

FILE NO: 180375

Petitions and Communications received from April 2, 2018, through April 9, 2018, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on April 17, 2018.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Mayor, making the following appointments. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

Pursuant to Charter, Section 3.100(18), the following appointments are to the Health Commission:

- **Laurie Green** - term ending January 15, 2020
- **Tessie Guillermo** - term ending January 15, 2019

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.114 the following nominations for the Port Commission:

- **William Adams** - term ending May 1, 2022 (reappointment)
- **Victor Makras** - term ending May 1, 2020
- **Doreen Woo Ho** - term ending May 1, 2022 (reappointment)
- **Gail Gilman** - term ending May 1, 2022

Pursuant to the Treasure Island Development Authority Bylaws, Article V, the following nominations for the Treasure Island Development Authority:

- **V. Fei Tsen** - Seat 3 - term ending February 26, 2022
- **Linda Richardson** - Seat 5 - term ending April 28, 2022
- **Paul Giusti** - Seat 7 - term ending April 28, 2022

Pursuant to Charter, Section 8A.112, the following nominations for the Municipal Transportation Agency:

- **Gwyneth Borden** - term ending March 1, 2022
- **Cheryl Brinkman** - term ending March 1, 2022

Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.109, the nominations for the Police Commission:

- **Joe Marshall** - term ending April 30, 2022
- **Sonia E. Melara** - term ending April 30, 2022

From the Department of Public Health, pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 10.170-1(h), submitting notice of a State grant line item budget revision in excess of 15% requiring funding agency approval. Copy: Each Supervisor (2)

From concerned citizens, regarding Chariot Bus System. File No. 171210. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From concerned citizens, regarding California State Senate Bill 827. 84 letters. File No. 180162. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From concerned citizens, regarding the San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary and Tuolumne River. 195 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed legislation to establish a violation for motorized scooters that are a part of a Motorized Scooter Share Program. File No. 180214. 160 letters. (6)

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



MARK E. FARRELL
MAYOR

April 3, 2018

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Section 3.100 (18) of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I hereby make the following appointment:

Laurie Green to the Health Commission, assuming the seat formerly held by Judith Karshmer, for a term ending January 15, 2020

Tessie Guillermo to the Health Commission, assuming the seat formerly held by David Pating, for a term ending January 15, 2019

I am confident that Dr. Green and Ms. Guillermo, both electors of the City and County, will serve our community well. Attached are their qualifications to serve, which will demonstrate how these appointments represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any questions related to these appointments, please contact my Deputy Chief of Staff, Francis Tsang, at (415) 554-6467.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Mark E. Farrell".

Mark E. Farrell
Mayor

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2018 APR -3 PM 3:20
BY [initials]

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



MARK E. FARRELL
MAYOR

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2018 APR -5 AM 11:41
BY AK

April 3, 2018

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Charter Section 4.114, I hereby make the following nominations:

William Adams, to the San Francisco Port Commission, for a term ending May 1, 2022

Victor Makras, to the San Francisco Port Commission, for a term ending May 1, 2020, assuming the seat formerly held by Eleni Kounalakis

Doreen Woo Ho, to the San Francisco Port Commission, for a term ending May 1, 2022

Gail Gilman, to the San Francisco Port Commission, for a term ending May 1, 2022, assuming the seat formerly held by Leslie Katz

I am confident that Mr. Adams, Mr. Makras, Ms. Ho and Ms. Gilman – all electors of the City and County – will serve our community well. Attached are their qualifications, which demonstrate how these appointments and reappointments represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any questions related to these nominations, please contact my Deputy Chief of Staff, Francis Tsang at (415) 554-6467.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "M. Farrell".

Mark E. Farrell
Mayor

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



MARK E. FARRELL

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2018 APR -5 AM 11:41
MAYOR

April 3, 2018

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to the Treasure Island Conversion Act of 1997 and the Treasure Island Development Authority (TIDA) Bylaws, Article V, I hereby make the following nominations:

V. Fei Tsen to the Treasure Island Development Authority, Seat 3, for a term ending February 26, 2022

Linda Richardson to the Treasure Island Development Authority, Seat 5, for a term ending April 28, 2022

Paul Giusti to the Treasure Island Development Authority, Seat 7, for a term ending April 28, 2022

I am confident that Ms. Tsen, Ms. Richardson and Mr. Giusti – all electors of the City and County – will serve our community well. Attached are their qualifications, which demonstrate how these nominations for appointments and reappointments represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any questions related to these nominations, please contact my Deputy Chief of Staff, Francis Tsang at (415) 554-6467.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Mark E. Farrell".

Mark E. Farrell
Mayor

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



MARK E. FARRELL
MAYOR

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2018 APR -5 AM 11:41
BY AK

April 3, 2018

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Section 8A.112 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I hereby make the following nominations for reappointment:

Gwyneth Borden to the Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors for a term ending March 1, 2022

Cheryl Brinkman to the Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors for a term ending March 1, 2022

I am confident that Ms. Borden and Ms. Brinkman – both electors of the City and County – will continue to serve our community well. Attached are their qualifications, which demonstrate how these reappointments represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any questions related to these reappointments, please contact my Deputy Chief of Staff, Francis Tsang at (415) 554-6467.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mark E. Farrell". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first letters of the first and last names being capitalized.

Mark E. Farrell
Mayor

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



MARK E. FARRELL
MAYOR

April 3, 2018

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2018 APR -5 AM 11:41
BY AK

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Section 4.109 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I hereby make the following nominations for reappointment:

Joe Marshall to the Police Commission, for a term ending April 30, 2022

Sonia E. Melara to the Police Commission, for a term ending April 30, 2022

I am confident that Dr. Marshall and Ms. Melara – both electors of the City and County – will continue to serve our community well. Attached are their qualifications, which demonstrate how these reappointments represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any questions related to these reappointments, please contact my Deputy Chief of Staff, Francis Tsang at (415) 554-6467.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mark E. Farrell".

Mark E. Farrell
Mayor

BOS-11
COP-1
B337 Clerk



City and County of San Francisco
Department of Public Health
POPULATION HEALTH AND PREVENTION

1380 Howard Street, Rm. 448
San Francisco, CA 94103-2614
415.255.3450 FAX 415.255.3675

April 3, 2018

To: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

From: Victoria Vasilevitsky
(415) 255-3462
victoria.vasilevitsky@sfdph.org

Cc: Controller's Office AOSD

Subject: Grant Budget Revision Tuberculosis Epidemiologic Studies

In accordance with Administrative Code Section 10.170-1(H), this memo serves to notify the Board of Supervisors of a State grant line item budget revision in excess of 15% requiring funding agency approval.

A signed copy of budget revision is attached for your review.

Please feel free to contact me @ (415) 255-3462 or victoria.vasilevitsky@sfdph.org if you have any questions.

Thank you.

California Department of Public Health

Tuberculosis Control Branch

Local Assistance TBESC Award Budget Revision Request

JURISDICTION		CONTACT PERSON			
San Francisco TBESC, Period 7		Laura Romo			
TELEPHONE NUMBER	FAX NUMBER	AWARD PERIOD			
(415) 206-2278	(415) 208-4565	FROM: 9/29/2017	TO: 9/28/2018		
BUDGET REVISION #	2	SUBMISSION DATE: 03/19/2018			
CATEGORY	CURRENT BUDGET	PROPOSED CHANGE	REVISED BUDGET		
A. PERSONNEL					
1) Epidemiologist II (80%)	\$ 82,169.00	\$ -	\$82,169		
2) Public Service Aide (100%)	\$ 42,063.63	\$ -	\$42,064		
3) Public Service Aide (100%)	\$ 42,063.63	\$ (42,063.63)	\$0		
Research Nurse (25% x 24 weeks)	\$ -	\$ 19,005.00	\$19,005		
6) Clinic Physician (In-kind)	\$ -	\$ -	\$0		
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 166,296.26	\$ (23,058.63)	\$143,238		
B. BENEFITS	\$ 58,868.65	\$ (8,301.11)	\$51,566		
C. PERSONNEL (NON-BENEFIT)	\$ -	\$ -	\$0		
D. TRAVEL	\$ 4,503.00	\$ -	\$4,503		
E. EQUIPMENT	\$ -	\$ -	\$0		
F. SUPPLIES	\$ 2,000.00	\$ -	\$2,000		
G. ANTI-TB MEDICATION	\$ -	\$ -	\$0		
H. SUBCONTRACTS	\$ -	\$ 36,825.00	\$36,825		
PERSONNEL					
Research Associate (100% x 26 weeks)		\$ 25,000.00			
Fringe (30.3%)		\$ 7,575.00			
Supplies		\$ 1,000.00			
Indirect (12.5%)		\$ 3,250.00			
I. OTHER	\$ 11,900.00	\$ (5,485.00)	\$6,435		
J. INDIRECT COST	\$ -	\$ -	\$0		
TOTAL	\$244,566	\$36,825	\$244,566		
JUSTIFICATION - Please complete line item justification worksheet for line items that will change.					
Local Jurisdiction Signatures	Date	CDPH TBCB Signatures	Approved	Not Approved	Date
(A) Program Director Chris Keb	3/16/2018 9:15:09 PDT	(A) Fiscal Analyst K. [Signature]	✓		03/20/2018
(B) Financial Officer Christine Siador	3/19/2018 4:51:10 PDT	(B) Program Liaison [Signature]			
(C) Other		(C) Other [Signature]	✓		3-20-18

- Submit to the CDPH TBCB Fiscal Analyst for approval prior to implementation of the proposed revision and expenditure.
- Attach revised summary and detail budgets.
- See the Tuberculosis Control Local Assistance Standards and Procedures Manual Part 3, Section 1.6C for



City and County of San Francisco
Department of Public Health
POPULATION HEALTH AND PREVENTION

1380 Howard Street, Rm. 448
San Francisco, CA 94103-2614
415.255.3450 FAX 415.255.3675

Bas-11
Dep. Leg
Clerk: Bgt

April 3, 2018

To: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

From: Victoria Vasilevitsky
(415) 255-3462
victoria.vasilevitsky@sfdph.org

Cc: Controller's Office AOSD

Subject: Grant Budget Revision Tuberculosis Epidemiologic Studies

In accordance with Administrative Code Section 10.170-1(F), this memo serves to notify the Board of Supervisors of a State grant line item budget revision in excess of 15% requiring funding agency approval.

A signed copy of budget revision is attached for your review.

Please feel free to contact me @ (415) 255-3462 or victoria.vasilevitsky@sfdph.org if you have any questions.

Thank you.

California Department of Public Health

Tuberculosis Control Branch

Local Assistance TBESC Award Revision Request Line Item Justification

Jurisdiction: San Francisco

Submission I 3/16/2018

Please complete justification for those items that will change

PERSONNEL - With Benefits
Add Research nurse (Step 3), hourly rate \$79.1876 x 10 hours = \$791.876 / week (26%) to assist in clinical trial- phlebotomy, dispensing medications, enrollment
Remove public service aide- to be hired through subcontract (see below)
BENEFITS
Fringe benefits should be calculated at 36%
PERSONNEL - Non-Benefit
TRAVEL
Two staff members will travel out of state for both the annual TBESC meeting and Part D (clinical trial)
EQUIPMENT
SUPPLIES
ANTI-TB MEDICATION
SUBCONTRACTS
Purpose: Plan for use of fiscal intermediary, e.g. FHL (federal hearing); for fiscal human resource management in order to successfully obtain research deliverables and hire in a timely manner. <u>Scope of Work:</u> Provision of fiscal / human resources management, which will include recruitment, hiring, and orientation of new staff; payment of personnel/operational expenses; timely and accurate invoices; compliance and adherence with CCS and grantor's fund management policies. <u>Method of selection:</u> Request for Quotation (RFQ). <u>Method of accountability:</u> Sub-contractor will provide monthly invoices and a closeout report to DPH-PHD Director of Finances and Operations. SFDPH contact for contract supervision: Irene Carmona (Manager, Office of Contract Management and Compliance); Chris Keh (Director, site PI). Research associate (Step 3) annual salary \$50,000. Supplies will include additional purchases of incentives for the Part D clinical trial.
OTHER
Decrease amount as removing phlebotomy training (research nurse will cover this), brochures / print materials
INDIRECT COST

California Department of Public Health

Tuberculosis Control Branch

Instructions:

For more information, see the Tuberculosis Control Local Assistance Standards and Procedures Manual:
(<https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/TBCB-SPM-Manual-17-18.pdf>)

File No. 171210
2 letters

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:18 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Item 30. 171210: Please address the following matters regarding Chariot and other PTV companies.
Attachments: Current Chariot operations are largely based on violations of the law 03-18-2018 (1).pdf.pub; Mounsey-v-CCSF-CGC-12-525348.pdf

From: ss@ssteuer.com [mailto:ss@ssteuer.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:29 AM
To: Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; MTABoard <MTABoard@sfmta.com>; Persky, Nicholas (BOS) <nicholas.persky@sfgov.org>; Hepner, Lee (BOS) <lee.hepner@sfgov.org>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>; Beinart, Amy (BOS) <amy.beinart@sfgov.org>; Duong, Noelle (BOS) <noelle.duong@sfgov.org>
Subject: Item 30. 171210: Please address the following matters regarding Chariot and other PTV companies.

Dear Supervisors,

I am deeply concerned with yet another corporate attempt to privatize our public roads and disrupt access to our public transit.

During your discussion of Item 30. [171210](#) [Transportation Code -- Non-Standard Vehicle Permits] please acknowledge that:

- 1) Chariot is operating lawlessly—as the only private transportation vehicle company now in operation in San Francisco (please see the attached document, "Current Chariot operations"), and urge the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency to deny Chariot an operating permit unless its operations obey the law;
- 2) Chariot threatens MUNI, which is more expensive than public transit, is directly competing with Muni. Unlike Chariot, Muni is required by law to serve all neighborhoods and demographics equitably.
- 3) Chariot representatives need to demonstrate that their vehicles are indeed wheelchair accessible and that wheelchair accessible service is comparable to that of Muni;
- 4) The City Attorney needs to address the matter of per vehicle fees that the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency could charge for use of City Streets as places of enterprise for private gain, the same way the SFMTA has charged medallion fees for taxi cabs. Please see the attached legal document, Mounsey v. CCSF. The City won this case in 2013-2014 when cab drivers sued over the cost of medallions. In fact, for years the SFMTA relied on revenue from the sale of medallions to balance its budget. Due to Uber and Lyft,

medallion sales have stopped. Please ask the City Attorney to address the matter of charging Chariot -- and tech shuttle buses, for that matter -- for use of City streets as places of enterprise for private gain.

Thank you.

Sharon Steuer
Bernal Heights











Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:35 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Item 30. 171210: Please address the following matters regarding Chariot and other PTV companies.

From: Aaron Goodman [mailto:amgodman@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:36 AM
To: Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Sue Vaughan <selizabethvaughan@gmail.com>
Cc: Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; MTABoard <MTABoard@sfmta.com>; Persky, Nicholas (BOS) <nicholas.persky@sfgov.org>; Hepner, Lee (BOS) <lee.hepner@sfgov.org>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>; Beinart, Amy (BOS) <amy.beinart@sfgov.org>; Duong, Noelle (BOS) <noelle.duong@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Item 30. 171210: Please address the following matters regarding Chariot and other PTV companies.

SFBOS

I cannot attend the hearing but wish to support the issues raised by Sue Vaughan on the concerns of the Chariot Bus System.

A.Goodman D11

On Monday, April 2, 2018, 10:02:18 PM PDT, Sue Vaughan <selizabethvaughan@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Supervisors,

During your discussion of Item 30. [171210](#) [Transportation Code -- Non-Standard Vehicle Permits] please:

- 1) acknowledge the lawlessness of Chariot, the only private transportation vehicle company now in operation in San Francisco (please see the attached document, "Current Chariot operations"), and urge the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency to deny Chariot an operating permit unless its operations obey the law;
- 2) acknowledge the fact that Chariot, which is more expensive than public transit, is directly competing with Muni and, in fact, threatens Muni, which is required by law to serve all neighborhoods and demographics equitably.
- 3) ask representatives of Chariot to demonstrate that their vehicles are indeed wheelchair accessible and that wheelchair accessible service is comparable to that of Muni;
- 4) ask the City Attorney to address the matter of per vehicle fees that the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency could charge for use of City Streets as places of enterprise for private gain, the same way the SFMTA has charged medallion fees for taxi cabs. Please see the attached legal document, Mounsey v. CCSF. The City won this case in 2013-2014 when cab drivers sued over the cost of medallions. In fact, for years the SFMTA relied on revenue from the sale of

medallions to balance its budget. Due to Uber and Lyft, medallion sales have stopped. Please ask the City Attorney to address the matter of charging Chariot -- and tech shuttle buses, for that matter -- for use of City streets as places of enterprise for private gain.

Thank you.

Sue Vaughan

84 letters
BOS-11

From: michell houwer <houwermish@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2018 1:11 PM
To: Kim, Jane (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Yee, Norman (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Fewer, Sandra (BOS); Breed, London (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Sheehy, Jeff (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Kier Houwer
Subject: Opposed to 4-8 Story Buildings / City College Reservior

file 180162

Please oppose SB827. I am a SF resident and I am concerned about proposed changes to our neighborhood:

- taking away local controls of zoning
- changing the architectural character of SF neighborhoods
- letting developers built multi-story units on every neighborhood block

There is already excessive traffic on Phelan Avenue. City College has a huge population of students attending and adding these units would take add additional concerns over an already dire parking situation and also disrupt and affect the character of our small community. It is a known fact that these developers only offer a small amount of affordable housing and usually it is provided to someone who knows someone not to those who really need it.

Developers have already added multiple properties on Ocean Avenue which also sorely impacts traffic. Our small community which borders this territory does not need apartments added to the area just because someone decides they can make a killing and charge a mint to people who are usually not even from the area who have moved here in the Technology Industries.

As families in the area who work hard to keep our homes, we should not have to be subject to renters coming into our areas and putting our children at risk by adding additional traffic/ cars and roaming our neighborhoods.

Why are you not more concerned about making our areas safer from the recent rash of home invasions and escalating crime?

Please do not allow this developer change the fabric of our community. We are opposed to any additional building in our area and feel like you should direct your efforts towards helping the small businesses in our area that support our community rather than allowing these developers to get rich.

Thank you,
Michell Houwer
558 Hearst Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94112

(4)

CS)

From: Bina Shah <ebinashah@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2018 8:00 AM
To: MayorMarkFarrell (MYR); senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; Kim, Jane (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Yee, Norman (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Fewer, Sandra (BOS); Breed, London (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); SheehyStaff (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Board of Supervisors, (BOS); richhillissf@gmail.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC); planning@rodneymfong.com; Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); andrew@tefarch.com; aaron.hyland.hpc@gmail.com; ellen.hpc@ellenjohnckconsulting.com; RSEJohns@yahoo.com; dianematsuda@hotmail.com; jonathan.pearlman.hpc@gmail.com; Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC); gswooding@gmail.com; Quizon, Dyanna (BOS); Miller Hall, Ellie (BOS); info@sfmca.org
Subject: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident and voter, I strongly urge you to pass a resolution opposing SB 827 and SB 828, with or without amendments. As you know, if these bills are passed the State would highjack each city's control over planning and housing.

All Californian cities and our state deserve much better solutions to housing problems.

These bills would have a catastrophic impact on San Francisco's future.

Thank you,

(Name)

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Robyn Lounsbury <robyn666@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2018 6:51 AM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Kim, Jane (BOS); Sheehy, Jeff (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Breed, London (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Yee, Norman (BOS); Fewer, Sandra (BOS); MayorMarkFarrell (MYR); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); affordabledivis@gmail.com
Subject: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

Dear Supervisors,

The community opposes SB 827 - it has no protections for low income communities. SB 827 encourages the removal of existing affordable housing in order to build higher-cost housing. Building large quantities of high-cost housing will not make housing affordable. Only government-subsidized housing will provide housing for residents with low, very low, and extremely low incomes, but SB 827 does not require any amount of below-market rate housing.

Please do not buy into this developer giveaway. Your community needs you to oppose SB 827.

Thank you,

Sent from my Sprint Samsung Galaxy® Note 4.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Thalia Georgopoulos <thalia@movingmedia.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:01 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Thank you

Thank few for standing against that insane bill,SB827

Sent from my iPhone

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Pamela Stoner <pstoner2010@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 7:59 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Kim, Jane (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Yee, Norman (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Fewer, Sandra (BOS); Breed, London (BOS); Sheehy, Jeff (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: SSB 827

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Pamela Stoner, a San Francisco resident and voter. I urge you to pass a resolution to oppose State Senate Bill 827 in its entirety, NOT seek to amend it. Even with amendments, the Bill would transfer power to the State to decide important land use matters for our City. I can think of no reason that any of you should want to turn over to the State those kinds of powers.

Thank you.

Pamela Stoner

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Amy Thomson <AThompson@motherjones.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 5:09 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Sb827 vote today

Hi there,

What was the final vote today on this resolution to oppose SB827?

<https://sfgov.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=6173309&GUID=E0E98F94-817B-41F2-B5D3-C547612FF423>

Once Breed started discussing changing her vote I was a little confused.

Thanks!

Amy Thomson

Mother Jones

2017 MAGAZINE OF THE YEAR

222 Sutter Street, Suite 600

San Francisco, CA 94108

@AmyJayde_

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Claire Pesiri <clairepesiri@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 4:18 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Oppose state Senate Bill 827

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and voter and I'm urging you to pass a resolution to oppose state Senate Bill 827. Please do not try to amend this bill, because even with the amendments the bill would transfer power to the state to decide important land-use matters for San Francisco and it's residents. I can think of no reason why any of the supervisors would want to turn over to the state those kinds of zoning and land use powers.

Sincerely,

Claire Pesiri

Sent from my iPad

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Kier Houwer <kmhjunk558@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2018 3:13 PM
To: Michell
Cc: Kim, Jane (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Yee, Norman (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Fewer, Sandra (BOS); Breed, London (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Sheehy, Jeff (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Re: Opposed to 4-8 Story Buildings / City College Reservoir

Thank you!

On Wed, Apr 4, 2018, 1:11 PM michell houwer <houwermish@gmail.com> wrote:
Please oppose SB827. I am a SF resident and I am concerned about proposed changes to our neighborhood:

- taking away local controls of zoning
- changing the architectural character of SF neighborhoods
- letting developers built multi-story units on every neighborhood block

There is already excessive traffic on Phelan Avenue. City College has a huge population of students attending and adding these units would take add additional concerns over an already dire parking situation and also disrupt and affect the character of our small community. It is a known fact that these developers only offer a small amount of affordable housing and usually it is provided to someone who knows someone not to those who really need it.

Developers have already added multiple properties on Ocean Avenue which also sorely impacts traffic. Our small community which borders this territory does not need apartments added to the area just because someone decides they can make a killing and charge a mint to people who are usually not even from the area who have moved here in the Technology Industries.

As families in the area who work hard to keep our homes, we should not have to be subject to renters coming into our areas and putting our children at risk by adding additional traffic/ cars and roaming our neighborhoods.

Why are you not more concerned about making our areas safer from the recent rash of home invasions and escalating crime?

Please do not allow this developer change the fabric of our community. We are opposed to any additional building in our area and feel like you should direct your efforts towards helping the small businesses in our area that support our community rather than allowing these developers to get rich.

Thank you,
Michell Houwer
558 Hearst Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94112

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 7:14 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Oppose SB-827

From: Steve Ward [mailto:seaward94133@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, April 01, 2018 6:40 PM
To: Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; sfoceanedge@earthlink.net
Subject: Oppose SB-827

STOP CRAMMING SAN FRANCISCO AND LEAVE LOCAL ZONING CONTROL LOCAL. Ceding development control to Sacramento is a big loser for counties and cities and the neighborhoods within them throughout the state of California. The position of politicians promoting SB-827 and similar anti-community control measures is dubious at best when you consider that their campaign coffers are substantially supported by developer and real-estate interests. The cry of affordable housing, a real problem, is being used as a smoke screen for ulterior motives and the measures they offer are not a solution and are passed at the irreversible expense of every resident.

In San Francisco, the densest city west of the Mississippi, we need politicians who will fight to maintain and improve our quality of life by resisting the greedy forces that diminish our character and foist the heavy burdens of increased density upon the backs of her citizens.

The "Transit Corridor" measure is a false and capricious standard of SB-827 encompassing nearly the entire city and giving a carte blanche avenue for developers to balkanize our communities and fill their pockets. We should look to the "Community Benefit Standard" for development in a city only surpassed in the US by New York City for density. Asking, does the development benefit the local community in which it is propose. That should be the standard not whether the proposed development is next to a transit corridor or not. Local communities, not Sacramento, know what they need best. SB-827 eviscerates local control. Don't let big money "chop-shop" San Francisco like they have so many cities from New York to Hong Kong.

Keep it Local
Steve Ward
a San Francisco Resident

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 3:09 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: SB 827

From: Dimitriou & Associates, P.C. [mailto:admin@dimitrioulaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 2:47 PM
To: Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Cc: Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: SB 827

To Whom It May Concern,

I am in full support of SB 827. I understand that there was to be a vote considering a resolution to SB 827. I was alerted to this by a neighbor and wished to take the time to express my full support of SB 827. Thank you for your efforts in working towards providing affordable housing in our city as we suffer the adverse affects of the housing crisis.

Regards,
Ally

--

Alyssa Mueller
Paralegal
Dimitriou & Associates, P.C.
351 California Street, Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94104
Phone: (415) 434-1144
Fax: (415) 434-1155

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 2:08 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: The Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association Opposes SB827

From: J.R. Eppler [mailto:president@potreroboosters.org]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 1:12 PM
To: Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; SheehyStaff (BOS) <sheehystaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Kittler, Sophia (BOS) <sophia.kittler@sfgov.org>
Cc: MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>
Subject: The Potrero Boosters Neighborhood Association Opposes SB827

Dear Supervisors:

The Potrero Hill and Dogpatch neighborhoods are rapidly changing. Our community has developed methods for working with developers to reduce the impacts of new density and begin making up some of the deficit in infrastructure that has historically plagued our part of the City. We have demonstrated how engagement between the community and the planning process can result in growth that supports, and does not contradict, the values of existing residents.

SB827 would undo this hard work.

SB827 would remove "any design standard that restricts the applicant's ability to construct the maximum number of units consistent with any applicable building code" and imposes height limits on residential projects. Our neighborhood streets are exceptionally wide, many at 50 feet or more. The proposed criteria would allow for permitted heights of 85 feet (or more with density bonuses), without any design standards beyond those related to building envelopes, and all without public process.

We have long advocated for adoption of Urban Design Guidelines in hopes of encouraging higher design standards in the numerous mixed-use developments proposed and underway in our area. As noted in the SF Planning Department's analysis, we would lose the "ability to maintain any standards regarding rear yard, lot coverage, exposure, open space, setbacks, and bulk controls of any kind, to name a few. These planning controls establish basic housing and neighborhood livability standards such as access and connection to daylight, openness in urban density, and natural spaces. Their elimination could result in residential projects with full lot coverage and little modulation or articulation, since any building modulation by definition reduces maximum building volume."

We encourage developers to maximize inclusionary affordable housing in their projects and are deeply concerned about the displacement of low and middle income earners. As drafted, SB827 lacks protections for existing tenants and could result in further displacement of poor people, forcing them farther away from their communities and jobs. Although it may increase the total number of affordable units by volume, there are no provisions to increase the percentage of affordable housing.

The regional affordability crisis cannot be solved by blanket upzoning—newly allowed density will continue to chase top dollar in fashionable urban areas, while more suburban communities may game the transit formulas to maintain their lid on development. Our neighborhood has responsibly met the call for growth; SB827 would simply enhance our burden without truly tackling the regional issue.

We urge you to oppose SB827.

Sincerely,
J.R Eppler
President

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:57 PM
To: BOS Legislation, (BOS)
Subject: FW: Opposition Letter - File 180276
Attachments: 4.3.18 Protect Free Speech.pdf

From: Corey Smith [mailto:president@uniteddems.org]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:41 AM
To: Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Kundert, Kyle (ETH) <kyle.kundert@sfgov.org>; Pelham, Leeann (ETH) <leeann.pelham@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>
Cc: rswan@sfnchronicle.com; jsabatini@sfxaminer.com
Subject: Opposition Letter - File 180276

Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisor and Staff of the San Francisco Ethics Commission,

Please see the attached letter in reference to today's discussion and vote on [File # 180276](#).

Ethics staff - could you please share with the Ethics Commission?

Thank you

--

Corey Smith
President, United Democratic Club
Cell: 925-360-5290

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:45 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

From: Fennel Doyle [mailto:fennel.doyle@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:50 AM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Affordable Divis <affordabledivis@gmail.com>
Subject: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

Dear Supervisors,

Hell NO! Stop stealing the skyline, sunshine, and sunsets from the kids.

The young families, (not to mention the birds, bees, and butterflies) on Divisadero oppose SB 827 - it has no protections for low income communities. Teachers can NOT afford to live here, and the kids/families suffer as a result of schools not being able to retain talented, brilliant skillful teachers. SB 827 encourages the removal of existing affordable housing in order to build higher-cost housing. Building large quantities of high-cost housing will NOT make housing affordable. Only government-subsidized housing will provide housing for residents with low, very low, and extremely low incomes, but SB 827 does not require any amount of below-market rate housing.

Please do not buy into this developer giveaway. Your community needs YOU to oppose SB 827.

Reject 827. Agree to fight for young families struggling to make ends meet in this city, and who rely on MUNI to get around.

827 is disgusting!

Thank you,
Fennel (and Fabricio) Doyle
13 year resident of Divis
Lovers of Divisadero Fairy Garden, and New Liberation Community Garden, and Please Touch Community Garden!

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:44 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

-----Original Message-----

From: Renee Anderson [mailto:renee@propellance.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:26 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; affordabledivis@gmail.com
Subject: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

Dear Supervisors,

The community opposes SB 827 - it has no protections for low income communities. SB 827 encourages the removal of existing affordable housing in order to build higher-cost housing. Building large quantities of high-cost housing will not make housing affordable. Only government-subsidized housing will provide housing for residents with low, very low, and extremely low incomes, but SB 827 does not require any amount of below-market rate housing.

Please do not buy into this developer giveaway. Your community needs you to oppose SB 827.

Thank you,

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:16 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: PLEASE REJECT SB 827

From: David Boyd [mailto:djboyd42@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:20 AM
To: Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; london.breed@sfgiv.org; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; SheehyStaff (BOS) <sheehystaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: PLEASE REJECT SB 827

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident, homeowner, and voter, I strongly urge you to pass a resolution opposing SB 827. As you know, passing SB 827 would allow the State to hijack local, neighborhood control over planning and housing decisions. It grossly infringes on the rights of local people to participate in important decisions to housing problems. Communities in our state deserve much better solutions to housing issues.

I strongly urge you to reject SB827.

Thank you,

David J. Boyd

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:16 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: I oppose SB 827. I hope you will too.

-----Original Message-----

From: Dean Sereni [mailto:dsereni@paragon-re.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:16 AM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: I oppose SB 827. I hope you will too.

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Dean Sereni, a San Francisco resident and voter. I urge you to pass a resolution to oppose State Senate Bill 827, NOT seek to amend it. Even with amendments, the Bill would transfer power to the State to decide important land use matters for our City. I can think of no reason that any of you should want to turn over to the State those kinds of powers.

Thank you,

Dean Sereni

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:17 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

-----Original Message-----

From: Gus Feldman [mailto:vermontgus@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:00 AM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; affordabledivis@gmail.com
Subject: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

Dear Supervisors,

The community opposes SB 827 - it has no protections for low income communities. SB 827 encourages the removal of existing affordable housing in order to build higher-cost housing. Building large quantities of high-cost housing will not make housing affordable. Only government-subsidized housing will provide housing for residents with low, very low, and extremely low incomes, but SB 827 does not require any amount of below-market rate housing.

Please do not buy into this developer giveaway. Your community needs you to oppose SB 827.

Thank you,
Gus Feldman

Sent from my iPhone

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:17 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: PLEASE OPPOSE SB-827 AND COMPANION BILL SB-828 WITHOUT AMENDMENTS

From: Barbara Simkin [mailto:simkinb@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:57 AM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; info@cowhollowassociation.org
Subject: PLEASE OPPOSE SB-827 AND COMPANION BILL SB-828 WITHOUT AMENDMENTS

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Barbara Simkin and I live in the Cow Hollow neighborhood. I am requesting the Board to Vote to support the Resolution to OPPOSE SB-827 AND COMPANION BILL SB-828 WITHOUT AMENDMENTS.

Our cherished SF neighborhoods are being threatened by this ill advised legislation, turning our transit corridors into canyons for the sake of density, and ignoring all of the well planned development initiatives already in place in our San Francisco neighborhoods.

Municipal planning is complex and requires knowledge of the neighborhood: housing needs and types, commercial demand, job growth, the tax base, school capacity, infrastructure needs and public transit options. But Senator Weiner's SB-827 not only fails to acknowledge the relationships between sustainable growth, development and local planning, he relegates zoning decisions to transit frequency. He's turning our planning decisions over to the SFMTA!

This is ridiculous! Demand for bus service depends on many factors beyond the control of our transit systems. It's unpredictable without long-term planning and investment. SB-827's version of TOD near bus stops will create zoning chaos.

Fixed transit (trains, Muni, BART, highways, ferries) has long-term investment; surface transit (buses, corporate shuttles, ride-shares and taxis) can change at any time. Even trains, BART and ferries change schedules, so tying their frequency to zoning is still a problem. Bus routes change to accommodate ridership. What happens when bus frequency rises or falls below SB-827's frequency criteria?

San Francisco and developers will find it difficult to deal with zoning that's constantly changing. You can't upzone or downzone land and housing because bus intervals change. What if a street is "transit-

rich” one year but not the next, and a development project has broken ground? The neighborhood ends up with high-density housing, but no public transit.

We’re also in the midst of massive changes to street transit: on-demand shuttles, flexible route, app-guided, cheaper and personalized services plus autonomous technologies are all options rapidly changing public transportation.

At a minimum, these uncertainties call for strengthening coordination with local decision-making, not overriding it.

Amendments won’t fix this fatal flaw in SB-827. Please vote to support the Resolution to Oppose SB-827 and companion bill SB-828 Without Amendments.

Thank you,
Barbara Simkin

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:13 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Agenda Item #35: SF Heritage/California Preservation Foundation joint letter OPPOSING SB 827
Attachments: SF Heritage-California Preservation Fdtn Letter Opposing SB 827 (4.3.18).pdf

From: Mike Buhler [mailto:MBuhler@sfheritage.org]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:52 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; BreedStaff, (BOS) <breedstaff@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; 'Cindy Heitzman (cheitzman@californiapreservation.org)' <cheitzman@californiapreservation.org>
Subject: Agenda Item #35: SF Heritage/California Preservation Foundation joint letter OPPOSING SB 827

Good morning, Angela. On behalf of [San Francisco Heritage](#) and the [California Preservation Foundation](#), I submit the attached joint letter urging the Board of Supervisors to oppose to California Senate Bill 827 (Wiener) as currently written, and to support Supervisor Peskin's proposed resolution calling for amendments.

Thank you,
Mike



Mike Buhler
President & CEO

SAN FRANCISCO HERITAGE
THE HAAS-LILIENTHAL HOUSE
2007 FRANKLIN STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94109
P: 415.441.3000 x15
F: 415.441.3015

sfheritage.org
mbuhler@sfheritage.org

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:13 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Oppose State Senatae Bill 827

From: Patricia Neel [mailto:kpneel@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:32 AM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Oppose State Senatae Bill 827

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident and voter. I urge you to pass a resolution to OPPOSE State Senate Bill 827, NOT seek to amend it. Even with amendments, the Bill would transfer power to the State to decide important land use matters for our City. San Francisco residents should decide land use matters for our city.

Thank you,
Patricia Neel
170 Pacific Avenue
San Francisco

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:13 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

-----Original Message-----

From: Andrew C Christie [mailto:artmetal@earthlink.net]

Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:08 AM

To: MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; SheehyStaff (BOS) <sheehystaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; richhillissf@gmail.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; planning@rodneymong.com; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Secretary, Commissions (CPC) <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; andrew@tefarch.com; aaron.hyland.hpc@gmail.com; ellen.hpc@ellenjohnckconsulting.com; RSEJohns@yahoo.com; dianematsuda@hotmail.com; jonathan.pearlman.hpc@gmail.com; Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC) <anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org>; gswooding@gmail.com; Quizon, Dyanna (BOS) <dyanna.quizon@sfgov.org>; Miller Hall, Ellie (BOS) <ellie.millerhall@sfgov.org>; info@sfmca.org
Cc: Susan Meyer <Rustridge@rustridge.com>
Subject: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident and voter, I strongly urge you to pass a resolution opposing SB 827 and SB 828, with or without amendments. As you know, if these bills are passed the State would highjack each city's control over planning and housing.

All Californian cities and our state deserve much better solutions to housing problems.

These bills would have a catastrophic impact on San Francisco's future.

Thank you,
Andrew C. Christie (Res. 50 Years)
2859 Octavia Street
San Francisco Ca. 94123

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:02 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: SB-827

From: Joseph Maurer [mailto:jmmaurer@pacbell.net]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:04 AM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; info@cowhollowassociation.org
Subject: SB-827

Dear Supervisors, My name is _____ Joseph M Maurer. My wife and I live in the Cow Hollow neighborhood of San Francisco. I find it hard to believe that our Senate representative would so brazenly abandon the people he represents. SB-827 gives away our city to real estate developers and speculators bankrolled by big financial interests. In short, the special interest lobby is happy to have our Senator in Sacramento. That's why all of you, our Supervisors, need to collectively support our right as a Charter City to maintain control over our land use decisions. That's not a progressive, liberal or moderate issue. That's a fundamental right that needs to be protected. SB-827 challenges that right. Amendments won't change that. Support the Resolution to Oppose SB-827 Without Amendments.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:56 AM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: SB 827 and Prop D

From: Eric Whittington [mailto:birdbeckett@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:36 AM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: SB 827 and Prop D

I write to you as:

-- a San Francisco resident and renter since 1976, age 61, having raised three children here--the oldest (age 36) working as a history teacher at Martin Luther King, Jr. Middle School in the Portola District. My other two children (ages 32 and 30) live now in Oakland and Albany, both married and with one child apiece, having left the City largely due to the prohibitive expense of living in the City. Both would otherwise have remained as residents the City could have been proud of and could have benefitted from having as productive, contributing San Franciscan;

-- as the owner and operator of a small business in Glen Park which I founded in 1999 (independent retail Bird & Beckett Books), renting a 1,500 square foot storefront on a month-to-month basis with three part-time employees, all of whom live in rented apartments in San Francisco, each making \$16/hour in their work for the bookshop;

-- as founder and executive director of the nonprofit Bird & Beckett Cultural Legacy Project established in 2008, which operates out of the bookshop and which, with the help of two independent contractors -- one a renter in the City and one a homeowner here -- and a phalanx of volunteers who live and work in the City, presents many dozens of local musicians, poets and other cultural workers in as many as 300 events a year.

I strongly oppose SB 827 and urge you to take a vocal position opposing the bill.

It is evident to me that this blanket approach to removing height restrictions for new residential construction in virtually all of San Francisco will be a bonanza for developers at the cost of San Francisco's historic and widely admired human-scale character and charm. San Francisco residents need to maintain a voice in development issues concerning their neighborhoods.

Yes, we need to increase density in a tight radius around true transit hubs to encourage people to lessen their use of cars and fossil fuels. But we cannot see the City relentlessly bulldozed and covered with cheap aluminum and glass boxes as has been happening along Ocean Avenue

between Phelan and Junipero Serra and along Market Street between Church and Van Ness, nor with hermetically sealed luxury accommodations for the wealthy. It is unrealistic to expect that developers will pay anything more than lip service to favoring the character and livability of our neighborhoods over their calculus of expense and profit.

And where in this bill is there anything but a trickle down approach to increasing the affordable housing stock in this time of crisis that makes it impossible for our children, our elders, our low wage workers and our artists to live here -- these are the people who are crucial to the soul of the city.

What are Sen. Scott Wiener and his allies thinking? Who are they serving? Do they really trust Reagan-era trickle down economic theory to raise all boats? That theory was discredited long ago. It seems to me a cloak for an abiding urge to accumulate and protect individual wealth, letting the chips fall where they may.

If you support this bill, please explain yourself in a return email. If you oppose it, thank you very, very much. Please make your opposition heard.

Prop D: I oppose this proposition. Supervisor Safai, why is there a "poison pill" in this proposition that would nullify Prop C if both gain voter approval? What kind of cynical gamesmanship is this? Supervisor Sheehy, please explain your support of this bill. Supervisor Kim, please give me more information about Prop D's pitfalls and how its many worthy goals can be better accomplished.

Of course, I support a comprehensive approach to our housing crisis. Will we have to wait for the bond measure that I understand Supervisor Kim is introducing to address this problem, and is that our best alternative at this point?

I sincerely believe that the progressive will of the Board of Supervisors can make a positive impact on our housing and homelessness crises; please work together without cynical political maneuvering for position and power.

Thank you for considering my viewpoints on these matters and correct me where I'm wrong. Take a strong stand against this bill and this proposition if there is validity in my understanding of these matters.

Between the bookshop and the nonprofit, I have the ear of many of your constituents. They don't all agree with me in all matters, of course. But they're thoughtful and intelligent people with an honest desire to see San Francisco work well for its residents and be a City we can be proud of.

I welcome you to state your case for positive, productive approaches to the City's needs, whether in writing or in public discussion in the bookshop. I thank you for making every effort to work together for the common good.

Eric Whittington
655 Chenery Street
San Francisco, CA 94131

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:50 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

-----Original Message-----

From: Jim Siegel [mailto:distractions_sf@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:23 AM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; affordabledivis@gmail.com
Subject: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

Dear Supervisors,

The community opposes SB 827 - it has no protections for low income communities. SB 827 encourages the removal of existing affordable housing in order to build higher-cost housing. Building large quantities of high-cost housing will not make housing affordable. Only government-subsidized housing will provide housing for residents with low, very low, and extremely low incomes, but SB 827 does not require any amount of below-market rate housing.

Please do not buy into this developer giveaway. Your community needs you to oppose SB 827.

Thank you,

Sent from my iPhone

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:43 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

-----Original Message-----

From: Christopher Semler [mailto:asemler@pacbell.net]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 7:55 AM
To: MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; SheehyStaff (BOS) <sheehystaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; richhillissf@gmail.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; planning@rodneymfong.com; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Secretary, Commissions (CPC) <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; andrew@tefarch.com; aaron.hyland.hpc@gmail.com; ellen.hpc@ellenjohnckconsulting.com; RSEJohns@yahoo.com; dianematsuda@hotmail.com; jonathan.pearlman.hpc@gmail.com; Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC) <anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org>; gswooding@gmail.com; Quizon, Dyanna (BOS) <dyanna.quizon@sfgov.org>; Miller Hall, Ellie (BOS) <ellie.millerhall@sfgov.org>; info@sfmca.org
Subject: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident and voter, I strongly urge you to pass a resolution opposing SB 827 and SB 828, with or without amendments. As you know, if these bills are passed the State would highjack each city's control over planning and housing.

All Californian cities and our state deserve much better solutions to housing problems.

These bills would have a catastrophic impact on San Francisco's future.

Thank you,

(Name)

Sent from my iPhone

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:42 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

From: Hugo Kobayashi [mailto:sffishhead@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 7:38 AM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; affordabledivis@gmail.com
Subject: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

Dear Supervisors,

The community opposes SB 827 - it has no protections for low income communities. SB 827 encourages the removal of existing affordable housing in order to build higher-cost housing. Building large quantities of high-cost housing will not make housing affordable. Only government-subsidized housing will provide housing for residents with low, very low, and extremely low incomes, but SB 827 does not require any amount of below-market rate housing. Please do not buy into this developer giveaway. Your community needs you to oppose SB 827.

Thank you,

Hugo Kobayashi

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:42 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

-----Original Message-----

From: Angela Bennett [mailto:abennett415@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 7:41 AM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; affordabledivis@gmail.com
Subject: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

Dear Supervisors,

The community opposes SB 827 - it has no protections for low income communities. SB 827 encourages the removal of existing affordable housing in order to build higher-cost housing. Building large quantities of high-cost housing will not make housing affordable. Only government-subsidized housing will provide housing for residents with low, very low, and extremely low incomes, but SB 827 does not require any amount of below-market rate housing.

Please do not buy into this developer giveaway. Your community needs you to oppose SB 827.

Thank you,

Angela Bennett
San Francisco

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:43 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Oppose SB-827

From: Joyce Small [mailto:j_small@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 7:45 AM
To: Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Oppose SB-827

My name is Joyce Small, a San Francisco resident and voter. I urge you to pass a resolution to flatly oppose State Senate Bill 827 rather than amend it..

Amendments of the Bill would still transfer power to the State to decide important land use matters for our City which should be kept local.

I can think of no reason that any of you should want to turn over to the State those kinds of powers.

Thank you.

Joyce Small
84 Palm Avenue
94118

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:43 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

-----Original Message-----

From: Harvey Goodman [mailto:syng@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 7:50 AM
To: MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; SheehyStaff (BOS) <sheehystaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; richhillissf@gmail.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; planning@rodneymfong.com; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Secretary, Commissions (CPC) <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; andrew@tefarch.com; aaron.hyland.hpc@gmail.com; ellen.hpc@ellenjohnckconsulting.com; RSEJohns@yahoo.com; dianematsuda@hotmail.com; jonathan.pearlman.hpc@gmail.com; Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC) <anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org>; gswooding@gmail.com; Quizon, Dyanna (BOS) <dyanna.quizon@sfgov.org>; Miller Hall, Ellie (BOS) <ellie.millerhall@sfgov.org>; info@sfmca.org
Subject: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident and voter, I strongly urge you to pass a resolution opposing SB 827 and SB 828, with or without amendments. As you know, if these bills are passed the State would highjack each city's control over planning and housing.

All Californian cities and our state deserve much better solutions to housing problems.

These bills would have a catastrophic impact on San Francisco's future.

Thank you,

(Name)
Harvey Goodman MD

Sent from my iPhone

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:41 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: No to SB 827 and No to ammendments

-----Original Message-----

From: Angus Parker [mailto:angusparker@me.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:56 AM
To: MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>
Cc: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: No to SB 827 and No to ammendments

Dear Mayor Farrell and Supervisors:

I live in the Cow Hollow neighborhood and I am writing to you to opposed to Scott Wiener's Senate Bill 827 and say No to all amendments. Local people and their representatives should make zoning decisions for their cities and towns not Sacramento. I didn't move to San Francisco and buy a house to have the equivalent of a skyscraper built next door. I doubt very much if this plan will solve the affordable housing crisis and expect very much that it will line the pockets of developers and the politicians they buy while destroying the very fabric of what makes San Francisco special. For starters just imagine increasing housing density in this city that has such a decrepit transportation system. It would be chaos.

As my local representative, please express your opposition to this out of control bill.

Best regards,

Angus Parker
SF Resident

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:41 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

-----Original Message-----

From: Lindsey Hanson [mailto:glitterinyourgruel@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:57 AM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; affordabledivis@gmail.com
Subject: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

Dear Supervisors,

The community opposes SB 827 - it has no protections for low income communities. SB 827 encourages the removal of existing affordable housing in order to build higher-cost housing. Building large quantities of high-cost housing will not make housing affordable. Only government-subsidized housing will provide housing for residents with low, very low, and extremely low incomes, but SB 827 does not require any amount of below-market rate housing.

Please do not buy into this developer giveaway. Your community needs you to oppose SB 827.

Thank you,

Sent from my iPhone

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:42 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Please - Oppose SB 827 -- NO Postponement, NO Amendments - Protect Dr & Mrs. Hinman's Legacy

Importance: High

From: Kathleen Courtney [mailto:kcourtney@rhcasf.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 1:07 AM
To: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>
Cc: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please - Oppose SB 827 -- NO Postponement, NO Amendments - Protect Dr & Mrs. Hinman's Legacy
Importance: High

Dear Supervisor Stefani,

Your District has a great legacy to preserve.

Dr. Frank Hinman, Jr. and Mrs. Marion Eaves Hinman founded the Russian Hill Improvement Association (RHIA) the oldest neighborhood association on Russian Hill and one of the oldest in the City.

In her obituary in 2002, it was said "In the 1960s, Marion, along with her husband Frank, founded the Russian Hill Improvement Association (RHIA) which focused on setting height limits on new construction and preserving open space in the Russian Hill area of SF. With the support of local residents, Marion was instrumental in setting the 40-foot height limit that remains in effect today." (<http://www.legacy.com/obituaries/name/marion-hinman-obituary?pid=19915636&view=guestbook.>)

When Dr. Hinman died in 2011, we went before the Planning Commission and reminded them that we all "...stand on the shoulders of giants."

It is essential that San Francisco preserve local land use and zoning control for which the Hinmans and others worked so hard.

We urge you to preserve Dr. and Mrs. Hinman's legacy: On Tuesday, vote NO on Postponement, NO on Amendments and NO on SB 827.

Kathleen Courtney
Chair, Housing & Zoning Committee
Russian Hill Community Association
kcourtney@rhcasf.com
(c) 510-928-8243

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:42 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Please oppose SB827

From: Allyson Eddy Bravmann [mailto:gezelligsf@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 6:55 AM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; affordabledivis@gmail.com; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please oppose SB827

Dear Supervisors,
Please oppose SB 827.

The California YIMBY Party and dozens of tech executives, including Ron Conway, sent a letter of support to Sen. Wiener, but notably did not cc: any of the local officials who would be responsible for actually approving housing development. The Board should reject this disrespect to local control, particularly one coming from our own state representative.

Here is their letter:

<https://www.scribd.com/document/370808592/SB-827-Tech-Network-Support-Letter>

Thank you,
Allyson Eddy Bravmann

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:42 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Oppose SB-827 with NO AMENDMENTS!

-----Original Message-----

From: janicescattini [mailto:jscattini@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 7:19 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Oppose SB-827 with NO AMENDMENTS!

My husband and I have raised our family in Burlingame and are new home owners in San Francisco. We are completely against SB-827 and we urge you all to support a resolution OPPOSING SB-827 with NO AMENDMENTS.

Janice & Pete Scattini
650.281.9949

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:40 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Strongly SUPPORT SB 828 and SB 827

From: Steve Fillipow [mailto:sfillipow@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 10:43 PM
To: MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; SheehyStaff (BOS) <sheehystaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Strongly SUPPORT SB 828 and SB 827

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident and voter, I see the result the result of state residents across the political spectrum who cannot come together and agree on policies to increase density at key transit corridors. I strongly urge you to pass a resolution supporting the principles of SB 827 and SB 828. These bills may reduce city's control over planning and housing, but they are sensible, much needed urban policies that will make our cities more greener, decrease pressure on the housing market, allow for the necessary housing capacity to move some of our homeless off the streets.

Californian's need more of the leadership Scott Weiner has shown in championing this effort in Sacramento. The definitions of the high density zones in his bill can and should be debated. However, we cannot solve the housing needs of 40 million Californians, move people from home to work or meet the state carbon reduction goals by ignoring the need sensible density policies.

These bills will make San Francisco's a better place for our children!

Thank you,

Steve Fillipow
Resident of San Francisco

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:40 AM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: NO ON SB 827 WITH NO AMENDMENTS!!

From: Diane LeBow [mailto:diane@dianelebow.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 10:56 PM
To: Diane LeBow <diane@dianelebow.com>
Subject: NO ON SB 827 WITH NO AMENDMENTS!!

As a community college professor emerita, 40 year resident of San Francisco, freelance writer, tax payer, and lover of this beautiful city, I urge you to vote NO on SB 827 and SB 828.

Diane LeBow, Ph.D. and John Montgomery international photographer

www.dianelebow.com
Writer, Photojournalist
President emerita, [Bay Area Travel Writers, Inc.](#)
Winner of "Best Women's Travel Writing" Solas Gold and Silver Awards.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:40 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: I oppose SB 827 - Trickle down economics don't work in housing either.

From: Marc Dantona [mailto:marc@dustystax.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 11:13 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; affordabledivis@gmail.com
Subject: I oppose SB 827 - Trickle down economics don't work in housing either.

Dear Supervisors,

The community opposes SB 827 - it has no protections for low income communities. SB 827 encourages the removal of existing affordable housing in order to build higher-cost housing. Building large quantities of high-cost housing will not make housing affordable. Only government-subsidized housing will provide housing for residents with low, very low, and extremely low incomes, but SB 827 does not require any amount of below-market rate housing. This is bad for San Francisco and bad for California.

Please do not buy into this developer giveaway. Your community needs you to oppose SB 827.

Thank you,
Marc Dantona

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:41 AM
To: Fregosi, Ian (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

From: Judith Hulka [mailto:hulka@earthlink.net]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 11:35 PM
To: MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Sanfra.Fewer@sfgov.org; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; SheehyStaff (BOS) <sheehystaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; richhillissf@gmail.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; planning@rodneyfong.com; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Secretary, Commissions (CPC) <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; andrew@tefarch.com; aaron.hyland.hpc@gmail.com; ellen.hpc@ellenjohnckconsulting.com; RSEJohns@yahoo.com; dianematsuda@hotmail.com; jonathan.pearlman.hpc@gmail.com; Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC) <anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org>; gswooding@gmail.com; Quizon, Dyanna (BOS) <dyanna.quizon@sfgov.org>; Miller Hall, Ellie (BOS) <ellie.millerhall@sfgov.org>
Subject: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident and voter, I strongly urge you to pass a resolution opposing SB 827 and SB 828, with or without amendments. As you know, if these bills are passed the State would highjack each city's control over planning and housing. All Californian cities and our state deserve much better solutions to housing problems.

These bills would have a catastrophic impact on San Francisco's future.

Thank you,
Judith Hulka
hulka@earthlink.net

Current property owner, Fontana West
Former home owner in Pacific Heights for 20 years
Long-term Board Member of Pacific Heights Residents Association (PRSA)
Former President, NAPP (Neighborhood Association for Presidio Planning) for 10 Years

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:41 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

From: Janette Barroca [mailto:jbb3252@yahoo.com]

Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 11:47 PM

To: MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; SheehyStaff (BOS) <sheehystaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; richhillissf@gmail.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; planning@rodneymong.com; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Secretary, Commissions (CPC) <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; andrew@tefarch.com; aaron.hyland.hpc@gmail.com; ellen.hpc@ellenjohnckconsulting.com; RSEJohns@yahoo.com; dianematsuda@hotmail.com; jonathan.pearlman.hpc@gmail.com; Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC) <anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org>; gswooding@gmail.com; Quizon, Dyanna (BOS) <dyanna.quizon@sfgov.org>; Miller Hall, Ellie (BOS) <ellie.millerhall@sfgov.org>; info@sfmca.org
Subject: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

Dear Supervisors, As a San Francisco resident and voter, I strongly urge you to pass a resolution opposing SB 827 and SB 828, with or without amendments. As you know, if these bills are passed the State would highjack each city's control over planning and housing. All Californian cities and our state deserve much better solutions to housing problems. These bills would have a catastrophic impact on San Francisco's future.

Thank you, Janette Barroca

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:39 AM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: No on SB 827 and No on SB 828 with no amendments.

-----Original Message-----

From: Jessie [mailto:jessie-stanshaw@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 9:52 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: No on SB 827 and No on SB 828 with no amendments.

No on SB 827 and No on SB 828 with no amendments, Jessie Stanshaw. Thank you

Sent from my iPhone

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:39 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: No on SB 827 and SB 828 with NO AMENDMENTS

From: WILLIAM MATTESON [mailto:william_matteson@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 9:54 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: No on SB 827 and SB 828 with NO AMENDMENTS

As a 30+ year resident of the City of San Francisco, I strongly encourage you to vote **NO on SB 827 and SB 828**. These bills are ill conceived and have not considered the unintended consequence of the increased density and harm to neighborhoods that will occur if implemented.

William R. Matteson

2 McCormick Street

San Francisco, CA 94104

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:40 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

From: Dave Meaney [mailto:davidmeaney@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 9:54 PM
To: Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; SheehyStaff (BOS) <sheehystaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; richhillissf@gmail.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; planning@rodneyfong.com; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Secretary, Commissions (CPC) <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; andrew@tefarch.com; aaron.hyland.hpc@gmail.com; ellen.hpc@ellenjohnckconsulting.com; RSEJohns@yahoo.com; dianematsuda@hotmail.com; jonathan.pearlman.hpc@gmail.com; Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC) <anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org>; gswooding@gmail.com; Quizon, Dyanna (BOS) <dyanna.quizon@sfgov.org>; Miller Hall, Ellie (BOS) <ellie.millerhall@sfgov.org>; info@sfmca.org
Cc: MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov
Subject: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident and voter, I strongly urge you to pass a resolution opposing SB 827 and SB 828, with or without amendments. As you know, if these bills are passed the State would highjack each city's control over planning and housing. All Californian cities and our state deserve much better solutions to housing problems. These bills would have a catastrophic impact on San Francisco's future. In addition, this bill is inconsistent with the topic Senator Wiener just raised in his last communication to his constituents, his concerns around enough water for California given another drier year. How do these bills address this recurring concern and problem in our state!

We have so many other long term issues we should be working to fix such as decaying infrastructure, homelessness and public transportation before turning our attention to other matters.

Dave Meaney

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:40 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: SB827 - NO

From: Kristin Tieche [mailto:ktieche@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 10:07 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; affordabledivis@gmail.com
Subject: SB827 - NO

Dear Supervisors,

The community opposes SB 827 – it has no protections for low income communities. SB 827 encourages the removal of existing affordable housing in order to build taller, higher-cost housing. Building large quantities of high-cost housing will not make housing affordable. Only government-subsidized housing will provide housing for residents with low, very low, and extremely low incomes, but SB 827 does not require any amount of below-market rate housing.

Please do not buy into this developer giveaway. Our community needs you to oppose SB 827.

Thank you,
Kristin Tieche
District 1/94117

--

Kristin Tieche
<http://kristintieche.com>
producer|editor|creator

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:40 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

-----Original Message-----

From: Susan Spiwak [mailto:susie@merijohn.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 10:40 PM
To: MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; SheehyStaff (BOS) <sheehystaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; richhillissf@gmail.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; planning@rodneymfong.com; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Secretary, Commissions (CPC) <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; andrew@tefarch.com; aaron.hyland.hpc@gmail.com; ellen.hpc@ellenjohnckconsulting.com; RSEJohns@yahoo.com; dianematsuda@hotmail.com; jonathan.pearlman.hpc@gmail.com; Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC) <anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org>; gswooding@gmail.com; Quizon, Dyanna (BOS) <dyanna.quizon@sfgov.org>; Miller Hall, Ellie (BOS) <ellie.millerhall@sfgov.org>; info@sfmca.org
Subject: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident and voter, I strongly urge you to pass a resolution opposing SB 827 and SB 828, with or without amendments. As you know, if these bills are passed the State would highjack each city's control over planning and housing.

All Californian cities and our state deserve much better solutions to housing problems.

These bills would have a catastrophic impact on San Francisco's future.

Thank you,

Susan Spiwak

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:38 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: BOS Agenda Item #35 (file 180162) Supporting Supervisors Peskin, Ronen, Yee and Fewer's Efforts to Amend SB827

From: Ausra Eileen Boken [mailto:aeboken@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 9:21 PM
To: Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; StefaniStaff, (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: BOS Agenda Item #35 (file 180162) Supporting Supervisors Peskin, Ronen, Yee and Fewer's Efforts to Amend SB827

To: All Board of Supervisors members

While SPEAK is supporting and applauding the efforts of Supervisors Peskin, Ronen, Yee and Fewer to amend SB827, SB827 is basically flawed legislation.

And, it is unlikely that Senator Wiener will accept any amendments which will make this legislation any less flawed.

Eileen Boken
President, Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee (SPEAK)

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:38 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Please oppose SB-827

From: Robert Karis [mailto:rckaris2@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 9:28 PM
To: Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please oppose SB-827

Dear Supervisors,

I hope you will oppose SB-827. It is a bulldozer approach to the problem of increasing housing. I live along the Ocean Avenue corridor, which has been the route of the K-Ingleside streetcar since 1918 (and the 12 Ocean Avenue streetcar before then). Ocean Avenue has had increased commercial and residential development in the past several years.

SB-827 is too broad. A transit-rich housing project would include residential developments within a 1/2 mile radius of a major transit stop. The West Portal and Forest Hill stations are major transit stops, and the 1/2 mile radius would include parts of the beautiful neighborhoods of Forest Hill, Forest Hill Extension, St. Francis Wood, and others.

It would also include areas within a 1/4 mile radius of a stop on a high-quality transit corridor. Assuming that the K-Ingleside line meets that criteria, that would include part of Westwood Park, at least half of Ingleside Terraces and Mount Davidson Manor, and much of Balboa Terrace.

I trust that you would not agree with destroying our beautiful, one hundred year old residential neighborhoods. Many people would then decide to live farther away and use cars to commute.

Yours truly,
Robert Karis
Ingleside Terraces

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:39 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

From: mary russell [mailto:maryrussell24@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 9:31 PM
To: MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; SheehyStaff (BOS) <sheehystaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; richhillissf@gmail.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; planning@rodneymong.com; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Secretary, Commissions (CPC) <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; andrew@tefarch.com; aaron.hyland.hpc@gmail.com; ellen.hpc@ellenjohnckconsulting.com; RSEJohns@yahoo.com; dianematsuda@hotmail.com; jonathan.pearlman.hpc@gmail.com; Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC) <anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org>; gswooding@gmail.com; Quizon, Dyanna (BOS) <dyanna.quizon@sfgov.org>; Miller Hall, Ellie (BOS) <ellie.millerhall@sfgov.org>; info@sfmca.org
Subject: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

Dear Supervisors- as a San Francisco resident and voter, I strongly urge you to pass a resolution opposing SB 827 and SB 828, with or without amendments. As you know, if these bills are passed the State would highjack each city's control over planning and housing. All California cities and our state deserve much better solutions to housing problems. These bills would have a catastrophic impact on San Francisco's future.

Thank you,

Mary E. Russell
1580 Filbert Street, #15
San Francisco 94123

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:39 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

-----Original Message-----

From: Aaron Goodman [mailto:amgodman@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 9:46 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; affordabledivis@gmail.com
Subject: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

Dear Supervisors,

The community opposes SB 827 - it has no protections for low income communities. SB 827 encourages the removal of existing affordable housing in order to build higher-cost housing. Building large quantities of high-cost housing will not make housing affordable. Only government-subsidized housing will provide housing for residents with low, very low, and extremely low incomes, but SB 827 does not require any amount of below-market rate housing. nor does it build adequate infrastructure for the population proposed..

Please do not buy into this developer giveaway. Your community needs you to oppose SB 827.

Thank you,
Aaron Goodman D11

Sent from my iPhone

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:38 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

From: Nate Parsons [mailto:parsons.nate@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 8:38 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; affordabledivis@gmail.com
Subject: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

Dear Supervisors,

From what I know about SB 827, it will not address the real issues at the core of our housing crisis. Under SB 827, developers will continue to build expensive, high-margin housing, and with this bill, they will do it near our transit corridors, pushing affordable housing further away from our existing communities.

Please focus your efforts on ways to build safe, cheap, small homes, and ways to unlock vacant units.

Please oppose SB 827.

Please support these programs, or ones like them.

- * Safe Organized Spaces
- * Additional Dwelling Units
- * Leasing vacant hotels and apartments
- * Create spaces and opportunities for RV and other alternative micro housing arrangements

Please support our unhoused neighbors, not just developers.

Thank you,

Nate Parsons

398B Capp St, 94110

--

-Nate

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:37 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: OPPOSE SB 827 and 828

From: tesw@aol.com [mailto:tesw@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 8:10 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: OPPOSE SB 827 and 828

Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council

March 31, 2018

Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org RE: **OPPOSE SB 827 and 828**
Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org
Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org
Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org
Jane.Kim@sfgov.org
Jeff.Sheehy@sfgov.org
Katy.Tang@sfgov.org
London.Breed@sfgov.org
Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org
Norman.Yee@sfgov.org
Sandra.Fewer@sfgov.org
mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

Dear Supervisors:

The Haight Ashbury Neighborhood Council respectfully requests that the Board of Supervisors pass a resolution to Oppose SB 827 and 828. You would be joining good company, including several peninsula cities and the city of Los Angeles.

Senator Wiener is attempting to address the shortage of housing in California through the private sector alone. These bills provide NO funds for housing, transportation, schools, or other infrastructure that increased density would demand. That puts all the burden on a few already over-stressed cities.

Nor do these bills aim to spread more housing across California. Targeting the densest city west of the Mississippi, San Francisco, punishes our city for having built much housing, and enviable transportation. San Francisco has 60,000 housing units already approved. The problem is not planning delays.

Rather the problem is relying on global finance to build affordable housing. Without federal and state funding, affordable housing isn't going to happen. And the people who live here want to continue living here. They do not want to Manhattanize our city, nor turn it into the stark post-World War II housing blocks that house Parisians behind the lovely facade.

Housing, and many other arenas, are best managed at the local level. We have done well in San Francisco, and we can do better. Do not allow this proposed blatant power grab for Sacramento.

Cordially,

Bruce Wolfe
President

PO Box 170518 ♦ San Francisco ♦ CA 94117
www.hanc-sf.org info@hanc-sf.org

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:38 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

-----Original Message-----

From: oequis@aol.com [mailto:oequis@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 8:22 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; affordabledivis@gmail.com
Subject: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

Dear Supervisors,

The community opposes SB 827 - it has no protections for low income communities. SB 827 encourages the removal of existing affordable housing in order to build higher-cost housing. Building large quantities of high-cost housing will not make housing affordable. Only government-subsidized housing will provide housing for residents with low, very low, and extremely low incomes, but SB 827 does not require any amount of below-market rate housing.

Please do not buy into this developer giveaway. Your community needs you to oppose SB 827.

Thank you,

Enrique Vallejo

Sent from my iPhone

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:38 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

From: J. [mailto:jbirdsf@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 8:26 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; affordabledivis@gmail.com
Subject: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

Dear Supervisors,

The community opposes SB 827 - it has no protections for low income communities. SB 827 encourages the removal of existing affordable housing in order to build higher-cost housing. Building large quantities of high-cost housing will not make housing affordable. Only government-subsidized housing will provide housing for residents with low, very low, and extremely low incomes, but SB 827 does not require any amount of below-market rate housing.

Please do not buy into this developer giveaway. Your community needs you to oppose SB 827.

Thank you,
Julie Stiefel

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:38 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Oppose SB-827 - NO Amendments

-----Original Message-----

From: Joe Chmielewski [mailto:jcin506@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 8:30 PM
To: Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>
Subject: Oppose SB-827 - NO Amendments

To: SF BoS
From: Joe Chmielewski
50 Golden Gate Ave. apt 506
San Francisco, CA 94102
1(415)756-2913
<jcin506@yahoo.com>

Date: April 2, 2018

Subject: Oppose SB-827 - NO Amendments

Dear Supervisor,

My name is Joe Chmielewski and I am a San Francisco resident and voter. I urge you to pass a resolution to OPPOSE State Senate Bill 827 with NO Amendments. Amending this Bill will transfer power to the State to decide important land use matters, such as environmental impacts for our City, which should be kept local. Amending this bill has the potential unintended consequence of displacing disadvantaged communities. Although there is a need for more affordable housing in California, this legislation is not the right way to create better development.

Please support a resolution opposing SB 827 with NO Amendments.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joe Chmielewski

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:37 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: NO on SB 827 with NO AMENDMENTS

From: Carmen Barajas [mailto:cbarajas00@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 7:56 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; info@cowhollowassociation.org
Subject: NO on SB 827 with NO AMENDMENTS

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Carmen Barajas and I live in the Cow Hollow neighborhood.

Please support the Resolution to Oppose SB-827 Without Amendments.

Senator Weiner's SB-827 is a top-down, absolute approach to housing policy. It will permit inappropriate development on San Francisco and take local planning and zoning decisions away from city officials and taxpayers.

You can't fix a bad bill.

Our Planning Department says that the entire city – 96% of parcels - will be affected. Removing height and density limits will only increase land values that are already enormously inflated, making housing even more expensive. In addition, no consideration is given to address an already over-taxed infrastructure and increased deterioration in the quality of life of those of us living and working in this city, s deterioration due to overcrowding.

We elected our Supervisors to uphold the city's right to make and guide our own decisions about land use and development. That's why SB-827 must be opposed in it's entirety. You can't make this flawed legislation better. I urge you to Oppose With No Amendments.

Sincerely,
Carmen Barajas

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:33 AM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Item 35 - SB-827 resolution - PLEASE OPPOSE COMPLETELY. NO AMENDMENTS.

From: Kathy Howard [mailto:kathyhoward@earthlink.net]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 7:43 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Item 35 - SB-827 resolution - PLEASE OPPOSE COMPLETELY. NO AMENDMENTS.

Dear Supervisor,

I am a long-time San Francisco resident.

One of the things that drew me to San Francisco when I moved here many years ago was the diversity of the neighborhoods - both in terms of the people and the variety of cultures (in all meanings of the word), and experiences. Local zoning controls have helped to maintain this diversity. But if State Senate Bill SB-827 is implemented, it is likely that the City will become a vast swath of market-rate buildings with little room for the populations who have made their home here for many years and are part of what makes the City so vibrant.

I urge you to pass a resolution to OPPOSE State Senate Bill 827 with NO Amendments. I do not believe that this bill can be made acceptable by amending it. The bill is fundamentally too extreme in its aim of taking away the rights of the local residents to have a voice in the development of their communities. I can think of no reason why any of us would want to turn over to the State those kinds of powers.

I am also concerned about the combined application of SB-827 and SB-35, and the impact on CEQA. Do we really want to take a step backwards, to having LESS environmental protection? When there is a lot of pressure for one set of needs — in this case housing — there is the temptation to ignore other needs. There is a tendency to say that 'just for this project' it is acceptable for the developer to ignore the need to carefully consider the impact on the environment. But legislation that lets housing projects bypass the CEQA process is not fair to our communities, to our environment, nor to the very people for whom it claims to be providing housing. As new members of the community, they will be paying the price of poor environmental decisions. Bypassing environmental review can lead to greater congestion and associated increases in air and water pollution, loss of habitat, and loss of yet more species.

Think about this - isn't the loss of environmental protection what we are objecting to in the current federal government's actions? Why are we proposing to decrease environmental protection in California?

I have worked with local groups on supporting low- and moderate- income housing. But this legislation is not the right way to create better development. It has too many unintended consequences. It will increase pollution, discourage transit, and potentially displace disadvantaged residents.

Please vote for a resolution to OPPOSE SB-827. Period. No Amendments.

Thank you for your consideration.

Katherine Howard
San Francisco

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:32 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: SB 827

From: Rosie Dominguez [mailto:domingum@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 6:47 PM
To: Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: SB 827

Dear Supervisors:

I am writing on behalf of myself and my father to urge you to oppose SB 827. This ill-conceived bill would destroy the western part of San Francisco. First, the state government should not dictate housing/building codes in our city. Second, I don't believe that any neighborhood would NOT be within 1/4 mile of a transit corridor since didn't San Francisco move to being "transit first" some years back? I am a 2nd generation native San Franciscan and my 90 year old dad is 1st generation. Finally, just because more and more people want to move to San Francisco does not mean they should. These 49 square miles can only hold just so many people. It is not simply about housing -- it is water, density, crime, crowded streets, open space, etc. Please, please do not support this bill.

Sincerely,

Tom Landers
Rosie Landers Dominguez

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:32 AM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: STOP SB 827 & 828 - NO AMENDMENTS

From: Brooke Sampson [mailto:brookesampson@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 7:01 PM
To: Brooke Sampson <brookesampson@yahoo.com>
Cc: Cowhollowassociation Info <info@cowhollowassociation.org>
Subject: STOP SB 827 & 828 - NO AMENDMENTS

Dear Supervisor:

As San Francisco residents and voters, we strongly urge you to pass a resolution **OPPOSING SB 827 and SB 828, and accept NO AMENDMENTS**.

If these bills are passed, the State would control the local planning, zoning, and housing development of our City. Unacceptable!

These bills would have a catastrophic impact on San Francisco's future.

We need to get behind alternative proposals, such as planning housing units on or near the campuses of the large employers (tech companies) that reside on the Peninsula - i.e. Vallco Shopping Mall.

We urge you to support the resolution introduced by Supervisors Peskin, Ronan, Yee, and Fewer to oppose these bills with **NO AMENDMENTS**.

Thank you,
Brooke and Gary Sampson

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:33 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

From: C Stegiel [mailto:cstegiel@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 7:12 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; affordabledivis@gmail.com
Subject: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

Dear Supervisors,

The community opposes SB 827 - it has no protections for low income communities. SB 827 encourages the removal of existing affordable housing in order to build higher-cost housing. Building large quantities of high-cost housing will not make housing affordable. Only government-subsidized housing will provide housing for residents with low, very low, and extremely low incomes, but SB 827 does not require any amount of below-market rate housing.

Please do not buy into this developer giveaway. Your community needs you to oppose SB 827.

Thank you,
Charles Stegiel

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:33 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

-----Original Message-----

From: IRVING ZARETSKY [mailto:iiz@pacbell.net]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 7:16 PM
To: MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; SheehyStaff (BOS) <sheehystaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; richhillissf@gmail.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; planning@rodneymong.com; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Secretary, Commissions (CPC) <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; andrew@tefarch.com; aaron.hyland.hpc@gmail.com; ellen.hpc@ellenjohnckconsulting.com; RSEJohns@yahoo.com; dianematsuda@hotmail.com; jonathan.pearlman.hpc@gmail.com; Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC) <anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org>; gswooding@gmail.com; Quizon, Dyanna (BOS) <dyanna.quizon@sfgov.org>; Miller Hall, Ellie (BOS) <ellie.millerhall@sfgov.org>; info@sfmca.org
Subject: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident and voter, I strongly urge you to pass a resolution opposing SB 827 and SB 828, with or without amendments. As you know, if these bills are passed the State would highjack each city's control over planning and housing.

All Californian cities and our state deserve much better solutions to housing problems.

These bills would have a catastrophic impact on San Francisco's future.

Thank you,

Irving Zaretsky

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:33 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

-----Original Message-----

From: R.P. [mailto:rachaly@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 7:27 PM
To: MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; SheehyStaff (BOS) <sheehystaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; richhillissf@gmail.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; planning@rodneymong.com; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Secretary, Commissions (CPC) <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; andrew@tefarch.com; aaron.hyland.hpc@gmail.com; ellen.hpc@ellenjohnckconsulting.com; RSEJohns@yahoo.com; dianematsuda@hotmail.com; jonathan.pearlman.hpc@gmail.com; Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC) <anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org>; gswooding@gmail.com; Quizon, Dyanna (BOS) <dyanna.quizon@sfgov.org>; Miller Hall, Ellie (BOS) <ellie.millerhall@sfgov.org>; info@sfmca.org
Subject: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident and voter, I strongly urge you to pass a resolution opposing SB 827 and SB 828, with or without amendments. As you know, if these bills are passed the State would highjack each city's control over planning and housing.

All Californian cities and our state deserve much better solutions to housing problems.

These bills would have a catastrophic impact on San Francisco's future.

Thank you,
Best Regards
Rachel Podlishevsky

Sent from my iPad

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:31 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

-----Original Message-----

From: julia bromley [mailto:joolya@me.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 5:39 PM
To: MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; senator.wiener@senate.ca.gov; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; SheehyStaff (BOS) <sheehystaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; richhillissf@gmail.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; planning@rodneymong.com; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Secretary, Commissions (CPC) <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; andrew@tefarch.com; aaron.hyland.hpc@gmail.com; ellen.hpc@ellenjohnckconsulting.com; RSEJohns@yahoo.com; dianematsuda@hotmail.com; jonathan.pearlman.hpc@gmail.com; Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC) <anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org>; gswooding@gmail.com; Quizon, Dyanna (BOS) <dyanna.quizon@sfgov.org>; Miller Hall, Ellie (BOS) <ellie.millerhall@sfgov.org>; info@sfmca.org
Subject: STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident and voter, I strongly urge you to pass a resolution opposing SB 827 and SB 828, with or without amendments. As you know, if these bills are passed, the State would highjack each city's control over Planning and Housing.

All of California deserves better solutions to Housing problems.

Don't give away the exquisite nature of San Francisco. Find another way!

Thank you,

Julia Bromley
2022 Jefferson Street

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:31 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

-----Original Message-----

From: Peter Hilby [mailto:peterhilby@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 5:55 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; affordabledivis@gmail.com
Subject: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

I'm Dear Supervisors,

The community opposes SB 827 - it has no protections for low income communities. SB 827 encourages the removal of existing affordable housing in order to build higher-cost housing. Building large quantities of high-cost housing will not make housing affordable. Only government-subsidized housing will provide housing for residents with low, very low, and extremely low incomes, but SB 827 does not require any amount of below-market rate housing.

Please do not buy into this developer giveaway. Your community needs you to oppose SB 827.

Thank you,

Peter Hilby

Sent from my iPhone

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:32 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

From: eriq94110@aol.com [mailto:eriq94110@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 6:32 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; affordabledivis@gmail.com
Subject: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

Dear Supervisors, The community opposes SB 827 - it has no protections for low income communities. SB 827 encourages the removal of existing affordable housing in order to build higher-cost housing. Building large quantities of high-cost housing will not make housing affordable. Only government-subsidized housing will provide housing for residents with low, very low, and extremely low incomes, but SB 827 does not require any amount of below-market rate housing. Please do not buy into this developer giveaway. Your community needs you to oppose SB 827. Thank you,

Erick Arguello
Mission District resident

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:32 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

-----Original Message-----

From: Tim Oliveira [mailto:stimbox@pacbell.net]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 6:35 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; affordabledivis@gmail.com
Subject: I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community

Dear Supervisors,

The community opposes SB 827 - it has no protections for low income communities. SB 827 encourages the removal of existing affordable housing in order to build taller, higher-cost housing. Building large quantities of high-cost housing will not make housing affordable. Only government-subsidized housing will provide housing for residents with low, very low, and extremely low incomes, but SB 827 does not require any amount of below-market rate housing.

Please do not buy into this developer giveaway. Our community needs you to oppose SB 827.

Thank you,
Tim Oliveira

Sent from my iPhone

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:32 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Opposition to SB 827

From: Neighbors Against Flooding [mailto:stopfloodingit@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 6:38 PM
To: Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Opposition to SB 827

Dear Supervisors:

I also join my neighbors in opposing SB 827 for the reason stated below.

Sincerely,

Ingleside Terraces Resident:

Jane Huey

[StopfloodingIT@gmail.com](mailto:stopfloodingit@gmail.com)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Neighbors Against Flooding <stopfloodingit@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Apr 2, 2018 at 4:04 PM
Subject: Opposition to SB 827
To: jane.kim@sfgov.org, "Tang, Katy (BOS)" <katy.tang@sfgov.org>, ahsha.safai@sfgov.org, "Yee, Norman (BOS)" <norman.yee@sfgov.org>, catherine.stefani@sfgov.org, malia.cohen@sfgov.org, "Fewer, Sandra (BOS)" <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>, london.breed@sfgov.org, aaron.peskin@sfgov.org, jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org, "Ronen, Hillary (BOS)" <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>, board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

Dear Supervisors:

As San Francisco residents, we are concerned about increased development that fails to take into consideration the existing infrastructure in various neighborhoods. Our historic neighborhood of Ingleside Terraces has been placed at increased risk of flooding due to development and an aging and inadequate sewer system along Ocean Avenue. Despite various published reports, including a CCSF Master Plan Draft Environmental Impact Report dated January 30, 2004, that have documented these risks, development has been allowed to continue and

residents in this area have flooded, and are at continued risk for flooding, in moderate storm situations.

We strongly oppose SB 827 and encourage the Supervisors of San Francisco to do so as well. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ingleside Terraces Residents:

Patricia Hechinger

Vanessa Quesada

Gina Buschiazzo

Robert Karis

Carolyn Karis

Adrienne Sciutto

Irene Creps

StopfloodingIT@gmail.com

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 5:24 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: VOTE NO on SB 827

From: cathwayl@aol.com [mailto:cathwayl@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 5:21 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: VOTE NO on SB 827

Dear Board of Supervisors:

As a San Francisco resident and voter, I strongly urge you to pass a resolution opposing SB 827 with NO AMENDMENTS. As you know, passing SB 827 would allow the State to hijack local, neighborhood control over planning and housing decisions. Communities in our state deserve much better solutions to housing problems.

SB 827 would have a catastrophic impact on San Francisco's future. Please reject it.

Thank you,

Catherine Wayland

263 Connecticut Street

San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 5:24 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: 27 letters - SB 827
Attachments: Please support SB 827!; STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827; STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827; Opposition to SB 827; STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827; Opposition to State Senate Bill SB 827; 80 N Declaration; STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827; STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827; Oppose SB-827 - NO Amendments; I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community; STRONGLY OPPOSE SB 828 and SB 827; I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community; STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827; No on SN 827 no amendments; Senate Bill SB-827; Please Oppose SB-827 & SB-828; STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827; STRONGLY OPPOSING SB 828 and SB 827; Please Oppose SB 827; Oppose SB-827 - NO Amendments; I oppose SB 827; I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community; I oppose SB 827 - Please stand with the community; **** Opposition to SB-827****; Oppose Senate Bill 827

Hi John,

Here are 27 more emails pertaining to SB 827. All of them have been sent to the Board.

Regards,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Please complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form by clicking [here](#).

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 5:21 PM
To: Ronen, Hillary; Beinart, Amy (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Upcoming Vote re SB-827

From: Gail Kropp [mailto:gail.kropp@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 5:20 PM
To: Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Tang, Katy (BOS) <katy.tang@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (BOS) <london.breed@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; hiliary.ronen@sfgov.org; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Upcoming Vote re SB-827

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Gail Kropp. I am a San Francisco District 7 resident and voter, and I urge you to pass a resolution to oppose State Senate Bill 827. I respectfully request that you oppose Senator Weiner's misguided and anti-democratic bill in its entirety; please do NOT seek to amend it. Even with amendments, SB-827 would transfer power to the State to decide important land use matters for our City. I can think of no reason that any of you would desire to cede these local powers to the State; please stand up for local authority and vote NO on SB-827.

Thank you.

Gail Kropp

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 5:21 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: SB 827

From: Fink, Anastasia [mailto:SFink@levi.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 5:04 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: SB 827

As a lifelong San Francisco resident, I strongly oppose lifting restrictions on density and multi-unit buildings.

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen of the Board,

West Portal, my neighborhood, is one of the few neighborhoods left that still has the feeling of a village with families, strollers, retrievers etc. going to all the small shops and books store and restaurants.

There is absolutely no parking and everyone has to walk there so this will only exacerbate the already parking problem.

Thank you,

Anastasia Fink

LEVI STRAUSS & CO.

Stacia Fink, Archivist/Conservator

office: +1-415-501-5648 | sfink@levi.com

1155 Battery Street | San Francisco, CA | 94111



This message is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are not authorized to disclose, distribute, copy or use this message or any information contained in this message. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us immediately by reply e-mail or telephone and please delete this message. Thank you very much for your cooperation

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 5:20 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Oppose SB-827 - NO Amendments

From: Nancy Wuerfel [mailto:nancenumber1@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 4:53 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: sfoceanedge@earthlink.net
Subject: Oppose SB-827 - NO Amendments

Supervisor !

I ask you to oppose Senate Bill 827 (Wiener housing bill) . SB 827 will severely damage San Francisco through significant upzoning and loss of local control over planning decisions. It will result in further gentrification of our neighborhoods and many other negative consequences, such as eliminating PRIVATE OPEN SPACE and PRIVATE TREES due to uncontrolled building!!!

Please support a resolution opposing SB 827 with NO Amendments.

Sincerely,
Nancy Wuerfel

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 5:20 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: PLEASE OPPOSE SB827

From: Fred Lofrano [mailto:fred@lofrano.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 4:26 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: PLEASE OPPOSE SB827

Dear Supervisors,

Please oppose SB827. I am a SF resident and I am concerned about how San Francisco will be transformed into Any Big City, CA if this bill is passed.

The spirit of San Francisco was and should continue to be a small city with diverse and "charactered" neighborhoods. Don't allow all of SF become a stack and pack soulless city!

Zoning must remain at the discretion of our city and never mandated by the state!

Higher density will only lead to more families leaving the city as the single family homes or "flats" becomes more rare and even less affordable.

The architectural character of SF neighborhoods is the reason why it is so treasured! We're already a great and world class city. We shouldn't allow the State to ruin the city.

Sincerely,

Fred Lofrano

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 5:20 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: SB 827

-----Original Message-----

From: Lawrence Maxwell [mailto:larmax@pacbell.net]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 4:18 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: SB 827

Dear Supervisors:

I urge you to oppose State bill SB 827. We need higher density housing near transit lines, but this bill is a blunt instrument to achieve it. We need a more nuanced bill to bring about that density in a more careful way.

Lawrence Maxwell 1400 Geary Blvd., apt 2304, San Francisco 94109
415/440-2413

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 5:20 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Please oppose SB 827

From: Paul Liao [mailto:pliao.gml@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 3:55 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please oppose SB 827

SB 827 is terrible. DO NOT TRY TO AMEND IT.
Please do everything possible to defeat it.

While some misguided people may have good intentions in proposing or supporting it, SB 827 destroys all semblance of the local control of San Francisco that has made it the greatest city in America. Don't be misled.
DEFEAT SB 827

Paul Liao

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 5:08 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Please oppose SB 827 with no amendments

-----Original Message-----

From: David Romano [mailto:droma4@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 3:38 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: SF Ocean Edge <sfoceanedge@earthlink.net>
Subject: Please oppose SB 827 with no amendments

Dear Supervisors,

I am a San Francisco resident and voter. I ask you to oppose Senate Bill 827 (Wiener housing bill) . Please support a resolution opposing SB 827 with NO Amendments. SB 827 is not the solution to San Francisco's housing shortage; unregulated development will only make things worse. Local control is essential to planned development.

Sincerely,

David Romano

David Romano
droma4@gmail.com

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 5:08 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides; Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); BOS Legislation, (BOS)
Subject: FW: Public comment for EC/BoS meeting tomorrow
Attachments: Peskin Legislation (00338614xAEB03).pdf

From: Tom Willis [mailto:tw@rjp.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 3:36 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Kundert, Kyle (ETH) <kyle.kundert@sfgov.org>; Pelham, Leeann (ETH) <leeann.pelham@sfgov.org>
Cc: GIVNER, JON (CAT) <Jon.Givner@sfcityatty.org>; SHEN, ANDREW (CAT) <Andrew.Shen@sfcityatty.org>
Subject: Public comment for EC/BoS meeting tomorrow

Please see attached our firm's written testimony with respect to tomorrow's joint Ethics Commission/Board of Supervisors meeting. Please place this in the public record for the meeting and we also request that you please forward it to the Ethics Commissioners and Board of Supervisors. Thank you very much, Tom Willis

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 3:07 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: I strongly oppose SB 827, even with amendments - Please stand with the community

-----Original Message-----

From: Lisa Awbrey [mailto:weegreenmea@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 2:10 PM
To: MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: I strongly oppose SB 827, even with amendments - Please stand with the community

Dear Mayor Farrell and Supervisors:

The community opposes SB 827 - it has no protections for low income communities. SB 827 encourages the removal of existing affordable housing in order to build higher-cost housing. Building large quantities of high-cost housing will not make housing affordable. Only government-subsidized housing will provide housing for residents with low, very low, and extremely low incomes, but SB 827 does not require any amount of below-market rate housing.

Please do not buy into this developer giveaway. Your community needs you to oppose SB 827.

Thank you,

Lisa Awbrey

Sent from my iPad

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 3:04 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Please Oppose SB 827 and SB 828

From: Sue Chang [mailto:suechangsf@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 1:50 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Oppose SB 827 and SB 828

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco property owner, I strongly urge you to pass a resolution opposing SB 827 and SB 828, with or without amendments. As you know, if these bills are passed the State would hijack each city's control over planning and housing. All Californian cities and our state deserve much better solutions to housing problems. These bills would have a catastrophic impact on San Francisco's future.

Thank you,
Sue Savoldi Chang

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 2:57 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Support SB827!

From: Dave K. [mailto:ddkwon907@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 1:32 PM
Subject: Support SB827!

Dear Supervisor,

Please SUPPORT SB827. I am a SF resident and believe this city needs to be more realistic about its housing needs. I am one of countless young adults who would love nothing more than to raise my family in San Francisco and contribute back to my community and city. Unfortunately, I am neither a millionaire nor a child of a long-time resident who stands to inherit a home and will be forced to move out of the city if the current housing crisis continues.

Please consider the housing needs of the people who keep this city running and vibrant against those opposed to the "Manhattanization" of San Francisco. Housing teachers and restaurant workers is more important than how much sunlight a tomato garden receives!

Thank you,
David Kwon

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 2:56 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: No On SB827

From: Laura Sullivan Van Zandt [mailto:lsvanzandt@earthlink.net]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 1:05 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: No On SB827

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I am a second generation San Franciscan with four children, and a recently born granddaughter who is fourth generation. I am writing to request that you oppose SB827. I understand that there is a need for housing in San Francisco, and I also understand that it is unlikely that my children will be able to buy homes within the city limits. Regardless, I have to oppose SB827 because of my concern that our R-1 neighborhoods will lose their character. SB827 is an invitation to developers to destroy neighborhood character with small apartment buildings. It is my understanding that under SB827, more than 90% of San Francisco would be subject to having small apartment buildings built, regardless of current zoning laws, while neighboring counties will not bear their fair share of building housing. In speaking with my family, friends and neighbors, I find that the potential Manhattanization of San Francisco is a major concern that has people very upset. Please oppose SB827.

Thank you.

Laura Van Zandt

Laura Sullivan Van Zandt

605 Market Street, 9th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105-3211
Telephone: (415) 495-3800
Facsimile: (415) 291-3514

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 2:53 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: No on SB-827

From: Jamey Frank [mailto:jameyfrank@me.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 12:36 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Sheehy, Jeff (BOS) <jeff.sheehy@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Farrell, Mark (MYR) <mark.farrell@sfgov.org>
Subject: No on SB-827

Dear Board of Supervisors and Mayor Farrell,

Manhattan never built it's way out of demand, and I do not want to live in a Manhattan.

Please, do not destroy what's left of San Francisco's character.

Local merchants and long time residents are fleeing in droves, thanks to rampant overgrowth, poor infrastructure, and gentrification.

Unchecked development has spiked housing costs, and city government waste has resulted in declining public transit usage, filthy streets and subways, bursting roadways (thanks to road diets, and parking confiscation), and underfunded public safety.

San Francisco used to be special; now it's just a playground for greedy developers, and wealthy, young technies.

The rest of us long time residents feel unwelcome and forced out.

Sincerely,

Jamey Frank
370 Church Street Apt E

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 2:54 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Reject SB 827

From: Sew Big [mailto:sewbig1@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 12:52 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Reject SB 827

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident and voter, I strongly urge you to pass a resolution opposing SB 827 with NO AMENDMENTS. As you know, passing SB 827 would allow the State to hijack local, neighborhood control over planning and housing decisions. Communities in our state deserve much better solutions to housing problems.

SB 827 would have a catastrophic impact on San Francisco's future. Please reject it.

Thank you,
Tracy Weiss

94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 2:53 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: OPPOSE STATE BILL 827

From: Kate Moore [mailto:katemo200@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 12:34 PM
To: MayorMarkFarrell (MYR) <mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: OPPOSE STATE BILL 827

As a long time resident of San Francisco, I strongly urge you to vote NO on State Bill 827.
Thank you,
Kathleen Moore
2111 Hyde Street
SF CA 94109

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 2:51 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Oppose SB827

-----Original Message-----

From: Gretchen Evans [mailto:gigiinsf@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 11:41 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Oppose SB827

Please vote against SB827
Thank you
Gretchen & Dick Evans
SF Residents, Cow Hollow

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 2:51 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Reject SB827

From: Erika Coplon [mailto:erikacoplon@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 12:09 PM
To: Cohen, Malia (BOS) <malia.cohen@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Reject SB827

Dear Supervisors,

As a San Francisco resident and voter, I strongly urge you to pass a resolution opposing SB 827 with NO AMENDMENTS. As you know, passing SB 827 would allow the State to hijack local, neighborhood control over planning and housing decisions. Communities in our state deserve much better solutions to housing problems.

SB 827 would have a catastrophic impact on San Francisco's future. Please reject it.

Thank you,
Erika Coplon (Potrero Hill)

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 2:52 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: Oppose Proposed SB827

From: wardj@gtlaw.com [mailto:wardj@gtlaw.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 12:13 PM
To: 'Arron.Peskin@sfgov.org'; 'Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org'; 'Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org'; 'Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org'; 'Jane.Kim@sfgov.org'; 'Jell.Sheehy@sfgov.org'; 'Katy.Tang@sfgov.org'; 'London.Breed@sfgov.org'; 'Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org'; 'Norman.Yee@sfgov.org'; 'Sandra.Feweere@sfgov.org'; 'mayormarkfarrell@sfgov.org'; 'info@cowhollowassociation.org'; 'info@sfmca.org'; 'board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org'
Subject: Oppose Proposed SB827

Honorable Supervisors,

I am writing to you to request that you oppose the proposed legislation SB827.

I own a home on Marina Boulevard. I place great value in preserving the Neighborhoods and zoning requirements of San Francisco.

It is inconceivable to me that the leaders and planners of this beloved City would allow the State to decide what the City must build in our neighborhoods.

The zoning and building decisions MUST REMAIN under the control of local residents and their elected officials.

I request that you oppose SB827 (with no amendments). This is a ill-conceived proposed legislation.

Thank you for your consideration of my request.

Regards,
John Ward

If you are not an intended recipient of confidential and privileged information in this email, please delete it, notify us immediately at postmaster@gtlaw.com, and do not use or disseminate such information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 2:51 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Carroll, John (BOS)
Subject: FW: SB-827 and SB-828

-----Original Message-----

From: Russ Bishop [mailto:xenadu@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 11:02 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: SB-827 and SB-828

As a resident of outer sunset, I support these two state measures.

I completely oppose any resolution by the board against these bills.

Russ Bishop
Outer Sunset, San Francisco 94122

195
1495
BOS-1/ers

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Carrie Avritt (betrub@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 07, 2018 9:01 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Carrie Avritt
1464 La Playa St Apt 102
San Francisco, CA 94122
betrub@aol.com
(415) 661-6083

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

5

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Nancy Kurtz (auntienannie@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 07, 2018 4:18 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Nancy Kurtz
3424 Vicente St
San Francisco, CA 94116
auntienannie@sbcglobal.net
(415) 759-8089

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Sarah Benjamin (sarahben16@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 07, 2018 1:07 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Sarah Benjamin
1864 Larkin St Apt 3
San Francisco, CA 94109
sarahben16@gmail.com
(607) 857-3050

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Grace Huenemann (gnhgcs@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 07, 2018 12:44 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Grace Huenemann
670 De Haro St Apt 3
San Francisco, CA 94107
gnhgcs@gmail.com
(415) 647-2405

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jeanne Schneider (schneiderj008@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2018 6:09 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Schneider
425 Belvedere St
San Francisco, CA 94117
schneiderj008@gmail.com
(415) 564-7682

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Becky Evans (virginia.reinhart+dupe@sierraclub.org) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2018 2:15 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Becky Evans
1474 Sacramento St
San Francisco, CA 94109
virginia.reinhart+dupe@sierraclub.org
(510) 848-0800

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Mark Hotsenpiller (bikemark@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2018 11:50 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Mark Hotsenpiller
469 Chenery St
San Francisco, CA 94131
bikemark@mac.com
(928) 778-3477

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Chris Gilbert (chris@gilbertbiz.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 11:26 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Chris Gilbert
1797 Madera St
Berkeley, CA 94707
chris@gilbertbiz.com
(510) 528-4202

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Michael Walsh (jwalsh2395@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 10:55 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Michael Walsh
807 Cabrillo St
San Francisco, CA 94118
jwalsh2395@msn.com
(415) 610-9034

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Susan Vaughan (selizabethvaughan@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:39 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Susan Vaughan
2120 Clement St Apt 10
San Francisco, CA 94121
selizabethvaughan@gmail.com
(415) 668-3119

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Claire Boddy (cfab40@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:55 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Claire Boddy
1200 Cortland Ave Apt 3
San Francisco, CA 94110
cfab40@gmail.com
(415) 285-5648

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Stefanie Landman (stefaniejeanlandman@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:07 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Stefanie Landman
143 Stillings Ave
San Francisco, CA 94131
stefaniejeanlandman@gmail.com
(845) 514-3016

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Maryann Dresner (madresner@cs.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 3:12 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Maryann Dresner
1390 Market St Ste 818
San Francisco, CA 94102
madresner@cs.com
(415) 864-7636

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Christian Baumgarten (baum85@gmx.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 2:14 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Christian Baumgarten
450 Moraga St
San Francisco, CA 94122
baum85@gmx.net
(415) 581-5542

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Anastasia Yovanopoulos (shashacooks@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 1:45 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Anastasia Yovanopoulos
3718 24th St
San Francisco, CA 94114
shashacooks@yahoo.com
(415) 826-5886

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: David Walls (davidwallswilliam@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 1:14 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

David Walls
18 10th St Apt 526
San Francisco, CA 94103
davidwallswilliam@gmail.com
(415) 499-2560

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Cathy Wickham (cathy_wickham@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 11:35 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Cathy Wickham
133 Dorado Ter
San Francisco, CA 94112
cathy_wickham@comcast.net
(415) 821-7248

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Chase Livingston (14chase@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 9:17 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Chase Livingston
70 Borica St
San Francisco, CA 94127
14chase@gmail.com
(415) 239-8562

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Mary Fryer (marykittner@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 7:09 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Mary Fryer
1205 4th Ave Apt D
San Francisco, CA 94122
marykittner@att.net
(415) 546-3245

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jack Bowers (iljackb@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 2:29 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Jack Bowers
650 De Haro St Apt A
San Francisco, CA 94107
iljackb@gmail.com
(415) 320-0067

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Christine Peterson (christinetheater@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 2:08 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Christine Peterson
PO Box 640241
San Francisco, CA 94164
christinetheater@gmail.com
(415) 424-9234

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jean Mont-Eton (jeanmonteton@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2018 7:33 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Jean Mont-Eton
4333 Ulloa St
San Francisco, CA 94116
jeanmonteton@aol.com
(415) 664-4103

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Sharon Wilensky (sharonwilensky@sonic.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2018 7:26 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Sharon Wilensky
1355 12th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94122
sharonwilensky@sonic.net
(415) 753-1161

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Veronika Fimbres (veronika4governor@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2018 5:54 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Veronika Fimbres
554 Monterey Blvd Apt 2
San Francisco, CA 94127
veronika4governor@gmail.com
(415) 524-9880

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Maia De Raat (karolinade@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2018 1:03 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Maia De Raat
105 Julian Ave
San Francisco, CA 94103
karolinade@yahoo.com
(415) 558-8159

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Rebecca Rogers (beckypaulson@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2018 11:25 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Rogers
831 38th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94121
beckypaulson@hotmail.com
(415) 387-3225

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Claire Levy (claire.levy2@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2018 10:09 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Claire Levy
100 Van Ness Ave Apt 2701
San Francisco, CA 94102
claire.levy2@gmail.com
(914) 263-9958

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Linda Ramey (lindaramey5@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2018 9:07 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Linda Ramey
65 Gates St
San Francisco, CA 94110
lindaramey5@gmail.com
(415) 647-3302

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Daniel Buckler (deafguy135@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2018 8:47 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Daniel Buckler
250 Castro St Apt 8
San Francisco, CA 94114
deafguy135@hotmail.com
(415) 555-1212

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Debbie Menaged (debbie6142002@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2018 8:35 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Debbie Menaged
1740 Kearny St
San Francisco, CA 94133
debbie6142002@yahoo.com
(415) 336-1234

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Amber Eby (ar_eby@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2018 7:06 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Amber Eby
720 2nd Ave Apt 202
San Francisco, CA 94118
ar_eby@yahoo.com
(415) 290-0483

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Anne Veraldi (anneveraldi@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2018 12:42 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Anne Veraldi
21 Lapidge St
San Francisco, CA 94110
anneveraldi@hotmail.com
(415) 553-6917

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Mitchell Bonner (mitchell072444@outlook.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:48 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Mitchell Bonner
645 Bush St Apt 108
San Francisco, CA 94108
mitchell072444@outlook.com
(415) 335-3182

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Judith May (judith@yesyoumaymusic.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:14 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Judith May
1329 5th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94122
judith@yesyoumaymusic.com
(415) 661-6553

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Tatyana Shats (tanyashats@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:36 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Tatyana Shats
1521 Sutter St Apt 405
San Francisco, CA 94109
tanyashats@yahoo.com
(415) 928-6822

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: John Oda (jandjoda@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:14 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

John Oda
2000 Post St
San Francisco, CA 94115
jandjoda@aol.com
(415) 567-7192

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Greg Pennington (greglpennington@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 7:40 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Water is our most precious resource in Northern California and we must manage it responsibly and protect our parks, lands, animals, estuaries and environment

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Greg Pennington
798 Post St Apt 500
San Francisco, CA 94109
greglpennington@aol.com
(415) 441-1128

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Sarita Groisser (saritafit@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 7:17 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Sarita Groisser
60 Crestline Dr
San Francisco, CA 94131
saritafit@gmail.com
(415) 273-5617

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Bonnie Knight (bonnie.knight@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 7:14 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Knight
2418 Great Hwy
San Francisco, CA 94116
bonnie.knight@att.net
(415) 290-1575

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Lavinia Turner (laviniaeturner@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 7:13 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Lavinia Turner
1175 Girard St
San Francisco, CA 94134
laviniaeturner@yahoo.com
(415) 769-5544

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Leslie Hendricks (lesliehendricks1111@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 6:56 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Leslie Hendricks
1275 17th Ave Apt 4
San Francisco, CA 94122
lesliehendricks1111@hotmail.com
(415) 661-0298

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Isabel Molloy (isabelmolloy@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 6:40 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Isabel Molloy
759 33rd Ave
San Francisco, CA 94121
isabelmolloy@hotmail.com
(415) 846-9577

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Michael Majors (mmajors163@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 6:07 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Michael Majors
75 Dore St Apt 407
San Francisco, CA 94103
mmajors163@gmail.com
(415) 947-9437

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: David Thompson (thompsondavid@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 6:06 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

David Thompson
920 Diamond St
San Francisco, CA 94114
thompsondavid@comcast.net
(415) 999-9636

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Analisa Raccanello (a.j.raccanello@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 5:41 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Analisa Raccanello
795 Geary St
San Francisco, CA 94109
a.j.raccanello@gmail.com
(650) 759-3714

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Marilyn Orem (marilynorem@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 5:12 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Orem
3501 Laguna St Apt 103
San Francisco, CA 94123
marilynorem@msn.com
(415) 567-6104

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Mary Etta Moose (maryetta.moose12@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 4:58 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Mary Etta Moose
1962 Powell St
San Francisco, CA 94133
maryetta.moose12@gmail.com
(415) 989-3750

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Henry Tasto (tasto@pacbell.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 4:50 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Henry Tasto
2500 33rd Ave
San Francisco, CA 94116
tasto@pacbell.net
(415) 242-4523

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Henry Tasto (tasto@pacbell.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 4:50 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Henry Tasto
2500 33rd Ave
San Francisco, CA 94116
tasto@pacbell.net
(415) 242-4523

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Magaly Fernandez (lamaga@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 4:45 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Magaly Fernandez
1799 Revere Ave
San Francisco, CA 94124
lamaga@comcast.net
(415) 557-4838

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Magaly Fernandez (lamaga@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 4:45 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Magaly Fernandez
1799 Revere Ave
San Francisco, CA 94124
lamaga@comcast.net
(415) 557-4838

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Victor Vuyas (culprit@overtacts.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 4:38 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Victor Vuyas
1244 Broadway
San Francisco, CA 94109
culprit@overtacts.com
(415) 776-3587

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Peter Booth Lee (peterboothlee@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 4:37 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Peter Booth Lee
3910 Fulton St Apt 4
San Francisco, CA 94118
peterboothlee@hotmail.com
(415) 752-7702

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Joy Baker (joydbaker@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 3:44 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Joy Baker
380 19th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94121
joydbaker@sbcglobal.net
(415) 327-8341

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Benjamin Lerer (ben.otis@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 3:43 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Lerer
477 Euclid Ave
San Francisco, CA 94118
ben.otis@gmail.com
(415) 328-1223

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Lawrence Maxwell (larmax@pacbell.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 3:22 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Maxwell
1400 Geary Blvd Apt 2304
San Francisco, CA 94109
larmax@pacbell.net
(415) 440-2413

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Alexander Porter Iii (yonwax2@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 3:12 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Alexander Porter Iii
197 Bonview St
San Francisco, CA 94110
yonwax2@comcast.net
(415) 824-6092

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Senta Tsantilis (sptsantilis@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 3:06 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Senta Tsantilis
2865 Lincoln Way
San Francisco, CA 94122
sptsantilis@gmail.com
(415) 583-3809

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Sally Abrams (perigrey@netscape.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 2:42 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Sally Abrams
138 Cortland Ave
San Francisco, CA 94110
perigrey@netscape.net
(415) 824-6216

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Casey Jackson (casejackson@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 2:33 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Casey Jackson
528 Noriega St
San Francisco, CA 94122
casejackson@gmail.com
(415) 730-1765

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Tim Butler (tbutler997@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 2:24 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Tim Butler
1520 Gough St Apt 505
San Francisco, CA 94109
tbutler997@aol.com
(415) 563-0554

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Paul W (veloguy64@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 1:57 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Paul W
335 Panorama Dr
San Francisco, CA 94131
veloguy64@gmail.com
(415) 514-2061

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Christopher Reiger (christopher@christopherreiger.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 1:52 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Christopher Reiger
498 Carl St Apt 5
San Francisco, CA 94117
christopher@christopherreiger.com
(917) 627-2039

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Susan Dugger-Mathison (salexi@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 1:47 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Susan Dugger-Mathison
805 Leavenworth St Apt 203
San Francisco, CA 94109
salexi@comcast.net
(415) 673-9564

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Michael Anderson (mikeand@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 1:43 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Michael Anderson
19 Coventry Ct
San Francisco, CA 94127
mikeand@sbcglobal.net
(415) 584-2435

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jennifer Lies (jennifer.lies@sierraclub.org) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 1:40 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Lies
1000 Union St Apt 302
San Francisco, CA 94133
jennifer.lies@sierraclub.org
(415) 977-5630

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Liina Laufer (liina.laufer@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 1:37 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Liina Laufer
1625 20th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94122
liina.laufer@gmail.com
(415) 763-5446

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Eric Holub (megalongy@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 1:36 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Eric Holub
3432 Mission St
San Francisco, CA 94110
megalongy@aol.com
(415) 601-8372

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Peter Duyan (scottduyan@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 1:35 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Peter Duyan
1564 Shrader St
San Francisco, CA 94117
scottduyan@gmail.com
(415) 742-4686

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Stephanie Rexing (rexnfx@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 1:32 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Rexing
3435 18th St Apt 11
San Francisco, CA 94110
rexnfx@aol.com
(415) 730-8592

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Cynthia Sterne (cgsterne@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 1:32 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Sterne
862 De Haro St # B
San Francisco, CA 94107
cgsterne@gmail.com
(415) 643-3541

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Esther Mcegan (emcegan@mercywmw.org) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 1:31 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Esther Mcegan
300 Arguello Blvd Apt 201
San Francisco, CA 94118
emcegan@mercywmw.org
(415) 387-8825

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Kelsey Sullivan (sullivan.kelsey@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 1:26 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Kelsey Sullivan
809 Quarry Rd Apt C
San Francisco, CA 94129
sullivan.kelsey@gmail.com
(603) 238-7462

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Eileen Gross (elanagross7@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 1:24 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Eileen Gross
190 Coleridge St Apt 110
San Francisco, CA 94110
elanagross7@gmail.com
(415) 431-5847

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Victoria Heilweil (victoriaheilweil@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 1:07 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Victoria Heilweil
3270 20th St
San Francisco, CA 94110
victoriaheilweil@gmail.com
(510) 918-4631

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Kate Raymond (kateeray@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:40 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Kate Raymond
1350 47th Ave # A
San Francisco, CA 94122
kateeray@gmail.com
(510) 604-1906

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Michael Tomczyszyn (mtomczyszyn@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:35 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Michael Tomczyszyn
243 Ramsell St
San Francisco, CA 94132
mtomczyszyn@hotmail.com
(415) 452-8295

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Douglas Estes (dce005@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:26 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Douglas Estes
629 Arguello Blvd Apt 303
San Francisco, CA 94118
dce005@yahoo.com
(415) 845-6018

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Peter Robinett (peter@robinett.us) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:19 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Peter Robinett
700 Church St Apt 302
San Francisco, CA 94114
peter@robinett.us
(347) 755-0804

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Leonard Tremmel (slapshoe@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:19 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Leonard Tremmel
800 Lyon St # 2
San Francisco, CA 94115
slapshoe@sbcglobal.net
(415) 707-9443

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Edward Sullivan (efsullyjr@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:14 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Edward Sullivan
2448 Great Hwy Apt 14
San Francisco, CA 94116
efsullyjr@aol.com
(415) 665-1463

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Alice Polesky (askalice@pacbell.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:11 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Alice Polesky
890 Kansas St
San Francisco, CA 94107
askalice@pacbell.net
(415) 824-0734

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Karen Kirschling (kumasong@excite.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:09 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Karen Kirschling
633 Oak St
San Francisco, CA 94117
kumasong@excite.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Greg Taylor (gregnott@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:50 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Greg Taylor
574 3rd St Apt 327
San Francisco, CA 94107
gregnott@aol.com
(415) 882-4576

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Marcia Scott (marciacscott@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:43 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Marcia Scott
116 Frederick St Apt 33
San Francisco, CA 94117
marciacscott@gmail.com
(650) 793-3534

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Mali Henigman (malih2000@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:43 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Mali Henigman
494 27th Ave Apt 26
San Francisco, CA 94121
malih2000@yahoo.com
(415) 831-4661

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Keiko M. (anoodlehead@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:30 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Keiko M.
9 Mayfair Dr
San Francisco, CA 94118
anoodlehead@gmail.com
(415) 216-6403

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Marc Ruffolo (sizzlean70@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:28 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Marc Ruffolo
2550 Balboa St
San Francisco, CA 94121
sizzlean70@yahoo.com
(415) 254-4497

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Dorothy Davies (lynne.davies3@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:19 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Davies
327 Caselli Ave
San Francisco, CA 94114
lynne.davies3@gmail.com
(415) 558-9211

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Beate Flach (appleflop@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:04 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Beate Flach
1640 Golden Gate Ave
San Francisco, CA 94115
appleflop@gmail.com
(415) 409-1883

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Maureen Gonzalez (maureen51846@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:03 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Maureen Gonzalez
74 Arnold Ave
San Francisco, CA 94110
maureen51846@gmail.com
(415) 641-4490

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Lance Carnes (lacarnes@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:56 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Lance Carnes
722 Lombard St Apt 201
San Francisco, CA 94133
lacarnes@gmail.com
(415) 296-7550

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Ramona Draeger (ramonald@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:56 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Ramona Draeger
2140 Fell St Apt 304
San Francisco, CA 94117
ramonald@yahoo.com
(415) 386-2594

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Nancy Anne Earl (anneoverearl@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:56 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Nancy Anne Earl
331 Arlington St
San Francisco, CA 94131
anneoverearl@sbcglobal.net
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Roberto Apodaca (bigrob919@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:50 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Roberto Apodaca
661 Mississippi St
San Francisco, CA 94107
bigrob919@yahoo.com
(415) 312-3922

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: David Doering (davedoering@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:49 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

David Doering
1544 Polk St
San Francisco, CA 94109
davedoering@hotmail.com
(415) 557-4401

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Linda Weiner (lwsf72@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:46 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Linda Weiner
72 Gates St
San Francisco, CA 94110
lwsf72@gmail.com
(415) 282-8871

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Kellie Stokes (kellie.ms.stokes33@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:45 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Kellie Stokes
1533 Taylor St
San Francisco, CA 94133
kellie.ms.stokes33@gmail.com
(720) 388-5507

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Audrey Ng (audrey_lai_ng@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:40 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Audrey Ng
552 24th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94121
audrey_lai_ng@yahoo.com
(415) 831-1277

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Carlita Martinez (cmartine@ccsf.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:37 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Carlita Martinez
50 Phelan Ave
San Francisco, CA 94112
cmartine@ccsf.edu
(415) 239-3337

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Lynne Preston (bluelynne@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:33 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Lynne Preston
344 Highland Ave
San Francisco, CA 94110
bluelynne@sbcglobal.net
(415) 658-7563

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Cody Mitcheltree (ctree@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:33 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Cody Mitcheltree
2120 Clement St Apt 16
San Francisco, CA 94121
ctree@mac.com
(415) 531-3861

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Deborah Mulvaney (debmulvaney@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:32 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Deborah Mulvaney
425 Market St
San Francisco, CA 94105
debmulvaney@hotmail.com
(646) 460-9726

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Lisa Kellman (s-sage@sonic.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:26 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Lisa Kellman
474 Day St
San Francisco, CA 94131
s-sage@sonic.net
(415) 206-1673

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Timothy Larkin (flybearsf@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:24 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Timothy Larkin
1515 Sutter St Apt 210
San Francisco, CA 94109
flybearsf@aol.com
(415) 579-2066

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Victor Kamendrowsky (vkamendrowsky@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:19 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Victor Kamendrowsky
203 Hoffman Ave
San Francisco, CA 94114
vkamendrowsky@gmail.com
(415) 826-8670

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Sarah Rounkles Benedict (sarah.rounkles@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:11 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Sarah Rounkles Benedict
3053 Fillmore St
San Francisco, CA 94123
sarah.rounkles@gmail.com
(310) 383-8075

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Annalee Pineda (annaleepinedasf@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:08 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Annalee Pineda
1035 Sutter St Apt 24
San Francisco, CA 94109
annaleepinedasf@yahoo.com
(415) 673-3558

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Shawn Heiser (heiser@sfsu.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:01 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Shawn Heiser
1600 Holloway Ave
San Francisco, CA 94132
heiser@sfsu.edu
(415) 405-3951

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Sara Manning (sara.rose.manning@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:00 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Sara Manning
1827 Kirkham St
San Francisco, CA 94122
sara.rose.manning@gmail.com
(310) 384-4426

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Reggie Griner (reggiegriner@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:00 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Reggie Griner
1235 15th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94122
reggiegriner@comcast.net
(415) 235-4288

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Eve Thompson (evethompson@pacbell.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:56 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Eve Thompson
443 33rd Ave
San Francisco, CA 94121
evethompson@pacbell.net
(415) 564-4255

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Mar Done (uktabion@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:55 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Mar Done
699 Mississippi St Apt 224
San Francisco, CA 94107
uktabion@yahoo.com
(781) 964-7405

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Reginald Stocking (regstocking@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:51 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Reginald Stocking
1301 Sanchez St
San Francisco, CA 94131
regstocking@yahoo.com
(415) 648-8680

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Eihway Su (esinsf@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:43 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Eihway Su
170 Parnassus Ave Apt 2
San Francisco, CA 94117
esinsf@yahoo.com
(415) 566-5412

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Lauranne Lee (lauranne.lee@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:43 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Lauranne Lee
1201 8th Ave Apt 6
San Francisco, CA 94122
lauranne.lee@gmail.com
(415) 661-9448

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Dennis Scacco (d_denden@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:34 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Dennis Scacco
PO Box 225224
San Francisco, CA 94122
d_denden@yahoo.com
(415) 505-9598

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Paul Masson (kveee@pacbell.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:26 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Paul Masson
671 14th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94118
kveee@pacbell.net
(415) 752-8841

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Adea Guldi (adeafg@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:25 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Adea Guldi
565 Ellis St Apt 12
San Francisco, CA 94109
adeafg@gmail.com
(631) 559-8425

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jeannette Godbey (jgodbey@umich.edu) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:25 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Jeannette Godbey
124B Riley Ave
San Francisco, CA 94129
jgodbey@umich.edu
(415) 771-5436

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jeff Beck (jeffbeck674@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:25 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Jeff Beck
1551 9th Ave Apt 2
San Francisco, CA 94122
jeffbeck674@hotmail.com
(415) 502-1074

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Laura Ware (lgw2hbw@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:24 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Laura Ware
479 37th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94121
lgw2hbw@yahoo.com
(415) 752-8727

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Lawrence Gibbs (larrygibbs@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:17 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Gibbs
227 Randall St
San Francisco, CA 94131
larrygibbs@mac.com
(415) 647-2111

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Kate Leiva (kateleiva@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:04 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Kate Leiva
49 Landers St
San Francisco, CA 94114
kateleiva@gmail.com
(415) 431-3992

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Mike Kappus (mikek@rosebudus.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:53 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Mike Kappus
2328 12th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94116
mikek@rosebudus.com
(415) 312-0343

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Terry Hawkins (thawkins3@outlook.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:53 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Terry Hawkins
1505 Gough St
San Francisco, CA 94109
thawkins3@outlook.com
(415) 346-4098

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Walter Crump (waltercrump@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:52 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Walter Crump
2425 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94114
waltercrump@gmail.com
(415) 225-1972

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Terry Irvin (twi63@me.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:51 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Terry Irvin
1265 Ellis St Apt B205
San Francisco, CA 94109
twi63@me.com
(415) 441-4160

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Linda Goldstone (lgoldst77@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:50 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Linda Goldstone
1291 Stanyan St
San Francisco, CA 94117
lgoldst77@gmail.com
(415) 753-9089

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Lucile Taber (lucyjudy@pacbell.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:50 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Lucile Taber
560 Rockdale Dr
San Francisco, CA 94127
lucyjudy@pacbell.net
(415) 585-3823

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: James Masi (masi.james@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:49 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

James Masi
300 Berry St Unit 602
San Francisco, CA 94158
masi.james@gmail.com
(201) 914-2890

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Nathan Vogel (doctorspook@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:49 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Nathan Vogel
49 Alpine Ter
San Francisco, CA 94117
doctorspook@hotmail.com
(415) 731-4195

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Sarah Mundal (sarah.b.mundal@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:49 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Sarah Mundal
744 Pacheco St
San Francisco, CA 94116
sarah.b.mundal@gmail.com
(206) 817-9016

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Sarah M (sarah.b.mundal@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:49 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Sarah M
744 Pacheco St
San Francisco, CA 94116
sarah.b.mundal@gmail.com
(206) 817-9016

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Aaron Goodman (amgodman@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:48 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Aaron Goodman
25 Lisbon St
San Francisco, CA 94112
amgodman@yahoo.com
(415) 786-6929

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Michael Fox (foxonfilm@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:47 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Michael Fox
1329 Sacramento St Apt 5
San Francisco, CA 94109
foxonfilm@yahoo.com
(415) 673-7424

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Marc Snyder (drdr@well.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:46 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Marc Snyder
3942 22nd St
San Francisco, CA 94114
drdr@well.com
(415) 285-9142

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Christine Brazis (cbrazis@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:46 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Christine Brazis
10 Appleton Ave
San Francisco, CA 94110
cbrazis@mac.com
(415) 621-7350

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jesse Agbayani (jesse.agbayani@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:45 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Jesse Agbayani
2748 35th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94116
jesse.agbayani@sbcglobal.net
(415) 661-2795

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Terry and Martin Horwitz (martin7ahorwitz@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message <automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:45 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Terry and Martin Horwitz
1326 23rd Ave
San Francisco, CA 94122
martin7ahorwitz@yahoo.com
(415) 504-6994

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Deborah May (maydeborah@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:39 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Deborah May
544 Congo St
San Francisco, CA 94131
maydeborah@aol.com
(415) 584-7310

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Cassandra Furlow (cfurlow523@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:39 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Cassandra Furlow
166 Williams Ave
San Francisco, CA 94124
cfurlow523@gmail.com
(218) 370-0525

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Joslyn Baxter (joslyn.baxter@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:37 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Joslyn Baxter
2001 McAllister St
San Francisco, CA 94118
joslyn.baxter@gmail.com
(415) 889-3707

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Andrea Graff (alnov@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:36 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Andrea Graff
226 30th St
San Francisco, CA 94131
alnov@aol.com
(415) 641-9056

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Mark J. Fiore (markfiore50@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:34 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Mark J. Fiore
1259 14th Ave Apt D
San Francisco, CA 94122
markfiore50@hotmail.com
(415) 756-6376

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Barbara Byrne (blabszb@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:31 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Barbara Byrne
739 31st Ave
San Francisco, CA 94121
blabszb@yahoo.com
(415) 668-8098

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Noreen Weeden (noreen@naturetrip.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:30 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Noreen Weeden
493 Vermont St
San Francisco, CA 94107
noreen@naturetrip.com
(415) 252-1039

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jackie Pomies (jbpomies@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:30 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Jackie Pomies
1271 38th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94122
jbpomies@yahoo.com
(415) 665-1234

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Olivia Donnini (olivia.donnini@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:29 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Olivia Donnini
3487 17th St
San Francisco, CA 94110
olivia.donnini@gmail.com
(857) 919-3413

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Ashley Diruggiero (andiru09@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:28 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Ashley Diruggiero
2505 Mariposa St
San Francisco, CA 94110
andiru09@gmail.com
(310) 968-5083

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Mark Jeffries (markjef@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:25 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Mark Jeffries
71 28th St
San Francisco, CA 94110
markjef@gmail.com
(415) 824-5030

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Charles Ortenberg (cortenberg@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:25 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Charles Ortenberg
301 Main St Unit 23F
San Francisco, CA 94105
cortenberg@gmail.com
(650) 464-4639

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Eliah Bornstein (eliahb@me.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:25 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Eliah Bornstein
1401 McAllister St
San Francisco, CA 94115
eliahb@me.com
(415) 336-2383

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Sue Grissom (suegrissom@att.net) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:25 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Sue Grissom
PO Box 225083
San Francisco, CA 94122
suegrissom@att.net
(415) 235-6871

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Shawna Hedley (shawnahedley@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:23 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Shawna Hedley
1330 Jones St
San Francisco, CA 94109
shawnahedley@gmail.com
(415) 833-2200

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Aurn Zimmer (azimmer@saymedia.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:21 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Aurn Zimmer
180 Townsend St
San Francisco, CA 94102
azimmer@saymedia.com
(415) 552-1554

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jessica Martin (martin23jessica@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:20 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Jessica Martin
369 10th Ave Apt 1
San Francisco, CA 94118
martin23jessica@yahoo.com
(415) 743-0474

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Alexander Jacobson (judychu72@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:20 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Alexander Jacobson
370 Arkansas St
San Francisco, CA 94107
judychu72@gmail.com
(415) 824-0224

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Brian McWilliams (ilwubrian@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:18 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Brian McWilliams
672 Moscow St
San Francisco, CA 94112
ilwubrian@yahoo.com
(415) 584-0986

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: George Heen (georgeh70@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:17 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

George Heen
369 28th St Apt 3
San Francisco, CA 94131
georgeh70@icloud.com
(415) 770-9323

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Adam Rabbino (arabbino@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:17 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Adam Rabbino
695 48th Ave Apt 4
San Francisco, CA 94121
arabbino@gmail.com
(415) 577-8966

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: David Perry (perryd1@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:15 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

David Perry
1700 Octavia St Apt 301
San Francisco, CA 94109
perryd1@mac.com
(415) 517-3854

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Sharon Steuer (ss@ssteuer.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:14 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Sharon Steuer
300 Highland Ave
San Francisco, CA 94110
ss@ssteuer.com
(555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Lynne Barnes (lynneword@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:13 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Lynne Barnes
935 Stanyan St
San Francisco, CA 94117
lynneword@hotmail.com
(415) 564-4992

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: David Sweet (dsweetsf@aol.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:10 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

David Sweet
1828 Hyde St Apt 5
San Francisco, CA 94109
dsweetsf@aol.com
(415) 441-7757

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jon Wheeler (jingriver@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:08 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Jon Wheeler
790 21st Ave
San Francisco, CA 94121
jingriver@mac.com
(415) 350-3655

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Leslie Tipton (leslietipton@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:07 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Thank you!

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Leslie Tipton
2029 48th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94116
leslietipton@hotmail.com
(415) 516-8055

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jeffrey Pekarul (jp89149@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:07 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Pekarul
180 Landers St
San Francisco, CA 94114
jp89149@gmail.com
(415) 795-1252

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: John Steponaitis (steponaj@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:05 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

John Steponaitis
910 Geary St Apt 20
San Francisco, CA 94109
steponaj@gmail.com
(999) 999-9999

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Joann Ogden (ogdenjo@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:04 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Joann Ogden
325 Bocana St
San Francisco, CA 94110
ogdenjo@yahoo.com
(415) 516-6775

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: James Bartley (eddie@naturetrip.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:04 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

James Bartley
493 Vermont St
San Francisco, CA 94107
eddie@naturetrip.com
(415) 355-0450

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Dene Larson (theowl63@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:03 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Dene Larson
600 Oak St Apt 36
San Francisco, CA 94117
theowl63@yahoo.com
(415) 424-8524

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jacqueline Steager (jysteage@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:02 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Steager
588 S Van Ness Ave Apt 1
San Francisco, CA 94110
jysteage@gmail.com
(415) 269-1582

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Lori Koon (loriekoon@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2018 10:13 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am in complete agreement with this letter. Please take action for nature!

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Lori Koon
275 Lexington St # A
San Francisco, CA 94110
loriekoon@gmail.com
(415) 824-7876

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 6:39 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

-----Original Message-----

From: Catherine Butler (catherine.butler@sierraclub.org) Sent You a Personal Message
[mailto:automail@knowwho.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 6:02 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

This really matters to me. Please do all you can to make the health of the delta a priority!

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Catherine Butler

242 Prentiss St Apt C
San Francisco, CA 94110
catherine.butler@sierraclub.org
(415) 977-5525

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 3:47 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

-----Original Message-----

From: Jamie Clark (windupbird@msn.com) Sent You a Personal Message [mailto:automail@knowwho.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:38 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

We need to do our best to protect the ecology and to address gradual sea levels rising.

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Jamie Clark
1046 Powell St

San Francisco, CA 94108
windupbird@msn.com
(415) 956-1534

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 3:48 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

-----Original Message-----

From: Geri Green (gerilynnngreen@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message [mailto:automail@knowwho.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 11:14 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please don't allow one generation to destroy this precious resource. We have the ability to save it as those that stood up to save the bay. It was not that long ago that developers wanted to fill in the Bay. Thank God for those courageous enough to stand up and stop it. You can do the same now and be tomorrow's heroes!

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Geri Green
4 Embarcadero Ctr Ste 1400
San Francisco, CA 94111
gerilynngreen@gmail.com
(415) 982-2600

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 3:48 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

-----Original Message-----

From: Carl Connell (connell_carl@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message [mailto:automail@knowwho.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:38 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

So that's where the water I saved went.

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Carl Connell
342 Capp St

San Francisco, CA 94110
connell_carl@yahoo.com
(415) 285-3971

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 3:42 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

-----Original Message-----

From: Amy Jessen (asjessen@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message [mailto:automail@knowwho.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 1:20 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your consideration of the requested action.

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Amy Jessen
2239 45th Ave.

San Francisco, CA 94116
asjessen@gmail.com
(415) 606-3304

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 3:42 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

-----Original Message-----

From: David Rouda (david@roudalawfirm.com) Sent You a Personal Message [mailto:automail@knowwho.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 2:41 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am appalled that the SFPUC does not have a more sophisticated plan for protection of the Bay Delta! You are charged with using water resources in a manner consistent with protecting the health of our State's wildlife! Dumping saved water all at once rather than over a more thoughtfully and strategically planned period is not benefitting the animals that rely on this precious resource. You don't just represent the people of San Francisco, you represent all of California when you use the State's water resources. Please do better!! Follow the Bay Delta Plan!

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

David Rouda
285 12th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94118
david@roudalawfirm.com
(415) 518-7683

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 2:10 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

-----Original Message-----

From: Rochelle Willox (rochellemwillox@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message [mailto:automail@knowwho.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:26 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Do the right thing please for ALL!!

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Rochelle Willox
4055 22nd St

San Francisco, CA 94114
rochellemwillox@gmail.com
(415) 648-4836

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 2:10 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

-----Original Message-----

From: Michele Garside (mgarside@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message [mailto:automail@knowwho.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:53 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Clean water is our most precious resource. We must do EVERYTHING we can to preserve every drop! We must hold our leaders accountable.

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Michele Garside

1814 20th St
San Francisco, CA 94107
mgarside@comcast.net
(415) 648-1244

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 2:09 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

-----Original Message-----

From: Anita Velazquez (jolivzqz@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message [mailto:automail@knowwho.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:43 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Let California be the one place on Earth that is not actively destroying its ecological heritage.

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Anita Velazquez
2237 Filbert St

San Francisco, CA 94123
jolivzqz@yahoo.com
(415) 671-5836

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 2:10 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

-----Original Message-----

From: Josie Iselin (josieiselin@lovingblind.com) Sent You a Personal Message [mailto:automail@knowwho.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 10:12 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please make a comprehensive plan that rewards conservation by upgrading wildlife refuges and estuary health. We all can live by using way less water. Thank you. Josie Iselin

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Josie Iselin

622 29th St
San Francisco, CA 94131
josieiselin@lovingblind.com
(415) 824-7482

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:52 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

-----Original Message-----

From: Lynne Eggers (elynne600@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message [mailto:automail@knowwho.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:40 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

We don't want to waste more water by having to dump it to prevent flooding. The SFPUC needs to consider other stakeholders - not just the Central Valley irrigation districts.

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Lynne Eggers

221 Mullen Ave
San Francisco, CA 94110
elynn600@sbcglobal.net
(415) 647-4490

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:46 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

-----Original Message-----

From: Timothy Gilmore (gilmore930@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message [mailto:automail@knowwho.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:55 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please stop destroying the environment for profit. You can't spend money in Hell.

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Timothy Gilmore
930 Post St Apt 14

San Francisco, CA 94109
gilmore930@comcast.net
(415) 922-5135

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:46 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

-----Original Message-----

From: Leslie Bayless (lbayless7@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message [mailto:automail@knowwho.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 9:01 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

PLEASE STOP USING "TITLES" OR OFFER OPTION OF UNDECLARED. IT IS WRONG TO AND CAUSES BIAS. YOU SHOULD KNOW THIS. THIS IS THE LAST TIME I WILL SIGN ANYTHING FOR YOU TILL YOU CHANGE!!!!!!!!!!

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Leslie Bayless

1938 Buchanan St
San Francisco, CA 94115
lbayless7@yahoo.com
(415) 776-7631

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:46 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

-----Original Message-----

From: Wilma Bass (wilwabass@sbcglobal.net) Sent You a Personal Message [mailto:automail@knowwho.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:54 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please respect and save our precious environment.

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Wilma Bass
3575 22nd St

San Francisco, CA 94114
wilmabass@sbcglobal.net
(415) 821-1682

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:45 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

-----Original Message-----

From: Tara Lemmey (tara@lensventures.com) Sent You a Personal Message [mailto:automail@knowwho.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:20 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

We can do better,

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Tara Lemmey
912 Cole St116

San Francisco, CA 94117
tara@lensventures.com
(415) 275-3425

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:46 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

-----Original Message-----

From: Steven Collins (sc89@jhu.edu) Sent You a Personal Message [mailto:automail@knowwho.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:42 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I'm a ratepayer and this is unacceptable behavior.

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Steven Collins
555 4th St Unit 712

San Francisco, CA 94107
sc89@jhu.edu
(415) 290-6766

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:46 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

-----Original Message-----

From: Vicki Leidner (vleidner@astound.net) Sent You a Personal Message [mailto:automail@knowwho.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:31 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

We know climate change is not a hoax. We face more severe droughts, extreme weather swings, and an increasing population. It is imperative to be smarter in how we protect our fragile ecosystems that provide food, water, protect from floods and fires, The more we upset the balance the worse it will be for everyone and everything involved. BE SMART NOW ! The longer we wait and/or take the wrong measures, the harder it will be to fix...or we may not be able to fix it at all. We can and must do our very best for the long-term survival of the entire region. Don't let the domino's fall.

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Vicki Leidner
770 Shotwell St
San Francisco, CA 94110
vleidner@astound.net
(415) 648-5050

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 12:46 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors
Subject: FW: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

-----Original Message-----

From: David Walton (waltondl@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message [mailto:automail@knowwho.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:26 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Releasing water appropriately is important

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

David Walton
2021 16th Ave

San Francisco, CA 94116
waltondl@yahoo.com
(415) 731-0653

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Mildred Shadel (band@shadel.com) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 8:10 AM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Help SFPUC Be a Better Steward of SF Bay Delta and Tuolumne River

Dear Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

This issue is very important to me and my family. We reside in San Francisco and Groveland, CA.
Sincerely, Mildred Darlene Shadel

The San Francisco Bay Delta Estuary is in critical decline and we have a responsibility to take care of its fragile ecosystem. I'm writing to you, as someone who has or might have oversight responsibility for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), to ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

As you know, San Francisco gets the vast majority of its water from the Tuolumne by way of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. During the recent drought, San Francisco residents like me did our best to conserve water in order to protect the environment by allowing more water to flow down the Tuolumne River to the Delta. Sadly, our efforts did not help the river at all. Instead, the water we saved accumulated behind San Francisco Public Utility Commission reservoirs. At the height of the drought, the SFPUC had enough water in storage to last three years.

Then, last year, all of the water we conserved during the drought had to be "dumped" down the Tuolumne to prevent future flooding. Instead of benefiting fish and wildlife over the five drought years when they needed it most, it was all released in one season of excessive flows. This is just one example of SFPUC's disregard for the Delta.

The Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan is a once-in-a-generation opportunity to revive the Bay-Delta and rivers that feed it, including the Tuolumne. The plan proposed by the State Water Board uses the "best possible unbiased science" to call for increased water flows. However, the SFPUC, aligned with Central Valley irrigation districts, has resisted the plan.

SFPUC's position does not reflect the sentiment of the Bay Area. In 2016, 77% of San Francisco voters were in favor of Measure AA, the San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Measure. And in 2014, the SF Board of Supervisors voted in favor of the protection of the health of the SF Bay-Delta Estuary.

I ask that you do everything in your power to make sure that the SFPUC becomes a better steward of SF Bay Delta and the Tuolumne River.

Sincerely,

Mildred Shadel
1616 Church St
San Francisco, CA 94131
band@shadel.com
(415) 550-0528

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.

160
letters
File No:
180214

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Christina Hwang <cshwang93@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:30 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Christina Hwang
1 Henry Adams St
San Francisco, CA 94103

(10)

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Brendan Fitzpatrick <brendanfitz05@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:28 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Brendan Fitzpatrick
6899 Montecito Blvd
Santa Rosa, CA 95409

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: seth lockwood <motopro133@msn.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:27 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
seth lockwood
1931 Buchanan St
San Francisco, CA 94115

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Sunhee Kim <sunheekim123@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:25 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sunhee Kim
1472 5th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94122

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Andrew Park <parkandrewd@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:24 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Andrew Park
600 Chestnut St
San Francisco, CA 94133

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Cameron Seher <seher.cameron@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:22 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Cameron Seher
2235 3rd St
San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Dmitry Lizin <usadiamond@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:21 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Dmitriy Lizin
6957 Pinhaven Rd
Oakland, CA 94611

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Caitlin Bauer <cobauer531@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:20 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Caitlin Bauer
655 5th St
San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Mays Dickey <dmaysdickey@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:19 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Mays Dickey
88 Howard St
San Francisco, CA 94105

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Micha van Eijk <micha@getroadmap.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:18 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Micha van Eijk
1430 Hudson Ave
San Francisco, CA 94124

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Scott Lydon <scottlydon18@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:17 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Scott Lydon
240 Robin Rd
Hillsborough, CA 94010

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Riccardo Spina <riccardo.spina@sap.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:16 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Riccardo Spina
3410 Hillview Ave
Palo Alto, CA 94304

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Maulik Majmudar <solace00731@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:15 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Maulik Majmudar
1050 Crestview Dr
Mountain View, CA 94040

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Johnathan Galli <jgalki0925@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:14 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Johnathan Galli
3800 Bayshore Blvd
Brisbane, CA 94005

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Nuttinee Dechakunaphong <sixsep@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:13 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Nuttinee Dechakunaphong
620 Jones St
San Francisco, CA 94102

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Hayley McGonigle <hayleyinsf@outlook.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:12 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Hayley McGonigle
435 China Basin St
San Francisco, CA 94158

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Baran Nohutcuoglu <baran@nohutcuoglu.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:10 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Baran Nohutcuoglu
229 Brannan St
San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Nathan Storey <officialnathanstorey@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:09 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Nathan Storey
531 10th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94118

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Vineet Bhatawadekar <vineetvb@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:08 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Vineet Bhatawadekar
920 Minnesota St
San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Darius Garza <idease@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:07 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Darius Garza
643 Webster St
San Francisco, CA 94117

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jared grestoni <jargman@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:06 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jared grestoni
1322 Jessie St
San Francisco, CA 94103

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Kenny Batista <kennybatista7@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:02 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Kenny Batista
1 Vista Montaña
San Jose, CA 95134

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Johnson Fung <me@johnsonfung.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:01 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Johnson Fung
355 Berry St
San Francisco, CA 94158

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Grant Paige <grantpaige@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:00 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Grant Paige
2090 Grove St
San Francisco, CA 94117

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Anthony Leanos <amghtyleanos@icloud.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 2:59 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Anthony Leanos
402 Broadway
San Francisco, CA 94133

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Keith Morehouse <kmorehouse1@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:56 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Keith Morehouse
947 Steiner St
San Francisco, CA 94117

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Katrina Martin <kmcmartin94@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:54 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Katrina Martin
231 Foothill Blvd
Oakland, CA 94606

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Philip Jia <philipjia123@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:53 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Philip Jia
690 Long Bridge Street
San Francisco, CA 94158

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Danielle Winter <daniw@bu.edu>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:51 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Danielle Winter
1817 California St
San Francisco, CA 94109

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: David Strandness <dstreandness@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:50 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike and Bird in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure that City regulations preserve the ability of LimeBike and Bird to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
David Strandness
39 Bayport Ct
San Carlos, CA 94070

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Ryan Bednar <ryanbed@live.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:49 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ryan Bednar
801 Baker St
San Francisco, CA 94115

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jordan Cassady <jordan.cassady@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:48 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jordan Cassady
4899 Willow Rd
Pleasanton, CA 94588

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Rafael Olintho <olintho@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:47 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Rafael Olintho
403 Main St
San Francisco, CA 94105

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Chantal Vismans <cvismans@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:46 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Chantal Vismans
2051 3rd St
San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: anish nahar <anish.nahar@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:45 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
anish nahar
Bayside Village Pl
San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Alejandro Orozco <alexo3055@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:44 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alejandro Orozco
609 Oakwood Ave
Vallejo, CA 94591

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Tiffany Chia <noterceci@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:43 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Tiffany Chia
456 Diller Street
Alameda, CA 94501

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Nate francid <nat97mccraine@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:42 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Nate francid
825 Sutter St
San Francisco, CA 94109

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Sam Fisher <f1543r@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:41 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sam Fisher
520 E Weddell Dr
Sunnyvale, CA 94089

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Alexandra Forster <forster.allie@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:40 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alexandra Forster
1699 7th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94122

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: christian s <christian@215mccann.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:39 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
christian s
1426 Haight St
San Francisco, CA 94117

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Andrew Ng <ayn@andrewng.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:37 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Andrew Ng
451 Kansas St
San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Lauren Wasserman <lauren.wasserman.88@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:36 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Lauren Wasserman
125 4th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94118

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Terry Whalen <terry@sumdigital.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:35 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Terry Whalen
419 17th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94121

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Rachel Lopez <blumoo32@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:34 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Rachel Lopez
683 Atherton Pl
Hayward, CA 94541

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Alex Stone <ajtrey15@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:33 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alex Stone
601 Eagle Ct
Hayward, CA 94541

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Brittany Helm <baha995@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:32 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Brittany Helm
620 Jones St
San Francisco, CA 94102

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Corey Sadd <coreysadd@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:30 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Corey Sadd
1577 Pershing Ave
San Jose, CA 95126

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Matthew talley <m.reid.talley@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:28 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Matthew talley
350 Fremont St
San Francisco, CA 94105

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Hugo Romano <hugoromano47@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:27 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Hugo Romano
325 Berry St
San Francisco, CA 94158

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jeremiah Barba <jeremiah.barba@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:26 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jeremiah Barba
895 46th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94121

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Salvatore Martinez <smartinez2016@student.hult.edu>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:25 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Salvatore Martinez
299 Fremont St
San Francisco, CA 94105

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Oscar Marengo <mrmarengo78@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:24 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Oscar Marengo
220 Pierce St
San Francisco, CA 94117

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Lori House <lori.house@ymail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:22 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Lori House
150 Florence Ct
Vallejo, CA 94589

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: David Arnold <darnold@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:21 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
David Arnold
6531 Dover St
Oakland, CA 94609

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jordan Butler <jordanbutler17@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:19 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jordan Butler
2616 Leavenworth St
San Francisco, CA 94133

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Stephanie Buglione <steph.buglione@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:20 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Stephanie Buglione
120 Hamilton Pl
Oakland, CA 94612

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Lori Ashton <lorib304304@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:18 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Lori Ashton
2001 McAllister St
San Francisco, CA 94118

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Rory Davis <rorydavis@mac.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:17 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Rory Davis
24 Willie Mays Plaza
San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Natalie Straub <natalie.straub@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:16 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Natalie Straub
38 Heather Way
Larkspur, CA 94939

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Anthony Koger <akoger23@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:14 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Anthony Koger
19 Dedman Ct
San Francisco, CA 94124

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Tyson Quick <quicktyson@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:13 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Tyson Quick
255 King St
San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Kelly Loftus <kellyloftus23@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:12 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Kelly Loftus
544 Maureen Ln
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Lonzel Brown <lloydlpz65@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:11 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Lonzel Brown
625 La Salle Ave
San Francisco, CA 94124

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Christina Marron <marronchristina@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:10 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Christina Marron
5275 3rd St
San Francisco, CA 94124

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jacob van den Berg <jacob@bkper.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:09 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jacob van den Berg
908 Broadway
San Francisco, CA 94133

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Season Hughes <seasonhughes@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:08 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Season Hughes
6399 Christie Ave
Emeryville, CA 94608

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Anthony Doran <loc1121@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:07 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Anthony Doran
3741 Aspen Ave
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Federico Gobbi <federico.gobbi92@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:06 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Federico Gobbi
1333 Powell St
Emeryville, CA 94608

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Michael Andrews <andrewsmichael0@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:05 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Michael Andrews
1055 Pine St
San Francisco, CA 94109

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: John McElvain <w1122323@live.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:04 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
John McElvain
857 Alta Loma Dr
South San Francisco, CA 94080

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Denise Armstead <denise@armstead.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:03 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Denise Armstead
1800 Main St
Saint Helena, CA 94574

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Eric Yang <ericyang@outlook.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:02 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Eric Yang
229 Brannan St
San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Maelig Morvan <maelig@chez.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:01 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Maelig Morvan
1444 48th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94122

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Christopher Davidson <cjd.davidson@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:00 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Christopher Davidson
55 9th St
San Francisco, CA 94103

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Khalid smahi <khsmahi@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:59 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Khalid smahi
2141 Geary Blvd
San Francisco, CA 94115

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Kendall Houston <kendall.houston1@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:58 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Kendall Houston
2271 Union St
San Francisco, CA 94123

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Michael Dodd <mpdodd7@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:57 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Michael Dodd
55 9th St
San Francisco, CA 94103

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Chris Hawkins <chris@hawkins.me>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:55 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Chris Hawkins
367 Randolph St
Napa, CA 94559

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Mike Varbitta <mykeyb420@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:54 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Mike Varbitta
88 Perry St
San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Kavika Tavui <ktavui@me.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:53 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Kavika Tavui
112 N Claremont St
San Mateo, CA 94401

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Genia Shestakova <genia.shestakova@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:52 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Genia Shestakova
651 Fell St
San Francisco, CA 94102

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Hamza Saleh <hamza_m93@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:51 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Hamza Saleh
2902 24th St
San Francisco, CA 94110

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Navya Gattupally <navyagattupally@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:50 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Navya Gattupally
260 King St
San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Tim Reilly <timreilly@live.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:49 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Tim Reilly
79 Delmar St
San Francisco, CA 94117

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Michael McGonigle <macinsf@outlook.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:48 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Michael McGonigle
435 China Basin St
San Francisco, CA 94158

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Leon Kitain <lkitain@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:47 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Leon Kitain
985 Church St
San Francisco, CA 94114

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Danielle Serio <serio_danielle@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:46 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Danielle Serio
3638 Lindenwood Dr
San Jose, CA 95117

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Axel Schell <achsl@achsl.de>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:45 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Axel Schell
21 Idalia Ct
San Anselmo, CA 94960

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Misha Ign <m.ign415@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:44 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Misha Ign
201 Harrison St
San Francisco, CA 94105

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Ben Davis <bdavis1992@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:43 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ben Davis
2457A Lombard St
San Francisco, CA 94123

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Mark Alexander <markalex604@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:42 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Mark Alexander
45 Bartlett St
San Francisco, CA 94110

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Matthew Sims <matt-limebike@simsweb.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:41 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,

Matthew Sims
444 Thomas Dr
Benicia, CA 94510

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Thibault Vandenhove <thibaultvdh@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:40 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Thibault Vandenhove
440 Davis Ct
San Francisco, CA 94111

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Yao Cheng <nihaoyao@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:39 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Yao Cheng
329 Bay St
San Francisco, CA 94133

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Martha Chumo <martha.c.chumo@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:38 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Martha Chumo
2 Townsend St
San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Justin Lopez <sixpaknitsuj12@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:37 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Justin Lopez
1062 Morningside Dr
Sunnyvale, CA 94087

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Elliott Brunwin <ebrunwin@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:36 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Elliott Brunwin
132 Wetmore St
San Francisco, CA 94108

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Paul Stone <pstone1111@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:35 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Paul Stone
222 Columbus Ave
San Francisco, CA 94133

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Arjun Arora <arjun.arora@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:34 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Arjun Arora
2040 Franklin St
San Francisco, CA 94109

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jon Tompkins <jon.tomp@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:33 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jon Tompkins
1469 Bellevue Ave
Burlingame, CA 94010

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Ajeenkya Bhatalkar <ajeenkyab@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:32 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ajeenkya Bhatalkar
1043 Bradford Dr
Sunnyvale, CA 94089

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Benjamin Perlman <benjamin.perlman@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:31 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Benjamin Perlman
3890 22nd St
San Francisco, CA 94114

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Selcuk Atli <selcuk.atli@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:30 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike and similar programs to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Selcuk Atli
690 Pennsylvania Ave
San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Zdravomir Staykov <zdravomirstaykov@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:29 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Zdravomir Staykov
555 Bartlett St
San Francisco, CA 94110

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: John Kuok <mrjohn90@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:28 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
John Kuok
33 8th St
San Francisco, CA 94103

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: shadi azar <shadiazar@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:27 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
shadi azar
923 Market St
San Francisco, CA 94103

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: John Pena <john.pena@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:26 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
John Pena
229 Masonic Ave
San Francisco, CA 94118

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Tyler Carlson <tytycarlson@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:25 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Tyler Carlson
1939 Shuey Ave
Walnut Creek, CA 94596

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Christina Jenkins <christina.um@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:24 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Christina Jenkins
482 Diller Street
Alameda, CA 94501

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jack Dashwood <jrdashwo@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:23 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for shared scooters in the City. They are an Environmentally friendly way to get around the community, and I'd love to see less cars and more scooters in our busy city core.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jack Dashwood
52 Sanchez St
San Francisco, CA 94114

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Nick Murillo <nicholas.murillo@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:22 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

They have changed my life and riding community in general. I feel the bright colors brings riding safety and awareness to other riders. Plus, I have personally lost 20lbs for the accessibility of these bikes. Knowing I can step out side and get exercise with little to no resistance is amazing. Please don't regulate this beautiful movement.

Thank you for your consideration,
Nick Murillo
318 Connecticut St
San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Phillippe Han <applephil@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:20 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Phillipe Han
585 Leyte Terrace
Sunnyvale, CA 94089

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Nathanael Barbettini <nathanaelb@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:19 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Nathanael Barbettini
525 Walnut St
San Carlos, CA 94070

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Alex Barra <ahb67@cornell.edu>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:18 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alex Barra
2235 3rd St
San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Ricardo Ferreira <RicardoSafe@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:17 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ricardo Ferreira
3160 Oak Rd
Walnut Creek, CA 94597

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Nate Munson <nkmunson@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:15 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Nate Munson
26620 Thackery Ln
Stevenson Ranch, CA 91381

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Rachel Williams <racheljenny.williams@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:16 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Rachel Williams
3145 Octavia St
San Francisco, CA 94123

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Sadie Simpson <sstigger11@aol.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:14 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sadie Simpson
45 Lansing St
San Francisco, CA 94105

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Ben McCormick <mccormickben@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:13 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ben McCormick
1225 Capuchino Ave
Burlingame, CA 94010

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Tony Talarico <ttalarico003@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:12 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,

Tony Talarico
1979 46th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94116

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jes Muse <jesmuse1@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:11 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,

Jes Muse

1608 Kirkwood Ave

San Francisco, CA 94124

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Alvin Ng <acn380@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:10 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Or less feces in the BART stations, take your pick.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alvin Ng
115 S Mayfair Ave
Daly City, CA 94015

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Krystina MacKenzie <kmac@mail.sfsu.edu>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:00 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Krystina MacKenzie
37 Pinehaven Dr
Daly City, CA 94015

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Amy Ellis <amyelliswinter@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:59 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Amy Ellis
15927 Ranch Hollow Rd
Poway, CA 92064

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Joe Skupinsky <jskupinsky@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:58 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Joe Skupinsky
466 14th St
San Francisco, CA 94103

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Victoria Phelps <lilparispink@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:56 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Victoria Phelps
100 S 33rd St
Richmond, CA 94804

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Yash Tamakuwala <yash_612@outlook.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:55 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Yash Tamakuwala
180 Brannan St
San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Cielo de la Paz <cielodelapaz@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:54 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

It is a good thing. :)

Thank you for your consideration,
Cielo de la Paz
27 Argus Ct
Alameda, CA 94502

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jack Campbell <jack_campbell89@msn.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:52 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jack Campbell
185 Channel St
San Francisco, CA 94158

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Colin Cahill <colincahill@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:51 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Colin Cahill
1448 Jackson St
Oakland, CA 94612

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Kalen Thompson <thompson.kalen@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:50 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Kalen Thompson
45 Lansing St
San Francisco, CA 94105

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Tim Clark <vrrrrmm@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:49 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,

Tim Clark

50 Wilson Ln

Petaluma, CA 94952

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Peter Mendeiola <pmendiola2017@student.hult.edu>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:48 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Peter Mendeiola
35 Buckeye Ct
Hillsborough, CA 94010

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Sierra Davis <Sierradavis@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:47 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sierra Davis
1750 Golden Gate Ave
San Francisco, CA 94115

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Mary Timar <timarmary@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:46 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Mary Timar
978 Arlington Blvd
El Cerrito, CA 94530

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Julia Onken <julia.kath@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:45 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Julia Onken
360 Berry St
San Francisco, CA 94158

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Bailey Fiorio <bailey.fiorio@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:44 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,

Bailey Fiorio
183 Whittier St
Daly City, CA 94014

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Justyn Calestini <justyncalestini@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:43 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Justyn Calestini
2375 Farley St
Castro Valley, CA 94546

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Rob Madelmayer <rmadelmayer@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:42 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Rob Madelmayer
1320 Lombard St
San Francisco, CA 94109

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Benjamin Tatge <btatge436@outlook.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:41 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Benjamin Tatge
1037 Mohr Ln
Concord, CA 94518

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Sunitha Nareddy <snareddy89@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:40 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Sunitha Nareddy
722 Page St
San Francisco, CA 94117

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Niels Hoven <contact@nielshoven.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:31 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am sending you this note to support LimeBike, Bird, JumpBikes, and other shared bikes and scooters in the City! More environmentally friendly transportation options mean fewer cars on the road! Please preserve the ability of these services to serve our city!

Thank you for your consideration,
Niels Hoven
351 Buena Vista Ave E
San Francisco, CA 94117

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Ben Erez <benerez333@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:26 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike and Bird in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike and Bird to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ben Erez
355 Berry St
San Francisco, CA 94158

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Geoffrey Vedernikoff <yefim323@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:11 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike and Bird in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike and Bird to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Geoffrey Vedernikoff
574 Grove St
San Francisco, CA 94102

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Ryan Kennedy <theyankennedy@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:05 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are wild and fun. Support fun things!

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ryan Kennedy
216 Filbert St
San Francisco, CA 94133

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jacinda Shelly <jacinda.shelly@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 3:03 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike, Bird and other shared scooter providers in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of shared scooters to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jacinda Shelly
160 Brannan St
San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Ian Fasel <ianfasel@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 08, 2018 2:57 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. The scooters are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community. I especially like that they do not require docking stations, so they do not remove parking spaces and there is a much greater chance I will find one close to a bus stop.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ian Fasel
911 Central Ave
San Francisco, CA 94115

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: rich j <joslin4x@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 07, 2018 5:42 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: Lime scooter approval.. NOT !

Who in the world approved these lime scooters to be littering every sidewalk in town ?!
Time to real in all these tech mobile (including uber/lyft) companies and not be giving them carte blanche in our city.

Corporations AREN'T people too.

Rich Olen 150 rose st sf

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Erik herren <marinersrule1@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:55 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

They help greatly during giants games and now the warriors coming in vs muni. I just walk anyways because muni is too slow during these times so u don't get my money anyways to use these

Thank you for your consideration,
Erik herren
430 N Civic Dr
Walnut Creek, CA 94596

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Glenn Johnson <glennage@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:52 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

That are awesome! I love them.

Thank you for your consideration,
Glenn Johnson
755 5th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94118

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Alex Van Divner <alex.vandivner@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:38 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Definitely beats taking an expensive uber/lyft, reduces the overall traffic in the city and makes getting around in the city much easier. Not to mention, it takes me back to my childhood scooter days every time I ride. I can't help, but smile when I cruise down Market St.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Alex Van Divner
26 Windsor Pl
San Francisco, CA 94133

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Justin Simonsen <justinsimonsen@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:31 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike and Byrd in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike and Byrd to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Justin Simonsen
418 8th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94118

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jonah Price <jyoonah@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:29 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for Jump, LimeBike, Bird Rides, and Scoot in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters, bikes, and other personal transportation options in the City! They are an inexpensive, environmentally friendly, healthier way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jonah Price
1645 Folsom St
San Francisco, CA 94103

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Angela Fragomeni <wolvie10@pacbell.net>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:23 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using their shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community. I ride the scooter from Caltrain to the financial district every chance I get. I can get there in 12 minutes with ZERO pollution. There aren't enough of them to go around, they are really popular among us commuters.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Angela Fragomeni
984 Sylvan Dr
San Carlos, CA 94070

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: James Lancelot <jameslancelot@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 6:15 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Please help LimeBike and all scooters succeed in SF. I personally drive less and spend more money in the city now because I can gain access to more activities!

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
James Lancelot
541 Mississippi St
San Francisco, CA 94107

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Stephen Gozza <macsound@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:56 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike and Lime Scooter in San Francisco. The shared scooters and bikes are an inexpensive, quick and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Stephen Gozza
67 Melrose Ave
San Francisco, CA 94131

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Megan Kennedy <megan.mckennedy@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 5:21 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

***Our sidewalks have been inundated with e scooters in the past 2 weeks. Honestly I was initially taken aback. Only until I rode them back and forth to work this week that I realized their significance. I usually ride in a lyft or Uber to work. That is one less car on the embarcadero during each rush hour. On my ride I counted 27 communal modes of transportation whether it be bike, ebike or escooter. If even a fraction of these people are like me and are using instead of cars, that's a profound impact.

Bird bikes require scans of drivers license and agreement of being >10 years old. I agree that there should be more screening.

Thank you for your consideration,
Megan Kennedy
216 Filbert St
San Francisco, CA 94133

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Jaime moore <jaime.advance@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:57 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The scooters are great, but we need better places to park them around the city. There is enough parking and cars are lame, can we designate a parking place per block to find them + share bikes etc.? They are a game changer for getting around the city so let's get creative on how to keep them around.

Thank you for your consideration,
Jaime moore
1656 Powell St
San Francisco, CA 94133

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)

From: Karly Behncke <behnckek3@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 4:53 PM
To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: In support of having LimeBike in San Francisco

Dear City of San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my support for LimeBike in San Francisco. I love using shared scooters in the City! They are an inexpensive and environmentally friendly way to get around the community. It has been the quickest, easiest and most affordable way to commute to work, especially during the busy tourist months.

Please ensure City regulations that preserve the ability of LimeBike to serve San Francisco.

Thank you for your consideration,
Karly Behncke
1449 E 33rd St
Oakland, CA 94602