

File No. 180747

Committee Item No. 3

Board Item No. 46

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Rules Committee

Date July 25, 2018

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date July 31, 2018

Cmte Board

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Motion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Resolution |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Ordinance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Legislative Digest |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Budget and Legislative Analyst Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Youth Commission Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Introduction Form |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Grant Information Form |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Grant Budget |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Subcontract Budget |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Contract/Agreement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Form 126 - Ethics Commission |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Award Letter |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Application |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Form 700 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Vacancy Notice |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Information Sheet |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Public Correspondence |

OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

Completed by: Victor Young Date July 19, 2018

Completed by: _____ Date _____

[Mayoral Reappointment, Planning Commission - Rodney Fong]

Motion approving the mayoral nomination of Rodney Fong to the Planning Commission, for a term ending June 30, 2022.

WHEREAS, Pursuant to Charter, Section 4.105, the Mayor has submitted a communication notifying the Board of Supervisors of the nomination of Rodney Fong to the Planning Commission, received by the Clerk of the Board on June 29, 2018; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors, by Motion No. M02-80 established a process to review the Mayor's nominations to the Planning Commission; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the Mayor's nomination of Rodney Fong for appointment to the Planning Commission, for a four-year term ending June 30, 2022.

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



MARK E. FARRELL
MAYOR

June 19, 2018

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

Pursuant to Charter Section 4.105, I hereby make the following nominations for reappointment to the San Francisco Planning Commission:

Rodney Fong for a term ending June 30, 2022

Milicent Johnson for a term ending June 30, 2022

I am confident that Mr. Fong and Ms. Johnson, both electors of the City and County of San Francisco, will continue to serve our community well. Attached are their qualifications to serve, which will demonstrate how these reappointments represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

I am pleased to advise you of these nominations and encourage the support of the Board of Supervisors to confirm their reappointments.

Should you have any questions related to these reappointments, please do not hesitate to contact my Deputy Chief of Staff, Francis Tsang, at 415-554-6467.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mark E. Farrell".

Mark E. Farrell
Mayor

RODNEY A. FONG

Rodney Fong is a native San Franciscan and was a third generation operator/owner of the Wax Museum at Fisherman's Wharf. He is the President of Fong Real Estate Company, overseeing the 100,000 square foot Wax Museum Entertainment Complex in the heart of Fisherman's Wharf. He is Director of the Fong Family Foundation, a philanthropic organization which benefits many worthwhile social services in San Francisco.

Rodney has been active and held office in a wide variety of civic, tourist and travel related organizations including the Fisherman's Wharf Community Benefit District (in which he was a founding member), Fisherman's Wharf Merchant's Association, The Bay Institute, Angel Island Immigration Station Foundation, SF Travel Association and CA Travel Industry Association.


Rodney has served for over four years on the San Francisco Port Commission, and voted its President in 2009.

Since 2010, Rodney has served on the San Francisco Planning Commission, including as President from 2012-2013 and 2015-2016 and Vice President in 2014. Currently, he serves as one of its seven Commissioners.

In 2012, as part of the Asian Pacific American Heritage Month, Rodney was honored by the City & County of San Francisco Board of Supervisors.

In 2013, negotiated a multi-year business and real estate lease transaction with Merlin Entertainments, the 2nd largest entertainment attraction in the world. Merlin invested \$35 million to develop the world famous Madame Tussauds Wax Attraction and SF Dungeon at the Wax Museum Entertainment Complex in Fisherman's Wharf.

In June of 2013, the Fisherman's Wharf Jefferson Street Public Realm Plan completed its phase one efforts with a ribbon cutting ceremony attended by Mayor Edwin Lee. This project started in 2005, with Rodney's leadership and coordination of over two hundred stakeholders in a community-driven private public partnership. Today, tens of millions of visitors to Fisherman's Wharf can enjoy these newly improved streets, which create a pedestrian and bicycle friendly area for all to enjoy.



STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS COVER PAGE

Date Initial Filing Received Official Use Only

E-Filed 03/19/2018 15:47:08 Filing ID: 169932500

Please type or print in ink.

NAME OF FILER (LAST) (FIRST) (MIDDLE) Fong, Rodney

1. Office, Agency, or Court

Agency Name (Do not use acronyms) City and County of San Francisco Division, Board, Department, District, if applicable Your Position Planning Commission Commissioner

2. Jurisdiction of Office (Check at least one box)

State Multi-County City of San Francisco Judge or Court Commissioner (Statewide Jurisdiction) County of San Francisco Other

3. Type of Statement (Check at least one box)

Annual: The period covered is January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017 Leaving Office: Date Left (Check one) The period covered is January 1, 2017, through the date of leaving office.

4. Schedule Summary (must complete) Total number of pages including this cover page: 16 Schedules attached Schedule A-1 - Investments - schedule attached Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions - schedule attached

5. Verification

MAILING ADDRESS STREET CITY STATE ZIP CODE San Francisco CA 94103-2414 DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER E-MAIL ADDRESS

I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date Signed 03/19/2018 Signature Rodney Fong (month, day, year) (File the originally signed statement with your filing official.)

SCHEDULE A-1 Investments Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests (Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%) Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

CALIFORNIA FORM 700	
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION	
Name	
<u>Fong, Rodney</u>	

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
Amgen, Inc.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Biology Research for Innovative Human Therapeutics

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____ (Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
Cisco Systems, Inc.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Networking & Communications

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____ (Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
Coca Cola Company

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Carbonated Soft Drinks

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____ (Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
Intel Corporation

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Computer Technology

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____ (Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
Johnson & Johnson

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Pharmaceuticals

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____ (Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
Novartis AG

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Healthcare Products

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____ (Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE A-1 Investments

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests
(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%)
Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

CALIFORNIA FORM 700
<small>FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION</small>
Name <u>Fong, Rodney</u>

▶ **NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY**
Pepsico Inc.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Carbonated Soft Drinks

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ **NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY**
Procter & Gamble Company

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Household Products Manufacturer

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ **NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY**
Unilever PLC

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Food & Personal Care Products Manufacturer

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ **NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY**
VF Corporation

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Branded Apparel

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ **NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY**
iShares ET China Large Cap

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Financial Investments

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other Mutual Funds
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ **NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY**
Capital World Growth & Income Fund

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Financial Investments

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other Mutual Funds
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE A-1 Investments

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests (Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%)

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

CALIFORNIA FORM 700
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name _____

Fong, Rodney _____

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
Europacific Growth Fund

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Financial Investments

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other Mutual Funds
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
Pfizer, Inc.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Pharmaceutical

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
Apple, Inc.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Phones and Computers

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
SPDR S&P 500 Growth ETF

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Financial Investments

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other Mutual Funds
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
Dow Du Pont, Inc.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Chemical Manufacturer

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
Home Depot, Inc.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Home Improvement Sales

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE A-1 Investments

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests
(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%)
Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name _____

Fong, Rodney _____

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
Microsoft Corp.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Computer & Software Technology

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____ (Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____ _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
JP Morgan Chase & Company

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Banking & Finance

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____ (Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____ _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
3M Company

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Innovative Products Manufacturer

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____ (Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____ _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
T Rowe Price Small Cap

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Financial Investments

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other Mutual Funds (Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____ _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
Boeing

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Aircraft Manufacturing Company

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____ (Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____ _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
Amazon.Com

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Electronic Commerce Company

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____ (Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____ _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE A-1

Investments

Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests

(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%)

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

CALIFORNIA FORM 700
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name

Fong, Rodney

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY
2001 Broadway, LLC

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS
Real Estate Leasing

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other Less Than 10% Share in LLC
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____ _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____ _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____ _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____ _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____ _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

▶ NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE
 \$2,000 - \$10,000 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Stock Other _____
(Describe)
 Partnership Income Received of \$0 - \$499
 Income Received of \$500 or More (Report on Schedule C)

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 _____ / _____ / _____ _____ / _____ / _____
 ACQUIRED DISPOSED

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE A-2

Investments, Income, and Assets of Business Entities/Trusts

(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name _____
Fong, Rodney

1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Fong Real Estate Company, LLC
Name

San Francisco, CA 94133
Address (Business Address Acceptable)

Check one
 Trust, go to 2 Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

Real Estate Development & Leasing

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 \$0 - \$1,999
 \$2,000 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Partnership Sole Proprietorship Share in LLC
Other _____

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION President

1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Name _____

Address (Business Address Acceptable) _____

Check one
 Trust, go to 2 Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 \$0 - \$1,999
 \$2,000 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INVESTMENT
 Partnership Sole Proprietorship Other _____

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION _____

2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)

\$0 - \$499 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$500 - \$1,000 OVER \$100,000
 \$1,001 - \$10,000

3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary)

None or Names listed below
Salary & Profit Distribution

2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)

\$0 - \$499 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$500 - \$1,000 OVER \$100,000
 \$1,001 - \$10,000

3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF \$10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary)

None or Names listed below

4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Check one box:
 INVESTMENT REAL PROPERTY

Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property

Real Estate Development & Leasing

Description of Business Activity or City or Other Precise Location of Real Property

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 \$2,000 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INTEREST
 Property Ownership/Deed of Trust Stock Partnership

Leasehold _____ Yrs. remaining Other Share in LLC

Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached

4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Check one box:
 INVESTMENT REAL PROPERTY

Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or Assessor's Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property

Description of Business Activity or City or Other Precise Location of Real Property

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 \$2,000 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
 Over \$1,000,000

NATURE OF INTEREST
 Property Ownership/Deed of Trust Stock Partnership

Leasehold _____ Yrs. remaining Other _____

Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE B
Interests in Real Property
(Including Rental Income)

Name
Fong, Rodney

▶ ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS
2001 Broadway
CITY
San Francisco

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 \$2,000 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
 Over \$1,000,000

ACQUIRED _____ DISPOSED _____

NATURE OF INTEREST
 Ownership/Deed of Trust Easement
 Leasehold _____ in LLC
Yrs. remaining Less Than 10% Share Other

IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED
 \$0 - \$499 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.
 None
 Name(s) redacted

▶ ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS
145 Jefferson Street
CITY
San Francisco

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 \$2,000 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
 Over \$1,000,000

ACQUIRED _____ DISPOSED _____

NATURE OF INTEREST
 Ownership/Deed of Trust Easement
 Leasehold _____ Share in LLC
Yrs. remaining Other

IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED
 \$0 - \$499 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.
 None
 Name(s) redacted

* You are not required to report loans from commercial lending institutions made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER* _____

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) _____

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER _____

INTEREST RATE _____ TERM (Months/Years) _____
 _____% None

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD
 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

Guarantor, if applicable

NAME OF LENDER* _____

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) _____

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER _____

INTEREST RATE _____ TERM (Months/Years) _____
 _____% None

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD
 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

Guarantor, if applicable

Comments: _____

CALIFORNIA FORM 700
 FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
 Name _____
 Fong, Rodney

SCHEDULE B
Interests in Real Property
 (Including Rental Income)

▶ ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS
 1350 Ala Moana Blvd., Apt. 1611
 CITY
 Honolulu, HI

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 \$2,000 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
 Over \$1,000,000

ACQUIRED _____ DISPOSED _____

NATURE OF INTEREST
 Ownership/Deed of Trust Easement
 Leasehold _____ _____
 Yrs. remaining _____ Other _____

IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED
 \$0 - \$499 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.
 None
 Name (s) redacted

▶ ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS
 2843 - 2845 Greenwich
 CITY
 San Francisco

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 \$2,000 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
 Over \$1,000,000

ACQUIRED _____ DISPOSED _____

NATURE OF INTEREST
 Ownership/Deed of Trust Easement
 Leasehold _____ _____
 Yrs. remaining _____ Other _____

IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED
 \$0 - \$499 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.
 None
 Name (s) redacted

* You are not required to report loans from commercial lending institutions made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER* _____
 ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) _____
 BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER _____
 INTEREST RATE _____ TERM (Months/Years) _____
 _____% None
 HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD
 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000
 Guarantor, if applicable

NAME OF LENDER* _____
 ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) _____
 BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER _____
 INTEREST RATE _____ TERM (Months/Years) _____
 _____% None
 HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD
 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000
 Guarantor, if applicable

Comments: _____

CALIFORNIA FORM 700
 FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
 Name _____
 Fong, Rodney

SCHEDULE B
Interests in Real Property
 (Including Rental Income)

▶ ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS
 28 & 47 - 16th Avenue
 CITY
 San Francisco

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 \$2,000 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
 Over \$1,000,000

ACQUIRED _____ DISPOSED _____

NATURE OF INTEREST
 Ownership/Deed of Trust Easement
 Leasehold _____ _____
 Yrs. remaining Other

IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED
 \$0 - \$499 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.
 None
 Name (s) redacted

▶ ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS
 CITY

FAIR MARKET VALUE IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
 \$2,000 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 \$100,001 - \$1,000,000
 Over \$1,000,000

ACQUIRED _____ DISPOSED _____

NATURE OF INTEREST
 Ownership/Deed of Trust Easement
 Leasehold _____ _____
 Yrs. remaining Other

IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED
 \$0 - \$499 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME: If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of \$10,000 or more.
 None

* You are not required to report loans from commercial lending institutions made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER* _____
 ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) _____
 BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER _____
 INTEREST RATE _____ TERM (Months/Years) _____
 _____% None
 HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD
 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000
 Guarantor, if applicable

NAME OF LENDER* _____
 ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) _____
 BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER _____
 INTEREST RATE _____ TERM (Months/Years) _____
 _____% None
 HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD
 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000
 Guarantor, if applicable

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE C

Income, Loans, & Business Positions

(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name _____

Fong, Rodney _____

1. INCOME RECEIVED

NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME
 2001 Broadway, LLC
 ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
 San Francisco, CA 94133
 BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
 Real Estate Leasing
 YOUR BUSINESS POSITION
 President

GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only
 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED
 Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)
 Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)
 Sale of _____ (Real property, car, boat, etc.)
 Loan repayment
 Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more
 _____ (Describe)
 Other Profit Distribution
 _____ (Describe)

1. INCOME RECEIVED

NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME
 TRowe Small Capital Stock Fund
 ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
 Baltimore, MD 21297-1300
 BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
 Financial Investment
 YOUR BUSINESS POSITION

GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only
 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED
 Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)
 Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)
 Sale of _____ (Real property, car, boat, etc.)
 Loan repayment
 Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more
 _____ (Describe)
 Other Capital gains
 _____ (Describe)

2. LOANS RECEIVED OR OUTSTANDING DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD

* You are not required to report loans from commercial lending institutions, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER* _____

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) _____

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER _____

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD
 \$500 - \$1,000
 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 OVER \$100,000

INTEREST RATE _____ % None

TERM (Months/Years) _____

SECURITY FOR LOAN
 None Personal residence
 Real Property _____
 Street address _____
 City _____
 Guarantor _____
 Other _____
 (Describe)

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE C Income, Loans, & Business Positions (Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700

FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name _____

Fong, Rodney _____

1. INCOME RECEIVED

NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME
Capital World Growth & Income Fund

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
Indianapolis, IN 46206-6164

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
Financial Investment

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION

GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only

\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000

\$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED

Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income
(For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)

Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use
Schedule A-2.)

Sale of _____
(Real property, car, boat, etc.)

Loan repayment

Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more

(Describe)

Other Dividends & Capital Gains
(Describe)

1. INCOME RECEIVED

NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME
Europacific Growth Fund

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
Indianapolis, IN 46206

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
Financial Investments

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION

GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only

\$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000

\$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED

Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income
(For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)

Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use
Schedule A-2.)

Sale of _____
(Real property, car, boat, etc.)

Loan repayment

Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more

(Describe)

Other DIVIDENDS
(Describe)

2. LOANS RECEIVED OR OUTSTANDING DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD

* You are not required to report loans from commercial lending institutions, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER* _____

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) _____

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER _____

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD

\$500 - \$1,000

\$1,001 - \$10,000

\$10,001 - \$100,000

OVER \$100,000

INTEREST RATE _____% None

TERM (Months/Years) _____

SECURITY FOR LOAN

None Personal residence

Real Property _____
Street address _____
City _____

Guarantor _____

Other _____
(Describe)

Comments: _____

SCHEDULE C

Income, Loans, & Business Positions

(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

CALIFORNIA FORM 700
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Name _____
Fong, Rodney

1. INCOME RECEIVED

NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME
Fong Real Estate Company, LLC

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
San Francisco, CA 94133

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
Real Estate Development & Leasing

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION
President

GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only
 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED
 Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)
 Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)
 Sale of _____ (Real property, car, boat, etc.)
 Loan repayment
 Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more

(Describe)
 Other Salary and profit distribution
(Describe)

1. INCOME RECEIVED

NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME _____

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) _____

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE _____

YOUR BUSINESS POSITION _____

GROSS INCOME RECEIVED No Income - Business Position Only
 \$500 - \$1,000 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000 OVER \$100,000

CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED
 Salary Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income (For self-employed use Schedule A-2.)
 Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)
 Sale of _____ (Real property, car, boat, etc.)
 Loan repayment
 Commission or Rental Income, list each source of \$10,000 or more

(Describe)
 Other _____
(Describe)

2. LOANS RECEIVED OR OUTSTANDING DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD

* You are not required to report loans from commercial lending institutions, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

NAME OF LENDER* _____

ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) _____

BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER _____

HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD
 \$500 - \$1,000
 \$1,001 - \$10,000
 \$10,001 - \$100,000
 OVER \$100,000

INTEREST RATE _____% None

TERM (Months/Years) _____

SECURITY FOR LOAN
 None Personal residence
 Real Property _____
Street address _____
City _____
 Guarantor _____
 Other _____
(Describe)

Comments: _____

CALIFORNIA FORM 700
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
Name
Fong, Rodney

SCHEDULE D Income – Gifts

▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)
Dan Safier
 ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
San Francisco, CA 94108
 BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
Real Estate Development

DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
<u>05 / 10 / 17</u>	<u>\$ 200.00</u>	<u>Ticket to Master Chefs at Home Cooking Event</u>
<u> / / </u>	<u>\$ _____</u>	<u>_____</u>
<u> / / </u>	<u>\$ _____</u>	<u>_____</u>

▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)
Yujin Yamai
 ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)
San Francisco, CA 94102
 BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE
Hotel Industry

DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
<u>02 / 15 / 17</u>	<u>\$ 350.00</u>	<u>Golden State Warriors Ticket</u>
<u> / / </u>	<u>\$ _____</u>	<u>_____</u>
<u> / / </u>	<u>\$ _____</u>	<u>_____</u>

▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)

 ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

 BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
<u> / / </u>	<u>\$ _____</u>	<u>_____</u>
<u> / / </u>	<u>\$ _____</u>	<u>_____</u>
<u> / / </u>	<u>\$ _____</u>	<u>_____</u>

▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)

 ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

 BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
<u> / / </u>	<u>\$ _____</u>	<u>_____</u>
<u> / / </u>	<u>\$ _____</u>	<u>_____</u>
<u> / / </u>	<u>\$ _____</u>	<u>_____</u>

▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)

 ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

 BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
<u> / / </u>	<u>\$ _____</u>	<u>_____</u>
<u> / / </u>	<u>\$ _____</u>	<u>_____</u>
<u> / / </u>	<u>\$ _____</u>	<u>_____</u>

▶ NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)

 ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)

 BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE

DATE (mm/dd/yy)	VALUE	DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)
<u> / / </u>	<u>\$ _____</u>	<u>_____</u>
<u> / / </u>	<u>\$ _____</u>	<u>_____</u>
<u> / / </u>	<u>\$ _____</u>	<u>_____</u>

Comments: _____



City and County of San Francisco
Department on the Status of Women



Emily M. Murase, PhD
 Director

City and County of
 San Francisco

2017 Gender Analysis of Commissions and Boards: Executive Summary

Overview


A 2008 City Charter Amendment passed by the voters of San Francisco enacted a city policy that membership of Commissions and Boards reflect the diversity of the population. As part of this measure, the Department on the Status of Women is required to conduct a biennial gender analysis of Commissions and Boards. Data was collected from 57 policy bodies with a total of 540 members primarily appointed by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.

Gender Analysis Findings

Gender

- Women's representation on Commissions and Boards in 2017 is 49%, equal to the female population in San Francisco.
- Since 2007 there has been an overall increase of women on Commissions with women comprising 54% of Commissioners in 2017.
- Women's representation on Boards has declined to 41% this year following a period of steady increases over the past 3 reports.


Figure 1: 10-Year Comparison of Women's Representation on Commissions and Boards



Race and Ethnicity

- While 60% of San Franciscans are people of color, 53% of appointees are racial and ethnic minorities.
- Minority representation on Commissions decreased from 60% in 2015 to 57% in 2017.
- Despite a steady increase of people of color on Boards since 2009, minority representation on Boards, at 47%, remains below parity with the population.
- Asian, Latinx/Hispanic, and multiracial individuals are underrepresented on Commissions and Boards.
- There is a higher representation of White and Black/African American members on policy bodies than in the San Francisco population.

Figure 2: 8-Year Comparison of Minority Representation on Commissions and Boards



Race and Ethnicity by Gender

- In San Francisco, 31% of the population are women of color. Although representation of women of color on Commissions reaches parity with the population, only 19% of Board members are women of color.
- Men of color comprise 26% of both Commissioners and Board members compared to 29% of the San Francisco population.
- The representation of White men on policy bodies is 28%, exceeding the 22% of the San Francisco population, while White women are at parity with the population at 19%.
- Underrepresentation of Asian and Latinx/Hispanic individuals is seen among both men and women.
 - One-tenth of Commissioners and Board members are Asian men and 12% are Asian women compared to 16% and 18% of the population, respectively.
 - Latinos are 6% of Commissioners and Board members and Latinas are 4% of Commissioners and Board members compared to 8% and 7% of San Franciscans, respectively.

Additional Demographics

- Among Commissioners and Board members, 17% identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, or transgender (LGBT).
- Individuals with a disability comprise 11% of appointees on policy bodies, just below the 12% of the adult population with a disability in San Francisco.
- Representation of veterans on Commissions and Boards is 13%, exceeding the 4% of San Franciscans that have served in the military.

Budget

- Women and women of color, in particular, are underrepresented on the policy bodies with the largest budgets while exceeding or nearing parity on policy bodies with the smallest budgets.
- Minority representation on policy bodies with both the largest and smallest budgets is at least 60%, equal to the population.

Table 1: Demographics of Appointees to San Francisco Commissions and Boards, 2017

	Women	Minority	Women of Color	LGBT	Disabilities	Veterans
San Francisco Population	49%	60%	31%	5%-7%	12%	4%
Commissions and Boards Combined	49%	53%	27%	17%	11%	13%
Commissions	54%	57%	31%	18%	10%	15%
Boards	41%	47%	19%	17%	14%	10%
10 Largest Budgeted Bodies	35%	60%	18%			
10 Smallest Budgeted Bodies	58%	66%	30%			

Sources: 2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Department Survey, Mayor's Office, 311, FY17-18 Annual Appropriation Ordinance, FY17-18 Mayor's Budget Book.

The full report is available at the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women website, <http://sfgov.org/dosw/>.



Emily M. Murase, PhD
Director

City and County of San Francisco
Department on the Status of Women



City and County of
San Francisco

Gender Analysis of San Francisco Commissions and Boards

December 2017

Acknowledgements

This report is dedicated in memory of the late Mayor Edwin M. Lee, who made an inclusive San Francisco a priority, including through the appointment of numerous women to public policy bodies throughout the City.

The San Francisco Department on the Status of Women would like to thank the various commission secretaries and department staff who graciously assisted in collecting and providing information about their respective commissions and boards. We also want to thank Francis Tsang, Deputy Chief of Staff for the Office of Mayor Edwin M. Lee, as well as the 311 Information Directory Department ("311") for providing much of the data necessary for the completion of this report.

The data collection and analysis for this report was conducted by Public Policy Fellow Nami Yokogi with support from Workplace Policy and Legislative Director Elizabeth Newman, Associate Director Carol Sacco, and Director Emily Murase, PhD, at the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women.

This document was presented to and adopted by the San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women in December 2017.

San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women

President Debbie Mesloh

Vice President Breanna Zwart

Commissioner Marjan Philhour

Commissioner Olga Ryerson

Commissioner Carrie Schwab-Pomerantz

Commissioner Andrea Shorter

Commissioner Julie D. Soo

The full report is available at the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women website, <http://sfgov.org/dosw/>.

Table of Contents

Table of Figures and Tables.....	3
Executive Summary	4
I. Introduction.....	6
II. Methodology and Limitations	7
III. San Francisco Population Demographics	8
IV. Gender Analysis Findings.....	12
A. Gender.....	13
B. Ethnicity.....	16
C. Race/Ethnicity by Gender	22
D. Sexual Orientation.....	24
E. Disability	25
F. Veterans.....	26
G. Policy Bodies by Budget Size	27
V. Conclusion	31
Appendix I: 2015 Population Estimates for San Francisco County.....	32
Appendix II: Commissions and Boards Demographics.....	34

Table of Figures and Tables

Figure 1: San Francisco Population by Race/Ethnicity 8

Figure 2: San Francisco Population by Race/Ethnicity and Gender 9

Figure 3: San Francisco Adults with a Disability by Gender 10

Figure 4: Veterans in San Francisco by Gender 11

Figure 5: Summary Data Comparing Representation on Commissions and Boards

Figure 6: 10-Year Comparison of Women’s Representation on Commissions and Boards 13

Figure 7: Commissions and Boards with Most Women 14

Figure 8: Commissions and Boards with Least Women 15

Figure 9: 8-Year Comparison of Minority Representation on Commissions and Boards 16

Figure 10: Race/Ethnicity of Commissioners Compared to San Francisco Population 17

Figure 11: Race/Ethnicity of Board Members Compared to San Francisco Population 18

Figure 12: Commissions with Most Minority Appointees 19

Figure 13: Commissions with Least Minority Appointees 20

Figure 14: Minority Representation on Boards 21

Figure 15: Women and Men of Color on Commissions and Boards 22

Figure 16: Commission and Board Appointees by Race/Ethnicity and Gender 23

Figure 17: LGBT Commission and Board Appointees 24

Figure 18: Commission and Board Appointees with Disabilities 25

Figure 19: Commission and Board Appointees with Military Service 26

Figure 20: Women, Minorities, and Women of Color on Largest and Smallest Budget Bodies 28

Table 1: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Largest Budgets 29

Table 2: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Smallest Budgets 30

Executive Summary


Overview

A 2008 City Charter Amendment passed by the voters of San Francisco enacted a city policy that membership of Commissions and Boards reflect the diversity of the population. As part of this measure, the Department on the Status of Women is required to conduct a biennial gender analysis of Commissions and Boards. Data was collected from 57 policy bodies with a total of 540 members primarily appointed by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.

Key Findings


Gender

- Women’s representation on Commissions and Boards in 2017 is 49%, equal to the female population in San Francisco.
- Since 2007, there has been an overall increase of women on Commissions: women compose 54% of Commissioners in 2017.
- Women’s representation on Boards has declined to 41% this year following a period of steady increases over the past 3 reports.



Race and Ethnicity

- While 60% of San Franciscans are people of color, 53% of appointees are racial and ethnic minorities.
- Minority representation on Commissions decreased from 60% in 2015 to 57% in 2017.
- Despite a steady increase of people of color on Boards since 2009, minority representation on Boards, at 47%, remains below parity with the population.
- Asian, Latinx/Hispanic, and multiracial individuals are underrepresented on Commissions and Boards.
- There is a higher representation of White and Black or African American members on policy bodies than in the San Francisco population.



Race and Ethnicity by Gender

- In San Francisco, 31% of the population are women of color. Although representation of women of color on Commissions reaches parity with the population, only 19% of Board members are women of color.
- Men of color comprise 26% of both Commissioners and Board members compared to 29% of the San Francisco population.
- The representation of White men on policy bodies is 28%, exceeding the 22% of the San Francisco population, while White women are at parity with the population at 19%.
- Underrepresentation of Asian and Latinx/Hispanic individuals exists among both men and women.
 - One-tenth of Commissioners and Board members are Asian men and 12% are Asian women compared to 16% and 18% of the population, respectively.
 - Latinos are 6% of Commissioners and Board members and Latinas are 4% of Commissioners and Board members compared to 8% and 7% of San Franciscans, respectively.

Additional Demographics

- Among Commissioners and Board members, 17% identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, or transgender (LGBT).
- Individuals with a disability comprise 11% of appointees on policy bodies, just below the 12% of the adult population with a disability in San Francisco.
- Representation of veterans on Commissions and Boards is 13%, exceeding the 4% of San Franciscans that have served in the military.

Representation on Policy Bodies by Budget

- Women and women of color, in particular, are underrepresented on the policy bodies with the largest budgets while exceeding or nearing parity on policy bodies with the smallest budgets.
- Minority representation on policy bodies with both the largest and smallest budgets is at least 60%, equal to the population.

Table 1: Demographics of Appointees to San Francisco Commissions and Boards, 2017

	Women	Minority	Women of Color	LGBT	Disabilities	Veterans
San Francisco Population	49%	60%	31%	5%-7%	12%	4%
Commissions and Boards Combined	49%	53%	27%	17%	11%	13%
Commissions	54%	57%	31%	18%	10%	15%
Boards	41%	47%	19%	17%	14%	10%
10 Largest Budgeted Bodies	35%	60%	18%			
10 Smallest Budgeted Bodies	58%	66%	30%			

Sources: 2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Department Survey, Mayor's Office, 311, FY17-18 Annual Appropriation Ordinance, FY17-18 Mayor's Budget Book.

I. Introduction

The central question of this report is whether appointments to public policy bodies of the City and County of San Francisco are reflective of the population at large.

In 1998, San Francisco became the first city in the world to pass a local ordinance reflecting the principles of the United Nations Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW), also known as the "Women's Human Rights Treaty."¹ The Ordinance requires City government to take proactive steps to ensure gender equality and specifies "gender analysis" as a preventive tool to identify and address discrimination.² Since 1998, the Department on the Status of Women (Department) has used this tool to analyze operations of 11 City departments.

In 2007, the Department used gender analysis to analyze the number of women appointed to City Commissions, Boards, and Task Forces.³ Based on these findings, a City Charter Amendment was developed by the Board of Supervisors for the June 2008 election. The Amendment, which voters approved overwhelmingly, made it City policy that:

1. Membership of Commissions and Boards reflect the diversity of the San Francisco population;
2. Appointing officials be urged to support the nomination, appointment, and confirmation of these candidates; and
3. The San Francisco Department on the Status of Women is required to conduct a gender analysis of Commissions and Boards to be published every 2 years.⁴

This 2017 gender analysis assesses the representation of women; racial and ethnic minorities; lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) individuals; people with disabilities; and veterans on San Francisco Commissions and Boards appointed by the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors.⁵

¹ While 188 of the 193 member states of the United Nations, including all other industrialized countries, have ratified the Women's Human Rights Treaty, the U.S. has not. President Jimmy Carter signed the treaty in 1980, but it has been languishing in the Senate ever since, due to jurisdictional concerns and other issues. For further information, see the United Nations website, available at www.ohchr.org/english/bodies/cedaw/index.htm.

² The gender analysis guidelines are available at the San Francisco Department on the Status of Women website, under Women's Human Rights, at www.sfgov.org/dosw.

³ *The 2007 Gender Analysis of Commissions, Boards, and Task Forces* is available online at the Department website, under Women's Human Rights, at www.sfgov.org/dosw.

⁴ The full text of the charter amendment is available at https://sfpl.org/pdf/main/gic/elections/June3_2008.pdf.

⁵ Appointees in some policy bodies are elected or appointed by other entities.

II. Methodology and Limitations

This report focuses on City and County of San Francisco Commissions and Boards whose jurisdiction is limited to the City, that have a majority of members appointed by the Mayor and Board of Supervisors, and that are permanent policy bodies.⁶ Generally, *Commission* appointments are made by the Mayor and *Board* appointments are made by members of the Board of Supervisors. For some policy bodies, however, the appointments are divided between the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, and other agencies. *Commissions* tend to be permanent policy bodies that are part of the City Charter and oversee a department or agency. *Boards* are typically policy bodies created legislatively to address specific issues.

The gender analysis in this report reflects data from the Commissions and Boards that provided information to the Department through survey, the Mayor's Office, and the Information Directory Department (311), which collects and disseminates information about City appointments to policy bodies. Based on the list of Commissions and Boards that are reported by 311, data was compiled from 57 policy bodies with a total of 540 appointees. A Commissioner or Board member's gender identity, race/ethnicity, sexual orientation, disability status, and veteran status were among data elements collected on a voluntary basis. In many cases, identities are vastly underreported due to concerns about social stigma and discrimination. Thus, data on lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender (LGBT) identity, disability, and veteran status of appointees were limited, incomplete, and/or unavailable for many appointees, but included to the extent possible. As the fundamental objective of this report is to surface patterns of underrepresentation, every attempt has been made to reflect accurate and complete information in this report.

For the purposes of comparison in this report, data from the *U.S. Census 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates* is used to reflect the current San Francisco population. Charts 1 and 2 in the Appendix show these population estimates by race/ethnicity and gender.


⁶ It is important to note that San Francisco is the only jurisdiction in the State of California that is both a city and a county. Therefore, while in other jurisdictions, the Human Services Commission is typically a county commission that governs services across multiple cities and is composed of members appointed by those cities, the San Francisco case is much simpler. All members of Commissioner and Boards are appointed either by the San Francisco Mayor or the San Francisco County Board of Supervisors which functions as a city council.

III. San Francisco Population Demographics

An estimated 49% of the population in San Francisco are women and approximately 60% of residents identify as a race or ethnicity other than White. Four in ten San Franciscans are White, one-third are Asian, 15% are Hispanic or Latinx, and 6% are Black or African American.

The racial and ethnic breakdown of San Francisco's population is shown in the chart below. Note that the percentages do not add up to 100% since individuals may be counted more than once.


Figure 1: San Francisco Population by Race/Ethnicity



Source: 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

A more nuanced view of San Francisco's population can be seen in the chart below, which shows race and ethnicity by gender. Most racial and ethnic groups have a similar representation of men and women in San Francisco, though there are about 15% more White men than women (22% vs. 19%) and 12% more Asian women than men (18% vs. 16%). Overall, 29% of San Franciscans are men of color and 31% are women of color.

Figure 2: San Francisco Population by Race/Ethnicity and Gender




Source: 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

The U.S. Census and *American Community Survey* do not count the number of individuals who identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, or transgender (LGBT). However, there are several reputable data sources that estimate San Francisco has one of the highest concentrations of LGBT individuals in the nation. A 2015 Gallup poll found that among employed adults in the San Francisco Metropolitan Area, which includes San Francisco, Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, and San Mateo counties, 6.2% identify as LGBT, the largest percentage of any populous area in the U.S. The 2010 U.S. Census reported 34,000 same-sex couples in the Bay Area, with an estimated 7,600 male same-sex couples and 2,700 female same-sex couples in the City of San Francisco, approximately 7% of all households. In addition, the Williams Institute at the University of California Los Angeles estimates that 4.6% of Californians identify as LGBT, which is similar across gender (4.6% of males vs. 4.5% of females). The Williams Institute also reported that roughly 92,000 adults ages 18-70 in California, or 0.35% of the population, are transgender. These sources suggest between 5-7% of the San Francisco adult population, or approximately 36,000-50,000 San Franciscans, identify as LGBT.

Women are slightly more likely than men to have one or more disabilities. For women 18 years and older, 12.1% have at least one disability, compared to 11.5% of adult men. Overall, about 12% of adults in San Francisco live with a disability.


Figure 3: San Francisco Adults with a Disability by Gender



Source: 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

In terms of veterans, according to the U.S. Census, 3.6% of the adult population in San Francisco has served in the military. There is a drastic difference by gender. More than 12 times as many men are veterans, at nearly 7% of adult males, than women, with less than 1%.

Figure 4: Veterans in San Francisco by Gender



Source: 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

IV. Gender Analysis Findings

On the whole, appointees to Commissions and Boards reflect many aspects of the diversity of San Francisco. Among Commissioners and Board members, nearly half are women, more than 50% are people of color, 17% are LGBT, 11% have a disability, and 13% are veterans. However, Board appointees are less diverse than Commission appointees. Below is a summary of key indicators, comparing them between Commissions and Boards. Refer to Appendix II for a complete table of demographics by Commissions and Boards.

Figure 5: Summary Data Comparing Representation on Commissions and Boards, 2017


	Commissions	Boards
Number of Policy Bodies Included	40	17
Filled Seats	350/373 (6% vacant)	190/213 (11% vacant)
Female Appointees	54%	41%
Racial/Ethnic Minority	57%	47%
LGBT	17.5%	17%
With Disability	10%	14%
Veterans	15%	10%

The next sections will present detailed data, compared to previous years, along the key variables of gender, ethnicity, race/ethnicity by gender, sexual orientation, disability, veterans, and policy bodies by budget size.

A. Gender

Overall, the percentage of female appointees to City Commissions and Boards is 49%, equal to the female percentage of the San Francisco population. A 10-year comparison of the gender diversity on Commissions and Boards shows that the percentage of female Commissioners has increased over the 10 years since the first gender analysis of Commissions and Boards in 2007. At 54%, the representation of women on Commissions currently exceeds the percentage of women in San Francisco (49%). The percentage of female Board appointees declined 15% from the last gender analysis in 2015. Women make up 41% of Board appointees in 2017, whereas women were 48% of Board members in 2015. A greater number of Boards were included this year than in 2015, which may contribute to the stark difference from the previous report. This dip represents a departure from the previous trend of increasing women's representation on Boards.

Figure 6: 10-Year Comparison of Women's Representation on Commissions and Boards




Sources: Department Survey, Mayor's Office, 311.

The next two charts illustrate the Commissions and Boards with the highest and lowest percentage of female appointees in 2017. Data from the two previous gender analyses for these Commissions and Boards is also included for comparison purposes. Of 54 policy bodies with data on gender, roughly one-third (20 Commissions and Boards) have more than 50% representation of women. The greatest women's representation is found on the Commission on the Status of Women and the Children and Families Commission (First 5) at 100%. The Long Term Care Coordinating Council and the Mayor's Disability Council also have some of the highest percentages of women, at 78% and 75%, respectively. However, the latter two policy bodies are not included in the chart due to lack of prior data.

Figure 7: Commissions and Boards with Most Women

**Commissions and Boards with Highest Percentage of Women,
2017 Compared to 2015, 2013**




Sources: Department Survey, Mayor's Office, 311.

There are 14 Commissions and Boards that have 30% or less women. The lowest percentage is found on the Oversight Board of the Office of Community Investment & Infrastructure where currently none of the five appointees are women. The Urban Forestry Council and the Workforce Investment Board also have some of the lowest percentages of women members at 20% and 26%, respectively, but are not included in the chart below due to lack of prior data.

Figure 8: Commissions and Boards with Least Women

**Commissions and Boards with Lowest Percentage of Women,
2017 Compared to 2015, 2013**




Sources: Department Survey, Mayor's Office, 311.

B. Ethnicity

Data on racial and ethnic background were available for 286 Commissioners and 183 Board members. More than half of these appointees identify as people of color. However, representation of people of color on Commissions and Boards falls short of parity with the approximately 60% minority population in San Francisco. In total, 53% of appointees identify as racial and ethnic minorities. The percentage of minority Commissioners decreased from 2015, while the percentage of minority Board members has been steadily increasing since 2009. Yet, communities of color are represented in greater numbers on Commissions, at 57%, than Boards, at 47%, of appointees. Below is the 8-year comparison of minority representation on Commissions and Boards. Data on race and ethnicity were not collected in 2007.


Figure 9: 8-Year Comparison of Minority Representation on Commissions and Boards



Sources: Department Survey, Mayor's Office, 311.

The racial and ethnic breakdown of Commissioners and Board members as compared to the San Francisco population is presented in the next two charts. There is a greater number of White and Black/African American Commissioners in comparison to the general population, in contrast to individuals identifying as Asian, Latinx/Hispanic, multiracial, and other races who are underrepresented on Commissions. One-quarter of Commissioners are Asian compared to more than one-third of the population. Similarly, 11% of Commissioners are Latinx compared to 15% of the population.


Figure 10: Race/Ethnicity of Commissioners Compared to San Francisco Population



Sources: Department Survey, Mayor's Office, 311.

A similar pattern emerges for Board appointees. In general, racial and ethnic minorities are underrepresented on Boards, except for the Black/African American population with 16% of Board appointees compared to 6% of the population. White appointees far exceed the White population with more than half of appointees identifying as White compared to about 40% of the population. Meanwhile, there are considerably fewer Board members who identify as Asian, Latinx/Hispanic, multiracial, and other races than in the population. Particularly striking is the underrepresentation of Asians, where 17% of Board members identified as Asian compared to 34% of the population. Additionally, 9% of Board appointees are Latinx compared to 15% of the population.

Figure 11: Race/Ethnicity of Board Members Compared to San Francisco Population




Sources: Department Survey, Mayor's Office, 311.

Of the 37 Commissions with information on ethnicity, more than two-thirds (26 Commissions) have at least 50% of appointees identifying as persons of color and more than half (19 Commissions) reach or exceed parity with the nearly 60% minority population. The Commissions with the highest percentage of minority appointees are shown in the chart below. The Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure and the Southeast Community Facility Commission both are comprised entirely of people of color. Meanwhile, 86% of Commissioners are minorities on the Juvenile Probation Commission, Immigrant Rights Commission, and Health Commission.

Figure 12: Commissions with Most Minority Appointees


**Commissions with Highest Percentage of Minority Appointees,
2017**



Sources: Department Survey, Mayor's Office, 311.

Seven Commissions have fewer than 30% minority appointees, with the lowest percentage of minority appointees being found on the Building Inspection Commission at 14% and the Historic Preservation Commission at 17%. The Commissions with the lowest percentage of minority appointees are shown in the chart below.


Figure 13: Commissions with Least Minority Appointees



Sources: Department Survey, Mayor's Office, 311.

For the 16 Boards with information on race and ethnicity, nine have at least 50% minority appointees. The Local Homeless Coordinating Board has the greatest percentage of members of color with 86%. The Mental Health Board and the Public Utilities Rate Fairness Board also have a large representation of people of color at 69% and 67%, respectively. Meanwhile, seven Boards have a majority of White members, with the lowest representation of people of color on the Oversight Board at 20% minority members, the War Memorial Board of Trustees at 18% minority members, and the Urban Forestry Council with no members of color.

Figure 14: Minority Representation on Boards




Sources: Department Survey, Mayor's Office, 311.

C. Race/Ethnicity by Gender

Minorities comprise 57% of Commission appointees and 47% of Board appointees. The total percentage of minority appointees on Commissions and Boards in 2017 is 53% compared to about 60% of the population. There are slightly more women of color on Commissions and Boards at 27% than men of color at 26%. Women of color appointees to Commissions reach parity with the population at 31%, while women of color are 19% of Board members, far from parity with the population. Men of color are 26% of appointees to both Commissions and Boards, below the 29% men of color in the San Francisco population.


Figure 15: Women and Men of Color on Commissions and Boards



Sources: Department Survey, Mayor's Office, 311; 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

The next chart illustrates appointees' race and ethnicity by gender. The gender distribution in most racial and ethnic groups on policy bodies is similar to the representation of men and women in minority groups in San Francisco except for the White population. White men represent 22% of San Francisco population, yet 28% of Commission and Board appointees are White men. Meanwhile, White women are at parity with the population at 19%. Women and men of color are underrepresented across all racial and ethnic groups, except for Black/African American appointees. Asian women are 12% of appointees, but 18% of the population. Asian men are 10% of appointees compared to 16% of the population. Latina women are 4% of Commissioners and Board members, yet 7% of the population, while 6% of appointees are Latino men compared to 8% of San Franciscans.

Figure 16: Commission and Board Appointees by Race/Ethnicity and Gender




Sources: Department Survey, Mayor's Office, 311.

D. Sexual Orientation

While it is challenging to find accurate counts of the number of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) individuals, a combination of sources, noted in the demographics section, suggests between 4.6% and 7% of the San Francisco population is LGBT. Data on sexual orientation and gender identity was available for 240 Commission appointees and 132 Board appointees. Overall, about 17% of appointees to Commissions and Boards are LGBT. There is a large LGBT representation across both Commissioners and Board members. Three Commissioners identified as transgender.

Figure 17: LGBT Commission and Board Appointees




Sources: Department Survey, Mayor's Office, 311.

E. Disability

An estimated 12% of San Franciscans have a disability. Data on disability was available for 214 Commission appointees and 93 Board appointees. The percentage of Commission and Board appointees with a disability is 11.4% and almost reaches parity with the 11.8% of the adult population in San Francisco that has a disability. There is a much greater representation of people with a disability on Boards at 14% than on Commissions at 10%.

Figure 18: Commission and Board Appointees with Disabilities




Sources: Department Survey, Mayor's Office, 311.

F. Veterans

Veterans are 3.6% of the adult population in San Francisco. Data on military service was available for 176 Commission appointees and 81 Board appointees. Overall, veterans are well represented on Commissions and Boards with 13% of appointees having served in the military. However, there is a large difference in the representation of veterans on Commissions at 15% compared to Boards at 10%. This is likely due to the 17 members of Veterans Affairs Commission of which all members must be veterans.

Figure 19: Commission and Board Appointees with Military Service



Sources: Department Survey, Mayor's Office, 311.

G. Policy Bodies by Budget Size


In addition to data on the appointment of women and minorities to Commissions and Boards, this report examines whether the demographic make-up of policy bodies with the largest budget (which is often proportional to the amount of influence in the City) are representative of the community. On the following page, Figure 19 shows the representation of women, people of color, and women of color on the policy bodies with the largest and smallest budgets.

Though the overall representation of female appointees (49%) is equal to the City's population, Commissions and Boards with the highest female representation have fairly low influence as measured by budget size. Although women's representation on the ten policy bodies with the largest budgets increased from 30% in 2015 to 35% this year, it is still far below parity with the population. The percentage of women on the ten bodies with the smallest budgets grew from 45% in 2015 to 58% in 2017.

With respect to minority representation, the bodies with both the largest and smallest budgets exceed parity with the population. On the ten Commissions and Boards with the largest budgets, 60% of appointees identify as a racial or ethnic minority; meanwhile 66% of appointees identify as a racial or ethnic minority on the ten Commissions and Boards with the smallest budgets. Minority representation on the ten largest budgeted policy bodies was slightly greater in 2015 at 62%, while there was a 21% increase of minority representation on the ten smallest budgeted policy bodies from 52% in 2015.

Percentage of women of color on the policy bodies with the smallest budgets is 30% and almost reaches parity with the population in San Francisco. However, women of color are considerably underrepresented on the ten policy bodies with the largest budgets at 18% compared to 31% of the population.

Figure 20: Women, Minorities, and Women of Color on Largest and Smallest Budget Bodies
Percent Women, Minorities and Women of Color on Commissions and Boards with Largest and Smallest Budgets in Fiscal Year 2017-2018



Sources: Department Survey, Mayor's Office, 311, FY17-18 Annual Appropriation Ordinance, FY17-18 Mayor's Budget Book.

The following two tables present the demographics of the Commissions and Boards overseeing some of the City's largest and smallest budgets.

Of the ten Commissions and Boards that oversee the largest budgets, women make up 35% and women of color are 18% of the appointees. The Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure is the most diverse with people of color in all appointed seats and women comprising half of the members. The Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) Board of Directors and Parking Authority Commission has the next largest representation of women with 43%. Four of the ten bodies have less than 30% female appointees. Women of color are near parity on the Police Commission at 29% compared to 31% of the population. Meanwhile, the Public Utilities Commission and Human Services Commission have no women of color.

Overall, the representation of minorities on policy bodies with the largest budgets is equal to that of the minority population in San Francisco at 60% and four of the ten largest budgeted bodies have greater minority representation. Following the Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure with 100% minority appointees, the Health Commission at 86% minority appointees, the Aging and Adult Services Commission at 80% minority appointees, and the Police Commission with 71% minority appointees have the next highest minority representation. In contrast, the Airport Commission has the lowest minority representation at 20%.

Table 1: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Largest Budgets

Body	FY17-18 Budget	Total Seats	Filled Seats	% Women	% Minority	% Women of Color
Health Commission	\$ 2,198,181,178	7	7	29%	86%	14%
MTA Board of Directors and Parking Authority Commission	\$ 1,183,468,406	7	7	43%	57%	14%
Public Utilities Commission	\$ 1,052,841,388	5	5	40%	40%	0%
Airport Commission	\$ 987,785,877	5	5	40%	20%	20%
Human Services Commission	\$ 913,783,257	5	5	20%	60%	0%
Health Authority (SF Health Plan Governing Board)	\$ 637,000,000	19	15	40%	54%	23%
Police Commission	\$ 588,276,484	7	7	29%	71%	29%
Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure	\$ 536,796,000	5	4	50%	100%	50%
Fire Commission	\$ 381,557,710	5	5	20%	60%	20%
Aging and Adult Services Commission	\$ 285,000,000	7	5	40%	80%	14%
Total	\$ 8,764,690,300	72	65	35%	60%	18%

Sources: Department Survey, Mayor's Office, 311, FY17-18 Annual Appropriation Ordinance, FY17-18 Mayor's Budget Book.

Commissions and Boards with the smallest budgets exceed parity with the population for women's and minority representation with 58% women and 66% minority appointees and are near parity with 30% women of color appointees compared to 31% of the population. The Long Term Care Coordinating Council has the greatest representation of women at 78%, followed by the Youth Commission at 64%, and the City Hall Preservation Advisory Commission at 60%. Five of the ten smallest budgeted bodies have less than 50% women appointees. The Southeast Community Facility Commission, the Youth Commission, the Housing Authority Commission, and the Public Utilities Rate Fairness Board have more than 30% women of color members.

Of the eight smallest budgeted policy bodies with data on race and ethnicity, more than half have greater representation of racial and ethnic minority and women of color than the population. The Southeast Community Facility Commission has 100% members of color, followed by the Housing Authority Commission at 83%, the Sentencing Commission at 73%, and the Public Utilities Rate Fairness Board at 67% minority appointees. Only the Historic Preservation Commission with 17% minority members, the City Hall Preservation Advisory Commission at 20% minority members, and the Reentry Council with 57% minority members fall below parity with the population.

Table 2: Demographics of Commissions and Boards with Smallest Budgets

Body	FY17-18 Budget	Total Seats	Filled Seats	% Women	% Minority	% Women of Color
Historic Preservation Commission	\$ 45,000	7	6	33%	17%	17%
City Hall Preservation Advisory Commission	\$ -	5	5	60%	20%	20%
Housing Authority Commission	\$ -	7	6	33%	83%	33%
Local Homeless Coordinating Board	\$ -	9	7	43%	n/a	n/a
Long Term Care Coordinating Council	\$ -	40	40	78%	n/a	n/a
Public Utilities Rate Fairness Board	\$ -	7	6	33%	67%	33%
Reentry Council	\$ -	24	23	52%	57%	22%
Sentencing Commission	\$ -	12	12	42%	73%	18%
Southeast Community Facility Commission	\$ -	7	6	50%	100%	50%
Youth Commission	\$ -	17	16	64%	64%	43%
Totals	\$ 45,000	135	127	58%	66%	30%

Sources: Department Survey, Mayor's Office, 311, FY17-18 Annual Appropriation Ordinance, FY17-18 Mayor's Budget Book.

V. Conclusion

Per the 2008 Charter Amendment, the Mayor and Board of Supervisors are encouraged to make appointments to Commissions, Boards, and other policy bodies that reflect the diverse population of San Francisco. While state law prohibits public appointments based solely on gender, race and ethnicity, sexual orientation, or disability status, an awareness of these factors is important when appointing individuals to serve on policy bodies, particularly where they may have been historically underrepresented.

Since the first gender analysis of appointees to San Francisco policy bodies in 2007, there has been a steady increase of female appointees. There has also been a greater representation of women on Commissions as compared to Boards. This continued in 2017 with 54% female Commissioners. However, it is concerning that the percentage of female Board members has dropped from 48% in 2015 to 41% in 2017.

People of color represent 60% of the San Francisco population, yet only represent 53% of appointees to San Francisco Commissions and Boards. There is a greater representation of people of color on Commissions than Boards. However, Commissions have fewer appointees identified as ethnic minorities this year, 57%, than the 60% in 2015, while the representation of people of color on Boards increased from 44% in 2015 to 47% in 2017. There is still a disparity between race and ethnicity on public policy bodies and in the population. Especially Asians and Latinx/Hispanic individuals are underrepresented across Commissions and Boards while there is a higher representation of White and Black/African American appointees than in the general population. Women of color are 31% of the population and comprise 31% of Commissioners compared to 19% of Board members. Meanwhile, men of color are 29% of the population and 26% of Commissioners and Board members.

This year there is more data available on sexual orientation, veteran status, and disability than previous gender analyses. The 2017 gender analysis found that there is a relatively high representation of LGBT individuals on the policy bodies for which there was data at 17%. Veterans are also highly represented at 13%, and the representation of people with a disability in policy bodies almost reaches parity with the population with 11.4% compared to 11.8%.

Finally, the policy bodies with larger budgets have a smaller representation of women at 35% while Commissions and Boards with smallest budgets are 58% female appointees. While minority representation exceeds the population on the policy bodies with both the smallest and largest budgets, women of color are considerably underrepresented on the largest budgeted policy bodies at 18% compared to 31% of the population.

This report is intended to inform appointing authorities, including the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, as they carefully select their designees on key policy bodies of the City & County of San Francisco. In the spirit of the charter amendment that mandated this report, diversity and inclusion should be the hallmark of these important appointments.

Appendix I. 2015 Population Estimates for San Francisco County

The following 2015 San Francisco population statistics were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau's 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates.

Chart 1: 2015 Total Population by Race/Ethnicity

Race/Ethnicity	Total	
	Estimate	Percent
San Francisco County California	840,763	
White, Not Hispanic or Latino	346,732	41%
Asian	284,426	34%
Hispanic or Latino	128,619	15%
Some Other Race	54,388	6%
Black or African American	46,825	6%
Two or More Races	38,940	5%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	3,649	0.4%
American Indian and Alaska Native	2,854	0.3%

Chart 2: 2015 Total Population by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Race/Ethnicity	Total		Male		Female	
	Estimate	Percent	Estimate	Percent	Estimate	Percent
San Francisco County California	840,763	-	427,909	50.9%	412,854	49.1%
White, Not Hispanic or Latino	346,732	41%	186,949	22%	159,783	19%
Asian	284,426	34%	131,641	16%	152,785	18%
Hispanic or Latino	128,619	15%	67,978	8%	60,641	7%
Some Other Race	54,388	6%	28,980	3.4%	25,408	3%
Black or African American	46,825	6%	24,388	3%	22,437	2.7%
Two or More Races	38,940	5%	19,868	2%	19,072	2%
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	3,649	0.4%	1,742	0.2%	1,907	0.2%
American Indian and Alaska Native	2,854	0.3%	1,666	0.2%	1,188	0.1%

Appendix II. Commissions and Boards Demographics

Commission	Total Seats	Filled Seats	FY17-18 Budget	% Women	% Minority	% Women of Color
1 Aging and Adult Services Commission	7	5	\$285,000,000	40%	80%	40%
2 Airport Commission	5	5	\$987,785,877	40%	20%	20%
3 Animal Control and Welfare Commission	10	9	\$-			
4 Arts Commission	15	15	\$17,975,575	60%	53%	27%
5 Asian Art Commission	27	27	\$10,962,397	63%	59%	44%
6 Building Inspection Commission	7	7	\$76,533,699	29%	14%	0%
7 Children and Families Commission (First 5)	9	8	\$31,830,264	100%	63%	63%
8 City Hall Preservation Advisory Commission	5	5	\$-	60%	20%	20%
9 Civil Service Commission	5	5	\$1,250,582	40%	20%	0%
10 Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure	5	4	\$536,796,000	50%	100%	50%
11 Commission on the Environment	7	6	\$23,081,438	83%	67%	50%
12 Commission on the Status of Women	7	7	\$8,048,712	100%	71%	71%
13 Elections Commission	7	7	\$14,847,232	33%	50%	33%
14 Entertainment Commission	7	7	\$987,102	29%	57%	14%
15 Ethics Commission	5	5	\$4,787,508	33%	67%	33%
16 Film Commission	11	11	\$1,475,000	55%	36%	36%
17 Fire Commission	5	5	\$381,557,710	20%	60%	20%
18 Health Commission	7	7	\$2,198,181,178	29%	86%	14%
19 Historic Preservation Commission	7	6	\$45,000	33%	17%	17%
20 Housing Authority Commission	7	6	\$-	33%	83%	33%
21 Human Rights Commission	11	10	\$4,299,600	60%	60%	50%
22 Human Services Commission	5	5	\$913,783,257	20%	60%	0%
23 Immigrant Rights Commission	15	14	\$5,686,611	64%	86%	50%
24 Juvenile Probation Commission	7	7	\$41,683,918	29%	86%	29%
25 Library Commission	7	5	\$137,850,825	80%	60%	40%
26 Local Agency Formation Commission	7	4	\$193,168			
27 Long Term Care Coordinating Council	40	40	\$-	78%		
28 Mayor's Disability Council	11	8	\$4,136,890	75%	25%	13%
29 MTA Board of Directors and Parking Authority Commission	7	7	\$1,183,468,406	43%	57%	14%
30 Planning Commission	7	7	\$54,501,361	43%	43%	29%
31 Police Commission	7	7	\$588,276,484	29%	71%	29%
32 Port Commission	5	4	\$133,202,027	75%	75%	50%
33 Public Utilities Commission	5	5	\$1,052,841,388	40%	40%	0%

Commission	Total Seats	Filled Seats	FY17-18 Budget	% Women	% Minority	% Women of Color
34 Recreation and Park Commission	7	7	\$221,545,353	29%	43%	14%
35 Sentencing Commission	12	12	\$-	42%	73%	18%
36 Small Business Commission	7	7	\$1,548,034	43%	50%	25%
37 Southeast Community Facility Commission	7	6	\$-	50%	100%	50%
38 Treasure Island Development Authority	7	7	\$2,079,405	43%	57%	43%
39 Veterans' Affairs Commission	17	15	\$865,518	27%	22%	0%
40 Youth Commission	17	16	\$-	64%	64%	43%
Total	373	350		54%	57%	31%

Board	Total Seats	Filled Seats	FY17-18 Budget	% Women	% Minority	% Women of Color
1 Assessment Appeals Board	24	18	\$653,780	39%	50%	22%
2 Board of Appeals	5	5	\$1,038,570	40%	60%	20%
3 Golden Gate Park Concourse Authority	7	7	\$11,662,000	43%	57%	29%
4 Health Authority (SF Health Plan Governing Board)	19	15	\$637,000,000	40%	54%	23%
5 Health Service Board	7	7	\$11,444,255	29%	29%	0%
6 In-Home Supportive Services Public Authority	12	12	\$207,835,715	58%	45%	18%
7 Local Homeless Coordinating Board	9	7	\$-	43%	86%	
8 Mental Health Board	17	16	\$218,000	69%	69%	50%
9 Oversight Board	7	5	\$152,902	0%	20%	0%
10 Public Utilities Rate Fairness Board	7	6	\$-	33%	67%	33%
11 Reentry Council	24	23	\$-	52%	57%	22%
13 Relocation Appeals Board	5	0	\$-			
12 Rent Board	10	10	\$8,074,900	30%	50%	10%
14 Retirement System Board	7	7	\$97,622,827	43%	29%	29%
15 Urban Forestry Council	15	14	\$92,713	20%	0%	0%
16 War Memorial Board of Trustees	11	11	\$26,910,642	55%	18%	18%
17 Workforce Investment Board	27	27	\$62,341,959	26%	44%	7%
Total	213	190		41%	47%	19%

	Total Seats	Filled Seats	FY17-18 Budget	% Women	% Minority	% Women of Color
Commissions and Boards Total	586	540		49.4%	53%	27%



To: San Francisco Board of Supervisors, Rules Committee
Supervisor Ahsha Safai
Supervisor Norman Yee
Supervisor Catherine Stefani

July 23, 2018

RE: 07/25/18 Agenda items 180745, 180746, 180747 & 180748 (Re-appointment of Planning Commissioners Dennis Richards, Kathrin Moore, Rodney Fong & Milicent Johnson)

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

We are writing today to support the re-appointment of four Planning Commissioners to the Planning Commission. Commissioners Dennis Richards, Kathrin Moore, Rodney Fong and Milicent Johnson have all been attentive and considerate public servants with regard to making planning decisions throughout the city. In our particular neighborhood along the Polk Street corridor, they have consistently listened to our community's thoughts on a number of land-use issues and proposed development projects.

Given these times of great change in San Francisco, we would appreciate the continued steady hand of these four individuals serving on the Planning Commission. Richards, Moore, Fong and Johnson have all demonstrated that they are not beholden to a particular political agenda, but rather listen to all sides and stakeholders before making a decision. We sincerely appreciate their commitment to the city.

Best Regards,

Adam Mayer
Board Member, Lower Polk Neighbors

Somera, Alisa (BOS)

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Sent: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 11:35 AM
To: BOS-Supervisors; Somera, Alisa (BOS)
Subject: FW: Please Reject Rodney Fong For Reappointment To Planning Commission (File: 180747)

From: Jordan Davis [mailto:jodav1026@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 9:58 AM
To: Mayor London Breed (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Reject Rodney Fong For Reappointment To Planning Commission (File: 180747)

Dear Mayor Breed and Supervisors,

I am writing to you today as an advocate for vulnerable communities to reject Rodney Fong's reappointment to the Planning Commission. I have sat through many long planning commission meetings while he was chairing it, and he has shown his disdain to community concerns (especially from low income and/or communities of color) about big luxury development in neighborhoods such as the Mission, which has been undergoing rapid gentrification. We are in a housing crisis, and while it would not be wise to be a NIMBY to all new development or density, it's that all new housing and business development needs to be equitable and serve the community in which it will be located.

As for Mayor Breed, I have admired your ability to appoint people who were to the left of you for various positions including the Planning Commission while you were President of the Board Of Supervisors. You promised to be a mayor for all San Franciscans; you won because enough Jane Kim supporters put you in as their #2, and I sincerely hope that you can continue this. We need more Myrna Melgars or Kathryn Moores to the Planning Commission, not more Rodney Fongs.

Please do the right thing, we are in a housing crisis, and we need to make sure that the development that is approved won't further exacerbate the displacement happening in this city.

-Jordan

