

RECEIVED BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SAN FRANCISCO

2010 JUN 25 PM 12: 19

3	Y	AK

June 25, 2018

Dear Members of the Budget & Finance Committee;

San Francisco is experiencing a homelessness and housing crisis. With the failure of Proposition D earlier this month a proposed \$40 Million investment in homelessness and housing was left unfunded, jeopardizing critical investments and new programs focused on reducing and preventing homelessness. Additionally, in this past election San Francisco voters passed Proposition F calling for legal services to support tenants facing eviction in our city, but no funding source was identified in that measure. In partnership with the Mayor's Budget Office, we are pleased to jointly present a plan to fund these critical housing intervention and homeless prevention services in the upcoming two-year budget with three newly available sources.

Proposition D was expected to generate revenues of \$32 million in FY 2018-19 and \$64 million in FY 2019-20; of which \$13.4 million and \$27.2 million would have been allocated to the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH). The remainder of the programmatic funds would have been allocated to the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD).

This plan draws on new resources included in the State's proposed budget, currently under the Governor's consideration, to support housing and homeless services from the State of California, coupled with newly identified recent reduction in retiree health costs approved by the Health Service System Board on June 15, 2018. With these sources, we are able to expand, fortify, and enhance services to prevent displacement, provide exits from street homeless, and add permanent housing units. Additionally, this rebalancing proposal includes an additional new investment which will provide funding for full scope legal services and representation to tenants facing eviction in our city.

This proposed rebalancing plan includes: 1) financial support in FY 2019-20 for the on-going homelessness programs previously funded in FY 2018-19; 2) new homeless programs in the FY 2018-19 budget which were contingent on Proposition D revenues which are no longer available (rapid rehousing, TAY Navigation Center, and flexible housing subsidy pool); and 3) significantly increases and expands legal assistance funding for tenants facing eviction through MOHCD. Details on the program expansions and funding sources are available below.

We look forward to continuing to work together with the Budget and Finance Committee and the full Board of Supervisors to finalize the FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 budget and ensure these critical programs and investments are funded.

London Breed

Jondon Broad

President, Board of Supervisors

Mayor-Elect

Malia Cohen

Chair, Budget and Finance Committee

Malia Cohen

Rebalancing Plan: Allocated Uses and Identified Sources

·	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20
,	(\$ millions)	(\$ millions)
USES		
Extend Critical Programs (Housing, Navigation Centers, Prevention)		(13.4)
Rapid Rehousing - Adult & TAY	(5.0)	(6.0)
TAY Navigation Center	(1.0)	(3.4)
Capital for Replacement Shelter/TAY Nav Center Capital	(4.7)	
Flex Housing Subsidy Pool	(2.0)	(3.0)
Eviction Legal Representation for Tenants (MOHCD)	(1.4)	(3.4)
Total Uses	(14.1)	(29.2)
SOURCES*		
State Emergency Homelessness Funds - Onetime	10.7	11.5
State Emergency Solutions Grant - On-going	2.0	3.0
General Fund - Retiree Health Savings	1.4	14.7
Total Sources	14.1	29.2
Surplus/(Gap)	0.0	0.0

^{*}Adjustments to fund balance have been made to match sources to uses in compliance with the State grant funding requirements and timelines.

Expand and Continue Critical Homeless Services

The City's homelessness crisis, and the conditions that exist on streets every day are not acceptable. We cannot continue to allow people to live in tents or doorways, nor endure illness and addiction alone on our streets. These investments will help provide meaningful change for those struggling with homelessness.

This rebalancing plan will ensure critical programs which had been dependent on Proposition D's revenue, beginning in FY 2018-19 will move forward, and the programs initiated in the first year of the budget will receive continued support in the second year of the budget. These are shared priorities for critical service expenditures including expanded navigation and shelter capacity, permanent exits from homelessness, and interventions that target families and youth experiencing homelessness.

- Extending Critical Homeless Services Programs: This plan provides funding in FY 2019-20 for new and continued programming including:
 - o Doubling Homeward Bound to expand capacity to reunite persons experiencing homelessness with families or loved ones.
 - o New units of permanent supportive housing in newly constructed affordable housing and continued support for master leased units.
 - o Enhanced services in existing permanent supportive housing to better meet the acute needs of residents.
 - o Operations of four navigation centers opening in the next year, including one serving women who are pregnant.
 - Expansion of shelter capacity and funding to replace closing shelters.

- Rapid Rehousing: Expanding the rapid rehousing voucher program for adults and TAYa program provides a declining rent subsidy and income stabilization supports so that clients can find and maintain housing.
- Navigation Centers: Funding a new Navigation Center tailored for the needs of transitional aged youth (TAY) and one-time capital for the replacement of existing shelters or construction of the new TAY Navigation Center.
- **New Housing Exits:** Funding the Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool to create new permanent exits from homelessness

Funding for Eviction Legal Representation for Tenants

No tenant should face eviction alone in San Francisco. The voters of San Francisco agree, and approved Proposition F on June 5, 2018. However, this measure did not include any identified funding. Keeping San Franciscans in their homes is one of the most cost effective ways to prevent homelessness and help residents maintain access to affordable housing. We know that tenants who have legal support through the eviction process are much more likely to maintain their housing.

This rebalancing plan includes a ramp up to \$3.4 million in additional annual funding to support free legal counsel for tenants facing eviction. This amount builds off of the \$2 million in existing full scope legal representation currently available and anticipates the \$0.5 million the Board of Supervisors has indicated it will be allocating to this program area. This additional funding will allow the City to provide full scope legal representation to tenants facing eviction beginning January 1, 2019. This funding level assumes that a majority of tenants facing eviction will take advantage of the services available to them and that legal service providers will focus on households earning 120% of the Area Median Income and below.

New Revenue Sources

After the introduction of the June 1 Proposed Budget, three funding sources have become available.

The first is a reduction in retiree health costs per the rates approved by the Health Service System Board at their June 15, 2018 meeting. These final approved rates are lower than the assumed rates included in the proposed budget and will generate \$16.1 million in General Fund savings over the two-year budget to be allocated to this plan.

The State's proposed budget, currently under the Governor's consideration, includes additional support for housing and homeless services. It creates the Homeless Emergency Aid Program to provide one-time grants to address homelessness, and augments the existing Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) to provide on-going funding for housing and homeless services. The anticipated one-time allocation to San Francisco is \$27.7 million and must be fully encumbered by June 2021. This rebalancing plan assumes the utilization of \$22.2 million in FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20, with a final allocation of \$5.5 million to continue programming in FY 2020-21. This rebalancing plan assumes \$2 million growing to \$3 million of the on-going support through the ESG program.

y college expansion ter school time and summertime ogramming pporting early childhood ucation programming ill and capacity building litural District Staffing central ordinator exible Housing Subsidy Pool iction prevention -Legal Defense rrier Removal e-Trial Diversion eighborhood Clean and Green	Description Summertime expansion Bridge funding to Prop C spending TAY / Family Subsidies	\$ 1, \$ 5 \$ 2,0 \$ 5 \$ 1 \$ 7	500,000 000,000 500,000 175,000 700,000	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$		Total \$1,200,000 \$500,000 \$2,000,000 \$500,000 \$175,000	One-time?		\$ 1,200,000 \$ 500,000 \$ - \$ 500,000	non-GFS	Total \$1,200,000 \$500,000 \$0 \$500,000	One-time?	\$ 2,400,000 \$ 1,000,000 \$ 2,000,000 \$ 1,000,000	\$ -	\$ 2,40 \$ 1,00 \$ 2,00 \$ 1,00
ter school time and summertime ogramming pporting early childhood ucation programming ill and capacity building entral ordinator exible Housing Subsidy Pool iction prevention -Legal Defense errier Removal e-Trial Diversion eighborhood Clean and Green	Bridge funding to Prop C spending	\$ 5 \$ 2,0 \$ 5 \$ 1 \$ 7	500,000 000,000 500,000 175,000 700,000	\$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$500,000 \$2,000,000 \$500,000			\$ 500,000 \$ - \$ 500,000	\$ -	\$500,000		\$ 1,000,000	\$ -	\$ 1,00
pporting early childhood ucation programming ill and capacity building ltural District Staffing central ordinator exible Housing Subsidy Pool iction prevention -Legal Defense rrier Removal e-Trial Diversion eighborhood Clean and Green	Bridge funding to Prop C spending	\$ 2,0 \$ 5 \$ 1 \$ 7 \$ 5	000,000 500,000 175,000 700,000	\$ \$	-	\$2,000,000			\$ -		\$0		\$ 2,000,000	\$ -	\$ 2,0
ill and capacity building ill and capacity building iltural District Staffing central ordinator exible Housing Subsidy Pool iction prevention -Legal Defense rrier Removal e-Trial Diversion eighborhood Clean and Green	to Prop C spending	\$ 5 \$ 1 \$ 7 \$ 5	500,000 175,000 700,000	\$		\$500,000			\$ 500,000						
Itural District Staffing central ordinator exible Housing Subsidy Pool iction prevention -Legal Defense rrier Removal e-Trial Diversion eighborhood Clean and Green		\$ 1 \$ 7 \$ 5	175,000 700,000	\$							\$500,000		\$ 1,000,000	\$ -	\$ 1,0
exible Housing Subsidy Pool iction prevention -Legal Defense rrier Removal e-Trial Diversion eighborhood Clean and Green		\$ 7	700,000	· .	-	\$175,000									1
iction prevention -Legal Defense rrier Removal e-Trial Diversion eighborhood Clean and Green		\$ 5		\$					\$ 175,000	\$ -	\$175,000		\$ 350,000	\$ -	\$ 3
rrier Removal e-Trial Diversion eighborhood Clean and Green		1	500 000		- 1	\$700,000			\$ 700,000		\$700,000		\$ 1,400,000	\$ -	\$ 1,4
e-Trial Diversion eighborhood Clean and Green				\$	-	\$500,000			\$ 500,000		\$500,000		\$ 1,000,000		\$ 1,0
eighborhood Clean and Green		\$ 2	200,000	\$	-	\$200,000			\$ 200,000		\$200,000		\$ 400,000		\$ 4
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			515,000	\$	-	\$515,000			\$ 515,000		\$515,000		\$ 1,030,000	\$ -	\$ 1,0
		\$ 2	255,578	\$	-	\$255,578			\$ 255,578		\$255,578		\$ 511,156		\$ 5
stop expansion		\$ 1	100,000	\$	-	\$100,000			\$ 100,000		\$100,000		\$ 200,000	\$ -	\$ 2
xual Assault response and evention	Policy and mediation manager	\$ 1	168,000	\$	-	\$168,000			\$ 168,000		\$168,000		\$ 336,000	\$ -	\$ 3
ild Abuse Prevention		\$ 2	250,000	\$	-	\$250,000			\$ 250,000		\$250,000		\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ 5
ental health services for homeles ults and families	SS	\$ 1	100,000	\$	-	\$100,000			\$ 100,000		\$100,000		\$ 200,000		\$ 2
an White CARE Act Grant		\$ 3	333,000	\$	-	\$333,000			\$ 333,000		\$333,000		\$ 666,000	\$ -	\$ 6
ts Programming		\$ 2	200,000	\$	-	\$200,000			\$ 200,000		\$200,000		\$ 400,000	\$ -	\$ 4
Home Grocery delivery		\$ 6	683,000	\$	-	\$683,000			\$ 683,000		\$683,000		\$ 1,366,000	\$ -	\$ 1,3
ealth care and Activity ogramming				\$	-	\$500,000			\$ 500,000		\$500,000		\$ 1,000,000	\$ -	\$ 1,0
oting Registry education outreach	h	\$ 3	375,000	\$	-	\$375,000	х		\$ -		\$0		\$ 375,000	\$ -	\$ 3
GTQ Service programming		\$ 2	200,000	\$	-	\$200,000			\$ 200,000		\$200,000		\$ 400,000	\$ -	\$ 4
ansgender Violence Prevention pacity Building				\$	-	\$200,000			\$ 200,000		\$200,000		\$ 400,000		\$ 4
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$	-	\$	-	\$0	x		\$ 2,000,000		\$2,000,000		\$ 2,000,000	\$ -	\$ 2,0
gible for State matching funds		\$ 8,0	000,000	\$	-	\$8,000,000	x		\$ 3,000,000		\$3,000,000	х	\$ 11,000,000	\$ -	\$ 11,0
ansg	gender Violence Prevention ity Building	ender Violence Prevention ity Building e for State matching funds	sender Violence Prevention sty Building \$ for State matching funds \$	gender Violence Prevention \$ 200,000 ty Building \$ -	sender Violence Prevention \$ 200,000 \$ ity Building \$ - \$	sender Violence Prevention \$ 200,000 \$ - ity Building \$ - \$ - \$ -	sender Violence Prevention sty Building \$ 200,000 \$ - \$200,000 \$ - \$0 \$ For State matching funds \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	sender Violence Prevention sty Building \$ 200,000 \$ - \$200,000 \$ constant of the sender Violence Prevention sty Building \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 0 x	sender Violence Prevention sty Building \$ 200,000 \$ - \$200,000 \$ \$ 200	\$ 200,000 \$ - \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000	\$ 200,000 \$ - \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 2,000,000 \$	\$200,000 \$200,000 \$200,000 \$200,000 \$200,000 \$200,000 \$200,000 \$200,000 \$2,000	\$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 2,000,000 \$	\$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 40	\$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 40