gross Receipts Tax | \$26,781,071 | \$27,585,225 | \$28,413,503 | \$29,266,630 | \$30,145,350 | \$31,050,432 | \$31,982,667 | \$32,942,868 | \$33,931,876 | \$34,950,553 |
| Business Registration Fee | \$560,750 | \$577,572 | \$594,900 | \$612,747 | \$631,129 | \$650,063 | \$669,565 | \$689,652 | \$710,341 | \$731,652 |
| Net New Parking Tax Revenue | \$2,949,199 | \$3,049,699 | \$3,153,215 | \$3,259,836 | \$3,36, 655 | \$3,482,769 | \$3,599,276 | \$3,719,279 | \$3,842,882 | \$3,970,192 |
| Utility Users Tax - Water Users Tax | \$87,986 | \$90,626 | \$93,344 | \$96,145 | \$99,029 | \$102,000 | \$105,060 | \$108,212 | \$111,458 | \$114,802 |
| Utility Users Tax - Gas Electric Steam Users Tax | \$1,436,374 | \$1,479,465 | \$1,523,849 | \$1,569,564 | \$1,616,651 | \$1,665,151 | \$1,715,105 | \$1,766,558 | \$1,819,555 | \$1,874,142 |
| Utility Users Tax - Telephone Users Tax | \$918,614 | \$946,172 | \$974,557 | \$1,003,794 | \$1,03, 208 | \$1,064,925 | \$1,096,873 | \$1,129,779 | \$1,163,672 | \$1,18,,583 |
| Access Line Tax | \$890,328 | \$917,038 | \$944,549 | \$972,886 | \$1,022,072 | \$1,032,134 | \$1,063,998 | \$1,094,991 | \$1,127,841 | \$1,161,676 |
| Licenses, Permits and Franchise Fees | \$552,335 | \$568,905 | \$585,972 | \$603,552 | \$621,658 | \$640,308 | \$659,517 | \$679,303 | \$699,682 | \$720,672 |
| Fines and Forfeitures | \$84,406 | \$86,938 | \$89,546 | \$92,233 | \$95,000 | \$97,850 | \$100,785 | \$103,809 | \$106,923 | \$110,131 |
| Recurring General Fund Revenues, Before Transfers | \$45,837,684 | \$47,170,997 | \$48,543,208 | \$49,955,461 | \$51,408,937 | \$52,904,849 | \$54,444,446 | \$56,029,014 | \$57,659,879 | \$59,338,404 |
| In 20175 | \$11,768,208 | \$11,757,784 | \$11,747,398 | \$11,737,050 | \$11,726,743 | \$11,716,476 | \$11,706,253 | \$11,696,073 | \$11,685,938 | \$11,675,850 |
| Less: Transfers Pursuant to City Charter and City Policies | \$9,912,455 | \$10,201,366 | \$10,498,722 | \$10,804,771 | \$11,119,770 | \$11,443,983 | \$11,777,680 | \$12,121,143 | \$12,474,658 | \$12,838,522 |
| In 2017S | \$2,544,889 | \$2,542,780 | \$2,540,678 | \$2,538,584 | \$2,536,498 | \$2,534,421 | \$2,532,352 | \$2,530,292 | \$2,528,241 | \$2,526,200 |
| Recurring General Fund Revenues, After Transfers | \$35,925,229 | \$36,969,630 | \$38,044,485 | \$39,150,690 | \$40,289,167 | \$41,460,866 | \$42,666,765 | \$43,907,871 | \$45,185,221 | \$46,499,882 |
| In 2017 | \$9,223,318 | \$9,215,004 | \$9,206,720 | \$9,198,466 | \$9,190,244 | \$9,182,055 | \$9,173,901 | \$9,165,781 | \$9,157,697 | \$9,149,650 |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Protection - Police | \$11,960,319 | \$12,319,129 | \$12,688,703 | \$13,069,364 | \$13,461,445 | \$13,865,288 | \$14,281,247 | \$14,709,684 | \$15,150,975 | \$15,605,504 |
| Public Protection - Fire | \$5,389,868 | \$5,551,564 | \$5,718,111 | \$5,889,654 | \$6,066,344 | \$6,248,334 | \$6,435,784 | \$6,628,858 | \$6,827,723 | \$7,032,555 |
| Other Public Protection | \$1,884,156 | \$1,940,680 | \$1,988,901 | \$2,058,868 | \$2,120,634 | \$2,184,253 | \$2,249,780 | \$2,317,274 | \$2,386,792 | \$2,458,396 |
| Community Health | \$2,401,881 | \$2,473,937 | \$2,548,156 | \$2,624,600 | \$2,703,388 | \$2,784,438 | \$2,867,972 | \$2,954,011 | \$3,042,631 | \$3,133,910 |
| Human Welfare and Neigh. Dev. | \$1,495,503 | \$1,540,368 | \$1,586,579 | \$1,634,177 | \$1,683,202 | \$1,73, 798 | \$1,785,709 | \$1,839,280 | \$1,894,459 | \$1,951,293 |
| Culture and Recreation | \$667,826 | \$687,861 | \$708,497 | \$729,752 | \$751,644 | \$774,194 | \$797,419 | \$821,342 | \$845,982 | \$871,362 |
| Total Expenditures | \$24,882,660 | \$25,629,140 | \$26,398,014 | \$27,189,954 | \$28,005,653 | \$28,845,822 | \$29,711,197 | \$30,602,533 | \$31,520,609 | \$32,466,227 |
| In 20175 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 | \$6,388,288 |
| Net Recurring General fund Revenues, Before Transfers | \$20,955,024 | \$21,541,857 | \$22,145,194 | \$22,765,507 | \$23,403,285 | \$24,059,027 | \$24,733,249 | \$25,426,481 | \$26,139,270 | \$26,872,177 |
| In 2017 ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | \$5,379,920 | \$5,369,497 | \$5,359,110 | \$5,348,763 | \$5,338,455 | \$5,328,189 | \$5,317,965 | \$5,307,785 | \$5,297,651 | \$5,287,562 |
| Net Recurring General Fund Revenues, After Transfers | \$11,042,569 | \$11,340,491 | \$11,646,472 | \$11,960,736 | \$12,283,514 | \$12,615,044 | \$12,955,568 | \$13,305,338 | \$13,664,612 | \$14,033,655 |
| In 2017 ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | \$2,835,031 | \$2,886,717 | \$2,818,432 | \$2,810,178 | \$2,801,957 | \$2,793,768 | \$2,785,613 | \$2,777,493 | \$2,769,409 | \$2,761,363 |
| Construction Related Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Related Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales Tax | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gross Receipts Tax | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal, Construction Related Revenues, Before Trans | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so |
| In 20175 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Less: Transfers Pursuant to City Charter and City Policies | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| In 2017S | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Construction Revenues, After Transfers | so | so | so | so | so | \$0 | so | so | so | so |
| In 2017 ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net fiscal Impact |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Fiscal Impact, Before ADR Transfers | \$20,955,024 | \$21,541,857 | \$22,145,194 | \$22,765,507 | \$23,403,285 | \$24,059,027 | \$24,733,249 | \$25,426,481 | \$26,139,270 | \$26,872,177 |
| Net Fiscal Impact, Before ADR Transfers, in 2017s | \$5,379,920 | \$5,369,497 | \$5,359,110 | \$5,348,763 | \$5,338,455 | \$5,328,189 | \$5,317,965 | \$5,307,785 | \$5,297,651 | \$5,287,562 |
| Net Fiscal I mpact, After ADR Transfers | \$11,042,569 | \$11,340,491 | \$11,646,472 | \$11,960,736 | \$12,283,514 | \$12,615,044 | \$12,955,568 | \$13,305,338 | \$13,664,612 | \$14,033,655 |
| Net Fiscal Impact, After ADR Transfers, in 2017\$ | \$2,835,031 | \$2,826,717 | \$2,818,432 | \$2,810,178 | \$2,801,957 | \$2,793,768 | \$2,785,613 | \$2,777,493 | \$2,769,409 | \$2,761,363 |

Table A2
Estimated Annual Project Revenues
Mission Rock
Mission Rock IfD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Revenues | Estimating Procedure / | Source | $\begin{gathered} \text { Buildout } \\ \text { (Uninflated) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | $2020-21$ | Estimates with Inflation |  | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recurring General Fund Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax | Case Study | Table A3 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | so | so | \$0 |
| Property Tax In-Lieu of VLF | Case Study | Table A3 | \$2,180,249 | so | \$341 | \$690 | \$1,045 | \$66,362 | \$68,030 | \$865,715 | \$910,940 | \$1,855,706 | \$2,258,384 | \$2,567,375 | \$2,736,854 |
| Sales Tax | Case Study | Table A4 | \$1,562,536 | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | \$682,872 | \$703,358 | \$1,182,379 | \$1,522,538 | \$1,850,515 | \$2,038,755 | \$2,099,918 | \$2,162,915 |
| Gross Receipts Tax | Case Study | Table A5 | \$6,864,480 | \$0 | \$24,487 | \$25,944 | \$27,443 | \$3,190,848 | \$3,275,435 | \$6,340,741 | \$7,402,554 | \$8,52, 057 | \$8,955,209 | \$9,224,587 | \$9,502,046 |
| Business Registration Fee | Case Study | Table A6 | \$143,965 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$63,501 | \$65,406 | \$122,420 | \$146,816 | \$176,214 | \$187,842 | \$193,477 | \$199,281 |
| Net New Parking Tax Revenue | Case Study | Table A7 | \$570,516 | \$0 | \$408,124 | \$432,392 | \$457,388 | \$412,855 | \$239,593 | \$698,516 | \$684,171 | \$688,700 | \$721,385 | \$755,051 | \$789,727 |
| Utility Users Tax - Water Users Tax | Per Employee | Table A8 | \$22,589 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10,352 | \$10,662 | \$20,086 | \$23,711 | \$27,619 | \$29,474 | \$30,358 | \$31,269 |
| Utility Users Tax- Gas Electric Steam Users Tax | Per Employee | Table A8 | \$368,770 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$168,993 | \$174,063 | \$327,909 | \$387,079 | \$450,883 | \$481,161 | \$499,595 | \$510,463 |
| Utility Users Tax - Telephone Users Tax | Persons Served | Table A8 | \$235,842 | \$0 | \$0 | s0 | \$0 | \$108,265 | \$111,513 | \$188,196 | \$247,864 | \$294,012 | \$307,720 | \$316,952 | \$326,460 |
| Access Line Tax [1] | Persons Served | Table A8 | \$228,580 | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | \$104,932 | \$108,080 | \$182,401 | \$240,232 | \$284,959 | \$298,245 | \$307,192 | \$316,408 |
| Licenses, Permits and franchise Fees [2] | Persons Served | Table A8 | \$141,805 | so | \$0 | so | so | \$65,097 | \$67,050 | \$113,156 | \$149,033 | \$176,781 | \$185,023 | \$190,574 | \$196,291 |
| Fines and Forfeitures [2] | Persons Served | Table A8 | \$21,670 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$9,948 | \$10,246 | \$17,292 | \$22,775 | \$27,015 | \$28,275 | \$29,123 | \$29,997 |
| Subtotal, Recurring General Fund Revenues, Before Tranter | nsfers |  |  | so | \$432,953 | \$459,025 | \$485,876 | \$4,884,025 | \$4,833,437 | \$10,058,810 | \$11,737,712 | \$14,361,462 | \$15,491,472 | \$16,210,201 | \$16,801,710 |
| Less: Transfers Pursuant to city Charter and City |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poolicies [3] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children's Services, ADR Set-aside | 8.76\% | of ADR | \$1,081,072 | \$0 | \$37,927 | \$40,211 | \$42,563 | \$427,841 | \$423,409 | \$881,152 | \$1,028,224 | \$1,258,064 | \$1,357,053 | \$1,420,014 | \$1,471,830 |
| Library Preservation, ADR Set-aside | 2.286\% | of ADR | \$282,115 | \$0 | \$9,897 | \$10,493 | \$11,107 | \$111,649 | \$110,492 | \$229,944 | \$268,324 | \$328,303 | \$354,135 | \$370,565 | \$384,087 |
| mTA ADR Set-aside | 9.19\% | of ADR | \$1,134,138 | \$0 | \$39,788 | \$42,184 | \$44,652 | \$448,842 | \$444,193 | \$924,405 | \$1,078,696 | \$1,319,818 | \$1,423,666 | \$1,489,718 | \$1,544,077 |
| Licenses, Permits, Fines, Franchise Fees |  |  | \$163,475 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$75,045 | \$77,296 | \$130,449 | \$171,808 | \$203,796 | \$213,297 | \$219,696 | \$226,287 |
| Total Transfers |  |  | \$2,660,800 | so | \$87,612 | \$92,888 | \$98,322 | \$1,063,376 | \$1,055,390 | \$2,165,949 | \$2,547,051 | \$3,109,982 | \$3,38,152 | \$3,49,993 | \$3,26, 281 |
| Recurring General Fund Revenues, After Transfers |  |  |  | so | \$345,341 | \$366,137 | \$387,554 | \$3,820,649 | \$3,778,047 | \$7,892,861 | \$9,190,661 | \$11,251,481 | \$12,143,320 | \$12,710,209 | \$13,175,429 |
| Construction Related Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Related Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales Tax | Case Study | Table A4 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,297,183 | \$0 | \$1,413,815 | \$627,336 | \$461,598 | \$163,258 | s0 | so | \$0 |
| Gross Receipts Tax |  | Table A5. 1 |  | \$117,166 | \$55,314 | \$270,739 | \$2,78,652 | \$125,326 | \$3,094,177 | \$1,247,266 | \$913,269 | \$347,423 | so | s0 | s0 |
| Subtotal, Construction Related Revenues, Befo | e Transfers |  |  | \$117,166 | \$55,314 | \$270,739 | \$4,084,835 | \$125,326 | \$4,507,992 | \$1,874,601 | \$1,374,867 | \$510,681 | so | so | so |
| Less: Transfers Pursuant to City Charter and City |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poolicies [3] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children's Services, ADR Set-aside | 8.76\% | of ADR | \$1,081,072 | \$10,264 | \$4,845 | \$23,717 | \$357,832 | \$10,979 | \$394,900 | \$164,215 | \$120,438 | \$44,736 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Library Preservation, ADR Set-aside | 2.286\% | of ADR | \$282,115 | \$2,678 | \$1,264 | \$6,189 | \$93,379 | \$2,865 | \$103,053 | \$42,853 | \$31,429 | \$11,674 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| mTA ADR Set-aside | 9.19\% | of ADR | \$1,134,138 | \$10,768 | \$5,083 | \$24,881 | \$375,396 | \$11,517 | \$414,285 | \$172,276 | \$126,350 | \$46,932 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Transfers |  |  | \$2,497,325 | \$23,710 | \$11,193 | \$54,787 | \$826,607 | \$25,361 | \$912,237 | \$379,344 | \$278,218 | \$103,341 | \$0 | so | \$0 |
| Construction Related Revenues, After Transfers |  |  |  | \$93,456 | \$44,120 | \$215,953 | \$3,258,227 | \$99,965 | \$3,595,755 | \$1,995,257 | \$1,996,649 | \$407,340 | so | \$0 | \$0 |
| summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total General Fund Revenues, Before Transfers |  |  | \$12,341,000 | \$117,166 | \$488,267 | \$729,765 | \$4,570,711 | \$5,009,351 | \$9,341,430 | \$11,933,412 | \$13,112,579 | \$14,872,143 | \$15,411,472 | \$16,210,201 | \$16,801,710 |
| Total General Fund Revenues, After Transfers |  |  | \$9,680,201 | \$93,456 | \$389,461 | \$582,090 | \$3,645,782 | \$3,920,614 | \$7,373,802 | \$9,388,118 | \$10,287,310 | \$11,658,820 | \$12,143,320 | \$12,710,209 | \$13,175,429 |

[1] Per San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code Article 10: Utility Users Tax, non-residential users pay telephone, water, gas, electric, and steam users utility taxes; residential and non-residential users pay cellular telephone
nd access line taxes. It has been assumed for purposes of these estimates that most residential users use cellular rather than land-line telephone service.
[1] City and county of Sal
[3] City of San Francisco, Office of the Controller. FY 2016-17 and fy 2017-18 Revenue Letter.

Table A2
Estimated Annual Project Revenues
Mission Rock
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Revenues | Estimates with Inflation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2029-30 | 2030-31 | 2031-32 | 2032-33 | 2033-34 | $2034 \cdot 35$ | 2035-36 | 2036-37 | 2037-38 | 2038-39 | 2039-40 | 2040-41 | 2041-42 |
| Recurring General Fund Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | so | \$0 | so |
| Property Tax In-Lieu of VLF | \$2,791,932 | \$2,848,112 | \$2,905,416 | \$2,963,866 | \$3,023,484 | \$3,04, 295 | \$3,146,323 | \$3,209,591 | \$3,274,124 | \$3,339,948 | \$3,407,088 | \$3,475,571 | \$3,545,424 |
| Sales Tax | \$2,227,803 | \$2,294,637 | \$2,363,476 | \$2,434,380 | \$2,507,412 | \$2,582,634 | \$2,660,113 | \$2,739,916 | \$2,822,114 | \$2,906,777 | \$2,993,981 | \$3,083,800 | \$3,176,314 |
| Gross Receipts Tax | \$9,787,829 | \$10,082,185 | \$10,385,372 | \$10,697,655 | \$11,019,306 | \$11,350,607 | \$11,691,846 | \$12,043,323 | \$12,405,344 | \$12,778,226 | \$13,162,294 | \$13,557,885 | \$13,965,342 |
| Business Registration Fee | \$205,260 | \$211,417 | \$217,760 | \$224,293 | \$231,022 | \$237,952 | \$245,091 | \$25,443 | \$260,017 | \$267,817 | \$275,852 | \$284,127 | \$292,651 |
| Net New Parking Tax Revenue | \$825,443 | \$862,231 | \$900,122 | \$939,150 | \$979,349 | \$1,020,754 | \$1,063,401 | \$1,107,327 | \$1,152,571 | \$1,199,172 | \$1,247,172 | \$1,296,611 | \$1,347,534 |
| Utility Users Tax - Water Users Tax | \$32,207 | \$33,173 | \$34,168 | \$35,193 | \$36,249 | \$37,337 | \$38,457 | \$39,610 | \$40,799 | \$42,023 | \$43,283 | \$44,582 | \$45,919 |
| Utility Users Tax- Gas Electric Steam Users Tax | \$525,777 | \$541,551 | \$557,797 | \$574,531 | \$591,767 | \$609,520 | \$627,806 | \$646,640 | \$666,039 | \$686,020 | \$706,601 | \$727,799 | \$749,633 |
| Utility Users Tax - Telephone Users Tax | \$336,254 | \$346,342 | \$356,732 | \$367,434 | \$378,457 | \$389,810 | \$401,505 | \$413,550 | \$425,956 | \$438,735 | \$451,897 | \$465,454 | \$479,418 |
| Access Line Tax [1] | \$325,900 | \$355,677 | \$355,747 | \$356,120 | \$366,803 | \$377,807 | \$389,142 | \$400,816 | \$412,840 | \$425,226 | \$437,982 | \$451,122 | \$464,656 |
| Licenses, Permits and franchise Fees [2] | \$202,179 | \$208,245 | \$214,492 | \$220,927 | \$227,555 | \$234,381 | \$241,413 | \$248,655 | \$256,115 | \$263,798 | \$271,712 | \$279,864 | \$288,260 |
| Fines and Forfeitures [2] | \$30,896 | \$31,823 | \$32,778 | \$33,761 | \$34,774 | \$35,817 | \$36,892 | \$37,999 | \$39,139 | \$40,313 | \$41,522 | \$42,768 | \$44,051 |

$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { Subtotal, Recurring General Fund Revenues, Before Tra } & \$ 17,291,480 & \$ 17,795,393 & \$ 18,313,861 & \$ 18,847,309 & \$ 19,396,177 & \$ 19,966,915 & \$ 20,541,987 & \$ 21,139,870 & \$ 21,755,058 & \$ 22,388,055 & \$ 23,039,385\end{array} \quad \$ 23,709,583 \quad \$ 24,399,202$
Less: Transfers Pursuant to city Charter and City


| Construction Related Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction Related Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales Tax | so | \$0 | so | so | \$0 | so | so | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gross Receipts Tax | so | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | \$0 | so | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtota, Construction Related Revenues, Befol | \$0 | so | so | so | so | so | \$0 | so | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Less: Transfers Pursuant to City Charter and City Policies [3] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children's Services, ADR Set-aside | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| Library Preservation, ADR Set-aside | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| MTA ADR Set-aside | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |
| Total Transfers | \$0 | s0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | so |  |
| Construction Related Revenues, Atter Transers | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so |  |


| Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total General Fund Revenues, Before Transfers | \$17,291,480 | \$17,795,393 | \$18,313,861 | \$18,847,309 | \$19,396,177 | \$19,960,915 | \$20,541,987 | \$21,139,870 | \$21,755,058 | \$22,388,055 | \$23,039,385 | \$23,709,583 | \$24,399,202 |
| Total General Fund Revenues, After Transfers | \$13,559,300 | \$13,954,249 | \$14,360,597 | \$14,778,680 | \$15,208,838 | \$15,651,425 | \$16,106,805 | \$16,575,352 | \$17,057,451 | \$17,553,497 | \$18,063,900 | \$18,589,080 | \$19,129,469 |

II Per San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code Article 10: Utility Users Tax, non-residential users pay telephone, water, gas, electric, and steam users utility taxes; residential and non-residential
Isers pay cellular telephone and access line taxes. It has been assumed for purposes of these estimates that most residential users use cellulur rather than land-line telephone service.
8 Reven
B] City of San Francisco, Office of the Controller. FY 2016-17 and FY 2017-18 Revenue Letter.

Table A2
Estimated Annual Project Revenues
Mission Rock
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Revenues | Estimates with Inflation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2042-43 | $2043-44$ | 2044-45 | 2045-46 | 2046-47 | 2047-48 | 2048-49 | 2049-50 | 2050-51 | 2051-52 | 2052-53 | 2053-54 | 2054.55 |
| Recurring General Fund Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 |
| Property Tax In-Lieu of VLF | \$3,616,674 | \$3,68,349 | \$3,76, 477 | \$3,839,088 | \$3,916,212 | \$3,994,877 | \$4,075,116 | \$4,156,960 | \$4,240,441 | \$4,325,591 | \$4,412,444 | \$4,501,034 | \$4,591,397 |
| Sales Tax | \$3,271,603 | \$3,36,751 | \$3,470,844 | \$3,574,969 | \$3,682,218 | \$3,792,685 | \$3,906,465 | \$4,023,659 | \$4,144,369 | \$4,268,700 | \$4,396,761 | \$4,588,664 | \$4,664,524 |
| Gross Receipts Tax | \$14,385,024 | \$14,817,296 | \$15,262,537 | \$15,721,134 | \$16,193,490 | \$16,680,016 | \$17,181,138 | \$17,697,294 | \$18,228,934 | \$18,776,523 | \$19,340,540 | \$19,921,478 | \$20,519,844 |
| Business Registration Fee | \$301,431 | \$310,474 | \$319,788 | \$329,382 | \$339,263 | \$349,441 | \$359,924 | \$370,722 | \$381,843 | \$393,299 | \$405,098 | \$417,251 | \$429,768 |
| Net New Parking Tax Revenue | \$1,39,984 | \$1,454,008 | \$1,50, 653 | \$1,566,967 | \$1,626,000 | \$1,686,805 | \$1,749,433 | \$1,813,940 | \$1,880,383 | \$1,948,819 | \$2,019,308 | \$2,091,911 | \$2,166,693 |
| Utility Users Tax-Water Users Tax | \$47,297 | \$48,716 | \$50,177 | \$51,683 | \$53,233 | \$54,830 | \$56,475 | \$58,169 | \$59,914 | \$61,712 | \$63,563 | \$65,470 | \$67,434 |
| Utility Users Tax - Gas Electric Steam Users Tax | \$772,122 | \$795,285 | \$819,144 | \$843,718 | \$869,030 | \$895,101 | \$921,954 | \$949,612 | \$978,101 | \$1,007,444 | \$1,037,667 | \$1,068,797 | \$1,100,861 |
| Utility Users Tax - Telephone Users Tax | \$493,800 | \$508,614 | \$523,873 | \$539,589 | \$555,776 | \$572,450 | \$589,623 | \$607,312 | \$625,531 | \$644,297 | \$663,626 | \$683,535 | \$704,041 |
| Access Line Tax [1] | \$478,595 | \$492,953 | \$507,742 | \$522,974 | \$538,663 | \$554,823 | \$571,468 | \$588,612 | \$606,270 | \$624,458 | \$643,192 | \$662,488 | \$682,362 |
| Licenses, Permits and franchise Fees [2] | \$296,907 | \$305,815 | \$314,989 | \$324,439 | \$334,172 | \$344,197 | \$354,523 | \$365,159 | \$376,113 | \$387,397 | \$399,019 | \$410,989 | \$423,319 |
| Fines and Forfeitures [2] | \$45,372 | \$46,734 | \$48,136 | \$49,580 | \$51,067 | \$52,599 | \$54,177 | \$55,802 | \$57,476 | \$59,201 | \$60,977 | \$62,806 | \$64,690 |

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Subtotal, Recurring General Fund Revenues, Before Tra } & \$ 25,108,811 & \$ 25,838,995 & \$ 26,590,359 & \$ 27,363,522 & \$ 28,159,124 & \$ 28,977,823 & \$ 29,820,296 & \$ 30,687,241 & \$ 31,579,376 & \$ 32,497,440 & \$ 33,442,195 & \$ 34,414,423\end{array} \quad \$ 35,414,933$
Less: Transfers Pursuant to city Charter and City
Policies 3$]$

| Policie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chidren's'Services, ADR Set-aside Library Preservation, ADR Se-aside | $\$ 2,199,532$ $\$ 573,987$ | \$2,263,496 | $\$ 2,329,315$ | $\$ 2,397,045$ | \$2,466,739 | \$2,538,457 | \$2,612,258 | \$2,688,202 | \$2,766,353 | \$2,846,776 | \$2,929,536 | \$3,014,703 | \$3,102,348 |
| Library Preservation, ADR Set-aside MTA ADR Set-aside | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 573,987 \\ \$ 2,307,500 \end{array}$ | $\$ 5990,679$ $\$ 2,37,004$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 607,856 \\ \$ 2,443,654 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 625,530 \\ \$ 2,514,708 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 643,718 \\ \$ 2,587,824 \end{array}$ | \$562,433 | $\$ 2,681,992$ $\$ 2,74,485$ | \$701,510 $\$ 2,820,157$ | \$721,905 $\$ 2,02,145$ | \$7, $\$ 2,88,891$ $\$ 2,515$ | \$764,489 $\$ 3,073,388$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 786,714 \\ \$ 3,162,685 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 809,585 \\ \$ 3,254,632 \end{array}$ |
| Licenses, Permits, Fines, Franchise Fees | \$342,280 | \$352,548 | \$363,125 | \$374,018 | \$385,239 | \$396,796 | \$408,700 | \$420,961 | \$433,590 | \$446,598 | \$459,995 | \$473,795 | \$488,009 |
| Total Transfers | \$5,423,299 | \$5,58,327 | \$5,74,950 | \$5,911,301 | \$6,083,519 | \$6,260,748 | \$6,443,135 | \$6,33,831 | \$6,82, 9 ,92 | \$7,022,779 | \$7,22, 358 | \$7,437,998 | \$7,65,575 |
| Recurring General Fund Revenues, After Transers | \$19,685,512 | \$20,257,668 | \$20,846,409 | \$21,452,221 | \$22,075,605 | \$22,717,075 | \$23,377,161 | \$24,056,410 | \$24,755,384 | \$25,474,661 | \$26,214,837 | \$26,976,525 | \$27,760,358 |
| Construction Related Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Related Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales Tax | so | so | so | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | so | so | \$0 | so |
| Gross Receipts Tax | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtota, Construction Related Revenues, Befol | \$0 | so | \$0 | s0 | so | so | \$0 | so | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Less: Transfers Pursuant to City Charter and City Policies [3] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children's Services, ADR Set-aside | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 50 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Library Preservation, ADR Se-aside | so | so | so | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 |
| mTA ADR Set-aside | \$0 | so | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Transfers | \$0 | so | \$0 | so | so | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 |
| Construction Related Revenues, After Transfers | so | so | \$0 | so | \$0 | so | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | so |


${ }^{[1]}$ Per San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code Article 10: Utility Users Tax, non-residential users pay telephone, water, gas, electric, and steam users utility taxes; residential and non-residential
sers pay celluar telephone and access line taxes. It has been assumed for purposes
2] City and County of San Francisco, Proposed Budget, FY $2017-18$ and fY 2018 -19.
3] City of San Francisco, Office of the Controler. FY $2016-17$ and FY 2017-18 Revenue Letter.

Table A2
Estimated Annual Project Revenues
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Revenues | Estimates with Inflation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2055-56 | 2056-57 | 2057-58 | 2058-59 | 2059-60 | 2060-61 | 2061-62 | 2062-63 | 2063-64 | 2064.65 | 2065-66 | 2066-67 | 2067-68 | 2068-69 | 2069-70 | 2070-71 | 2071-72 | 2072-73 |
| Recurring General Fund Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax | so | \$0 | so | \$0 | so | so | so | so | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so |
| Property Tax In-Lieu of VLF | \$4,68, 566 | \$4,777,579 | \$4,873,472 | \$4,971,282 | \$5,071,050 | \$5,172,812 | \$5,276,610 | \$5,382,483 | \$5,490,474 | \$5,600,625 | \$5,712,979 | \$5,827,580 | \$5,944,473 | \$6,063,704 | \$6,185,320 | \$6,309,367 | \$6,435,896 | \$6,564,956 |
| Sales Tax | \$4,804,460 | \$4,948,594 | \$5,097,051 | \$5,249,963 | \$5,407,462 | \$5,569,686 | \$5,736,776 | \$5,008,880 | \$6,086,146 | \$6,268,730 | \$6,456,792 | \$6,650,496 | \$6,850,011 | \$7,055,511 | \$7,267,177 | \$7,485,192 | \$7,799,748 | \$7,941,040 |
| Gross Receipts Tax | \$21,136,161 | \$21,770,967 | \$22,424,817 | \$23,098,283 | \$23,791,953 | \$24,506,433 | \$25,242,348 | \$26,000,340 | \$26,781,071 | \$27,585,225 | \$28,413,503 | \$29,266,630 | \$30,145,350 | \$31,050,432 | \$31,982,667 | \$32,942,868 | \$33,931,876 | \$34,950,553 |
| Business Registration Fee | \$422,661 | \$455,941 | \$469,619 | \$483,708 | \$498,219 | \$513,166 | \$528,561 | \$544,417 | \$560,750 | \$577,572 | \$594,900 | \$612,747 | \$631,129 | \$650,063 | \$669,565 | \$689,652 | \$710,341 | \$731,652 |
| Net New Parking Tax Revenue | \$2,24,718 | \$2,323,054 | \$2,404,770 | \$2,488,937 | \$2,575,630 | \$2,664,923 | \$2,756,895 | \$2,851,626 | \$2,949,199 | \$3,049,699 | \$3,153,215 | \$3,259,836 | \$3,669,655 | \$3,482,769 | \$3,599,276 | \$3,719,279 | \$3,842,882 | \$3,970,192 |
| Utility Users Tax - Water Users Tax | \$69,457 | \$71,541 | \$73,687 | \$75,898 | \$78,175 | \$80,520 | \$82,935 | \$85,423 | \$87,986 | \$90,626 | \$93,344 | \$96,145 | \$99,029 | \$102,000 | \$105,060 | \$108,212 | \$111,458 | \$114,802 |
| Utility Users Tax- Gas Electric Steam Users Tax | \$1,13,, 887 | \$1,167,903 | \$1,202,940 | \$1,239,028 | \$1,276,199 | \$1,314,485 | \$1,353,920 | \$1,394,537 | \$1,436,374 | \$1,479,465 | \$1,523,849 | \$1,569,564 | \$1,616,651 | \$1,665,151 | \$1,715,105 | \$1,766,558 | \$1,819,555 | \$1,874,142 |
| Utility Users Tax - Telephone Users Tax | \$725,162 | \$746,917 | \$769,325 | \$792,404 | \$816,176 | \$840,662 | \$865,882 | \$891,858 | \$918,614 | \$946,172 | \$974,557 | \$1,003,794 | \$1,033,908 | \$1,064,925 | \$1,096,873 | \$1,129,779 | \$1,163,672 | \$1,198,583 |
| Access Line Tax [1] | \$702,833 | \$723,918 | \$745,636 | \$768,005 | \$791,045 | \$814,776 | \$839,220 | \$864,396 | \$890,328 | \$917,038 | \$944,549 | \$972,886 | \$1,002,072 | \$1,032,134 | \$1,063,098 | \$1,094,991 | \$1,127,841 | \$1,161,676 |
| Licenses, Permits and franchise Fees [2] | \$436,019 | \$449,099 | \$462,572 | \$476,449 | \$490,743 | \$505,465 | \$520,629 | \$536,248 | \$552,335 | \$568,905 | \$585,972 | \$603,552 | \$621,658 | \$640,308 | \$659,517 | \$679,303 | \$699,682 | \$720,672 |
| Fines and Forfeitures [2] | \$66,631 | \$68,630 | \$70,689 | \$72,809 | \$74,994 | \$77,243 | \$79,561 | \$81,948 | \$84,406 | \$86,938 | \$89,546 | \$92,233 | \$95,000 | \$97,850 | \$100,785 | \$103,809 | \$106,923 | \$110,131 |
| Subtotal, Recurring General Fund Revenues, Before Tra | \$36,444,554 | \$37,504,142 | \$38,594,578 | \$39,716,768 | \$40,871,645 | \$42,060,171 | \$43,283,335 | \$44,542,157 | \$45,837,684 | \$47,170,997 | \$48,543,208 | \$49,955,461 | \$51,408,937 | \$52,904,849 | \$54,444,446 | \$56,029,014 | \$57,659,879 | \$59,338,404 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lesss Transfers Pursuant to city Charter and CityPolicies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children's Services, ADR Set-aside | \$3,192,543 | \$3,285,363 | \$3,380,885 | \$3,479,189 | \$3,580,356 | \$3,684,471 | \$3,791,620 | \$3,901,893 | \$4,015,381 | \$4,132,179 | \$4,252,385 | \$4,376,098 | \$4,503,423 | \$4,634,465 | \$4,769,333 | \$4,908,142 | \$5,051,005 | \$5,198,044 |
| Library Preservation, ADR Set-aside | \$833,123 | \$857,345 | \$882,272 | \$907,925 | \$934,326 | \$961,496 | \$989,457 | \$1,08,234 | \$1,047,849 | \$1,08, 329 | \$1,10,698 | \$1,141,982 | \$1,175,208 | \$1,209,405 | \$1,24, 600 | \$1,280,823 | \$1,38,105 | \$1,356,476 |
| mTA ADR Set-aside | \$3,349,255 | \$3,446,631 | \$3,54, ,842 | \$3,649,971 | \$3,756,104 | \$3,865,330 | \$3,97,739 | \$4,093,424 | \$4,212,483 | \$4,335,015 | \$4,461,121 | \$4,590,907 | \$4,724,481 | \$4,861,956 | \$5,03,445 | \$5,149,066 | \$5,298,943 | \$5,45,199 |
| Licenses, Permits, Fines, Franchise Fees | \$502,649 | \$517,729 | \$533,261 | \$549,259 | \$565,736 | \$582,708 | \$600,190 | \$618,195 | \$636,741 | \$655,843 | \$675,519 | \$695,784 | \$716,658 | \$738,158 | \$760,302 | \$783,111 | \$800,605 | \$830,803 |
| Total Transfers | \$7,877,569 | \$8,107,067 | \$8,34,260 | \$8,58,344 | \$8,836,522 | \$9,09,005 | \$9,359,005 | \$9,631,746 | \$9,912,455 | \$10,201,366 | \$10,498,722 | \$10,804,771 | \$11,119,770 | \$11,443,983 | \$11,777,680 | \$12,121,143 | \$12,474,658 | \$12,838,522 |
| Recurring General Fund Revenues, After Transfers | \$28,566,985 | \$29,397,075 | \$30,251,318 | \$31,130,424 | \$32,035,123 | \$32,966,166 | \$33,924,330 | \$34,910,410 | \$35,925,229 | \$36,969,630 | \$38,044,485 | \$39,150,690 | \$40,289,167 | \$41,460,866 | \$42,666,765 | \$43,907,871 | \$45,185,221 | \$46,499,882 |
| Construction Related Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Related Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales Tax | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gross Receipts Tax | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so |
| Subtotal, Construction Related Revenues, Befoı | so | so | so | so | so | so | so | so | \$0 | so | so | so | so | so | so | so | so | so |
| Less: Transfers Pursuant to City Charter and City |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Policies [3] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children's Services, ADR Set-aside | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Library Preservation, ADR Set-aside | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | s0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| mTA ADR Set-aside | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total 1 Transfers | \$0 | so | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | \$0 |
| Construction Related Revenues, Atter Transfers | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 |
| Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total General Fund Revenues, Before Transfers | \$36,444,554 | \$37,504,142 | \$38,594,578 | \$39,716,768 | \$40,871,645 | \$42,060,171 | \$43,283,335 | \$44,542,157 | \$45,837,684 | \$47,170,997 | \$48,543,208 | \$49,955,461 | \$51,408,937 | \$52,904,849 | \$54,444,446 | \$56,029,014 | \$57,659,879 | \$59,338,404 |
| Total General Fund Revenues, After Transfers | \$28,566,985 | \$29,397,075 | \$30,251,318 | \$31,130,424 | \$32,035,123 | \$32,966,166 | \$33,924,330 | \$34,910,410 | \$35,925,229 | \$36,969,630 | \$38,044,485 | \$39,150,690 | \$40,289,167 | \$41,460,866 | \$42,666,765 | \$43,907,871 | \$45,185,221 | \$46,499,882 |
| [1] Per San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code Article 10: Utility Users Tax, non-residential users pay telephone, water, gas, electric, and steam users utility taxes; residential and nonresidential users pay cellular telephone and access line taxes. It has been assumed for purposes of these estimates that most residential users use cellular rather than land-line telephone service. <br> [2] City and County of San Francisco, Proposed Budget, FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19. <br> [3] City of San Francisco, Office of the Controller. FY 2016-17 and FY 2017-18 Revenue Letter. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A3
Estimated Revenue, Property Tax and Property Tax In-Lieu of VLF
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Item | Assumption/ Source | Formula | Revenue Added to Tax Roll (\$ with inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 |
| 1-Percent Property Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Assessed Value | Table B4 |  | \$16,014,000 | \$16,334,280 | \$16,660,966 | \$16,994,185 | \$78,265,938 | \$79,831,257 | \$828,115,289 | \$870,540,060 | \$1,756,796,818 |
| Base Value | Table B4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Incremental Assessed Value | Table B4 | a | \$0 | \$320,280 | \$646,966 | \$980,185 | \$62,251,938 | \$63,817,257 | \$812,101,289 | \$854,526,060 | \$1,740,782,818 |
| Property Tax Revenue ( $1 \%$ of AV) | 1.00\% | $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a}^{*} 1.00 \%$ | \$0 | \$3,203 | \$6,470 | \$9,802 | \$622,519 | \$638,173 | \$8,121,013 | \$8,545,261 | \$17,407,828 |
| San Francisco Share | 64.59\% | $d=b^{*} 0.6459$ | \$0 | \$2,069 | \$4,179 | \$6,331 | \$402,085 | \$412,196 | \$5,245,362 | \$5,519,384 | \$11,243,716 |
| Estimated Property Tax Allocation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100\% Property Tax Deposited into EIFD | Table A10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City and County General Fund [1] | 0.00\% | $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{b}^{*} 0$ | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Property Tax In-Lieu of Motor Vehicle In-Lieu Fee Revene (VLF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax Based Revenue 2004-05 [2] 2004-05 City of San Francisco Gross | \$109,881,177 | g |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assessed Value [2] | \$103,076,295,556 | h |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax in Lieu of VLF per \$1,000 in AV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth | \$1.07 | $i=g / h * 1000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax In-Lieu of VLF |  | j=i*a/ 1000 | \$0 | \$341 | \$690 | \$1,045 | \$66,362 | \$68,030 | \$865,715 | \$910,940 | \$1,855,706 |

1] Table A10
[2] Values of City and County of San Francisco. California State Controller's Office.

Table A
Estimated Revenue, Property Tax and Property
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Revenue Added to Tax Roll (\$ with inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 | 2029-30 | 2030-31 | 2031-32 | 2032-33 | 2033-34 | 2034-35 | 2035-36 | 2036-37 | 2037-38 |
| 1-Percent Property Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Assessed Value | \$2,134,537,498 | \$2,424,393,126 | \$2,583,375,785 | \$2,635,043,301 | \$2,687,744,167 | \$2,741,499,051 | \$2,796,329,032 | \$2,852,255,612 | \$2,909,300,724 | \$2,967,486,739 | \$3,026,836,474 | \$3,087,373,203 |
| Base Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Incremental Assessed Value | \$2,118,523,498 | \$2,408,379,126 | \$2,567,361,785 | \$2,619,029,301 | \$2,671,730,167 | \$2,725,485,051 | \$2,780,315,032 | \$2,836,241,612 | \$2,893,286,724 | \$2,951,472,739 | \$3,010,822,474 | \$3,071,359,203 |
| Property Tax Revenue ( $1 \%$ of AV) | \$21,185,235 | \$24,083,791 | \$25,673,618 | \$26,190,293 | \$26,717,302 | \$27,254,851 | \$27,803,150 | \$28,362,416 | \$28,932,867 | \$29,514,727 | \$30,108,225 | \$30,713,592 |
| San Francisco Share | \$13,683,543 | \$15,555,721 | \$16,582,590 | \$16,916,310 | \$17,256,705 | \$17,603,908 | \$17,958,055 | \$18,319,285 | \$18,687,739 | \$19,063,562 | \$19,446,902 | \$19,837,909 |
| Estimated Property Tax Allocation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100\% Property Tax Deposited into EIFD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City and County General Fund [1] | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Property Tax In-Lieu of Motor Vehicle In-Lie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Property Tax Based Revenue 2004-05 [2]
2004-05 City of San Francisco Gross
Assessed Value [2]
Property Tax in Lieu of VLF per $\$ 1,000$ in AV
Growth

| Property Tax In-Lieu of VLF | \$2,258,384 | \$2,567,375 | \$2,736,854 | \$2,791,932 | \$2,848,112 | \$2,905,416 | \$2,963,866 | \$3,023,484 | \$3,084,295 | \$3,146,323 | \$3,209,591 | \$3,274,124 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[1] Table A10.
2] Values of City and County of San Francisco. California State Controller's Office.

Table A
Estimated Revenue, Property Tax and Property
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Item | Revenue Added to Tax Roll (\$ with inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2038-39 | 2039-40 | 2040-41 | 2041-42 | 2042-43 | 2043-44 | 2044-45 | 2045-46 | 2046-47 | 2047-48 | 2048-49 | 2049-50 |
| 1-Percent Property Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Assessed Value | \$3,149,120,667 | \$3,212,103,081 | \$3,276,345,142 | \$3,341,872,045 | \$3,408,709,486 | \$3,476,883,676 | \$3,546,421,349 | \$3,617,349,776 | \$3,689,696,772 | \$3,763,490,707 | \$3,838,760,521 | \$3,915,535,732 |
| Base Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Incremental Assessed Value | \$3,133,106,667 | \$3,196,089,081 | \$3,260,331,142 | \$3,325,858,045 | \$3,392,695,486 | \$3,460,869,676 | \$3,530,407,349 | \$3,601,335,776 | \$3,673,682,772 | \$3,747,476,707 | \$3,822,746,521 | \$3,899,521,732 |
| Property Tax Revenue ( $1 \%$ of AV) | \$31,331,067 | \$31,960,891 | \$32,603,311 | \$33,258,580 | \$33,926,955 | \$34,608,697 | \$35,304,073 | \$36,013,358 | \$36,736,828 | \$37,474,767 | \$38,227,465 | \$38,995,217 |
| San Francisco Share | \$20,236,736 | \$20,643,539 | \$21,058,479 | \$21,481,717 | \$21,913,420 | \$22,353,757 | \$22,802,901 | \$23,261,028 | \$23,728,317 | \$24,204,952 | \$24,691,120 | \$25,187,011 |
| Estimated Property Tax Allocation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100\% Property Tax Deposited into EIFD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City and County General Fund [1] | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Property Tax In-Lieu of Motor Vehicle In-Lie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Property Tax Based Revenue 2004-05 [2]
2004-05 City of San Francisco Gross
Assessed Value [2]
Property Tax in Lieu of VLF per $\$ 1,000$ in AV
Growth

| Property Tax In-Lieu of VLF | \$3,339,948 | \$3,407,088 | \$3,475,571 | \$3,545,424 | \$3,616,674 | \$3,689,349 | \$3,763,477 | \$3,839,088 | \$3,916,212 | \$3,994,877 | \$4,075,116 | \$4,156,960 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

1] Table A10
2] Values of City and County of San Francisco. California State Controller's Office.

Table A
Estimated Revenue, Property Tax and Property
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Item | Revenue Added to Tax Roll (\$ with inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2050-51 | 2051-52 | 2052-53 | 2053-54 | 2054-55 | 2055-56 | 2056-57 | 2057-58 | 2058-59 | 2059-60 | 2060-61 | 2061-62 |
| 1-Percent Property Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Assessed Value | \$3,993,846,446 | \$4,073,723,375 | \$4,155,197,843 | \$4,238,301,800 | \$4,323,067,836 | \$4,409,529,192 | \$4,497,719,776 | \$4,587,674,172 | \$4,679,427,655 | \$4,773,016,208 | \$4,868,476,532 | \$4,965,846,063 |
| Base Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Incremental Assessed Value | \$3,977,832,446 | \$4,057,709,375 | \$4,139,183,843 | \$4,222,287,800 | \$4,307,053,836 | \$4,393,515,192 | \$4,481,705,776 | \$4,571,660,172 | \$4,663,413,655 | \$4,757,002,208 | \$4,852,462,532 | \$4,949,832,063 |
| Property Tax Revenue ( $1 \%$ of AV) | \$39,778,324 | \$40,577,094 | \$41,391,838 | \$42,222,878 | \$43,070,538 | \$43,935,152 | \$44,817,058 | \$45,716,602 | \$46,634,137 | \$47,570,022 | \$48,524,625 | \$49,498,321 |
| San Francisco Share | \$25,692,820 | \$26,208,745 | \$26,734,988 | \$27,271,757 | \$27,819,261 | \$28,377,715 | \$28,947,338 | \$29,528,353 | \$30,120,989 | \$30,725,477 | \$31,342,055 | \$31,970,965 |
| Estimated Property Tax Allocation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100\% Property Tax Deposited into EIFD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City and County General Fund [1] | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Property Tax In-Lieu of Motor Vehicle In-Lie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Property Tax Based Revenue 2004-05 [2]
2004-05 City of San Francisco Gross
Assessed Value [2]
Property Tax in Lieu of VLF per $\$ 1,000$ in AV
Growth

| Property Tax In-Lieu of VLF | \$4,240,441 | \$4,325,591 | \$4,412,444 | \$4,501,034 | \$4,591,397 | \$4,683,566 | \$4,777,579 | \$4,873,472 | \$4,971,282 | \$5,071,050 | \$5,172,812 | \$5,276,610 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

1] Table A10.
[2] Values of City and County of San Francisco. California State Controller's Office.

Table A3
Estimated Revenue, Property Tax and Property
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Revenue Added to Tax Roll (\$ with inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | 2062-63 | 2063-64 | 2064-65 | 2065-66 | 2066-67 | 2067-68 | 2068-69 | 2069-70 | 2070-71 | 2071-72 | 2072-73 |
| 1-Percent Property Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Assessed Value | \$5,065,162,984 | \$5,166,466,244 | \$5,269,795,569 | \$5,375,191,480 | \$5,482,695,310 | \$5,592,349,216 | \$5,704,196,200 | \$5,818,280,124 | \$5,934,645,727 | \$6,053,338,641 | \$6,174,405,414 |
| Base Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Incremental Assessed Value | \$5,049,148,984 | \$5,150,452,244 | \$5,253,781,569 | \$5,359,177,480 | \$5,466,681,310 | \$5,576,335,216 | \$5,688,182,200 | \$5,802,266,124 | \$5,918,631,727 | \$6,037,324,641 | \$6,158,391,414 |
| Property Tax Revenue ( $1 \%$ of AV) | \$50,491,490 | \$51,504,522 | \$52,537,816 | \$53,591,775 | \$54,666,813 | \$55,763,352 | \$56,881,822 | \$58,022,661 | \$59,186,317 | \$60,373,246 | \$61,583,914 |
| San Francisco Share | \$32,612,453 | \$33,266,771 | \$33,934,175 | \$34,614,927 | \$35,309,295 | \$36,017,549 | \$36,739,969 | \$37,476,837 | \$38,228,442 | \$38,995,080 | \$39,777,050 |
| Estimated Property Tax Allocation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100\% Property Tax Deposited into EIFD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City and County General Fund [1] | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Property Tax Based Revenue 2004-05 [2]
2004-05 City of San Francisco Gross
Assessed Value [2]
Property Tax in Lieu of VLF per $\$ 1,000$ in AV
Growth

| Property Tax In-Lieu of VLF | \$5,382,483 | \$5,490,474 | \$5,600,625 | \$5,712,979 | \$5,827,580 | \$5,944,473 | \$6,063,704 | \$6,185,320 | \$6,309,367 | \$6,435,896 | \$6,564,956 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

1) Table A10.
[2] Values of City and County of San Francisco. California State Controller's Office.

## Table A4

Estimated Annual Sales and Use Tax Revenues
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| ItemAssumptions/ <br> Sources | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Buildout } \\ \text { (uninlfated) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Revenue Added to Tax Roll (\$ with Inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 |
| Citywide Taxable Sales, Recurring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Off-site Spending from Residents \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees Table A4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Households - offsite spending | \$38,876,031 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$17,882,407 | \$18,418,879 | \$28,025,394 | \$40,908,451 | \$49,246,992 | \$50,724,402 | \$52,246,134 | \$53,813,518 |
| Retail \& Production Employee off-site spending | \$1,743,998 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$630,348 | \$649,258 | \$1,081,779 | \$1,385,193 | \$1,747,572 | \$2,275,521 | \$2,343,787 | \$2,414,100 |
| Office Employee off-site spending | \$12,076,039 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,767,726 | \$5,940,758 | \$11,387,157 | \$13,292,141 | \$15,297,564 | \$15,756,491 | \$16,229,186 | \$16,716,062 |
| Subtotal | \$52,696,067 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$24,280,481 | \$25,008,895 | \$40,494,329 | \$55,585,785 | \$66,292,129 | \$68,756,415 | \$70,819,107 | \$72,943,680 |
| Taxable Sales from Space $\quad$ Table A4.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail Sales from Space | \$67,211,370 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$30,043,826 | \$30,945,140 | \$50,173,875 | \$64,489,863 | \$81,721,073 | \$87,695,593 | \$90,326,461 | \$93,036,255 |
| Non-retail Sales from Space | \$36,346,161 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13,962,905 | \$14,381,792 | \$27,569,660 | \$32,178,154 | \$37,038,345 | \$47,423,497 | \$48,846,201 | \$50,311,587 |
| Subtotal | \$103,557,531 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$44,006,730 | \$45,326,932 | \$77,743,535 | \$96,668,017 | \$118,759,418 | \$135,119,090 | \$139,172,662 | \$143,347,842 |
| Total - Citywide Taxable Sales | \$156,253,598 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$68,287,211 | \$70,335,827 | \$118,237,864 | \$152,253,802 | \$185,051,547 | \$203,875,504 | \$209,991,770 | \$216,291,523 |
| Annual Sales-Tax Revenue, Recurring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Sales Tax Rate 1.0000\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Annual Sales-Tax Revenue | \$1,562,536 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$682,872 | \$703,358 | \$1,182,379 | \$1,522,538 | \$1,850,515 | \$2,038,755 | \$2,099,918 | \$2,162,915 |
| Annual Sales-Tax Revenue, Non-recurring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Sales from Space, Construction | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$129,718,278 | \$0 | \$141,381,539 | \$62,733,556 | \$46,159,802 | \$16,325,813 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Sales Tax Rate 1.0000\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Annual Sales-Tax Revenue | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,297,183 | \$0 | \$1,413,815 | \$627,336 | \$461,598 | \$163,258 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

## Table A4

Estimated Annual Sales and Use Tax Rt
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysi:
San Francisco, CA

| Item | Revenue Added to Tax Roll |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2029-30 | 2030-31 | 2031-32 | 2032-33 | 2033-34 | 2034-35 | 2035-36 | 2036-37 | 2037-38 | 2038-39 | 2039-40 | 2040-41 | 2041-42 |
| Citywide Taxable Sales, Recurring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Off-site Spending from Residents \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Households - offsite spending | \$55,427,924 | \$57,090,762 | \$58,803,484 | \$60,567,589 | \$62,384,617 | \$64,256,155 | \$66,183,840 | \$68,169,355 | \$70,214,436 | \$72,320,869 | \$74,490,495 | \$76,725,210 | \$79,026,966 |
| Retail \& Production Employee off-sit | \$2,486,524 | \$2,561,119 | \$2,637,953 | \$2,717,091 | \$2,798,604 | \$2,882,562 | \$2,969,039 | \$3,058,110 | \$3,149,854 | \$3,244,349 | \$3,341,680 | \$3,441,930 | \$3,545,188 |
| Office Employee off-site spending | \$17,217,543 | \$17,734,070 | \$18,266,092 | \$18,814,075 | \$19,378,497 | \$19,959,852 | \$20,558,647 | \$21,175,407 | \$21,810,669 | \$22,464,989 | \$23,138,939 | \$23,833,107 | \$24,548,100 |
| Subtotal | \$75,131,991 | \$77,385,951 | \$79,707,529 | \$82,098,755 | \$84,561,718 | \$87,098,569 | \$89,711,526 | \$92,402,872 | \$95,174,958 | \$98,030,207 | \$100,971,113 | \$104,000,246 | \$107,120,254 |
| Taxable Sales from Space |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail Sales from Space | \$95,827,342 | \$98,702,163 | \$101,663,228 | \$104,713,124 | \$107,854,518 | \$111,090,154 | \$114,422,858 | \$117,855,544 | \$121,391,210 | \$125,032,947 | \$128,783,935 | \$132,647,453 | \$136,626,877 |
| Non-retail Sales from Space | \$51,820,935 | \$53,375,563 | \$54,976,830 | \$56,626,135 | \$58,324,919 | \$60,074,667 | \$61,876,907 | \$63,733,214 | \$65,645,210 | \$67,614,566 | \$69,643,003 | \$71,732,294 | \$73,884,262 |
| Subtotal | \$147,648,278 | \$152,077,726 | \$156,640,058 | \$161,339,259 | \$166,179,437 | \$171,164,820 | \$176,299,765 | \$181,588,758 | \$187,036,421 | \$192,647,513 | \$198,426,939 | \$204,379,747 | \$210,511,139 |
| Total - Citywide Taxable Sales | \$222,780,268 | \$229,463,676 | \$236,347,587 | \$243,438,014 | \$250,741,155 | \$258,263,389 | \$266,011,291 | \$273,991,630 | \$282,211,379 | \$290,677,720 | \$299,398,052 | \$308,379,993 | \$317,631,393 |
| Annual Sales-Tax Revenue, Recurring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Sales Tax Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Annual Sales-Tax Revenue | \$2,227,803 | \$2,294,637 | \$2,363,476 | \$2,434,380 | \$2,507,412 | \$2,582,634 | \$2,660,113 | \$2,739,916 | \$2,822,114 | \$2,906,777 | \$2,993,981 | \$3,083,800 | \$3,176,314 |
| Annual Sales-Tax Revenue, Non-recurry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Sales from Space, Constructi | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Sales Tax Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Annual Sales-Tax Revenue | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

## Table A4

Estimated Annual Sales and Use Tax Rt
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysi:
San Francisco, CA

|  | Revenue Added to Tax Roll |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | 2042-43 | 2043-44 | 2044-45 | 2045-46 | 2046-47 | 2047-48 | 2048-49 | 2049-50 | 2050-51 | 2051-52 | 2052-53 | 2053-54 |

## Off-site Spending from Residents \&

Employees
Households - offsite spending

$$
\$ 81,397,77
$$

Retail \& Production Employee off-sit Subtotal

$$
\begin{gathered}
\$ 81,397 \\
\$ 3.651
\end{gathered}
$$

Taxable Sales from Space
Retail Sales fro Space
Non-retail Sales from Space
Subtotal

| \$140,725,683 | \$144,947,454 | \$149,295,877 | \$153,774,754 | \$158,387,996 | \$163,139,636 | \$168,033,825 | \$173,074,840 | \$178,267,085 | \$183,615,098 | \$189,123,551 | \$194,797,257 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$76,100,790 | \$78,383,814 | \$80,735,328 | \$83,157,388 | \$85,652,110 | \$88,221,673 | \$90,868,323 | \$93,594,373 | \$96,402,204 | \$99,294,270 | \$102,273,099 | \$105,341,291 |
| \$216,826,473 | \$223,331,268 | \$230,031,206 | \$236,932,142 | \$244,040,106 | \$251,361,309 | \$258,902,148 | \$266,669,213 | \$274,669,289 | \$282,909,368 | \$291,396,649 | \$300,138,549 |


| Annual Sales-Tax Revenue, Recurring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Sales Tax Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Annual Sales-Tax Revenue | \$3,271,603 | \$3,369,751 | \$3,470,844 | \$3,574,969 | \$3,682,218 | \$3,792,685 | \$3,906,465 | \$4,023,659 | \$4,144,369 | \$4,268,700 | \$4,396,761 | \$4,528,664 |
| Annual Sales-Tax Revenue, Non-recurr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Sales from Space, Constructi | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Sales Tax Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Annual Sales-Tax Revenue | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

## Table A4

Estimated Annual Sales and Use Tax Rt
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysi:
San Francisco, CA

|  | Revenue Added to Tax Roll |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | 2054-55 | 2055-56 | 2056-57 | 2057-58 | 2058-59 | 2059-60 | 2060-61 | 2061-62 | 2062-63 | 2063-64 | 2064-65 | 2065-66 | 2066-67 |
| Citywide Taxable Sales, Recurring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Off-site Spending from Residents \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Households - offsite spending | \$116,053,764 | \$119,535,377 | \$123,121,438 | \$126,815,081 | \$130,619,534 | \$134,538,120 | \$138,574,263 | \$142,731,491 | \$147,013,436 | \$151,423,839 | \$155,966,554 | \$160,645,551 | \$165,464,917 |
| Retail \& Production Employee off-sit | \$5,206,228 | \$5,362,415 | \$5,523,287 | \$5,688,986 | \$5,859,656 | \$6,035,445 | \$6,216,509 | \$6,403,004 | \$6,595,094 | \$6,792,947 | \$6,996,735 | \$7,206,637 | \$7,422,836 |
| Office Employee off-site spending | \$36,049,712 | \$37,131,204 | \$38,245,140 | \$39,392,494 | \$40,574,269 | \$41,791,497 | \$43,045,242 | \$44,336,599 | \$45,666,697 | \$47,036,698 | \$48,447,799 | \$49,901,233 | \$51,398,270 |
| Subtotal | \$157,309,704 | \$162,028,995 | \$166,889,865 | \$171,896,561 | \$177,053,458 | \$182,365,062 | \$187,836,014 | \$193,471,094 | \$199,275,227 | \$205,253,484 | \$211,411,088 | \$217,753,421 | \$224,286,023 |
| Taxable Sales from Space |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail Sales from Space | \$200,641,175 | \$206,660,410 | \$212,860,222 | \$219,246,029 | \$225,823,410 | \$232,598,112 | \$239,576,056 | \$246,763,337 | \$254,166,237 | \$261,791,224 | \$269,644,961 | \$277,734,310 | \$286,066,339 |
| Non-retail Sales from Space | \$108,501,530 | \$111,756,576 | \$115,109,273 | \$118,562,552 | \$122,119,428 | \$125,783,011 | \$129,556,501 | \$133,443,196 | \$137,446,492 | \$141,569,887 | \$145,816,984 | \$150,191,493 | \$154,697,238 |
| Subtotal | \$309,142,705 | \$318,416,986 | \$327,969,496 | \$337,808,581 | \$347,942,838 | \$358,381,123 | \$369,132,557 | \$380,206,534 | \$391,612,730 | \$403,361,111 | \$415,461,945 | \$427,925,803 | \$440,763,577 |
| Total - Citywide Taxable Sales | \$466,452,409 | \$480,445,981 | \$494,859,361 | \$509,705,142 | \$524,996,296 | \$540,746,185 | \$556,968,570 | \$573,677,627 | \$590,887,956 | \$608,614,595 | \$626,873,033 | \$645,679,224 | \$665,049,601 |
| Annual Sales-Tax Revenue, Recurring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Sales Tax Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Annual Sales-Tax Revenue | \$4,664,524 | \$4,804,460 | \$4,948,594 | \$5,097,051 | \$5,249,963 | \$5,407,462 | \$5,569,686 | \$5,736,776 | \$5,908,880 | \$6,086,146 | \$6,268,730 | \$6,456,792 | \$6,650,496 |
| Annual Sales-Tax Revenue, Non-recury |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Sales from Space, Constructi | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Sales Tax Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Annual Sales-Tax Revenue | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Table A4
Estimated Annual Sales and Use Tax Rt
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysi:
San Francisco, CA

|  | Revenue Added to Tax Roll |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | 2067-68 | 2068-69 | 2069-70 | 2070-71 | 2071-72 | 2072-73 |
| Citywide Taxable Sales, Recurring |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Off-site Spending from Residents \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Households - offsite spending | \$170,428,865 | \$175,541,731 | \$180,807,982 | \$186,232,222 | \$191,819,189 | \$197,573,764 |
| Retail \& Production Employee off-sit | \$7,645,521 | \$7,874,887 | \$8,111,134 | \$8,354,468 | \$8,605,102 | \$8,863,255 |
| Office Employee off-site spending | \$52,940,218 | \$54,528,424 | \$56,164,277 | \$57,849,206 | \$59,584,682 | \$61,372,222 |
| Subtotal | \$231,014,604 | \$237,945,042 | \$245,083,393 | \$252,435,895 | \$260,008,972 | \$267,809,241 |
| Taxable Sales from Space |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail Sales from Space | \$294,648,329 | \$303,487,779 | \$312,592,413 | \$321,970,185 | \$331,629,291 | \$341,578,169 |
| Non-retail Sales from Space | \$159,338,155 | \$164,118,300 | \$169,041,849 | \$174,113,104 | \$179,336,497 | \$184,716,592 |
| Subtotal | \$453,986,485 | \$467,606,079 | \$481,634,261 | \$496,083,289 | \$510,965,788 | \$526,294,762 |
| Total - Citywide Taxable Sales | \$685,001,089 | \$705,551,121 | \$726,717,655 | \$748,519,184 | \$770,974,760 | \$794,104,003 |
| Annual Sales-Tax Revenue, Recurring |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Sales Tax Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Annual Sales-Tax Revenue | \$6,850,011 | \$7,055,511 | \$7,267,177 | \$7,485,192 | \$7,709,748 | \$7,941,040 |
| Annual Sales-Tax Revenue, Non-recurr |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Sales from Space, Constructi | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Sales Tax Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Annual Sales-Tax Revenue | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |


| Item | Source | Assumptions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Build-Out } \\ & \text { (Uninflated) } \end{aligned}$ | Assessed Value Added to Tax Roll (FY) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024.25 | 2025-2 |
| Inflation Rate |  |  |  |  | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Annual Taxable Sales from Cumulative New Houscholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MR Occupancy Rate: | 95.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market Rate Residential Units | Table B1 |  | ${ }^{801}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{327}$ | 11 | ${ }^{156}$ | 202 | ${ }_{176}$ |
| Cumulative Units (Occupied) |  |  | 761 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{0}$ | 311 | 311 | 459 | 651 | 761 |
| Avg. Retail Expenditure per Household | Table C3 |  | \$55,000 | \$55,000 | \$56,650 | \$58,350 | \$60,100 | \$61,903 | \$63,760 | \$65,673 | \$67,643 | \$69,672 |
| Estimated Household Retail Expenditure |  |  | \$41,852,250 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$19,230,162 | \$19,807,067 | \$30,133,999 | \$44,018,723 | \$53,017,178 |
|  | вмR Occupancy Rate: | 98.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45\% AMI Residential Units | Table B1 |  | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 4 |
| Cumulative Units (Occupied) |  |  | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 11 | 11 | 16 | 23 | 26 |
| Avg, Retail Expenditure per Household | Table C |  | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$10,300 | \$10,609 | \$10,927 | \$11,255 | \$11,593 | \$11,941 | \$12,299 | \$12,668 |
| Estimated Household Retail Expenditure |  |  | \$264,600 | so | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$121,330 | \$124,970 | \$187,227 | \$277,214 | \$35,187 |
| 55\% AMI Residential Units | Table 81 |  | 131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 26 | 33 | 20 |
| Cumulative Units (occupied) |  |  | 128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 51 | 76 | 109 | 128 |
| Avg. Retail Expenditure per Household | Table C3 |  | \$16,000 | \$16,000 | \$16,480 | \$16,974 | \$17,484 | \$18,008 | \$18,548 | \$19,105 | \$19,678 | \$20,268 |
| Estimated Household Retail Expenditure |  |  | \$2,054,080 | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | \$917,695 | \$945,226 | \$1,460,374 | \$2,14, 571 | \$2,602,047 |
| 90\% AMI Residential Units | Table B1 |  | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 7 |
| Cumulative Units (Occupied) |  |  | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 21 | 21 | 30 | 42 | 49 |
| Avg. Retail Expenditure per Household | Table C3 |  | \$25,000 | \$25,000 | \$25,750 | \$26,523 | \$27,318 | \$28,138 | \$28,982 | \$29,851 | \$30,74 | \$31,669 |
| Estimated Household Retail Expenditure |  |  | \$1,225,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$579,074 | \$596,447 | \$906,883 | \$1,295,672 | \$1,551,793 |
| 120\% AMI Residential Units | Table 81 |  | 228 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 44 | 57 | 33 |
| Cumulative Units (occupied) |  |  | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 92 | 135 | 191 | 223 |
| Avg. Retail Expenditure eer Household | Table $\mathrm{CB}^{\text {S }}$ |  | \$28,000 | \$28,000 | \$28,840 | \$29,705 | \$30,596 | \$31,514 | \$32,460 | \$33,433 | \$34,436 | \$35,470 |
| Estimated Household Retail Expenditure |  |  | \$6,25,320 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$2,903,092 | \$2,990,185 | \$4,521,542 | \$6,580,809 | \$7,925,319 |
| 150\% AMI Residential Units | Table B1 |  | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 18 | ${ }^{23}$ | 12 |
| Cumulative Units (Occupied) |  |  | 88 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 36 | 54 | 76 | 88 |
| Avg. Retail Expenditure per Household | Table C3 |  | \$33,000 | \$33,000 | \$33,990 | \$35,010 | \$36,060 | \$37,142 | \$38,256 | \$33,404 | \$40,586 | \$41,803 |
| Estimated Household Retail Expenditure |  |  | \$2,910,600 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$1,346,761 | \$1,387,164 | \$2,123,861 | \$3,102,381 | \$3,687,061 |
| Total - Estimated Household Retail Expenditure |  |  | \$54,562,850 | so | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$25,098,115 | \$25,851,058 | \$39,333,886 | \$57,415,370 | \$69,118,586 |
| Estimated Citywide Capture from Households |  | 75\% | \$40,922,138 |  |  |  |  | \$18,823,586 | \$19,388,294 | \$29,500,414 | \$43,061,528 | \$51,838,939 |
| On-site retail expenditures as a of r retail sales in SF [1] |  | 5\% | \$2,046,107 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$941,179 | \$969,415 | \$1,475,021 | \$2,153,076 | \$2,591,947 |
| Off-site Hhld Retail Expenditures in SF |  | 95\% | \$38,876,031 | so | \$0 | s0 | \$0 | \$17,882,407 | \$18,418,879 | \$28,025,394 | \$40,908,451 | \$49,246,992 |


[2] Based on taxable food and goods and services spending for urban workers as reported in the ICSC report, "Office-Worker Retail Spending in a Digital Age" (2012). Retail worker spending based on Table BU-8; assumes retail workers earning $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 35,000$ will spend similarly to office workers earning in the same range. Office worker spending based on average for urban office workers.

| Item | Assessed Value Added to Tax Roll (FY) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 | 2029-30 | 2030-31 | 2031-32 | 2032-33 | 2033-34 | 2034-35 | 2035-36 | 2036-37 | ${ }_{1}^{2037.38}$ |
| Inflation Rate | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |  |
| Annual Taxable Sales from Cumulative New Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | мвос |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market Rate Residential Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| Cumulative Units (Occupied) | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 |
| Avg. Retail Expenditure per Household | \$71,763 | \$73,915 | \$76,133 | \$78,417 | \$80,769 | \$83,192 | \$85,688 | \$88,259 | \$90,907 | \$93,634 | \$96,443 | \$99,336 |
| Estimated Household Retail Expenditure | \$54,607,693 | \$56,245,924 | \$57,933,302 | \$59,67,301 | \$61,461,440 | \$63,305,283 | \$65,204,442 | \$67,160,575 | \$69,175,392 | \$71,250,654 | \$73,388,174 | \$75,589,819 |
|  | вмR ос |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45\% AMI Residential Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Units (Occuried) | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| Avg. Retail Expenditure per Household | \$13,048 | \$13,439 | \$13,842 | \$14,258 | \$14,685 | \$15,126 | \$15,580 | \$16,047 | \$16,528 | \$17,024 | \$17,535 | \$18,061 |
| Estimated Household Retail Expenditure | \$355,243 | \$355,600 | \$36,268 | \$377,256 | \$388,574 | \$400,231 | \$412,238 | \$424,605 | \$437,343 | \$450,464 | \$463,978 | \$477,897 |
| 55\% AMI Residential Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Units (occupied) | 128 | 128 | 128 | 128 | 128 | 128 | 128 | 128 | 128 | 128 | 128 | 128 |
| Avg. Retail Expenditure per Household | \$20,876 | \$21,503 | \$22,148 | \$22,812 | \$23,497 | \$24,201 | \$24,927 | \$25,675 | \$26,446 | \$27,239 | \$28,056 | \$28,898 |
| Estimated Household Retail Expenditure | \$2,680,109 | \$2,760,512 | \$2,843,327 | \$2,928,627 | \$3,016,486 | \$3,106,980 | \$3,200,190 | \$3,296,195 | \$3,395,081 | \$3,996,934 | \$3,601,842 | \$3,799,897 |
| 90\% AMI Residential Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Units (Occupied) | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 |
| Avg. Retail Expenditure per Household | \$32,619 | \$33,598 | \$34,606 | \$35,644 | \$36,713 | \$37,815 | \$38,949 | \$40,118 | \$41,321 | \$42,561 | \$43,838 | \$45,153 |
| Estimated Household Retail Expenditure | \$1,598,347 | \$1,646,298 | \$1,695,686 | \$1,746,557 | \$1,798,954 | \$1,852,922 | \$1,908,510 | \$1,965,765 | \$2,024,738 | \$2,085,481 | \$2,18,045 | \$2,212,486 |
| 120\% AMI Residential Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Units (Occupied) | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 |
| Avg. Retail Expenditure per Household | \$36,534 | \$37,630 | \$38,759 | \$39,921 | \$41,119 | \$42,353 | \$43,623 | \$44,932 | \$46,280 | \$47,668 | \$49,098 | \$50,571 |
| Estimated Household Retail Expenditure | \$8,163,079 | \$8,407,971 | \$8,660,210 | \$8,920,016 | \$9,187,617 | \$9,463,245 | \$9,747,143 | \$10,039,557 | \$10,340,744 | \$10,650,966 | \$10,970,495 | \$11,299,610 |
| 150\% AMI Residential Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Units (occupied) | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Avg. Retail Expenditure per Household | \$43,058 | \$44,349 | \$45,680 | \$47,050 | \$48,462 | \$49,915 | \$51,413 | \$52,955 | \$54,544 | \$56,180 | \$57,866 | \$59,602 |
| Estimated Household Retail Expenditure | \$3,97,673 | \$3,911,603 | \$4,028,951 | \$4,149,820 | \$4,274,314 | \$4,402,544 | \$4,534,620 | \$4,67,659 | \$4,810,778 | \$4,955,102 | \$5,103,755 | \$5,25,867 |
| Total- Estimated Household Retail Expenditure | \$71,192,144 | \$73,327,908 | \$75,527,745 | \$77,793,577 | \$80,127,385 | \$88,531,206 | \$85,007,142 | \$87,557,357 | \$90,184,077 | \$92,889,600 | \$95,676,288 | \$98,546,576 |
| Estimated Citywide Capture from Households | \$53,394,108 | \$54,995,931 | \$56,645,809 | \$58,345,183 | \$60,095,539 | \$61,898,405 | \$66,755,357 | \$65,668,018 | \$67,638,058 | \$69,667,200 | \$71,757,216 | \$73,909,932 |
| On-site retail expenditures as a\% of retail sales in SF [1] | \$2,69,705 | \$2,74,797 | \$2,832,290 | \$2,917,259 | \$3,004,777 | \$3,94,920 | \$3,187,768 | \$3,283,401 | \$3,381,903 | \$3,483,360 | \$3,587,861 | \$3,695,497 |
| Off-site Hhld Retail Expenditures in 5 F | \$50,724,402 | \$52,246,134 | \$53,813,518 | \$55,427,924 | \$57,090,762 | \$58,003,484 | \$60,567,589 | \$62,384,617 | \$64,25,155 | \$66,183,840 | \$68,169,355 | \$70,214,436 |


| Potential Weekly Retai Spending [2] | 560.92 | 562.75 | ${ }_{564.63}$ | ${ }^{566.57}$ | 568.57 | 570.62 | 572.74 | 574.92 | 57717 | 579.49 | \$81.87 | \$8433 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weeks at Work per Year [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{584.33}$ |
| Taxable Sales from New Employees [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Retail, Parking \& Production Employees | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 |
| Total City Taxable Sales from New Retail, Prk. \& Prod. Employe | 258,357 | \$2,60, 208 | \$2,88, 334 | \$2,76,804 | \$2,845,688 | \$2,931,059 | \$3,018,990 | \$3,10,560 | \$3,202,847 | \$3,298,932 | 397,900 | \$3,99,837 |
| Estimated City Taxable Sales (Ret, Pri. \& Prod. Employes) [1] | \$2,58,357 | \$2,60, 208 | \$2,68,334 | \$2,76,804 | \$2,845,688 | \$2,931,059 | \$3,018,990 | \$3,10,560 | \$3,202,847 | \$3,298,932 | \$3,397,900 | \$3,499, |
| Retail, Parking \& Production Employee On-site Spending | \$252,836 | \$260,421 | \$268,233 | \$276,280 | \$284,569 | \$293,106 | \$301,899 | \$310,956 | \$320,285 | \$329,893 | \$339,790 | \$34,9 |
| Retail, Parking \& Production Employee off-site Spending | \$2,27,521 | \$2,343,787 | \$2,414,100 | \$2,486,524 | \$2,561,119 | \$2,637,953 | \$2,717,091 | \$2,78,604 | \$2,882,562 | \$2,969,039 | \$3,058,110 | \$3,149,854 |
| Traxable Sales from Cumulative New Office Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potential Weekly Retail Spending [2] | 593.70 | ${ }_{996.51}$ | \$99.40 | \$102.38 | \$105.46 | \$108.62 | \$111.88 | \$115.23 | \$118.69 | \$122.25 | 5125.92 | 129.7 |
| Weeks at Work per Year [1]Taxable Sales from New [mployees [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Office Employees | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 |
| Total City Taxable Sales from New Office Employees | \$17,507,212 | \$18,032,429 | \$18,573,402 | \$19,130,604 | \$19,704,522 | \$20,295,658 | \$20,904,527 | \$21,531,663 | \$22,177,613 | \$22,842,94 | \$23,528,230 | \$24,23,07 |
| Estimated City Taxable Sales from Office Employe | \$17,507,212 | \$18,032,429 | \$18,573,420 | \$19,130,6 | \$19,704, 22 | \$20,295,658 | \$20,904,527 | \$21,531,663 | \$22,177,613 | \$22,842,941 | \$23,528,230 | \$24,2 |
| Office Employee On-site Spending | \$1,750,721 | \$1,803,243 | \$1,857,340 | \$1,913,060 | \$1,970,452 | \$2,029,566 | \$2,090,453 | \$2,153,166 | \$2,217,761 | \$2,284,294 | \$2,352,823 | \$2,423,408 |
| Office Employee off-site Spending | \$15,756,491 | \$16,229,186 | \$16,716,062 | \$17,217,543 | \$17,734,070 | \$18,266,092 | \$18,814,075 | \$19,378,497 | \$19,959,852 | \$20,558,647 | \$21,175,407 | \$21,810,669 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Offr-site Spending | \$68,756,415 | \$70,819,107 | \$72,943,680 | \$75,131,991 | \$77,38,951 | \$99,707,529 | \$82,098,755 | \$84,561,718 | \$87,098,569 | \$88,711,526 | \$92,402,872 | \$95,174,939 |

[2] Based on taxable food and goods and services spending for urban workers as reported in the ICSC report, "Office-Worker Retail Spending in a Digital Age" (2012). Retail worker spending based on Table BU-8; assumes retail workers earning $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 35,000$ will spend similarly to office workers earning in the same range. office worker spending based on average for urban office workers.

|  |  | Assessed Value Added to Tax Roll (FY) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item |  | 2038-39 | 2039-40 | 2040-41 | $2041-42$ | 2042-43 | 2043-44 | 2044.45 | 2045-46 | 2046-47 | 2047-48 | 2048-49 | 2049.50 |
| Inflation Rate |  | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Annual Taxable Sales from Cumulative New Houscholds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market Rate Residential Units | мR ос | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Units (occupied) |  | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 |
| Avg. Retail Expenditure per Household |  | \$102,316 | \$105,386 | \$108,547 | \$111,804 | \$115,158 | \$118,613 | \$122,171 | \$125,836 | \$129,611 | \$133,499 | \$137,504 | \$141,630 |
| Estimated Household Retail Expenditure |  | \$77,857,513 | \$80,193,239 | \$82,59,036 | \$85,077,007 | \$87,629,317 | \$90,258,197 | \$92,96,943 | \$95,754,921 | \$98,627,569 | \$101,586,396 | \$104,633,988 | \$107,773,007 |
|  | вмвос |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45\% AMI Residential Units |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Units (Occupied) |  | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| Avg. Retail Expenditure per Household |  | \$18,603 | \$19,161 | \$19,736 | \$20,328 | \$20,938 | \$21,566 | \$22,213 | \$22,879 | \$23,566 | \$24,273 | \$25,001 | \$25,751 |
| Estimated Household Retail Expenditure |  | \$492,234 | \$507,001 | \$522,211 | \$537,877 | \$554,014 | \$570,634 | \$587,753 | \$605,386 | \$623,547 | \$642,254 | \$661,521 | \$681,367 |
| 55\% AMI Residential Units |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Units (occupied) |  | 128 | 128 | 128 | 128 | 128 | 128 | 128 | 128 | 128 | 128 | 128 | 128 |
| Avg. Retail Expenditure per Household |  | \$29,765 | \$30,658 | \$31,577 | \$32,525 | \$33,500 | \$34,505 | \$35,541 | \$36,607 | \$37,705 | \$38,836 | \$40,001 | \$41,201 |
| Estimated Household Retail Expenditure |  | \$3,821,194 | \$3,935,830 | \$4,053,905 | \$4,175,522 | \$4,300,787 | \$4,429,811 | \$4,562,705 | \$4,69, 586 | \$4,84, 574 | \$4,985,791 | \$5,13, 365 | \$5,289,426 |
| 90\% AMI Residential Units |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Units (Occupied) |  | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 |
| Avg. Retail Expenditure per Household |  | \$46,507 | \$47,903 | \$49,340 | \$50,820 | \$52,344 | \$53,915 | \$55,532 | \$57,198 | \$58,914 | \$60,682 | \$62,502 | \$66,377 |
| Estimated Household Retail Expenditure |  | \$2,28,861 | \$2,347,22 | \$2,417,643 | \$2,990,173 | \$2,564,878 | \$2,641,824 | \$2,721,079 | \$2,802,711 | \$2,886,793 | \$2,973,397 | \$3,06,598 | \$3,154,476 |
| 120\% AMI Residential Units |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Units (Occupied) |  | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 |
| Avg. Retail Expenditure per Household |  | \$52,088 | \$53,651 | \$55,260 | \$56,918 | \$58,626 | \$60,385 | \$62,196 | \$64,062 | \$65,984 | \$67,963 | \$70,002 | \$72,102 |
| Estimated Household Retail Expenditure |  | \$11,638,598 | \$11,987,756 | \$12,34, 389 | \$12,717,810 | \$13,099,345 | \$13,492,325 | \$13,897,095 | \$14,314,008 | \$14,74, 428 | \$15,185,731 | \$15,41, 3 3 | \$16,110,542 |
| 150\% AMI Residential Units |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Units (occupied) |  | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Avg, Retail Expenditure per Household |  | \$61,390 | \$63,231 | \$65,128 | \$67,082 | \$69,095 | \$71,168 | \$73,303 | \$75,502 | \$77,767 | \$80,100 | \$82,503 | \$84,978 |
| Estimated Household Retail Expenditure |  | \$5,414,573 | \$5,577,011 | \$5,74, 321 | \$5,916,651 | \$6,094,150 | \$6,276,975 | \$6,465,284 | \$6,659,242 | \$6,859,020 | \$7,064,990 | \$7,276,734 | \$7,49,036 |
| Total - Estimated Household Retail Expenditure |  | \$101,502,974 | \$104,548,063 | \$107,684,505 | \$110,915,040 | \$114,242,991 | \$117,669,766 | \$121,199,859 | \$124,835,855 | \$128,580,930 | \$132,438,358 | \$136,411,509 | \$140,503,854 |
| Estimated Citywide Capture from Households |  | \$76,127,230 | \$78,411,047 | \$80,76,379 | \$83,186,280 | \$85,681,868 | \$88,252,324 | \$90,899,894 | \$93,626,891 | \$96,435,998 | \$99,328,769 | \$102,308,632 | \$105,377,891 |
| On-site retail expenditures as a\% of retail sales in SF [1] |  | \$3,80, 362 | \$3,920,552 | \$4,038,169 | \$4,159,314 | \$4,28,093 | \$4,412,616 | \$4,544,995 | \$4,681,345 | \$4,821,785 | \$4,966,438 | \$5,115,432 | \$5,268,895 |
| Off-site Hhld Retail Expenditures in SF |  | \$72,320,869 | \$74,490,495 | \$76,725,210 | \$79,026,966 | \$81,397,775 | \$83,839,708 | \$86,354,899 | \$88,945,546 | \$91,613,913 | \$94,362,330 | \$97,193,200 | \$100,108,996 |


| Potential Weekly Retail Spending [2] | ${ }^{586.86}$ | 589.46 | \$92.15 | 594.91 | 597.76 | \$100.69 | 103.7 | 5106.8 | 110.0 | \$113.33 | \$116.73 | \$120.23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weeks at Work per Year [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Sales from New Employees [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Retail, Parking \& Production Employees | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 |
| Total City Taxable Sales from New Retail, Pri. \& Prod. Employeı | \$3,604,832 | \$3,712,977 | \$3,82, 367 | \$3,939,098 | \$4,057,271 | \$4,178,989 | \$4,304,358 | \$4,433,489 | 54,566,994 | \$4,70, 489 | 44,844,593 | 4,989,931 |
| Estimated City Taxable Sales (Ret, Pri. \& Prod. Employes) [1] | \$3,60, 832 | \$3,71,977 | \$3,82, ,367 | \$3,939,098 | \$4,057,271 | \$4,178,889 | \$4,304,358 | \$4,433,489 | \$4,566,494 | \$4,703,489 | 54,844,593 | ,989, |
| Retail, Parking \& Production Employee On-site Spending | \$360,483 | \$371,298 | \$382,437 | \$393,910 | \$405,727 | \$417,899 | \$430,436 | \$443,349 | \$456,649 | \$470,349 | \$484,45 | \$498,993 |
| Retail, Parking \& Production Employee off-site Spending | \$3,244,349 | \$3,341,680 | \$3,41,930 | \$3,545,188 | \$3,651,544 | \$3,761,090 | \$3,87,923 | \$3,990,140 | \$4,109,844 | \$4,233,140 | \$4,360,134 | \$4,99,938 |
| Traxale Sales from Cumulative New Office Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potential Weekly Retail Spending [2] | \$133.59 | \$137.60 | \$141.72 | \$145.97 | \$150.35 | \$154.86 | \$159.51 | \$164.30 | \$169.22 | \$174.30 | \$179.53 | 184.92 |
| Weeks at Work per Year [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Office Employees | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 |
| Total City Taxale Sales from New Office Employees | \$24,961,099 | \$25,79,932 | \$26,481,230 | \$27,275,667 | \$28,093,937 | \$28,936,755 | \$29,804,857 | \$30,699,003 | \$31,619,973 | \$32,568,572 | \$33,545,630 | \$34,551,998 |
| cmated City Taxale Sales from Office Emplovees [1] | \$24,961,099 | \$25,709,932 | \$26,481,230 | \$27,275,6 | \$28,093,937 | \$28,936,755 | \$29,804,87 | \$30,699,003 | \$31,619,973 | \$32,568,572 | \$33,545,630 | \$34,51,998 |
| Office Employee On-site Spending | \$2,496,110 | \$2,570,993 | \$2,648,123 | \$2,727,567 | \$2,80,394 | \$2,893,675 | \$2,980,486 | \$3,069,900 | \$3,161,997 | \$3,25,887 | \$3,354,563 | \$3,455,200 |
| Office Employee off-sitit Spending | \$22,464,989 | \$23,138,939 | \$23,833,107 | \$24,548,100 | \$25,284,543 | \$26,043,079 | \$26,824,372 | \$27,629,103 | \$28,457,976 | \$29,311,715 | \$30,191,067 | \$31,096,799 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Off.sitit Spending | \$98,030,207 | \$100,971,113 | \$104,000,246 | \$107,120,254 | \$110,333,861 | \$113,643,877 | \$117,053,194 | \$120,564,789 | \$124,181,733 | \$127,907,185 | \$131,744,401 | \$135,696,733 |

${ }^{[1]}$ KMA assumptions
[2] Based on taxable food and goods and services spending for urban workers as reported in the ICSC report, "Office-Worker Retail Spending in a Digital Age" (2012). Retail worker sending based on Table Bu-8; assumes retail workers earning $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 35,000$ will spend similarly to office workers earning in the same range. office worker spending based on
iverage for urban office workers. average for urban office workers.


| Pootential Weekly Retai S Sending [ 2 ] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weeks at Work per Year [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Retail, Parking \& Production Employees | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 | 847 |
| Total City Taxable Sales from New Retail, Prk. \& Prod. Employer | \$5,139,629 | \$5,293,818 | \$5,452,633 | \$5,616,211 | \$5,78,698 | \$5,958,239 | \$6,13,986 | \$6,32, 1096 | \$6,510,728 | \$6,706,050 | \$6,907,232 |
| Estimated City Taxale Sales (Ret, Prk. \& Prod. Employes) [1] | \$5,139,629 | \$5,293,818 | \$5,452,633 | \$5,61, 211 | \$5,784,998 | \$5,98, 239 | \$6,136,986 | \$6,321,996 | \$6,510,728 | \$6,706,050 | \$6,907,232 |
| Retail, Parking \& Production Emplovee On-site Spending | \$513,963 | \$529,382 | \$545,263 | \$561,621 | \$578,470 | \$595,824 | \$613,699 | \$632,110 | \$651,073 | \$670,605 |  |
| Retail, Parking \& Production Employee off-site Spending | \$4,625,666 | \$4,764,436 | \$4,907,369 | \$5,04,590 | \$5,206,228 | \$5,36,415 | \$5,52, 287 | \$5,88,986 | \$5,85, 656 | \$6,035,445 | \$6,216,509 |
| Traxale Sales from Cumulative New Office Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potential Weekly Retail Spending [2] | \$190.46 | \$196.18 | \$202.06 | \$208.13 | \$214.37 | \$220.80 | \$227.42 | \$234.25 | \$241.27 | S248.51 | 5255.9 |
| Weeks at Work per Year [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Sales from New Employees [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Office Employees | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total City Taxale Sales from New Office Employes | \$35,588,558 | \$36,656,215 | \$37,755,902 | \$38,888,579 | \$40,055,236 | \$41,256,893 | \$42,494,600 | \$43,769,438 | \$45,082,521 | \$46,434,997 | \$47,828,046 |
| Estimated City Taxable Sales from office Employes [1] | \$35,588,558 | \$36,656,215 | \$37,755,902 | \$38,888,579 | \$40,055,236 | \$41,256,893 | \$42,494,600 | \$43,769,438 | \$45,082,521 | \$46,434,997 | \$47,888,046 |
| Office Employee On-site Spending | \$3,558,856 | \$3,65,622 | \$3,77,590 | \$3,88,858 | \$4,005,524 | \$4,12, 689 | \$4,24,460 | \$4,376,944 | \$4,50, 252 | \$4,643,500 | \$4,782,805 |
| Office Employee off-site Spending | \$32,029,703 | \$32,990,594 | \$33,980,311 | \$34,999,721 | \$36,099,712 | \$37,131,204 | \$38,245,140 | \$39,392,494 | \$40,574,269 | \$41,791,497 | \$43,045,242 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Offfs-site Spending | \$13,767,635 | \$143,960,664 | \$148,279,484 | \$152,727,868 | \$157,309,704 | \$162,028,995 | \$166,889,865 | \$171,896,561 | \$177,053,458 | \$182,365,062 | \$187,836,01 |

[^15]
[1] KMA assumptions
[2] Based on taxable food and goods and services spending for urban workers as reported in the ICSC report, "Office-Worker Retail Spending in a Digital Age" (2012). Retail worker

or urban office workers.

San Francisco, CA

| Item | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Build-Out } \\ \text { (Uninflated) } \end{gathered}$ | Revenue Added to Tax Roll (\$ with inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 |
| Inflation Rate |  |  | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Retail Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Sales per Sq. Ft. [1] | \$400 | \$400 | \$412 | \$424 | \$437 | \$450 | \$464 | \$478 | \$492 | \$507 | \$522 | \$538 |
| Cumulative Sq. Ft. (Occupied) Table C2 | 224,038 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88,979 | 88,979 | 140,066 | 174,787 | 215,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 |
| Taxable Retail Space Sq. Ft. $\quad 75 \%$ | 168,028 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66,734 | 66,734 | 105,050 | 131,090 | 161,278 | 168,028 | 168,028 |
| Subtotal - Annual Taxable Sales | \$67,211,370 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$30,043,826 | \$30,945,140 | \$50,173,875 | \$64,489,863 | \$81,721,073 | \$87,695,593 | \$90,326,461 |
| Annual Taxable Sales from Retail Dev. | \$67,211,370 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$30,043,826 | \$30,945,140 | \$50,173,875 | \$64,489,863 | \$81,721,073 | \$87,695,593 | \$90,326,461 |
| Non-Retail Development, Recurring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Sales per Sq. Ft. [1] | \$25 | \$25 | \$25.75 | \$26.52 | \$27.32 | \$28.14 | \$28.98 | \$29.85 | \$30.75 | \$31.67 | \$32.62 | \$33.60 |
| Cumulative Sq. Ft. (Occupied) Table C2 | 1,169,536 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 496,234 | 496,234 | 923,566 | 1,046,551 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 |
| Taxable Office Space Sq. Ft. $100 \%$ | 1,169,536 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 496,234 | 496,234 | 923,566 | 1,046,551 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 |
| Subtotal, Annual Taxable Sales | \$29,238,411 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13,962,905 | \$14,381,792 | \$27,569,660 | \$32,178,154 | \$37,038,345 | \$38,149,495 | \$39,293,980 |
| Production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Sales per Sq. Ft. [1] | \$39 | \$39 | \$40.17 | \$41.38 | \$42.62 | \$43.89 | \$45.21 | \$46.57 | \$47.97 | \$49.40 | \$50.89 | \$52.41 |
| Cumulative Sq. Ft. (Occupied) Table C2 | 182,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 182,250 | 182,250 |
| Taxable Office Space Sq. Ft. $100 \%$ | 182,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 182,250 | 182,250 |
| Subtotal, Annual Taxable Sales | \$7,107,750 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$9,274,002 | \$9,552,222 |
| Recurring Annual Taxable Sales, Non-Retail Dev. | \$36,346,161 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13,962,905 | \$14,381,792 | \$27,569,660 | \$32,178,154 | \$37,038,345 | \$47,423,497 | \$48,846,201 |
| Non-Retail Development, Non-recurring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchase of Construction Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Material Costs (Uninflated) [2] Table B3.3 |  |  |  | \$0 | \$474,842,400 | \$0 | \$487,827,829 | \$210,153,463 | \$150,128,572 | \$51,550,990 |  |  |
| Material Costs (Inflated) [2] Table B3.3 |  |  |  | \$0 | \$518,873,111 |  | \$565,526,155 | \$250,934,225 | \$184,639,207 | \$65,303,252 |  |  |
| Material Costs $50 \%$ |  |  |  | \$0 | \$259,436,556 |  | \$282,763,078 | \$125,467,113 | \$92,319,603 | \$32,651,626 |  |  |
| Subtotal, Taxable Sales 50\% |  |  |  | \$0 | \$129,718,278 |  | \$141,381,539 | \$62,733,556 | \$46,159,802 | \$16,325,813 |  |  |
| Non-recurring Annual Taxable Sales, Non-Retail Dev. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$129,718,278 | \$0 | \$141,381,539 | \$62,733,556 | \$46,159,802 | \$16,325,813 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Annual Taxable Sales from Space |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, Recurring | \$103,557,531 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$44,006,730 | \$45,326,932 | \$77,743,535 | \$96,668,017 | \$118,759,418 | \$135,119,090 | \$139,172,662 |
| Total, Non-recurring (Construction Related) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$129,718,278 | \$0 | \$141,381,539 | \$62,733,556 | \$46,159,802 | \$16,325,813 | \$0 | \$0 |

[1] KMA estimates.
[2] KMA assumes that the construction materials are purchased one year before the vertical completion yea.

| Item | Revenue Added to Tax Roll (\$ with inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2028-29 | 2029-30 | 2030-31 | 2031-32 | 2032-33 | 2033-34 | 2034-35 | 2035-36 | 2036-37 | 2037-38 | 2038-39 | 2039-40 | 2040-41 | 2041-42 | 2042-43 | 2043-44 |
| Inflation Rate | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Retail Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Sales per Sq. Ft. [1] | \$554 | \$570 | \$587 | \$605 | \$623 | \$642 | \$661 | \$681 | \$701 | \$722 | \$744 | \$766 | \$789 | \$813 | \$838 | \$863 |
| Cumulative Sq. Ft. (Occupied) | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 |
| Taxable Retail Space Sq. Ft. | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 |
| Subtotal - Annual Taxable Sales | \$93,036,255 | \$95,827,342 | \$98,702,163 | \$101,663,228 | \$104,713,124 | \$107,854,518 | \$111,090,154 | \$114,422,858 | \$117,855,544 | \$121,391,210 | \$125,032,947 | \$128,783,935 | \$132,647,453 | \$136,626,877 | \$140,725,683 | \$144,947,454 |
| Annual Taxable Sales from Retail Dev. | \$93,036,255 | \$95,827,342 | \$98,702,163 | \$101,663,228 | \$104,713,124 | \$107,854,518 | \$111,090,154 | \$114,422,858 | \$117,855,544 | \$121,391,210 | \$125,032,947 | \$128,783,935 | \$132,647,453 | \$136,626,877 | \$140,725,683 | \$144,947,454 |
| Non-Retail Development, Recurring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Sales per Sq. Ft. [1] | \$34.61 | \$35.64 | \$36.71 | \$37.81 | \$38.95 | \$40.12 | \$41.32 | \$42.56 | \$43.84 | \$45.15 | \$46.51 | \$47.90 | \$49.34 | \$50.82 | \$52.34 | \$53.91 |
| Cumulative Sq. Ft. (Occupied) | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 |
| Taxable Office Space Sq. Ft. | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 |
| Subtotal, Annual Taxable Sales | \$40,472,799 | \$41,686,983 | \$42,937,593 | \$44,225,720 | \$45,552,492 | \$46,919,067 | \$48,326,639 | \$49,776,438 | \$51,269,731 | \$52,807,823 | \$54,392,058 | \$56,023,819 | \$57,704,534 | \$59,435,670 | \$61,218,740 | \$63,055,302 |
| Production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Sales per Sq. Ft. [1] | \$53.99 | \$55.60 | \$57.27 | \$58.99 | \$60.76 | \$62.58 | \$64.46 | \$66.39 | \$68.39 | \$70.44 | \$72.55 | \$74.73 | \$76.97 | \$79.28 | \$81.66 | \$84.11 |
| Cumulative Sq. Ft. (Occupied) | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 |
| Taxable Office Space Sq. Ft. | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 |
| Subtotal, Annual Taxable Sales | \$9,838,788 | \$10,133,952 | \$10,437,971 | \$10,751,110 | \$11,073,643 | \$11,405,852 | \$11,748,028 | \$12,100,469 | \$12,463,483 | \$12,837,387 | \$13,222,509 | \$13,619,184 | \$14,027,760 | \$14,448,592 | \$14,882,050 | \$15,328,512 |
| Recurring Annual Taxable Sales, Non-Retai | \$50,311,587 | \$51,820,935 | \$53,375,563 | \$54,976,830 | \$56,626,135 | \$58,324,919 | \$60,074,667 | \$61,876,907 | \$63,733,214 | \$65,645,210 | \$67,614,566 | \$69,643,003 | \$71,732,294 | \$73,884,262 | \$76,100,790 | \$78,383,814 |
| Non-Retail Development, Non-recurring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchase of Construction Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Material Costs (Uninflated) [2]Material Costs (Inflated) [2] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Material Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal, Taxable Sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-recurring Annual Taxable Sales, Non-r | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

[^16][1] KMA estimates.
2] KMA assumes that the construction mat


| Non-Retail Development, Recurring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Sales per Sq. Ft. [1] | \$55.53 | \$57.20 | \$58.91 | \$60.68 | \$62.50 | \$64.38 | \$66.31 | \$68.30 | \$70.35 | \$72.46 | \$74.63 | \$76.87 | \$79.18 | \$81.55 | \$84.00 | \$86.52 |
| Cumulative Sq. Ft. (Occupied) | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 |
| Taxable Office Space Sq. Ft. | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 |
| Subtota, Annual Taxable Sales | \$64,946,961 | \$66,895,370 | \$68,902,231 | \$70,969,298 | \$73,098,377 | \$75,291,329 | \$77,550,068 | \$79,876,571 | \$82,272,868 | \$84,741,054 | \$87,283,285 | \$89,901,784 | \$92,598,837 | \$95,376,802 | \$98,238,107 | \$101,185,250 |
| Production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Sales per Sq. Ft. [1] | \$86.63 | \$89.23 | \$91.91 | \$94.66 | \$97.50 | \$100.43 | \$103.44 | \$106.54 | \$109.74 | \$113.03 | \$116.42 | \$119.92 | \$123.51 | \$127.22 | \$131.04 | \$134.97 |
| Cumulative Sq. Ft. (Occupied) | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 |
| Taxable Office Space Sq. Ft. | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 |
| Subtotal, Annual Taxable Sales | \$15,788,367 | \$16,262,018 | \$16,749,878 | \$17,252,375 | \$17,769,946 | \$18,303,044 | \$18,852,136 | \$19,417,700 | \$20,000,231 | \$20,600,238 | \$21,218,245 | \$21,854,792 | \$22,510,436 | \$23,185,749 | \$23,881,322 | \$24,597,761 |
| Recurring Annual Taxable Sales, Non-Retai | \$80,735,328 | \$83,157,388 | \$85,652,110 | \$88,221,673 | \$90,868,323 | \$93,594,373 | \$96,402,204 | \$99,294,270 | \$102,273,099 | \$105,341,291 | \$108,501,530 | \$111,756,576 | \$115,109,273 | \$118,562,552 | \$122,119,428 | \$125,783,011 |


| Non-Retail Development, Non-recurring |
| :--- |
| Purchase of Construction Materials |
| Material Costs (Uninflated) [2] |

    Material Costs (Uninflated) [2]
    Material Costs (Inflated) [2]
    Material Costs
    Subtotal, Taxable Sales
    

[^17][1] KMA estimates.
[2] KMA assumes that the construction mat
stimated Annual Taxable Sales from Spa
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| lue Added to Tax Roll (\$ with inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | 2060-61 | 2061-62 | 2062-63 | 2063-64 | 2064-65 | 2065-66 | 2066-67 | 2067-68 | 2068-69 | 2069-70 | 2070-71 | 2071-72 | 2072-73 |
| Inflation Rate | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Retail Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Sales per Sq. Ft. [1] | \$1,426 | \$1,469 | \$1,513 | \$1,558 | \$1,605 | \$1,653 | \$1,702 | \$1,754 | \$1,806 | \$1,860 | \$1,916 | \$1,974 | \$2,033 |
| Cumulative Sq. Ft. (Occupied) | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 |
| Taxable Retail Space Sq. Ft. | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 | 168,028 |
| Subtotal - Annual Taxable Sales | \$239,576,056 | \$246,763,337 | \$254,166,237 | \$261,791,224 | \$269,644,961 | \$277,734,310 | \$286,066,339 | \$294,648,329 | \$303,487,779 | \$312,592,413 | \$321,970,185 | \$331,629,291 | \$341,578,169 |
| Annual Taxable Sales from Retail Dev. | \$239,576,056 | \$246,763,337 | \$254,166,237 | \$261,791,224 | \$269,644,961 | \$277,734,310 | \$286,066,339 | \$294,648,329 | \$303,487,779 | \$312,592,413 | \$321,970,185 | \$331,629,291 | \$341,578,169 |
| Non-Retail Development, Recurring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Sales per Sq. Ft. [1] | \$89.11 | \$91.79 | \$94.54 | \$97.38 | \$100.30 | \$103.31 | \$106.41 | \$109.60 | \$112.89 | \$116.27 | \$119.76 | \$123.35 | \$127.05 |
| Cumulative Sq. Ft. (Occupied) | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 |
| Taxable Office Space Sq. Ft. | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 |
| Subtotal, Annual Taxable Sales | \$104,220,807 | \$107,347,431 | \$110,567,854 | \$113,884,890 | \$117,301,437 | \$120,820,480 | \$124,445,094 | \$128,178,447 | \$132,023,800 | \$135,984,514 | \$140,064,050 | \$144,265,971 | \$148,593,951 |
| Production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Sales per Sq. Ft. [1] | \$139.02 | \$143.19 | \$147.48 | \$151.91 | \$156.46 | \$161.16 | \$165.99 | \$170.97 | \$176.10 | \$181.38 | \$186.83 | \$192.43 | \$198.20 |
| Cumulative Sq. Ft. (Occupied) | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 |
| Taxable Office Space Sq. Ft. | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 |
| Subtotal, Annual Taxable Sales | \$25,335,694 | \$26,095,765 | \$26,878,638 | \$27,684,997 | \$28,515,547 | \$29,371,013 | \$30,252,144 | \$31,159,708 | \$32,094,499 | \$33,057,334 | \$34,049,054 | \$35,070,526 | \$36,122,642 |
| Recurring Annual Taxable Sales, Non-Retai | \$129,556,501 | \$133,443,196 | \$137,446,492 | \$141,569,887 | \$145,816,984 | \$150,191,493 | \$154,697,238 | \$159,338,155 | \$164,118,300 | \$169,041,849 | \$174,113,104 | \$179,336,497 | \$184,716,592 |
| Non-Retail Development, Non-recurring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchase of Construction Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Material Costs (Uninflated) [2] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Material Costs (Inflated) [2] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Material Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal, Taxable Sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-recurring Annual Taxable Sales, Non-r | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

[^18][1] KMA estimates.
[2] KMA assumes that the construction mat

Table A5
Estimated Annual Sales and Gross Receipts Tax Revenues
Mission Rockl IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
Mission Reckito
San Francisco, CA

| Item | Assumptions/ <br> Sources | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Buildout } \\ \text { (uninlfated) } \end{gathered}$ | Revenue Added to Tax Roll ( w with Inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 | 2029-30 |
| Infation Rate |  |  |  | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Business Gross Receipits-Tenants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Retail Space Gross Receipts [7] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20,000 SF Store | 20,000 SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales per SF | \$500 | \$500 | \$500 | \$515 | \$530 | \$546 | \$563 | \$580 | \$597 | \$615 | \$633 | \$652 | \$672 | \$692 | \$713 |
| Cumulative - 20,000 SF Store | 90\% occpcy | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Subtotal GR |  | \$27,000,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10,129,579 | \$10,433,467 | \$21,492,941 | \$22,137,730 | \$34,202,792 | \$35,228,876 | \$36,285,742 | \$37,374,315 | \$38,495,544 |
| 10,000 SF Store | 10,000 SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales per SF | \$600 | \$600 | \$600 | \$618 | \$637 | \$656 | \$675 | \$696 | \$716 | \$738 | \$760 | \$783 | \$806 | \$831 | \$855 |
| Cumulative - 10,000 SF Store | 90\% occpcy | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Subtotal 6 R |  | \$21,600,000 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,077,748 | \$6,260,080 | \$12,895,765 | \$19,923,957 | \$20,521,675 | \$28,183,101 | \$29,028,594 | \$29,899,452 | \$30,796,435 |
| 7,400 SF Store | 7,400 SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales per 5 F | \$400 | \$400 | \$400 | \$412 | \$424 | \$437 | \$450 | \$464 | \$478 | \$492 | \$507 | \$522 | \$538 | \$554 | \$570 |
| Cumulative - 7,400 SF Store | 90\% occpcy | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Subtotal 6 R |  | \$18,648,000 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$11,993,422 | \$12,353,225 | \$15,904,777 | \$19,658,304 | \$23,622,728 | \$24,331,410 | \$25,061,353 | \$25,813,193 | \$26,587,589 |
| 4,000 SF Store | 4,000 SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales per SF | \$400 | \$400 | \$400 | \$412 | \$424 | \$437 | \$450 | \$464 | \$478 | \$492 | \$507 | \$522 | \$538 | \$554 | \$570 |
| Cumulative -4,000 SF Store | 90\% occpcy | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Subtotal GR |  | \$12,960,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$4,862,198 | \$5,008,064 | \$10,316,612 | \$12,397,129 | \$16,417,340 | \$16,909,860 | \$17,417,156 | \$17,939,671 | \$18,477,861 |
| Subtotal - Retail Gross Receipts |  | \$80,208,000 | so | so | so | so | \$33,062,947 | \$34,054,835 | \$60,610,095 | \$74,117,119 | \$94,764,536 | \$104,653,248 | \$107,792,845 | \$111,026,630 | \$114,357,429 |
| 2. Office Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Employees per Business [6] | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Receipts / Output per Employet | \$213,500 | \$213,500 | \$213,500 | \$219,905 | \$226,502 | \$23,297 | \$240,296 | \$247,505 | \$254,930 | \$262,578 | \$270,455 | \$278,569 | \$286,926 | \$295,534 | \$304,400 |
| Cumulative - Medium office | 95\% occpcy | 147 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 64 | 115 | 131 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 |
| Subtotal Gross Receipts |  | \$709,603,545 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$347,718,113 | \$358,199,657 | \$662,856,669 | \$777,732,612 | \$898,904,540 | \$925,871,677 | \$953,647,827 | \$982,257,262 | \$1,011,724,980 |
| Larger office | 50,000 SF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Emplovees per Business [6] | 238 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Receipts / Output per Employet | \$213,500 | \$213,500 | \$213,500 | \$219,905 | \$226,502 | \$233,297 | \$240,296 | \$247,505 | \$254,930 | \$262,578 | \$270,455 | \$278,569 | \$286,926 | \$295,534 | \$304,400 |
| Cumulative - Larger office | 95\% occpcy | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Subtotal Gross Receipts |  | \$482,723,500 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$217,323,821 | \$223,843,535 | \$461,117,683 | \$534,320,115 | \$611,499,687 | \$629,844,678 | \$648,740,018 | \$668,202,219 | \$688,248,285 |
| Subtotal - Office Gross Receipts |  | \$1,192,327,045 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$ $565,041,934$ | \$581,993,192 | \$1,123,974,352 | \$1,312,052,727 | \$1,510,404,228 | \$1,555,716,355 | \$1,602,387,845 | \$1,650,459,481 | \$1,699,973,265 |
| 3. Production Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Receipts / Output per Employet | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | \$206,000 | \$212,180 | \$218,545 | \$225,102 | \$231,855 | \$238,810 | \$245,975 | \$253,354 | \$260,955 | \$268,783 | \$276,847 | \$285,152 |
| Total Employees [6] | 150 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Subtotal Gross Receipts | 95\% occpcy | \$28,500,000 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$37,186,036 | \$38,301,617 | \$39,450,665 | \$40,634,185 |
| Subtotal - Production Gross Receipts |  | \$28,500,000 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$37,186,036 | \$38,301,617 | \$39,450,665 | \$40,634,185 |
| Landlords Gross Receipts-Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Market Rate Apartment Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | Table C4 | \$3,050 | \$3,050 | \$3,142 | \$3,236 | \$3,333 | \$3,433 | \$3,536 | \$3,642 | \$3,751 | \$3,864 | \$3,980 | \$4,099 | \$4,222 | \$4,349 |
| New Market Rate Apartments | Table B1 | 200 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 38 | 51 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate ${ }^{\text {chen }}$ ( | 95.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied) |  | ${ }_{5}^{190}$ | \% | 50 | so | so | ( 78 | 78 $\$ 275,438$ | $\stackrel{114}{\text { S415,172 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {162 }}^{162}$ | $\stackrel{190}{\text { \$734,093 }}$ | $\stackrel{190}{\$ 756.116}$ | 190 $\$ 778800$ | $\stackrel{190}{\$ 802,164}$ | 190 |
| $1 \mathrm{BDR} / 1$ Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$275,438 | \$415,172 | \$609,369 | \$734,093 | \$756,116 | \$778,800 | \$802,164 | \$826,228 |
| Rent per Unit | Table C4 | \$4,448 | \$4,448 | \$4,582 | \$4,719 | \$4,861 | \$5,007 | \$5,157 | \$5,312 | \$5,471 | \$5,635 | \$5,804 | \$5,978 | \$6,158 | \$6,342 |
| New Market Rate Apartments | Table B1 | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 63 | 81 | 46 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate | 95.\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied) |  | 304 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 124 | 183 | 260 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 |
| Rental Income |  | \$1,352,325 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$618,334 | \$636,884 | \$973,894 | \$1,424,106 | \$1,713,085 | \$1,764,477 | \$1,817,412 | \$1,871,934 | \$1,988,992 |
| $2 \mathrm{BDR} / 2 \mathrm{Bath}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | Table C4 | \$5,650 | \$5,650 | \$5,819 | \$5,994 | \$6,174 | \$6,359 | \$6,550 | \$6,746 | \$6,949 | \$7,157 | \$7,372 | \$7,593 | \$7,821 | \$8,055 |
| New Market Rate Apartments | Table 81 | 261 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 51 | 65 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{\text {Occupancy Rate }}$ | 95.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied) |  | 248 | \% | ¢0 | ¢0 | ¢0 | 102 | 102 | 150 | 212 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 |
| Rental Income |  | \$1,400,870 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$646,383 | \$665,775 | \$1,012,600 | \$1,472,051 | \$1,74,580 | \$1,827,818 | \$1,882,652 | \$1,939,132 | \$1,997,306 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit New Market Rate Apartments | Table C4 Table e 1 | $\$ 7,050$ 20 | $\stackrel{\text { \$7,050 }}{0}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 7,262}$ | \$7,479 0 | 57,704 0 | 57,935 8 | $\underset{0}{58,173}$ | $\stackrel{58,418}{4}$ | $\stackrel{58,671}{5}$ | $\stackrel{58,931}{3}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢9,199 }}{0}$ | 59,475 0 | $\$ 9,759$ 0 | $\underset{0}{\text { S10,052 }}$ |
| Occupancy Rate | 95.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Assumptions/ | Buildout | Revenue Added to Tax Roll ( ( with Inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Sources | (uninlfated) | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | $2027-28$ | 2028-29 | 2029-30 |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied) |  | 19 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 11 | 16 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| Subtoal Market Rate Apartment Rental |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income |  | \$3,466,645 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$1,592,437 | \$1,640,211 | \$2,497,632 | \$3,645,555 | \$4,391,442 | \$4,523,185 | \$4,658,881 | \$4,798,647 | \$4,942,607 |
| 2. BMR - $45 \%$ AMI Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New 45\% AMI Apartments | Table B1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate | 98.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied) |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Rental Income |  | \$6,229 | \$0 | so | so | so | \$3,005 | \$3,995 | \$4,250 | \$6,566 | \$7,891 | \$8,127 | \$8,371 | \$8,622 | \$8,881 |
| $1 \mathrm{BDR} / 1$ Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | Table C4 | \$1,038 | \$1,038 | \$1,069 | \$1,101 | \$1,134 | \$1,168 | \$1,203 | \$1,239 | \$1,277 | \$1,315 | \$1,354 | \$1,395 | \$1,437 | \$1,480 |
| New 45\% AMI Apartments | Table B1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate | 98.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied) |  | ${ }^{11}$ | 0 | 0 | so | 0 | 4 | ${ }_{5}^{4}$ | \$7288 | $\stackrel{9}{511260}$ | ${ }^{11}$ | ${ }_{\$ 11}$ | ${ }_{\text {11 }}$ | 11 | ${ }_{11}^{11}$ |
| Rental Income |  | \$11,190 | so | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$4,580 | \$4,717 | \$7,288 | \$11,260 | \$14,175 | \$14,600 | \$15,038 | \$15,489 | \$15,954 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | Table C4 | \$1,168 | \$1,168 | \$1,203 | \$1,239 | \$1,276 | \$1,315 | \$1,354 | \$1,395 | \$1,436 | \$1,480 | \$1,524 | \$1,570 | \$1,617 | \$1,665 |
| New 45\% AMI Apartments | Table B1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate | 98.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied) |  | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Rental Income |  | \$10,302 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,153 | \$5,308 | \$8,201 | \$11,262 | \$13,050 | \$13,441 | \$13,845 | \$14,260 | \$14,688 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | Table C4 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| New 45\% AMI Apartments | Table B1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate | 98.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental Income |  | so | so | so | so | so | \$0 | so | so | so | so | so | so | so | so |
| Income |  | \$27,720 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$12,737 | \$13,120 | \$19,738 | \$29,088 | \$35,115 | \$36,169 | \$37,254 | \$88,371 | \$39,522 |
| 3. BMR - $55 \%$ AMI Gross ReceiptsJunior 1 BDR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | Table C4 | \$1,063 | \$1,063 | \$1,095 | \$1,128 | \$1,162 | \$1,196 | \$1,232 | \$1,269 | \$1,307 | \$1,347 | \$1,387 | \$1,429 | \$1,471 | \$1,516 |
| New 55\% AMI Apartments | Table B1 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Occupancy Rate | 98.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied) |  | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 20 | 27 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Rental Income |  | \$34,377 | so | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$15,242 | \$15,700 | \$24,878 | \$35,874 | \$43,548 | \$44,855 | \$46,200 | \$47,586 | \$49,014 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | Table C4 | \$1,214 | \$1,214 | \$1,250 | \$1,288 | \$1,327 | \$1,366 | \$1,407 | \$1,450 | \$1,993 | \$1,538 | \$1,584 | \$1,632 | \$1,680 | \$1,731 |
| New 55\% AMI Apartments | Table B1 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 10 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate | 98.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied) |  | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 21 | 30 | 43 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | Table C4 | \$1,353 | \$1,353 | \$1,394 | \$1,435 | \$1,478 | \$1,523 | \$1,568 | \$1,616 | \$1,664 | \$1,714 | \$1,765 | \$1,818 | \$1,873 | \$1,929 |
| New 55\% AMI Apartments | Table B1 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate | 98.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied) |  | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 25 | 35 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 |
| Rental Income |  | \$55,689 | so | \$0 | so | so | \$25,370 | \$26,131 | \$39,581 | \$58,707 | \$70,546 | \$72,662 | \$74,842 | \$77,087 | \$79,400 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | Table C4 | \$1,478 | \$1,478 | \$1,522 | \$1,568 | \$1,615 | \$1,664 | \$1,713 | \$1,765 | \$1,818 | \$1,872 | \$1,928 | \$1,986 | \$2,046 | \$2,107 |
| New 55\% AMI Apartments | Table B1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate | 98.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied) |  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Rental Income |  | \$5,794 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,630 | \$1,679 | \$3,459 | \$5,344 | \$7,339 | \$7,560 | \$7,786 | \$8,020 | \$8,261 |
| Income |  | \$157,726 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$70,362 | \$72,473 | \$111,956 | \$164,306 | \$199,803 | \$205,797 | \$211,971 | \$218,330 | \$224,880 |
| 4. BMR - $90 \%$ AMI Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | Table C4 | \$1,769 | \$1,769 | \$1,822 | \$1,877 | \$1,933 | \$1,991 | \$2,051 | \$2,112 | \$2,176 | \$2,241 | \$2,308 | \$2,377 | \$2,449 | \$2,522 |
| New 90\% AMI Apartments | Table B1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate | 98.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied) |  | 13 | - | - | - | \% | 5 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 13 | 13 | ${ }^{13}$ | ${ }^{13}$ | ${ }^{13}$ |
| R BRR/ $/ 1$ Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New 90\% AMI Apartments | Table B1 | ${ }_{21} 21$ | \$2,021 | 32,08 | $\stackrel{\text { s2,144 }}{ }$ | ${ }_{0}{ }^{3}$ | $\stackrel{3}{52,25}$ | ${ }_{0} \mathbf{3} 2,43$ | ${ }_{4} 82$ | ${ }_{5} 82$ | \$2,600 | - 32 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate | 98.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied) |  | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 13 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
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Table A5
Estimated Annual Sales and Gross Receipts Tax Revenues
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Item | Assumptions/ Sources | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Buildout } \\ \text { (uninlfated) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Revenue Added to Tax Roll ( ( with Inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 | 2029-30 |
| On-site Parking Gross Receipts, Residential |  | \$3,057,600 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,405,588 | \$1,447,755 | \$2,203,767 | \$3,216,371 | \$3,873,276 | \$3,98,474 | \$4,109,159 | \$4,23, 433 | \$4,359,406 |
| Total Landlords Gross Receipts - Residential |  | \$7,727,673 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,554,753 | \$3,661,395 | \$5,572,729 | \$8,130,843 | \$9,789,185 | \$10,082,861 | \$10,385,347 | \$10,696,907 | \$11,017,814 |
| LIandlords Gross Receipts-Commercial \& Production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail Rental Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg. Rent per SF [1] | \$30 | \$30 | \$30 | \$30.90 | \$31.83 | \$32.78 | \$33.77 | \$34.78 | \$35.82 | \$36.90 | \$38.00 | \$39.14 | \$40.32 | \$41.53 | \$42.77 |
| Cumulative Retail SF (Occupied) | Table C2 | 224,038 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88,979 | 88,979 | 140,066 | 174,787 | 215,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 |
| Retail Rental Income |  | \$6,721,137 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,004,383 | \$3,094,514 | \$5,017,387 | \$6,488,986 | \$8,172,107 | \$8,76,559 | \$9,032,646 | \$9,303,625 | \$9,582,734 |
| Office Rental Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg, Rent per SF [1] | \$70 | \$70 | \$70 | \$72.10 | \$74.26 | \$76.49 | \$78.79 | \$81.15 | 583.58 | \$86.09 | \$88.67 | \$91.33 | \$94.07 | \$96.90 | 59.80 |
| Cumulative Office SF (Occupied) | Table C2 | 1,169,536 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 496,234 | 496,234 | 923,566 | 1,046,551 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 |
| Office Rental Income |  | \$81,867,552 | so | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$39,096,133 | \$40,269,017 | \$77,195,048 | \$90,098,831 | \$103,707,365 | \$106,818,586 | \$110,023,143 | \$113,323,838 | \$116,723,553 |
| On-site Parking Gross Receipts, Commercial | Table A7 | \$11,410,311 | so | \$8,162,480 | \$8,647,841 | \$9,147,763 | \$8,257,095 | \$4,791,863 | \$13,970,317 | \$13,683,421 | \$13,773,997 | \$14,427,703 | \$15,101,021 | \$15,794,539 | \$16,508,861 |
| Total Landlords Gross Receipts - Commercial |  | \$99,998,999 | so | \$8,162,480 | \$8,647,841 | \$9,147,763 | \$50,357,611 | \$48,155,394 | \$96,182,752 | \$110,231,238 | \$125,653,469 | \$130,015,849 | \$134,156,811 | \$138,422,002 | \$142,815,148 |
| Production Rental Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg, Rent per SF [1] | \$30 | \$30 | \$30 | \$30.90 | \$31.83 | 532.78 | \$33.77 | \$34.78 | \$35.82 | \$36.90 | \$38.00 | \$39.14 | \$40.32 | \$41.53 | \$42.77 |
| Cumulative Production SF (Occupied) | - | 182,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \% | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 |
| Production Rental Income |  | \$5,467,500 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$7,13, 847 | \$7,347,863 | \$7,568,299 | \$7,795,348 |
| Total Landlords Gross Receipts - Production |  | \$5,467,500 | so | so | so | so | so | so | so | so | so | \$7,13, 847 | \$7,347,863 | \$7,56,299 | \$7,795,348 |
| Total Landlords Gross Receipts - Prod. \& Comm. |  | \$105,466,499 | \$0 | \$8,162,480 | \$8,647,841 | \$9,147,763 | \$50,357,611 | \$48,155,394 | \$96,182,752 | \$110,231,238 | \$125,653,469 | \$137,149,696 | \$141,504,674 | \$145,990,300 | \$150,610,496 |
| Gross Receipits Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail Space Gross Receipts [2] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grocery Store | 0.135\% | \$36,450 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13,675 | \$14,085 | \$29,015 | \$29,886 | \$46,174 | \$47,559 | \$48,986 | \$50,455 | \$51,969 |
| Drug Store | 0.135\% | \$29,160 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$8,205 | \$8,451 | \$17,409 | \$26,897 | \$27,704 | \$38,047 | \$39,189 | \$40,364 | \$41,575 |
| Larger Tenants | 0.100\% | \$18,648 | so | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$11,993 | \$12,353 | \$15,905 | \$19,658 | \$23,623 | \$24,331 | \$25,061 | \$25,813 | \$26,588 |
| Mid-Size Tenants | 0.100\% | \$12,960 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$4,862 | \$5,008 | \$10,317 | \$12,397 | \$16,417 | \$16,910 | \$17,417 | \$17,940 | \$18,478 |
| Office Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medium Office [3] | 0.510\% | \$3,618,978 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,773,362 | \$1,826,563 | \$3,380,569 | \$3,966,436 | \$4,584,413 | \$4,721,946 | \$4,863,604 | \$5,09, 512 | \$5,159,797 |
| Larger Office [5] | 0.560\% | \$2,703,252 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,217,013 | \$1,25,524 | \$2,582,259 | \$2,992,193 | \$3,424,398 | \$3,527,130 | \$3,632,944 | \$3,74,932 | \$3,854,190 |
| Production Gross Receipts [8] | 0.370\% | \$105,450 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$137,588 | \$141,716 | \$145,967 | \$150,346 |
| Residential Apartment Gross Receipts [4] | 0.300\% | \$14,010 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,447 | \$6,641 | \$10,107 | \$14,743 | \$17,748 | \$18,280 | \$18,829 | \$19,393 | \$19,975 |
| Retail Rental Gross Receipts [4] | 0.300\% | \$20,163 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$9,013 | \$9,284 | \$15,052 | \$19,347 | \$24,516 | \$26,309 | \$27,098 | \$27,911 | \$28,748 |
| Office Rental Gross Receipts [4] | 0.300\% | \$245,603 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$117,288 | \$120,807 | \$231,585 | \$270,296 | \$311,122 | \$320,456 | \$330,069 | \$339,972 | \$350,171 |
| On-site Parking Gross Receipts, Residential \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial [4] | 0.300\% | \$43,404 | \$0 | \$24,487 | \$25,944 | \$27,443 | \$28,988 | \$18,719 | \$48,522 | \$50,699 | \$52,942 | \$55,252 | \$57,631 | \$60,081 | \$62,605 |
| Production Rental Gross Receipts [4] | 0.300\% | \$16,403 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | S0 | S0 | so | \$0 | \$21,402 | \$22,044 | \$22,705 | \$23,386 |
| Total Gross Receipts Tax |  | \$6,864,480 | so | \$24,487 | \$25,944 | \$27,443 | \$3,190,848 | \$3,275,435 | \$6,340,741 | \$7,402,554 | \$8,529,057 | \$8,95,209 | \$9,24, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \$9,502,046 | \$9,787,829 |

[^19]$[7]$ Smaller tenants and small tenants are excluded since their gross receipts do not exceed $\$ 1,000,000$ per business. The sales per business of smaller tenants $=\$ 400 * 2,500$ s
[8] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12-A-1: Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Manufacturing, Transportation and Warehousing Rate for 52.5 M to $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$

| Item | Revenue Added to Tax Roll ( ( with Inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2030-31 | 2031-32 | 2032-33 | 2033-34 | 2034-35 | 2035-36 | 2036-37 | 2037-38 | 2038-39 | 2039-40 | 2040-41 | 2041-42 | 2042-43 | 2043-44 |
| Inflation Rate | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Business Gross Receipts - Tenants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Retail Space Gross Receipts [7] 20,000 SF Store |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales per SF | \$734 | \$756 | \$779 | \$802 | \$826 | \$851 | \$877 | \$903 | \$930 | \$958 | \$987 | \$1,016 | \$1,047 | \$1,078 |
| Cumulative - 20,000 SF Store | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 10,000 SF Store |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales per SF | \$881 | \$908 | \$935 | \$963 | \$992 | \$1,021 | \$1,052 | \$1,084 | \$1,116 | \$1,150 | \$1,184 | \$1,220 | \$1,256 | \$1,294 |
| Cumulative - 10,000 SF Store | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | , |
| 7,400 SF Store |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales per SF | \$587 | \$605 | \$623 | \$642 | \$661 | \$681 | \$701 | \$722 | \$744 | \$766 | \$789 | \$813 | \$838 | \$863 |
| Cumulative -7,400 SF Store | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Subtotal 6 R | \$27,385,217 | \$28,206,773 | \$29,052,976 | \$29,924,566 | \$30,822,303 | \$31,746,972 | \$32,69, 381 | \$33,680,362 | \$34,690,773 | \$35,731,496 | \$36,803,441 | \$37,907,544 | \$39,044,771 | \$40,216,114 |
| 4,000 SF Store |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales per SF | \$587 | \$605 | \$623 | \$642 | \$661 | \$681 | \$701 | \$722 | \$744 | \$766 | \$789 | \$813 | \$838 | \$863 |
| Cumulative -4,000 SF Store | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Subtotal GR | \$19,032,197 | \$19,603,163 | \$20,191,258 | \$20,796,995 | \$21,420,905 | \$22,063,532 | \$22,725,438 | \$23,407,202 | \$24,109,418 | \$24,832,700 | \$25,577,681 | \$26,345,012 | \$27,135,362 | \$27,949,423 |
| Subtotal - Retail Gross Receipts | \$117,788,152 | \$121,321,797 | \$124,961,451 | \$128,710,294 | \$132,571,603 | \$136,548,751 | \$140,645,214 | \$144,864,570 | \$149,210,507 | \$153,686,822 | \$158,297,427 | \$163,046,350 | \$167,937,740 | \$172,975,872 |
| 2. Office Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medium Office $\begin{aligned} & \text { Office Employees per Business [6] }\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Receipts / Output per Employet | \$313,532 | \$322,938 | \$332,626 | \$342,605 | \$352,883 | \$363,469 | \$374,374 | \$385,605 | \$397,173 | \$409,088 | \$421,361 | \$434,002 | \$447,022 | \$460,432 |
| Cumulative - Medium office | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 |
| Subtotal Gross Receipts | \$1,042,066,729 | \$1,073,339,031 | \$1,105,539,202 | \$1,138,705,378 | \$1,172,866,539 | \$1,208,052,535 | \$1,244,294,111 | \$1,281,622,935 | \$1,320,071,623 | \$1,359,673,772 | \$1,400,463,985 | \$1,422,47,904 | \$1,485,752,241 | \$1,530,324,809 |
| Gross Receipts / Output per Employet | \$313,532 | \$322,938 | \$332,626 | \$322,605 | \$352,883 | \$363,469 | \$374,374 | \$385,605 | \$397,173 | \$409,088 | \$421,361 | \$434,002 | \$447,022 | \$460,432 |
| Cumulative - Larger office | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | ${ }_{10}$ | ${ }_{10}$ | 10 | ${ }_{10}$ |
| Subtotal Gross Receipts | \$708,895,734 | \$730,162,606 | \$752,067,484 | \$774,629,509 | \$997,868,394 | \$821,804,446 | \$846,458,579 | \$871,852,337 | \$898,007,907 | \$924,948,144 | \$952,696,588 | \$981,277,486 | \$1,010,715,810 | \$1,041,037,285 |
| Subtotal - Office Gross Receipts | \$1,750,972,463 | \$1,803,501,637 | \$1,857,606,686 | \$1,913,334,887 | \$1,970,734,933 | \$2,029,856,981 | \$2,090,752,691 | \$2,153,475,271 | \$2,218,079,530 | \$2,28,621,915 | \$2,353,160,573 | \$2,423,75, 390 | \$2,496,468,052 | \$2,571,362,093 |
| 3. Production Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Receipts / Output per Employet | \$293,707 | \$302,518 | \$311,593 | \$320,941 | \$330,570 | \$340,487 | \$350,701 | \$361,222 | \$372,059 | \$383,221 | \$394,717 | \$406,559 | \$418,756 | \$431,318 |
| Total Employees [6] | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Subtotal Gross Receipts | \$41,853,211 | \$43,108,807 | \$44,402,071 | \$45,734,134 | \$47,106,158 | \$48,519,342 | \$49,974,923 | \$51,474,170 | \$53,018,395 | \$54,608,947 | \$56,247,216 | \$57,934,632 | \$59,672,671 | \$61,462,851 |
| Subtotal - Production Gross Receipts | \$41,853,211 | \$43,108,807 | \$44,402,071 | \$45,734,134 | \$47,106,158 | \$48,519,342 | \$49,974,923 | \$51,474,170 | \$53,018,395 | \$54,608,947 | \$56,247,216 | \$57,934,632 | \$59,672,671 | \$61,462,851 |
| Landlords Gross Receipts-Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Market Rate Apartment Gross ReceiptsJunior 1 BDR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$4,479 | \$4,613 | \$4,752 | \$4,894 | \$5,041 | \$5,192 | \$5,348 | \$5,509 | \$5,674 | \$5,844 | \$6,019 | \$6,200 | \$6,386 | \$6,578 |
| New Market Rate Apartments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 |
| Rental Income | \$851,015 | \$87,546 | \$902,842 | \$929,927 | \$957,825 | \$986,560 | \$1,016,157 | \$1,046,641 | \$1,088,041 | \$1,110,382 | \$1,143,693 | \$1,178,004 | \$1,213,344 | \$1,249,745 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$6,533 | \$6,729 | \$6,931 | \$7,138 | \$7,353 | \$7,573 | \$7,800 | \$8,034 | \$8,275 | \$8,524 | \$8,79 | \$9,043 | \$9,314 | \$9,593 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 |
| Rental Income | \$1,985,935 | \$2,04, 513 | \$2,106,878 | \$2,170,085 | \$2,23, 187 | \$2,302,24 | \$2,371,310 | \$2,422,449 | \$2,515,723 | \$2,591,195 | \$2,668,930 | \$2,748,998 | \$2,831,468 | \$2,916,412 |
| $2 \mathrm{BDR} / 2 \mathrm{Bath}$ 年 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$8,297 | \$8,546 | \$8,802 | \$9,066 | \$9,338 | \$9,618 | \$9,907 | \$10,204 | \$10,510 | \$10,826 | \$11,150 | \$11,485 | \$11,829 | \$12,184 |
| New Market Rate Apartments Occupancy Rate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rew Market Rate Apartments |  | \$10,664 |  | ${ }_{0}$ |  | S12,002 0 | S12,362 0 | \$12,733 0 | $\underset{0}{\text { \$13,115 }}$ | \$13,509 0 | $\underset{0}{\$ 13,914}$ | S14,331 0 | \$14,761 0 | $\underset{0}{\$ 15,204}$ |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Revenue Added to Tax Roll ( ( with Inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | 2030-31 | 2031-32 | 2032-33 | 2033-34 | $2034 \cdot 35$ | 2035-36 | 2036-37 | 2037-38 | 2038-39 | 2039-40 | 2040-41 | 2041-42 | 2042-43 | 2043-44 |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| Rental Income | \$196,710 | \$202,611 | \$208,690 | \$214,950 | \$221,399 | \$228,041 | \$234,882 | \$241,929 | \$249,186 | \$256,662 | \$264,362 | \$272,293 | \$280,462 | \$288,875 |
| Subtotal Market Rate Apartment Rental |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income | \$5,090,885 | \$5,243,612 | \$5,400,920 | \$5,52,948 | \$5,729,836 | \$5,901,731 | \$6,088,783 | \$6,261,146 | \$6,488,981 | \$6,642,450 | \$6,841,724 | \$7,046,976 | \$7,258,385 | \$7,476,136 |
| 2. BMR - 45\% AMI Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$1,333 | \$1,373 | \$1,415 | \$1,457 | \$1,501 | \$1,546 | \$1,592 | \$1,640 | \$1,689 | \$1,740 | \$1,792 | \$1,846 | \$1,901 | \$1,958 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Rental Income | \$9,147 | \$9,422 | \$9,704 | \$9,996 | \$10,295 | \$10,604 | \$10,922 | \$11,250 | \$11,588 | \$11,935 | \$12,293 | \$12,662 | \$13,042 | \$13,433 |
| 1 BDR/1 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$1,524 | \$1,570 | \$1,617 | \$1,666 | \$1,716 | \$1,767 | \$1,820 | \$1,875 | \$1,931 | \$1,989 | \$2,049 | \$2,110 | \$2,173 | \$2,239 |
| New 45\% AM1Apartments ${ }^{\text {Occupancy Rate }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$1,715 | \$1,767 | \$1,820 | \$1,874 | \$1,931 | \$1,988 | \$2,048 | \$2,110 | \$2,173 | \$2,238 | \$2,305 | \$2,374 | \$2,446 | \$2,519 |
|  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 |
| New 45\% AMIApartmentsOccupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Rental Income | \$15,128 | \$15,582 | \$16,050 | \$16,531 | \$17,027 | \$17,538 | \$18,064 | \$18,606 | \$19,164 | \$19,739 | \$20,331 | \$20,941 | \$21,570 | \$22,217 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental Income | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | so |
| Income | \$40,708 | \$41,929 | \$43,187 | \$44,483 | \$45,817 | \$47,192 | \$48,608 | \$50,066 | \$51,568 | \$53,115 | \$54,708 | \$56,350 | \$58,040 | \$59,781 |
| 3. BMR - $55 \%$ AMI Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$1,561 | \$1,608 | \$1,656 | \$1,706 | \$1,757 | \$1,810 | \$1,864 | \$1,920 | \$1,977 | \$2,037 | \$2,098 | \$2,161 | \$2,26 | \$2,292 |
| New 55\% AmI Apartments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Rental Income | \$50,484 | \$51,999 | \$53,559 | \$55,166 | \$56,821 | \$58,525 | \$60,281 | \$62,089 | \$63,952 | \$65,871 | \$67,847 | \$69,882 | \$71,979 | \$74,138 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$1,783 | \$1,836 | \$1,891 | \$1,948 | \$2,007 | \$2,067 | \$2,129 | \$2,193 | \$2,258 | \$2,326 | \$2,396 | \$2,468 | \$2,542 | \$2,618 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 |
| Rental Income | \$90,851 | \$93,577 | \$96,384 | \$99,276 | \$102,254 | \$105,322 | \$108,481 | \$111,736 | \$115,088 | \$118,541 | \$122,097 | \$125,760 | \$129,532 | \$133,418 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$1,987 | \$2,047 | \$2,108 | \$2,171 | \$2,236 | \$2,303 | \$2,372 | \$2,444 | \$2,517 | \$2,592 | \$2,670 | \$2,750 | \$2,833 | \$2,918 |
| New $55 \%$ AMI Apartmentsccupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 41 | 41 | $\stackrel{41}{\$ 86762}$ | $\stackrel{41}{\text { \$89,365 }}$ | $\stackrel{41}{ }$ | $\stackrel{41}{4}$ | 41 | ${ }_{41}^{41}$ | $\stackrel{41}{4103599}$ | $\stackrel{41}{41067}$ | 41 S109,908 | $\stackrel{41}{413,205}$ | $\stackrel{41}{\text { 4116,601 }}$ | $\stackrel{41}{4120,099}$ |
| Rental Income | \$81,782 | \$84,235 | \$86,762 | \$89,365 | \$92,046 | \$94,808 | \$97,652 | \$100,581 | \$103,599 | \$106,707 | \$109,908 | \$113,205 | \$116,601 | \$120,099 |
| 3 BDR/3 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$2,170 | \$2,236 | \$2,303 | \$2,372 | \$2,443 | \$2,516 | \$2,592 | \$2,669 | \$2,750 | \$2,832 | \$2,917 | \$3,004 | \$3,095 | \$3,187 |
| New $55 \%$ AMI Apartmentsccupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupancy Rate Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Rental Income | \$8,508 | \$8,764 | \$9,026 | \$9,297 | \$9,576 | \$9,863 | \$10,159 | \$10,464 | \$10,778 | \$11,101 | \$11,434 | \$11,778 | \$12,131 | \$12,495 |
| Income | \$231,626 | \$238,575 | \$245,732 | \$253,104 | \$260,697 | \$268,518 | \$276,574 | \$284,871 | \$293,417 | \$302,220 | \$311,286 | \$320,625 | \$330,243 | \$340,151 |
| 4. BMR - $90 \%$ AMI Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | $\underset{0}{\$ 2,598}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { \$2,676 } \\ 0}}{ }$ | \$2,756 | $\$ 2,839$ 0 | $\underset{0}{\$ 2,924}$ | $\stackrel{\$ 3,012}{0}$ | \$3,102 | ${ }_{0}^{\$ 3,195}$ | $\stackrel{\$ 3,291}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { \$3,30 }}{0}$ | \$3,491 | $\$ 3,596$ 0 | $\$ 3,704$ 0 | ${ }_{0}^{\$ 3,815}$ |
| New 90\% AMI Apartments Occupancy Rate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 13 | ${ }_{\text {\$34 }}^{13}$ | ${ }_{13}^{13}$ | ${ }_{\text {\$36,165 }}$ | $\stackrel{13}{\$ 37,250}$ | ${ }_{\text {13 }}^{13}$ | ${ }_{13}^{13}$ | $\stackrel{13}{13}$ | ${ }_{\text {S41,926 }}$ | $\stackrel{13}{543,183}$ | 13 544,499 | 13 545,813 | 13 547188 | ${ }_{548,603}^{13}$ |
| Rental Income | \$33,096 | \$34,089 | \$35,112 | \$36,165 | \$37,250 | \$38,368 | \$39,519 | \$40,704 | \$41,926 | \$43,183 | \$44,479 | \$45,813 | \$47,188 | \$48,603 |
| $1 \mathrm{BDR} / 1$ Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$2,968 | \$3,057 | \$3,149 | \$3,243 | \$3,340 | \$3,441 | \$3,544 | \$3,650 | \$3,760 | \$3,872 | \$3,989 | \$4,108 | \$4,232 | \$4,358 |
| New 90\% AMI Apartments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |

Table A5
Estimated Annual Sales and Gross Receipts Tax Revel
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Item | Revenue Added to Tax Roll ( ( with Inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2030-31 | 2031-32 | 2032-33 | 2033-34 | $2034 \cdot 35$ | 2035-36 | 2036-37 | 2037-38 | 2038-39 | 2039-40 | 2040-41 | 2041-42 | 2042-43 | 2043-44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$3,322 | \$3,421 | \$3,524 | \$3,630 | \$3,739 | \$3,851 | \$3,966 | \$4,085 | \$4,208 | \$4,334 | \$4,464 | \$4,598 | \$4,736 | \$4,878 |
| $\begin{array}{lccccccccccl}\text { New 90\% AM1 Apartments } & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Rental Income | \$52,086 | \$53,649 | \$55,258 | \$56,916 | \$58,623 | \$60,382 | \$62,194 | \$64,059 | \$65,981 | \$67,961 | \$69,999 | \$72,099 | \$74,262 | \$76,490 |
| 3 BDR $/ 3$ Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental Income | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | so | so |
| Income | \$146,262 | \$150,650 | \$155,170 | \$159,825 | \$164,619 | \$169,558 | \$174,645 | \$179,884 | \$185,281 | \$190,839 | \$196,564 | \$202,461 | \$208,535 | \$214,791 |
| 5. BMR - $120 \%$ AMI Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$3,486 | \$3,591 | \$3,699 | \$3,810 | \$3,924 | \$4,042 | \$4,163 | \$4,288 | \$4,416 | \$4,549 | \$4,685 | \$4,826 | \$4,971 | \$5,120 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 |
| Rental Income | \$194,745 | \$200,587 | \$206,605 | \$212,803 | \$219,187 | \$225,762 | \$232,535 | \$239,511 | \$246,697 | \$254,098 | \$261,721 | \$269,572 | \$277,659 | \$285,989 |
| $1 \mathrm{BDR} / 1$ Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$3,984 | \$4,104 | \$4,227 | \$4,354 | \$4,484 | \$4,619 | \$4,757 | \$4,900 | \$5,047 | \$5,198 | \$5,354 | \$5,515 | \$5,680 | \$5,851 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 |
| Rental Income | \$355,305 | \$365,964 | \$376,943 | \$388,251 | \$39,899 | \$411,896 | \$424,253 | \$436,980 | \$450,090 | \$463,592 | \$477,500 | \$491,825 | \$506,580 | \$521,777 |
| $2 \mathrm{BDR} / 2$ Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per New 12\% AMI Apartments | - 54,464 | - 40,598 | 54,36 0 | - ${ }^{54,878}$ | \$5,025 | \$5,175 | -5,331 | 55,491 0 | 55,655 | 55,825 | 56,000 | 56,180 | - ${ }^{56,365}$ | ¢6,556 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 |
| 3 BDR/3 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$4,923 | \$5,070 | \$5,222 | \$5,379 | \$5,540 | \$5,707 | \$5,878 | \$6,054 | \$6,236 | \$6,423 | \$6,615 | \$6,814 | \$7,018 | \$7,229 |
| New 120\% AMI Apartments Occupancy Rate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income | \$902,748 | \$929,831 | \$957,725 | \$986,457 | \$1,016,051 | \$1,046,532 | \$1,077,928 | \$1,110,266 | \$1,143,574 | \$1,177,882 | \$1,213,218 | \$1,249,615 | \$1,287,103 | \$1,325,716 |
| 6. BMR - $150 \%$ AMI Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$4,375 | \$4,506 | \$4,641 | \$4,780 | \$4,924 | \$5,072 | \$5,24 | \$5,380 | \$5,542 | \$5,708 | \$5,879 | \$6,056 | \$6,237 | \$6,424 |
| New 150\% AMI A partments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| $1 \mathrm{BDR} / 1 \mathrm{Bath}$ ( ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$5,000 | \$5,150 | \$5,305 | \$5,464 | \$5,628 | \$5,797 | \$5,971 | \$6,150 | \$6,334 | \$6,524 | \$6,720 | \$6,922 | \$7,129 | \$7,343 |
| New 150\% AMI Apartments Occupancy Rate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Rental Income | \$176,413 | \$181,705 | \$187,156 | \$192,771 | \$198,554 | \$204,511 | \$210,646 | \$216,965 | \$223,474 | \$230,178 | \$237,084 | \$244,196 | \$251,522 | \$259,068 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$5,607 | \$5,775 | \$5,948 | \$6,127 | \$6,311 | \$6,500 | \$6,695 | \$6,896 | \$7,103 | \$7,316 | \$7,535 | \$7,761 | \$7,994 | \$8,234 |
| New 150\% AMIApartments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | ${ }^{29}$ | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | $\stackrel{29}{5280}$ | $\stackrel{29}{295}$ | 29 |
| Rental Income | \$164,842 | \$169,787 | \$174,881 | \$180,127 | \$185,531 | \$191,097 | \$196,830 | \$202,735 | \$208,817 | \$215,081 | \$221,534 | \$228,180 | \$235,025 | \$242,076 |
| 3 BDR/3 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$6,193 | \$6,379 | \$6,570 | \$6,767 0 | $\underset{0}{\$ 6,970}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { \$7,179 } \\ 0}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\text { \$7,395 }}{0}$ | $\$ 7,616$ 0 | \$7,845 | $\$ 8,080$ 0 | $\underset{0}{58,323}$ | \$8,572 | 58,829 | \$9,094 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 1 | , | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Rental Income | \$6,069 | \$6,251 | \$6,439 | \$6,632 | \$6,831 | \$7,036 | \$7,247 | \$7,464 | \$7,688 | \$7,919 | \$8,156 | \$8,401 | \$8,653 | \$8,912 |
| Income | \$445,930 | \$459,308 | \$473,088 | \$487,280 | \$501,899 | \$516,956 | \$532,464 | \$548,438 | \$564,891 | \$581,838 | \$599,293 | \$617,272 | \$635,790 | \$654,864 |
| Subtotal Residential Gross Receipts | \$6,858,160 | \$7,063,905 | \$7,275,822 | \$7,994,097 | \$7,718,919 | \$7,950,487 | \$8,189,02 | \$8,434,672 | \$8,687,712 | \$8,948,343 | \$9,216,794 | \$9,493,297 | \$9,77,096 | \$10,071,439 |

Table A5
Estimated Annual Sales and Gross Receipts Tax Revel
Mission Rock IIFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Item | venue Added to Tax Roll ( 5 with Inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2030-31 | 2031-32 | 2032-33 | 2033-34 | 2034-35 | 2035-36 | 2036-37 | 2037-38 | 2038-39 | 2039-40 | 2040-41 | 2041-42 | 2042-43 | 2043-44 |
| On-site Parking Gross Receipts, Residential | \$4,490,189 | \$4,624,894 | \$4,763,641 | \$4,906,550 | \$5,053,747 | \$5,20,359 | \$5,361,520 | \$5,522,366 | \$5,68,037 | \$5,858,678 | \$6,03,438 | \$6,215,471 | \$6,401,935 | \$6,593,993 |
| Total Landlords Gross Receipts - Residential | \$11,348,349 | \$11,68,799 | \$12,039,463 | \$12,400,647 | \$12,772,666 | \$13,155,846 | \$13,550,522 | \$13,957,037 | \$14,35,749 | \$14,807,021 | \$15,251,232 | \$15,708,769 | \$16,18,032 | \$16,665,433 |
| LIandlords Gross Receipips-commercial \& Production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail Rental Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg. Rent per SF [1] | \$44.06 | \$45.38 | \$46.74 | \$48.14 | \$49.59 | \$51.07 | \$52.61 | \$54.18 | \$55.81 | \$57.48 | \$59.21 | \$60.98 | \$62.81 | \$64.70 |
| Cumulative Retail 5 F (Occupied) | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 |
| Retail Rental Income | \$9,870,216 | \$10,166,323 | \$10,471,312 | \$10,785,452 | \$11,109,015 | \$11,442,286 | \$11,785,554 | \$12,139,121 | \$12,503,295 | \$12,878,394 | \$13,264,745 | \$13,662,688 | \$14,072,568 | \$14,494,745 |
| Office Rental Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg. Rent per SF [1] | \$102.80 | \$105.88 | \$109.06 | \$112.33 | \$115.70 | \$119.17 | \$122.75 | \$126.43 | \$130.22 | \$134.13 | \$138.15 | \$142.30 | \$146.56 | \$150.96 |
| Cumulative Office SF (Occupied) | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 |
| Office Rental Income | \$120,225,259 | \$123,832,017 | \$127,546,978 | \$131,373,387 | \$135,314,589 | \$139,374,026 | \$143,555,247 | \$147,861,905 | \$152,297,762 | \$156,866,695 | \$161,572,695 | \$166,419,876 | \$171,412,472 | \$176,554,847 |
| On-site Parking Gross Receipts, Commercial | \$17,244,614 | \$18,002,439 | \$18,782,999 | \$19,586,975 | \$20,415,071 | \$21,268,010 | \$22,146,537 | \$23,051,420 | \$23,983,449 | \$24,943,439 | \$25,932,229 | \$26,950,683 | \$27,999,690 | \$29,080,167 |
| Total Landlords Gross Receipts - Commercial | \$147,340,090 | \$152,000,779 | \$156,801,289 | \$161,745,814 | \$166,838,675 | \$172,084,322 | \$177,487,339 | \$183,052,445 | \$188,784,505 | \$194,688,527 | \$200,769,670 | \$207,033,246 | \$213,484,730 | \$220,129,759 |
| Production Rental Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg. Rent per SF [1] | \$44.06 | \$45.38 | \$46.74 | \$48.14 | \$49.59 | \$51.07 | \$52.61 | \$54.18 | \$55.81 | \$57.48 | \$59.21 | \$60.98 | \$62.81 | \$64.70 |
| Cumulative Production SF (Occupied) | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 |
| Production Rental Income | \$8,029,208 | \$8,270,084 | \$8,518,187 | \$8,73,732 | \$9,036,944 | \$9,308,053 | \$9,587,294 | \$9,874,913 | \$10,171,161 | \$10,476,295 | \$10,790,584 | \$11,114,302 | \$11,447,731 | \$11,791,163 |
| Total Landlords Gross Receipts - Production | \$8,029,208 | \$8,270,084 | \$8,58,187 | \$8,73,732 | \$9,036,944 | \$9,308,053 | \$9,587,294 | \$9,874,913 | \$10,171,161 | \$10,476,295 | \$10,790,584 | \$11,114,302 | \$11,447,731 | \$11,791,163 |
| Total Landlords Gross Receipts - Prod. \& Comm. | \$155,369,298 | \$160,270,863 | \$165,319,476 | \$170,519,547 | \$175,875,620 | \$181,392,375 | \$187,074,633 | \$192,927,359 | \$198,955,666 | \$205,164,823 | \$211,560,254 | \$218,147,548 | \$224,932,461 | \$231,920,922 |
| Gross Receipts Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grocery Store | \$53,528 | \$55,134 | \$56,788 | \$58,492 | \$60,246 | \$62,054 | \$63,915 | \$65,833 | \$67,808 | \$69,842 | \$71,937 | \$74,095 | \$76,318 | \$78,608 |
| Drug Store | \$42,822 | \$44,107 | \$45,430 | \$46,793 | \$48,197 | \$49,643 | \$51,132 | \$52,666 | \$54,246 | \$55,874 | \$57,550 | \$59,276 | \$61,055 | \$62,886 |
| Larger Tenants | \$27,385 | \$28,207 | \$29,053 | \$29,925 | \$30,822 | \$31,747 | \$32,699 | \$33,680 | \$34,691 | \$35,731 | \$36,803 | \$37,908 | \$39,045 | \$40,216 |
| Mid-Size Tenants | \$19,032 | \$19,603 | \$20,191 | \$20,797 | \$21,421 | \$22,064 | \$22,725 | \$23,407 | \$24,109 | \$24,833 | \$25,578 | \$26,345 | \$27,135 | \$27,949 |
| Office Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medium Office [3] | \$5,314,591 | \$5,474,029 | \$5,638,250 | \$5,807,397 | \$5,981,619 | \$6,161,068 | \$6,345,900 | \$6,536,277 | \$6,732,365 | \$6,934,336 | \$7,142,366 | \$7,356,637 | \$7,577,336 | \$7,804,657 |
| Larger Office [5] | \$3,96, 816 | \$4,088,911 | \$4,211,578 | \$4,337,925 | \$4,468,063 | \$4,602,105 | \$4,740,168 | \$4,882,373 | \$5,028,844 | \$5,179,710 | \$5,335,101 | \$5,495,154 | \$5,660,009 | \$5,829,809 |
| Production Gross Receipts [8] | \$154,857 | \$159,503 | \$164,288 | \$169,216 | \$174,293 | \$179,522 | \$184,907 | \$190,454 | \$196,168 | \$202,053 | \$208,115 | \$214,358 | \$220,789 | \$227,413 |
| Residential Apartment Gross Receipts [4] | \$20,574 | \$21,192 | \$21,827 | \$22,482 | \$23,157 | \$23,851 | \$24,567 | \$25,304 | \$26,063 | \$26,845 | \$27,650 | \$28,480 | \$29,334 | \$30,214 |
| Retail Rental Gross Receipts [4] | \$29,611 | \$30,499 | \$31,414 | \$32,356 | \$33,327 | \$34,327 | \$35,357 | \$36,417 | \$37,510 | \$38,635 | \$39,794 | \$40,988 | \$42,218 | \$43,484 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial [4] | \$65,204 | \$67,882 | \$70,640 | \$73,481 | \$76,406 | \$79,420 | \$82,524 | \$85,721 | \$89,014 | \$92,406 | \$95,900 | \$99,498 | \$103,205 | \$107,022 |
| Production Rental Gross Receipts [4] | \$24,088 | \$24,810 | \$25,555 | \$26,321 | \$27,111 | \$27,924 | \$28,762 | \$29,625 | \$30,513 | \$31,429 | \$32,372 | \$33,343 | \$34,343 | \$35,373 |
| Total Gross Receipts Tax | \$10,082,185 | \$10,385,372 | \$10,697,655 | \$11,019,306 | \$11,350,607 | \$11,691,846 | \$12,043,323 | \$12,405,344 | \$12,778,226 | \$13,162,294 | \$13,557,885 | \$13,965,342 | \$14,385,024 | \$14,817,296 |

$[1]$ The assumptions are from EPS.
[2] San Francisco Business and Tax Reeguations Code, Article $12-\mathrm{A}-1$ : Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Retail, Wholesale, and Services Rate for $\$ 2.5$ to $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$.
[3] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12-A-1: Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Financial, Insurance, Professl, Scientific Rate for $\$ 2.5$ to $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$
$[4]$ San francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12-A-1: Gross Receiits Tax Ordinance. Real Estate. Rental, Leasing Services Rate for $\$ 5$ to $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$, and over $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$
${ }^{[5]}$ San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12-A-1: Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Financial, Insurance, Professl, Scientific Rate for over 525 M
$[5]$ San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12-A-A:1: Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance.
$[6]$ KMA's ssumption: 4.76 employees per 1,000 SF (office), 1 employee per 1,000 SF (production)
$[7]$ Smaller tenants and small tenants are excluded since their rross receipts do not exceed $\$ 1,000,000$ per business. The sales per business of smaller tenants $=\$ 400 * 2,5005 F=\$ 1,000,000$; the sales per business of small tenants $=\$ 400 * 1,5005 F=\$ 600,000$.
$[8]$ San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12-A-1: Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Manufacturing, Transportation and Warehousing Rate for $\$ 2.5 \mathrm{M}$ to $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$

| Item | Revenue Added to Tax Roll ( ( with Inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2044-45 | 2045-46 | 2046-47 | 2047-48 | $2048-49$ | 2049-50 | 2050.51 | 2051-52 | 2052-53 | 2053-54 | 2054.55 | 2055-56 | 2056-57 | 2057-58 |
| Inflation Rate | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Business Gross Receipts - Tenants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Retail Space Gross Receipts [7]20,00 SF Store |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales per SF | \$1,111 | \$1,144 | \$1,178 | \$1,214 | \$1,250 | \$1,288 | \$1,326 | \$1,366 | \$1,407 | \$1,449 | \$1,993 | \$1,537 | \$1,584 | \$1,631 |
| Cumulative - 20,000 SF Store | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | , |
| Subtotal 6 R | \$59,974,803 | \$61,74,047 | \$63,627,269 | \$65,536,087 | \$67,502,169 | \$69,527,234 | \$71,613,051 | \$73,761,443 | \$75,974,286 | \$78,253,515 | \$80,60, 120 | \$83,019,154 | \$88,509,729 | \$88,075,020 |
| 10,00 SF Store |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales per SF | \$1,333 | \$1,373 | \$1,414 | \$1,456 | \$1,500 | \$1,545 | \$1,591 | \$1,639 | \$1,688 | \$1,739 | \$1,791 | \$1,845 | \$1,900 | \$1,957 |
| Cumulative - 10,000 SF Store | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | , | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Subtotal 6 R | \$47,979,843 | \$49,419,238 | \$50,901,815 | \$52,428,869 | \$54,001,735 | \$55,621,788 | \$57,290,441 | \$59,009,154 | \$60,779,429 | \$62,602,812 | \$64,480,896 | \$66,415,323 | \$68,407,783 | \$70,460,016 |
| 7,400 SF Store |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales per SF | \$889 | \$915 | \$943 | \$971 | \$1,000 | \$1,030 | \$1,061 | \$1,093 | \$1,126 | \$1,159 | \$1,194 | \$1,230 | \$1,267 | \$1,305 |
| Cumulative - 7,400 SF Store | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Subtotal 6 R | \$41,422,597 | \$42,665,275 | \$43,945,234 | \$45,263,591 | \$46,621,498 | \$48,020,143 | \$49,460,748 | \$50,944,570 | \$52,472,907 | \$54,047,094 | \$55,668,507 | \$57,338,562 | \$59,058,719 | \$60,830,481 |
| 4,000 SF Store |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales per SF | \$889 | \$915 | \$943 | \$971 | \$1,000 | \$1,030 | \$1,061 | \$1,093 | \$1,126 | \$1,159 | \$1,194 | \$1,230 | \$1,267 | \$1,305 |
| Cumulative -4,000 SF Store | 9 | , | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | , | 9 |
| Subtotal GR | \$28,787,906 | \$29,651,543 | \$30,541,089 | \$31,457,322 | \$32,401,041 | \$33,373,073 | \$34,374,265 | \$35,405,493 | \$36,467,657 | \$37,561,687 | \$38,688,538 | \$39,849,194 | \$41,044,670 | \$42,276,010 |
| Subtotal - Retail Gross Receipts | \$178,165,149 | \$183,510,103 | \$189,015,406 | \$194,685,868 | \$200,526,444 | \$206,542,238 | \$212,738,505 | \$219,120,660 | \$225,694,280 | \$232,465,108 | \$239,439,061 | \$246,622,233 | \$254,020,900 | \$261,641,527 |
| 2. Office Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medium Office $\begin{aligned} & \text { Office employes per Business [6] }\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Receipts / Output per Employes | \$474,245 | \$488,473 | \$503,127 | \$518,221 | \$533,767 | \$599,780 | \$566,274 | \$583,262 | \$600,760 | \$618,782 | \$637,346 | \$656,466 | \$676,160 | \$696,445 |
| Cumulativ - Medium Office | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 |
| Subtotal Gross Receipts | \$1,576,234,553 | \$1,623,521,589 | \$1,672,227,237 | \$1,722,394,054 | \$1,774,065,876 | \$1,827,887,852 | \$1,882,106,488 | \$1,938,569,682 | \$1,996,726,773 | \$2,056,628,576 | \$2,118,327,433 | \$2,181,877,256 | \$2,247,33,574 | \$2,314,753,581 |
| Larger officeOffice Employees per Business [6] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Receipts / Output per Employet | \$474,245 | \$488,473 | \$503,127 | \$518,221 | \$533,767 | \$549,780 | \$566,274 | \$583,262 | \$600,760 | \$618,782 | \$637,346 | \$656,466 | \$676,160 | \$696,445 |
| Cumulativ - Larger office | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Subtotal Gross Receipts | \$1,072,268,403 | \$1,104,436,455 | \$1,137,569,549 | \$1,171,696,636 | \$1,206,847,535 | \$1,243,052,961 | \$1,280,34,549 | \$1,318,754,886 | \$1,358,317,532 | \$1,399,067,058 | \$1,441,039,070 | \$1,484,270,242 | \$1,528,798,350 | \$1,574,662,300 |
| Subtotal - Office Gross Receipts | \$2,648,502,956 | \$2,727,958,045 | \$2,809,796,786 | \$2,894,090,690 | \$2,980,913,410 | \$3,070,30,813 | \$3,162,451,037 | \$3,257,324,568 | \$3,35, 044,305 | \$3,455,695,634 | \$3,559,366,503 | \$3,666,147,499 | \$3,776,131,924 | \$3,889,415,881 |
| 3. Production Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Receipts / Output per Employet | \$444,258 | \$457,586 | \$471,313 | \$485,452 | \$500,016 | \$515,017 | \$530,467 | \$546,381 | \$562,772 | \$579,656 | \$597,045 | \$614,957 | \$633,405 | \$652,408 |
| Total Employees [6] | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Subtotal Gross Receipts | \$63,306,737 | \$65,205,939 | \$67,162,117 | \$69,176,980 | \$71,252,290 | \$73,389,859 | \$75,591,554 | \$77,859,301 | \$80,195,080 | \$82,600,932 | \$85,078,960 | \$87,631,329 | \$90,260,269 | \$92,968,077 |
| Subtotal - Production Gross Receipts | \$63,306,737 | \$65,205,939 | \$67,162,117 | \$69,176,980 | \$71,252,290 | \$73,389,859 | \$75,591,554 | \$77,859,301 | \$80,195,080 | \$82,600,932 | \$85,078,960 | \$87,631,329 | \$90,260,269 | \$92,968,077 |
| Landlords Gross Receipts-Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Market Rate Apartment Gross ReceiptsJunior 1 BDR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$6,775 | \$6,978 | \$7,188 | \$7,403 | \$7,625 | \$7,854 | \$8,990 | \$8,332 | \$8,582 | \$8,840 | \$9,105 | \$9,378 | 9,659 | 9,949 |
| New Market Rate Apartments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 |
| 1 BDR/1 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$9,881 | \$10,178 | \$10,483 | \$10,798 | \$11,121 | \$11,455 | \$11,799 | \$12,153 | \$12,517 | \$12,893 | \$13,280 | \$13,678 | \$14,088 | \$14,511 |
| New Market Rate Apartments Occupancy Rate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 | 304 |
| $2 \mathrm{BDR} / 2$ Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit New Market Rate Apartments | $\underset{\substack{\text { \$12,550 } \\ 0}}{ }$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 12,926}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 13,314}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 13,714}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 14,125}$ | $\$ 14,549$ 0 | $\underset{0}{\$ 1,985}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 15,435}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 15,888}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 16,375}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 16866}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 17,372}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 17,893}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 18,430}$ |
| New Market Rate ApartmentsOccupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 | 248 |
| 3 BDR/3 вath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit New Market Rate Apartments | $\underset{0}{\$ 15,660}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 1,130}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 16,614}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 17,112}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 17,626}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 18,154}$ | $\$ 1,699$ 0 | $\$ 19,260$ 0 | $\underset{0}{\$ 1988}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 20,433}$ | $\underset{0}{\text { \$21,046 }}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 21,677}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 22,328}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 22,997}$ |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Item | Revenue Added to Tax Roll ( S with Inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2044.45 | 2045-46 | 2046-47 | 2047-48 | 2048-49 | 2049-50 | 2050-51 | 2051-52 | 2052-53 | 2053-54 | 2054-55 | 2055-56 | 2056-57 | 2057-58 |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| Rental Income | \$297,542 | \$306,468 | \$315,662 | \$325,132 | \$334,886 | \$344,932 | \$35,280 | \$365,939 | \$376,917 | \$388,224 | \$399,871 | \$411,867 | \$424,223 | \$436,950 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income | \$7,700,420 | \$7,931,433 | \$8,169,376 | \$8,414,457 | \$8,666,891 | \$8,926,898 | \$9,194,705 | \$9,40,546 | \$9,754,662 | \$10,047,302 | \$10,348,721 | \$10,659,183 | \$10,978,958 | \$11,308,327 |
| 2. BMR-45\% AMI Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$2,017 | \$2,077 | \$2,140 | \$2,204 | \$2,270 | \$2,338 | \$2,008 | \$2,481 | \$2,555 | \$2,632 | \$2,711 | \$2,792 | \$2,876 | \$2,962 |
| New 45\% AMI Apartments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | , | 7 |
| Rental Income | \$13,836 | \$14,251 | \$14,679 | \$15,119 | \$15,573 | \$16,040 | \$16,521 | \$17,017 | \$17,527 | \$18,053 | \$18,595 | \$19,152 | \$19,727 | \$20,319 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$2,306 | \$2,375 | \$2,446 | \$2,519 | \$2,595 | \$2,673 | \$2,753 | \$2,836 | \$2,921 | \$3,08 | \$3,099 | \$3,192 | \$3,287 | \$3,386 |
| New 45\% AMI Apartments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 2 BDR/2 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$2,594 | \$2,672 | \$2,752 | \$2,835 | \$2,920 | \$3,008 | \$3,098 | \$3,191 | \$3,287 | \$3,85 | \$3,487 | \$3,591 | \$3,699 | \$3,810 |
| New 45\% AMI Apartments | O | 0 | , | , | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | , | 5 | 9 | $\stackrel{9}{ }$ | 9 | , | $\stackrel{9}{9}$ | 9 |  | 9 | 9 | 9 | 2,626 | 9 |
| $3 \mathrm{BDR} / \mathrm{3}$ Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 |
| New 45\% AMI Apartments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{50}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental Income | so | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 |
| Income | \$61,575 | \$63,422 | \$65,325 | \$67,284 | \$69,303 | \$71,382 | \$73,523 | \$75,729 | \$78,001 | \$80,341 | \$82,751 | \$85,234 | \$87,791 | \$90,425 |
| 3. BMR - $55 \%$ AMI Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied Rental Income | 32 $\$ 76,362$ | 32 $\$ 78,653$ | \$81,013 | \$83,443 | \$85,946 | \$88,525 | \$91,180 | \$93,916 | \$96,733 | \$99,635 | \$102,624 | \$105,703 | \$108,874 | \$122,140 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$2,697 | \$2,778 | \$2,861 | \$2,947 | \$3,035 | \$3,126 | \$3,220 | \$3,317 | \$3,416 | \$3,519 | \$3,624 | \$3,733 | \$3,845 | \$3,960 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 51 | ${ }_{51}^{51}$ | 51 | ${ }_{51}^{51}$ | ${ }_{51}^{51}$ | ${ }_{51}^{51}$ | $5^{51}$ | ${ }_{51}^{51}$ | $\stackrel{51}{4}$ | ${ }_{51}^{51}$ | ${ }_{51}^{51}$ | ${ }_{51}^{51}$ | 51 \$195930 | ${ }_{521}^{501807}$ |
| Rental Income | \$137,421 | \$141,544 | \$145,790 | \$150,164 | \$154,669 | \$159,309 | \$164,088 | \$169,011 | \$174,081 | \$179,303 | \$184,682 | \$190,223 | \$195,930 | \$201,807 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit New $55 \%$ AMl Apartments | \$3,005 | \$3,096 | \$3,188 | \$3,284 | \$3,383 | \$3,484 | \$3,589 | \$3,696 | \$3,807 | \$3,921 | \$4,039 | \$4,160 | \$4,285 | \$4,414 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 |
| Rental Income | \$123,702 | \$127,414 | \$131,236 | \$135,173 | \$139,228 | \$143,405 | \$147,707 | \$152,138 | \$156,703 | \$161,404 | \$166,246 | \$171,233 | \$176,370 | \$181,661 |
| 3 BDR/3 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$3,283 | \$3,382 | \$3,483 | \$3,587 | \$3,695 | \$3,806 | \$3,920 | \$4,038 | \$4,159 | \$4,284 | \$4,412 | \$4,545 | \$4,681 | \$4,821 |
| New 55\% AMI Apartments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Rental Income | \$12,870 | \$13,256 | \$13,653 | \$14,063 | \$14,485 | \$14,919 | \$15,367 | \$15,828 | \$16,303 | \$16,792 | \$17,296 | \$17,815 | \$18,349 | \$18,899 |
| Income | \$350,355 | \$360,866 | \$371,692 | \$382,843 | \$394,328 | \$406,158 | \$418,342 | \$430,893 | \$443,820 | \$457,134 | \$470,848 | \$484,974 | \$499,523 | \$514,508 |
| 4. BMR - $90 \%$ AMI Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$3,229 | \$4,047 | \$4,169 | \$4,294 | \$4,423 | \$4,555 | \$4,692 | \$4,833 | \$4,978 | \$5,127 | \$5,281 | \$5,439 | \$5,602 | \$5,771 |
| New 90\% AMI Apartments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | $\stackrel{13}{55061}$ | ${ }_{5515}$ | ${ }^{13}$ | ${ }_{5}^{13}$ | ${ }_{\$ 56}^{13}$ | ${ }_{\text {13 }}^{13}$ | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{13}$ | ${ }_{\$ 13}^{13}$ | ${ }_{56}^{13}$ | $\stackrel{13}{\text { S }}$ | ${ }_{567278}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{13}$ | ${ }_{513}^{13}$ | ${ }_{57317}$ |
| Rental Income | \$50,061 | \$51,563 | \$53,110 | \$54,703 | \$56,344 | \$58,035 | \$59,776 | \$61,569 | \$63,416 | \$65,319 | \$67,278 | \$69,297 | \$71,375 | \$73,517 |
| $1 \mathrm{BDR} / 1$ Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$4,489 | \$4,624 | \$4,763 | \$4,905 | \$5,053 | \$5,204 | \$5,360 | \$5,521 | \$5,687 | \$5,857 | \$6,033 | \$6,214 | \$6,401 | \$6,593 |
| New 90\% AMI Apartments | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |

Table A5
Estimated Annual Sales and Gross Receipts Tax Revel
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Item | Revenue Added to Tax Roll ( ( with Inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2044.45 | 2045-46 | 2046-47 | 2047-48 | 2048-49 | 2049-50 | 2050-51 | 2051-52 | 2052-53 | 2053-54 | 2054-55 | 2055-56 | 2056-57 | 2057-58 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$5,025 | \$5,175 | \$5,331 | \$5,990 | \$5,655 | \$5,825 | \$6,000 | \$6,180 | \$6,365 | \$6,56 | \$6,753 | \$6,955 | \$7,164 | \$7,379 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| 3 BDR/3 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Neew 90\% AmilapartmentsOccupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental Income | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Income | \$221,235 | \$227,872 | \$234,708 | \$241,749 | \$249,002 | \$256,472 | \$264,166 | \$272,091 | \$280,253 | \$288,661 | \$297,321 | \$306,240 | \$315,428 | \$324,890 |
| 5. BMR - $120 \%$ AMI Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$5,273 | \$5,432 | \$5,594 | \$5,762 | \$5,935 | \$6,113 | \$6,297 | \$6,486 | \$6,680 | \$6,881 | \$7,087 | \$7,300 | 57,519 | \$7,744 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 |
| Rental Income | \$294,569 | \$303,406 | \$312,508 | \$321,883 | \$331,540 | \$341,486 | \$351,731 | \$362,282 | \$373,151 | \$384,345 | \$395,876 | \$407,752 | \$419,985 | \$432,584 |
| 1 BDR/1 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$6,026 | \$6,207 | \$6,393 | \$6,585 | \$6,783 | \$6,986 | \$7,196 | \$7,412 | \$7,634 | \$7,863 | \$8,099 | \$8,342 | \$8,592 | \$8,850 |
| New 120\% AMI ApartmentsOccupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 |
| Rental Income | \$537,431 | \$553,553 | \$570,160 | \$587,265 | \$604,883 | \$623,029 | \$641,720 | \$660,972 | \$680,801 | \$701,225 | \$722,262 | \$743,930 | \$766,247 | \$789,235 |
| 2 BDR / 2 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$6,753 | \$6,955 | \$7,164 | \$7,379 | \$7,600 | \$7,828 | \$8,063 | \$8,305 | \$8,54 | \$8,811 | \$9,075 | \$9,347 | \$9,628 | \$9,917 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 |
| Rental Income | \$489,707 | \$504,398 | \$519,530 | \$535,116 | \$551,170 | \$567,705 | \$584,736 | \$602,278 | \$620,346 | \$638,957 | \$658,125 | \$677,869 | \$698,205 | \$719,151 |
| 3 BDR/3 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$7,446 | \$7,669 | \$7,899 | \$8,136 | \$8,380 | \$8,632 | \$8,891 | \$9,157 | \$9,432 | \$9,715 | \$10,006 | \$10,307 | \$10,616 | \$10,934 |
| New 120\% AMM ApartmentsOccupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | ${ }_{6}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Subtotal $120 \%$ AMI Apartment Rental |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income | \$1,365,488 | \$1,406,452 | \$1,448,646 | \$1,492,105 | \$1,536,868 | \$1,582,974 | \$1,630,464 | \$1,679,377 | \$1,729,759 | \$1,781,652 | \$1,835,101 | \$1,890,154 | \$1,946,859 | \$2,005,264 |
| 6. BMR - $150 \%$ AMI Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$6,617 | \$6,816 | \$7,020 | \$7,231 | \$7,448 | \$7,671 | \$7,901 | \$8,138 | \$8,382 | \$8,634 | \$8,893 | \$9,160 | \$9,435 | \$9,718 |
| New 150\% AMI Apartments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | ${ }^{23}$ | 23 | 23 | ${ }^{23}$ | 23 |
| 1 BDR/1 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$7,563 | \$7,790 | \$8,024 | \$8,265 | \$8,513 | \$8,768 | \$9,031 | \$9,302 | \$9,581 | \$9,869 | \$10,165 | \$10,470 | \$10,784 | \$11,107 |
| New 150\% AMI Apartments | , | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| 2 BDR/2 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| Rental Income | \$249,338 | \$256,818 | \$264,523 | \$272,458 | \$280,632 | \$289,051 | \$297,723 | \$306,654 | \$315,854 | \$325,329 | \$335,089 | \$345,142 | \$355,496 | \$366,161 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$9,367 | \$9,648 | \$9,938 | \$10,236 | \$10,543 | \$10,859 | \$11,185 | \$11,520 | \$11,866 | \$12,222 | \$12,589 | \$12,966 | \$13,355 | \$13,756 |
| New 150\% AMI ApartmentsCcupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Rental Income | \$9,180 | \$9,455 | \$9,739 | \$10,031 | \$10,332 | \$10,642 | \$10,961 | \$11,290 | \$11,629 | \$11,978 | \$12,337 | \$12,707 | \$13,088 | \$13,481 |
| Income | \$674,510 | \$694,745 | \$715,587 | \$737,055 | \$759,167 | \$781,942 | \$805,400 | \$829,562 | \$854,449 | \$880,082 | \$906,485 | \$933,679 | \$961,690 | \$990,540 |
| Subtotal Residential Gross Receipts | \$10,37, 582 | \$10,684,790 | \$11,005,334 | \$11,335,494 | \$11,675,558 | \$12,025,825 | \$12,386,600 | \$12,758,198 | \$13,140,944 | \$13,535,172 | \$13,941,227 | \$14,359,464 | \$14,790,248 | \$15,233,955 |

Table A5
Estimated Annual Sales and Gross Receipts Tax Revel
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysi
San Francisco, CA

| Item | ue Added to Tax Roll ( 5 with Inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2044-45 | 2045-46 | 2046-47 | 2047-48 | 2048-49 | 2049-50 | 2050-51 | 2051-52 | 2052-53 | 2053-54 | 2054.55 | 2055-56 | 2056-57 | 2057-58 |
| On-site Parking Gross Receipts, Residential | \$6,791,813 | \$6,995,568 | \$7,205,435 | \$7,421,598 | \$7,64, 246 | \$7,873,573 | \$8,109,780 | \$8,353,074 | \$8,603,666 | \$8,861,776 | \$9,127,629 | \$9,401,458 | \$9,683,502 | \$9,974,007 |
| Total Landlords Gross Receipts - Residential | \$17,165,396 | \$17,680,357 | \$18,210,768 | \$18,757,091 | \$19,319,804 | \$19,89, 398 | \$20,496,380 | \$21,111,271 | \$21,744,610 | \$22,396,948 | \$23,068,856 | \$23,760,922 | \$24,473,750 | \$25,207,962 |
| Landlords Gross Receipls-commercial\& Production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail Rental Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg. Rent per SF [1] | \$66.64 | \$68.64 | \$70.70 | \$72.82 | \$75.00 | \$77.25 | \$79.57 | \$81.96 | \$84.42 | \$86.95 | \$89.56 | \$92.24 | \$95.01 | 597.86 |
| Cumulative Retail SF (Occupied) | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 |
| Retail Rental Income | \$14,929,588 | \$15,377,475 | \$15,838,800 | \$16,313,964 | \$16,803,383 | \$17,307,484 | \$17,826,709 | \$18,361,510 | \$18,912,355 | \$19,479,726 | \$20,064,117 | \$20,666,041 | \$21,286,022 | \$21,924,603 |
| Office Rental Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg, Rent per SF [1] | \$155.49 | \$160.15 | \$164.96 | \$169.91 | \$175.01 | \$180.26 | \$185.66 | \$191.23 | \$196.97 | \$202.88 | \$208.97 | \$215.23 | \$221.69 | \$228.34 |
| Cumulative Office SF (Occupied) | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 |
| Office Rental Income | \$181,851,492 | \$187,307,037 | \$192,926,248 | \$198,714,035 | \$204,675,456 | \$210,815,720 | \$217,140,192 | \$223,654,397 | \$230,364,029 | \$237,274,950 | \$244,393,199 | \$251,724,995 | \$259,276,745 | \$267,055,047 |
| On-site Parking Gross Receipts, Commercial | \$30,193,059 | \$31,339,337 | \$32,520,004 | \$33,736,091 | \$34,988,660 | \$36,278,807 | \$37,607,657 | \$38,976,374 | \$40,386,152 | \$41,838,223 | \$43,333,856 | \$44,874,359 | \$46,461,076 | \$48,095,395 |
| Total Landlords Gross Receipts - Commercial | \$226,974,138 | \$234,023,849 | \$241,285,051 | \$248,764,090 | \$256,467,499 | \$264,002,011 | \$272,574,558 | \$280,992,281 | \$289,662,536 | \$298,592,899 | \$307,791,172 | \$317,265,394 | \$327,023,843 | \$337,075,045 |
| Production Rental Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg, Rent per SF [1] | \$66.64 | \$68.64 | \$70.70 | \$72.82 | \$75.00 | \$77.25 | \$79.57 | \$81.96 | \$84.42 | \$86.95 | \$89.56 | \$92.24 | \$95.01 | \$97.86 |
| Cumulative Production SF (Occupied) | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 |
| Production Rental Income | \$12,144,898 | \$12,509,245 | \$12,884,522 | \$13,271,058 | \$13,669,189 | \$14,079,265 | \$14,501,643 | \$14,936,692 | \$15,384,793 | \$15,846,337 | \$16,321,727 | \$16,811,379 | \$17,315,720 | \$17,835,192 |
| Total Landlords Gross Receipts - Production | \$12,144,898 | \$12,509,245 | \$12,884,522 | \$13,271,058 | \$13,669,189 | \$14,079,265 | \$14,501,643 | \$14,936,992 | \$15,384,793 | \$15,846,337 | \$16,321,727 | \$16,811,379 | \$17,315,720 | \$17,835,192 |
| Total Landlords Gross Receipts - Prod. \& Comm. | \$239,119,036 | \$246,533,094 | \$254,169,573 | \$262,035,147 | \$270,136,688 | \$278,481,276 | \$287,076,201 | \$295,928,973 | \$305,047,329 | \$314,439,236 | \$324,112,899 | \$334,076,773 | \$344,339,563 | \$354,910,236 |
| Gross Receipts Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grocery Store | \$80,966 | \$83,395 | \$85,897 | \$88,474 | \$91,128 | \$93,862 | \$96,678 | \$99,578 | \$102,565 | \$105,642 | \$108,812 | \$112,076 | \$115,438 | \$118,901 |
| Drug Store | \$64,773 | \$66,716 | \$68,717 | \$70,779 | \$72,902 | \$75,089 | \$77,342 | \$79,662 | \$82,052 | \$84,514 | \$87,049 | \$89,661 | \$92,351 | \$95,121 |
| Larger Tenants | \$41,423 | \$42,665 | \$43,945 | \$45,264 | \$46,621 | \$48,020 | \$49,461 | \$50,945 | \$52,473 | \$54,047 | \$55,669 | \$57,339 | \$59,059 | \$60,830 |
| Mid-Size Tenants | \$28,788 | \$29,652 | \$30,541 | \$31,457 | \$32,401 | \$33,373 | \$34,374 | \$35,405 | \$36,468 | \$37,562 | \$38,689 | \$39,849 | \$41,045 | \$42,276 |
| Office Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medium Office [3] | \$8,038,796 | \$8,279,960 | \$8,528,359 | \$8,784,210 | \$9,047,736 | \$9,39,168 | \$9,598,743 | \$9,886,705 | \$10,183,307 | \$10,488,806 | \$10,803,470 | \$11,127,574 | \$11,461,401 | \$11,805,243 |
| Larger Office [5] | \$6,004,703 | \$6,184,844 | \$6,370,389 | \$6,561,501 | \$6,75,346 | \$6,961,097 | \$7,169,929 | \$7,385,027 | \$7,006,578 | \$7,834,776 | \$8,069,819 | \$8,311,913 | \$8,561,271 | \$8,818,109 |
| Production Gross Receipts [8] | \$234,235 | \$241,262 | \$248,500 | \$255,955 | \$263,633 | \$271,542 | \$279,689 | \$288,079 | \$296,722 | \$305,623 | \$314,792 | \$324,236 | \$333,963 | \$343,982 |
| Residential Apartment Gross Receipts [4] | \$31,121 | \$32,054 | \$33,016 | \$34,006 | \$35,027 | \$36,077 | \$37,160 | \$38,275 | \$39,423 | \$40,606 | \$41,824 | \$43,078 | \$44,371 | \$45,702 |
| Retail Rental Gross Receipts [4] | \$44,789 | \$46,132 | \$47,516 | \$48,942 | \$50,410 | \$51,922 | \$53,480 | \$55,085 | \$56,737 | \$58,439 | \$60,192 | \$61,998 | \$63,858 | \$65,774 |
| Office Rental Gross Receipts [4] | \$545,554 | \$561,921 | \$578,779 | \$596,142 | \$614,026 | \$632,447 | \$651,421 | \$670,963 | \$691,092 | \$711,825 | \$73,180 | \$755,175 | \$777,830 | \$801,165 |
| On-site Parking Gross Receipts, Residential \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial [4] | \$110,955 | \$115,005 | \$119,176 | \$123,473 | \$127,899 | \$132,457 | \$137,152 | \$141,988 | \$146,969 | \$152,100 | \$157,384 | \$162,827 | \$168,434 | \$174,208 |
| Production Rental Gross Receipts [4] | \$36,435 | \$37,528 | \$38,654 | \$39,813 | \$41,008 | \$42,238 | \$43,505 | \$44,810 | \$46,154 | \$47,539 | \$48,965 | \$50,434 | \$51,947 | \$53,506 |
| Total Gross Receipts Tax | \$15,262,537 | \$15,721,134 | \$16,193,490 | \$16,880,016 | \$17,18,138 | \$17,997,294 | \$18,228,934 | \$18,776,523 | \$19,340,540 | \$19,921,478 | \$20,519,844 | \$21,136,161 | \$21,770,967 | \$22,424,817 |

[2] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12-A-1: Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Retail, Wholesale, and Services Rate for $\$ 2.5$ to $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$.
[3] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code Atitle 12-A-1. Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Financial, Insurance, Professl, Scientific Rate for $\$ 2.5$ to $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$
[4] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12-A-1: Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Real Estate, Rental, Leasing Services Rate for $\$ 5$ to $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$, and over $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$
[5] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Articicl 12-A-1: Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Financial, Insurance, Professl, Scientific Rate for over $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$
[6] KMA's ssumption: 4.76 employees per $1,000 \mathrm{SF}$ (office), 1 employe per 1,000 SF (production)
$[7]$ Smaller tenants and small tenants are excluded since their gross receipts do not exceed $\$ 1,000,000$ per business. The sales per business of smaller tenants $=\$ 400 * 2,5005 \mathrm{~F}=\$ 1,000,000$; the sales per business of small tenants $=\$ 400 * 1,5005 F$
[8] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12-A-1: Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Manufacturing, Transportation and Warehousing Rate for $\$ 2.5 \mathrm{M}$ to $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$



| Item | Revenue Added to Tax Roll ( ( with Inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2058-59 | 2059.60 | 2060-61 | 2061-62 | 2062-63 | 2063-64 | 2064.65 | 2065-66 | 2066-67 | 2067-68 | 2068-69 | 2069-70 | 2070-71 | 2071-72 | 2072-73 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$7,600 | \$7,828 | \$8,063 | \$8,305 | \$8,54 | \$8,811 | \$9,075 | \$9,347 | \$9,628 | \$9,916 | \$10,214 | \$10,520 | \$10,836 | \$11,161 | \$11,496 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Rental Income | \$119,169 | \$122,745 | \$126,427 | \$130,220 | \$134,126 | \$138,150 | \$142,295 | \$146,563 | \$150,960 | \$155,489 | \$160,154 | \$164,958 | \$169,907 | \$175,004 | \$180,254 |
| 3 BDR/3 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental Income | so | so | so | so | so | so | so | so | so | so | so | so | so | \$0 | so |
| Income | \$334,637 | \$344,676 | \$355,017 | \$365,667 | \$376,637 | \$387,936 | \$399,574 | \$411,562 | \$423,908 | \$436,626 | \$449,724 | \$463,216 | \$477,113 | \$491,426 | \$506,169 |
| 5. BMR - $120 \%$ AMI Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$7,976 | \$8,216 | \$8,462 | \$8,716 | \$8,978 | \$9,247 | \$9,524 | \$9,810 | \$10,104 | \$10,407 | \$10,720 | \$11,041 | \$11,372 | \$11,714 | \$12,065 |
| New 120\% AMI Apartments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 |
| Rental Income | \$455,562 | \$458,929 | \$472,696 | \$486,877 | \$501,484 | \$516,528 | \$532,024 | \$547,985 | \$564,424 | \$581,357 | \$598,798 | \$616,762 | \$635,264 | \$654,322 | \$673,952 |
| $1 \mathrm{BDR} / 1$ Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$9,115 | \$9,389 | \$9,671 | \$9,961 | \$10,259 | \$10,567 | \$10,884 | \$11,211 | \$11,547 | \$11,894 | \$12,250 | \$12,618 | \$12,996 | \$13,386 | \$13,788 |
| New 120\% AMI Apartments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 |
| Rental Income | \$812,912 | \$837,299 | \$862,418 | \$888,291 | \$914,939 | \$942,388 | \$970,659 | \$999,779 | \$1,029,772 | \$1,060,666 | \$1,092,486 | \$1,125,260 | \$1,159,018 | \$1,193,789 | \$1,229,602 |
| 2 BDR/2 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$10,214 | \$10,521 | \$10,836 | \$11,161 | \$11,496 | \$11,841 | \$12,196 | \$12,562 | \$12,939 | \$13,327 | \$13,727 | \$14,139 | \$14,563 | \$15,000 | \$15,450 |
| New 120\% AMI Apartments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 73 |
| 3 BDR/3 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$11,262 | \$11,600 | \$11,948 | \$12,307 | \$12,676 | \$13,056 | \$13,448 | \$13,851 | \$14,267 | \$14,695 | \$15,136 | \$15,590 | \$16,057 | \$16,539 | \$17,035 |
| New 120\% AMI Apartments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | , | , | 0 | , | - | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Subtotal 120\% AMI Apartment Rental \$ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income | \$2,065,422 | \$2,127,385 | \$2,191,207 | \$2,256,943 | \$2,324,651 | \$2,394,391 | \$2,466,222 | \$2,540,209 | \$2,61,415 | \$2,694,908 | \$2,775,755 | \$2,859,028 | \$2,944,798 | \$3,033,142 | \$3,124,137 |
| 6. BMR - $150 \%$ AMI Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit <br> New 150\% AMI Apartments | $\$ 10,009$ 0 | $\$ 10,309$ 0 | \$10,619 | $\$ 10,937$ 0 | $\underset{0}{\$ 11,265}$ | $\$ 11,603$ 0 | $\underset{0}{\$ 11,951}$ | $\$ 12,310$ 0 | $\$ 12,679$ 0 | $\$ 13,060$ 0 | $\underset{0}{\$ 13,41}$ | $\underset{0}{\$ 13,85}$ | $\$ 14,271$ 0 | $\$ 14,699$ 0 | \$15,140 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| 1 BDR/1 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$11,440 | \$11,784 | \$12,137 | \$12,501 | \$12,876 | \$13,263 | \$13,661 | \$14,070 | \$14,492 | \$14,927 | \$15,375 | \$15,836 | \$16,311 | \$16,801 | \$17,305 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied Rental Income | [35 | 35 $\$ 415,728$ | [ $\begin{gathered}35 \\ \$ 428,200\end{gathered}$ | 35 $\$ 441,046$ | 35 \$454,277 | [35 ${ }_{\text {3467,905 }}$ | \% $\begin{gathered}35 \\ \$ 481,943\end{gathered}$ | 35 $\$ 496,401$ | \$511,293 | [35 ${ }_{\text {S56,632 }}$ | 35 $\$ 542,431$ | 35 $\$ 558,703$ | [35 ${ }_{\text {357,465 }}$ | 35 $\$ 592,729$ | 35 $\$ 610,510$ |
| 2 RDR/2 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$12,828 | \$13,213 | \$13,609 | \$14,018 | \$14,438 | \$14,871 | \$15,317 | \$15,777 | \$16,250 | \$16,738 | \$17,240 | \$17,757 | \$18,290 | \$18,838 | \$19,404 |
| Occupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| Rental Income | \$377,146 | \$388,460 | \$400,114 | \$412,118 | \$424,481 | \$437,216 | \$450,332 | \$463,842 | \$477,757 | \$492,090 | \$506,853 | \$522,058 | \$537,720 | \$553,852 | \$570,467 |
| 3 bDR / 3 Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent per Unit | \$14,169 | \$14,594 | \$15,032 | \$15,483 | \$15,947 | \$16,425 | \$16,918 | \$17,426 | \$17,948 | \$18,487 | \$19,042 | \$19,613 | \$20,201 | \$20,807 | \$21,431 |
| New 150\% AMI ApartmentsOccupancy Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cumulative MR Apartments (Occupied | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $1{ }^{1}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | $\stackrel{1}{18}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Rental Income | \$13,885 | \$14,302 | \$14,731 | \$15,173 | \$15,628 | \$16,097 | \$16,580 | \$17,077 | \$17,590 | \$18,117 | \$18,661 | \$19,221 | \$19,797 | \$20,391 | \$21,003 |
| Income | \$1,020,257 | \$1,050,864 | \$1,082,390 | \$1,114,862 | \$1,148,308 | \$1,182,757 | \$1,218,240 | \$1,254,787 | \$1,292,430 | \$1,331,203 | \$1,371,140 | \$1,412,274 | \$1,454,642 | \$1,498,281 | \$1,543,230 |
| Subtotal Residential Gross Receipts | \$15,690,974 | \$16,161,703 | \$16,646,54 | \$17,145,951 | \$17,660,330 | \$18,190,139 | \$18,735,844 | \$19,297,919 | \$19,876,856 | \$20,473,162 | \$21,087,357 | \$21,719,978 | \$22,371,577 | \$23,042,724 | \$23,734,006 |
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Table A5
Estimated Annual Sales and Gross Receipts Tax Revel
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal I Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Revenue Added to Tax Roll ( ( with Inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | 2058-59 | 2059-60 | 2060-61 | 2061-62 | 2062-63 | 2063-64 | 2064.65 | 2065-66 | 2066-67 | 2067.68 | 2068-69 | 2069-70 | 2070-71 | 2071-72 | 2072-73 |
| On-site Parking Gross Receipts, Residential | \$10,273,227 | \$10,581,424 | \$10,898,866 | \$11,225,832 | \$11,562,607 | \$11,909,486 | \$12,266,770 | \$12,634,773 | \$13,013,817 | \$13,404,231 | \$13,806,358 | \$14,220,549 | \$14,647,165 | \$15,086,580 | \$15,539,178 |
| Total Landlords Gross Receipts - Residential | \$25,964,201 | \$26,743,127 | \$27,54, 421 | \$28,371,783 | \$29,222,937 | \$30,09,625 | \$31,002,614 | \$31,932,692 | \$32,890,673 | \$33,877,393 | \$34,893,715 | \$35,940,526 | \$37,018,742 | \$38,129,305 | \$39,273,184 |
| Landlords Gross Receipts-Commercisi \& Production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail Rental Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg. Rent per SF [1] | \$100.80 | \$103.82 | \$106.94 | \$110.14 | \$113.45 | \$116.85 | \$120.36 | \$123.97 | \$127.69 | \$131.52 | \$135.46 | ${ }^{5139.53}$ | \$143.71 | \$148.02 | \$152.46 |
| Cumulative Retail SF (Occupied) | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 |
| Retail Rental Income | \$22,582,341 | \$23,259,811 | \$23,957,606 | \$24,676,334 | \$25,416,624 | \$26,179,122 | \$26,964,996 | \$27,77,431 | \$28,606,634 | \$29,464,833 | \$30,348,778 | \$31,259,241 | \$32,197,019 | \$33,162,929 | \$34,157,817 |
| Office Rental Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg. Rent per SF [1] | \$235.19 | \$242.25 | \$249.52 | \$257.00 | \$264.71 | \$272.65 | \$280.83 | \$289.26 | \$297.94 | \$306.87 | \$316.08 | \$325.56 | \$335.33 | \$345.39 | \$355.75 |
| Cumulative office SF (Occupied) | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 |
| Office Rental Income | \$275,066,698 | \$283,318,699 | \$291,818,260 | \$300,572,808 | \$309,589,992 | \$318,87,692 | \$328,444,023 | \$338,297,344 | \$388,446,264 | \$358,899,652 | \$369,666,641 | \$380,756,641 | \$392,179,340 | \$403,944,720 | \$416,063,062 |
| On-site Parking Gross Receipts, Commercial | \$49,778,743 | \$51,512,592 | \$53,288,457 | \$55,137,897 | \$57,032,521 | \$58,983,983 | \$60,993,989 | \$63,064,295 | \$65,196,711 | \$67,393,099 | \$69,655,379 | \$71,985,527 | \$74,385,579 | \$76,857,633 | \$79,403,849 |
| Total Landlords Gross Receipts - Commercial | \$347,427,783 | \$358,091,103 | \$369,074,323 | \$380,387,039 | \$392,039,137 | \$404,040,798 | \$416,402,508 | \$429,135,070 | \$442,249,609 | \$455,757,584 | \$469,670,798 | \$484,001,408 | \$498,761,937 | \$513,965,282 | \$529,624,727 |
| Production Rental Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg. Rent per SF[1] | \$100.80 | \$103.82 | \$106.94 | \$110.14 | \$113.45 | \$116.85 | \$120.36 | \$123.97 | \$127.69 | \$131.52 | \$135.46 | \$139.53 | \$143.71 | \$148.02 | \$152.46 |
| Cumulative Production SF (Occupied) | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 |
| Production Rental Income | \$18,370,247 | \$18,921,355 | \$19,48,995 | \$20,073,665 | \$20,675,875 | \$21,296,152 | \$21,935,036 | \$22,593,087 | \$23,270,880 | \$23,969,006 | \$24,688,076 | \$25,428,719 | \$26,191,580 | \$26,977,328 | \$27,786,647 |
| Total Landlords Gross Receipts - Production | \$18,370,247 | \$18,921,355 | \$19,48,995 | \$20,073,665 | \$20,675,875 | \$21,296,152 | \$21,935,036 | \$22,593,087 | \$23,270,880 | \$23,969,006 | \$24,688,076 | \$25,428,719 | \$26,191,580 | \$26,977,328 | \$27,786,647 |
| Total Landlords Gross Receipts - Prod. \& Comm. | \$365,798,030 | \$377,012,458 | \$388,563,318 | \$400,460,704 | \$412,715,012 | \$425,336,949 | \$438,337,544 | \$451,728,157 | \$465,520,489 | \$479,726,590 | \$494,358,874 | \$509,430,127 | \$524,953,518 | \$ $540,942,610$ | \$557,411,375 |
| Gross Receipts Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail Space Gross Receipts [2] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grocery Store | \$122,468 | \$126,142 | \$129,927 | \$133,824 | \$137,839 | \$141,974 | \$146,234 | \$150,621 | \$155,139 | \$159,793 | \$164,587 | \$169,525 | \$174,611 | \$179,849 | \$185,244 |
| Drug Store | \$97,975 | \$100,914 | \$103,941 | \$107,060 | \$110,271 | \$113,579 | \$116,987 | \$120,496 | \$124,111 | \$127,835 | \$131,670 | \$135,620 | \$139,688 | \$143,879 | \$148,195 |
| Larger Tenants | \$62,655 | \$64,535 | \$66,471 | \$68,465 | \$70,519 | \$72,635 | \$74,814 | \$77,058 | \$79,370 | \$81,751 | \$84,204 | \$86,730 | \$89,332 | \$92,012 | \$94,772 |
| Mid-Size Tenants | \$43,544 | \$44,851 | \$46,196 | \$47,582 | \$49,009 | \$50,480 | \$51,994 | \$53,554 | \$55,161 | \$56,815 | \$58,520 | \$60,275 | \$62,084 | \$63,946 | \$65,865 |
| Office Gross Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medium office [3] | \$12,159,401 | \$12,524,183 | \$12,899,908 | \$13,286,905 | \$13,685,512 | \$14,096,078 | \$14,518,960 | \$14,954,529 | \$15,403,165 | \$15,865,260 | \$16,341,218 | \$16,831,454 | \$17,336,398 | \$17,856,490 | \$18,392,184 |
| Larger Office [5] | \$9,082,652 | \$9,355,132 | \$9,635,786 | \$9,924,859 | \$10,22,605 | \$10,529,283 | \$10,845,162 | \$11,170,517 | \$11,505,632 | \$11,850,801 | \$12,206,325 | \$12,572,515 | \$12,949,690 | \$13,338,181 | \$13,738,326 |
| Production Gross Receipts [8] | \$354,301 | \$364,930 | \$37,878 | \$387,155 | \$398,769 | \$410,732 | \$423,054 | \$435,746 | \$448,818 | \$462,283 | \$476,151 | \$490,436 | \$505,149 | \$520,303 | \$535,913 |
| Residential Apartment Gross Receipts [4] | \$47,073 | \$48,485 | \$49,940 | \$51,438 | \$52,981 | \$54,570 | \$56,208 | \$57,894 | \$59,631 | \$61,419 | \$63,262 | \$65,160 | \$67,115 | \$69,128 | \$71,202 |
| Retail Rental Gross Receipts [4] | \$67,747 | \$69,779 | \$71,873 | \$74,029 | \$76,250 | \$78,537 | \$80,893 | \$83,320 | \$85,820 | \$88,394 | \$91,046 | \$93,778 | \$96,591 | \$99,489 | \$102,473 |
| On-site Parking Gross Receipts, Residential \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial [4] | \$180,156 | \$186,282 | \$192,592 | \$199,091 | \$205,785 | \$212,680 | \$219,782 | \$227,097 | \$234,632 | \$242,392 | \$250,385 | \$258,618 | \$267,098 | \$275,833 | \$284,829 |
| Production Rental Gross Receipts [4] | \$55,111 | \$56,764 | \$58,467 | \$60,221 | \$62,028 | \$63,888 | \$65,805 | \$67,779 | \$69,813 | \$71,907 | \$74,064 | \$76,286 | \$78,575 | \$80,932 | \$83,360 |
| Total Gross Receipts Tax | \$23,098,283 | \$23,791,953 | \$24,506,433 | \$25,242,348 | \$26,000,340 | \$26,781,071 | \$27,585,225 | \$28,413,503 | \$29,266,630 | \$30,145,350 | \$31,050,432 | \$31,982,667 | \$32,942,868 | \$33,931,876 | \$34,950,553 |

[1] The assumptions are from EPS.
[2] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12-A-1: Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Retail, Wholesale, and Services Rate for $\$ 2.5$ to $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$
[2] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12-A-1: Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Retail, Wholesale, and Services Rate for $\$ 2.5$ to $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$.
$[3]$ San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Articl $12-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A}$ Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Financial, Insurance, Profess, Scientific Rate for $\$ 2.5$ to $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$
$[4]$ San francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Articice 12-A-1: Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Real Estate, Rental, Leasing Services Rate for $\$ 5$ to $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$, and over $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$
[5] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12-A-1: Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Financial, Insurance, Profess, Scientific Rate for over $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$
[6] KMA's ssumption: 4.76 employees per 1,000 SF (office), 1 employee per $1,000 \mathrm{SF}$ (production)
$[7]$ Smaller tenants and small tenants are excluded since their gross receipts do not exceed $\$ 1,000,000$ per business. The sales per business of smaller tenants $=\$ 400 * 2,5005 F=\$ 1,000,000$; the sales per business of small tenants $=\$ 400 * 1,5005 F$
${ }^{[8]}$ San Ff
San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12-A-1: Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Manufacturing, Transportation and Warehousing Rate for $\$ 2.5 \mathrm{M}$ to $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$

Table A5. 1
Estimated Sales and Gross Receipts Tax Revenues from Construction Activity
Estimated Annual Project Fiscal Impact
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis

| ItemAssumptions/ <br> Sources | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | Revenue Added to Tax Roll (\$ with Inflation) |  |  | 2025-26 | 2026-27 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 |  |  | 2027-28 |
| Infrastructure Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregated Horizontal Infra Costs [1] | \$29,203,466 | \$14,265,948 | \$63,330,998 | \$16,351,847 | \$31,016,966 | \$0 | \$25,946,187 | \$25,652,876 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Vertical Hard Costs [2] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregated Residential Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$237,436,536 | \$0 | \$113,461,371 | \$146,316,980 | \$84,110,360 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Aggregated Retail Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$35,106,867 | \$0 | \$20,156,842 | \$13,699,366 | \$15,881,095 | \$3,550,990 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Aggregated Office Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$202,298,997 | \$0 | \$174,209,616 | \$50,137,117 | \$50,137,117 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Aggregated Production Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$48,000,000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Aggregated Parking Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$180,000,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Vertical Soft Costs [3] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregated Residential Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$56,605,032 | \$0 | \$27,049,268 | \$34,882,068 | \$20,051,967 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Aggregated Retail Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$11,211,987 | \$0 | \$6,437,437 | \$4,375,130 | \$5,071,903 | \$1,134,070 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Aggregated Office Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$80,140,655 | \$0 | \$69,013,060 | \$19,861,796 | \$19,861,796 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Aggregated Production Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$32,000,000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Aggregated Parking Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$120,000,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gross Receipts Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infrastructure [4] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Tax Receipts from Agg. Horizontal Costs | \$117,166 | \$55,314 | \$270,739 | \$63,657 | \$125,326 | \$0 | \$102,508 | \$101,188 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Vertical Hard Construction [4] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Tax Receipts from Agg. Res. Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,054,214 | \$0 | \$496,326 | \$644,176 | \$364,247 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gross Tax Receipts from Agg. Retail Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$143,731 | \$0 | \$78,877 | \$53,047 | \$61,774 | \$12,454 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gross Tax Receipts from Agg. Office Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$896,095 | \$0 | \$769,693 | \$211,367 | \$211,367 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gross Tax Receipts from Agg. Production Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$201,750 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gross Tax Receipts from Agg. Parking Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$795,750 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Vertical Soft Costs [4] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Tax Receipts from Agg. Res. Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$240,473 | \$0 | \$107,472 | \$142,719 | \$78,458 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gross Tax Receipts from Agg. Retail Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$43,098 | \$0 | \$24,000 | \$15,751 | \$18,538 | \$3,469 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gross Tax Receipts from Agg. Office Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$346,383 | \$0 | \$296,309 | \$77,697 | \$77,697 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gross Tax Receipts from Agg. Production Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$129,750 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gross Tax Receipts from Agg. Parking Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$525,750 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Gross Receipts Tax | \$117,166 | \$55,314 | \$270,739 | \$2,787,652 | \$125,326 | \$3,094,177 | \$1,247,266 | \$913,269 | \$347,423 | \$0 | \$0 |

[1] Horizontal costs aggregated per year, based on the horizontal infrastructure budget presented for Mission Rock - Seawall Lot 337 \& Pier 48.
[2] Development Area by Land Use by Year presented in Table B3.3, multiplied by hard cost factors presented in Table B3.2
[3] Development Area by Land Use by Year presented in Table B3.3, multiplied by soft cost factors presented in Table B3.2

1 business activity. Similarly, gross receipts on vertical hard and soft development were calculated based on the assumption that costs for respective years and respective land uses make up 1 business activity.

Table A6
Business Registration Fee
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA


| New Businesses [6] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AvV. Sq. Ft. \|8] |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20,000 SF Store <br> Cumulative - 20,000 SF Store | 20,000 | Table B1 | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 10,000 SF Store | 10,000 |  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative-10,000 SF Store |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 7,400 SF Store | 7,400 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative -7,400 SF Store |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 4,000 SF Store | 4,000 |  | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative -4,000 SF Store |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 2,500 SF Store | 2,500 |  | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative - 2,500 SF Store |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| 1,500 SF Store | 1,500 |  | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative $\mathbf{1 , 5 0 0}$ SF Store |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Medium Office | 5,000 |  | 147 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 51 | 16 | 16 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative - Medium Office |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 64 | 115 | 131 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 |
| Larger Office | 100,000 |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative - Larger Office |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Production Factory | 200,000 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative - Production Factory |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Business Registration Fee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fee per Busio | ness 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Occupancy R | ate [7] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20,000 SF Store Fee per Business [1] | \$400 |  | \$400 | \$400 | \$412 | \$424 | \$437 | \$450 | \$464 | \$478 | \$492 | \$507 | \$522 | \$538 | \$554 | \$570 | \$587 | \$605 | \$623 | \$642 |
| 20,000 SF Store Total Fees | 90\% |  | \$1,080 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$405 | \$417 | \$860 | \$886 | \$1,368 | \$1,409 | \$1,451 | \$1,995 | \$1,540 | \$1,586 | \$1,634 | \$1,683 | \$1,733 |
| 10,000 SF Store Fee per Business [1] | \$400 |  | \$400 | \$400 | \$412 | \$424 | \$437 | \$450 | \$464 | \$478 | \$492 | \$507 | \$522 | \$538 | \$554 | \$570 | \$587 | \$605 | \$623 | \$642 |
| 10,000 SF Store Total Fees | 90\% |  | \$1,440 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$405 | \$417 | \$860 | \$1,328 | \$1,368 | \$1,879 | \$1,935 | \$1,993 | \$2,053 | \$2,115 | \$2,178 | \$2,243 | \$2,311 |
| 7,400 SF Store Fee per Business [2] | \$200 |  | \$200 | \$200 | \$206 | \$212 | \$219 | \$225 | \$232 | \$239 | \$246 | \$253 | \$261 | \$269 | \$277 | \$285 | \$294 | \$303 | \$312 | \$321 |
| 7,400 SF Store Total Fees | 90\% |  | \$1,260 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$810 | \$835 | \$1,075 | \$1,328 | \$1,596 | \$1,644 | \$1,693 | \$1,744 | \$1,796 | \$1,850 | \$1,906 | \$1,963 | \$2,022 |
| 4,000 SF Store Fee per Business [2] | \$200 |  | \$200 | \$200 | \$206 | \$212 | \$219 | \$225 | \$232 | \$239 | \$246 | \$253 | \$261 | \$269 | \$277 | \$285 | \$294 | \$303 | \$312 | \$321 |
| 4,000 SF Store Total Fees | 90\% |  | \$1,620 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$608 | \$626 | \$1,290 | \$1,550 | \$2,052 | \$2,114 | \$2,177 | \$2,242 | \$2,310 | \$2,379 | \$2,450 | \$2,524 | \$2,600 |
| 2,500 SF Store Fee per Business [3] | \$600 |  | \$600 | \$600 | \$618 | \$637 | \$656 | \$675 | \$696 | \$716 | \$738 | \$760 | \$783 | \$806 | \$831 | \$855 | \$881 | \$908 | \$935 | \$963 |
| 2,500 SF Store Total Fees | 90\% |  | \$6,480 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,647 | \$3,756 | \$5,158 | \$7,305 | \$8,209 | \$8,455 | \$8,709 | \$8,970 | \$9,239 | \$9,516 | \$9,802 | \$10,096 | \$10,398 |
| 1,500 SF Store Fee per Business [1] | \$400 |  | \$400 | \$400 | \$412 | \$424 | \$437 | \$450 | \$464 | \$478 | \$492 | \$507 | \$522 | \$538 | \$554 | \$570 | \$587 | \$605 | \$623 | \$642 |
| 1,500 SF Store Total Fees | 90\% |  | \$5,760 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,026 | \$2,087 | \$2,579 | \$5,313 | \$7,297 | \$7,515 | \$7,741 | \$7,973 | \$8,212 | \$8,459 | \$8,713 | \$8,974 | \$9,243 |
| Medium Office Fee per Business [4] | \$500 |  | \$500 | \$500 | \$515 | \$530 | \$546 | \$563 | \$580 | \$597 | \$615 | \$633 | \$652 | \$672 | \$692 | \$713 | \$734 | \$756 | \$779 | \$802 |
| Medium Office Total Fees | 95\% |  | \$69,825 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$34,215 | \$35,242 | \$65,225 | \$76,529 | \$88,452 | \$91,106 | \$93,839 | \$96,654 | \$99,554 | \$102,540 | \$105,617 | \$108,785 | \$112,049 |
| Larger Office Fee per Business [5] | \$5,000 |  | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,150 | \$5,305 | \$5,464 | \$5,628 | \$5,796 | \$5,970 | \$6,149 | \$6,334 | \$6,524 | \$6,720 | \$6,921 | \$7,129 | \$7,343 | \$7,563 | \$7,790 | \$8,024 |
| Larger Office Total Fees | 95\% |  | \$47,500 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$21,385 | \$22,026 | \$45,374 | \$52,577 | \$60,172 | \$61,977 | \$63,836 | \$65,751 | \$67,724 | \$69,755 | \$71,848 | \$74,003 | \$76,224 |
| Proction Factory [5] | \$5,000 |  | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,150 | \$5,305 | \$5,464 | \$5,628 | \$5,796 | \$5,970 | \$6,149 | \$6,334 | \$6,524 | \$6,720 | \$6,921 | \$7,129 | \$7,343 | \$7,563 | \$7,790 | \$8,024 |
| Proction Factory Total Fees | 90\% |  | \$9,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,700 | \$11,743 | \$12,095 | \$12,458 | \$12,832 | \$13,217 | \$13,613 | \$14,022 | \$14,442 |
| Total Business Registration Fee |  |  | \$143,965 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$63,501 | \$65,406 | \$122,420 | \$146,816 | \$176,214 | \$187,842 | \$193,477 | \$199,281 | \$205,260 | \$211,417 | \$217,760 | \$224,293 | \$231,022 |

[1] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12, Sec. 855: General Gross Receipts for the immediately preceding tax year is $\$ 500-\$ 750 \mathrm{k}$; $\$ 2.5-57.5 \mathrm{M}$.
[2] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12, Sec. 855 : General Gross Receipts for the immediately preceding tax year is $\$ 1-\$ 2.5 \mathrm{M}$.
${ }^{[4]}$ ] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12, Sec. 855: General Gross Receipts for the immediately preceding tax year is $\$ 2.5-\$ 7.5 \mathrm{M}$.
[5] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12 , Sec. 855 : General Gross Receipts for the immediately preceding tax year is $\$ 15-\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$.
${ }^{[6]}$ Table B1.
[8[ KMA's assumption.

Table A6
Business Registration Fee
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Assessed Value Added to Tax Roll (FY) (\$ with Inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | 2034.35 | 2035-36 | 2036-37 | 2037-38 | 2038-39 | 2039-40 | 2040-41 | 2041-42 | 2042-43 | 2043-44 | 2044-45 | 2045-46 | 2046-47 | 2047-48 | 2048-49 | 2049-50 | 2050-51 | 2051-52 | 2052-53 |
| Inflation Rate | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| New Businesses [6] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20,000 SF Store | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative-20,000 SF Store | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 10,000 SF Store | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative-10,000 SF Store | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 7,400 SF Store | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative -7,400 SF Store | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 4,000 SF Store | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative -4,000 SF Store | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 2,500 SF Store | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative - 2,500 SF Store | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| 1,500 SF Store | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative -1,500 SF Store | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Medium Office | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative - Medium Office | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 |
| Larger Office | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative - Larger Office | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Production Factory | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative - Production Factory | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Business Registration Fee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20,000 SF Store Fee per Business [1] | \$661 | \$681 | \$701 | \$722 | \$744 | \$766 | \$789 | \$813 | \$838 | \$863 | \$889 | \$915 | \$943 | \$971 | \$1,000 | \$1,030 | \$1,061 | \$1,093 | \$1,126 |
| 20,000 SF Store Total Fees | \$1,785 | \$1,839 | \$1,894 | \$1,951 | \$2,009 | \$2,069 | \$2,131 | \$2,195 | \$2,261 | \$2,329 | \$2,399 | \$2,471 | \$2,545 | \$2,621 | \$2,700 | \$2,781 | \$2,865 | \$2,950 | \$3,039 |
| 10,000 SF Store Fee per Business [1] | \$661 | \$681 | \$701 | \$722 | \$744 | \$766 | \$789 | \$813 | \$838 | \$863 | \$889 | \$915 | \$943 | \$971 | \$1,000 | \$1,030 | \$1,061 | \$1,093 | \$1,126 |
| 10,000 SF Store Total Fees | \$2,380 | \$2,452 | \$2,525 | \$2,601 | \$2,679 | \$2,759 | \$2,842 | \$2,927 | \$3,015 | \$3,105 | \$3,199 | \$3,295 | \$3,393 | \$3,495 | \$3,600 | \$3,708 | \$3,819 | \$3,934 | \$4,052 |
| 7,400 SF Store Fee per Business [2] | \$331 | \$340 | \$351 | \$361 | \$372 | \$383 | \$395 | \$407 | \$419 | \$431 | \$444 | \$458 | \$471 | \$485 | \$500 | \$515 | \$530 | \$546 | \$563 |
| 7,400 SF Store Total Fees | \$2,083 | \$2,145 | \$2,209 | \$2,276 | \$2,344 | \$2,414 | \$2,487 | \$2,561 | \$2,638 | \$2,717 | \$2,799 | \$2,883 | \$2,969 | \$3,058 | \$3,150 | \$3,245 | \$3,342 | \$3,442 | \$3,545 |
| 4,000 SF Store Fee per Business [2] | \$331 | \$340 | \$351 | \$361 | \$372 | \$383 | \$395 | \$407 | \$419 | \$431 | \$444 | \$458 | \$471 | \$485 | \$500 | \$515 | \$530 | \$546 | \$563 |
| 4,000 SF Store Total Fees | \$2,678 | \$2,758 | \$2,841 | \$2,926 | \$3,014 | \$3,104 | \$3,197 | \$3,293 | \$3,392 | \$3,494 | \$3,598 | \$3,706 | \$3,818 | \$3,932 | \$4,050 | \$4,172 | \$4,297 | \$4,426 | \$4,558 |
| 2,500 SF Store Fee per Business [3] | \$992 | \$1,021 | \$1,052 | \$1,084 | \$1,116 | \$1,150 | \$1,184 | \$1,220 | \$1,256 | \$1,294 | \$1,333 | \$1,373 | \$1,414 | \$1,456 | \$1,500 | \$1,545 | \$1,591 | \$1,639 | \$1,688 |
| 2,500 SF Store Total Fees | \$10,710 | \$11,032 | \$11,363 | \$11,704 | \$12,055 | \$12,416 | \$12,789 | \$13,173 | \$13,568 | \$13,975 | \$14,394 | \$14,826 | \$15,271 | \$15,729 | \$16,201 | \$16,687 | \$17,187 | \$17,703 | \$18,234 |
| 1,500 SF Store Fee per Business [1] | \$661 | \$681 | \$701 | \$722 | \$744 | \$766 | \$789 | \$813 | \$838 | \$863 | \$889 | \$915 | \$943 | \$971 | \$1,000 | \$1,030 | \$1,061 | \$1,093 | \$1,126 |
| 1,500 SF Store Total Fees | \$9,520 | \$9,806 | \$10,100 | \$10,403 | \$10,715 | \$11,037 | \$11,368 | \$11,709 | \$12,060 | \$12,422 | \$12,795 | \$13,178 | \$13,574 | \$13,981 | \$14,400 | \$14,832 | \$15,277 | \$15,736 | \$16,208 |
| Medium Office Fee per Business [4] | \$826 | \$851 | \$877 | \$903 | \$930 | \$958 | \$987 | \$1,016 | \$1,047 | \$1,078 | \$1,111 | \$1,144 | \$1,178 | \$1,214 | \$1,250 | \$1,288 | \$1,326 | \$1,366 | \$1,407 |
| Medium Office Total Fees | \$115,410 | \$118,872 | \$122,439 | \$126,112 | \$129,895 | \$133,792 | \$137,806 | \$141,940 | \$146,198 | \$150,584 | \$155,102 | \$159,755 | \$164,547 | \$169,484 | \$174,568 | \$179,805 | \$185,199 | \$190,755 | \$196,478 |
| Larger Office Fee per Business [5] | \$8,264 | \$8,512 | \$8,768 | \$9,031 | \$9,301 | \$9,581 | \$9,868 | \$10,164 | \$10,469 | \$10,783 | \$11,106 | \$11,440 | \$11,783 | \$12,136 | \$12,500 | \$12,875 | \$13,262 | \$13,660 | \$14,069 |
| Larger Office Total Fees | \$78,510 | \$80,866 | \$83,292 | \$85,790 | \$88,364 | \$91,015 | \$93,745 | \$96,558 | \$99,454 | \$102,438 | \$105,511 | \$108,677 | \$111,937 | \$115,295 | \$118,754 | \$122,316 | \$125,986 | \$129,766 | \$133,658 |
| Proction Factory [5] | \$8,264 | \$8,512 | \$8,768 | \$9,031 | \$9,301 | \$9,581 | \$9,868 | \$10,164 | \$10,469 | \$10,783 | \$11,106 | \$11,440 | \$11,783 | \$12,136 | \$12,500 | \$12,875 | \$13,262 | \$13,660 | \$14,069 |
| Proction Factory Total Fees | \$14,876 | \$15,322 | \$15,782 | \$16,255 | \$16,743 | \$17,245 | \$17,762 | \$18,295 | \$18,844 | \$19,409 | \$19,992 | \$20,591 | \$21,209 | \$21,845 | \$22,501 | \$23,176 | \$23,871 | \$24,587 | \$25,325 |
| Total Business Registration Fee | \$237,952 | \$245,091 | \$252,443 | \$260,017 | \$267,817 | \$275,852 | \$284,127 | \$292,651 | \$301,431 | \$310,474 | \$319,788 | \$329,382 | \$339,263 | \$349,441 | \$359,924 | \$370,722 | \$381,843 | \$393,299 | \$405,098 |

[1] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12, Sec. 855: General Gross Receipts for the immediately preceding tax year is $\$ 500-\$ 750 \mathrm{k}$; $\$ 2.5-\$ 7.5 \mathrm{M}$.
[2] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12, Sec. 855: General Gross Receipts for the immediately preceding tax year is $\$ 1-\$ 2.5 \mathrm{M}$.
[4] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12, Sec. 855: General Gross Receipts for the immediately preceding tax year is $\$ 2.5-\$ 7.5 \mathrm{M}$.
[5] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12, Sec. 855: General Gross Receipts for the immediately preceding tax year is $\$ 15-\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$.
[6] Table B1.
[8[ KMA's assumption.

Table A6
Business Registration Fee
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Item | Assessed Value Added to Tax Roll (FY) (\$ with Inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2053-54 | 2054-55 | 2055-56 | 2056-57 | 2057-58 | 2058-59 | 2059-60 | 2060-61 | 2061-62 | 2062-63 | 2063-64 | 2064-65 | 2065-66 | 2066-67 | 2067-68 | 2068-69 | 2069-70 | 2070-71 | 2071-72 | 2072-73 |
| Inflation Rate | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| New Businesses [6] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20,000 SF Store | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative-20,000 SF Store | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 10,000 SF Store | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative-10,000 SF Store | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 7,400 SF Store | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative -7,400 SF Store | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 4,000 SF Store | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative -4,000 SF Store | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 2,500 SF Store | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative - 2,500 SF Store | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| 1,500 SF Store | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative -1,500 SF Store | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Medium Office | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative - Medium Office | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 | 147 |
| Larger Office | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative - Larger Office | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Production Factory | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative - Production Factory | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |


| usiness | \$1,159 | \$1,194 | \$1,230 | \$1,267 | \$1,305 | \$1,344 | \$1,384 | \$1,426 | \$1,469 | \$1,513 | \$1,558 | \$1,605 | \$1,653 | \$1,702 | \$1,754 | \$1,806 | \$1,860 | \$1,916 | \$1,974 | 2,033 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0,000 SF Store Total Fees | 30 | \$3,224 | \$3,321 | 20 | 23 | 29 | 338 | \$3,850 | ,965 | \$4, | \$4,20 | \$4,333 | \$4,463 | ,597 | \$4,735 | , 877 | \$5,023 | \$5,174 | \$5,329 | \$5,489 |
| 10,000 SF Store Fee per Business | \$1,159 | \$1,19 | 230 | 1,267 | \$1,305 | 1,344 | 1,384 | \$1,426 | 1,469 | \$1,513 | \$1,558 | \$1,605 | \$1,653 | \$1,702 | 1,754 | 1,806 | 1.860 | 1916 | \$1,974 | \$2,033 |
| 10,000 SF Store Total Fees | 4,174 | \$4,299 | 428 | 561 | ,697 | 4,838 | 4,983 | 133 | 287 | 445 | 509 | ,777 | 50 | \$6,129 | \$6,313 | 02 | \$6,697 | \$6,898 | \$7,105 | \$7,318 |
| 400 SF Store Fee per Business | \$580 | \$597 | 15 | \$633 | \$652 | \$672 | 592 | \$713 | 334 | 576 | 579 | \$802 | \$826 | \$551 | \$877 | \$903 | \$930 | 58 | 5987 | \$1,016 |
| 7,400 SF Store Total Fees | \$3,652 | \$3,761 | \$3,874 | \$3,990 | \$4,110 | \$4,233 | \$4,360 | \$4,491 | \$4,626 | \$4,765 | \$4,908 | \$5,055 | \$5,207 | \$5,363 | \$5,524 | \$5,689 | \$5,860 | \$6,036 | \$6,217 | 6,404 |
| 000 SF Store Fee per Business [2] | \$580 | \$597 | 15 | \$633 | \$652 | \$672 | \$692 | \$713 | \$734 | \$756 | \$779 | \$802 | \$826 | \$851 | \$877 | \$903 | \$930 | 958 | 987 | 1,016 |
| 4,000 SF Store Total Fees | 695 | 836 | 981 | \$5,131 | 285 | \$5,443 | \$5,606 | 775 | \$5,948 | \$6,126 | \$6,310 | \$6,499 | \$6,694 | 6,895 | \$7,102 | 7,315 | \$7,534 | ,760 | 993 | \$8,233 |
| 2,500 SF Store Fee per Business | \$1,739 | \$1,791 | \$1,845 | \$1,900 | \$1,957 | \$2,016 | \$2,076 | \$2,139 | \$2,203 | \$2,269 | \$2,337 | \$2,407 | \$2,479 | \$2,554 | \$2,630 | \$2,709 | \$2,791 | \$2,874 | \$2,960 | \$3,049 |
| 2,500 SF Store Total Fees | \$18,781 | \$19,344 | \$19,925 | \$20,522 | \$21,138 | \$21,772 | \$22,425 | \$23,098 | \$23,7 | \$24,50 | \$25,240 | \$25,99 | \$26,777 | \$27,58 | \$28,408 | \$29,260 | \$30,138 | \$31,042 | \$31,973 | \$32,932 |
| 1,500 SF Store Fee per Business [1] | 159 | \$1,194 | 1,230 | 1,267 | \$1,305 | \$1,344 | \$1,384 | \$1,426 | \$1,469 | \$1,513 | \$1,558 | \$1,6 | \$1,653 | \$1,702 | \$1,754 | \$1,80 |  | \$1,9 | 1,974 | \$2,033 |
| 1,500 SF Store Total Fees | 1,694 | \$17,195 | 7,711 | 8,242 | \$18,789 | \$19,353 | \$19,934 | \$20,532 | \$21,148 | \$21,782 | \$22,435 | \$23,109 | \$23,80 | \$24,516 | \$25,251 | \$26,009 | \$26,7 | \$27,593 | \$28 | \$29,273 |
| Medium Office Fee per Business [f] | \$1,449 | \$1,493 | \$1,537 | \$1,584 | \$1,631 | \$1,680 | \$1,730 | \$1,782 | \$1,836 | \$1,891 | \$1,948 | \$2,006 | \$2,066 | \$2,12 | \$2,19 | \$2,25 | \$2,325 | \$2,39 | \$2,46 | 2,54 |
| Medium Office Total Fees | \$202,372 | \$208,443 | \$214,697 | \$221,138 | \$227,772 | \$234,605 | \$241,643 | \$248,892 | \$256,359 | \$264,050 | \$271,971 | \$280,131 | \$288,534 | \$297,191 | \$306,106 | \$315,289 | \$324,748 | \$334,491 | \$344,525 | \$354,861 |
| Larger Office Fee per Business [5] | \$14,491 | \$14,926 | \$15,374 | \$15,835 | \$16,310 | \$16,799 | \$17,303 | \$17,823 | \$18,357 | \$18,908 | \$19,475 | \$20,059 | \$20,661 | \$21,28 | \$21,920 | \$22,577 | \$23,254 | \$23,952 | \$24,671 | \$25,411 |
| Larger Office Total Fees | \$137,668 | \$141,798 | \$146,052 | \$150,434 | \$154,947 | \$159,595 | \$164,383 | \$169,315 | \$174,394 | \$179,626 | \$185,015 | \$190,565 | \$196,282 | \$202,170 | \$208,236 | \$214,483 | \$220,917 | \$227,545 | \$234,371 | \$241,402 |
| Proction Factory [5] | \$14,491 | \$14,926 | \$15,374 | \$15,835 | \$16,310 | \$16,799 | \$17,303 | \$17,823 | \$18,357 | \$18,908 | \$19,475 | \$20,059 | \$20,661 | \$21,281 | \$21,920 | \$22,577 | \$23,254 | \$23,952 | \$24,67 | \$25,411 |
| Proction Factory Total Fees | \$26,085 | \$26,867 | \$27,673 | \$28,503 | \$29,358 | \$30,239 | \$31,146 | \$32,081 | \$33,043 | \$34,03 | \$35,055 | \$36,107 | \$37,190 | \$38,306 | \$39,455 | \$40,63 | \$41,85 | \$43,11 | \$44,407 | \$45,739 |
| tal Business Registration Fee | \$417,251 | \$429,768 | \$442,661 | \$455,941 | \$469,619 | \$483,708 | \$498,219 | \$513,166 | \$528,561 | \$544,417 | \$560,750 | \$577,572 | 4,900 | \$612 | \$631,129 | \$650,0 | \$669,5 | \$689,6 | \$710 | \$731,652 |

[1] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12, Sec. 855: General Gross Receipts for the immediately preceding tax year is $\$ 500-5750 \mathrm{k}$; $\$ 2.5-57.5 \mathrm{M}$.
[2] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12, Sec. 855: General Gross Receipts for the immediately preceding tax year is $\$ 1-\$ 2.5 \mathrm{M}$.
${ }^{[4]}$ San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12, Sec. 855: General Gross Receipts for the immediately preceding tax year is $\$ 2.5-\$ 7.5 \mathrm{M}$.
[5] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12 , Sec. 855: General Gross Receipts for the immediately preceding tax year is $\$ 15-$ - $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$.
[6] Table B1.
[8[ KMA's assumption.

Table A7
Parking Tax Revenues
Mission Rock IIFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Item | Assumptions/ Sources | $\begin{gathered} \text { Buildout } \\ \text { (uninlfated) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | Revenue Added to Tax Roll ( S with Inflation) |  |  | 2024.25 | 2025-26 | $2026-27$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 |  |  |  |
| Inflation Rate |  |  |  | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Total Parking Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Spaces On Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parking Spaces On Site [1] |  | 3,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| Revenue per Space from New Development[1] | \$6,753 per space | \$6,753 | \$6,753 | \$6,955.6 | \$7,164.3 | \$7,379.2 | \$7,600.6 | \$7,828.6 | \$8,063.4 | \$8,305.3 | \$8,554.5 | \$8,811.1 |
| Annual On Site Revenue from New Spaces [2] |  | \$20,259,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$24,190,305 | \$24,916,015 | \$25,663,495 | \$26,433,400 |
| Revenue From Existing Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Remaining Parking Spaces [3] |  |  | 2606 | 2326 | 2326 | 2326 | 2326 | 1821 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Annual On Site Revenue from Existing Spaces [4] | \$6,753 per space |  | \$8,016,222 | \$16,178,702 | \$16,664,063 | \$17,163,985 | \$17,678,905 | \$14,255,840 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Parking Revenue from Existing Spaces and New Development |  |  | \$8,016,222 | \$16,178,702 | \$16,664,063 | \$17,163,985 | \$17,678,905 | \$14,255,840 | \$24,190,305 | \$24,916,015 | \$25,663,495 | \$26,433,400 |
| Less: Current Revenue before development [ 6 ] |  |  | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | $(58,016,222)$ | $(58,016,222)$ | $(58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $\$ 8,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | $(58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ |
| Less: Portion of Total Parking Revenue attributable to Residential [7] |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | ( $\$ 1,405,588)$ | ( $\$ 1,447,755)$ | ( $\$ 2,203,767$ ) | ( $\$ 3,216,371$ ) | $(\$ 3,873,276)$ | ( $\$ 3,989,474$ ) |
| (Net) Additional Parking Revenue from Development That is Taxable |  |  | \$0 | \$8,162,480 | \$8,647,841 | \$9,147,763 | \$8,257,095 | \$4,791,863 | \$13,970,317 | \$13,683,421 | \$13,773,997 | \$14,427,703 |
| Net New Parking Tax in Excess of Current Tax Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Francisco Parking Tax | 25\% of annual revenue |  | \$0 | \$2,040,620 | \$2,161,960 | \$2,286,941 | \$2,064,274 | \$1,197,966 | \$3,492,579 | \$3,420,855 | \$3,43,499 | \$3,606,926 |
| Parking Tax Allocation to General Fund/Special Program [5] | 20\% of tax proceeds | \$570,516 | \$0 | \$408,124 | \$432,392 | \$457,388 | \$412,855 | \$239,593 | \$698,516 | \$684,171 | \$688,700 | \$721,385 |
| Parking Tax Allocation to Municipal Trans. Fund [5] | 80\% of tax proceeds |  | \$0 | \$1,632,496 | \$1,729,568 | \$1,829,553 | \$1,651,419 | \$958,373 | \$2,794,063 | \$2,736,684 | \$2,754,799 | \$2,885,541 |

(1) Parking for 3000 spaces will be provided in Parcel $D 1$ and $D 2$. Projections based on developer showing revenue per space at 56,753 .
(22) Calculated on the basis on an annual revenue of $\$ 6,753$ per spot $\$ 2017$ ) and inflating at $3 \%$ per year
[2] Calculated on the basis on an annual revenue of $\$ 6,753$ per spot ( $\$ 2017$ ), and inflating at $3 \%$ per year.
Revenue projection based danking spaces in Pier 48 and SWL Lis 2606 , excluding the 42 space as Giants. As development begins, number of parking spaces reduces.
(5) The percentages are based on the Pier $30-32$ Fiscal Feasibility Analysis report by EPS.
(10) As per the developer proforma, current revenue generated from the site is $58,016,22$. This is deducted from total revenue parking revenue generated to calculate the net parking revenue
(asion is is deducted while calculating the additional
parking revenue from development that is taxable, as residential parking revenue is not subject to parking tax.

| Item | Revenue Added to Tax Roll (\$ with Inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2027-28 | 2028-29 | 2029-30 | 2030-31 | 2031-32 | 2032-33 | 2033-34 | 2034-35 | 2035-36 |  | 2037-38 | 2038-39 | 2039-40 | 2040-41 | 2041-42 | 2042-43 | 2043-44 |
| Inflation Rate | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Total Parking Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Spaces On Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parking Spaces On Site [1] | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| Revenue per Space from New Development[1] | \$9,075.5 | \$9,347.7 | \$9,628.2 | \$9,917.0 | \$10,214,5 | \$10,521.0 | \$10,836.6 | \$11,161.7 | \$11,496.5 | \$11,841.4 | \$12,196.7 | \$12,562.6 | \$12,939.4 | \$13,327.6 | \$13,727.5 | \$14,139.3 | \$14,563.5 |
| Annual On Site Revenue from New Spaces [2] | \$27,226,402 | \$28,043,194 | \$28,884,490 | \$29,751,025 | \$30,643,555 | \$31,562,862 | \$32,509,748 | \$33,485,040 | \$34,489,591 | \$ $35,524,279$ | \$36,59,008 | \$37,687,708 | \$38,818,339 | \$39,982,889 | \$411,182,376 | \$42,417,847 | \$43,690,382 |
| Revenue from Existing Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Remaining Parking Spaces [3] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Annual On Site Revenue from Existing Spaces [4] | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Parking Revenue from Existing Spaces and New Development | \$27,226,402 | \$28,043,194 | \$28,884,490 | \$29,751,025 | \$30,643,555 | \$31,562,862 | \$32,509,748 | \$33,485,040 | \$34,489,591 | \$35,524,279 | \$36,59,008 | \$37,687,708 | \$38,818,339 | \$39,982,889 | \$41,182,376 | \$42,417,847 | \$43,690,382 |
| Less: Current Revenue before development [6] | (\$8,016,222) | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $\$ 8,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222$ ) |
| Less: Portion of Total Parking Revenue attributable to Residential [7] | ( $54,109,159)$ | ( $54,232,433$ ) | $(54,359,406)$ | $(54,490,189)$ | ( $54,624,894$ ) | $(54,763,641)$ | $(54,906,550)$ | ( $55,053,747$ ) | ( $55,205,359)$ | ( $55,361,520)$ | ( $55,522,366)$ | ( $55,688,037)$ | ( $55,858,678$ ) | ( $56,034,438$ ) | ( $56,215,471$ ) | ( $56,401,935$ ) | ( $56,593,993)$ |
| (Net) Additional Parking Revenue from Development That is Taxable | \$15,101,021 | \$15,794,539 | \$16,508,861 | \$17,244,614 | \$18,02,439 | \$18,782,999 | \$19,586,975 | \$20,415,071 | \$21,268,010 | \$22,146,537 | \$23,051,420 | \$23,983,449 | \$24,943,439 | \$25,932,229 | \$26,950,683 | \$27,999,690 | \$29,080,167 |
| Net New Parking Tax in Excess of Current Tax Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Francisco Parking Tax | \$3,775,255 | \$3,948,635 | \$4,127,215 | \$4,311,153 | \$4,50, 610 | \$4,695,750 | \$4,896,744 | \$5,103,768 | \$5,317,003 | \$5,536,334 | \$5,762,855 | \$5,995,862 | \$6,235,860 | \$6,483,057 | \$6,737,671 | \$6,999,922 | \$7,270,042 |
| Parking Tax Allocation to General Fund/Special Program [5] | \$75,051 | \$789,727 | \$825,443 | \$862,231 | \$900,122 | \$939,150 | \$979,349 | \$1,020,754 | \$1,063,401 | \$1,107,327 | \$1,152,571 | \$1,199,172 | \$1,247,172 | \$1,296,611 | \$1,347,534 | \$1,399,984 | \$1,45,008 |
| Parking Tax Allocation to Municipal Trans. Fund [5] | \$3,020,204 | \$3,158,908 | \$3,301,772 | \$3,448,923 | \$3,60, 488 | \$3,756,600 | \$3,917,395 | \$4,083,014 | \$4,253,602 | \$4,429,307 | \$4,610,284 | \$4,796,990 | \$4,988,688 | \$5,18,446 | \$5,390,137 | \$5,599,938 | \$5,816,033 |
| [1] Parking for 3000 spaces will be provided in Parcel $D 1$ and D 2 . Projections based on developer showing revenue per space at $\$ 6,753$.[2] Calculated on the basis on an anual revenue of $\$ 6,753$ pers spot ( $\$ 2017$, and inflating at $3 \%$ per year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | [2] Calculated on the basis on an annual revenue of $\$ 6,753$ per spot ( $\$ 2017$ ), and inflating at $3 \%$ per year. <br> [3] FY 2017 current combined parking spaces in Pier 48 and SWL is 2606, excluding the 423 spaces for the Giants. As development begins, number of parking spaces reduces. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | [4] Revenue projection based on the assumption that from Phase 1, revenue per space would be as per the developer's projection of $\$ 6,753$, except for FY 2017-18. [5] The percentages are based on the Pier 30-32 Fiscal Feasibility Analysis report by EPS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | [6] As per the developer proforma, current revenue generated from the site is $\$ 8,016,222$. This is deducted from total revenue parking revenue generated to calculate the net parking revenue. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $[7]$ As per the developer proforma, parking revenues from residential units upon buildout, in current dollars is $\$ 3,057,600$. Prorata share of this revenue (based on residential absorption) is deducted while calculating the additional parking revenue from development that is taxable, as residential parking revenue is not subject to parking tax. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Item |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{2050-51}{1.03}$ | $2051-52$ | 2052-53 | 2053-54 | 2054.55 | 2055 -56 | 2056-57 | 2057-58 | 2058.59 | Revenue Added to Ta |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2059-60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2060.61 |
| Inflation Rate | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | $2047-48$ <br> 1.03 | $\frac{2048-49}{1.03}$ | 1.03 |  | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Total Parking Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Spaces On Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parking Spaces On Site [1] | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |  | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| Revenue per Space from New Development[1] | \$15,000.4 | \$15,450.4 | \$15,913.9 | \$16,391.3 | \$16,883.0 | \$17,389.5 | \$17,911.2 | \$18,448.6 | \$19,002.0 | \$19,572.1 | \$20,159.2 | \$20,764.0 | \$21,386.9 | \$22,028.5 | \$22,689.4 | \$23,370.1 | \$24,071.2 |
| Annual On Site Revenue from New Spaces [2] | \$45,001,094 | \$46,351,127 | \$47,741,661 | \$49,173,910 | \$50,649,128 | \$52,168,602 | \$53,733,660 | \$55,345,669 | \$57,006,039 | \$58,716,221 | \$60,477,707 | \$62,292,038 | \$64,160,800 | \$66,085,624 | \$68,068,192 | \$70,110,238 | \$72,213,545 |
| Revenue From Existing Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Remaining Parking Spaces [3] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Annual On Site Revenue from Existing Spaces [4] | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Parking Revenue from Existing Spaces and New Development | \$45,001,094 | \$46,351,127 | \$47,741,661 | \$49,173,910 | \$50,649,128 | \$52,168,602 | \$53,733,660 | \$55,345,669 | \$57,006,039 | \$58,716,221 | \$60,477,707 | \$62,292,038 | \$64,160,800 | \$66,085,624 | \$68,068,192 | \$70,110,238 | \$72,213,545 |
| Less: Current Revenue before development [6] | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $\$ 8,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $\$ 8,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $\$ 8,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $\$ 8,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ |
| Less: Portion of Total Parking Revenue attributable to Residential [7] | $(\$ 6,791,813)$ | $(56,995,568)$ | $(\$ 7,205,435)$ | $(57,421,598)$ | ( $\$ 7,644,246)$ | ( $\$ 7,873,573)$ | ( $58,109,780)$ | ( $\$ 8,353,074$ ) | $(58,603,666)$ | ( $58,861,776$ ) | ( $59,127,629)$ | ( $59,401,458$ ) | ( $\$ 9,683,502)$ | $(59,974,007)$ | ( $\$ 10,273,227)$ | ( $\$ 10,581,424$ ) | ( $\$ 10,898,866$ ) |
| (Net) Additional Parking Revenue from Development That is Taxable | \$30,193,059 | \$31,339,337 | \$32,520,004 | \$33,736,091 | \$34,988,660 | \$36,278,807 | \$37,607,657 | \$38,976,374 | \$40,386,152 | \$41,838,223 | \$43,333,856 | \$44,874,359 | \$46,461,076 | \$48,095,395 | \$49,778,743 | \$51,512,592 | \$53,298,457 |
| Net New Parking Tax in Excess of Current Tax Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Francisco Parking Tax | \$7,548,265 | \$7,834,834 | \$8,130,001 | \$8,434,023 | \$8,747,165 | \$9,069,702 | \$9,401,914 | \$9,744,093 | \$10,096,538 | \$10,459,556 | \$10,833,464 | \$11,218,590 | \$11,615,269 | \$12,023,849 | \$12,444,686 | \$12,878,148 | \$13,324,614 |
| Parking Tax Allocation to General Fund/Special Program [5] | \$1,509,653 | \$1,566,967 | \$1,626,000 | \$1,686,805 | \$1,749,433 | \$1,813,940 | \$1,880,383 | \$1,948,819 | \$2,019,308 | \$2,091,911 | \$2,166,693 | \$2,243,718 | \$2,323,054 | \$2,404,770 | \$2,488,937 | \$2,575,630 | \$2,64,923 |
| Parking Tax Allocation to Municipal Trans. Fund (5) | \$6,038,612 | \$6,267,867 | \$6,504,001 | \$6,747,218 | \$6,997,732 | \$7,255,761 | \$7,521,531 | \$7,795,275 | \$8,077,230 | \$8,367,645 | \$8,666,771 | \$8,974,872 | \$9,292,215 | \$9,619,079 | \$9,955,74 | \$10,302,518 | \$10,659,691 |

11) Parking for 3000 spaces will be provided in Parcel D1 and D2. Projections based on developer showing rever per space at 56,753 ,
[2] Calculated on the basis on an annual revenue of 56,753 per spot ( $\$ 2017$ ), and inflating at $3 \%$ per year-
. Revenue projectionbined parking spaces in Pier 48 and SWL is 2606 , excluad
[5] The percentages are based on the Pier $30-32$ fiscal Feasibility Analysis report by EPS.
[1] A s per the developer proforma, current revenue generated from the site is $58,016,222$. This is deducted from total revenue parking revenue generated to calculate the net parking revenue.
$[7]$ As per the developer proforma, parking revenues from residential units upon buildout, in current dollars is $\$ 3,057,600$. Prorata share of this revenue (based on residential absorption) is deducted while calculating the additional parking revenue from development that is taxable, as
residential parking revenue is not subject to parking tax.

| <Roll ( with Inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | 2061.62 | 2062-63 | 2063-64 | 2064.65 | $2065-66$ | 2066-67 | $2067-68$ | 2068-69 | 2069-70 | 2070-71 | 2071-72 | 2072-73 |
| Inflation Rate | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Total Parking Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Spaces On Site |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parking Spaces On Site [1] | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| Revenue per Space from New Development[1] | \$24,793.3 | \$25,537.1 | \$26,303.2 | \$27,092.3 | \$27,905.1 | \$28,742.2 | \$29,604.5 | \$30,492.7 | \$31,407.4 | \$32,349.7 | \$33,320.1 | \$34,319.7 |
| Annual On Site Revenue from New Spaces [2] | \$74,379,952 | \$76,611,350 | \$78,909,691 | \$81,276,981 | \$83,715,291 | \$86,226,750 | \$88,813,552 | \$91,477,959 | \$94,222,297 | \$97,048,966 | \$99,960,435 | \$102,959,248 |
| Revenue From Existing Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Remaining Parking Spaces [3] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Annual On Site Revenue from Existing Spaces [4] | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Parking Revenue from Existing Spaces and New Development | \$74,379,952 | \$76,611,350 | \$78,909,691 | \$81,276,981 | \$83,715,291 | \$86,226,750 | \$88,813,552 | \$91,477,959 | \$99,222,297 | \$97,048,966 | \$99,960,435 | \$102,959,248 |
| Less: Current Revenue before development [6] | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222)$ | ( $58,016,222$ ) |
| Less: Portion of Total Parking Revenue atributable to Residential [7] | ( $511,225,832)$ | ( $\$ 11,562,607)$ | $(\$ 11,909,486)$ | ( $\$ 12,266,770)$ | ( $\$ 12,634,773)$ | ( $\$ 13,013,817)$ | (\$13,404,231) | ( $\$ 13,800,358)$ | ( $\$ 14,220,549)$ | ( $\$ 14,647,165)$ | (\$15,086,580) | ( $\$ 15,539,178)$ |
| (Net) Additional Parking Revenue from Development That is Taxable | \$55,137,897 | \$57,032,521 | \$58,983,983 | \$60,993,989 | \$63,064,295 | \$65,196,711 | \$67,393,099 | \$69,655,379 | \$71,985,527 | \$74,385,579 | \$76,857,633 | \$79,403,849 |
| Net New Parking Tax in Excess of Current Tax Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Francisco Parking Tax | \$13,784,474 | \$14,258,130 | \$14,745,996 | \$15,248,497 | \$15,766,074 | \$16,299,178 | \$16,848,275 | \$17,413,845 | \$17,996,382 | \$18,596,395 | \$19,214,408 | \$19,850,962 |
| Parking Tax Allocation to General Fund/Special Program [5] | \$2,75,895 | \$2,851,626 | \$2,94, 199 | \$3,049,699 | \$3,153,215 | \$3,259,836 | \$3,369,655 | \$3,482,769 | \$3,59,276 | \$3,719,279 | \$3,842,882 | \$3,970,192 |
| Parking Tax Allocation to Municipal Irans. Fund [5] | \$11,027,579 | \$11,406,504 | \$11,796,797 | \$12,198,798 | \$12,612,859 | \$13,039,342 | \$13,478,620 | \$13,931,076 | \$14,397,105 | \$14,877,116 | \$15,371,527 | \$15,880,770 |

11) Parking for 3000 spaces will be provided in Parcel D1 and D2. Projections based on developer show ing revenue per space at $\$ 6,753$.
[2] Calculated on the basis on an annual revenue of $\$ 6,753$ per spot ( $\$ 2017$ ), and inflating at $3 \%$ per year
Revenue projection based on the assumption that from Phase 1 revenue per space would be as per Giants. As development begins, number of parking spaces reduces.
[5] The percentages are based on the Pier $30-32$ Fiscal Feasibility Analysis report by EPS.
(10) As per the developer proforma, current revenue generated from the site is $58,016,222$. This is deducted from total revenue parking revenue generated to calculate the net parking revenue
 dditional parking revenue from development that is taxable, as residential parking revenue is not subiect to parking tax.

Table A8
Estimated Revenue, Utility Users Tax, Franchises, Licenses and Permits, and Fines and Forfeitures
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Item | Estimating Procedure / Source | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY 2017-18 } \\ \text { Budgeted [2] } \end{gathered}$ | Service Population | Revenue Multiplier (FY17-18) | Buildout (Uninflated) | Estimates with Inflation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 |
| Inflation Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Employees | Table C2 |  |  |  | 5,084 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,070 | 2,070 | 3,786 | 4,339 | 4,907 | 5,084 | 5,084 |
| Persons Served | Table C2 |  |  |  | 5,541 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,260 | 2,260 | 3,703 | 4,735 | 5,453 | 5,541 | 5,541 |
| Per Capita Revenue Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Utility Users Tax [1], [3] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water Users Tax | Per Employee | \$2,635,816 | 593,224 | \$4.44 | \$4.44 | \$4.44 | \$4.58 | \$4.71 | \$4.86 | \$5.00 | \$5.15 | \$5.31 | \$5.46 | \$5.63 | \$5.80 | \$5.97 |
| Gas Electric Steam Users Tax | Per Employee | \$43,029,693 | 593,224 | \$72.54 | \$72.54 | \$72.54 | \$74.71 | \$76.95 | \$79.26 | \$81.64 | \$84.09 | \$86.61 | \$89.21 | \$91.89 | \$94.64 | \$97.48 |
| Telephone Users Tax | Persons Served | \$49,834,491 | 1,170,840 | \$42.56 | \$42.56 | \$42.56 | \$43.84 | \$45.16 | \$46.51 | \$47.91 | \$49.34 | \$50.82 | \$52.35 | \$53.92 | \$55.54 | \$57.20 |
| Access Line Tax [1] | Persons Served | \$48,300,000 | 1,170,840 | \$41.25 | \$41.25 | \$41.25 | \$42.49 | \$43.76 | \$45.08 | \$46.43 | \$47.82 | \$49.26 | \$50.74 | \$52.26 | \$53.83 | \$55.44 |
| Licenses, Permits and Franchise Fees [2] | Persons Served | \$29,964,000 | 1,170,840 | \$25.59 | \$25.59 | \$25.59 | \$26.36 | \$27.15 | \$27.96 | \$28.80 | \$29.67 | \$30.56 | \$31.47 | \$32.42 | \$33.39 | \$34.39 |
| Fines and Forfeitures [2] | Persons Served | \$4,579,000 | 1,170,840 | \$3.91 | \$3.91 | \$3.91 | \$4.03 | \$4.15 | \$4.27 | \$4.40 | \$4.53 | \$4.67 | \$4.81 | \$4.95 | \$5.10 | \$5.26 |
| Estimated Revenues from Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Utility Users Tax [1], [3] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water Users Tax |  |  |  |  | \$22,589 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10,352 | \$10,662 | \$20,086 | \$23,711 | \$27,619 | \$29,474 | \$30,358 |
| Gas Electric Steam Users Tax |  |  |  |  | \$368,770 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$168,993 | \$174,063 | \$327,909 | \$387,079 | \$450,883 | \$481,161 | \$495,595 |
| Telephone Users Tax |  |  |  |  | \$235,842 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$108,265 | \$111,513 | \$188,196 | \$247,864 | \$294,012 | \$307,720 | \$316,952 |
| Access Line Tax [1] |  |  |  |  | \$228,580 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$104,932 | \$108,080 | \$182,401 | \$240,232 | \$284,959 | \$298,245 | \$307,192 |
| Licenses, Permits and Franchise Fees [2] |  |  |  |  | \$141,805 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$65,097 | \$67,050 | \$113,156 | \$149,033 | \$176,781 | \$185,023 | \$190,574 |
| Fines and Forfeitures [2] |  |  |  |  | \$21,670 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$9,948 | \$10,246 | \$17,292 | \$22,775 | \$27,015 | \$28,275 | \$29,123 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | \$1,019,255 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$467,587 | \$481,614 | \$849,040 | \$1,070,693 | \$1,261,270 | \$1,329,897 | \$1,369,794 |

[1] Per San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code Article 10: Utility Users Tax, non-residential users pay telephone, water, gas, electric, and steam users utility taxes; residential and nonresidential users pay cellular telephone and access line taxes. It has been assumed for purposes of these estimates that most residential users use cellular rather than land-line telephone
[3] The City's he proportions of these three taxes stay same as the proportions in the City's budget in FY 2010-11

Table A8
Estimated Revenue, Utility Users Tax, Franchis
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Estimates with Inflation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | 2028-29 | 2029-30 | 2030-31 | 2031-32 | 2032-33 | 2033-34 | 2034-35 | 2035-36 | 2036-37 | 2037-38 | 2038-39 | 2039-40 | 2040-41 | 2041-42 | 2042-43 |
| Inflation Rate | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Employees | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 |
| Persons Served | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 |
| Per Capita Revenue Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Utility Users Tax [1], [3] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water Users Tax | \$6.15 | \$6.33 | \$6.52 | \$6.72 | \$6.92 | \$7.13 | \$7.34 | \$7.56 | \$7.79 | \$8.02 | \$8.27 | \$8.51 | \$8.77 | \$9.03 | \$9.30 |
| Gas Electric Steam Users Tax | \$100.41 | \$103.42 | \$106.52 | \$109.72 | \$113.01 | \$116.40 | \$119.89 | \$123.49 | \$127.19 | \$131.01 | \$134.94 | \$138.99 | \$143.15 | \$147.45 | \$151.87 |
| Telephone Users Tax | \$58.92 | \$60.68 | \$62.51 | \$64.38 | \$66.31 | \$68.30 | \$70.35 | \$72.46 | \$74.63 | \$76.87 | \$79.18 | \$81.56 | \$84.00 | \$86.52 | \$89.12 |
| Access Line Tax [1] | \$57.10 | \$58.82 | \$60.58 | \$62.40 | \$64.27 | \$66.20 | \$68.18 | \$70.23 | \$72.34 | \$74.51 | \$76.74 | \$79.04 | \$81.42 | \$83.86 | \$86.37 |
| Licenses, Permits and Franchise Fees [2] | \$35.43 | \$36.49 | \$37.58 | \$38.71 | \$39.87 | \$41.07 | \$42.30 | \$43.57 | \$44.88 | \$46.22 | \$47.61 | \$49.04 | \$50.51 | \$52.02 | \$53.58 |
| Fines and Forfeitures [2] | \$5.41 | \$5.58 | \$5.74 | \$5.92 | \$6.09 | \$6.28 | \$6.46 | \$6.66 | \$6.86 | \$7.06 | \$7.28 | \$7.49 | \$7.72 | \$7.95 | \$8.19 |
| Estimated Revenues from Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Utility Users Tax [1], [3] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water Users Tax | \$31,269 | \$32,207 | \$33,173 | \$34,168 | \$35,193 | \$36,249 | \$37,337 | \$38,457 | \$39,610 | \$40,799 | \$42,023 | \$43,283 | \$44,582 | \$45,919 | \$47,297 |
| Gas Electric Steam Users Tax | \$510,463 | \$525,777 | \$541,551 | \$557,797 | \$574,531 | \$591,767 | \$609,520 | \$627,806 | \$646,640 | \$666,039 | \$686,020 | \$706,601 | \$727,799 | \$749,633 | \$772,122 |
| Telephone Users Tax | \$326,460 | \$336,254 | \$346,342 | \$356,732 | \$367,434 | \$378,457 | \$389,810 | \$401,505 | \$413,550 | \$425,956 | \$438,735 | \$451,897 | \$465,454 | \$479,418 | \$493,800 |
| Access Line Tax [1] | \$316,408 | \$325,900 | \$335,677 | \$345,747 | \$356,120 | \$366,803 | \$377,807 | \$389,142 | \$400,816 | \$412,840 | \$425,226 | \$437,982 | \$451,122 | \$464,656 | \$478,595 |
| Licenses, Permits and Franchise Fees [2] | \$196,291 | \$202,179 | \$208,245 | \$214,492 | \$220,927 | \$227,555 | \$234,381 | \$241,413 | \$248,655 | \$256,115 | \$263,798 | \$271,712 | \$279,864 | \$288,260 | \$296,907 |
| Fines and Forfeitures [2] | \$29,997 | \$30,896 | \$31,823 | \$32,778 | \$33,761 | \$34,774 | \$35,817 | \$36,892 | \$37,999 | \$39,139 | \$40,313 | \$41,522 | \$42,768 | \$44,051 | \$45,372 |
| Total | \$1,410,887 | \$1,453,214 | \$1,496,810 | \$1,541,715 | \$1,587,966 | \$1,635,605 | \$1,684,673 | \$1,735,213 | \$1,787,270 | \$1,840,888 | \$1,896,115 | \$1,952,998 | \$2,011,588 | \$2,071,936 | \$2,134,094 |

[1] Per San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code Article 10: Utility Users Tax, non-residential users pay telephone, water, gas, electric, and steam users utility taxes; residential and nonservice
[2] City and County of San Francisco, Proposed Budget, FY 2017-18
[3] The City's proposed budget (FY2017-18) only offers the number of Utility Users Tax, the sum of Water Users Tax, Gas Electric Steam Users Tax and Telephone Users Tax. KMA assumes that the proportions of these three taxes stay same as the proportions in the City's budget in FY 2010-11.

Table A8
Estimated Revenue, Utility Users Tax, Franchis
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Estimates with Inflation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | 2043-44 | 2044-45 | 2045-46 | 2046-47 | 2047-48 | 2048-49 | 2049-50 | 2050-51 | 2051-52 | 2052-53 | 2053-54 | 2054-55 | 2055-56 | 2056-57 | 2057-58 |
| Inflation Rate | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Employees | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 |
| Persons Served | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 |
| Per Capita Revenue Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Utility Users Tax [1], [3] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water Users Tax | \$9.58 | \$9.87 | \$10.17 | \$10.47 | \$10.78 | \$11.11 | \$11.44 | \$11.78 | \$12.14 | \$12.50 | \$12.88 | \$13.26 | \$13.66 | \$14.07 | \$14.49 |
| Gas Electric Steam Users Tax | \$156.43 | \$161.12 | \$165.96 | \$170.93 | \$176.06 | \$181.34 | \$186.78 | \$192.39 | \$198.16 | \$204.10 | \$210.23 | \$216.53 | \$223.03 | \$229.72 | \$236.61 |
| Telephone Users Tax | \$91.79 | \$94.54 | \$97.38 | \$100.30 | \$103.31 | \$106.41 | \$109.60 | \$112.89 | \$116.28 | \$119.77 | \$123.36 | \$127.06 | \$130.87 | \$134.80 | \$138.84 |
| Access Line Tax [1] | \$88.96 | \$91.63 | \$94.38 | \$97.21 | \$100.13 | \$103.13 | \$106.23 | \$109.42 | \$112.70 | \$116.08 | \$119.56 | \$123.15 | \$126.84 | \$130.65 | \$134.57 |
| Licenses, Permits and Franchise Fees [2] | \$55.19 | \$56.85 | \$58.55 | \$60.31 | \$62.12 | \$63.98 | \$65.90 | \$67.88 | \$69.91 | \$72.01 | \$74.17 | \$76.40 | \$78.69 | \$81.05 | \$83.48 |
| Fines and Forfeitures [2] | \$8.43 | \$8.69 | \$8.95 | \$9.22 | \$9.49 | \$9.78 | \$10.07 | \$10.37 | \$10.68 | \$11.00 | \$11.33 | \$11.67 | \$12.03 | \$12.39 | \$12.76 |
| Estimated Revenues from Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Utility Users Tax [1], [3] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water Users Tax | \$48,716 | \$50,177 | \$51,683 | \$53,233 | \$54,830 | \$56,475 | \$58,169 | \$59,914 | \$61,712 | \$63,563 | \$65,470 | \$67,434 | \$69,457 | \$71,541 | \$73,687 |
| Gas Electric Steam Users Tax | \$795,285 | \$819,144 | \$843,718 | \$869,030 | \$895,101 | \$921,954 | \$949,612 | \$978,101 | \$1,007,444 | \$1,037,667 | \$1,068,797 | \$1,100,861 | \$1,133,887 | \$1,167,903 | \$1,202,940 |
| Telephone Users Tax | \$508,614 | \$523,873 | \$539,589 | \$555,776 | \$572,450 | \$589,623 | \$607,312 | \$625,531 | \$644,297 | \$663,626 | \$683,535 | \$704,041 | \$725,162 | \$746,917 | \$769,325 |
| Access Line Tax [1] | \$492,953 | \$507,742 | \$522,974 | \$538,663 | \$554,823 | \$571,468 | \$588,612 | \$606,270 | \$624,458 | \$643,192 | \$662,488 | \$682,362 | \$702,833 | \$723,918 | \$745,636 |
| Licenses, Permits and Franchise Fees [2] | \$305,815 | \$314,989 | \$324,439 | \$334,172 | \$344,197 | \$354,523 | \$365,159 | \$376,113 | \$387,397 | \$399,019 | \$410,989 | \$423,319 | \$436,019 | \$449,099 | \$462,572 |
| Fines and Forfeitures [2] | \$46,734 | \$48,136 | \$49,580 | \$51,067 | \$52,599 | \$54,177 | \$55,802 | \$57,476 | \$59,201 | \$60,977 | \$62,806 | \$64,690 | \$66,631 | \$68,630 | \$70,689 |
| Total | \$2,198,116 | \$2,264,060 | \$2,331,982 | \$2,401,941 | \$2,473,999 | \$2,548,219 | \$2,624,666 | \$2,703,406 | \$2,784,508 | \$2,868,043 | \$2,954,085 | \$3,042,707 | \$3,133,988 | \$3,228,008 | \$3,324,848 |

[1] Per San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code Article 10: Utility Users Tax, non-residential users pay telephone, water, gas, electric, and steam users utility taxes; residential and nonservice
[2] City and County of San Francisco, Proposed Budget, FY 2017-18.
[3] The City's proposed budget (FY2017-18) only offers the number of Utility Users Tax, the sum of Water Users Tax, Gas Electric Steam Users Tax and Telephone Users Tax. KMA assumes that the proportions of these three taxes stay same as the proportions in the City's budget in FY 2010-11.

Table A8
Estimated Revenue, Utility Users Tax, Franchis
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Estimates with Inflation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | 2058-59 | 2059-60 | 2060-61 | 2061-62 | 2062-63 | 2063-64 | 2064-65 | 2065-66 | 2066-67 | 2067-68 | 2068-69 | 2069-70 | 2070-71 | 2071-72 | 2072-73 |
| Inflation Rate | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Employees | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 |
| Persons Served | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 |
| Per Capita Revenue Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Utility Users Tax [1], [3] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water Users Tax | \$14.93 | \$15.38 | \$15.84 | \$16.31 | \$16.80 | \$17.31 | \$17.83 | \$18.36 | \$18.91 | \$19.48 | \$20.06 | \$20.66 | \$21.28 | \$21.92 | \$22.58 |
| Gas Electric Steam Users Tax | \$243.71 | \$251.02 | \$258.55 | \$266.31 | \$274.30 | \$282.53 | \$291.00 | \$299.73 | \$308.73 | \$317.99 | \$327.53 | \$337.35 | \$347.47 | \$357.90 | \$368.64 |
| Telephone Users Tax | \$143.01 | \$147.30 | \$151.72 | \$156.27 | \$160.96 | \$165.78 | \$170.76 | \$175.88 | \$181.16 | \$186.59 | \$192.19 | \$197.96 | \$203.89 | \$210.01 | \$216.31 |
| Access Line Tax [1] | \$138.60 | \$142.76 | \$147.04 | \$151.46 | \$156.00 | \$160.68 | \$165.50 | \$170.47 | \$175.58 | \$180.85 | \$186.27 | \$191.86 | \$197.62 | \$203.54 | \$209.65 |
| Licenses, Permits and Franchise Fees [2] | \$85.99 | \$88.57 | \$91.22 | \$93.96 | \$96.78 | \$99.68 | \$102.67 | \$105.75 | \$108.92 | \$112.19 | \$115.56 | \$119.02 | \$122.60 | \$126.27 | \$130.06 |
| Fines and Forfeitures [2] | \$13.14 | \$13.53 | \$13.94 | \$14.36 | \$14.79 | \$15.23 | \$15.69 | \$16.16 | \$16.65 | \$17.14 | \$17.66 | \$18.19 | \$18.73 | \$19.30 | \$19.88 |
| Estimated Revenues from Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Utility Users Tax [1], [3] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water Users Tax | \$75,898 | \$78,175 | \$80,520 | \$82,935 | \$85,423 | \$87,986 | \$90,626 | \$93,344 | \$96,145 | \$99,029 | \$102,000 | \$105,060 | \$108,212 | \$111,458 | \$114,802 |
| Gas Electric Steam Users Tax | \$1,239,028 | \$1,276,199 | \$1,314,485 | \$1,353,920 | \$1,394,537 | \$1,436,374 | \$1,479,465 | \$1,523,849 | \$1,569,564 | \$1,616,651 | \$1,665,151 | \$1,715,105 | \$1,766,558 | \$1,819,555 | \$1,874,142 |
| Telephone Users Tax | \$792,404 | \$816,176 | \$840,662 | \$865,882 | \$891,858 | \$918,614 | \$946,172 | \$974,557 | \$1,003,794 | \$1,033,908 | \$1,064,925 | \$1,096,873 | \$1,129,779 | \$1,163,672 | \$1,198,583 |
| Access Line Tax [1] | \$768,005 | \$791,045 | \$814,776 | \$839,220 | \$864,396 | \$890,328 | \$917,038 | \$944,549 | \$972,886 | \$1,002,072 | \$1,032,134 | \$1,063,098 | \$1,094,991 | \$1,127,841 | \$1,161,676 |
| Licenses, Permits and Franchise Fees [2] | \$476,449 | \$490,743 | \$505,465 | \$520,629 | \$536,248 | \$552,335 | \$568,905 | \$585,972 | \$603,552 | \$621,658 | \$640,308 | \$659,517 | \$679,303 | \$699,682 | \$720,672 |
| Fines and Forfeitures [2] | \$72,809 | \$74,994 | \$77,243 | \$79,561 | \$81,948 | \$84,406 | \$86,938 | \$89,546 | \$92,233 | \$95,000 | \$97,850 | \$100,785 | \$103,809 | \$106,923 | \$110,131 |
| Total | \$3,424,594 | \$3,527,332 | \$3,633,152 | \$3,742,146 | \$3,854,411 | \$3,970,043 | \$4,089,144 | \$4,211,818 | \$4,338,173 | \$4,468,318 | \$4,602,368 | \$4,740,439 | \$4,882,652 | \$5,029,132 | \$5,180,005 |

(1] Per San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code Article 10: Utility Users Tax, non-residential users pay telephone, water, gas, electric, and steam users utility taxes; residential and non-
residential users pay cellular telephone and access line taxes. It has been assumed for purposes of these estimates that most residential users use cellular rather than land-line telephone service. [2] City and County of San Francisco, Proposed Budget, FY 2017-18.
[3] The City's proposed budget (FY2017-18) only offers the number of Utility Users Tax, the sum of Water Users Tax, Gas Electric Steam Users Tax and Telephone Users Tax. KMA assumes that the proportions of these three taxes stay same as the proportions in the City's budget in FY 2010-11.

Table A9
Expenditure Summary
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA
San Francisco, CA

| General Fund Expenditure | Estimating Procedure/ Source /Assumptions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY 2017-18 } \\ & \text { Budgeted [1] } \end{aligned}$ | Population or Persons Served | FY 2017 18 Avg. Cost | Percent Variable <br> [2] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net FY } \\ \text { 2017-18 } \\ \text { Avg. Cost } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Buildout } \\ & \text { (Uninflated) } \end{aligned}$ | Estimates with Inflation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 |
| Inflation Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Per Capita Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Administration and Finance | Persons Served | \$235,032,653 | 1,170,840 | \$200.74 | 25\% | \$50.18 | \$50.18 | \$50.18 | \$51.69 | \$53.24 | \$54.84 | \$56.48 | \$58.18 | \$59.92 | \$61.72 |
| Other Public Protection | Persons Served | \$408,859,227 | 1,170,840 | \$349.20 | 25\% | \$87.30 | \$87.30 | \$87.30 | \$89.92 | \$92.62 | \$95.40 | \$98.26 | \$101.21 | \$104.24 | \$107.37 |
| Community Health | Per Capita | \$719,03,630 | 874,228 | \$822.47 | 25\% | \$205.62 | \$205.62 | \$205.62 | \$211.79 | \$218.14 | \$224.69 | \$231.43 | \$238.37 | \$245.52 | \$252.89 |
| Human Welfare and Neigh. Dev. | Per Capita | \$447,696,064 | 874,228 | \$512.10 | 25\% | \$128.03 | \$128.03 | \$128.03 | \$131.87 | \$135.82 | \$139.90 | \$144.09 | \$148.42 | \$152.87 | \$157.46 |
| Culture and Recreation | Per Capita | \$199,921,421 | 874,228 | \$228.68 | 25\% | \$57.17 | \$57.17 | \$57.17 | \$58.89 | \$60.65 | \$62.47 | \$64.35 | \$66.28 | \$68.26 | \$70.31 |
| Public Protection - Police |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Police Officers per 1,000 (Residents + Employees) [4] | 2.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residents + Employees | Table C2 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,083 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,295 | 3,295 | 5,596 | 6,904 |
| Officers Required |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.62 | 6.62 | 11.25 | 13.88 |
| Average cost per Officer [4] | \$189,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$189,000 | \$189,000 | \$194,670 | \$200,510 | \$206,525 | \$212,721 | \$219,103 | \$225,676 | \$232,446 |
| Total Police Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,070,651 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,408,842 | \$1,451,107 | \$2,538,393 | \$3,225,665 |
| Public Protection - Fire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sworn Firefighters per 1,000 (Residents + Employees) [4] | 0.96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residents + Employees | Table C2 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,083 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,295 | 3,295 | 5,596 | 6,904 |
| Sworn Firefighters Required |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.16 | 3.16 | 5.37 | 6.63 |
| Average Cost per Sworn Firefighter [4] | \$178,329 |  |  |  |  |  | \$178,329 | \$178,329 | \$183,679 | \$189,189 | \$194,865 | \$200,711 | \$206,732 | \$212,934 | \$219,322 |
| Total Fire Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,383,776 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$634,889 | \$653,935 | \$1,143,916 | \$1,453,632 |
| Persons Served | Table C2 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,541 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,260 | 2,260 | 3,703 | 4,735 |
| Project Residents | Table C2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,225 | 1,225 | 1,810 | 2,565 |
| Total Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Administration and Finance |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$278,073 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$127,652 | \$131,482 | \$221,895 | \$292,248 |
| Public Protection - Police |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,070,651 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,408,842 | \$1,451,107 | \$2,538,393 | \$3,225,665 |
| Public Protection - Fire |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,383,776 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$634,889 | \$653,935 | \$1,143,916 | \$1,453,632 |
| Other Public Protection |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$483,732 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$222,062 | \$228,724 | \$386,005 | \$508,390 |
| Community Health |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$616,651 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$283,496 | \$292,001 | \$444,390 | \$648,650 |
| Human Welfare and Neigh. Dev. |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$383,950 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$176,516 | \$181,811 | \$276,694 | \$403,875 |
| Culture and Recreation |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$171,455 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$78,824 | \$81,189 | \$123,560 | \$180,353 |
| Total Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$6,388,288 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,932,280 | \$3,020,249 | \$5,134,855 | \$6,712,812 |

[^20]Table A9
Expenditure Summary
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA
General Fund Expenditure

|  | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 | 2029-30 | 2030-31 | 2031-32 | 2032-33 | 2033-34 | 2034.35 | 2035-36 | 2036-37 | 2037-38 | 2038-39 | 2039-40 | 2040-41 | 2041-42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inflation Rate | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Per Capita Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Administration and Finance | \$63.57 | \$65.48 | \$67.44 | \$69.47 | \$71.55 | \$73.70 | \$75.91 | \$78.19 | \$80.53 | \$82.95 | \$85.44 | \$88.00 | \$90.64 | \$93.36 | \$96.16 | \$99.04 | \$102.02 |
| Other Public Protection | \$110.59 | \$113.91 | \$117.32 | \$120.84 | \$124.47 | \$128.20 | \$132.05 | \$136.01 | \$140.09 | \$144.29 | \$148.62 | \$153.08 | \$157.67 | \$162.40 | \$167.28 | \$172.29 | \$177.46 |
| Community Health | \$260.47 | \$268.29 | \$276.33 | \$284.62 | \$293.16 | \$301.96 | \$311.02 | \$320.35 | \$329.96 | \$339.86 | \$350.05 | \$360.55 | \$371.37 | \$382.51 | \$393.99 | \$405.81 | \$417.98 |
| Human Welfare and Neigh. Dev. | \$162.18 | \$167.05 | \$172.06 | \$177.22 | \$182.53 | \$188.01 | \$193.65 | \$199.46 | \$205.44 | \$211.61 | \$217.96 | \$224.49 | \$231.23 | \$238.17 | \$245.31 | \$252.67 | \$260.25 |
| Culture and Recreation | \$72.42 | \$74.59 | \$76.83 | \$79.14 | \$81.51 | \$83.96 | \$86.48 | \$89.07 | \$91.74 | \$94.49 | \$97.33 | \$100.25 | \$103.26 | \$106.35 | \$109.55 | \$112.8 | \$116.22 |
| Public Protection - Police |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Police Officers per 1,000 (Residents + Employees) [4] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residents + Employees | 7,906 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 |
| Officers Required | 15.89 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 |
| Average cost per Officer [4] | \$239,420 | \$246,602 | \$254,000 | \$261,620 | \$269,469 | \$277,553 | \$285,879 | \$294,456 | \$303,290 | \$312,388 | \$321,760 | \$331,413 | \$341,355 | \$351,596 | \$362,144 | \$373,008 | \$384,198 |
| Total Police Cost | \$3,804,630 | \$4,006,503 | \$4,126,698 | \$4,250,499 | \$4,388,014 | \$4,509,354 | \$4,644,635 | \$4,783,974 | \$4,927,493 | \$5,075,318 | \$5,227,578 | \$5,384,405 | \$5,545,937 | \$5,712,315 | \$5,883,685 | \$6,060,195 | \$6,242,001 |
| Public Protection - Fire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sworn Firefighters per 1,000 (Residents + Employees) [4] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residents + Employees | 7,906 | 8,083 | 8,883 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,883 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,883 | 8,083 | 8,083 |
| Sworn Firefighters Required | 7.59 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 |
| Average Cost per Sworn Firefighter [4] | \$225,902 | \$232,679 | \$239,659 | \$246,849 | \$254,255 | \$261,882 | \$269,739 | \$277,831 | \$286,166 | \$294,751 | \$303,593 | \$312,701 | \$322,082 | \$331,744 | \$341,697 | \$351,948 | \$362,506 |
| Total Fire Cost | \$1,714,541 | \$1,805,514 | \$1,859,679 | \$1,915,470 | \$1,972,934 | \$2,032,122 | \$2,093,085 | \$2,155,878 | \$2,220,554 | \$2,287,171 | \$2,355,786 | \$2,426,460 | \$2,499,253 | \$2,574,231 | \$2,651,458 | \$2,731,002 | \$2,812,932 |
| Persons Served | 5,453 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 |
| Project Residents | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 |
| Total Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Administration and Finance | \$346,660 | \$362,822 | \$373,707 | \$384,918 | \$396,466 | \$408,360 | \$420,610 | \$433,229 | \$446,226 | \$459,612 | \$473,401 | \$487,603 | \$502,231 | \$517,298 | \$532,817 | \$548,801 | \$565,265 |
| Public Protection - Police | \$3,804,630 | \$4,006,503 | \$4,126,698 | \$4,250,499 | \$4,378,014 | \$4,509,354 | \$4,644,635 | \$4,783,974 | \$4,927,493 | \$5,075,318 | \$5,227,578 | \$5,384,405 | \$5,545,937 | \$5,712,315 | \$5,883,685 | \$6,060,195 | \$6,242,001 |
| Public Protection - Fire | \$1,714,541 | \$1,805,514 | \$1,859,679 | \$1,915,470 | \$1,972,934 | \$2,032,122 | \$2,093,085 | \$2,15, 878 | \$2,220,554 | \$2,287,171 | \$2,355,786 | \$2,426,460 | \$2,499,253 | \$2,574,231 | \$2,651,458 | \$2,731,002 | \$2,812,932 |
| Other Public Protection | \$603,045 | \$631,160 | \$650,095 | \$669,598 | \$689,686 | \$710,376 | \$731,687 | \$753,638 | \$776,247 | \$799,535 | \$823,521 | \$848,226 | \$873,673 | \$899,883 | \$926,880 | \$954,686 | \$983,327 |
| Community Health | \$781,155 | \$804,589 | \$828,727 | \$853,589 | \$879,196 | \$905,572 | \$932,739 | \$960,722 | \$989,543 | \$1,019,229 | \$1,049,806 | \$1,081,301 | \$1,113,740 | \$1,147,152 | \$1,18,566 | \$1,217,013 | \$1,253,524 |
| Human Welfare and Neigh. Dev. | \$486,377 | \$500,968 | \$515,997 | \$531,477 | \$547,421 | \$563,844 | \$580,759 | \$598,182 | \$616,128 | \$634,611 | \$653,650 | \$673,259 | \$693,457 | \$714,261 | \$735,689 | \$757,759 | \$780,492 |
| Culture and Recreation | \$217,195 | \$223,710 | \$230,422 | \$237,334 | \$244,454 | \$251,788 | \$29,342 | \$267,122 | \$275,136 | \$283,390 | \$291,891 | \$300,648 | \$309,667 | \$318,957 | \$328,526 | \$338,382 | \$348,533 |
| Total Expenditures | \$7,953,602 | \$8,335,266 | \$8,585,324 | \$8,842,884 | \$9,108,171 | \$9,381,416 | \$9,662,858 | \$9,952,744 | \$10,251,326 | \$10,558,866 | \$10,875,632 | \$11,201,901 | \$11,537,958 | \$11,884,097 | \$12,240,620 | \$12,607,838 | \$12,986,074 |

[^21]Table A9
Expenditure Summary
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco,
San Francisco, CA
General Fund Expenditure

|  | 2042-43 | 2043-44 | 2044-45 | 2045-46 | 2046-47 | 2047-48 | 2048-49 | 2049-50 | 2050-51 | 2051-52 | 2052-53 | 2053-54 | 2054-55 | 2055-56 | 2056-57 | 2057-58 | 2058-59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inflation Rate | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Per Capita Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Administration and Finance | \$105.08 | \$108.23 | \$111.47 | \$114.82 | \$118.26 | \$121.81 | \$125.47 | \$129.23 | \$133.11 | \$137.10 | \$141.21 | \$145.45 | \$149.81 | \$154.31 | \$158.94 | \$163.70 | \$168.62 |
| Other Public Protection | \$182.79 | \$188.27 | \$193.92 | \$199.74 | \$205.73 | \$211.90 | \$218.26 | \$224.81 | \$231.55 | \$238.50 | \$245.65 | \$253.02 | \$260.61 | \$268.43 | \$276.48 | \$284.78 | \$293.32 |
| Community Health | \$430.52 | \$443.44 | \$456.74 | \$470.44 | \$484.55 | \$499.09 | \$514.06 | \$529.49 | \$545.37 | \$561.73 | \$578.58 | \$595.94 | \$613.82 | \$632.23 | \$651.20 | \$670.74 | \$690.86 |
| Human Welfare and Neigh. Dev. | \$268.06 | \$276.10 | \$284.38 | \$292.91 | \$301.70 | \$310.75 | \$320.08 | \$329.68 | \$339.57 | \$349.76 | \$360.25 | \$371.06 | \$382.19 | \$393.65 | \$405.46 | \$417.63 | \$430.15 |
| Culture and Recreation | \$119.70 | \$123.29 | \$126.99 | \$130.80 | \$134.73 | \$138.77 | \$142.93 | \$147.22 | \$151.64 | \$156.19 | \$160.87 | \$165.70 | \$170.67 | \$175.79 | \$181.06 | \$186.49 | \$192.09 |
| Public Protection - Police |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Police Officers per 1,000 (Residents + Employees) [4] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residents + Employees | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,883 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,883 | 8,083 | 8,083 |
| Officers Required | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 |
| Average cost per Officer [4] | \$395,724 | \$407,596 | \$419,824 | \$432,418 | \$445,391 | \$458,753 | \$472,515 | \$486,691 | \$501,291 | \$516,330 | \$531,820 | \$547,775 | \$564,208 | \$581,134 | \$598,568 | \$616,525 | \$635,021 |
| Total Police Cost | \$6,429,261 | \$6,622,139 | \$6,820,803 | \$7,025,427 | \$7,236,190 | \$7,453,276 | \$7,676,874 | \$7,907,180 | \$8,144,396 | \$8,388,727 | \$8,640,389 | \$8,899,601 | \$9,166,589 | \$9,441,587 | \$9,724,834 | \$10,016,579 | \$10,317,077 |
| Public Protection - Fire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sworn Firefighters per 1,000 (Residents + Employees) [4] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residents + Employees | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,883 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 |
| Sworn Firefighters Required | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 |
| Average Cost per Sworn Firefighter [4] | \$373,381 | \$384,583 | \$396,120 | \$408,004 | \$420,244 | \$432,851 | \$445,837 | \$459,212 | \$472,988 | \$487,178 | \$501,793 | \$516,847 | \$532,352 | \$548,323 | \$564,773 | \$581,716 | 599,167 |
| Total Fire Cost | \$2,897,320 | \$2,984,239 | \$3,073,766 | \$3,165,979 | \$3,260,959 | \$3,358,787 | \$3,45,551 | \$3,563,338 | \$3,670,238 | \$3,780,345 | \$3,893,755 | \$4,010,568 | \$4,130,885 | \$4,254,812 | \$4,382,456 | \$4,513,930 | \$4,649,347 |
| Persons Served | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 |
| Project Residents | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 |
| Total Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Administration and Finance | \$582,223 | \$599,690 | \$617,680 | \$636,211 | \$655,297 | \$674,956 | \$695,205 | \$716,061 | \$737,543 | \$759,669 | \$782,459 | \$805,933 | \$830,111 | \$855,014 | \$880,665 | \$907,085 | \$934,297 |
| Public Protection - Police | \$6,429,261 | \$6,622,139 | \$6,820,803 | \$7,025,427 | \$7,236,190 | \$7,453,276 | \$7,676,874 | \$7,907,180 | \$8,144,396 | \$8,388,727 | \$8,40,389 | \$8,899,601 | \$9,166,589 | \$9,441,587 | \$9,724,834 | \$10,016,579 | \$10,317,077 |
| Public Protection - Fire | \$2,897,320 | \$2,984,239 | \$3,073,766 | \$3,165,979 | \$3,260,959 | \$3,358,787 | \$3,459,551 | \$3,563,338 | \$3,670,238 | \$3,780,345 | \$3,893,755 | \$4,010,568 | \$4,130,885 | \$4,254,812 | \$4,382,456 | \$4,513,930 | \$4,649,347 |
| Other Public Protection | \$1,012,826 | \$1,043,211 | \$1,074,508 | \$1,106,743 | \$1,139,945 | \$1,174,143 | \$1,209,368 | \$1,245,649 | \$1,28,018 | \$1,321,509 | \$1,361,154 | \$1,401,989 | \$1,444,048 | \$1,48,370 | \$1,531,991 | \$1,577,951 | \$1,625,289 |
| Community Health | \$1,291,129 | \$1,329,863 | \$1,369,759 | \$1,410,852 | \$1,453,178 | \$1,496,773 | \$1,541,676 | \$1,587,926 | \$1,635,564 | \$1,884,631 | \$1,735,170 | \$1,787,225 | \$1,840,842 | \$1,896,067 | \$1,952,949 | \$2,011,538 | \$2,071,884 |
| Human Welfare and Neigh. Dev. | \$803,907 | \$828,024 | \$852,865 | \$878,451 | \$904,804 | \$931,948 | \$959,907 | \$988,704 | \$1,018,365 | \$1,048,916 | \$1,080,383 | \$1,112,795 | \$1,146,179 | \$1,180,564 | \$1,215,981 | \$1,252,460 | \$1,290,034 |
| Culture and Recreation | \$358,989 | \$369,759 | \$380,852 | \$392,277 | \$404,046 | \$416,167 | \$428,652 | \$441,512 | \$454,757 | \$468,400 | \$482,452 | \$496,925 | \$511,833 | \$527,188 | \$543,004 | \$559,294 | \$576,073 |
| Total Expenditures | \$13,375,656 | \$13,776,925 | \$14,190,233 | \$14,615,940 | \$15,054,418 | \$15,506,051 | \$15,971,232 | \$16,450,369 | \$16,943,881 | \$17,452,197 | \$17,975,763 | \$18,515,036 | \$19,070,487 | \$19,642,601 | \$20,231,879 | \$20,838,836 | \$21,464,001 |

[^22]Table A9
Expenditure Summary
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

General Fund Expenditure


## Table A10

Annual Recurring Police and Fire Department Expenditures
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Item | Assumption/ Source | Buildout(Uninflated) | Revenue Added to Tax Roll (\$ with inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 |
| Inflation Rate |  |  |  | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Police Department Expenidtures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Police Officers per 1,000 (Residents + Employees) [1] | 2.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residents + Employees | Table C2 | 8,083 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,295 | 3,295 | 5,596 | 6,904 | 7,906 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 |
| Police Officers Required |  | 16.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.62 | 6.62 | 11.25 | 13.88 | 15.89 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 |
| Average cost per Officer [2] | \$189,000 | \$189,000 | \$189,000 | \$194,670 | \$200,510 | \$206,525 | \$212,721 | \$219,103 | \$225,676 | \$232,446 | \$239,420 | \$246,602 | \$254,000 | \$261,620 |
| Total Police Cost |  | \$3,070,651 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,408,842 | \$1,451,107 | \$2,538,393 | \$3,225,665 | \$3,804,630 | \$4,006,503 | \$4,126,698 | \$4,250,499 |
| Fire Department Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sworn Firefighters per 1,000 (Residents + Employees) [3] | 0.96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residents + Employees | Table C2 | 8,083 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,295 | 3,295 | 5,596 | 6,904 | 7,906 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 |
| Sworn Firefighters Required |  | 7.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.16 | 3.16 | 5.37 | 6.63 | 7.59 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 |
| Average Cost per Sworn Firefighter [2] | \$178,329 | \$178,329 | \$178,329 | \$183,679 | \$189,189 | \$194,865 | \$200,711 | \$206,732 | \$212,934 | \$219,322 | \$225,902 | \$232,679 | \$239,659 | \$246,849 |
| Total Fire Cost |  | \$1,383,776 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$634,889 | \$653,935 | \$1,143,916 | \$1,453,632 | \$1,714,541 | \$1,805,514 | \$1,859,679 | \$1,915,470 |

[1] As Per Seawall Lot 337 and Pier 48 EIR (Aug 26, 2017), service ratio is 2.01 officers per 1000 residents. The EIR calculates the total required officers based on total residents (including duced residents), while this analysis calculates it based on the sum of on-site residents and employees.
21) Based on Pier 70 Fiscal and Economic Analysis Update, August 31, 2017
[3] As Per Seawall Lot 337 and Pier 48 EIR (Aug 26, 2017), the ratio of fire personnel to (Residents + Employee) is 0.96 .

## Table A10

Annual Recurring Police and Fire Department Expenditures
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Item | Revenue Added to Tax Roll (\$ with inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2029-30 | 2030-31 | 2031-32 | 2032-33 | 2033-34 | 2034-35 | 2035-36 | 2036-37 | 2037-38 | 2038-39 | 2039-40 | 2040-41 | 2041-42 | 2042-43 |
| Inflation Rate | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Police Department Expenidtures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Police Officers per 1,000 (Residents + Employees) [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residents + Employees | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 |
| Police Officers Required | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 |
| Average cost per Officer [2] | \$269,469 | \$277,553 | \$285,879 | \$294,456 | \$303,290 | \$312,388 | \$321,760 | \$331,413 | \$341,355 | \$351,596 | \$362,144 | \$373,008 | \$384,198 | \$395,724 |
| Total Police Cost | \$4,378,014 | \$4,509,354 | \$4,644,635 | \$4,783,974 | \$4,927,493 | \$5,075,318 | \$5,227,578 | \$5,384,405 | \$5,545,937 | \$5,712,315 | \$5,883,685 | \$6,060,195 | \$6,242,001 | \$6,429,261 |
| Fire Department Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sworn Firefighters per 1,000 (Residents + Employees) [3] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residents + Employees | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 |
| Sworn Firefighters Required | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 |
| Average Cost per Sworn Firefighter [2] | \$254,255 | \$261,882 | \$269,739 | \$277,831 | \$286,166 | \$294,751 | \$303,593 | \$312,701 | \$322,082 | \$331,744 | \$341,697 | \$351,948 | \$362,506 | \$373,381 |
| Total Fire Cost | \$1,972,934 | \$2,032,122 | \$2,093,085 | \$2,155,878 | \$2,220,554 | \$2,287,171 | \$2,355,786 | \$2,426,460 | \$2,499,253 | \$2,574,231 | \$2,651,458 | \$2,731,002 | \$2,812,932 | \$2,897,320 |

[1] As Per Seawall Lot 337 and Pier 48 EIR (Aug 26, 2017), service ratio is 2.01 officers per 1000 residents. The EIR calculates the total required officers based on total residents (including duced residents), while this analysis calculates it based on the sum of on-site residents and employees.
[2] Based on Pier 70 Fiscal and Economic Analysis Update, August 31, 2017
[3] As Per Seawall Lot 337 and Pier 48 EIR (Aug 26, 2017), the ratio of fire personnel to (Residents + Employee) is 0.96 .

## Table A10

Annual Recurring Police and Fire Department Expenditures
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Item | Revenue Added to Tax Roll (\$ with inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2043-44 | 2044-45 | 2045-46 | 2046-47 | 2047-48 | 2048-49 | 2049-50 | 2050-51 | 2051-52 | 2052-53 | 2053-54 | 2054-55 | 2055-56 | 2056-57 |
| Inflation Rate | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Police Department Expenidtures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Police Officers per 1,000 (Residents + Employees) [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residents + Employees | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 |
| Police Officers Required | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 |
| Average cost per Officer [2] | \$407,596 | \$419,824 | \$432,418 | \$445,391 | \$458,753 | \$472,515 | \$486,691 | \$501,291 | \$516,330 | \$531,820 | \$547,775 | \$564,208 | \$581,134 | \$598,568 |
| Total Police Cost | \$6,622,139 | \$6,820,803 | \$7,025,427 | \$7,236,190 | \$7,453,276 | \$7,676,874 | \$7,907,180 | \$8,144,396 | \$8,388,727 | \$8,640,389 | \$8,899,601 | \$9,166,589 | \$9,441,587 | \$9,724,834 |
| Fire Department Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sworn Firefighters per 1,000 (Residents + Employees) [3] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residents + Employees | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 |
| Sworn Firefighters Required | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 |
| Average Cost per Sworn Firefighter [2] | \$384,583 | \$396,120 | \$408,004 | \$420,244 | \$432,851 | \$445,837 | \$459,212 | \$472,988 | \$487,178 | \$501,793 | \$516,847 | \$532,352 | \$548,323 | \$564,773 |
| Total Fire Cost | \$2,984,239 | \$3,073,766 | \$3,165,979 | \$3,260,959 | \$3,358,787 | \$3,459,551 | \$3,563,338 | \$3,670,238 | \$3,780,345 | \$3,893,755 | \$4,010,568 | \$4,130,885 | \$4,254,812 | \$4,382,456 |

[1] As Per Seawall Lot 337 and Pier 48 EIR (Aug 26, 2017), service ratio is 2.01 officers per 1000 residents. The EIR calculates the total required officers based on total residents (including diced residents), while this analysis calculates it based on the sum of on-site residents and employees.
[2] Based on Pier 70 Fiscal and Economic Analysis Update, August 31, 2017
[3] As Per Seawall Lot 337 and Pier 48 EIR (Aug 26, 2017), the ratio of fire personnel to (Residents + Employee) is 0.96 .

## Table A10

Annual Recurring Police and Fire Department Expenditures
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Item | Revenue Added to Tax Roll (\$ with inflation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2057-58 | 2058-59 | 2059-60 | 2060-61 | 2061-62 | 2062-63 | 2063-64 | 2064-65 | 2065-66 | 2066-67 | 2067-68 | 2068-69 | 2069-70 |
| Inflation Rate | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Police Department Expenidtures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Police Officers per 1,000 (Residents + Employees) [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residents + Employees | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 |
| Police Officers Required | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 |
| Average cost per Officer [2] | \$616,525 | \$635,021 | \$654,072 | \$673,694 | \$693,904 | \$714,722 | \$736,163 | \$758,248 | \$780,996 | \$804,425 | \$828,558 | \$853,415 | \$879,017 |
| Total Police Cost | \$10,016,579 | \$10,317,077 | \$10,626,589 | \$10,945,387 | \$11,273,748 | \$11,611,961 | \$11,960,319 | \$12,319,129 | \$12,688,703 | \$13,069,364 | \$13,461,445 | \$13,865,288 | \$14,281,247 |
| Fire Department Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sworn Firefighters per 1,000 (Residents + Employees) [3] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residents + Employees | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 |
| Sworn Firefighters Required | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 |
| Average Cost per Sworn Firefighter [2] | \$581,716 | \$599,167 | \$617,142 | \$635,657 | \$654,726 | \$674,368 | \$694,599 | \$715,437 | \$736,900 | \$759,007 | \$781,778 | \$805,231 | \$829,388 |
| Total Fire Cost | \$4,513,930 | \$4,649,347 | \$4,788,828 | \$4,932,493 | \$5,080,467 | \$5,232,881 | \$5,389,868 | \$5,551,564 | \$5,718,111 | \$5,889,654 | \$6,066,344 | \$6,248,334 | \$6,435,784 |

[1] As Per Seawall Lot 337 and Pier 48 EIR (Aug 26, 2017), service ratio is 2.01 officers per 1000 residents. The EIR calculates the total required officers based on total residents (including diced residents), while this analysis calculates it based on the sum of on-site residents and employees.
[2] Based on Pier 70 Fiscal and Economic Analysis Update, August 31, 2017
[3] As Per Seawall Lot 337 and Pier 48 EIR (Aug 26, 2017), the ratio of fire personnel to (Residents + Employee) is 0.96 .

Table A10
Annual Recurring Police and Fire Department Expenditures
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Item | Revenue Added to Tax Roll (\$ with inflation) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2070-71 | 2071-72 | 2071-73 |
| Inflation Rate | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| Police Department Expenidtures |  |  |  |
| Police Officers per 1,000 (Residents + Employees) [1] |  |  |  |
| Residents + Employees | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 |
| Police Officers Required | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 |
| Average cost per Officer [2] | \$905,388 | \$932,550 | \$960,526 |
| Total Police Cost | \$14,709,684 | \$15,150,975 | \$15,605,504 |
| Fire Department Expenditures |  |  |  |
| Sworn Firefighters per 1,000 (Residents + Employees) [3] |  |  |  |
| Residents + Employees | 8,083 | 8,083 | 8,083 |
| Sworn Firefighters Required | 7.76 | 7.76 | 7.76 |
| Average Cost per Sworn Firefighter [2] | \$854,269 | \$879,898 | \$906,294 |
| Total Fire Cost | \$6,628,858 | \$6,827,723 | \$7,032,555 |

Table B1
Vertical Construction Completion Schedule
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

|  |  |  | Fiscal Year of Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 |
|  | Assumed |  | Assessed Value Added to Tax Roll (FY) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development [2] | Scope (SF) | Total | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 | 2029-30 | 2030-31 |


| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Market Rate Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR | 450 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 38 | 51 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath | 700 | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 63 | 81 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 BDR / 2 Bath | 1,000 | 261 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 51 | 65 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath | 1,355 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal |  | 801 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 327 | 0 | 156 | 202 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45\% AMI Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR | 450 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath | 700 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 BDR / 2 Bath | 1,000 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath | 1,355 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal |  | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 55\% AMI units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR | 450 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath | 700 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 10 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 BDR / 2 Bath | 1,000 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath | 1,355 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal |  | 131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 26 | 33 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $90 \%$ AMI Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR | 450 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath | 700 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 BDR / 2 Bath | 1,000 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath | 1,355 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal |  | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 120\% AMI Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR | 450 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 11 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath | 700 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 18 | 23 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 BDR / 2 Bath | 1,000 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 14 | 19 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath | 1,355 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal |  | 228 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 44 | 57 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 150\% AMI Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR | 450 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath | 700 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 BDR / 2 Bath | 1,000 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath | 1,355 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal |  | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 18 | 23 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential Units Total |  | 1,327 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 542 | 0 | 259 | 334 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail GSF |  | 248,931 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 98,865 | 0 | 56,764 | 38,579 | 44,723 | 10,000 |  |  |
| Office GSF |  | 1,231,091 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 522,352 | 0 | 449,823 | 129,458 | 129,458 |  |  |  |
| Production GSF |  | 202,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 202,500 |  |  |
| Parking Spaces GSF |  | 983,876 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 983,876 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assumed Businesses [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20,000 SF Store | 20,000 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 10,000 SF Store | 10,000 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| 7,400 SF Store | 7,400 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 4,000 SF Store | 4,000 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 2,500 SF Store | 2,500 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1,500 Sf Store | 1,500 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 1 | 6 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Medium Office | 5,000 | 147 |  |  |  |  |  | 64 |  | 51 | 16 | 16 |  |  |  |
| Larger Office | 50,000 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Production Factory | 200,000 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |

[1] KMA's assumptions.
[2] There are no absorption schedules after FY2025-26 in the current underwirting provided by Seawall Lot 337 Associates, LLC

Table B2
Program Schedule
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

|  | Leasehold Interest Sale | Year of Vertical Completion [1] | Fiscal Year of Vertical Completion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Retail GSF } \\ {[1]} \end{gathered}$ | Office GSF <br> [1] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Residential } \\ \text { GSF [1] } \end{gathered}$ | Parking GSF [1] | Production GSF [1] | Total GSF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sub-Project Area I-1 (Block A) | 10/31/2019 | 5/31/2022 | 2021-22 | 34,080 | 0 | 379,820 | 0 | 0 | 413,900 |
| Sub-Project Area I-2 (Block B) | 10/31/2019 | 8/31/2021 | 2021-22 | 32,096 | 242,654 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 274,750 |
| Sub-Project Area I-3 (Block C) | 1/31/2021 | 8/31/2023 | 2023-24 | 30,278 | 324,548 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 354,826 |
| Sub-Project Area I-4 (Block D1 \& D2) | 1/31/2021 | 8/31/2023 | 2023-24 | 10,431 | 0 | 240,494 | 983,876 | 0 | 1,234,801 |
| Sub-Project Area l-13 (Mission Square) | 1/31/2021 | 8/31/2023 | 2023-24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sub-Project Area I-5 (Block E) | 4/30/2022 | 2/29/2024 | 2023-24 | 16,055 | 125,275 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141,330 |
| Sub-Project Area I-6 (BlockF) | 4/30/2022 | 11/30/2024 | 2024-25 | 16,055 | 0 | 307,720 | 0 | 0 | 323,775 |
| Sub-Project Area I-7 (Block G) | 10/31/2019 | 5/31/2022 | 2021-22 | 23,366 | 279,698 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 303,064 |
| Sub-Project Area I-8 (Block H) | 7/31/2023 | 5/31/2025 | 2024-25 | 22,524 | 129,458 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151,982 |
| Sub-Project Area I-9 (Block I) | 7/31/2023 | 11/30/2025 | 2025-26 | 22,199 | 0 | 178,116 | 0 | 0 | 200,315 |
| Sub-Project Area I-10 (Block J) | 7/31/2023 | 5/31/2025 | 2025-26 | 22,524 | 129,458 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151,982 |
| Sub-Project Area I-11 (Block K) | 10/31/2019 | 2/28/2022 | 2021-22 | 9,323 | 0 | 121,146 | 0 | 0 | 130,469 |
| Sub-Project Area I-12 (Pier 48) | 7/31/2023 | 8/30/2026 | 2026-27 | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 202,500 | 212,500 |
| Total SF [2] |  |  |  | 248,931 | 1,231,091 | 1,227,296 | 983,876 | 202,500 | 3,893,694 |

[1] Provided by the Seawall Lot 337 Associates, LLC.

Table B3. 1
Assessed Values and Land Costs by Parcel
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisoo,
San Francisco, CA

| Year of Vertical Completion | Residential GSF |  |  | Commercial GSF [1] |  |  |  |  | Total GSF |  | Estimated FY 2017-18 Assessed Value (AV) (Land Costs before Leasehold Interest Sale) [2] |  |  |  | Land \& Horizontal Costs at Leasehold Interest Sale (\$ in FY2017-18) [3] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unit | SF | SF\% | Retail | Office | Prodct. | Total | \% | SF | \% | Residential | Commercial | Total | AV/SF | Residential | \% | Commercial | \% | Total |
|  | $a$ | b | c | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $e$ | $f$ | $g$ | ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | i | j | $k=16,014,000$ * $c$ | $t=16,014,000$ * $h$ | $m=k+1$ |  | ${ }^{n=42,152 *} a$ |  | $0=49^{*} d+69^{*}+49^{* *} f$ |  | $p=n+o$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$42,152/unit |  | Retail: $549 / 5 \mathrm{~F}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | office: $569 / 5 \mathrm{~F}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Production: $549 / 5 \mathrm{~F}$ |  |  |
| Subproject Area 1 (Parcel A) | 411 | 379,820 | 13.1\% | 34,080 | 0 | 0 | 34,080 | 1.2\% | 413,900 | 14.2\% | \$2,090,315 | \$187,557 | \$2,277,873 | \$5.50 | \$17,324,290 | 31.0\% | \$1,673,201 | 1.6\% | \$18,997,491 |
| Subproject Area 2 (Parcel B) | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 32,096 | 242,654 | 0 | 274,750 | 9.4\% | 274,750 | 9.4\% | \$0 | \$1,512,069 | \$1,512,069 | \$5.50 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$18,315,671 | 17.1\% | \$18,315,671 |
| Subproject Area 3 (Parcel C) | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 30,278 | 324,548 | 0 | 354,826 | 12.2\% | 354,826 | 12.2\% | \$0 | \$1,952,763 | \$1,952,763 | \$5.50 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$23,876,003 | 22.3\% | \$23,876,003 |
| Subproject Area 4 (Parcel D1 \& D2) | 259 | 240,494 | 8.3\% | 10,431 | 0 | 0 | 10,431 | 0.4\% | 250,925 | 8.6\% | \$1,323,544 | \$57,406 | \$1,380,950 | \$5.50 | \$10,917,253 | 19.5\% | \$512,123 | 0.5\% | \$11,429,376 |
| Subproject Area 13 (Mission Square) [1] | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | NA | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| Subproject Area 5 (Parcel E) | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 16,055 | 125,275 | 0 | 141,330 | 4.9\% | 141,330 | 4.9\% | \$0 | \$777,801 | \$777,801 | \$5.50 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$9,430,538 | 8.8\% | \$9,430,538 |
| Subproject Area 6 (Parcel F) | 334 | 307,720 | 10.6\% | 16,055 | 0 | 0 | 16,055 | 0.6\% | 323,775 | 11.1\% | \$1,693,518 | \$88,358 | \$1,781,875 | \$5.50 | \$14,078,620 | 25.2\% | \$788,241 | 0.7\% | \$14,866,861 |
| Subproject Area 7 (Parcel G) | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 23,366 | 279,698 | 0 | 303,064 | 10.4\% | 303,064 | 10.4\% | \$0 | \$1,667,894 | \$1,667,894 | \$5.50 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$20,442,600 | 19.1\% | \$20,442,600 |
| Subproject Area 8 (Parcel H) | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 22,524 | 129,458 | 0 | 151,982 | 5.2\% | 151,982 | 5.2\% | \$0 | \$836,423 | \$836,423 | \$5.50 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$10,036,713 | 9.4\% | \$10,036,713 |
| Subproject Area 9 (Parcel I) | 192 | 178,116 | 6.1\% | 22,199 | 0 | 0 | 22,199 | 0.8\% | 200,315 | 6.9\% | \$980,250 | \$122,171 | \$1,102,421 | \$5.50 | \$8,093,099 | 14.5\% | \$1,089,888 | 1.0\% | \$9,182,987 |
| Subproject Area 10 (Parcel J) | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 22,524 | 129,458 | 0 | 151,982 | 5.2\% | 151,982 | 5.2\% | \$0 | \$836,423 | \$836,423 | \$5.50 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$10,036,713 | 9.4\% | \$10,036,713 |
| Subproject Area 11 (Parcel K) | 131 | 121,146 | 4.2\% | 9,323 | 0 | 0 | 9,323 | 0.3\% | 130,469 | 4.5\% | \$666,719 | \$51,309 | \$718,028 | \$5.50 | \$5,521,854 | 9.9\% | \$457,725 | 0.4\% | \$5,979,579 |
| Subproject Area 12 (Pier 48) | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 10,000 | 0 | 202,500 | 212,500 | 7.3\% | 212,500 | 7.3\% | \$0 | \$1,169,480 | \$1,169,480 | \$5.50 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$10,432,959 | 9.7\% | \$10,432,959 |
| Total | 1,327 | 1,227,296 | 42.2\% | 248,931 | 1,231,091 | 202,500 | 1,682,522 | 57.8\% | 2,909,818 | 100.0\% | \$6,754,346 | \$9,259,654 | \$16,014,000 |  | \$55,935,116 | 100.0\% | \$107,092,374 | 100.0\% | \$163,027,490 |

[1] The materials provided by Seawall Lot 337 Associates, LLC indicate the land costs of parking is $\$ 0$.
[2] Base year Aggregate AV is AV in FY 2017/18, which is $\$ 16,014,000$.
[3] Land costs per SF or unit are in Table B3.2

Table B3.2
Per Net SF Vertical Development Costs (\$2017)
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Land Use Component | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Units } \\ \quad / \mathrm{SF} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Development Cost (\$ in FY2017-18) |  |  |  | Development Cost per Unit/SF (\$ in FY2017-18) [1] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Hard Costs | Soft Costs | Land Value | Total | Land Cost at Leasehold Interest Sale | Vertical Development Cost |  |  | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hard Costs | Soft Costs | Subtotal |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail | 248,931 | \$88,395,161 | \$28,230,527 | \$12,221,585 | \$128,847,274 | \$49 | \$355 | \$113 | \$469 | \$518 |
| Office | 1,231,091 | \$476,782,848 | \$188,877,306 | \$84,928,793 | \$750,588,947 | \$69 | \$387 | \$153 | \$541 | \$610 |
| Production | 202,500 | \$48,000,000 | \$32,000,000 | \$9,941,996 | \$89,941,996 | \$49 | \$237 | \$158 | \$395 | \$444 |
| Parking | 983,876 | \$180,000,000 | \$120,000,000 | \$0 | \$300,000,000 | \$0 | \$183 | \$122 | \$305 | \$305 |
| Subtotal - Commercial | 2,666,398 | \$793,178,009 | \$369,107,833 | \$107,092,374 | \$1,269,378,217 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR | 200 | \$87,614,958 | \$20,887,466 | \$8,430,311 | \$116,932,735 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath | 320 | \$140,183,933 | \$33,419,945 | \$13,488,498 | \$187,092,376 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| 2 BDR / 2 Bath | 261 | \$114,337,520 | \$27,258,143 | \$11,001,556 | \$152,597,219 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath | 20 | \$8,761,496 | \$2,088,747 | \$843,031 | \$11,693,273 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| BMR - 45\% AMI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR | 7 | \$3,066,524 | \$731,061 | \$295,061 | \$4,092,646 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath | 11 | \$4,818,823 | \$1,148,811 | \$463,667 | \$6,431,300 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| 2 BDR / 2 Bath | 9 | \$3,942,673 | \$939,936 | \$379,364 | \$5,261,973 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| BMR-55\% AMI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR | 33 | \$14,456,468 | \$3,446,432 | \$1,391,001 | \$19,293,901 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath | 52 | \$22,779,889 | \$5,430,741 | \$2,191,881 | \$30,402,511 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| 2 BDR / 2 Bath | 42 | \$18,399,141 | \$4,386,368 | \$1,770,365 | \$24,555,874 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath | 4 | \$1,752,299 | \$417,749 | \$168,606 | \$2,338,655 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| BMR - 90\% AMI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR | 13 | \$5,694,972 | \$1,357,685 | \$547,970 | \$7,600,628 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath | 21 | \$9,199,571 | \$2,193,184 | \$885,183 | \$12,277,937 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| 2 BDR / 2 Bath | 16 | \$7,009,197 | \$1,670,997 | \$674,425 | \$9,354,619 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| BMR - $120 \%$ AMI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR | 57 | \$24,970,263 | \$5,952,928 | \$2,402,639 | \$33,325,829 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath | 91 | \$39,864,806 | \$9,503,797 | \$3,835,792 | \$53,204,394 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| 2 BDR / 2 Bath | 74 | \$32,417,534 | \$7,728,362 | \$3,119,215 | \$43,265,112 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath | 6 | \$2,628,449 | \$626,624 | \$252,909 | \$3,507,982 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| BMR - 150\% AMI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR | 23 | \$10,075,720 | \$2,402,059 | \$969,486 | \$13,447,265 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath | 36 | \$15,770,692 | \$3,759,744 | \$1,517,456 | \$21,047,892 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| 2 BDR / 2 Bath | 30 | \$13,142,244 | \$3,133,120 | \$1,264,547 | \$17,539,910 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath | 1 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$42,152 | \$584,664 | \$42,152 | \$438,075 | \$104,437 | \$542,512 | \$584,664 |
| Subtotal-Residential | 1,327 | \$581,325,246 | \$138,588,335 | \$55,935,116 | \$775,848,697 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Total [1] |  | \$1,374,503,255 | \$507,696,168 | \$163,027,490 | \$2,045,226,913 |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Seawall Lot 337 Associates, LLC
[1] KMA calculations.
[2] KMA assumes that the unit values of land costs for production space is same as retail.

Table B3. 3
Hard Costs by Development Phase
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| FY of Hard Costs | FY of Vertical | Residential SF |  | Commercial SF |  |  |  |  |  | Total SF |  | Hard Costs after Leasehold Interest Sale (\$ in FY2017-18) [1] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occurred [2] | Completion | Unit | SF | Retail | Office | Prodct. | Parking | Total | \% | SF | \% | Residential | \% | Commercial | \% | Total |
|  |  | $a$ | b | d | $e$ | $f$ | k | $g$ | h | i | j | $n=438,057 * a$ |  |  |  | $p=n+0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$438,075/unit |  | Retail: \$355/SF |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Office: $\$ 387 /$ F |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Production: $\$ 237 /$ FF |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Parking: $5183 /$ SF |  |  |
| 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 542 | 500,966 | 98,865 | 522,352 | 0 | 0 | 621,217 | 16.0\% | 1,122,183 | 28.8\% | \$237,436,536 | 40.8\% | \$237,405,864 | 29.9\% | \$474,842,400 |
| 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 259 | 240,494 | 56,764 | 449,823 | 0 | 983,876 | 1,490,463 | 38.3\% | 1,730,957 | 44.5\% | \$113,461,371 | 19.5\% | \$374,366,459 | 47.2\% | \$487,827,829 |
| 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 334 | 307,720 | 38,579 | 129,458 | 0 | 0 | 168,037 | 4.3\% | 475,757 | 12.2\% | \$146,316,980 | 25.2\% | \$63,836,483 | 8.0\% | \$210,153,463 |
| 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 192 | 178,116 | 44,723 | 129,458 | 0 | 0 | 174,181 | 4.5\% | 352,297 | 9.0\% | \$84,110,360 | 14.5\% | \$66,018,212 | 8.3\% | \$150,128,572 |
| 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 202,500 | 0 | 212,500 | 5.5\% | 212,500 | 5.5\% | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$51,550,990 | 6.5\% | \$51,550,990 |
| Total |  | 1,327 | 1,227,296 | 248,931 | 1,231,091 | 202,500 | 983,876 | 2,666,398 | 68.5\% | 3,893,694 | 100.0\% | \$581,325,246 | 100.0\% | \$793,178,009 | 100.0\% | \$1,374,503,255 |

[1] Hard costs per SF or unit are in Table B3.2
[2] KMA assumes that the construction materials are purchased one year before the vertical completion year

Table B4
Aggregate AV and Projection of Mission Rock IFD Tax Revenue (\$000s)
Mission Rock IFD
San Francisco, CA

| Fiscal Year [1] <br> FY 2017-18 Base AV = | Incremental AV $\$ 16,014$ | Total AV | Possessoryl <br> Property Tax <br> Increment <br> 1\% | Possessoryl <br> Property Tax Increment to City $64.59 \%$ | Total Available Revenues to IFD | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017-18 | \$0 | \$16,014 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2018-19 | \$320 | \$16,334 | \$3 | \$2 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2019-20 | \$647 | \$16,661 | \$6 | \$4 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2020-21 | \$980 | \$16,994 | \$10 | \$6 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2021-22 | \$62,252 | \$78,266 | \$623 | \$402 | \$361 | \$361 |
| 2022-23 | \$63,817 | \$79,831 | \$638 | \$412 | \$368 | \$368 |
| 2023-24 | \$812,101 | \$828,115 | \$8,121 | \$5,245 | \$5,103 | \$5,103 |
| 2024-25 | \$854,526 | \$870,540 | \$8,545 | \$5,519 | \$5,306 | \$5,306 |
| 2025-26 | \$1,740,783 | \$1,756,797 | \$17,408 | \$11,244 | \$11,035 | \$11,035 |
| 2026-27 | \$2,118,523 | \$2,134,537 | \$21,185 | \$13,684 | \$13,470 | \$13,470 |
| 2027-28 | \$2,408,379 | \$2,424,393 | \$24,084 | \$15,556 | \$15,479 | \$15,479 |
| 2028-29 | \$2,567,362 | \$2,583,376 | \$25,674 | \$16,583 | \$16,583 | \$16,583 |
| 2029-30 | \$2,619,029 | \$2,635,043 | \$26,190 | \$16,916 | \$16,916 | \$16,916 |
| 2030-31 | \$2,671,730 | \$2,687,744 | \$26,717 | \$17,257 | \$17,257 | \$17,257 |
| 2031-32 | \$2,725,485 | \$2,741,499 | \$27,255 | \$17,604 | \$17,604 | \$17,604 |
| 2032-33 | \$2,780,315 | \$2,796,329 | \$27,803 | \$17,958 | \$17,958 | \$17,958 |
| 2033-34 | \$2,836,242 | \$2,852,256 | \$28,362 | \$18,319 | \$18,319 | \$18,319 |
| 2034-35 | \$2,893,287 | \$2,909,301 | \$28,933 | \$18,688 | \$18,688 | \$18,688 |
| 2035-36 | \$2,951,473 | \$2,967,487 | \$29,515 | \$19,064 | \$19,064 | \$19,064 |
| 2036-37 | \$3,010,822 | \$3,026,836 | \$30,108 | \$19,447 | \$19,447 | \$19,447 |
| 2037-38 | \$3,071,359 | \$3,087,373 | \$30,714 | \$19,838 | \$19,838 | \$19,838 |
| 2038-39 | \$3,133,107 | \$3,149,121 | \$31,331 | \$20,237 | \$20,237 | \$20,237 |
| 2039-40 | \$3,196,089 | \$3,212,103 | \$31,961 | \$20,644 | \$20,644 | \$20,644 |
| 2040-41 | \$3,260,331 | \$3,276,345 | \$32,603 | \$21,058 | \$21,058 | \$21,058 |
| 2041-42 | \$3,325,858 | \$3,341,872 | \$33,259 | \$21,482 | \$21,482 | \$21,482 |
| 2042-43 | \$3,392,695 | \$3,408,709 | \$33,927 | \$21,913 | \$21,913 | \$21,913 |
| 2043-44 | \$3,460,870 | \$3,476,884 | \$34,609 | \$22,354 | \$22,354 | \$22,354 |
| 2044-45 | \$3,530,407 | \$3,546,421 | \$35,304 | \$22,803 | \$22,803 | \$22,803 |
| 2045-46 | \$3,601,336 | \$3,617,350 | \$36,013 | \$23,261 | \$23,261 | \$23,261 |
| 2046-47 | \$3,673,683 | \$3,689,697 | \$36,737 | \$23,728 | \$23,728 | \$23,728 |
| 2047-48 | \$3,747,477 | \$3,763,491 | \$37,475 | \$24,205 | \$24,205 | \$24,205 |
| 2048-49 | \$3,822,747 | \$3,838,761 | \$38,227 | \$24,691 | \$24,691 | \$24,691 |
| 2049-50 | \$3,899,522 | \$3,915,536 | \$38,995 | \$25,187 | \$25,187 | \$25,187 |
| 2050-51 | \$3,977,832 | \$3,993,846 | \$39,778 | \$25,693 | \$25,693 | \$25,693 |
| 2051-52 | \$4,057,709 | \$4,073,723 | \$40,577 | \$26,209 | \$26,209 | \$26,209 |
| 2052-53 | \$4,139,184 | \$4,155,198 | \$41,392 | \$26,735 | \$26,735 | \$26,735 |
| 2053-54 | \$4,222,288 | \$4,238,302 | \$42,223 | \$27,272 | \$27,272 | \$27,272 |
| 2054-55 | \$4,307,054 | \$4,323,068 | \$43,071 | \$27,819 | \$27,819 | \$27,819 |
| 2055-56 | \$4,393,515 | \$4,409,529 | \$43,935 | \$28,378 | \$28,378 | \$28,378 |
| 2056-57 | \$4,481,706 | \$4,497,720 | \$44,817 | \$28,947 | \$28,947 | \$28,947 |
| 2057-58 | \$4,571,660 | \$4,587,674 | \$45,717 | \$29,528 | \$29,528 | \$29,528 |
| 2058-59 | \$4,663,414 | \$4,679,428 | \$46,634 | \$30,121 | \$30,121 | \$30,121 |
| 2059-60 | \$4,757,002 | \$4,773,016 | \$47,570 | \$30,725 | \$30,725 | \$30,725 |
| 2060-61 | \$4,852,463 | \$4,868,477 | \$48,525 | \$31,342 | \$31,342 | \$31,342 |
| 2061-62 | \$4,949,832 | \$4,965,846 | \$49,498 | \$31,971 | \$31,971 | \$31,971 |
| 2062-63 | \$5,049,149 | \$5,065,163 | \$50,491 | \$32,612 | \$32,612 | \$32,612 |
| 2063-64 | \$5,150,452 | \$5,166,466 | \$51,505 | \$33,267 | \$33,267 | \$33,267 |
| 2064-65 | \$5,253,782 | \$5,269,796 | \$52,538 | \$33,934 | \$33,934 | \$33,934 |
| 2065-66 | \$5,359,177 | \$5,375,191 | \$53,592 | \$34,615 | \$34,615 | \$34,615 |
| 2066-67 | \$3,879,214 | \$3,889,771 | \$38,792 | \$25,056 | \$25,056 | \$25,056 |
| 2067-68 | \$3,957,010 | \$3,967,566 | \$39,570 | \$25,558 | \$25,558 | \$25,558 |
| 2068-69 | \$3,219,766 | \$3,227,651 | \$32,198 | \$20,796 | \$20,796 | \$20,796 |
| 2069-70 | \$2,700,224 | \$2,706,327 | \$27,002 | \$17,441 | \$17,441 | \$17,441 |
| 2070-71 | \$1,181,368 | \$1,185,313 | \$11,814 | \$7,630 | \$7,630 | \$7,630 |
| 2071-72 | \$934,886 | \$937,994 | \$9,349 | \$6,038 | \$6,038 | \$6,038 |
| 2072-73 | \$294,825 | \$295,995 | \$2,948 | \$1,904 | \$1,904 | \$1,904 |
| Nominal TOTAL [2] |  |  | \$1,686,000 | \$1,089,000 | \$1,088,000 | \$1,088,000 |
| 2017 (3\% discount) [2] |  |  | \$692,000 | \$447,000 | \$446,000 | \$446,000 |

[1] Base year AV is AV in FY 2017/18, which is $\$ 16,014,000$.
[2] Figures rounded.

Table B4.1
Sub-Project Area I-1 (Block A), AV and Projection of Mission Rock IFD Tax Revenue (\$000s)
Mission Rock IFD
San Francisco, CA

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fiscal Year [1] } \\ \text { FY 2017-18 Base } A V= \end{gathered}$ | Incremental AV $\$ 2,278$ | Total AV | Possessoryl Property Tax Increment 1\% | Possessoryl Property Tax Increment to City $64.59 \%$ | Total Available Revenues to IFD | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 | \$2,278 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2018-19 | \$46 | \$2,323 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2019-20 | \$92 | \$2,370 | \$1 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2020-21 | \$139 | \$2,417 | \$1 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1 | 2021-22 | \$17,877 | \$20,154 | \$179 | \$115 | \$115 | \$115 |
| 2 | 2022-23 | \$18,280 | \$20,558 | \$183 | \$118 | \$118 | \$118 |
| 3 | 2023-24 | \$287,619 | \$289,897 | \$2,876 | \$1,858 | \$1,858 | \$1,858 |
| 4 | 2024-25 | \$293,417 | \$295,695 | \$2,934 | \$1,895 | \$1,895 | \$1,895 |
| 5 | 2025-26 | \$299,331 | \$301,609 | \$2,993 | \$1,933 | \$1,933 | \$1,933 |
| 6 | 2026-27 | \$305,363 | \$307,641 | \$3,054 | \$1,972 | \$1,972 | \$1,972 |
| 7 | 2027-28 | \$311,516 | \$313,794 | \$3,115 | \$2,012 | \$2,012 | \$2,012 |
| 8 | 2028-29 | \$317,792 | \$320,070 | \$3,178 | \$2,053 | \$2,053 | \$2,053 |
| 9 | 2029-30 | \$324,193 | \$326,471 | \$3,242 | \$2,094 | \$2,094 | \$2,094 |
| 10 | 2030-31 | \$330,722 | \$333,000 | \$3,307 | \$2,136 | \$2,136 | \$2,136 |
| 11 | 2031-32 | \$337,382 | \$339,660 | \$3,374 | \$2,179 | \$2,179 | \$2,179 |
| 12 | 2032-33 | \$344,176 | \$346,454 | \$3,442 | \$2,223 | \$2,223 | \$2,223 |
| 13 | 2033-34 | \$351,105 | \$353,383 | \$3,511 | \$2,268 | \$2,268 | \$2,268 |
| 14 | 2034-35 | \$358,172 | \$360,450 | \$3,582 | \$2,313 | \$2,313 | \$2,313 |
| 15 | 2035-36 | \$365,381 | \$367,659 | \$3,654 | \$2,360 | \$2,360 | \$2,360 |
| 16 | 2036-37 | \$372,735 | \$375,012 | \$3,727 | \$2,407 | \$2,407 | \$2,407 |
| 17 | 2037-38 | \$380,235 | \$382,513 | \$3,802 | \$2,456 | \$2,456 | \$2,456 |
| 18 | 2038-39 | \$387,885 | \$390,163 | \$3,879 | \$2,505 | \$2,505 | \$2,505 |
| 19 | 2039-40 | \$395,688 | \$397,966 | \$3,957 | \$2,556 | \$2,556 | \$2,556 |
| 20 | 2040-41 | \$403,648 | \$405,926 | \$4,036 | \$2,607 | \$2,607 | \$2,607 |
| 21 | 2041-42 | \$411,766 | \$414,044 | \$4,118 | \$2,660 | \$2,660 | \$2,660 |
| 22 | 2042-43 | \$420,047 | \$422,325 | \$4,200 | \$2,713 | \$2,713 | \$2,713 |
| 23 | 2043-44 | \$428,494 | \$430,771 | \$4,285 | \$2,768 | \$2,768 | \$2,768 |
| 24 | 2044-45 | \$437,109 | \$439,387 | \$4,371 | \$2,823 | \$2,823 | \$2,823 |
| 25 | 2045-46 | \$445,897 | \$448,175 | \$4,459 | \$2,880 | \$2,880 | \$2,880 |
| 26 | 2046-47 | \$454,860 | \$457,138 | \$4,549 | \$2,938 | \$2,938 | \$2,938 |
| 27 | 2047-48 | \$464,003 | \$466,281 | \$4,640 | \$2,997 | \$2,997 | \$2,997 |
| 28 | 2048-49 | \$473,329 | \$475,606 | \$4,733 | \$3,057 | \$3,057 | \$3,057 |
| 29 | 2049-50 | \$482,841 | \$485,119 | \$4,828 | \$3,119 | \$3,119 | \$3,119 |
| 30 | 2050-51 | \$492,543 | \$494,821 | \$4,925 | \$3,181 | \$3,181 | \$3,181 |
| 31 | 2051-52 | \$502,440 | \$504,717 | \$5,024 | \$3,245 | \$3,245 | \$3,245 |
| 32 | 2052-53 | \$512,534 | \$514,812 | \$5,125 | \$3,310 | \$3,310 | \$3,310 |
| 33 | 2053-54 | \$522,830 | \$525,108 | \$5,228 | \$3,377 | \$3,377 | \$3,377 |
| 34 | 2054-55 | \$533,332 | \$535,610 | \$5,333 | \$3,445 | \$3,445 | \$3,445 |
| 35 | 2055-56 | \$544,044 | \$546,322 | \$5,440 | \$3,514 | \$3,514 | \$3,514 |
| 36 | 2056-57 | \$554,971 | \$557,249 | \$5,550 | \$3,585 | \$3,585 | \$3,585 |
| 37 | 2057-58 | \$566,116 | \$568,394 | \$5,661 | \$3,657 | \$3,657 | \$3,657 |
| 38 | 2058-59 | \$577,484 | \$579,762 | \$5,775 | \$3,730 | \$3,730 | \$3,730 |
| 39 | 2059-60 | \$589,079 | \$591,357 | \$5,891 | \$3,805 | \$3,805 | \$3,805 |
| 40 | 2060-61 | \$600,906 | \$603,184 | \$6,009 | \$3,881 | \$3,881 | \$3,881 |
| 41 | 2061-62 | \$612,970 | \$615,248 | \$6,130 | \$3,959 | \$3,959 | \$3,959 |
| 42 | 2062-63 | \$625,275 | \$627,553 | \$6,253 | \$4,039 | \$4,039 | \$4,039 |
| 43 | 2063-64 | \$637,826 | \$640,104 | \$6,378 | \$4,120 | \$4,120 | \$4,120 |
| 44 | 2064-65 | \$650,628 | \$652,906 | \$6,506 | \$4,202 | \$4,202 | \$4,202 |
| 45 | 2065-67 | \$663,686 | \$665,964 | \$6,637 | \$4,287 | \$4,287 | \$4,287 |
| Nominal TOTAL [2] <br> 2017 (3\% discount) [2] |  |  |  | \$194,000 | \$125,000 | \$125,000 | \$125,000 |
|  |  |  |  | \$86,000 | \$55,000 | \$55,000 | \$55,000 |

[1] Base year Aggregate AV is AV in FY 2017/18, which is $\$ 16,014,000$. For Base year AV for individual blocks, see Table B3.1
[2] Figures rounded.

Table B4.2
Sub-Project Area I-2 (Block B), AV and Projection of Mission Rock IFD Tax Revenue (\$000s)
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fiscal Year [1] } \\ \text { FY 2017-18 Base AV = } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Incremental } \\ & \text { AV } \\ & \$ 1,512 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total AV | Possessoryl Property Tax Increment 1\% | Possessoryl Property Tax Increment to City 64.59\% | Total Available Revenues to IFD | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 | \$1,512 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2018-19 | \$30 | \$1,542 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2019-20 | \$61 | \$1,573 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2020-21 | \$93 | \$1,605 | \$1 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1 | 2021-22 | \$17,919 | \$19,431 | \$179 | \$116 | \$116 | \$116 |
| 2 | 2022-23 | \$18,308 | \$19,820 | \$183 | \$118 | \$118 | \$118 |
| 3 | 2023-24 | \$183,301 | \$184,813 | \$1,833 | \$1,184 | \$1,184 | \$1,184 |
| 4 | 2024-25 | \$186,997 | \$188,509 | \$1,870 | \$1,208 | \$1,208 | \$1,208 |
| 5 | 2025-26 | \$190,767 | \$192,279 | \$1,908 | \$1,232 | \$1,232 | \$1,232 |
| 6 | 2026-27 | \$194,613 | \$196,125 | \$1,946 | \$1,257 | \$1,257 | \$1,257 |
| 7 | 2027-28 | \$198,535 | \$200,047 | \$1,985 | \$1,282 | \$1,282 | \$1,282 |
| 8 | 2028-29 | \$202,536 | \$204,048 | \$2,025 | \$1,308 | \$1,308 | \$1,308 |
| 9 | 2029-30 | \$206,617 | \$208,129 | \$2,066 | \$1,335 | \$1,335 | \$1,335 |
| 10 | 2030-31 | \$210,780 | \$212,292 | \$2,108 | \$1,361 | \$1,361 | \$1,361 |
| 11 | 2031-32 | \$215,026 | \$216,538 | \$2,150 | \$1,389 | \$1,389 | \$1,389 |
| 12 | 2032-33 | \$219,356 | \$220,868 | \$2,194 | \$1,417 | \$1,417 | \$1,417 |
| 13 | 2033-34 | \$223,774 | \$225,286 | \$2,238 | \$1,445 | \$1,445 | \$1,445 |
| 14 | 2034-35 | \$228,279 | \$229,791 | \$2,283 | \$1,474 | \$1,474 | \$1,474 |
| 15 | 2035-36 | \$232,875 | \$234,387 | \$2,329 | \$1,504 | \$1,504 | \$1,504 |
| 16 | 2036-37 | \$237,563 | \$239,075 | \$2,376 | \$1,534 | \$1,534 | \$1,534 |
| 17 | 2037-38 | \$242,344 | \$243,857 | \$2,423 | \$1,565 | \$1,565 | \$1,565 |
| 18 | 2038-39 | \$247,222 | \$248,734 | \$2,472 | \$1,597 | \$1,597 | \$1,597 |
| 19 | 2039-40 | \$252,196 | \$253,708 | \$2,522 | \$1,629 | \$1,629 | \$1,629 |
| 20 | 2040-41 | \$257,270 | \$258,783 | \$2,573 | \$1,662 | \$1,662 | \$1,662 |
| 21 | 2041-42 | \$262,446 | \$263,958 | \$2,624 | \$1,695 | \$1,695 | \$1,695 |
| 22 | 2042-43 | \$267,725 | \$269,237 | \$2,677 | \$1,729 | \$1,729 | \$1,729 |
| 23 | 2043-44 | \$273,110 | \$274,622 | \$2,731 | \$1,764 | \$1,764 | \$1,764 |
| 24 | 2044-45 | \$278,602 | \$280,115 | \$2,786 | \$1,799 | \$1,799 | \$1,799 |
| 25 | 2045-46 | \$284,205 | \$285,717 | \$2,842 | \$1,836 | \$1,836 | \$1,836 |
| 26 | 2046-47 | \$289,919 | \$291,431 | \$2,899 | \$1,873 | \$1,873 | \$1,873 |
| 27 | 2047-48 | \$295,748 | \$297,260 | \$2,957 | \$1,910 | \$1,910 | \$1,910 |
| 28 | 2048-49 | \$301,693 | \$303,205 | \$3,017 | \$1,949 | \$1,949 | \$1,949 |
| 29 | 2049-50 | \$307,757 | \$309,269 | \$3,078 | \$1,988 | \$1,988 | \$1,988 |
| 30 | 2050-51 | \$313,942 | \$315,454 | \$3,139 | \$2,028 | \$2,028 | \$2,028 |
| 31 | 2051-52 | \$320,251 | \$321,764 | \$3,203 | \$2,069 | \$2,069 | \$2,069 |
| 32 | 2052-53 | \$326,687 | \$328,199 | \$3,267 | \$2,110 | \$2,110 | \$2,110 |
| 33 | 2053-54 | \$333,251 | \$334,763 | \$3,333 | \$2,152 | \$2,152 | \$2,152 |
| 34 | 2054-55 | \$339,946 | \$341,458 | \$3,399 | \$2,196 | \$2,196 | \$2,196 |
| 35 | 2055-56 | \$346,775 | \$348,287 | \$3,468 | \$2,240 | \$2,240 | \$2,240 |
| 36 | 2056-57 | \$353,741 | \$355,253 | \$3,537 | \$2,285 | \$2,285 | \$2,285 |
| 37 | 2057-58 | \$360,846 | \$362,358 | \$3,608 | \$2,331 | \$2,331 | \$2,331 |
| 38 | 2058-59 | \$368,093 | \$369,605 | \$3,681 | \$2,378 | \$2,378 | \$2,378 |
| 39 | 2059-60 | \$375,485 | \$376,997 | \$3,755 | \$2,425 | \$2,425 | \$2,425 |
| 40 | 2060-61 | \$383,025 | \$384,537 | \$3,830 | \$2,474 | \$2,474 | \$2,474 |
| 41 | 2061-62 | \$390,716 | \$392,228 | \$3,907 | \$2,524 | \$2,524 | \$2,524 |
| 42 | 2062-63 | \$398,560 | \$400,073 | \$3,986 | \$2,574 | \$2,574 | \$2,574 |
| 43 | 2063-64 | \$406,562 | \$408,074 | \$4,066 | \$2,626 | \$2,626 | \$2,626 |
| 44 | 2064-65 | \$414,723 | \$416,235 | \$4,147 | \$2,679 | \$2,679 | \$2,679 |
| 45 | 2065-66 | \$423,048 | \$424,560 | \$4,230 | \$2,732 | \$2,732 | \$2,732 |
| Nominal TOTAL [2] <br> 2017 (3\% discount) [2] |  |  |  | \$124,000 | \$80,000 | \$80,000 | \$80,000 |
|  |  |  |  | \$55,000 | \$35,000 | \$35,000 | \$35,000 |

[1] Base year Aggregate AV is AV in FY 2017/18, which is $\$ 16,014,000$. For Base year AV for individual blocks, see Table B3.1
2] Figures rounded.

Table B4.3
Sub-Project Area I-3 (Block C), AV and Projection of Mission Rock IFD Tax Revenue (\$000s)
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

[1] Base year Aggregate AV is AV in FY 2017/18, which is $\$ 16,014,000$. For Base year AV for individual blocks, see Table B3.1
[2] Figures rounded.

Table B4.4
Sub-Project Area I-4 (Blocks D1 and D2), AV and Projection of Mission Rock IFD Tax Revenue (\$000s)
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

|  | Fiscal Year [1] FY 2017-18 Base $A V$ | $$ | Total AV | Possessoryl Property Tax Increment 1\% | Possessoryl Property Tax Increment to City 64.59\% | Total Available Revenues to IFD | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 | \$1,381 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2018-19 | \$28 | \$1,409 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2019-20 | \$56 | \$1,437 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2020-21 | \$85 | \$1,465 | \$1 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2021-22 | \$114 | \$1,495 | \$1 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2022-23 | \$144 | \$1,525 | \$1 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2023-24 | \$11,483 | \$12,864 | \$115 | \$74 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2024-25 | \$11,740 | \$13,121 | \$117 | \$76 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1 | 2025-26 | \$543,831 | \$545,212 | \$5,438 | \$3,513 | \$3,513 | \$3,513 |
| 2 | 2026-27 | \$554,735 | \$556,116 | \$5,547 | \$3,583 | \$3,583 | \$3,583 |
| 3 | 2027-28 | \$565,857 | \$567,238 | \$5,659 | \$3,655 | \$3,655 | \$3,655 |
| 4 | 2028-29 | \$577,202 | \$578,583 | \$5,772 | \$3,728 | \$3,728 | \$3,728 |
| 5 | 2029-30 | \$588,774 | \$590,155 | \$5,888 | \$3,803 | \$3,803 | \$3,803 |
| 6 | 2030-31 | \$600,577 | \$601,958 | \$6,006 | \$3,879 | \$3,879 | \$3,879 |
| 7 | 2031-32 | \$612,616 | \$613,997 | \$6,126 | \$3,957 | \$3,957 | \$3,957 |
| 8 | 2032-33 | \$624,896 | \$626,277 | \$6,249 | \$4,036 | \$4,036 | \$4,036 |
| 9 | 2033-34 | \$637,421 | \$638,802 | \$6,374 | \$4,117 | \$4,117 | \$4,117 |
| 10 | 2034-35 | \$650,197 | \$651,578 | \$6,502 | \$4,200 | \$4,200 | \$4,200 |
| 11 | 2035-36 | \$663,229 | \$664,610 | \$6,632 | \$4,284 | \$4,284 | \$4,284 |
| 12 | 2036-37 | \$676,521 | \$677,902 | \$6,765 | \$4,370 | \$4,370 | \$4,370 |
| 13 | 2037-38 | \$690,079 | \$691,460 | \$6,901 | \$4,457 | \$4,457 | \$4,457 |
| 14 | 2038-39 | \$703,908 | \$705,289 | \$7,039 | \$4,547 | \$4,547 | \$4,547 |
| 15 | 2039-40 | \$718,014 | \$719,395 | \$7,180 | \$4,638 | \$4,638 | \$4,638 |
| 16 | 2040-41 | \$732,402 | \$733,783 | \$7,324 | \$4,731 | \$4,731 | \$4,731 |
| 17 | 2041-42 | \$747,078 | \$748,459 | \$7,471 | \$4,825 | \$4,825 | \$4,825 |
| 18 | 2042-43 | \$762,047 | \$763,428 | \$7,620 | \$4,922 | \$4,922 | \$4,922 |
| 19 | 2043-44 | \$777,316 | \$778,696 | \$7,773 | \$5,021 | \$5,021 | \$5,021 |
| 20 | 2044-45 | \$792,889 | \$794,270 | \$7,929 | \$5,121 | \$5,121 | \$5,121 |
| 21 | 2045-46 | \$808,775 | \$810,156 | \$8,088 | \$5,224 | \$5,224 | \$5,224 |
| 22 | 2046-47 | \$824,978 | \$826,359 | \$8,250 | \$5,329 | \$5,329 | \$5,329 |
| 23 | 2047-48 | \$841,505 | \$842,886 | \$8,415 | \$5,435 | \$5,435 | \$5,435 |
| 24 | 2048-49 | \$858,363 | \$859,744 | \$8,584 | \$5,544 | \$5,544 | \$5,544 |
| 25 | 2049-50 | \$875,558 | \$876,939 | \$8,756 | \$5,655 | \$5,655 | \$5,655 |
| 26 | 2050-51 | \$893,097 | \$894,477 | \$8,931 | \$5,769 | \$5,769 | \$5,769 |
| 27 | 2051-52 | \$910,986 | \$912,367 | \$9,110 | \$5,884 | \$5,884 | \$5,884 |
| 28 | 2052-53 | \$929,233 | \$930,614 | \$9,292 | \$6,002 | \$6,002 | \$6,002 |
| 29 | 2053-54 | \$947,846 | \$949,227 | \$9,478 | \$6,122 | \$6,122 | \$6,122 |
| 30 | 2054-55 | \$966,830 | \$968,211 | \$9,668 | \$6,245 | \$6,245 | \$6,245 |
| 31 | 2055-56 | \$986,194 | \$987,575 | \$9,862 | \$6,370 | \$6,370 | \$6,370 |
| 32 | 2056-57 | \$1,005,946 | \$1,007,327 | \$10,059 | \$6,497 | \$6,497 | \$6,497 |
| 33 | 2057-58 | \$1,026,092 | \$1,027,473 | \$10,261 | \$6,628 | \$6,628 | \$6,628 |
| 34 | 2058-59 | \$1,046,642 | \$1,048,023 | \$10,466 | \$6,760 | \$6,760 | \$6,760 |
| 35 | 2059-60 | \$1,067,602 | \$1,068,983 | \$10,676 | \$6,896 | \$6,896 | \$6,896 |
| 36 | 2060-61 | \$1,088,982 | \$1,090,363 | \$10,890 | \$7,034 | \$7,034 | \$7,034 |
| 37 | 2061-62 | \$1,110,789 | \$1,112,170 | \$11,108 | \$7,175 | \$7,175 | \$7,175 |
| 38 | 2062-63 | \$1,133,033 | \$1,134,414 | \$11,330 | \$7,318 | \$7,318 | \$7,318 |
| 39 | 2063-64 | \$1,155,721 | \$1,157,102 | \$11,557 | \$7,465 | \$7,465 | \$7,465 |
| 40 | 2064-65 | \$1,178,863 | \$1,180,244 | \$11,789 | \$7,614 | \$7,614 | \$7,614 |
| 41 | 2065-66 | \$1,202,468 | \$1,203,849 | \$12,025 | \$7,767 | \$7,767 | \$7,767 |
| 42 | 2066-67 | \$1,226,545 | \$1,227,926 | \$12,265 | \$7,922 | \$7,922 | \$7,922 |
| 43 | 2067-68 | \$1,251,103 | \$1,252,484 | \$12,511 | \$8,081 | \$8,081 | \$8,081 |
| 44 | 2068-69 | \$1,276,153 | \$1,277,534 | \$12,762 | \$8,243 | \$8,243 | \$8,243 |
| 45 | 2069-70 | \$1,301,704 | \$1,303,085 | \$13,017 | \$8,408 | \$8,408 | \$8,408 |
| Nominal TOTAL [2] |  |  |  | \$392,000 | \$253,000 | \$253,000 | \$253,000 |
| 2017 (3\% discount) [2] |  |  |  | \$157,000 | \$102,000 | \$102,000 | \$102,000 |

[1] Base year Aggregate AV is AV in FY 2017/18, which is $\$ 16,014,000$. For Base year AV for individual blocks, see Table B3.1 [2] Figures rounded.

Table B4.5
Sub-Project Area I-5 (Block E), AV and Projection of Mission Rock IFD Tax Revenue (\$000s)
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

|  | ```Fiscal Year [1] FY 2017-18 Base AV =``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Incremental } \\ & \text { AV } \\ & \$ 778 \end{aligned}$ | Total AV | $\begin{gathered} \text { Possessoryl } \\ \text { Property Tax } \\ \text { Increment } \\ 1 \% \end{gathered}$ | Possessoryl Property Tax Increment to City 64.59\% | Total Available <br> Revenues to IFD | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 | \$778 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2018-19 | \$16 | \$793 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2019-20 | \$31 | \$809 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2020-21 | \$48 | \$825 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2021-22 | \$64 | \$842 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2022-23 | \$81 | \$859 | \$1 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2023-24 | \$9,836 | \$10,614 | \$98 | \$64 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2024-25 | \$10,049 | \$10,826 | \$100 | \$65 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1 | 2025-26 | \$100,128 | \$100,906 | \$1,001 | \$647 | \$647 | \$647 |
| 2 | 2026-27 | \$102,146 | \$102,924 | \$1,021 | \$660 | \$660 | \$660 |
| 3 | 2027-28 | \$104,205 | \$104,983 | \$1,042 | \$673 | \$673 | \$673 |
| 4 | 2028-29 | \$106,305 | \$107,082 | \$1,063 | \$687 | \$687 | \$687 |
| 5 | 2029-30 | \$108,446 | \$109,224 | \$1,084 | \$700 | \$700 | \$700 |
| 6 | 2030-31 | \$110,631 | \$111,409 | \$1,106 | \$715 | \$715 | \$715 |
| 7 | 2031-32 | \$112,859 | \$113,637 | \$1,129 | \$729 | \$729 | \$729 |
| 8 | 2032-33 | \$115,132 | \$115,909 | \$1,151 | \$744 | \$744 | \$744 |
| 9 | 2033-34 | \$117,450 | \$118,228 | \$1,174 | \$759 | \$759 | \$759 |
| 10 | 2034-35 | \$119,814 | \$120,592 | \$1,198 | \$774 | \$774 | \$774 |
| 11 | 2035-36 | \$122,226 | \$123,004 | \$1,222 | \$789 | \$789 | \$789 |
| 12 | 2036-37 | \$124,686 | \$125,464 | \$1,247 | \$805 | \$805 | \$805 |
| 13 | 2037-38 | \$127,196 | \$127,973 | \$1,272 | \$822 | \$822 | \$822 |
| 14 | 2038-39 | \$129,755 | \$130,533 | \$1,298 | \$838 | \$838 | \$838 |
| 15 | 2039-40 | \$132,366 | \$133,144 | \$1,324 | \$855 | \$855 | \$855 |
| 16 | 2040-41 | \$135,029 | \$135,806 | \$1,350 | \$872 | \$872 | \$872 |
| 17 | 2041-42 | \$137,745 | \$138,523 | \$1,377 | \$890 | \$890 | \$890 |
| 18 | 2042-43 | \$140,515 | \$141,293 | \$1,405 | \$908 | \$908 | \$908 |
| 19 | 2043-44 | \$143,341 | \$144,119 | \$1,433 | \$926 | \$926 | \$926 |
| 20 | 2044-45 | \$146,223 | \$147,001 | \$1,462 | \$944 | \$944 | \$944 |
| 21 | 2045-46 | \$149,163 | \$149,941 | \$1,492 | \$963 | \$963 | \$963 |
| 22 | 2046-47 | \$152,162 | \$152,940 | \$1,522 | \$983 | \$983 | \$983 |
| 23 | 2047-48 | \$155,221 | \$155,999 | \$1,552 | \$1,003 | \$1,003 | \$1,003 |
| 24 | 2048-49 | \$158,341 | \$159,119 | \$1,583 | \$1,023 | \$1,023 | \$1,023 |
| 25 | 2049-50 | \$161,523 | \$162,301 | \$1,615 | \$1,043 | \$1,043 | \$1,043 |
| 26 | 2050-51 | \$164,769 | \$165,547 | \$1,648 | \$1,064 | \$1,064 | \$1,064 |
| 27 | 2051-52 | \$168,080 | \$168,858 | \$1,681 | \$1,086 | \$1,086 | \$1,086 |
| 28 | 2052-53 | \$171,458 | \$172,235 | \$1,715 | \$1,107 | \$1,107 | \$1,107 |
| 29 | 2053-54 | \$174,902 | \$175,680 | \$1,749 | \$1,130 | \$1,130 | \$1,130 |
| 30 | 2054-55 | \$178,416 | \$179,194 | \$1,784 | \$1,152 | \$1,152 | \$1,152 |
| 31 | 2055-56 | \$182,000 | \$182,778 | \$1,820 | \$1,176 | \$1,176 | \$1,176 |
| 32 | 2056-57 | \$185,655 | \$186,433 | \$1,857 | \$1,199 | \$1,199 | \$1,199 |
| 33 | 2057-58 | \$189,384 | \$190,162 | \$1,894 | \$1,223 | \$1,223 | \$1,223 |
| 34 | 2058-59 | \$193,187 | \$193,965 | \$1,932 | \$1,248 | \$1,248 | \$1,248 |
| 35 | 2059-60 | \$197,066 | \$197,844 | \$1,971 | \$1,273 | \$1,273 | \$1,273 |
| 36 | 2060-61 | \$201,023 | \$201,801 | \$2,010 | \$1,298 | \$1,298 | \$1,298 |
| 37 | 2061-62 | \$205,059 | \$205,837 | \$2,051 | \$1,324 | \$1,324 | \$1,324 |
| 38 | 2062-63 | \$209,176 | \$209,954 | \$2,092 | \$1,351 | \$1,351 | \$1,351 |
| 39 | 2063-64 | \$213,375 | \$214,153 | \$2,134 | \$1,378 | \$1,378 | \$1,378 |
| 40 | 2064-65 | \$217,658 | \$218,436 | \$2,177 | \$1,406 | \$1,406 | \$1,406 |
| 41 | 2065-66 | \$222,027 | \$222,805 | \$2,220 | \$1,434 | \$1,434 | \$1,434 |
| 42 | 2066-67 | \$226,483 | \$227,261 | \$2,265 | \$1,463 | \$1,463 | \$1,463 |
| 43 | 2067-68 | \$231,028 | \$231,806 | \$2,310 | \$1,492 | \$1,492 | \$1,492 |
| 44 | 2068-69 | \$235,664 | \$236,442 | \$2,357 | \$1,522 | \$1,522 | \$1,522 |
| 45 | 2069-70 | \$240,393 | \$241,171 | \$2,404 | \$1,553 | \$1,553 | \$1,553 |
| Nominal TOTAL [2] <br> 2017 (3\% discount) [2] |  |  |  | \$72,000 | \$47,000 | \$47,000 | \$47,000 |
|  |  |  |  | \$29,000 | \$19,000 | \$19,000 | \$19,000 |

[1] Base year Aggregate $A V$ is $A V$ in $F Y$ 2017/18, which is $\$ 16,014,000$. For Base year $A V$ for individual blocks, see Table B3.1 [2] Figures rounded.

Table B4.6
Sub-Project Area I-6 (Block F), AV and Projection of Mission Rock IFD Tax Revenue (\$000s)
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fiscal Year [1] } \\ \text { FY 2017-18 Base AV } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Incremental } \\ & \quad \text { AV } \\ & \$ 1,782 \end{aligned}$ | Total AV | Possessoryl <br> Property Tax Increment 1\% | Possessoryl Property Tax Increment to City 64.59\% | Total Available <br> Revenues to IFD | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 | \$1,782 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2018-19 | \$36 | \$1,818 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2019-20 | \$72 | \$1,854 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2020-21 | \$109 | \$1,891 | \$1 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2021-22 | \$147 | \$1,929 | \$1 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2022-23 | \$185 | \$1,967 | \$2 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2023-24 | \$225 | \$2,007 | \$2 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1 | 2024-25 | \$15,453 | \$17,235 | \$155 | \$100 | \$100 | \$100 |
| 2 | 2025-26 | \$15,798 | \$17,579 | \$158 | \$102 | \$102 | \$102 |
| 3 | 2026-27 | \$248,252 | \$250,034 | \$2,483 | \$1,603 | \$1,603 | \$1,603 |
| 4 | 2027-28 | \$253,253 | \$255,035 | \$2,533 | \$1,636 | \$1,636 | \$1,636 |
| 5 | 2028-29 | \$258,353 | \$260,135 | \$2,584 | \$1,669 | \$1,669 | \$1,669 |
| 6 | 2029-30 | \$263,556 | \$265,338 | \$2,636 | \$1,702 | \$1,702 | \$1,702 |
| 7 | 2030-31 | \$268,863 | \$270,645 | \$2,689 | \$1,737 | \$1,737 | \$1,737 |
| 8 | 2031-32 | \$274,276 | \$276,058 | \$2,743 | \$1,772 | \$1,772 | \$1,772 |
| 9 | 2032-33 | \$279,797 | \$281,579 | \$2,798 | \$1,807 | \$1,807 | \$1,807 |
| 10 | 2033-34 | \$285,429 | \$287,210 | \$2,854 | \$1,844 | \$1,844 | \$1,844 |
| 11 | 2034-35 | \$291,173 | \$292,955 | \$2,912 | \$1,881 | \$1,881 | \$1,881 |
| 12 | 2035-36 | \$297,032 | \$298,814 | \$2,970 | \$1,919 | \$1,919 | \$1,919 |
| 13 | 2036-37 | \$303,008 | \$304,790 | \$3,030 | \$1,957 | \$1,957 | \$1,957 |
| 14 | 2037-38 | \$309,104 | \$310,886 | \$3,091 | \$1,997 | \$1,997 | \$1,997 |
| 15 | 2038-39 | \$315,322 | \$317,104 | \$3,153 | \$2,037 | \$2,037 | \$2,037 |
| 16 | 2039-40 | \$321,664 | \$323,446 | \$3,217 | \$2,078 | \$2,078 | \$2,078 |
| 17 | 2040-41 | \$328,133 | \$329,915 | \$3,281 | \$2,119 | \$2,119 | \$2,119 |
| 18 | 2041-42 | \$334,731 | \$336,513 | \$3,347 | \$2,162 | \$2,162 | \$2,162 |
| 19 | 2042-43 | \$341,461 | \$343,243 | \$3,415 | \$2,205 | \$2,205 | \$2,205 |
| 20 | 2043-44 | \$348,326 | \$350,108 | \$3,483 | \$2,250 | \$2,250 | \$2,250 |
| 21 | 2044-45 | \$355,328 | \$357,110 | \$3,553 | \$2,295 | \$2,295 | \$2,295 |
| 22 | 2045-46 | \$362,470 | \$364,252 | \$3,625 | \$2,341 | \$2,341 | \$2,341 |
| 23 | 2046-47 | \$369,755 | \$371,537 | \$3,698 | \$2,388 | \$2,388 | \$2,388 |
| 24 | 2047-48 | \$377,186 | \$378,968 | \$3,772 | \$2,436 | \$2,436 | \$2,436 |
| 25 | 2048-49 | \$384,766 | \$386,547 | \$3,848 | \$2,485 | \$2,485 | \$2,485 |
| 26 | 2049-50 | \$392,497 | \$394,278 | \$3,925 | \$2,535 | \$2,535 | \$2,535 |
| 27 | 2050-51 | \$400,382 | \$402,164 | \$4,004 | \$2,586 | \$2,586 | \$2,586 |
| 28 | 2051-52 | \$408,425 | \$410,207 | \$4,084 | \$2,638 | \$2,638 | \$2,638 |
| 29 | 2052-53 | \$416,629 | \$418,411 | \$4,166 | \$2,691 | \$2,691 | \$2,691 |
| 30 | 2053-54 | \$424,998 | \$426,780 | \$4,250 | \$2,745 | \$2,745 | \$2,745 |
| 31 | 2054-55 | \$433,533 | \$435,315 | \$4,335 | \$2,800 | \$2,800 | \$2,800 |
| 32 | 2055-56 | \$442,240 | \$444,021 | \$4,422 | \$2,856 | \$2,856 | \$2,856 |
| 33 | 2056-57 | \$451,120 | \$452,902 | \$4,511 | \$2,914 | \$2,914 | \$2,914 |
| 34 | 2057-58 | \$460,178 | \$461,960 | \$4,602 | \$2,972 | \$2,972 | \$2,972 |
| 35 | 2058-59 | \$469,417 | \$471,199 | \$4,694 | \$3,032 | \$3,032 | \$3,032 |
| 36 | 2059-60 | \$478,841 | \$480,623 | \$4,788 | \$3,093 | \$3,093 | \$3,093 |
| 37 | 2060-61 | \$488,454 | \$490,236 | \$4,885 | \$3,155 | \$3,155 | \$3,155 |
| 38 | 2061-62 | \$498,258 | \$500,040 | \$4,983 | \$3,218 | \$3,218 | \$3,218 |
| 39 | 2062-63 | \$508,259 | \$510,041 | \$5,083 | \$3,283 | \$3,283 | \$3,283 |
| 40 | 2063-64 | \$518,460 | \$520,242 | \$5,185 | \$3,349 | \$3,349 | \$3,349 |
| 41 | 2064-65 | \$528,865 | \$530,647 | \$5,289 | \$3,416 | \$3,416 | \$3,416 |
| 42 | 2065-66 | \$539,478 | \$541,260 | \$5,395 | \$3,484 | \$3,484 | \$3,484 |
| 43 | 2066-67 | \$550,303 | \$552,085 | \$5,503 | \$3,554 | \$3,554 | \$3,554 |
| 44 | 2067-68 | \$561,345 | \$563,127 | \$5,613 | \$3,626 | \$3,626 | \$3,626 |
| 45 | 2068-69 | \$572,607 | \$574,389 | \$5,726 | \$3,698 | \$3,698 | \$3,698 |
|  |  |  |  | \$167,000 | \$108,000 | \$108,000 | \$108,000 |
| $2017 \text { (3\% discount) [2] }$ |  |  |  | \$68,000 | \$44,000 | \$44,000 | \$44,000 |

[1] Base year Aggregate AV is AV in FY 2017/18, which is $\$ 16,014,000$. For Base year AV for individual blocks, see Table B3.1 [2] Figures rounded.

Table B4.7
Sub-Project Area I-7 (Block G), AV and Projection of Mission Rock IFD Tax Revenue (\$000s)
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

[1] Base year Aggregate AV is AV in FY 2017/18, which is $\$ 16,014,000$. For Base year AV for individual blocks, see Table B3.1
[2] Figures rounded.

Table B4.8
Sub-Project Area I-8 (Block H), AV and Projection of Mission Rock IFD Tax Revenue (\$000s)
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fiscal Year [1] } \\ \text { FY 2017-18 Base AV } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Incremental AV | Total AV | Possessoryl Property Tax Increment 1\% | Possessoryl Property Tax Increment to City 64.59\% | Total Available Revenues to IFD | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 | \$836 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2018-19 | \$17 | \$853 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2019-20 | \$34 | \$870 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2020-21 | \$51 | \$888 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2021-22 | \$69 | \$905 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2022-23 | \$87 | \$923 | \$1 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2023-24 | \$106 | \$942 | \$1 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2024-25 | \$10,799 | \$11,635 | \$108 | \$70 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2025-26 | \$11,032 | \$11,868 | \$110 | \$71 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1 | 2026-27 | \$110,337 | \$111,174 | \$1,103 | \$713 | \$713 | \$713 |
| 2 | 2027-28 | \$112,561 | \$113,397 | \$1,126 | \$727 | \$727 | \$727 |
| 3 | 2028-29 | \$114,829 | \$115,665 | \$1,148 | \$742 | \$742 | \$742 |
| 4 | 2029-30 | \$117,142 | \$117,978 | \$1,171 | \$757 | \$757 | \$757 |
| 5 | 2030-31 | \$119,501 | \$120,338 | \$1,195 | \$772 | \$772 | \$772 |
| 6 | 2031-32 | \$121,908 | \$122,745 | \$1,219 | \$787 | \$787 | \$787 |
| 7 | 2032-33 | \$124,363 | \$125,200 | \$1,244 | \$803 | \$803 | \$803 |
| 8 | 2033-34 | \$126,867 | \$127,704 | \$1,269 | \$819 | \$819 | \$819 |
| 9 | 2034-35 | \$129,421 | \$130,258 | \$1,294 | \$836 | \$836 | \$836 |
| 10 | 2035-36 | \$132,026 | \$132,863 | \$1,320 | \$853 | \$853 | \$853 |
| 11 | 2036-37 | \$134,684 | \$135,520 | \$1,347 | \$870 | \$870 | \$870 |
| 12 | 2037-38 | \$137,394 | \$138,230 | \$1,374 | \$887 | \$887 | \$887 |
| 13 | 2038-39 | \$140,159 | \$140,995 | \$1,402 | \$905 | \$905 | \$905 |
| 14 | 2039-40 | \$142,978 | \$143,815 | \$1,430 | \$923 | \$923 | \$923 |
| 15 | 2040-41 | \$145,855 | \$146,691 | \$1,459 | \$942 | \$942 | \$942 |
| 16 | 2041-42 | \$148,789 | \$149,625 | \$1,488 | \$961 | \$961 | \$961 |
| 17 | 2042-43 | \$151,781 | \$152,618 | \$1,518 | \$980 | \$980 | \$980 |
| 18 | 2043-44 | \$154,833 | \$155,670 | \$1,548 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |
| 19 | 2044-45 | \$157,947 | \$158,783 | \$1,579 | \$1,020 | \$1,020 | \$1,020 |
| 20 | 2045-46 | \$161,123 | \$161,959 | \$1,611 | \$1,041 | \$1,041 | \$1,041 |
| 21 | 2046-47 | \$164,362 | \$165,198 | \$1,644 | \$1,062 | \$1,062 | \$1,062 |
| 22 | 2047-48 | \$167,666 | \$168,502 | \$1,677 | \$1,083 | \$1,083 | \$1,083 |
| 23 | 2048-49 | \$171,036 | \$171,872 | \$1,710 | \$1,105 | \$1,105 | \$1,105 |
| 24 | 2049-50 | \$174,473 | \$175,310 | \$1,745 | \$1,127 | \$1,127 | \$1,127 |
| 25 | 2050-51 | \$177,979 | \$178,816 | \$1,780 | \$1,150 | \$1,150 | \$1,150 |
| 26 | 2051-52 | \$181,556 | \$182,392 | \$1,816 | \$1,173 | \$1,173 | \$1,173 |
| 27 | 2052-53 | \$185,204 | \$186,040 | \$1,852 | \$1,196 | \$1,196 | \$1,196 |
| 28 | 2053-54 | \$188,924 | \$189,761 | \$1,889 | \$1,220 | \$1,220 | \$1,220 |
| 29 | 2054-55 | \$192,720 | \$193,556 | \$1,927 | \$1,245 | \$1,245 | \$1,245 |
| 30 | 2055-56 | \$196,591 | \$197,427 | \$1,966 | \$1,270 | \$1,270 | \$1,270 |
| 31 | 2056-57 | \$200,539 | \$201,376 | \$2,005 | \$1,295 | \$1,295 | \$1,295 |
| 32 | 2057-58 | \$204,567 | \$205,403 | \$2,046 | \$1,321 | \$1,321 | \$1,321 |
| 33 | 2058-59 | \$208,675 | \$209,511 | \$2,087 | \$1,348 | \$1,348 | \$1,348 |
| 34 | 2059-60 | \$212,865 | \$213,701 | \$2,129 | \$1,375 | \$1,375 | \$1,375 |
| 35 | 2060-61 | \$217,139 | \$217,975 | \$2,171 | \$1,403 | \$1,403 | \$1,403 |
| 36 | 2061-62 | \$221,499 | \$222,335 | \$2,215 | \$1,431 | \$1,431 | \$1,431 |
| 37 | 2062-63 | \$225,945 | \$226,782 | \$2,259 | \$1,459 | \$1,459 | \$1,459 |
| 38 | 2063-64 | \$230,481 | \$231,317 | \$2,305 | \$1,489 | \$1,489 | \$1,489 |
| 39 | 2064-65 | \$235,107 | \$235,944 | \$2,351 | \$1,519 | \$1,519 | \$1,519 |
| 40 | 2065-66 | \$239,826 | \$240,662 | \$2,398 | \$1,549 | \$1,549 | \$1,549 |
| 41 | 2066-67 | \$244,639 | \$245,476 | \$2,446 | \$1,580 | \$1,580 | \$1,580 |
| 42 | 2067-68 | \$249,549 | \$250,385 | \$2,495 | \$1,612 | \$1,612 | \$1,612 |
| 43 | 2068-69 | \$254,557 | \$255,393 | \$2,546 | \$1,644 | \$1,644 | \$1,644 |
| 44 | 2069-70 | \$259,664 | \$260,501 | \$2,597 | \$1,677 | \$1,677 | \$1,677 |
| 45 | 2070-71 | \$264,874 | \$265,711 | \$2,649 | \$1,711 | \$1,711 | \$1,711 |
| Nominal TOTAL [2] |  |  |  | \$80,000 | \$52,000 | \$51,000 | \$51,000 |
| 2017 (3\% discount) [2] |  |  |  | \$31,000 | \$20,000 | \$20,000 | \$20,000 |

[1] Base year Aggregate AV is AV in FY 2017/18, which is $\$ 16,014,000$. For Base year AV for individual blocks, see Table B3.1 [2] Figures rounded.

Table B4.9
Sub-Project Area I-9 (Block I), AV and Projection of Mission Rock IFD Tax Revenue (\$000s)
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

[1] Base year Aggregate AV is AV in FY 2017/18, which is $\$ 16,014,000$. For Base year AV for individual blocks, see Table B3.1
[2] Figures rounded.

Table B4.10
Sub-Project Area I-10 (Block J), AV and Projection of Mission Rock IFD Tax Revenue (\$000s)
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

[1] Base year Aggregate $A V$ is $A V$ in $F Y$ 2017/18, which is $\$ 16,014,000$. For Base year AV for individual blocks, see Table B3.1
[2] Figures rounded

Table B4.11
Sub-Project Area I-11 (Block K), AV and Projection of Mission Rock IFD Tax Revenue (\$000s)
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

|  | Fiscal Year [1] <br> FY 2017-18 Base AV = | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Incremental } \\ & \text { AV } \\ & \$ 718 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total AV | Possessoryl Property Tax Increment 1\% | Possessoryl Property Tax Increment to City 64.59\% | Total Available Revenues to IFD | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 | \$718 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2018-19 | \$14 | \$732 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2019-20 | \$29 | \$747 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2020-21 | \$44 | \$762 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2021-22 | \$5,626 | \$6,344 | \$56 | \$36 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2022-23 | \$5,753 | \$6,471 | \$58 | \$37 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1 | 2023-24 | \$90,787 | \$91,505 | \$908 | \$586 | \$586 | \$586 |
| 2 | 2024-25 | \$92,617 | \$93,335 | \$926 | \$598 | \$598 | \$598 |
| 3 | 2025-26 | \$94,484 | \$95,202 | \$945 | \$610 | \$610 | \$610 |
| 4 | 2026-27 | \$96,388 | \$97,106 | \$964 | \$623 | \$623 | \$623 |
| 5 | 2027-28 | \$98,330 | \$99,048 | \$983 | \$635 | \$635 | \$635 |
| 6 | 2028-29 | \$100,311 | \$101,029 | \$1,003 | \$648 | \$648 | \$648 |
| 7 | 2029-30 | \$102,331 | \$103,049 | \$1,023 | \$661 | \$661 | \$661 |
| 8 | 2030-31 | \$104,392 | \$105,110 | \$1,044 | \$674 | \$674 | \$674 |
| 9 | 2031-32 | \$106,495 | \$107,213 | \$1,065 | \$688 | \$688 | \$688 |
| 10 | 2032-33 | \$108,639 | \$109,357 | \$1,086 | \$702 | \$702 | \$702 |
| 11 | 2033-34 | \$110,826 | \$111,544 | \$1,108 | \$716 | \$716 | \$716 |
| 12 | 2034-35 | \$113,057 | \$113,775 | \$1,131 | \$730 | \$730 | \$730 |
| 13 | 2035-36 | \$115,332 | \$116,050 | \$1,153 | \$745 | \$745 | \$745 |
| 14 | 2036-37 | \$117,653 | \$118,371 | \$1,177 | \$760 | \$760 | \$760 |
| 15 | 2037-38 | \$120,021 | \$120,739 | \$1,200 | \$775 | \$775 | \$775 |
| 16 | 2038-39 | \$122,436 | \$123,154 | \$1,224 | \$791 | \$791 | \$791 |
| 17 | 2039-40 | \$124,899 | \$125,617 | \$1,249 | \$807 | \$807 | \$807 |
| 18 | 2040-41 | \$127,411 | \$128,129 | \$1,274 | \$823 | \$823 | \$823 |
| 19 | 2041-42 | \$129,974 | \$130,692 | \$1,300 | \$839 | \$839 | \$839 |
| 20 | 2042-43 | \$132,587 | \$133,306 | \$1,326 | \$856 | \$856 | \$856 |
| 21 | 2043-44 | \$135,254 | \$135,972 | \$1,353 | \$874 | \$874 | \$874 |
| 22 | 2044-45 | \$137,973 | \$138,691 | \$1,380 | \$891 | \$891 | \$891 |
| 23 | 2045-46 | \$140,747 | \$141,465 | \$1,407 | \$909 | \$909 | \$909 |
| 24 | 2046-47 | \$143,576 | \$144,294 | \$1,436 | \$927 | \$927 | \$927 |
| 25 | 2047-48 | \$146,462 | \$147,180 | \$1,465 | \$946 | \$946 | \$946 |
| 26 | 2048-49 | \$149,406 | \$150,124 | \$1,494 | \$965 | \$965 | \$965 |
| 27 | 2049-50 | \$152,408 | \$153,126 | \$1,524 | \$984 | \$984 | \$984 |
| 28 | 2050-51 | \$155,471 | \$156,189 | \$1,555 | \$1,004 | \$1,004 | \$1,004 |
| 29 | 2051-52 | \$158,594 | \$159,312 | \$1,586 | \$1,024 | \$1,024 | \$1,024 |
| 30 | 2052-53 | \$161,781 | \$162,499 | \$1,618 | \$1,045 | \$1,045 | \$1,045 |
| 31 | 2053-54 | \$165,031 | \$165,749 | \$1,650 | \$1,066 | \$1,066 | \$1,066 |
| 32 | 2054-55 | \$168,346 | \$169,064 | \$1,683 | \$1,087 | \$1,087 | \$1,087 |
| 33 | 2055-56 | \$171,727 | \$172,445 | \$1,717 | \$1,109 | \$1,109 | \$1,109 |
| 34 | 2056-57 | \$175,176 | \$175,894 | \$1,752 | \$1,131 | \$1,131 | \$1,131 |
| 35 | 2057-58 | \$178,694 | \$179,412 | \$1,787 | \$1,154 | \$1,154 | \$1,154 |
| 36 | 2058-59 | \$182,282 | \$183,000 | \$1,823 | \$1,177 | \$1,177 | \$1,177 |
| 37 | 2059-60 | \$185,942 | \$186,660 | \$1,859 | \$1,201 | \$1,201 | \$1,201 |
| 38 | 2060-61 | \$189,675 | \$190,393 | \$1,897 | \$1,225 | \$1,225 | \$1,225 |
| 39 | 2061-62 | \$193,483 | \$194,201 | \$1,935 | \$1,250 | \$1,250 | \$1,250 |
| 40 | 2062-63 | \$197,367 | \$198,085 | \$1,974 | \$1,275 | \$1,275 | \$1,275 |
| 41 | 2063-64 | \$201,329 | \$202,047 | \$2,013 | \$1,300 | \$1,300 | \$1,300 |
| 42 | 2064-65 | \$205,370 | \$206,088 | \$2,054 | \$1,326 | \$1,326 | \$1,326 |
| 43 | 2065-66 | \$209,491 | \$210,209 | \$2,095 | \$1,353 | \$1,353 | \$1,353 |
| 44 | 2066-67 | \$213,696 | \$214,414 | \$2,137 | \$1,380 | \$1,380 | \$1,380 |
| 45 | 2067-68 | \$217,984 | \$218,702 | \$2,180 | \$1,408 | \$1,408 | \$1,408 |
| Nominal TOTAL [2] <br> 2017 (3\% discount) [2] |  |  |  | \$66,000 | \$42,000 | \$42,000 | \$42,000 |
|  |  |  |  | \$28,000 | \$18,000 | \$18,000 | \$18,000 |

[1] Base year Aggregate $A V$ is $A V$ in $F Y$ 2017/18, which is $\$ 16,014,000$. For Base year $A V$ for individual blocks, see Table B3.1
[2] Figures rounded.

Table B4.12
Sub-Project Area I-12 (Pier 48), AV and Projection of Mission Rock IFD Tax Revenue (\$000s)
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fiscal Year [1] } \\ \text { FY 2017-18 Base AV = } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Incremental } \\ & \quad \text { AV } \\ & \$ 1,169 \end{aligned}$ | Total AV | Possessoryl Property Tax Increment 1\% | Possessoryl <br> Property Tax Increment to City 64.59\% | Total Available Revenues to IFD | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 | \$0 | \$1,169 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2018-19 | \$23 | \$1,193 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2019-20 | \$47 | \$1,217 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2020-21 | \$72 | \$1,241 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2021-22 | \$96 | \$1,266 | \$1 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2022-23 | \$122 | \$1,291 | \$1 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2023-24 | \$148 | \$1,317 | \$1 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2024-25 | \$174 | \$1,343 | \$2 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2025-26 | \$201 | \$1,370 | \$2 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2026-27 | \$11,662 | \$12,831 | \$117 | \$75 | \$0 | \$0 |
|  | 2027-28 | \$11,918 | \$13,088 | \$119 | \$77 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1 | 2028-29 | \$122,675 | \$123,844 | \$1,227 | \$792 | \$792 | \$792 |
| 2 | 2029-30 | \$125,152 | \$126,321 | \$1,252 | \$808 | \$808 | \$808 |
| 3 | 2030-31 | \$127,678 | \$128,848 | \$1,277 | \$825 | \$825 | \$825 |
| 4 | 2031-32 | \$130,255 | \$131,425 | \$1,303 | \$841 | \$841 | \$841 |
| 5 | 2032-33 | \$132,884 | \$134,053 | \$1,329 | \$858 | \$858 | \$858 |
| 6 | 2033-34 | \$135,565 | \$136,734 | \$1,356 | \$876 | \$876 | \$876 |
| 7 | 2034-35 | \$138,299 | \$139,469 | \$1,383 | \$893 | \$893 | \$893 |
| 8 | 2035-36 | \$141,089 | \$142,258 | \$1,411 | \$911 | \$911 | \$911 |
| 9 | 2036-37 | \$143,934 | \$145,103 | \$1,439 | \$930 | \$930 | \$930 |
| 10 | 2037-38 | \$146,836 | \$148,006 | \$1,468 | \$948 | \$948 | \$948 |
| 11 | 2038-39 | \$149,796 | \$150,966 | \$1,498 | \$968 | \$968 | \$968 |
| 12 | 2039-40 | \$152,815 | \$153,985 | \$1,528 | \$987 | \$987 | \$987 |
| 13 | 2040-41 | \$155,895 | \$157,065 | \$1,559 | \$1,007 | \$1,007 | \$1,007 |
| 14 | 2041-42 | \$159,036 | \$160,206 | \$1,590 | \$1,027 | \$1,027 | \$1,027 |
| 15 | 2042-43 | \$162,241 | \$163,410 | \$1,622 | \$1,048 | \$1,048 | \$1,048 |
| 16 | 2043-44 | \$165,509 | \$166,678 | \$1,655 | \$1,069 | \$1,069 | \$1,069 |
| 17 | 2044-45 | \$168,842 | \$170,012 | \$1,688 | \$1,091 | \$1,091 | \$1,091 |
| 18 | 2045-46 | \$172,243 | \$173,412 | \$1,722 | \$1,113 | \$1,113 | \$1,113 |
| 19 | 2046-47 | \$175,711 | \$176,880 | \$1,757 | \$1,135 | \$1,135 | \$1,135 |
| 20 | 2047-48 | \$179,248 | \$180,418 | \$1,792 | \$1,158 | \$1,158 | \$1,158 |
| 21 | 2048-49 | \$182,857 | \$184,026 | \$1,829 | \$1,181 | \$1,181 | \$1,181 |
| 22 | 2049-50 | \$186,537 | \$187,707 | \$1,865 | \$1,205 | \$1,205 | \$1,205 |
| 23 | 2050-51 | \$190,291 | \$191,461 | \$1,903 | \$1,229 | \$1,229 | \$1,229 |
| 24 | 2051-52 | \$194,121 | \$195,290 | \$1,941 | \$1,254 | \$1,254 | \$1,254 |
| 25 | 2052-53 | \$198,026 | \$199,196 | \$1,980 | \$1,279 | \$1,279 | \$1,279 |
| 26 | 2053-54 | \$202,010 | \$203,180 | \$2,020 | \$1,305 | \$1,305 | \$1,305 |
| 27 | 2054-55 | \$206,074 | \$207,243 | \$2,061 | \$1,331 | \$1,331 | \$1,331 |
| 28 | 2055-56 | \$210,219 | \$211,388 | \$2,102 | \$1,358 | \$1,358 | \$1,358 |
| 29 | 2056-57 | \$214,447 | \$215,616 | \$2,144 | \$1,385 | \$1,385 | \$1,385 |
| 30 | 2057-58 | \$218,759 | \$219,928 | \$2,188 | \$1,413 | \$1,413 | \$1,413 |
| 31 | 2058-59 | \$223,158 | \$224,327 | \$2,232 | \$1,441 | \$1,441 | \$1,441 |
| 32 | 2059-60 | \$227,644 | \$228,814 | \$2,276 | \$1,470 | \$1,470 | \$1,470 |
| 33 | 2060-61 | \$232,220 | \$233,390 | \$2,322 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 |
| 34 | 2061-62 | \$236,888 | \$238,058 | \$2,369 | \$1,530 | \$1,530 | \$1,530 |
| 35 | 2062-63 | \$241,649 | \$242,819 | \$2,416 | \$1,561 | \$1,561 | \$1,561 |
| 36 | 2063-64 | \$246,506 | \$247,675 | \$2,465 | \$1,592 | \$1,592 | \$1,592 |
| 37 | 2064-65 | \$251,459 | \$252,629 | \$2,515 | \$1,624 | \$1,624 | \$1,624 |
| 38 | 2065-66 | \$256,512 | \$257,681 | \$2,565 | \$1,657 | \$1,657 | \$1,657 |
| 39 | 2066-67 | \$261,665 | \$262,835 | \$2,617 | \$1,690 | \$1,690 | \$1,690 |
| 40 | 2067-68 | \$266,922 | \$268,092 | \$2,669 | \$1,724 | \$1,724 | \$1,724 |
| 41 | 2068-69 | \$272,284 | \$273,453 | \$2,723 | \$1,759 | \$1,759 | \$1,759 |
| 42 | 2069-70 | \$277,753 | \$278,922 | \$2,778 | \$1,794 | \$1,794 | \$1,794 |
| 43 | 2070-71 | \$283,331 | \$284,501 | \$2,833 | \$1,830 | \$1,830 | \$1,830 |
| 44 | 2071-72 | \$289,021 | \$290,191 | \$2,890 | \$1,867 | \$1,867 | \$1,867 |
| 45 | 2072-73 | \$294,825 | \$295,995 | \$2,948 | \$1,904 | \$1,904 | \$1,904 |
| Nominal TOTAL 2017 (3\% discount) |  |  |  | \$89,000 | \$57,000 | \$57,000 | \$57,000 |
|  |  |  |  | \$33,000 | \$21,000 | \$21,000 | \$21,000 |

[1] Base year Aggregate AV is AV in FY 2017/18, which is $\$ 16,014,000$. For Base year AV for individual blocks, see Table B3.1
[2] Figures rounded.

Table B4.13
Sub-Project Area I-13 (Mission Square), AV and Projection of Mission Rock IFD Tax Revenue (\$000s)
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fiscal Year [1] } \\ \text { FY 2017-18 Base } A V=\$ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Incremental } \quad \text { AV } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Total AV | Possessoryl Property Tax Increment 1\% | Possessoryl Property Tax Increment to City $\qquad$ 64.59\% | Total Available Revenues to IFD | Total Taxes Allocated to IFD 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017-18 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2018-19 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2019-20 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2020-21 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2021-22 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2022-23 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2023-24 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2024-25 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2025-26 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2026-27 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2027-28 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2028-29 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2029-30 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2030-31 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2031-32 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2032-33 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2033-34 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2034-35 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2035-36 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2036-37 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2037-38 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2038-39 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2039-40 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2040-41 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2041-42 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2042-43 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2043-44 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2044-45 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2045-46 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2046-47 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2047-48 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2048-49 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2049-50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2050-51 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2051-52 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2052-53 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2053-54 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2054-55 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2055-56 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2056-57 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2057-58 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2058-59 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2059-60 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2060-61 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2061-62 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2062-63 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2063-64 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2064-65 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2065-66 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2066-67 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2067-68 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2068-69 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2069-70 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2070-71 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2071-72 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Nominal TOTAL [2] |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2017 (3\% discount) [2] |  |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

[1] Base year Aggregate AV is AV in FY 2017/18, which is $\$ 16,014,000$. For Base year AV for individual blocks, see Table B3.1
[2] Figures rounded.

Table C1
Existing Persons Served and Population
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

|  | Assumption |
| :--- | ---: |
| General Assumptions |  |
| Base Fiscal Year [1] | FY 2017-18 |
| General Demographic Characteristics |  |
| City and County of San Francisco |  |
| Population [2] | 0.50 per employee |
| Employment [3] | $1,170,840$ |
| Total Persons Served |  |

Source: California Department of Finance; Esri Business Summary Report; KMA.
[1] Base fiscal numbers comes from the City and County of San Francisco, FY 2017-18. Revenues and expenditures are in 2017 dollars.
[2] State of California, Department of Finance, E-5 City/County Population and Housing Estimates, 1/1/2017.
[3] Esri, Business Summary Report, Total Employees, 2017, City of San Francisco.

San Francisco, CA

| Development Project | Assumption/Source | Buildout | Fiscal Year of Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 | 2029-30 | 2030-31 | 2031-32 | 2032-33 |
|  |  |  | Assessed Value Added to Tax Roll (FY) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 | 2029-30 | 2030-31 | 2031-32 | 2032-33 | 2033-34 | 2034-35 |
| Residential Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Residential Units | Table B1 | 801 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 327 | 0 | 156 | 202 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Residential Units Occupancy Rate [2] | 95\% |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 327 | 327 | 483 | 685 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 |
| Occupied Residential Units |  | 761 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 311 | 311 | 459 | 651 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 |
| Persons/Unit [1] | 2.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population (rounded) |  | 1,788 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 730 | 730 | 1,078 | 1,529 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 |
| вмr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Residential Units | Table B1 | 526 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 215 | 0 | 103 | 132 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Residential Units |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 215 | 215 | 318 | 450 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 |
| Occupancy Rate [2] | 98\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupied Residential Units |  | 515 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 211 | 211 | 312 | 441 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 |
| Persons/Unit [1] | 2.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population (rounded) |  | 1,211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 495 | 495 | 732 | 1,036 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 |
| Total, Market Rate and BMR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupied Residential Units |  | 1,276 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 521 | 521 | 770 | 1,092 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 |
| Persons/Unit [1] | 2.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population (rounded) |  | 2,999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,225 | 1,225 | 1,810 | 2,565 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 |
| Employee Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Office SF | Table B1 | 1,231,091 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 522,352 | 0 | 449,823 | 129,458 | 129,458 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Office SF |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 522,352 | 522,352 | 972,175 | 1,101,633 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 |
| Occupancy Rate [4] | 95\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office SF (Occupied) |  | 1,169,536 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 496,234 | 496,234 | 923,566 | 1,046,551 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 |
| Sq. Ft./Employee [1] | 276 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Employees (rounded) |  | 4,237 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,798 | 1,798 | 3,346 | 3,792 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 |
| New Retail SF | Table B1 | 248,931 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98,865 | 0 | 56,764 | 38,579 | 44,723 | 10,000 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Retail SF |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98,865 | 98,865 | 155,629 | 194,208 | 238,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 |
| Occupancy Rate [4] | 90\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail SF (Occupied) |  | 224,038 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88,979 | 88,979 | 140,066 | 174,787 | 215,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 |
| Sq. Ft./Employee [1] | 327 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail Employees (rounded) |  | 685 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 272 | 272 | 428 | 535 | 658 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 |
| New Production SF |  | 202,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 202,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Production SF |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 202,500 | 202,500 | 202,500 | 202,500 | 202,500 | 202,500 | 202,500 |
| Occupancy Rate [4] | 90\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production SF (Occupied) |  | 182,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 |
| Sq. Ft./Employee [1] | 1,213 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production Employes (rounded) |  | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| New Parking SF |  | 983,876 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 983,876 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Parking SF |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 983,876 | 983,876 | 983,876 | 983,876 | 983,876 | 983,876 | 983,876 | 983,876 | 983,876 | 983,876 |
| Occupancy Rate [4] | 90\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parking SF (Occupied) |  | 885,488 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 |
| Sq. Ft./Employee [4] | 75,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parking Employees (rounded) |  | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Total Employees |  | 5,084 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,070 | 2,070 | 3,786 | 4,339 | 4,907 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 |
| Total Persons Served |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Persons Served [3] |  | 5,541 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,260 | 2,260 | 3,703 | 4,735 | 5,453 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 |

[^23]| Development Project | 2035-36 | 2036-37 | 2037-38 | 2038-39 | 2039-40 | 2040-41 | 2041-42 | 2042-43 | 2043-44 | 2044-45 | 2045-46 | 2046-47 | 2047-48 | 2048-49 | 2049-50 | 2050-51 | 2051-52 | 2052-53 | 2053-54 | 2054-55 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Residential Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Residential Units Occupancy Rate [2] | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 |
| Occupied Residential Units | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 | 761 |
| Persons/Unit [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population (rounded) | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 |
| bmr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Residential Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Residential Units Occupancy Rate [2] | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 |
| Occupied Residential Units | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 |
| Persons/Unit [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population (rounded) | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 |
| Total, Market Rate and BMR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupied Residential Units | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 |
| Persons/Unit [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population (rounded) | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 |
| Employee Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Office SF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| New Office SF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cumulative Office SF | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 | 1,231,091 |
| Occupancy Rate [4] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office SF (Occupied) | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 | 1,169,536 |
| Sq. Ft./Employee [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Employees (rounded) | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 |
| New Retail SF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Retail SF | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 | 248,931 |
| Occupancy Rate [4] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail SF (Occupied) | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 | 224,038 |
| Sq. Ft./Employee [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail Employees (rounded) | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 | 685 |
| New Production SF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Occupancy Rate [4] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production SF (Occupied) | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 | 182,250 |
| Sq. Ft./Employee [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production Employees (rounded | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| New Parking SF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parking SF (Occupied) | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 | 885,488 |
| Sq. Ft./Employee [4] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parking Employees (rounded) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Total Employees | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 | 5,084 |
| Total Persons Served |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Persons Served [3] | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 | 5,541 |

[^24]| Development Project | 2055-56 | 2056-57 | 2057-58 | 2058-59 | 2059-60 | 2060-61 | 2061-62 | 2062-63 | 2063-64 | 2064-65 | 2065-66 | 2066-67 | 2067-68 | 2068-69 | 2069-70 | 2070-71 | 2071-72 | 2072-73 | 2073-74 | 2074-75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Residential Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Residential Units | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 | 801 |
| Occupancy Rate [2] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population (rounded) | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 | 1,788 |
| вмr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Residential Units | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Residential Units | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 | 526 |
| Occupancy Rate [2] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupied Residential Units | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 | 515 |
| Persons/Unit [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population (rounded) | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,211 |
| Total, Market Rate and BMR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupied Residential Units | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 | 1,276 |
| Persons/Unit [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population (rounded) | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 | 2,999 |
| Employee Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Office SF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



| Occupancy Rate $[4]$ <br> Office SF (Occupied) | $1,169,536$ | $1,169,536$ | $1,169,536$ | $1,169,536$ | $1,169,536$ | $1,169,536$ | $1,169,536$ | $1,169,536$ | $1,169,536$ | $1,169,536$ | $1,169,536$ | $1,169,536$ | $1,169,536$ | $1,169,536$ | $1,169,536$ | $1,169,536$ | $1,169,536$ | $1,169,536$ | $1,169,536$ | $1,169,536$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Sq. Ft./Employee [1]
Sq. Ft./Employee [1]
Office Employees (round
New Retail SF
Cumulative Retail SF
Occupancy Rate $[4]$

| 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Cumulative Retail SF
Occupancy Rate


Retail Employees 1 [ro
New Production SF

Occupancy Rate [4]



| New Parking SF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cumulative Parking SF | 983,876 | ,876 | 983,876 | 83,87 | 3,87 | ,87 | ,87 | ,87 | 983,876 | 983,876 | 983,876 | 983,876 | 983,876 | 983,876 | 983,876 | 983,876 | 983,876 | 983,876 | 983,876 |



Sq. Ft./Employee [4]



[^25]Table C3
Average Income and Annual Taxable Retail Expenditures for Residential Units (2017\$)
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Residential Land Use | Assumption | Multifamily |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated Renter Household Income (Market Rate) |  |  |
| Average Rent [1] |  | \$4,556 |
| Housing Expenditure \% of Income | 30\% |  |
| Annual Average Renter Household Income |  | \$182,227 |
| Average Retail Expenditures |  |  |
| Income Spent on Taxable Retail Sales [2] |  | 30.1\% |
| Average Retail Expenditures (rounded) |  | \$55,000 |
| Average Low Income Household Income [3] |  |  |
| 45\% AMI (Extremely Low) |  | \$31,600 |
| 55\% AMI (Very Low) |  | \$52,650 |
| 90\% AMI (Low Income) |  | \$84,300 |
| 120\% AMI (Median Income) |  | \$92,250 |
| 150\% AMI (Moderate Income) |  | \$110,700 |
|  | Income Spent on |  |
|  | Taxable Retail |  |
| Average Retail Expenditures | Sales [2] |  |
| 45\% AMI | 30.1\% | \$10,000 |
| 55\% AMI | 30.1\% | \$16,000 |
| 90\% AMI | 30.1\% | \$25,000 |
| 120\% AMI | 30.1\% | \$28,000 |
| 150\% AMI | 30.1\% | \$33,000 |

[1] Table C4.
[2] CA Board of Equalization Taxable Sales in California Report by Type of Business for Cities and Counties - 2015, San Francisco; US Census, American Community Survey, 2011-2015 5-Year Estimates.
[3] Official State Income Limits for 2017 (effective June 9, 2017) by California Department of Housing and Community Development. Since the ACS indicates the average size of household is 2.1 people, KMA uses the numbers of household with 2 persons.

Table C4
Rents - Market Rate
Mission Rock IFD Fiscal Impact Analysis
San Francisco, CA

| Unit Type | Avg. Size <br> [1] | PARCEL A [1] |  |  | PARCEL D1 and D2 [1] |  |  | PARCEL F [1] |  |  | PARCEL I [1] |  |  | PARCEL K [1] |  |  | Total [2] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Units | Monthly Rent | Subtotal Rent [2] | Units | Monthly Rent | Subtotal Rent [2] | Units | Monthly Rent | Subtotal Rent [2] | Units | Monthly Rent | Subtotal Rent [2] | Units | Monthly Rent | Subtotal Rent [2] | Total Rent | Total Units | Avg. Rent |
| Market Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR | 450 | 62 | \$3,000 | \$186,000 | 38 | \$3,000 | \$114,000 | 51 | \$3,000 | \$153,000 | 29 | \$3,000 | \$87,000 | 20 | \$3,500 | \$70,000 | \$610,000 | 200 | \$3,050 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath | 700 | 99 | \$4,400 | \$435,600 | 63 | \$4,400 | \$277,200 | 81 | \$4,400 | \$356,400 | 46 | \$4,400 | \$202,400 | 31 | \$4,900 | \$151,900 | \$1,423,500 | 320 | \$4,448 |
| 2 BDR / 2 Bath | 1,000 | 81 | \$5,600 | \$453,600 | 51 | \$5,600 | \$285,600 | 65 | \$5,600 | \$364,000 | 38 | \$5,600 | \$212,800 | 26 | \$6,100 | \$158,600 | \$1,474,600 | 261 | \$5,650 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath | 1,250 | 6 | \$7,000 | \$42,000 | 4 | \$7,000 | \$28,000 | 5 | \$7,000 | \$35,000 | 3 | \$7,000 | \$21,000 | 2 | \$7,500 | \$15,000 | \$141,000 | 20 | \$7,050 |
| Subtotal |  | 248 |  | \$1,117,200 | 156 |  | \$704,800 | 202 |  | \$908,400 | 116 |  | \$523,200 | 79 |  | \$395,500 | \$3,649,100 | 801 | \$4,556 |
| BMR - 45\% AMI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR | 450 | 2 | \$908 | \$1,816 | 1 | \$908 | \$908 | 2 | \$908 | \$1,816 | 1 | \$908 | \$908 | 1 | \$908 | \$908 | \$6,356 | 7 | \$908 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath | 700 | 3 | \$1,038 | \$3,114 | 2 | \$1,038 | \$2,076 | 3 | \$1,038 | \$3,114 | 2 | \$1,038 | \$2,076 | 1 | \$1,038 | \$1,038 | \$11,418 | 11 | \$1,038 |
| 2 BDR / 2 Bath | 1,000 | 3 | \$1,168 | \$3,504 | 2 | \$1,168 | \$2,336 | 2 | \$1,168 | \$2,336 | 1 | \$1,168 | \$1,168 | 1 | \$1,168 | \$1,168 | \$10,512 | 9 | \$1,168 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath | 1,250 | 0 | \$1,298 | \$0 | 0 | \$1,298 | \$0 | 0 | \$1,298 | \$0 | 0 | \$1,298 | \$0 | 0 | \$1,298 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal |  | 8 |  | \$8,434 | 5 |  | \$5,320 | 7 |  | \$7,266 | 4 |  | \$4,152 | 3 |  | \$3,114 | \$28,286 | 27 | \$1,048 |
| BMR - 55\% AMI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR | 450 | 10 | \$1,063 | \$10,630 | 7 | \$1,063 | \$7,441 | 8 | \$1,063 | \$8,504 | 5 | \$1,063 | \$5,315 | 3 | \$1,063 | \$3,189 | \$35,079 | 33 | \$1,063 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath | 700 | 16 | \$1,214 | \$19,424 | 10 | \$1,214 | \$12,140 | 13 | \$1,214 | \$15,782 | 8 | \$1,214 | \$9,712 | 5 | \$1,214 | \$6,070 | \$63,128 | 52 | \$1,214 |
| 2 BDR / 2 Bath | 1,000 | 13 | \$1,353 | \$17,589 | 8 | \$1,353 | \$10,824 | 11 | \$1,353 | \$14,883 | 6 | \$1,353 | \$8,118 | 4 | \$1,353 | \$5,412 | \$56,826 | 42 | \$1,353 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath | 1,250 | 1 | \$1,478 | \$1,478 | 1 | \$1,478 | \$1,478 | 1 | \$1,478 | \$1,478 | 1 | \$1,478 | \$1,478 | 0 | \$1,478 | \$0 | \$5,912 | 4 | \$1,478 |
| Subtotal |  | 40 |  | \$49,121 | 26 |  | \$31,883 | 33 |  | \$40,647 | 20 |  | \$24,623 | 12 |  | \$14,671 | \$160,945 | 131 | \$1,229 |
| BMR - 90\% AMI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR | 450 | 4 | \$1,769 | \$7,076 | 3 | \$1,769 | \$5,307 | 3 | \$1,769 | \$5,307 | 2 | \$1,769 | \$3,538 | 1 | \$1,769 | \$1,769 | \$22,997 | 13 | \$1,769 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath | 700 | 7 | \$2,021 | \$14,147 | 4 | \$2,021 | \$8,084 | 5 | \$2,021 | \$10,105 | 3 | \$2,021 | \$6,063 | 2 | \$2,021 | \$4,042 | \$42,441 | 21 | \$2,021 |
| 2 BDR / 2 Bath | 1,000 | 5 | \$2,262 | \$11,310 | 3 | \$2,262 | \$6,786 | 4 | \$2,262 | \$9,048 | 2 | \$2,262 | \$4,524 | 2 | \$2,262 | \$4,524 | \$36,192 | 16 | \$2,262 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath | 1,250 | 0 | \$2,487 | \$0 | 0 | \$2,487 | \$0 | 0 | \$2,487 | \$0 | 0 | \$2,487 | \$0 | 0 | \$2,487 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal |  | 16 |  | \$32,533 | 10 |  | \$20,177 | 12 |  | \$24,460 | 7 |  | \$14,125 | 5 |  | \$10,335 | \$101,630 | 50 | \$2,033 |
| BMR - 120\% AMI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR | 450 | 18 | \$2,374 | \$42,732 | 11 | \$2,374 | \$26,114 | 14 | \$2,374 | \$33,236 | 8 | \$2,374 | \$18,992 | 6 | \$2,374 | \$14,244 | \$135,318 | 57 | \$2,374 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath | 700 | 28 | \$2,713 | \$75,964 | 18 | \$2,713 | \$48,834 | 23 | \$2,713 | \$62,399 | 13 | \$2,713 | \$35,269 | 9 | \$2,713 | \$24,417 | \$246,883 | 91 | \$2,713 |
| 2 BDR / 2 Bath | 1,000 | 23 | \$3,040 | \$69,920 | 14 | \$3,040 | \$42,560 | 19 | \$3,040 | \$57,760 | 11 | \$3,040 | \$33,440 | 7 | \$3,040 | \$21,280 | \$224,960 | 74 | \$3,040 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath | 1,250 | 2 | \$3,352 | \$6,704 | 1 | \$3,352 | \$3,352 | 1 | \$3,352 | \$3,352 | 1 | \$3,352 | \$3,352 | 1 | \$3,352 | \$3,352 | \$20,112 | 6 | \$3,352 |
| Subtotal |  | 71 |  | \$195,320 | 44 |  | \$120,860 | 57 |  | \$156,747 | 33 |  | \$91,053 | 23 |  | \$63,293 | \$627,273 | 228 | \$2,751 |
| BMR - 150\% AMI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior 1 BDR | 450 | 7 | \$2,979 | \$20,853 | 5 | \$2,979 | \$14,895 | 6 | \$2,979 | \$17,874 | 3 | \$2,979 | \$8,937 | 2 | \$2,979 | \$5,958 | \$68,517 | 23 | \$2,979 |
| 1 BDR / 1 Bath | 700 | 11 | \$3,405 | \$37,455 | 7 | \$3,405 | \$23,835 | 9 | \$3,405 | \$30,645 | 5 | \$3,405 | \$17,025 | 4 | \$3,405 | \$13,620 | \$122,580 | 36 | \$3,405 |
| 2 BDR / 2 Bath | 1,000 | 9 | \$3,818 | \$34,362 | 6 | \$3,818 | \$22,908 | 8 | \$3,818 | \$30,544 | 4 | \$3,818 | \$15,272 | 3 | \$3,818 | \$11,454 | \$114,540 | 30 | \$3,818 |
| 3 BDR / 3 Bath | 1,250 | 1 | \$4,217 | \$4,217 | 0 | \$4,217 | \$0 | 0 | \$4,217 | \$0 | 0 | \$4,217 | \$0 | 0 | \$4,217 | \$0 | \$4,217 | 1 | \$4,217 |
| Subtotal |  | 28 |  | \$96,887 | 18 |  | \$61,638 | 23 |  | \$79,063 | 12 |  | \$41,234 | 9 |  | \$31,032 | \$309,854 | 90 | \$3,443 |

[1] Provided by Seawall Lot 337 Associates, LLC.
[2] KMA calculations.


[^0]:    Completed by: Victor_Young_Date_April 12, 2013
    Completed by: Victor Young Date $\qquad$

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ California Government Code Section 53395 et ṡeq.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ California Government Code Section 53395.8
    ${ }^{3}$ California Government Code Section 53395.8(g)

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ California Government Code Section 53395.8.g.3.c.i
    ${ }^{5}$ California Government Code Section 53395.8.g.5.
    ${ }^{6}$ California Government Code Section 53395.8.g.3.c.ii
    ${ }^{7}$ On September 29, 2012, Assembly Bill (AB) 2259 was passed.
    ${ }^{8}$ The Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund redirects one-fifth of total statewide property tax revenue from cities, counties and special districts to school and community college districts. The redirected property tax revenue is deposited into a countywide fund for schools and community colleges (ERAF). The property tax revenue is distributed to the county's non-basic aid schools and community colleges (i.e, school and community college districts that receive more than the minimum amount of state aid required by the State constitution). In 2004, the State approved a complex financing mechanism, known as the triple flip, in which one-quarter cent of the local sales tax is used to repay the Proposition 57 deficit financing bond; property taxes are redirected from ERAF to cities and counties to offset revenue losses from the one-quarter cent sales tax; and State aid offsets losses to school and community college districts from the redirected ERA.F funds.

[^4]:    ${ }^{9}$ For example, for every $\$ 1.00$ in Property. Taxes (not including Property Taxes designated to pay General Obligation bonds), $\$ 0.25$ is allocated to ERAF, $\$ 0.65$ is allocated to the City's General Fund, and $\$ 0.10$ is allocated to the other taxing entities (SFUSD; Community College District, BART, and Bay Area Air Quality Management District). If the Board of Supervisors were to approve $50 \%$ of the City's General Fund share of tax increment (or $\$ 0.325$ of $\$ 0.65$ ), then the ERA share of tax increment is $50 \%$ (or $\$ 0.125$ of $\$ 0.25$ ).
    ${ }^{10}$ State law sets aside 20 percent from ERAF's tax increment in lieu of the minimum of 20 percent of the tax increment allocated to the Port IFD required to be set aside to be expended exclusively on shoreline restoration, removal of bay fill, or waterfront public access to or environmental remediation of the San Francisco waterfront.

[^5]:    ${ }^{11}$ Pay-as-you-go is a method of financing expenditures with funds that are currently available rather than borrowed.

[^6]:    ${ }^{12}$ Best practices citizen participation procedures include regular publicly-noticed meetings of waterfront advisory committees to support ongoing communication with neighborhood' and waterfront stakeholders as well as community planning processes for major waterfront open space, maritime, and development project opportunities and needs.
    ${ }^{13}$ California Government Code Section 53395.8.g.3.c.vii
    14 "Up-zonings" are increases in height, bulk or density, allowing increased development.

[^7]:    ${ }^{15}$ ERAF's share of tax increment is allocated in proportion to the percentage of City tax increment allocated to the designated project areas.

[^8]:    Page 3

[^9]:    1 In according with Buard of Supervisors intent as stated in Board Resolution No. 110-12, adopted on March 27, 2012, and Board Resolution No. 227-12, adopted on June 12, 2012. These Port Guidelines will apply even if the Board later decides to create multiple IFDs on Port land, rather than a single waterfoont district.
    2 IFD law generally authorizes certain classes of public facilities to be financed through IFDs. The Legislature has broadened the types of authorized public facilities for waterfront districts to include: (1) remediation of hazardous materials in, on, under, or around any real or tangible property; (2) seismic and life-safety improvements to existing buildings; (3) rehabilitation, restoration, and preservation of structures, buildings, or other facilities having special historical, architectural, or aesthetic interest or value and that are listed on the National Register of Historic Places, are eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places individually or because of their location within an eligible registered historic districl, or are listed on a state or local register of historic landmarks; (4) structural repairs and improvements to piers, seawalls, and wharves, and installation of piles; (5) removal of bay fill; (6) siormwater management facilities, other utility infrastructure, or public open-space improvements; (7) shoreline restoration; (8) other repairs and improvemenss to maritime facilities; (9) planning and design work that is directly related to any public facilities authorized to be financed by a waterfront district; (10) reimbursement payments made to the California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank in accordance with IFD law; (11) improvements, which may be publicly owned, to protect against potential sea level rise; (12) Port maritime facilities at Pier 27; (13) shoreside power installations at Port maritime facilities; and (14) improvements to publicly-owned wateffront lands used as public spectator viewing sites for America's Cup activities in San Francisco. Gov. Code $\S \S 53395.3,53395.8(\mathrm{~d})$, and 53395.81 (c)(1).
    3 Adopted on February 8, 2011, by the Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 66-11. The City Guidelines do not apply to IFDs on land owned or managed by the Pon.

[^10]:    Formatted: Font: Not Bold

[^11]:    4 Gov. Code §\$ $553311-53368.3$ (Mello-Ross Act).

[^12]:    4 Gov. Code §§ 553311-53368.3 (Mello-Ross Act).

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ The development program analyzed in this fiscal analysis represents the Developer's current estimates. The actual program may differ from this projection.
    ${ }^{2}$ The distribution of affordable residential units is as follows:

    - Market Rate units: 801
    - $90 \%$ AMI units: 50
    - $120 \%$ AMI units: 228 ; and
    - $150 \%$ AMI units: 90

[^14]:    ${ }^{3}$ The development program analyzed in this fiscal analysis represents the Developer's current estimates. The actual program may differ from this projection.

[^15]:    1) KMA assumptions.
    [2] Based on taxable food and goods and services spending for urban workers as reported in the ICSC report, "Office-Worker Retail Spending in a Digitial Age" (2012). worker spending based on average for uuban office workers.
[^16]:     | Total, Non-recurring (Construction Related | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^17]:    

[^18]:    $\left.\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { Total Annual Taxable Sales from Space } & & \$ 369,132,557 & \$ 380,206,534 & \$ 391,612,730 & \$ 403,361,111 & \$ 415,461,945 & \$ 427,925,803 & \$ 440,763,577 & \$ 453,986,485 & \$ 467,606,079\end{array}\right)$

[^19]:    [1] The assumptions are from EPS.
    [2] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12-A-1: Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Retail, Wholesale, and Services Rate for $\$ 2.5$ to $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$.
    [3] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12-A-1: Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Financial, Insurance, Professl, Scientific Rate for $\$ 2.5$ to $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$
    ${ }^{[4]}$ S San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12-A-1: Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Real Estate, Rental, Leasing Services Rate for $\$ 5$ to $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$, and over $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$
    [5] San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 12-A-1: Gross Receipts Tax Ordinance. Financial, Insurance, Professl, Scientific Rate for over $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$

[^20]:    [1] City and County of San Francisco, Proposed Budget, FY 2017 -18 and FY 2018-19.
    [2] Per report Economic Impacts of 5 M Project by EPS, May 2015 (Table B-1).
    ${ }^{[13)}$ Base the FY $2016-17$ data. The number has been escalated at an annual rate of $3 \%$.
    See Table A10

[^21]:    [1] City and County of San Francisco, Proposed Budget, FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19,
    [2] Per report Economic Impacts of SM Project by EPS, May 2015 (Table B-1).
    [3] Based on the FY 2016-17 data. The number has been escalated at an annual rate of $3 \%$.

[^22]:    [1] City and County of San Francisco, Proposed Budget, FY 2017 -18 and FY 2018-19.
    [2] Per report Economic Impacts of SM Project by EPS, May 2015 (Table B-1)
    12] erred on the FY 2016-17 data. The number has been escalated at an annual rate of $3 \%$.
    [4] See Table A10

[^23]:    11 As per Draft Environmental Impact Report - Volume 2, Seawall Lot 337 and Pier 48 Mixed-Use Project EIR, April 26, 2017
    [2] The assumptions are provided by EPS.
    13] Total persons served $=$ residents $+0.5 *$ employees
    [4] KMA assumptions.

[^24]:    [1] As per Draft Environmental Impact Report - Volume 2, Seawall Lot 337 and Pier 48 Mixed-Use Project EIR, April 26, 2017
    [2] The assumptions are provided by EPS.
    33 Total persons served $=$ residents $+0.5^{*}$ employees.
    [4] KMA assumptions.

[^25]:    [1] As per Draft Environmental Impact Report - Volume 2, Seawall Lot 337 and Pier 48 Mixed-Use Project EIR, April 26, 2017
    [2] The assumptions are provided by EPS.
    [3] Total persons served $=$ residents $+0.5^{*}$ employees.
    [4] KMA assumptions.

