1	[Affirming the Categorical Exemption Determination - 401 Main Street]
2	
3	Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that a proposed project
4	at 401 Main Street is categorically exempt from further environmental review.
5	
6	WHEREAS, On September 28, 2017, the Planning Department issued a CEQA
7	Categorical Exemption Determination for 61 cell sites on utility poles in the public right-of-way
8	in the Downtown and South of Market neighborhoods, including the proposed cell site near
9	401 Main Street ("Project") under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the
10	CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 31; and
11	WHEREAS, The proposed Project involves installation of wireless service facilities on
12	an existing Joint Pole Association-owned wooden pole at the approximate address of 401
13	Main Street; and
14	WHEREAS, On September 28, 2017, pursuant to Title 14 of the CEQA Guidelines
15	(California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15300-15387), the
16	Planning Department determined that the Project is exempt from environmental review under
17	Class 3 of the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Reg. Section 15303), which includes an
18	exemption for Construction and location of limited numbers of new, small facilities or
19	structures and installation of small new equipment and facilities in small structures; and
20	WHEREAS, On November 30, 2017, an appeal of the categorical exemption was filed
21	by Stephen Williams on behalf of the Portside Homeowners Association ("Appellant"); and
22	WHEREAS, By memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated December 11, 2017, the
23	Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer determined that the appeal was timely
24	filed; and

25

1	
2	

3 4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

WHEREAS, On February 27, 2018, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant and, following the public hearing, affirmed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal; and

WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors affirmed the exemption determination for the Project based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No.171299, and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set forth, the exemption determination; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole record before it there are no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the proposed Project is exempt from environmental review; and, be it

1	FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption
2	determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the
3	public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption
4	determination, this Board concludes that the Project qualifies for an exemption determination
5	under CEQA.
6	
7	
8	n:\land\as2017\0400241\01240935.docx
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	