#### BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Maggie Weiland, Acting Executive Director, Entertainment Commission

Cynthia Goldstein, Executive Director, Board of Appeals Tom Hui, Director, Department of Building Inspection

Mohammed Nuru, Director, Public Works William Scott, Police Chief, Police Department

FROM: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk, Land Use and Transportation Committee

DATE: May 8, 2018

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following proposed legislation, introduced by Mayor Farrell on May 1, 2018:

File No. 180453

Ordinance amending the Business and Tax Regulations and Planning Codes to create the Central South of Market Housing Sustainability District (encompassing an area generally bounded on its western portion by Sixth Street, on its eastern portion by Second Street, on its northern portion by the border of the Downtown Plan Area (an irregular border that generally tracks Folsom, Howard, or Stevenson Streets), and on its southern portion by Townsend Street) to provide a streamlined and ministerial approval process for certain housing projects within the District meeting specific labor, on-site affordability, and other requirements; creating an expedited Board of Appeals process for appeals of projects within the District; and making approval findings under the California Environmental Quality Act, findings of public convenience, necessity, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

If you have comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: <a href="mailto:Erica.Major@sfgov.org"><u>Erica.Major@sfgov.org</u></a>.

Referral from the Board of Supervisors Page 2 May 8, 2018

c: Crystal Stewart, Entertainment Commission
Gary Cantara, Board of Appeals
William Strawn, Department of Building Inspection
Carolyn Jayin, Department of Building Inspection
David Steinberg, Public Works
Jeremy Spitz, Public Works
Jennifer Blot, Public Works
John Thomas, Public Works
Lena Liu, Public Works
Rowena Carr, Police Department
Asja Steeves, Police Department
Sergeant Rachael Kilshaw, Police Department

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

1

[Business and Tax Regulations, Planning Codes - Central South of Market Housing Sustainability District]

Ordinance amending the Business and Tax Regulations and Planning Codes to create the Central South of Market Housing Sustainability District (encompassing an area generally bounded on its western portion by Sixth Street, on its eastern portion by Second Street, on its northern portion by the border of the Downtown Plan Area (an irregular border that generally tracks Folsom, Howard, or Stevenson Streets), and on its southern portion by Townsend Street) to provide a streamlined and ministerial approval process for certain housing projects within the District meeting specific labor, on-site affordability, and other requirements; creating an expedited Board of Appeals

process for appeals of projects within the District; and making approval findings under

the California Environmental Quality Act, findings of public convenience, necessity,

and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of consistency with the

General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.

Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font.

Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font.

Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font.

Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font.

Asterisks (\* \* \* \*) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Environmental and Planning Code Findings.

(a) On \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2018 after a duly noticed public hearing, the Planning

Commission certified the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the proposed Central

SoMa Area Plan (the Project) by Motion No. \_\_\_\_\_\_, finding the Final EIR reflects

the independent judgment and analysis of the City and County of San Francisco, is adequate, accurate and objective, and contains no significant revisions to the Draft EIR, and the content of the report and the procedures through which the Final EIR was prepared, publicized, and reviewed comply with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.), the CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Regs. Sections 15000 et seq.) and Chapter 31 of the Administrative Code. Copies of the Planning Commission Motion and Final EIR are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. \_\_\_\_\_ and are incorporated herein by reference.

- (b) The Project evaluated in the Final EIR includes proposed amendments to the Planning Code, Administrative Code, and Zoning Map, as well as amendments to the General Plan to adopt the Central South of Market ("Central SoMa") Area Plan and other related amendments. The proposed Planning Code amendments and Business and Tax Regulations Code amendments set forth in this ordinance are within the scope of the Project evaluated in the Final EIR.
- (c) At the same hearing during which the Planning Commission certified the Final EIR, the Planning Commission adopted findings under CEQA regarding the Project's environmental impacts, the disposition of mitigation measures, and project alternatives, as well as a statement of overriding considerations (CEQA Findings) and adopted a mitigation monitoring reporting program (MMRP), by Resolution No. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_.
- (d) At the same hearing, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, recommended the proposed Planning Code amendments for approval and adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance creating the Central South of Market Housing Sustainability District are consistent, on balance, with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board adopts these findings as its own.

A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No.

, and is incorporated herein by reference.

- (e) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, the Board of Supervisors finds that the Planning Code amendments and Business and Tax Regulations Code amendments will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. \_\_\_\_\_\_, and the Board incorporates such reasons herein by reference.
- (f) The Board of Supervisors has reviewed and considered the Final EIR and the environmental documents on file referred to herein. The Board of Supervisors has reviewed and considered the CEQA Findings, and hereby adopts them as its own and incorporates them by reference as though such findings were fully set forth herein.
- (g) The Board of Supervisors adopts the MMRP as a condition of this approval, and endorses those mitigation measures that are under the jurisdiction of other City Departments, and recommends for adoption those mitigation measures that are enforceable by agencies other than City agencies, all as set forth in the CEQA Findings and MMRP.
- (h) The Board of Supervisors finds that no substantial changes have occurred in the proposed Project that would require revisions in the Final EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the proposed Project is to be undertaken that would require major revisions to the Final EIR due to the involvement of new environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of effects identified in the Final EIR, and no new information of substantial importance to the proposed Project has become available that indicates that (1) the Project will have significant effects not discussed in the Final EIR, (2) significant environmental effects will be substantially more severe, (3) mitigation measures or

alternatives found not feasible that would reduce one or more significant effects have become feasible or (4) mitigation measures or alternatives that are considerably different from those in the Final EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment.

Section 2. The Business and Tax Regulations Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 8 and 26, to read as follows:

#### SEC. 8. METHOD OF APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF APPEALS.

(a) Except for variance decisions and permits issued by the Entertainment Commission or its Director, and as otherwise specified in this Section 8, appeals to the Board of Appeals shall be taken within 15 days from the making or entry of the order or decision from which the appeal is taken. Appeals of variance decisions shall be taken within 10 days.

(b) Appeals to the Board of Appeals of permit decisions made pursuant to Planning Code

Section 343 shall be taken within 10 days of the permit decision. This subsection (b) shall expire on the

Sunset Date of Planning Code Section 343, as defined in that Section. Upon the expiration of this

subsection, the City Attorney shall cause this subsection to be removed from the Business and Tax

Regulations Code.

(c) Appeals of actions taken by the Entertainment Commission or its Director on the granting, denial, amendment, suspension, or revocation of a permit, or on denial of exceptions from regulations for <u>an</u> Extended-Hours Premises Permit, shall be taken within 10 days from the making of the decision. Nothing in this Section <u>8</u> is intended to require an appeal to the Board of Appeals if any provision of Article 15, Article 15.1 (Entertainment Regulations Permit and License Provisions), or Article 15.2 (Entertainment Regulations for Extended-Hours Premises) of the Police Code governing these permits otherwise provides.

(d) Appeals shall be taken by filing a notice of appeal with the Board of Appeals and paying to said Board at such time a filing fee as follows:

# $(a\underline{\it l})$ Zoning Administrator, Planning Department, Director of Planning. and Planning Commission.

- ( $1\underline{A}$ ) For each appeal from the Zoning Administrator's variance decision, the fee shall be \$600.
- (2B) For each appeal from any order, requirement, decision, or other determination (other than a variance) made by the Zoning Administrator, the Planning Department or Commission or the Director of Planning, including an appeal from disapproval of a permit which results from such an action, the fee shall be \$600.

## (b2) Department of Building Inspection.

- (1<u>A</u>) For each appeal from a Department of Building Inspection denial, conditional approval, or granting of a residential hotel or apartment conversion permit, the fee shall be \$525.
- (2B) For each appeal from the granting or denial of a building demolition, or other permit (other than residential hotel conversion). the fee shall be \$175.
- $(3\underline{C})$  For each appeal from the imposition of a penalty only, the fee shall be \$300.

## (e3) Police Department and Entertainment Commission.

(4<u>A</u>) For each appeal from the denial or granting of a permit or license issued by the Police Department, Entertainment Commission, or the Director of the Entertainment Commission, to the owner or operator of a business, the fee shall be \$375; for each such permit or license issued to an individual employed by or working under contract to a business, the fee shall be \$150.

- (2B) For each appeal from the revocation or suspension of a permit or license by the Police Department, Entertainment Commission, or the Director of the Entertainment Commission, the fee shall be \$375 for an entity or individual.
- ( $d\underline{4}$ ) **Department of Public Works.** For each appeal from the decision of the Director of the Department of Public Works concerning street tree removal by a City agency, commission, or department, the fee shall be \$100.
  - (e5) For each appeal from any other order or decision, the fee shall be \$300.
- ( $f\underline{6}$ ) For requests for rehearing under Section 16 of this Article  $\underline{I}$ , the fee shall be \$150.
  - (g7) For requests for jurisdiction, the fee shall be \$150.
- $(h\underline{8})$  An exemption from paying the full fee specified in  $\underline{s}_{\underline{s}}$  ubsections  $\underline{(d)(1)}$   $\underline{through\ (7)(a),\ (b),\ (c),\ (d),\ (e),\ (f),\ and\ (g)}$  herein may be granted upon the filing under penalty of perjury of a declaration of indigency on the form provided and approved by the Board. All agencies of the City and County of San Francisco are exempted from these fees.

## (i9) Additional Requirements.

- (4A) Notice of appeal shall be in such form as may be provided by the rules of the Board of Appeals.
- (2B) On the filing of any appeal, the Board of Appeals shall notify in writing the department, board, commission, officer or other person from whose action the appeal is taken of such appeal. On the filing of any appeal concerning a structural addition to an existing building, the Board of Appeals shall additionally notify in writing the property owners of buildings immediately adjacent to the subject building.
- (3<u>C</u>) Except as otherwise specified in this subsection (d)(9)(C), tThe Board of Appeals shall fix the time and place of hearing, which shall be not less than 10 nor more than

45 days after the filing of said appeal, and shall act thereon not later than 60 days after such filing or a reasonable time thereafter.

(i) In the case of a permit issued by the Entertainment

Commission or its Director, the Board of Appeals shall set the hearing not less than 15 days after the filing of said appeal, shall act thereon not more than 30 days after such filing, and shall not entertain a motion for rehearing.

(ii) In the case of a decision on a permit application made pursuant to Planning Code Section 343, the Board of Appeals shall set the hearing not less than 10 days after the filing of said appeal, shall act thereon not more than 30 days after such filing, and shall not entertain a motion for rehearing. This subsection (d)(9)(C)(ii) shall expire on the Sunset Date of Planning Code Section 343, as defined in that Section. Upon the expiration of this subsection, the City Attorney shall cause this subsection to be removed from the Business and Tax Regulations Code.

"dwelling" in which "protected class members" are likely to reside (each as defined in Administrative Code Chapter 87), the Board of Appeals shall comply with the requirements of Administrative Code Chapter 87 which requires, among other things, that the Board of Appeals not base any decision regarding the development of such units on information which may be discriminatory to any member of a "protected class."

( $5\underline{E}$ ) Pending decision by the Board of Appeals, the action of such department, board, commission, officer or other person from which an appeal is taken, shall be suspended, except for: ( $\underline{i}$ ) actions of revocation or suspension of permit by the Director of Public Health when determined by the Director to be an extreme public health hazard; ( $\underline{i}\underline{i}$ ) actions by the Zoning Administrator or Director of the Department of Building Inspection stopping work under or suspending an issued permit; ( $\underline{i}\underline{i}\underline{i}$ ) actions of suspension or revocation by the Entertainment Commission or the Director of the Entertainment Commission when the

suspending or revoking authority determines that ongoing operation of the activity during the appeal to the Board of Appeals would pose a serious threat to public safety; and  $(\underline{i}\underline{v})$  actions of the Director of the Office of Cannabis awarding a Temporary Cannabis Business Permit.

### SEC. 26. FACTS TO BE CONSIDERED BY DEPARTMENTS.

(a) Subject to <u>S</u><u>s</u>ubsection (b) <u>below</u>, in the granting or denying of any permit, or the revoking or the refusing to revoke any permit, the granting or revoking power may take into consideration the effect of the proposed business or calling upon surrounding property and upon its residents, and inhabitants thereof; and in granting or denying said permit, or revoking or refusing to revoke a permit, may exercise its sound discretion as to whether said permit should be granted, transferred, denied, or revoked.

\* \* \* \*

(e) Notwithstanding subsection (a), the provisions of Planning Code Section 343 shall govern actions taken on the granting, denial, amendment, suspension, and revocation of permits regulated under that Section 343, not the standards set forth in subsection (a) of this Section 26. This subsection (e) shall become operative upon receipt of preliminary approval of Planning Code Section 343 by the California Department of Housing and Community Development under California Government Code Section 66202. This subsection shall expire by the operation of law in accordance with the provisions of Planning Code Section 343(k). Upon its expiration, the City Attorney shall cause this subsection to be removed from the Business and Tax Regulations Code.

Section 3. The Planning Code is hereby amended by adding Section 343, to read as follows:

#### SEC. 343. CENTRAL SOMA HOUSING SUSTAINABILITY DISTRICT.

(a) Purpose. This Section 343 establishes a Housing Sustainability District within the Central
SoMa Plan Area ("Central SoMa Housing Sustainability District" or "Central SoMa HSD") under
California Government Code Sections 66200 et seq. The purpose of the Central SoMa Housing
Sustainability District is to encourage the provision of on-site affordable housing in new residential
and mixed-use projects in Central SoMa by providing a streamlined, ministerial approval process for
such projects. The Central SoMa Plan anticipates that 33% of all new residential units produced
within the Plan Area will be permanently affordable to households of very low, low, or moderate
income. This Section 343 sets forth eligibility criteria, design review standards, and entitlement and
approval procedures for projects seeking approval pursuant to the requirements of the Central SoMa
Housing Sustainability District.

- (b) Geography. The Central SoMa Housing Sustainability District shall include all parcels within the Central SoMa Special Use District, which is defined in Section 249.78(b). The entirety of the Central SoMa Special Use District is an "eligible location," as that term is defined in California Government Code Section 66200(e).
- (c) Relationship to Other Planning Code Provisions. Except as otherwise provided in this Section 343, all provisions of the Planning Code, including Section 249.78, that would be applicable to projects approved pursuant to this Section 343 shall apply to such projects. In the event of a conflict between other provisions of the Planning Code and this Section, this Section shall control.
- (d) Eligibility. Projects seeking approval pursuant to this Section 343 shall meet all of the following requirements:
  - (1) The project is located in a zoning district that principally permits residential uses.
- (2) The project proposes no less than 50 dwelling units per acre, and no more than 750 dwelling units per acre.
- (3) A majority of the project's gross square footage is designated for residential uses.

  All non-residential uses must be principally permitted in the underlying zoning district and any

1	applicable special use district(s), and may not include greater than 24,999 gross square feet of office
2	space that would be subject to the annual limit on office development set forth in Sections 321 et seq.
3	(4) The project does not exceed a height of 160 feet, except that any project whose
4	principal use is housing, where all such housing is restricted for a minimum of 55 years as affordable
5	for "persons and families of low or moderate income," as defined in California Health & Safety Code
6	Section 50093, shall be deemed to satisfy this subsection (c)(4) regardless of height.
7	(5) If the project sponsor seeks a density bonus pursuant to California Government
8	Code Section 65915 et seq., the project sponsor demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Planning
9	Department that the project would not result in a significant shadow impact.
10	(6) The project is not located on a lot containing a structure listed as a designated
11	landmark pursuant to Article 10 of the Planning Code or a contributory or significant structure
12	pursuant to Article 11 of the Planning Code.
13	(7) The project provides no less than 10% of its dwelling units as units affordable to
14	very low or low income families, using one of the following methods:
15	(A) For projects subject to Section 415, by electing to comply with Section 415
16	by choosing the On-Site Affordable Housing Alternative under Sections $415.5(g)(1)(A)$ or
17	415.5(g)(1)(D); or
18	(B) For projects not subject to Section 415, by entering into a regulatory
19	agreement with the City that contains the terms specified in Section 206.6(f).
20	(8) The project does not demolish, remove, or convert to another use any existing
21	<u>dwelling unit(s).</u>
22	(9) The project complies with all applicable zoning and any adopted design review
23	<u>standards.</u>
24	
25	

1	(ii) the project sponsor will implement any and all Mitigation Measures
2	in the Central SoMa EIR that the Planning Department determines are applicable to the project,
3	including but not limited to the following:
4	a. An agreement to implement any and all Mitigation Measures
5	in the Central SoMa EIR that the Planning Department determines are applicable to the project; and
6	b. Scope(s) of work for any studies required as part of any and all
7	Mitigation Measures in the Central SoMa EIR that the Planning Department determines are applicable
8	to the project. An application pursuant to this Section 343 shall not be deemed complete until such
9	studies are completed to the satisfaction of the Environmental Review Officer.
10	(iii) the project sponsor will comply with subsections (d)(10) and (d)(11)
11	of this Section 343.
12	(g) <b>Decision and Hearing.</b> The Department shall exercise ministerial approval of projects that
13	meet all the requirements in this Section 343. Section 329 of this Code shall not apply to projects that
14	are approved pursuant to this Section 343.
15	(1) Hearing. The Planning Department shall conduct an informational public hearing
16	for all projects that are subject to this Section 343 within 100 days of receipt of a complete application,
17	as defined in subsection (f).
18	(2) <b>Decision.</b> Within 120 days of receipt of a complete application, as defined in
19	subsection (f), the Planning Director or the Director's designee shall issue a written decision
20	approving, disapproving, or approving subject to conditions, the project. The applicant and the
21	Department may mutually agree to extend this 120-day period. If no written decision is issued within
22	120 days of the Department's receipt of a complete application, or within the period mutually agreed
23	upon by the Department and applicant, the project shall be deemed approved. The Planning Director
24	or the Director's designee shall include any certifications required by California Government Code
25	Section 66205(e) in a copy of the written decision.

Building Inspection within 36 months of the Department's issuance of a written decision pursuant to subsection (g)(2) of this Section 343. If the project sponsor has not obtained the first site or building permit from the Department of Building Inspection within 36 months, then as soon as is feasible after 36 months has elapsed, the Planning Director shall hold a hearing requiring the project sponsor to report on the status of the project, to determine whether the project sponsor has demonstrated good faith in its effort to obtain the first site or building permit for the project. If the Planning Director finds that the project sponsor has not demonstrated good faith in its efforts to obtain the first site or building permit for the project, the Planning Director shall revoke the approvals for the project. Factors in determining whether the project sponsor has demonstrated good faith in its efforts include, but are not limited to, whether any delays are the result of conditions outside the control of the project sponsor and whether changes in the financing of the project are necessary in order for construction to proceed.

- (h) Design Review Standards. Projects subject to this Section 343 shall be reviewed for compliance with the design standards set forth in the San Francisco Urban Design Guidelines and the Central SoMa Plan's Guide to Urban Design, which are on file with the Planning Department, as approved by the California Department of Housing and Community Development.
- (i) District Affordability Requirement. At the request of the California Department of Housing and Community Development, the Planning Department shall demonstrate that at least 20% of the residential units constructed in the Central SoMa Housing Sustainability District during the life of the District and pursuant to this Section 343 will be affordable to very low, low-, and moderate-income households and subject to a recorded affordability restriction for at least 55 years.
- (j) Monitoring and Enforcement. The Planning Department shall include, as conditions of approval of all projects approved pursuant to this Section 343, monitoring and enforcement provisions to ensure that the project meets all labor and wage requirements and complies with all identified applicable mitigation measures. Projects found to be in violation of any of these conditions shall be subject to the Administrative Enforcement Procedures in Section 176.1 of this Code, including

1	initiation of abatement proceedings or referral to the City Attorney or District Attorney for prosecution,
2	if not corrected within 90 days of service of any notice of violation issued under Section 176.1(c).
3	Conditions of approval shall include, but are not limited to:
4	(1) A project sponsor shall submit weekly reports to the Office of Labor Standards
5	Enforcement, certifying that a project approved pursuant to this Section 343 is complying with
6	subsections (d)(11) and (d)(12), if applicable to the project. Projects found to be in violation of
7	subsections (d)(11) and (d)(12) shall be subject to penalties pursuant to Section 1741 of the Labor
8	Code, in addition to any penalties assessed pursuant to Section 176.1 of this Code. All penalties shall
9	be paid prior to issuance of the project's First Certificate of Occupancy.
10	(2) The Planning Department shall monitor compliance with Central SoMa EIR
11	Mitigation Measures.
12	(3) The Planning Department shall monitor and report the construction of affordable
13	housing units under the Central SoMa Housing Sustainability District in its annual Housing Inventory,
14	which shall include the following information:
15	(A) Number of projects approved pursuant to this Section 343.
16	(B) Number of projects under construction pursuant to approvals obtained
17	under this Section 343.
18	(C) Number of projects completed pursuant to approvals obtained under this
19	Section 343.
20	(D) Number of dwelling units within projects completed pursuant to approvals
21	obtained under this Section 343.
22	(E) Number of dwelling units affordable to very low, low, moderate, and middle
23	income households within projects completed pursuant to approvals obtained under this Section 343.
24	(k) Operative and Sunset Dates.
25	

(1) This Section 343 shall become operative upon receipt of preliminary approval by the California Department of Housing and Community Development under California Government Code Section 66202 ("Operative Date").

(2) This Section 343 shall expire by operation of law seven years from the Operative

Date, unless this Section 343 is renewed by ordinance pursuant to Government Code Section 66201(g),
in which case this Section 343 shall expire on the date specified in that ordinance ("Sunset Date").

(3) Upon the expiration of this Section 343, the City Attorney shall cause this Section 343 to be removed from the Planning Code. Pursuant to Government Code Section 66205(b), this Section 343 shall govern the processing and review of any complete application submitted pursuant to this Section 343 prior to the Sunset Date.

Section 4. Effective Date; Operative Date.

- (a) This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.
- (b) Consistent with Section 343(k)(1) of the Planning Code, this ordinance in its entirety shall become operative upon receipt of preliminary approval by the California Department of Housing and Community Development under California Government Code Section 66202.

Section 5. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment

additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:

PETER R. MILJANICH **Deputy City Attorney** 

n:\legana\as2018\1200444\01272339.docx

### **LEGISLATIVE DIGEST**

[Business and Tax Regulations, Planning Codes - Central South of Market Housing Sustainability District]

Ordinance amending the Business and Tax Regulations and Planning Codes to create the Central South of Market Housing Sustainability District (encompassing an area generally bounded on its western portion by Sixth Street, on its eastern portion by Second Street, on its northern portion by the border of the Downtown Plan Area (an irregular border that generally tracks Folsom, Howard, or Stevenson Streets), and on its southern portion by Townsend Street) to provide a streamlined and ministerial approval process for certain housing projects within the District meeting specific labor, on-site affordability, and other requirements; creating an expedited Board of Appeals process for appeals of projects within the District; and making approval findings under the California Environmental Quality Act, findings of public convenience, necessity, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

**Existing Law** 

#### 1. City law.

The Planning Code regulates development in the City, including residential and mixed-use developments. Article 3 of the Planning Code sets forth zoning procedures, including procedures for the City's consideration and approval of certain development permit applications.

Section 8 of the Business and Tax Regulations Code sets forth requirements for the appeal of permit decisions to the Board of Appeals, including the timeline for filing such appeals. Section 26 of the Business and Tax Regulations Code permits City departments to exercise discretion as to whether permits should be granted, transferred, denied, or revoked.

#### 2. New State law.

Assembly Bill 73 (AB 73), California Government Code Sections 66200 et seq., authorizes local agencies to establish by ordinance one or more Housing Sustainability Districts (HSD) to provide a streamlined, ministerial approval process for residential and mixed use developments meeting certain requirements. Local agencies must obtain approval of any HSD ordinance from the California Department of Housing and Community Development.

AB 73 requires local agencies to prepare an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) to identify and mitigate the environmental impacts of the HSD designation. Projects approved under an HSD ordinance must implement applicable mitigation measures identified in the EIR.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Page 1

An HSD ordinance must require that at least 20 percent of the residential units constructed within an HSD be affordable to very low, low-, and moderate-income households and subject to a recorded affordability restriction for at least 55 years.

Among other requirements, at least 10 percent of the units in any development project seeking approval under an HSD ordinance must be affordable for lower income households, unless the local agency has adopted a requirement that a greater percentage of the units be affordable for lower income households. Subject to certain exceptions, project sponsors must commit to complying with certain prevailing wage and skilled and trained workforce requirements when constructing a project approved under an HSD ordinance.

AB 73 authorizes local agencies to adopt design review standards applicable to development projects within the HSD.

If a development project meets the requirements of an HSD ordinance, the local agency must hold a hearing and issue a written decision on the project within 120 days of receipt of an application, and must exercise ministerial approval of the project. AB 73 limits the grounds on which a local agency may deny an application for approval under the HSD ordinance.

A local agency that establishes an HSD approved by the California Department of Housing and Community Development will be entitled to a zoning incentive payment, based on the number of new residential units constructed within the HSD, upon appropriation of funds by the California Legislature for that purpose.

#### Amendments to Current Law

The ordinance would add new Planning Code Section 343 to establish the Central SoMa Housing Sustainability District (Central SoMa HSD). The Central SoMa HSD would include all parcels within the proposed Central SoMa Special Use District.

The ordinance would create a streamlined, ministerial approval process for residential and mixed use developments meeting the requirements of AB 73 and other eligibility criteria. The ordinance would provide that the Planning Department, the Planning Commission, and the Board of Appeals will not exercise discretionary review of projects seeking approval under the Central SoMa HSD.

The ordinance would require the Planning Department to hold an informational hearing and issue a written decision on the project within 120 days of receiving a complete application.

The ordinance would require the project sponsor of any project approved under the Central SoMa HSD to obtain the first site or building permit for the project from the Department of Building Inspection within 36 months of the Planning Department's issuance of a written decision on the project. The ordinance would create a process for revocation of the Planning Department's approval.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Page 2

The ordinance would require the Planning Department to review projects seeking approval under the Central SoMa HSD for compliance with the design standards set forth in the San Francisco Urban Design Guidelines and the Central SoMa Plan's Guide to Urban Design.

The ordinance would require the Planning Department to monitor and enforce compliance with the requirements of the Central SoMA HSD ordinance.

The ordinance would amend Section 8 of the Business and Tax Regulations Code to expedite appeals to the Board of Appeals of permit decisions made under the Central SoMa HSD, as follows: such appeals must be taken within 10 days of the permit decision; the Board of Appeals must set the hearing no less than 10 days after the filing of the appeal; and the Board of Appeals must act on the appeal not more than 30 days after the filing, and shall not entertain a motion for rehearing.

The ordinance would amend Section 26 of the Business and Tax Regulations Code to provide that the Central SoMa HSD's ministerial approval process in Planning Code Section 343 will govern permit decisions.

The ordinance would become operative upon receipt of preliminary approval by the California Department of Housing and Community Development. The ordinance would expire by operation of law seven years after its operative date, unless renewed.

n:\legana\as2018\1200444\01272344.docx