## PREPARED IN COMMITTEE 1/17/2018

FILE NO. 170660

MOTION NO.

1	[Follow-Up Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - Accelerating SF Government Performance - Taking Accountability and Transparency to the Next Level]
2	Tonormanoo Taking Accountability and Transparency to the Next Level
3	Motion responding to the Civil Grand Jury's request to provide a status update on the
4	Board of Supervisor's response to Recommendation Nos. R2.2, R3.1, R4.1, R6, and R8
5	contained in the 2016-2017 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Accelerating SF
6	Government Performance - Taking Accountability and Transparency to the Next Level.'
7	
8	WHEREAS, The 2016-2017 San Francisco Civil Grand Jury published a report, entitled
9	"Accelerating SF Government Performance - Taking Accountability and Transparency to the
10	Next Level" ("Report") on June 5, 2017; and
11	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors' Government Audit and Oversight Committee
12	("GAO") conducted a public hearing to hear and respond to the Report on September 6, 2017
13	and, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 338-17 on September 12, 2017
14	reflecting the GAO responses to the Report; a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the
15	Board of Supervisors in File No. 170661; and
16	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R2.2 states: "Commencing in 2018, the Controller's
17	Office should prepare quarterly updates of the PS framework, inclusive of: (i) Submission of
18	the quarterly update to the Board of Supervisor's GAO Committee and the Office of the
19	Mayor, inviting comment; and (ii) Posting the quarterly update on the PS website homepage,
20	with comments from the Board of Supervisors and Office of the Mayor included for public
21	reference;" and
22	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on September 12, 2017, responded in
23	Resolution No. 338-17 that Recommendation No. R2.2 has not yet, but will be implemented in
24	the future and the Government Audit and Oversight Committee will review the implementation
25	

1	within six months from June 5, 2017; the Board will work on determining the correct reporting
2	timeline for the performance indicators; and, be it; and
3	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R3.1 states: "In consultation with other SFG entities
4	and community groups, the Office of the Controller should propose a narrowed set of PS
5	indicators, likely not exceeding 30 total, by October 1, 2017; the Board of Supervisor's GAO
6	Committee should be invited to comment on the revised indicators prior to submission to the
7	Office of the Mayor for review and approval;" and
8	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on September 12, 2017, responded in
9	Resolution No. 338-17 that Recommendation No. R3.1 has not yet, but will be implemented in
10	the future, and the Government Oversight and Audit Committee will review the implementation
11	within six months from June 5. 2017; The Board agrees with the recommendation in part, but
12	would like to keep all the indicators and instead work with the Controller's office to develop a
13	narrower set of indicators; and
14	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R4.1 states: "The Mayor's Office should ensure that
15	by January 1, 2018 every PS indicator has a linked goal, with all goals approved by the Mayor
16	- these goals comprise the SFG's overarching annual operational plan;" and
17	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on September 12, 2017, responded in
18	Resolution No. 338-17 that Recommendation No. R4.1 has not yet, but will be implemented in
19	the future and the Government Audit and Oversight Committee will review the implementation
20	within six months from June 5, 2017; and
21	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R6 states: "Beginning in fiscal year 2018, the
22	revised PS framework should be formally incorporated into the SFG department strategic
23	planning and budgeting process – in particular, the Office of the Mayor should require each
24	department to: (i) Specify within their departmental strategic plans which initiatives directly

support the SFG's PS goals most relevant to their operational mandate, and what

25

1	improvement they project in achieving that goal; and (ii) Specify within their departmental
2	budget submission how their budget request is directly supportive of improved SFG
3	performance against the PS goals most relevant to their operational mandate;" and
4	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on September 12, 2017, responded in
5	Resolution No. 338-17 that Recommendation No. R6 has not yet, but will be implemented in
6	the future and the Government Audit and Oversight Committee will review the implementation
7	within six months from June 5, 2017; and
8	WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R8 states: "In consultation with other SFG entities
9	and community organizations, the Controller's Office should ensure that, by January 1, 2018,
10	one or more PS indicators are amended or added to ensure the SFG is tracking and reporting
11	on the equitable distribution of government spending and services;" and
12	WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors on September 12, 2017, responded in
13	Resolution No. 338-17 that Recommendation No. R8 has not yet, but will be implemented in
14	the future and the Government Audit and Oversight Committee will review the implementation
15	within six months from June 5, 2017; and
16	WHEREAS, The GAO Committee conducted an additional hearing on
17	January 17, 2017, to receive an update from City departments on Recommendation
18	Nos. R2.2, R3.1, R4.1, R6, and R8; now, therefore, be it
19	MOVED, That Recommendation No. R2.2 will not be implemented, as it is not within
20	the jurisdiction or purview of the Board of Supervisors; and, be it
21	FURTHER MOVED, That Recommendation No. R3.1 will not be implemented, as it is
22	not within the jurisdiction or purview of the Board of Supervisors; and, be it
23	FURTHER MOVED, That Recommendation No. R4.1 will not be implemented, as it is
24	not within the jurisdiction or purview of the Board of Supervisors; and, be it

25

1	FURTHER MOVED, That Recommendation No. R6 will not be implemented, as it is not
2	within the jurisdiction or purview of the Board of Supervisors; and, be it
3	FURTHER MOVED, That Recommendation No. R8 will not be implemented, as it is not
4	within the jurisdiction or purview of the Board of Supervisors.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	