File No. <u>180871</u>

Committee Item No. <u>3</u> Board Item No. <u>32</u>

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

| Committee: | Government Audit and Oversight | Date: | <u>Sept. 19, 2018</u> |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-------|-----------------------|
| Board of Sup | pervisors Meeting: | Date: | Sept. 25, 2018 |

Cmte Board

| | | Motion |
|-------------|-------------|--|
| \boxtimes | \boxtimes | Resolution - VERSION 2 |
| | | Ordinance |
| | | Legislative Digest |
| | | Budget and Legislative Analyst Report |
| | | Youth Commission Report |
| | | Introduction Form |
| | | Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report |
| | | MOU |
| | | Grant Information Form |
| | | Grant Budget |
| | | Subcontract Budget |
| \boxtimes | \boxtimes | Contract/Agreement |
| | | Form 126 – Ethics Commission |
| | | Award Letter |
| | | Application |
| | | Public Correspondence |
| отц | CD. | |

OTHER

| | \boxtimes | Planning Presentation - September 19, 2018 |
|-------------|-------------|---|
| | \boxtimes | Planning Memo - September 19, 2018 |
| | \boxtimes | Assessor's Valuations - September 19, 2018 |
| \square | \boxtimes | CEQA Determination - September 13, 2018 |
| \boxtimes | \boxtimes | Referrals FYI and CEQA - September 10, 2018 |
| \square | | |

| Prepared by: | John Carroll | Date: | Sept. 14, 2018 |
|--------------|--------------|-------|----------------|
| Prepared by: | John Carroll | Date: | Sept. 21, 2018 |

FILE NO. 180871

[Non-Renewal of a Mills Act Historical Property Contract - 973 Market Street]

Resolution regarding non-renewal of a Mills Act historical property contract with Raintree 973 Market Newco LLC, the owner of 973 Market Street (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3704, Lot No. 069), under Chapter 71 of the San Francisco Administrative Code; notifying the Assessor-Recorder's Office of such non-renewal; and authorizing the Planning Director to send notice of the non-renewal of the historical property contract to the owner and record a notice of non-renewal.

AMENDED IN COMMITTE 9/19/18

RESOLUTION NO.

WHEREAS, The California Mills Act (Government Code, Section 50280 et seq.) authorizes local governments to enter into a contract with the owners of a qualified historical property, as defined in the Act, who agree to rehabilitate, restore, preserve, and maintain the property in return for property tax reductions under the California Revenue and Taxation Code; and

WHEREAS, Chapter 71 of the Administrative Code was adopted to implement the Mills Act in San Francisco and to preserve these historic buildings; and

WHEREAS, Under the Mills Act and Chapter 71, a year is added automatically to the initial term of the contract at the anniversary date of the contract, unless notice of non-renewal is given as provided as prescribed in the Mills Act; and

WHEREAS, A Mills Act application for an historical property contract was submitted by Raintree 973 Market Newco LLC, the owner of 973 Market Street (Assessor's Block No. 3704, Lot No. 069), detailing rehabilitation work and proposing a maintenance plan for the property; and

WHEREAS, At a public hearing on December 12, 2017, in Resolution No. 454-17, and after reviewing the Historic Preservation Commission's recommendation and the information

Supervisor Peskin BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 1

provided by the Assessor's Office, the Board of Supervisors approved the historical property contract between Raintree 973 Market Newco LLC, the owner of 973 Market Street, and the City and County of San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, When it considered the approval of the historical property contract, the Board of Supervisors balanced the benefits of the Mills Act to the owner of 973 Market Street with the cost to the City of providing the property tax reductions authorized by the Mills Act, as well as the historical value of 973 Market Street and the resultant property tax reductions, and determined that it was in the public interest to enter into a historical property contract with the applicants; and

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors also desired that the historical property contract for 973 Market Street have a term of not more than ten years in order to better achieve such balance between the benefits of the Mills Act to the owner and the costs to the City; and

WHEREAS, The historical property contract for 973 Market Street was recorded at the Assessor Recorder Office on April 13, 2018, which is the anniversary date of the contract; and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this Resolution comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code, Sections 21000 et seq.); said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 180871, is incorporated herein by reference, and the Board herein affirms it; and now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby elects not to renew the historical property contract for 973 Market Street (Assessor's Block No. 3704, Lot No. 069); and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby notifies the Assessor Recorder of the non-renewal of the historical property contract for 973 Market Street; and, be

Supervisor Peskin BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

it

1

2

3

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the Planning Director to send notice at least 60 days before the anniversary date to the owner of 973 Market Street informing them that the historical property contract will not be renewed; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the Planning Director to cause a notice of the non-renewal of the contract to be recorded in the City Recorder's office.

Supervisor Peskin BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MILLS ACT CONTRACTS UNDER NON-RENEWAL STATUS

| | | Year 1 | Year 2 9 Yrs Remaining | Year 3 8 Yrs Remaining | Year 4 7 Yrs Remaining | Year 5 6 Yrs Remaining | Year 6 5 Yrs Remaining | • Year 7 4 Yrs Remaining | Year 8 3 Yrs Remaining | Year 9 2 Yrs Remaining | Year 10 1 Yr Remaining |
|---------------------|---|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 216 Halght / 55 Laguna APN 0867-002 & 005 23 Apartment Unita - Non Owner Occupied | 2018 FBYV | 2019 FBYV | 2020 FBYV | 2021 FBYV | 2022 FBYV | 2023 FBYV | 2024 FBYV | 2025 FBYV | 2026 FBYV | 2027 FBYV |
| | (a) Factored Base Year Value as Unrestricted | \$ 10,605,188 | \$10,817,292 | \$11,033,638 | \$11,254,310 | \$11,479,397 | \$11,708,984 | \$11,943,164 | \$12,182,027 | \$12,425,668 | \$12,674,181 |
| E E | (b) Current Market Value | \$ 12,680,310 | \$12,933,916 | \$13,192,595 | \$13,456,446 | \$13,725,575 | \$14,000,087 | \$14,280,089 | \$14,565,690 | \$14,857,004 | \$15,154,144 |
| Rolling 10-Yea | (c) Restricted Value | \$ 8,180,000 | \$ 8,343,600 | \$ 8,510,472 | \$ 8,680,681 | \$ 8,854,295 | \$ 9,031,381 | \$ 9,212,009 | \$ 9,396,249 | \$ 9,584,174 | \$ 9,775,857 |
| | (d) Taxable Mills Act Value [lowest of (a), (b), or (c)] | \$ 8,180,000 | \$ 8,343,600 | \$ 8,510,472 | \$ 8,680,681 | \$ 8,854,295 | \$.9,031,381 | \$ 9,212,009 | \$ 9,396,249 | \$ 9,584,174 | \$ 9,775,857 |
| 폐 | (e) Difference Between Unrestricted & Restricted [(a) & (c)] | NA | \$ 2,473,692 | \$ 2,523,166 | \$ 2,573,629 | \$ 2,625,101 | \$ 2,677,604 | \$ 2,731,156 | \$ 2,785,779 | \$ 2,841,494 | \$ 2,898,324 |
| X là e | (f) Present Worth Factor | NA | 0,702587 | 0.730690 | 0.759918 | 0.790315 | 0.821927 | 0,854804 | 0.888996. | 0.924556 | 0.961538 |
| in the intervention | (g) Present Worth of Difference (PW1 @ 4% for Remaining Yrs, | NA | \$ 1,737,984 | \$ 1,843,652 | \$ 1,955,747 | \$ 2,074,657 | \$ 2;200,795 | \$ 2,334,603 | \$ 2,476,546 | \$ 2,627,121 | \$ 2,786,849 |
| 4.00 + | (h) Plus Restricted Value (c) | NA | \$ 8,343,600 | \$ 8,510,472 | \$ 8,680,681 | \$ 8,854,295 | \$ 9,031,381 | \$ 9,212,009 | \$ 9,396,249 | \$ 9,584,174 | \$ 9,775,857 |
| N = | (I) Restricted Value in Non-Renewal Status | NA · | \$10,081,584 | \$10,354,124 | \$10,636,428 | \$10,928,952 | \$11,232,176 | \$11,546,611 | \$11,872,795 | \$12,211,294 | \$12,562,706 |
| | Non-Renewal Status of (i) Gradually Approaches FBYV in (a) | \$ (2,425,188) | \$ (735,708) | \$ (679,514) | \$ (617,882) | \$ (550,444) | \$ (476,809) | \$ (396,553) | \$ (309,233) | \$ (214,374) | \$ (111,475) |

| | | | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 8 | Year 9 | Year 10 |
|-------------------|-----|--|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | | | | 9 Yrs Remaining | 8 Yrs Remaining | 7 Yrs Remaining | 8 Yrs Remaining | 5 Yrs Remaining | 4 Yrs Remaining | 3 Yrs Remaining | 2 Yrs Remaining | 1 Yr Remaining |
| · · | | 527 Waller | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | APN 0864-022 | 2018 FBYV | 2019 FBYV | 2020 FBYV | 2021 FBYV | 2022 FBYV | 2023 FBYV | 2024 FBYV | 2026 FBYV | 2026 FBYV | 2027 FBYV |
| | | 2 Apartment Units - One Owner Occupied / One Non Owner | | | | | 2 | | | | | |
| | | (a) Factored Base Year Value as Unrestricted | \$ 3,770,795 | \$ 3,846,211 | \$ 3,923,135 | \$ 4,001,598 | \$ 4,081,630 | \$ 4,163,262 | \$ 4,246,528 | \$ 4,331,458 | \$ 4,418,087 | \$ 4,506,449 |
| E E | | (b) Current Market Value | \$ 3,700,000 | \$ 3,774,000 | \$ 3,849,480 | \$ 3,926,470 | \$ 4,004,999 | \$ 4,085,099 | \$ 4,166,801 | \$ 4,250,137 | \$ 4,335,140 | \$ 4,421,843 |
| Rolling 10-Yea | | (c). Restricted Value | \$ 1,500,000 | \$ 1,530,000 | \$ 1,560,600 | \$ 1,591,812 | \$ 1,623,648 | \$.1,656,121 | \$ 1,689,244 | \$ 1,723,029 | \$ 1,757,489 | \$ 1,792,639 |
| | ·] | (d) Taxable Mills Act Value [lowest of (a), (b), or (c)] | \$ 1,500,000 | \$ 1,530,000. | \$ 1,560,600 | \$ 1,591,812 | \$ 1,623,648 | \$ 1,656,121 | \$ 1,689,244 | \$ 1,723,029 | \$ 1,757,489 | \$ 1,792,639 |
| E. | | (e) Difference Between Unrestricted & Restricted ((a) & (c)) | NA | \$ 2,316,211 | \$ 2,362,535 | \$ 2,409,786 | \$ 2,457,982 | \$ 2,507,141 | \$ 2,557,284 | \$ 2,608,430 | \$ 2,660,598 | \$ 2,713,810 |
| ls lev | x | (f) Present Worth Factor | NA | 0.702587 | 0.730690 | 0.759918 | 0.790315 | 0.821927 | 0.854804 | 0.888996 | 0,924556 | 0.961538 |
| Rer | = | (g) Present Worth of Difference (PW1 @ 4% for Remaining Yrs. | NA | \$ 1,627,340 | \$ 1,726,281 | \$ 1,831,240 | \$ 1,942,580 | \$ 2,060,687 | \$ 2,185,977 | \$ 2,318,884 | \$ 2,459,872 | \$ 2,609,432 |
| 1 5 0 | + | (h) Plus Restricted Value (c) | NA | \$ 1,530,000 | \$ 1,560,600 | \$ 1,591,812 | \$ 1,623,648 | \$ 1,656,121 | \$ 1,689,244 | \$ 1,723,029 | \$ 1,757,489 | \$ 1,792,639 |
| Ŷ | = | (i) Restricted Value In Non-Renewal Status | NA | \$ 3,157,340 | \$ 3,286,881 | \$ 3,423,052 | \$ 3,566,228 | \$ 3,716,808 | \$ 3,875,220 | \$ 4,041,912 | \$ 4,217,361 | \$ 4,402,071 |
| - | | Non-Renewal Status of (I) Gradually Approaches FBYV in (a) | \$ (2,270,795) | \$ (688,871) | \$ (636,254) | \$ (578,546) | \$ (515,402) | \$ (446,454) | \$ (371,307) | \$ (289,546) | \$ (200,726) | \$ (104,379) |

| | | | Year 1 | Year 2 9 Yrs Remaining | Year 3 8 Yrs Remaining | Year 4 7 Yrs Remaining | Year 5 6 Yrs Remaining | Year 6 5 Yrs Remaining | Year 7 4 Yrs Remaining | Year 8 3 Yrs Remaining | Year 9 2 Yrs Remaining | Year 10 1 Yr Remaining |
|--------------------|---|---|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | 973 Market APN 3704-069 69 Apartment Units - Non Owner Occupied | 2018 FBYV | 2019 FBYV | 2020 FBYV | 2021 FBYV | 2022 FBYV | 2023 FBYV | 2024 FBYV | 2025 FBYV | 2026 FBYV | 2027 FBYV |
| | T | (a) Factored Base Year Value as Unrestricted | \$ 33,977,839 | \$34,657,396 | \$35,350,544 | \$36,057,555 | \$38,778,706 | \$37,514,280 | \$38,264,565 | \$39,029,857 | \$39,810,454 | \$40,606,663 |
| Rolling 10-Year | | (b) Current Market Value | \$ 36,100,000 | \$36,822,000 | \$37,558,440 | \$38,309,609 | \$39,075,801 | \$39,857,317 | \$40,654,463 | \$41,467,553 | \$42,296,904 | \$43,142,842 |
| 23 | | (c) Restricted Value | \$ 20,800,000 | \$21,216,000 | \$21,640,320 | \$22,073,126 | \$22,514,589 | \$22,964,881 | \$23,424,178 | \$23,892,662 | \$24,370,515 | \$24,857,925 |
| L - | | (d) Taxable Mills Act Value. [lowest of (a), (b), or (c)] | \$ 20,800,000 | \$21,216,000 | \$21,640,320 | \$22,073,126 | \$22,514,589 | \$22,964,881 | \$23,424,178 | \$23,892,662 | \$24,370,515 | \$24,857,925 |
| 10 | T | (e) Difference Between Unrestricted & Restricted ((a) & (c)) | NA | \$13,441,396 | \$13,710,224 | \$13,984,428 | \$14,264,117 | \$14,549,399 | \$14,840,387 | \$15,137,195 | \$15,439,939 | \$15,748,737 |
| e e | X | (f) Present Worth Factor | NA | 0.702587 | 0,730690 | 0.759918 | 0,790315 | 0.821927 | 0.854804 | 0,888996 | 0,924556 | 0,961538 |
| at de | = | (g) Present Worlh of Difference (PW1 @ 4% for Remaining Yrs. | NA | \$ 9,443,750 | \$10,017,923 | \$10,627,019 | \$11,273,145 | \$11,958,544 | \$12,685,622 | \$13,456,906 | \$14,275,088 | \$15,143,010 |
| こち | + | (h) Plus Restricted Value (c) | NA | \$21,216,000 | \$21,640,320 | \$22,073,126 | \$22,514,589 | \$22,964,881 | \$23,424,178 | \$23,892,662 | \$24,370,515 | \$24,867,925 |
| Ž | = | (I) Restricted Value in Non-Renewal Status | NA | \$30,659,750 | \$31,658,243 | \$32,700,145 | \$33,787,734 | \$34,923,425 | \$36,109,801 | \$37,349,568 | \$38,645,603 | \$40,000,935 |
| | | Non-Renewal Status of (I) Gradually Approaches FBYV in (a) | \$(13,177,839) | \$ (3,997,646) | \$ (3,692,300) | \$ (3,357,409) | \$ (2,990;971) | \$ (2,590,855) | \$ (2,154,765) | \$ (1,680,289) | \$ (1,164,851) | \$ (605,728) |

. .

REMARKS AND ASSUMPTIONS: (1) Mills Act contracts are for a rolling 10-year term. At the end of each year, an additional year is automatically added to the contract unless the contract is not renewed.

(2) The valuation of a Mills Act property in non-renewal status results in the restricted value gradually approaching the property's factored base year value
(3) Because of variables which change each year, the Assessor is not able to provide the exact restricted value or market value in future years. Variables include: Market rent, operating expenses, interest rate, and tax rate.

(4) In the three examples, a 2% growth rate was applied to the factored base year value, current market value, and the restricted value.

:

.



215 and 229 Haight Street (formerly 55 Laguna Street, District 8) Landmark Nos. 257 and 258







627 Waller Street (District 8) Duboce Park Historic District



973 Market Street (District 6) Market Street Theater and Loft National Register Historic District





Carroll, John (BOS)

| From: | Carroll, John (BOS) |
|-------------|--|
| Sent: | Tuesday, September 18, 2018 3:48 PM |
| То: | Kim, Jane (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); 'Brown, Vallie (vallie.brown@sfgov.org)'; 'Calvillo, Angela (angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)' |
| Cc: | Frye, Tim (CPC); Starr, Aaron (CPC); Sider, Dan (CPC); Ferguson, Shannon (CPC); Duong, Noelle (BOS); 'Angulo, Sunny (sunny.angulo@sfgov.org)'; Cancino, Juan Carlos (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS) |
| Subject: | RE: GAO - September 19, 2018 - Planning Documents for Mills Act Contract Non-Renewals |
| Categories: | 180871, 180870, 180869, 2018.09.19 - GAO |

Good afternoon, again, Chair Kim and GAO committee.

Planning has provided one more document relevant to tomorrow's Mills Act resolutions. Please find the following link to the Assessor's Valuations for the Mills Act contracts currently in effect:

Assessor Valuations

John Carroll Assistant Clerk Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Carroll, John (BOS)

Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2018 1:40 PM

To: Kim, Jane (BOS) <jane.kim@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; 'Brown, Vallie (vallie.brown@sfgov.org)' <vallie.brown@sfgov.org>; 'Calvillo, Angela (angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)' <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>

Cc: Frye, Tim (CPC) <tim.frye@sfgov.org>; Starr, Aaron (CPC) <aaron.starr@sfgov.org>; Sider, Dan (CPC) <dan.sider@sfgov.org>; Ferguson, Shannon (CPC) <Shannon.Ferguson@sfgov.org>; Duong, Noelle (BOS) <noelle.duong@sfgov.org>; 'Angulo, Sunny (sunny.angulo@sfgov.org)' <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>; Cancino, Juan Carlos (BOS) <juancarlos.cancino@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org> Subject: RE: GAO - September 19, 2018 - Planning Documents for Mills Act Contract Non-Renewals

Good afternoon, Chair Kim and members of the GAO committee.

San Francisco Mills Act Contracts

| Contract # | Address | Property Owner | Historic Name | Block/Lot | Type of Landmark | Contract Approved by BOS | BOS File No. | 2017-2018 Base Value | 2017-2018 Mills Act Taxable Value | \$ Reduction In Assessed Value | % Reduction In Assessed Value | Property Tax Savings (1:1723%) | Supervis District |
|---------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1 | 450 Bush St. | Alice Carey | Fire Station #2 | 0270/041 | Article 10 (#143) Article 11 (KMMS- | 5/13/2002 | 02-0640 | \$ 2,431,442 | \$ 2,431,442 | \$- | 0.00% | \$0, | 4 |
| . 2 | 1080 Haight St. | Fellmore Managment, LLC | John C. Spencer House | 1236/018 | National Register | 5/15/2007 | 07-0385 | \$ 4,635,120 | \$ 3,550,000 | \$ (1,085,120) | -23.41% | -\$12,721 | 5 |
| • 3 | 1735 Franklin St. | Tad & Masumi Oride | Brandenstein House | 0641/002 | Article 10 (#126) | 8/7/2007 | 07-0701 | \$ 3,003,117 | \$ 2,827,467 | \$ (175,650) | -5.85% | -\$2,059 | 2 |
| 4 | 690 Market St. | RC Chronicle Bldg., LP | Chronicle Bidg. | 0311/016 | Article 11 Cat. Il | 11/4/2008 | 08-1410 | \$107,993,060 | \$ 63,471,317 | \$ (44,521,743) | -41.23% | -\$521,928 | 3 |
| 5 | 1818 California St. | Nakamura FLP | Lilienthal-Orville Pratt House | 0641/004 | Article 10 (#55) | 12/3/2010 | 09-1106 | \$ 4,042,716 | \$ 2,322,562 | \$ (1,720,154) | -42.55% | -\$20,165 | 2 |
| 6 | 201 Buchannan | Howard Stien and Jason Stien | Nightengale House | 0858/002 | Article 10 (#47) | 7/30/2013 | 13-0623 | \$ 1,738,460 | \$ 1,670,000 | \$ (68,460) | -3.94% | -\$803 | 5 |
| 7 | 2550 Webster St. | Gregory & Gloria McCandless | Bourn Mansion | 0580/013 | Article 10 (#38) | 12/16/2013 | 13-0479 | \$ 3,203,037 | \$ 3,029,429 | \$ (173,608) | -5.42% | -\$2,035 | 2 |
| 8 | 3769 20th St, | Thomas Ranese & Brian Jackson | N/A | 3607/062 | Article 10 (Liberty Hill) | 12/16/2013 | 13-0521 | \$ 2,052,382 | \$ 1,190,000 | \$ (862,382) | -42.02% | -\$10,110 | 8 |
| 9 | 1019 Market St. | Coby Durnin (Sentinel Development) | Carpets & Furniture Bldg. | 3703/076 | National Register (Market Street | 12/16/2013 | 13-0506 | \$ 49,965,526 | \$ 42,320,000 | \$ (7,645,526) | -15.30% | -\$89,629 | 6 |
| 10 | 1772 Vallejo St. | John Moran | Burr Mansion | 0552/029 | Article 10 (# 31) | 12/16/2013 | 13-0463 | \$ 6,631,500 | \$ 2,147,000 | \$ (4,484,500) | -67.62% | -\$52,572 | 2 |
| 11 | 50 Carmelita St. | Adam Spiegel | N/A | 0864/011 | Article 10 (Duboce Park) | 12/16/2013 | 13-0522 | \$ 2,780,542 | \$ 1,160,000 | \$ (1,620,542) | -58.28% | -\$18,998 | 8 |
| 12 | 66 Carmelita St. | Bone Family Trust | N/A | 0864/015 | Article 10 (Duboce Park) | 12/16/2013 | 13-0577 | \$ 2,194,449 | \$ 1,052,380 | \$ (1,142,069) | -52.04%- | -\$13,388 | 8 |
| 13 | 56 Pierce St. | Adam Wilson | N/A | 0865/013 | Article 10 (Duboce Park) | 12/16/2013 | 13-1157 | \$ 1,629,295 | \$ 1,240,000 | \$ (389,295) | -23.89% | -\$4,564 | 8 |
| 14 | 64 Plerce St. | Jean Paul Balajadia | N/A | 0865/015 | Article 10 (Duboce Park) | 12/16/2013 | 13-1158 | \$ 2,745,321 | \$ 1,160,000 | \$ (1,585,321) | -57.75% | -\$18,585 | 8 |
| 15 | 56 Potomac St. | Karli Sager | N/A | 0866/012 | Article 10 (Duboce Park) | 12/16/2013 | 13-1159 | \$ 1,129,369 | \$ 750,000 | \$ (379,369) | -33.59% | -\$4,447 | . 8 |
| 16 | 66 Potomac St. | Adam Wilson . | N/A | 0866/015 | Article 10 (Duboce Park) | 12/16/2013 | 13-1160 | \$ 1,743,056 | \$ 1,080,000 | \$ (663,056) | -38.04% | -\$7,773 | 8 |
| 17 | 68 Pierce St. | Diarmuid Russel & Heather Podruchny | N/A | 0865/016 | Article 10 (Duboce Park) | 11/25/2014 | 14-1102 | \$ 1,649,908 | \$ 980,000 | \$ (669,908) | -40.60% | -\$7,853 | 8 |
| 18 | 563-567 Waller St. | Brandon Miller & Jay Zalewski | N/A | 0865/025 | Article 10 (Duboce Park) | 11/25/2014 | 14-1103 | \$ 2,406,146 | \$ 1,890,000 | \$ (516,146) | -21.45% | -\$6,051 | 8 |
| 19 | 621 Waller St. | Claude & Renee Zellweger | N/A | 0864/023 | Article 10 (Duboce Park) | 11/25/2014 | 14-1104 | \$ 2,196,627 | \$ 980,000 | \$ (1,216,627) | ~55.39% | -\$14,263 | 8 |
| 20 | 722 Steiner St. | Come Lague | Postcard Row/Painted Ladies | 0803/023 | Article 10 (Alamo Square) | 12/8/2015 | 15-1065 | \$ 3,390,700 | \$ 1,800,000 | \$ (1,590,700) | -45.91% | -\$18,648 | 5 |
| 21 | 807 Montgomery | 807 Montgomery LLC | N/A | 0176/005 | Article 10 (Jackson Square) | 12/15/2015 | 15-1066 | \$ 5,416,987 | \$ 5,416,987 | \$ - | 0.00% | \$0 | 3 |
| 22 | 761 Post St. | RLI C San Francisco LP | Maurice Hotel | 0304/015 | National Register (Lower Nob Hill | 12/8/2015 | 15-1067 | \$ 34,487,172 | \$ 34,487,172 | \$ - | 0.00% | \$0 | 3 |
| 23 | 1036 Vallejo St. | Klandokht Beyzavi & Hamid Amiri | N/A | 0127/007 | National Register (Russian Hill- | 11/29/2016 | 16-1098 | \$ 2,040,000 | \$ 1,490,000 | \$ (550,000) | -26.96% | -\$6,448 | 3 |
| 24 | 101-105 Steiner St. | Jason Monberg & Karli Sager | N/A | 0866/009 | Article 10 (Duboce Park) | 11/29/2016 | 15-1100 | \$ 2,809,700 | \$ 1,620,000 | \$ (1,189,700) | -42.34% | -\$13,947 | 8 |
| 25 | 361 Oak St. | Christopher J. Ludwig & Liesi Ludwig | Fassett-Reis-Meagher House | 0839/023 | California Register (Hayes Valley) | 11/29/2016 | 16-1099 | \$ 2,652,599 | \$ 1,230,000 | \$ (1,422,599) | -53.63% | -\$16,677 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | 2017-2018 | SUBTOTAL LOSS | IN PROPERTY T | AX REVENUE | -\$863,662 | |

San Francisco Mills Act Contracts

| Contract # | Address | Property Owner | Historic Name | Block/Lot | Type of Landmark | Contract Approved by BOS | BOS File No. | 2017-2018 Base Value | Taxable | All states and the second second second | % Reduction In Assessed Value | Savings | Supervisor District |
|---------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-----------|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| 26 | 55 Laguna St. | Alta Laguna LLC | Woods Hall and Woods Hall Annex- | 0857/002 | Article 10 (#257, #2 <u>58)</u> | 12/6/2017 | 171098 | \$ 10,397,244 | \$ 8,180,000 | \$ (2,217,244) | -21.33% | -\$26,146 | 8 |
| 27 | 101 Vallejo St. | 1855 Front Street LLC | Gibb-Sanborn Warehouses | 0141/013 | Article 10 (#91) | 11/1/2017 | 171101 | \$ 11,745,000 | \$ 8,250,000 | \$ (3,495,000) | -29.76% | -\$41,213 | . 3 |
| 28 | 627 Waller St. | John Hjelmstad & Allison Bransfield | N/A | 0864/022 | Article 10 (Duboce Pa <u>rk)</u> | 12/6/2017 | 171102 | \$ 3,696,858 | \$ 1,500,000 | \$ (2,196,858) | -59.43% | -\$25,905 | 8 |
| 29 | 940 Grove St. | | N/A . | 0798/058 | Article 10 (Alamo Square) | 11/1/2017 | 171103 | \$ 4,637,020 | \$ 1,750,000 | \$ (2,887,020) | -62.26% | -\$34,044 | _ 5 |
| 30 | 973 Market St. | Raintree 973 Market Newco LLC | N/A | 3704/069 | National Register (Marke <u>t Street</u> | 12/6/2017 | 171104 | \$ 33,311,607 | \$ 20,800,000 | \$ (12,511,607) | -37.56% | -\$147,537 | 6 |
| 31 | 60-62 Carmelita St. | Stephen Tom & Patrick Mooney | N/A | 0864/014 | Article 10 (Duboce Pa <u>rk)</u> | 4/3/2018 | 171100 | \$ 1,915,198 | \$ 950,000 | \$ (965,198) | -50.40% | -\$11,382 | 8 |
| | | | · . | | | | | 2017-2018 | SUBTOTAL LOS | IN PROPERTY T | AX REVENUE | \$286,227 | |
| | | · . | | | | | | 2017-201 | B TOTALLOSS I | N PROPERTY TA | GREVENUE | \$1,149,889 | |

~<u>.</u>

í

É

Carroll, John (BOS)

| From: Sent: | Carroll, John (BOS) Tuesday, September 18, 2018 1:40 PM |
|----------------|--|
| To: | Kim, Jane (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); 'Brown, Vallie (vallie.brown@sfgov.org)'; 'Calvillo, |
| | Angela (angela.calvillo@sfgov.org) |
| Cc: | Frye, Tim (CPC); Starr, Aaron (CPC); Sider, Dan (CPC); Ferguson, Shannon (CPC); Duong, Noelle (BOS); 'Angulo, Sunny (sunny.angulo@sfgov.org)'; Cancino, Juan Carlos (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS) |
| Subject: | RE: GAO - September 19, 2018 - Planning Documents for Mills Act Contract Non-Renewals |
| Categories: | 180870, 180871, 2018.09.19 - GAO, 180869 |

Good afternoon, Chair Kim and members of the GAO committee.

The Planning Department has prepared an Executive Summary memo and a deck of images relating to tomorrow's consideration of three resolutions to limit Mills Act historic preservation contracts. These relate to agenda item numbers one through three for tomorrow's meeting.

For your convenience in the Chamber, I have linked each of the documents within the Legislative Research Center. These links are also available below:

<u>Planning Department Executive Summary Memo - September 19, 2018</u> Image Deck - Active Mills Act Contracts - September 19, 2018

I should also mention for the record that the matter of these three Mills Act contracts are on agenda for consideration by the Historic Preservation Commission later in the afternoon tomorrow. Following the HPC's consideration of those matters, I will be sure to update the Board's files to include any reports or resolutions prepared by the Commission. Ms. Ferguson and Mr. Frye, could you please assist with transmitting those documents to me after HPC?

I invite you to review the entire matter on our Legislative Research Center by following the links below:

| • | Board of Supervisors File No. 170869 | - Agenda Item No. 1 |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | Board of Supervisors File No. 170870 | - Agenda Item No. 2 |
| | Board of Supervisors File No. 170871 | - Agenda Item No. 3 |

Thank you for your review.

John Carroll Assistant Clerk Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-4445

do Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a



180869, 180870, 180871

Executive Summary

Non-Renewal of Mills Act Historical Property Contracts HEARING DATE: SEPTEMBER 19, 2018

Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

1650 Mission St.

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

File No.: Initiated by: Staff Contacts:

Reviewed by:

Supervisor Peskin / Introduced September 4, 2018 Shannon Ferguson, Senior Planner shannon.ferguson@sfgov.org, 415-575-9074 Tim Frye, Historic Preservation Officer tim.frye @sfgov.org, 415-558-6362

SUMMARY

The proposed Resolutions would limit the Mills Act historical property contract (Contract) for 215 & 229 Haight Street, 627 Waller Street, and 973 Market Street (subject properties) to a term of ten years in order to better achieve a balance between the benefits of the Mills Act and the costs to the City.

The Way It Is Now:

Under the Mills Act and Administrative Code Chapter 71, one year is added automatically to the initial term of the Contract at the anniversary date of the Contract, unless notice of non-renewal is given.

The Way It Would Be:

The historical property contract for 215 & 229 Haight Street, 627 Waller Street, and 973 Market Street would not be renewed and would be limited to a term of ten years.

BACKGROUND

The California Mills Act (Government Code Section 50280 et seq.) authorizes local governments to enter into a contract with the owners of a qualified historical property who agree to rehabilitate, restore, preserve, and maintain the property in return for property tax reductions under the California Revenue and Taxation Code. Chapter 71 of the Administrative Code was adopted to implement the Mills Act in San Francisco and to preserve these historical properties. The department currently holds 31 active Mills Act contracts (see photos attached separately).

At the time of application in 2017, the subject properties did not have substantial rehabilitation and maintenance needs. In the case of 215 & 229 Haight Street and 973 Market Street, the majority of rehabilitation scopes of work had been completed prior to application submittal. The Mills Act application allows for rehabilitation scopes of work to be completed one year prior to submitting an application.

BOARD ACTION

At a public hearing on October 4, 2017, in Resolutions 901, 905, and 907, the Historic Preservation Commission recommended that the Board of Supervisors approve the Contracts.

www.sfplanning.org

Executive Summary Hearing Date: September 19, 2018

FILE NOS. 180869, 180870, 180871 Non-Renewal of Mills Act Historical Property Contracts

At a public hearing on November 14, 2017 and December 12, 2017, in Resolution Nos. 453-17, 420-17, and 454-17, after reviewing the Historic Preservation Commission's recommendation, the information provided by the Assessor's Office, and the historical value of the properties, the Board of Supervisors balanced the benefits of the Mills Act to the property owners with the cost to the City of providing the property tax reductions authorized by the Mills Act, and approved the Contracts for 215 & 229 Haight Street, 627 Waller Street, and 973 Market Street.

At that time, the Board of Supervisors also expressed interest in limiting the Contracts to a term of ten years in order to better achieve a balance between the benefits of the Mills Act and the costs to the City.

ISSUES AND CONSIDERATIONS

The Contract allows either the property owners or the City to not renew the Contract. If the property owner desires not renew the Contract, they must serve written notice to the City at least ninety (90) days prior to the date of renewal. If the City desires not to renew the Contract, the City must serve written notice to the property owner sixty (60) days prior to the date of renewal. If written notice is not served prior to the renewal date, one year will be automatically added to the term of the Contract. The Board of Supervisors will make the City's determination that the Contract will not be renewed.

If the City desires not to renew the Contract, the City must serve written notice of non-renewal to the property owners 60 days prior to the date of renewal.

If the Board of Supervisors approves non-renewal, the Contract for 215 & 229 Haight Street, 627 Waller Street, and 973 Market Street will be in effect for 10 years only.

The property owners will pay property taxes based on the fair market value of the property after the Contract expires.

Rehabilitation and maintenance work outlined in the Contract will be completed during the 10 year term.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends the Board of Supervisors approve limiting the Contracts to a term of ten years because it will incentivize historic preservation, the rehabilitation and maintenance work will be completed in that ten year term, and it achieves a better balance between the benefits to the property owner and the costs to the City.

Attachments:

Photos of 215 & 229 Haight Street, 627 Waller Street, and 973 Market Street



215 and 229 Haight Street (formerly 55 Laguna Street, District 8) Landmark Nos. 257 and 258









627 Waller Street (District 8) Duboce Park Historic District





973 Market Street (District 6) Market Street Theater and Loft National Register Historic District 了藏白麗市 eventeristics of samesees and the second THEMALSON **blueprint**





2. 1080 Haight Street





3. 1735 Franklin Street





4. 690 Market Street











8. 3769 20th Street



9. 1019 Market Street 11/1 F 1



11. 50 Carmelita Street いいと高に見る INC DEPARTMUTIN

12. 66 Carmelita Street



13. 56 Pierce Street



952

.

14. 64 Pierce Street



15.56 Potomac Street



TO SULANTA





17.68 Pierce Street 4516011147書間116 μ
18. 563-567 Waller Street



19. 621 Waller Street





21. 807 Montgomery Street



22. 761 Post Street

23. 1036 Vallejo Street





24. 101-105 Steiner Street







26. 215 & 229 Haight Street (formerly 55 Laguna)









27. 101 Vallejo Street

()







627 Waller Street (District 8) Duboce Park Historic District





1. 460 Bush Street (c))]; 1 2 Ū

2. 1080 Haight Street



3. 1735 Franklin Street



4. 690 Market Street



5. 1818 California Street









8. 3769 20th Street





9. 1019 Market Street







11. 50 Carmelita Street



12. 66 Carmelita Street 全立なる部じ UN UM livice place 「おいい」 Ш

13. 56 Pierce Street





14. 64 Pierce Street R V Ш 18 1982



16.66 Potomac Street





17.68 Pierce Street



18. 563-567 Waller Street N N N N N الدارية المالة U



20. 722 Steiner Street



.



21. 807 Montgomery Street

1

 $\left(\right)$



1989





23. 1036 Vallejo Street



24. 101-105 Steiner Street




SAN TRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

25. 361 Oak Street

()





SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Í

26. 215 & 229 Haight Street (formerly 55 Laguna)







SAN TRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

27. 101 Vallejo Street



28. 627 Waller Street ŋ Ø



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

30. 973 Market Street



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

31. 60-62 Carmelita Street





Recording Requested by, and when recorded, send notice to: Shannon Ferguson 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2414

San Francisco Assessor-Recorder Carmen Chu, Assessor-Recorder

DOC- 2018-K601183-00 Acct 36-S.F. City Planning Dept. Friday, APR 13, 2018 15:28:26 Itl Pd \$0.00 Rept # 0005789283 car/AB/1-14

CALIFORNIA MILLS ACT HISTORIC PROPERTY AGREEMENT 973 MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

THIS AGREEMENT is entered into by and between the City and County of San Francisco, a California municipal corporation ("City") and Raintree 973 Market Newco LLC ("Owners").

RECITALS

Owners are the owners of the property located at 973 Market Street, in San Francisco, California (Block 3704, Lot 069). The building located at 973 Market Street is designated as a Contributor to the Market Street Theater and Loft National Register Historic District pursuant to Article 10 of the Planning Code, and is also known as the "Historic Property". The Historic Property is a Qualified Historic Property, as defined under California Government Code Section 50280.1.

Owners desire to execute a rehabilitation and ongoing maintenance project for the Historic Property. Owners' application calls for the rehabilitation and restoration of the Historic Property according to established preservation standards, which it estimates will cost one million, four hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$1,450,000.00). (See Rehabilitation Plan, Exhibit A.) Owners' application calls for the maintenance of the Historic Property according to established preservation standards, which is estimated will cost approximately zero dollars (\$00.00) annually (See Maintenance Plan, Exhibit B).

The State of California has adopted the "Mills Act" (California Government Code Sections 50280-50290, and California Revenue & Taxation Code, Article 1.9 [Section 439 et seq.]) authorizing local governments to enter into agreements with property Owners to reduce their property taxes, or to prevent increases in their property taxes, in return for improvement to and maintenance of historic properties. The City has adopted enabling legislation, San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 71, authorizing it to participate in the Mills Act program.

Owners desire to enter into a Mills Act Agreement (also referred to as a "Historic Property Agreement") with the City to help mitigate anticipated expenditures to restore and maintain the Historic Property. The City is willing to enter into such Agreement to mitigate these expenditures and to induce Owners to restore and maintain the Historic Property in excellent condition in the future.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual obligations, covenants, and conditions contained herein, the parties hereto do agree as follows:

1. <u>Application of Mills Act.</u> The benefits, privileges, restrictions and obligations provided for in the Mills Act shall be applied to the Historic Property during the time that this Agreement is in effect commencing from the date of recordation of this Agreement.

2. Rehabilitation of the Historic Property. Owners shall undertake and complete the work set forth in Exhibit A ("Rehabilitation Plan") attached hereto according to certain standards and requirements. Such standards and requirements shall include, but not be limited to: the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties ("Secretary's Standards"); the rules and regulations of the Office of Historic Preservation of the California Department of Parks and Recreation ("OHP Rules and Regulations"); the State Historical Building Code as determined applicable by the City; all applicable building safety standards; and the requirements of the Historic Preservation Commission, the Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, including but not limited to any Certificates of Appropriateness approved under Planning Code Article 10. The Owners shall proceed diligently in applying for any necessary permits for the work and shall apply for such permits within no more than six (6) months after recordation of this Agreement, shall commence the work within six (6) months of receipt of necessary permits, and shall complete the work within three (3) years from the date of receipt of permits. Upon written request by the Owners, the Zoning Administrator, at his or her discretion, may grant an extension of the time periods set forth in this paragraph. Owners may apply for an extension by a letter to the Zoning Administrator, and the Zoning Administrator may grant the extension by letter without a hearing. Work shall be deemed complete when the Director of Planning determines that the Historic Property has been rehabilitated in accordance with the standards set forth in this Paragraph. Failure to timely complete the work shall result in cancellation of this Agreement as set forth in Paragraphs 12 and 13 herein.

3. <u>Maintenance</u>. Owners shall maintain the Historic Property during the time this Agreement is in effect in accordance with the standards for maintenance set forth in Exhibit B ("Maintenance Plan"), the Secretary's Standards; the OHP Rules and Regulations; the State Historical Building Code as determined applicable by the City; all applicable building safety standards; and the requirements of the Historic Preservation Commission, the Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, including but not limited to any Certificates of Appropriateness approved under Planning Code Article 10.

Damage. Should the Historic Property incur damage from any cause whatsoever, which 4. damages fifty percent (50%) or less of the Historic Property, Owners shall replace and repair the damaged area(s) of the Historic Property. For repairs that do not require a permit, Owners shall commence the repair work within thirty (30) days of incurring the damage and shall diligently prosecute the repair to completion within a reasonable period of time, as determined by the City. Where specialized services are required due to the nature of the work and the historic character of the features damaged, "commence the repair work" within the meaning of this paragraph may include contracting for repair services. For repairs that require a permit(s), Owners shall proceed diligently in applying for any necessary permits for the work and shall apply for such permits within no more than sixty (60) days after the damage has been incurred, commence the repair work within one hundred twenty (120) days of receipt of the required permit(s), and shall diligently prosecute the repair to completion within a reasonable period of time, as determined by the City. Upon written request by the Owners, the Zoning Administrator, at his or her discretion, may grant an extension of the time periods set forth in this paragraph. Owners may apply for an extension by a letter to the Zoning Administrator, and the Zoning Administrator may grant the extension by letter without a hearing. All repair work shall comply with the design and standards established for the Historic Property in Exhibits A and B attached hereto and Paragraph 3 herein. In the case of damage to twenty percent (20%) or more of the Historic Property due to a catastrophic event, such as an earthquake, or in the case of damage from any cause whatsoever that destroys more than fifty percent (50%) of the Historic Property, the City and Owners may mutually agree to terminate this Agreement. Upon such termination, Owners shall not be obligated to pay the cancellation fee set forth in Paragraph 13 of this Agreement. Upon such termination, the City shall assess the full value of the Historic Property without regard to any restriction imposed upon the Historic Property by this Agreement and Owners shall

pay property taxes to the City based upon the valuation of the Historic Property as of the date of termination.

5. <u>Insurance</u>. Owners shall secure adequate property insurance to meet Owners' repair and replacement obligations under this Agreement and shall submit evidence of such insurance to the City upon request.

6. <u>Inspections and Compliance Monitoring.</u> Prior to entering into this Agreement and every five years thereafter, and upon seventy-two (72) hours advance notice, Owners shall permit any representative of the City, the Office of Historic Preservation of the California Department of Parks and Recreation, or the State Board of Equalization, to inspect of the interior and exterior of the Historic Property, to determine Owners' compliance with this Agreement. Throughout the duration of this Agreement, Owners shall provide all reasonable information and documentation about the Historic Property demonstrating compliance with this Agreement, as requested by any of the above-referenced representatives.

7. <u>Term.</u> This Agreement shall be effective upon the date of its recordation and shall be in effect for a term of ten years from such date ("Term"). As provided in Government Code section 50282, one year shall be added automatically to the Term, on each anniversary date of this Agreement, unless notice of nonrenewal is given as set forth in Paragraph 9 herein.

8. <u>Valuation</u>. Pursuant to Section 439.4 of the California Revenue and Taxation Code, as amended from time to time, this Agreement must have been signed, accepted and recorded on or before the lien date (January 1) for a fiscal year (the following July 1-June 30) for the Historic Property to be valued under the taxation provisions of the Mills Act for that fiscal year.

9. Notice of Nonrenewal. If in any year of this Agreement either the Owners or the City desire not to renew this Agreement, that party shall serve written notice on the other party in advance of the annual renewal date. Unless the Owners serves written notice to the City at least ninety (90) days prior to the date of renewal or the City serves written notice to the Owners sixty (60) days prior to the date of renewal, one year shall be automatically added to the Term of the Agreement. The Board of Supervisors shall make the City's determination that this Agreement shall not be renewed and shall send a notice of nonrenewal to the Owners. Upon receipt by the Owners of a notice of nonrenewal from the City, Owners may make a written protest. At any time prior to the renewal date, City may withdraw its notice of nonrenewal. If either party serves notice of nonrenewal of this Agreement, this Agreement shall remain in effect for the balance of the period remaining since the original execution or the last renewal of the Agreement, as the case may be. Thereafter, the Owners shall pay property taxes to the City without regard to any restriction imposed on the Historic Property by this Agreement, and based upon the Assessor's determination of the fair market value of the Historic Property as of expiration of this Agreement.

10. <u>Payment of Fees.</u> As provided for in Government Code Section 50281.1 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 71.6, upon filing an application to enter into a Mills Act Agreement with the City, Owners shall pay the City the reasonable costs related to the preparation and approval of the Agreement. In addition, Owners shall pay the City for the actual costs of inspecting the Historic Property, as set forth in Paragraph 6 herein.

11. <u>Default</u>. An event of default under this Agreement may be any one of the following:

(a) Owners' failure to timely complete the rehabilitation work set forth in Exhibit A, in accordance with the standards set forth in Paragraph 2 herein;

(b) Owners' failure to maintain the Historic Property as set forth in Exhibit B, in accordance with the requirements of Paragraph 3 herein;

(c) Owners' failure to repair any damage to the Historic Property in a timely manner, as provided in Paragraph 4 herein;

(d) Owners⁷ failure to allow any inspections or requests for information, as provided in Paragraph 6 herein;

(e) Owners' failure to pay any fees requested by the City as provided in Paragraph 10 herein;

(f) Owners' failure to maintain adequate insurance for the replacement cost of the Historic Property, as required by Paragraph 5 herein; or

(g) Owners' failure to comply with any other provision of this Agreement.

An event of default shall result in Cancellation of this Agreement as set forth in Paragraphs 12 and 13 herein, and payment of the Cancellation Fee and all property taxes due upon the Assessor's determination of the full value of the Historic Property as set forth in Paragraph 13 herein. In order to determine whether an event of default has occurred, the Board of Supervisors shall conduct a public hearing as set forth in Paragraph 12 herein prior to cancellation of this Agreement.

12. <u>Cancellation</u>. As provided for in Government Code Section 50284, City may initiate proceedings to cancel this Agreement if it makes a reasonable determination that Owners have breached any condition or covenant contained in this Agreement, has defaulted as provided in Paragraph 11 herein, or has allowed the Historic Property to deteriorate such that the safety and integrity of the Historic Property is threatened or it would no longer meet the standards for a Qualified Historic Property. In order to cancel this Agreement, City shall provide notice to the Owners and to the public and conduct a public hearing before the Board of Supervisors as provided for in Government Code Section 50285. The Board of Supervisors shall determine whether this Agreement should be cancelled.

13. <u>Cancellation Fee.</u> If the City cancels this Agreement as set forth in Paragraph 12 above, and as required by Government Code Section 50286, Owners shall pay a Cancellation Fee of twelve and one-half percent (12.5%) of the fair market value of the Historic Property at the time of cancellation. The City Assessor shall determine fair market value of the Historic Property without regard to any restriction imposed on the Historic Property by this Agreement. The Cancellation Fee shall be paid to the City Tax Collector at such time and in such manner as the City shall prescribe. As of the date of cancellation, the Owners shall pay property taxes to the City without regard to any restriction imposed on the Historic Property by this Agreement and based upon the Assessor's determination of the fair market value of the Historic Property as of the date of cancellation.

14. <u>Enforcement of Agreement.</u> In lieu of the above provision to cancel the Agreement, the City may bring an action to specifically enforce or to enjoin any breach of any condition or covenant of this Agreement. Should the City determine that the Owners has breached this Agreement, the City shall give the Owners written notice by registered or certified mail setting forth the grounds for the breach. If the Owners do not correct the breach, or do not undertake and diligently pursue corrective action to the reasonable satisfaction of the City within thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of the notice, then the City may, without further notice, initiate default procedures under this Agreement as set forth in Paragraph 12 and bring any action necessary to enforce the obligations of the Owners if it does not enforce or cancel this Agreement.

15. <u>Indemnification</u>. The Owners shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the City and all of its boards, commissions, departments, agencies, agents and employees (individually and collectively, the "City") from and against any and all liabilities, losses, costs, claims, judgments, settlements, damages, liens, fines, penalties and expenses incurred in connection with or arising in whole or in part from: (a) any accident, injury to or death of a person, loss of or damage to

property occurring in or about the Historic Property; (b) the use or occupancy of the Historic Property by the Owners, their Agents or Invitees; (c) the condition of the Historic Property; (d) any construction or other work undertaken by Owners on the Historic Property; or (e) any claims by unit or interval Owners for property tax reductions in excess those provided for under this Agreement. This indemnification shall include, without limitation, reasonable fees for attorneys, consultants, and experts and related costs that may be incurred by the City and all indemnified parties specified in this Paragraph and the City's cost of investigating any claim. In addition to Owners' obligation to indemnify City, Owners specifically acknowledge and agree that they have an immediate and independent obligation to defend City from any claim that actually or potentially falls within this indemnification provision, even if the allegations are or may be groundless, false, or fraudulent, which obligation arises at the time such claim is tendered to Owners by City, and continues at all times thereafter. The Owners' obligations under this Paragraph shall survive termination of this Agreement.

16. <u>Eminent Domain</u>. In the event that a public agency acquires the Historic Property in whole or part by eminent domain or other similar action, this Agreement shall be cancelled and no cancellation fee imposed as provided by Government Code Section 50288.

17. <u>Binding on Successors and Assigns.</u> The covenants, benefits, restrictions, and obligations contained in this Agreement shall run with the land and shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of all successors in interest and assigns of the Owners. Successors in interest and assigns shall have the same rights and obligations under this Agreement as the original Owners who entered into the Agreement.

18. <u>Legal Fees</u>. In the event that either the City or the Owners fail to perform any of their obligations under this Agreement or in the event a dispute arises concerning the meaning or interpretation of any provision of this Agreement, the prevailing party may recover all costs and expenses incurred in enforcing or establishing its rights hereunder, including reasonable attorneys' fees, in addition to court costs and any other relief ordered by a court of competent jurisdiction. Reasonable attorneys' fees of the City's Office of the City Attorney shall be based on the fees regularly charged by private attorneys with the equivalent number of years of experience who practice in the City of San Francisco in law firms with approximately the same number of attorneys as employed by the Office of the City Attorney.

19. <u>Governing Law.</u> This Agreement shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

20. <u>Recordation</u>. Within 20 days from the date of execution of this Agreement, the parties shall cause this Agreement to be recorded with the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco. From and after the time of the recordation, this recorded Agreement shall impart notice to all persons of the parties' rights and obligations under the Agreement, as is afforded by the recording laws of this state.

21. <u>Amendments.</u> This Agreement may be amended in whole or in part only by a written recorded instrument executed by the parties hereto in the same manner as this Agreement.

22. <u>No Implied Waiver</u>. No failure by the City to insist on the strict performance of any obligation of the Owners under this Agreement or to exercise any right, power, or remedy arising out of a breach hereof shall constitute a waiver of such breach or of the City's right to demand strict compliance with any terms of this Agreement.

23. <u>Authority.</u> If the Owners sign as a corporation or a partnership, each of the persons executing this Agreement on behalf of the Owners does hereby covenant and warrant that such entity is a duly authorized and existing entity, that such entity has and is qualified to do business

24. <u>Severability</u>. If any provision of this Agreement is determined to be invalid or unenforceable, the remainder of this Agreement shall not be affected thereby, and each other provision of this Agreement shall be valid and enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law.

25. <u>Tropical Hardwood Ban.</u> The City urges companies not to import, purchase, obtain or use for any purpose, any tropical hardwood or tropical hardwood product.

26. <u>Charter Provisions.</u> This Agreement is governed by and subject to the provisions of the Charter of the City.

27. <u>Signatures.</u> This Agreement may be signed and dated in parts

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement as follows:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO:

DATE (signature) (name), Assessor-Recorder DATE (signature) (name), Director of Planning

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA CITY ATTORNEY

(signature) By: ANDREA (mame), Deputy City Attorney RUIZESQUIDE

DATE: Dec. 19, hol7

RAINTREE 973 MARKET NEWCO LLC, OWNERS



_____(name), _____(title), Owner

OWNER(S)' SIGNATURE(S) MUST BE NOTARIZED. ATTACH PUBLIC NOTARY FORMS HERE.

2006

REHABILITATION PLAN (EXHIBIT A)

| #1 Building Feature: Seismic and Structural | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Rehab/Restoration 🛛 | Maintenance Completed Proposed | | | | | |
| Contract Year for Work | Completion: 2014 | | | | | |
| | he nearest dollar): \$3,75 | | | | | |
| Description of Work | | | | | | |
| The building was updated to meet structural and seismic code requirements. This included work to the building's foundation, construction of concrete sheer walls, and completing floor plate and ceiling work left unfinished by the previous owner. Additional shear walls were constructed, generally with several twelve-inch E-W walls complemented by eight-inch shear walls at the center east. | | | | | | |
| #2 Building Feature: Terra Cotta, North Elevation | | | | | | |
| | | Y | | | | |
| Rehab/Restoration 🛛 | Maintenance | Completed 🛛 | Proposed | | | |

Contract Year for Work Completion: 2014

Total Cost (rounded to the nearest dollar): \$165,382

Description of Work As part of the 2014 rehabilitation, the terra cotta was inspected, evaluated, prepped, repaired, and repainted where necessary.

| Rehab/Restoration M. Maintenance D. Completed M. Proposed D | #3 Building Feature: Windows, North Elevation | | | | |
|--|---|-------------|-------------|------------|--|
| Includy/restoration a maintenance in completed a Troposed in | Rehab/Restoration 🛛 | Maintenance | Completed 🛛 | Proposed 🗆 | |

Contract Year for Work Completion: 2014

Total Cost (rounded to the nearest dollar): \$378,022

Description of Work As part of the 2014 rehabilitation, replacement windows with a traditional fixed center pane with flanking casement-functioning windows in a vinyl frame with clear lowE glazing. The window was provided by ECO Windows, LLC, which manufactures heavy gauge premium quality uPVC windows. The specific product line proposed was the Rehau 4500 series.

| #4 | Building Feature: Stor | efronts, North Elevation | 新闻,新闻日本的学校 。但 |
|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Rehab/Restoration ⊠ | Maintenance | Completed 🛛 | Proposed |
| Contract Year for Work | Completion: 2014 | | |

Total Cost (rounded to the nearest dollar): \$756,045

Description of Work As part of the 2014 rehabilitation, the modern signs at the ground floor were removed. A wood clad commercial storefront system was installed and recessed to expose the columns at the entry. Storefront bulkhead with a 12 inch cast stone base was installed. Columns were inspected and cleaned as appropriate. Fresh air louver was installed over the entry door; louver was painted to match and was flush with the door face.

| #5 | Building Feature: Wir | dows, South Elevation | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Rehab/Restoration 🛛 | Maintenance | Completed 🛛 | Proposed 🗆 |
| Contract Year for Worl | Completion: 2014 | | |
| Total Cost (rounded to | the nearest dollar): \$378, | 022 | |
| Description of Work | | | |

The replacement windows are a traditional fixed center pane with flanking casement-functioning windows in a vinyl frame with clear lowE glazing. The window was provided by ECO Windows, LLC, which manufactures heavy gauge premium quality uPVC windows. The specific product line proposed was the Rehau 4500 series.

#6 Building Feature: Fire Escape, South Elevation Rehab/Restoration 🛛 Maintenance Completed ⊠ Proposed Contract Year for Work Completion: 2014 Total Cost (rounded to the nearest dollar): \$232,000

Description of Work The fire escape was inspected, repaired, and repainted. It no longer serves as a means of egress.

| # 7 .44.54.44.44.45 | Building Feature: Ma | sonry, South Elevation | 的形式是非常是 | |
|---|----------------------|------------------------|----------|--|
| Rehab/Restoration 🖾 | Maintenance | Completed 🛛 | Proposed | |
| Contract Year for Work Completion: 2014 | | | | |
| Contract Tear for Work | Completion. 2014 | 255 | | |

Total Cost (rounded to the nearest dollar): \$110,255

Description of Work Brick façade was repaired in many locations and failed lintels were reinforced and replaced where required. The entire rear elevation was re-pointed. At the first floor level, a concrete beam was installed at floor level 1Al, approximately nine-feet above grade, and it was painted to blend. Above the beam, a new metal framed awning style window was installed in groups of three.

8 Building Feature: East Elevation Rehab/Restoration Maintenance Completed ⊠ Proposed Contract Year for Work Completion: 2014 Total Cost (rounded to the nearest dollar): \$55,127 Description of Work Limited work occurred on this elevation. The brick and mortar were inspected and repaired in kind.

| # .9 | Building Feature: | Rooftop | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Rehab/Restoration 🛛 | Maintenance 🗆 | Completed 🛛 | Proposed |
| Contract Year for Wor | k Completion: 2014 | · . | |
| Total Cost (rounded to | the nearest dollar): \$3 | 320,268 | |
| Description of Work | | | |
| The original working s | urface was refinished | with adequate sloping and | a new 50-year PVC roof |

membrane was installed in connection with new roof drains.

| #10 | Building Feature: Win | Building Feature: Windows, North Elevation | | |
|--|-----------------------|--|------------|--|
| Rehab/Restoration 🛛 | Maintenance 🗆 | Completed | Proposed 🖾 | |
| Contract Year for Work Completion: Est. 2028 | | | | |

Total Cost (rounded to the nearest dollar): \$420,000 in 2017 (est. \$1.03M in 2040 assuming 4% annual cost inflation.) Price excludes 4 months of lost revenue on impacted units while windows are being replaced which is estimated at \$177,000 in 2017.

Description of Work Once the property is no longer subject to the five-year recapture period for the Federal Historic Tax Credit and the installed windows reach the end of their useful life, the property owner proposes to install new windows. These windows would more closely match the historic configuration with a tripartite design, center fixed windows, single pane pivot windows on either side and a transom. Proposed windows would also have a heavier mullion design, as shown in historic documentation of the building. The windows have a useful life of 30 years, it will be replaced when necessary.

Total Cost (rounded to the nearest dollar): \$200,000 in 2017. (est. \$492K in 2040 assuming 4% annual inflation)

Description of Work

Once the property is no longer subject to the five-year recapture period for the Federal Historic Tax Credit, the property owner proposes to install new storefronts when the installed storefronts reach the end of its useful life. These storefronts would more closely match the historic in both material and configuration as seen in documentation. The storefronts have a useful life of 30 years, it will be replaced when necessary.

| # 12 | Building Feature: | Windows, South Elevati | ion |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rehab/Restoration 🛛 | Maintenance 🗆 | Completed . | Proposed 🛛 🛛 |
| Contract Year for Work | Completion: est. 202 | 28 . | |
| Total Cost (rounded to | the nearest dollar): \$6 | 30,000 in 2017 (est. \$1. | .5M assuming 4% annual |
| cost inflation). Price ex | cludes 4 months of lo | ost revenue while windo | ws are being replaced which |
| is estimated to be \$266 | | | • |
| Description of Work | | | 的是是是是可能的是一些社会的 |
| The windows have a us | eful life of 30 years, a | and will be replaced with | h wood clad windows when |

necessary.

 # 13
 Building Feature: Rooftop

 Rehab/Restoration ⊠
 Maintenance □
 Completed □
 Proposed ⊠

 Contract Year for Work Completion: Est. 2028 and every 30 years after
 Total Cost (rounded to the nearest dollar): \$200,000 (est. 420,000 assuming 4% annual cost inflation)

 Description of Work

The roof has a useful life of 30 years, it will be replaced when necessary with a new 50-year PVC roof membrane and new roof drains if necessary.

MAINTENANCE PLAN (EXHIBIT B)

| #14 Building Feature: Windows, North Elevation | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--|
| Rehab/Restoration | Maintenance 🛛 | Completed | | Proposed 🛛 | |
| Contract Year for Wor | k Completion: 2018 an | d every 2 years | thereafter | | |
| Total Cost (rounded to | the nearest dollar): \$3: | 5,000 per inspe | ction and mind | or repair. \$175,000 if | |
| | د | painting of histo quired. | oric façade alo | ng Market Street is | |
| Description of Work | | | | | |

Windows are accessed via an outrigger system installed behind roof parapets. Inspect windows on north elevation for deterioration and water infiltration and make repairs as necessary.

| #15 Building Feature: Seismic and Structural | | | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------|------------|--|--|
| Rehab/Restoration | Maintenance 🛛 | Completed | Proposed 🛛 | | |
| Contract Year for Work Completion: 2019, and every 5 years thereafter | | | | | |
| Total Cost (rounded to the nearest dollar): \$25,000 per assessment | | | | | |
| Description of Work | | | | | |

A seismic property condition assessment will be conducted every five years.

| Land and the shift of the second second | The set of the set of the sector of the first set | | and the set of the set |
|---|---|---|--|
| #16 | Building Feature: | erra Cotta, North Elev | ation |
| Rehab/Restoration | Maintenance 🛛 | Completed | Proposed 🛛 |
| Contract Year for Worl | c Completion: 2019 ar | nd every 5 years thereat | fter |
| Total Cost (rounded to | the nearest dollar): \$6 | 0,000 per inspection (A | Assuming only minor repair |
| | | · · · · · | work required) |
| Description of Work | | San | (4) 新聞報告報報告書書書書書書書書書書書書書書書書書書書書書書書書書書書書書書書書書 |

Routine inspection of the terra cotta will include, but not limited to, looking for signs of crazing and spalling.

Should routine inspections reveal the need for maintenance and/or repairs to the decorative terra cotta façade, work will be performed in accordance with Preservation Brief #7, "The

Preservation of Historic Glazed Architectural Terra Cotta." The successful cleaning of glazed architectural terra-cotta removes excessive soil from the glazed surface without damaging the masonry unit itself. Of the many cleaning materials available, the most widely recommended are water, detergent, and a natural or nylon bristle brush. More stubborn pollution or fire-related dirt or bird droppings can be cleaned with steam or weak solutions of muriatic or oxalic acid. Should any water-related damage be identified, the problem will be mitigated before repairs are made to the affected area.

| #17 | Building Feature:] | Fire Escape, South Elevatio | n | ; |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|----|
| Rehab/Restoration 🗆 | Maintenance 🛛 | Completed | Proposed 🛛 | |
| Contract Year for World | c Completion: 2019 ar | nd every 5 years thereafter | | |
| Total Cost (rounded to | the nearest dollar): \$3 | 5,000 per inspection | | |
| Description of Work | | | | .: |
| The fire escape will be | inspected, repaired, a | nd repainted as necessary. | | _ |

| #18 | Building Feature: East Elevation | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------|------------|--|--|
| Rehab/Restoration | Maintenance 🛛 | Completed | Proposed 🛛 | | |
| Contract Year for Work Completion: 2019, and every 5 years thereafter | | | | | |
| Total Cost (rounded to the nearest dollar): \$175,000 per inspection (Assuming minor repairs) | | | | | |
| Description of Work | | | | | |

The building's masonry and mortar joints will be subject to regular inspection. Inspections, maintenance, and repairs to the masonry and mortar joints will be done in accordance with Preservation Brief #1, "Assessing Cleaning and Water-Repellent Treatments for Historic Masonry Buildings," and Preservation Brief #2, "Repointing Mortar Joints in Historic Masonry Buildings."

Should the building be subject to graffiti and/or vandalism, the owner will take the necessary steps to carefully repair the damage using the least abrasive solvents for removing the graffiti.

| #19 | Building Feature: M | asonry, South Elevat | ion |
|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Rehab/Restoration | Maintenance 🛛 | Completed | Proposed 🛛 |
| Contract Year for Wor | k Completion: 2020, an | d every 10 years there | eafter |
| Total Cost (rounded to | the nearest dollar): est. | \$185,000 per inspect | ion (assuming minimal |
| | : | | repair) |
| Description of Works | 计操作性 网络拉拉拉拉拉拉 | 学习 上这些新学校的一种在一种 | |

The building's masonry and mortar joints will be subject to regular inspection. Inspections, maintenance, and repairs to the masonry and mortar joints will be done in accordance with Preservation Brief #1, "Assessing Cleaning and Water-Repellent Treatments for Historic Masonry Buildings," and Preservation Brief #2, "Repointing Mortar Joints in Historic Masonry Buildings."

Should the building be subject to graffiti and/or vandalism, the owner will take the necessary steps to carefully repair the damage using the least abrasive solvents for removing the graffiti.

| CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE | | AENT availatatatata | CIVIL CODE § 1189 |
|--|---|--|--|
| A notary public or other officer co document to which this certificate | | | entity of the individual who signed the cy, or validity of that document. |
| State of California County of <u>San Francisco</u> |) | | |
| On 12-20-17 | before me,A | lan Long | (Hotany Kiblic) |
| Date | The sha A | | ne and Title of the Officer |
| personally appeared | ()43000 (| المع دالا Name(s) of Sign | ər(s) |
| | (ies), and that by hi ch the person(s) ac | s/her/their signatur | he/she/they executed the same in e(s) on the instrument the person(s) nstrument. |
| | (| of the State of Cali | ALTY OF PERJURY under the law fornia that the foregoing paragrap |
| M. D. Conservour M. D. D. L. M. B. (2) AN DECAG M. M. B. Burg, M. B. Burg, M. Barn, M. Birg, S. Birg, M. Barn, M. Birg, Construction, 2005 M. M. Construction of 2005 M. Construction of 2005 | | of the State of Cali is true and correct. WITNESS my hand | fornia that the foregoing paragrap |
| All an transfera Com | | of the State of Cali is true and correct. WITNESS my hand Signature(| fornia that the foregoing paragrap |
| 1974 - Stan Francisco Unit 2014 - Stan Computer (m. 2008) 2015 - Stan Stan Stan Stan Stan Stan Stan Stan | | of the State of Cali is true and correct. WITNESS my hand Signature(| fornia that the foregoing paragrap |
| 1977 - Stan Francisco Unit 2017 - Computer Intel 2008 | atia 19 231 9 28)× Above | of the State of Cali is true and correct. WITNESS my hand Signature(| fornia that the foregoing paragrap |
| Place Notary Seal | Above OP | of the State of Cali is true and correct. WITNESS my hand Signature <i>Signature</i> | fornia that the foregoing paragrap |
| Place Notary Seal Though this section is option fraudulent i Description of Attached Doct | Above Above mal, completing this reattachment of this ument A MILLS ACT H | of the State of Cali is true and correct. WITNESS my hand Signature Signature Information can de form to an uninter Signature Signature | fornia that the foregoing paragrap |
| Place Notary Seal Though this section is option fraudulent i Description of Attached Doce Title or Type of Document: (A Number of Pages: | Above OP nal, completing this reattachment of this ument $A M I \leq A \downarrow f H$ Signer(s) Other Tha | of the State of Cali is true and correct. WITNESS my hand Signature Signature Information can de form to an uninter Signature Signature | fornia that the foregoing paragrap |
| Place Notary Seal Though this section is option fraudulent i Description of Attached Doce Title or Type of Document: (Number of Pages:S Capacity(ies) Claimed by Sig Signer's Name: | Above Above $a_1, completing this reattachment of this ument A M H S A C F HSigner(s) Other Thaner(s)$ | TIONAL information can de information can de | fornia that the foregoing paragrap |
| Place Notary Seal Though this section is option fraudulent i Description of Attached Doce Title or Type of Document: [] Number of Pages:S Capacity(ies) Claimed by Sig Signer's Name:Title(s): | Above OP nal, completing this reattachment of this ument $A M_{11} \leq A \downarrow + H$ Signer(s) Other Tha ner(s) | fine State of Cali is true and correct. WITNESS my hand Signature | fornia that the foregoing paragrap |
| Place Notary Seal Though this section is option fraudulent i Description of Attached Doce Title or Type of Document: (// Number of Pages:S Capacity(ies) Claimed by Sig Signer's Name: Corporate Officer — Title(s): Partner —LimitedG | Above OP nal, completing this reattachment of this Signer(s) Other Tha ner(s) eneral | TIONAL information can de information can de from to an uninter information can de information can de | fornia that the foregoing paragrap |
| Place Notary Seal Though this section is option fraudulent i Description of Attached Doce Title or Type of Document: (// Number of Pages: | Above OP' al, completing this reattachment of this ument $M_{11} \leq A \in H$ Signer(s) Other That ner(s) eneral in Fact or Conservator | of the State of Cali is true and correct. WITNESS my hand Signature Signature Information can de form to an uninter Signer's Name □ Corporate C □ Partner - 1 □ Individual □ Trustee | fornia that the foregoing paragrap |
| Place Notary Seal Though this section is option fraudulent Description of Attached Door Title or Type of Document: Number of Pages: Capacity(ies) Claimed by Sig Signer's Name: Corporate Officer — Title(s): Partner — Limited G Individual Attorney Trustee Guardian | Above OP' al, completing this reattachment of this ument $M_{11} \leq A \in H$ Signer(s) Other That ner(s) eneral in Fact or Conservator | of the State of Cali is true and correct. WITNESS my hand Signature Signature Information can de form to an uninter Signer's Name □ Corporate C □ Partner - 1 □ Individual □ Trustee | fornia that the foregoing paragrap |

Escrow No.: 11-35512493-MY Locate No.: CACTI7738-7738-2355-0035512495 Title No.: 11-35512495-MK

EXHIBIT "A"

THE LAND REFERRED TO HEREIN BELOW IS SITUATED IN THE CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND IS DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

PARCEL ONE:

BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF MARKET STREET, DISTANT THEREON 176 FEET AND 6 INCHES NORTHEASTERLY FROM THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF 6TH STREET; RUNNING THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF MARKET STREET, 49 FEET AND 3 INCHES; THENCE AT A RIGHT ANGLE SOUTHEASTERLY 170 FEET TO THE NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF STEVENSON STREET; THENCE AT A RIGHT ANGLE SOUTHWESTERLY, ALONG SAID NORTHWESTERLY LINE OF STEVENSON STREET, 49 FEET AND 3 INCHES; AND THENCE AT A RIGHT ANGLE NORTHWESTERLY 170 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

BEING A PORTION OF 100 VARA BLOCK NO. 380.

PARCEL TWO;

EASEMENTS FOR THE OPERATION, MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR AND COVENANTS CONTAINED THEREIN, AS AN APPURTENANCE TO PARCEL ONE ABOVE, CONTAINED IN THE "PERPETUAL EASEMENT AGREEMENT" INCORPORATED IN THE INSTRUMENT ENTITLED "PROPERTY LINE COMMON WALL AGREEMENT" RECORDED FEBRUARY 4, 2005, INSTRUMENT NO. 2005-H899582, OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

APN: Lot 069, Block 3704



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

September 10, 2018

File No. 180871

Lisa Gibson Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

BOARD of SUPERVISORS

Dear Ms. Gibson:

On September 4, 2018, Supervisor Peskin introduced the following legislation:

File No. 180871

Resolution regarding non-renewal of a Mills Act historical property contract with Raintree 973 Market Newco LLC, the owner of 973 Market Street (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3704, Lot No. 069), under Chapter 71 of the San Francisco Administrative Code; notifying the Assessor-Recorder's Office of such non-renewal; and authorizing the Planning Director to send notice of the non-renewal of the historical property contract to the owner.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review. The file is tentatively scheduled for Government Audit and Oversight Committee consideration on September 19, 2018.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: John Carroll, Assistant Clerk Government Audit and Oversight Committee

Attachment

c: Devyani Jain, Deputy Environmental Review Officer Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planner Laura Lynch, Environmental Planner

Not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15378 and 15060(c)(2) because it does not result in a physical change in the environment.

Joy Navarrete 9/13/2018



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

September 10, 2018

File No. 180871

Lisa Gibson Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

BOARD of SUPERVISORS

Dear Ms. Gibson:

On September 4, 2018, Supervisor Peskin introduced the following legislation:

File No. 180871

Resolution regarding non-renewal of a Mills Act historical property contract with Raintree 973 Market Newco LLC, the owner of 973 Market Street (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3704, Lot No. 069), under Chapter 71 of the San Francisco Administrative Code; notifying the Assessor-Recorder's Office of such non-renewal; and authorizing the Planning Director to send notice of the non-renewal of the historical property contract to the owner.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review. The file is tentatively scheduled for Government Audit and Oversight Committee consideration on September 19, 2018.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: John Carroll, Assistant Clerk Government Audit and Oversight Committee

Attachment

c: Devyani Jain, Deputy Environmental Review Officer Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planner Laura Lynch, Environmental Planner



City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: John Rahaim, Director, Planning Department Jonas Ionin, Commission Secretary, Historic Preservation Commission Carmen Chu, Assessor-Recorder

FROM: John Carroll, Assistant Clerk, Government Audit and Oversight Committee, Board of Supervisors

DATE: September 10, 2018

BOARD of SUPERVISORS

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Government Audit and Oversight Committee has received the following proposed legislation, introduced by Supervisor Peskin on September 4, 2018:

File No. 180871

Resolution regarding non-renewal of a Mills Act historical property contract with Raintree 973 Market Newco LLC, the owner of 973 Market Street (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3704, Lot No. 069), under Chapter 71 of the San Francisco Administrative Code; notifying the Assessor-Recorder's Office of such non-renewal; and authorizing the Planning Director to send notice of the non-renewal of the historical property contract to the owner.

If you have any comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: Scott Sanchez, Planning Department Lisa Gibson, Planning Department Devyani Jain, Planning Department AnMarie Rodgers, Planning Department Tim Frye, Planning Department Joy Navarrete, Planning Department Georgia Powell, Planning Department Andrea Ruiz-Esquide, Deputy City Attorney Nicole Agbayani, Office of the Assessor-Recorder

| Print Form |
|---|
| Introduction Form By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor 2018 SEP Tiple station () |
| I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one): |
| 1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment). |
| 2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee. |
| 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee. |
| 4. Request for letter beginning :"Supervisor inquiries" |
| 5. City Attorney Request. |
| 6. Call File No. from Committee. |
| 7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion). |
| 8. Substitute Legislation File No. |
| 9. Reactivate File No. |
| 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on |
| |
| Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following: |
| Small Business Commission Vouth Commission Ethics Commission |
| Planning Commission Building Inspection Commission |
| Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form. |
| Sponsor(s): |
| Supervisor Peskin |
| Subject: |
| [Non-Renewal of a Mills Act Historical Property Contract - 973 Market Street] |
| The text is listed: |
| Resolution under Chapter 71 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, regarding non-renewal of a Mills Act historical property contract with Raintree 973 Market Newco LLC, the owner of 973 Market Street (Assessor's Block No. 3704, Lot No. 069); notifying the Assessor Recorder's Office of such non-renewal; and authorizing the Planning Director to send notice of the non-renewal of the historical property contract to the owner. |
| Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: |
| For Clerk's Use Only |