

CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU

DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION

Mailing Address : 915 E FIRST ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
Telephone (800) 788-7840 / Fax (800) 464-2839
Visit us @ www.LegalAdstore.com

LISA LEW
CCSF BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES)
1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

EXM# 3191862

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco Finally Approved the following Ordinance No. 248-18 (File No. 180772), and Approved by the Mayor on November 2, 2018: I Ordinance creating City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); affirming the Planning Department's determination and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act; and approving other matters in connection therewith.

within the Project Site and an area of land in the vicinity of the Project Site and within Pier 70 commonly known as Parcel K South ("Parcel K South"), to satisfy the requirements for Affordable Housing under Proposition F. Under Chapter 2.6 of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the California Government Code commencing with Section 53369 ("IRFD Law"), this Board of Supervisors is authorized to establish an infrastructure and revitalization financing district and to act as the legislative body for an infrastructure and revitalization financing district. The IRFD Law provides that the legislative body of an infrastructure and revitalization financing district may, at any time, add territory to a district or amend the infrastructure financing plan for the district by conducting the same procedures for the formation of a district or approval of bonds as provided in the IRFD Law, and the Board of Supervisors wishes to establish the procedure for future annexation of property into the proposed infrastructure district. G. IRFD Section 53369.14(d)(5) provides that the legislative body of a proposed infrastructure and revitalization financing district may specify, by ordinance, the date on which the allocation of tax increment will begin, and the Board of Supervisors accordingly wishes to specify the date on which the allocation of tax increment will begin for the proposed infrastructure and revitalization financing district. H. Pursuant to the IRFD Law, the Board of Supervisors adopted its "Resolution of Intention to establish City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70) on land within the City and County of San Francisco commonly known as the Hoedown Yard to finance the construction of affordable housing within Pier 70 and Parcel K South; to provide for future annexation; to call a public hearing on September 11, 2018, on the formation of the district and to provide public notice thereof; determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act" (the Resolution of Intention

COPY OF NOTICE

Notice Type: GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE
Ad Description LL - 180772 - IRFD NO. 2 - PIER 70

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER. Thank you for using our newspaper. Please read this notice carefully and call us with ny corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the County Clerk, if required, and mailed to you after the last date below. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):

11/07/2018 , 11/16/2018

The charge(s) for this order is as follows. An invoice will be sent after the last date of publication. If you prepaid this order in full, you will not receive an invoice.

Table with 2 columns: Description, Amount. Rows: Publication (\$3611.25), Total (\$3611.25)

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby finds, determines and declares based on the record before it that: A. FC Pier 70, LLC (Forest City) and the City and County of San Francisco ("City"), acting by and through the San Francisco Port Commission ("Port"), anticipate entering into a Disposition and Development Agreement ("DDA"), which will govern the disposition and development of approximately 28 acres of land in the waterfront area of the City known as Pier 70 ("Project Site"). B. In the general election held on November 4, 2014, an initiative entitled, the "Union Iron Works Historic District Housing, Waterfront Parks, Jobs and Preservation Initiative" (Proposition F), was approved by the voters in the City. C. Pursuant to Proposition F, the voters in the City approved a policy of the City, that the City encourage the timely development of the Project Site with a development project that includes certain major uses, including without limitation, new below market-rate homes affordable to middle- and low-income families and individuals, representing 30 percent of all new housing units (Affordable Housing). D. To meet a part of this requirement, Forest City and the City anticipate that the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development will undertake pursuant to the DDA an obligation to construct three 100% affordable housing projects



to Establish IRFD), stating its intention to form the "City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard)" ("IRFD"), pursuant to the IRFD Law. I. In the Resolution of Intention to Establish IRFD, this Board of Supervisors declared its intent to provide for future annexations of property into the IRFD any time after formation of the IRFD, but only if the Board of Supervisors has completed the procedures set forth in the Infrastructure Financing Plan, which shall be based on the following: i) the Board of Supervisors adopts a resolution of intention to annex property ("annexation territory") into the IRFD and describes the annexation territory to be included in the IRFD, (ii) the resolution of intention is mailed to each owner of land in the annexation territory and each affected taxing entity in the annexation territory, if any, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.11 and 53369.12, (iii) the Board of Supervisors directs the Port to prepare an amendment to the Infrastructure Financing Plan, if necessary, and the designated official prepares any such amendment, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.13 and 53369.14, (iv) any amendment to the Infrastructure Financing Plan is sent to each owner of land and each affected taxing entity (if any) within the annexation territory, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.15 and 53369.16, (v) the Board of Supervisors notices and holds a public hearing on the proposed annexation, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.17 and 53369.18, (vi) the Board of Supervisors adopts a resolution proposing the adoption of any amendment to the Infrastructure Financing Plan and annexation of the annexation territory to the IRFD, and submits the proposed annexation to the qualified electors in the annexation territory, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.20-53369.22, with the ballot measure to include the question of the proposed annexation of the annexation territory into the IRFD, approval of the appropriations limit for the annexation

territory and approval of the issuance of bonds for the annexation territory, and (vii) after canvass of returns of any election, and if two-thirds of the votes cast upon the question are in favor of the ballot measure, the Board of Supervisors may, by ordinance, adopt the amendment to the Infrastructure Financing Plan, if any, and approve the annexation of the annexation territory to the IRFD, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Section 53369.23. J. The Board of Supervisors also adopted its "Resolution authorizing and directing the Executive Director of the Port of San Francisco, or designee thereof, to prepare an infrastructure financing plan for City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act," ordering preparation of an infrastructure financing plan for the IRFD (Infrastructure Financing Plan) consistent with the requirements of the IRFD Law. K. The Infrastructure Financing Plan includes a list of Facilities (as defined below) to be financed by the IRFD. L. As required by the IRFD Law, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors caused to be mailed a copy of the Resolution of Intention to Establish IRFD to each owner of land within the proposed IRFD and each affected taxing entity (as defined in the IRFD Law). M. As further required by the IRFD Law, the Executive Director of the Port prepared and sent the Infrastructure Financing Plan, along with any report required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.) that pertains to the proposed Facilities or the proposed development project for which the Facilities are needed ("CEQA Report"), to (i) each owner of land within the proposed IRFD and (ii) each affected taxing entity; and the Executive Director of the Port of San Francisco also sent the Infrastructure Financing Plan and the CEQA Report to the City's Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors. N. The Clerk of the Board of

Supervisors made the Infrastructure Financing Plan available for public inspection. O. As required by the IRFD Law, the Board of Supervisors, as the legislative body of the City, which is the only affected taxing entity which is proposed to be subject to the division of taxes pursuant to the IRFD Law, considered and adopted its resolution "Resolution approving infrastructure financing plan for City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act," pursuant to which the Board of Supervisors approved the Infrastructure Financing Plan. P. Following publication of a notice consistent with the requirements of the IRFD Law, this Board of Supervisors held a public hearing relating to the proposed IRFD and the proposed Infrastructure Financing Plan. Q. Subsequent to the hearing, the Board of Supervisors adopted resolutions entitled (i) "Resolution proposing adoption of infrastructure financing plan and formation of City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); providing for future annexation; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act" (the Resolution Proposing Formation), and (ii) "Resolution calling special election for City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act," which resolutions proposed formation of the IRFD, proposed approval of the Infrastructure Financing Plan, proposed the process for future annexations of territory to the IRFD, proposed an annual appropriations limit for the IRFD, proposed issuance of bonds and other debt

(Bonds) for the IRFD to finance certain facilities (the Facilities) and called a special election within the IRFD. R. A special election was held within the IRFD pursuant to which the eligible landowner-electors approved the propositions presented at the election by the two-thirds vote required by the IRFD Law. Section 2. By the passage of this Ordinance, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares the IRFD to be fully formed with full force and effect of law, approves the Infrastructure Financing Plan, declares the IRFD to have an annual appropriations limit of \$91.9 million, and declares that the Board of Supervisors has the authority to issue from time to time Bonds in one or more series for the IRFD in the maximum aggregate principal amount of (i) \$91.9 million (in 2017 dollars) plus (ii) the principal amount of Bonds approved by this Board of Supervisors and the qualified electors of the annexation territory in connection with the annexation of the annexation territory to the IRFD, so long as the Board makes the finding specified in IRFD Law Section 53369.41(f), all as provided in the proceedings for the IRFD and in the IRFD Law. Territory may be annexed into the IRFD in the future, as described in the Resolution of Intention to Establish IRFD and the Resolution Proposing Formation. It is hereby found that all prior proceedings and actions taken by this Board of Supervisors with respect to the IRFD were valid and in conformity with the IRFD Law. Section 3. In accordance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.5(b) and 53369.14(d)(5), the Board of Supervisors hereby establishes the date on which the allocation of tax increment shall begin for the IRFD (the Commencement Date), with the Commencement Date being the first day of the fiscal year following the fiscal year in which the IRFD has generated and the City has received at least \$100,000 of tax increment. Section 4. In the Resolution of Intention to Establish IRFD, the Board of Supervisors made certain findings under the CEQA about the Final Environmental Impact Report for the Pier 70 Mixed-Use District Project, and those findings are incorporated in this Ordinance as if set forth in their entirety

herein. Section 5. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held to be invalid or unconstitutional by a decision of a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions or applications of this ordinance, this Board of Supervisors hereby declaring that it would have passed this ordinance and each and every section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, and word not declared invalid or unconstitutional without regard to whether any other portion of this ordinance or application thereof would be subsequently declared invalid or unconstitutional. Section 6. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall cause this Ordinance to be published within 5 days of its passage and again within 15 days after its passage, in each case at least once in a newspaper of general circulation published and circulated in the City. // Section 7. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within 10 days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

the 24<sup>th</sup> Street- Noe Valley Neighborhood Commercial (NCD) Zoning District and 40-X Height and Bulk District. This action constitutes the Approval Action for the project for the purposes of CEQA, pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code Section 31.04(h). **For further information, call Gabriela Pantoja at (415) 575-8741 or email at Gabriela.Pantoja@sfgov.org and ask about Record Number 2017-007943CUA.**

Persons who are unable to attend the scheduled Planning Commission hearing may submit written comments regarding these cases to the individuals listed for each case above at the Planning Department, 1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. Comments received by 9:30 a.m. on the day of the hearing will be made a part of the official record and will be brought to the attention of the Planning Commission. Pursuant to Government Code § 65009, if you challenge, in court, the approval of a conditional use, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the Planning Commission at, or prior to, the public hearing. Scott Sanchez  
Zoning Administrator  
Planning Department  
1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94103  
11/07/2018

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco Finally Approved the following Ordinance No. 248-18 (File No. 180772), and Approved by the Mayor on November 2, 2018: [Ordinance creating City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); affirming the Planning Department's determination and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act; and approving other matters in connection therewith.] Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby finds, determines and declares based on the record before it that: A. FC Pier 70, LLC (Forest City) and the City and County of San Francisco ("City"), acting by and through the San Francisco Port Commission ("Port"), anticipate entering into a Disposition and Development Agreement ("DDA"), which will govern the disposition and development of approximately 28 acres of land in the waterfront area of the City known as Pier 70 ("Project Site"). B. In the general election held on November 4, 2014, an initiative entitled, the "Union Iron Works Historic District Housing, Waterfront Parks, Jobs and Preservation Initiative" (Proposition F), was approved by the voters in the City. C. Pursuant to Proposition F, the voters in the City approved a policy of the City,

that the City encourage the timely development of the Project Site with a development project that includes certain major uses, including without limitation, new below market-rate homes affordable to middle- and low-income families and individuals, representing 30 percent of all new housing units (Affordable Housing). D. To meet a part of this requirement, Forest City and the City anticipate that the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development will undertake pursuant to the DDA an obligation to construct three 100% affordable housing projects within the Project Site and an area of land in the vicinity of the Project Site and within Pier 70 commonly known as Parcel K South ("Parcel K South"), to satisfy the requirements for Affordable Housing under Proposition F. E. Under Chapter 2.6 of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the California Government Code commencing with Section 53369 ("IRFD Law"), this Board of Supervisors is authorized to establish an infrastructure and revitalization financing district and to act as the legislative body for an infrastructure and revitalization financing district. F. The IRFD Law provides that the legislative body of an infrastructure and revitalization financing district may, at any time, add territory to a district or amend the infrastructure financing plan for the district by conducting the same procedures for the formation of a district or approval of bonds as provided in the IRFD Law, and the Board of Supervisors wishes to establish the procedure for future annexation of property into the proposed infrastructure district. G. IRFD Section 53369.14(d)(5) provides that the legislative body of a proposed infrastructure and revitalization financing district may specify, by ordinance, the date on which the allocation of tax increment will begin, and the Board of Supervisors accordingly wishes to specify the date on which the allocation of tax increment will begin for the proposed infrastructure and revitalization financing district. H. Pursuant to the IRFD Law, the Board of Supervisors adopted its "Resolution of Intention to Establish City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70) on land within the City and County of San Francisco commonly known as the Hoedown Yard to finance the construction of affordable housing within Pier 70 and Parcel K South; to provide for future annexation; to call a public hearing on September 11, 2018, on the formation of the district and to provide public notice thereof; determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act" (the Resolution of Intention to Establish IRFD), stating its intention to form the "City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard)" ("IRFD"), pursuant to the IRFD Law. I. In the Resolution of

Intention to Establish IRFD, this Board of Supervisors declared its intent to provide for future annexations of property into the IRFD any time after formation of the IRFD, but only if the Board of Supervisors has completed the procedures set forth in the Infrastructure Financing Plan, which shall be based on the following: i) the Board of Supervisors adopts a resolution of intention to annex property ("annexation territory") into the IRFD and describes the annexation territory to be included in the IRFD, (ii) the resolution of intention is mailed to each owner of land in the annexation territory and each affected taxing entity in the annexation territory, if any, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.11 and 53369.12, (iii) the Board of Supervisors directs the Port to prepare an amendment to the Infrastructure Financing Plan, if necessary, and the designated official prepares any such amendment, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.13 and 53369.14 Law, (iv) any amendment to the Infrastructure Financing Plan is sent to each owner of land and each affected taxing entity (if any) within the annexation territory, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.15 and 53369.16, (v) the Board of Supervisors notices and holds a public hearing on the proposed annexation, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.17 and 53369.18, (vi) the Board of Supervisors adopts a resolution proposing the adoption of any amendment to the Infrastructure Financing Plan and annexation of the annexation territory to the IRFD, and submits the proposed annexation to the qualified electors in the annexation territory, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.20-53369.22, with the ballot measure to include the question of the proposed annexation of the annexation territory into the IRFD, approval of the appropriations limit for the annexation territory and approval of the issuance of bonds for the annexation territory, and (vii) after canvass of returns of any election, and if two-thirds of the votes cast upon the question are in favor of the ballot measure, the Board of Supervisors may, by ordinance, adopt the amendment to the Infrastructure Financing Plan, if any, and approve the annexation of the annexation territory to the IRFD, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Section 53369.23. J. The Board of Supervisors also adopted its "Resolution authorizing and directing the Executive Director of the Port of San Francisco, or designee thereof, to prepare an infrastructure financing plan for City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act," ordering preparation of an infrastructure financing plan

for the IRFD (Infrastructure Financing Plan) consistent with the requirements of the IRFD Law. K. The Infrastructure Financing Plan includes a list of Facilities (as defined below) to be financed by the IRFD. L. As required by the IRFD Law, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors caused to be mailed a copy of the Resolution of Intention to Establish IRFD to each owner of land within the proposed IRFD and each affected taxing entity (as defined in the IRFD Law). M. As further required by the IRFD Law, the Executive Director of the Port prepared and sent the Infrastructure Financing Plan, along with any report required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.) that pertains to the proposed Facilities or the proposed development project for which the Facilities are needed ("CEQA Report"), to (i) each owner of land within the proposed IRFD and (ii) each affected taxing entity; and the Executive Director of the Port of San Francisco also sent the Infrastructure Financing Plan and the CEQA Report to the City's Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors. N. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors made the Infrastructure Financing Plan available for public inspection. O. As required by the IRFD Law, the Board of Supervisors, as the legislative body of the City, which is the only affected taxing entity which is proposed to be subject to the division of taxes pursuant to the IRFD Law, considered and adopted its resolution "Resolution approving infrastructure financing plan for City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act," pursuant to which the Board of Supervisors approved the Infrastructure Financing Plan. P. Following publication of a notice consistent with the requirements of the IRFD Law, this Board of Supervisors held a public hearing relating to the proposed IRFD and the proposed Infrastructure Financing Plan. Q. Subsequent to the hearing, the Board of Supervisors adopted resolutions entitled (i) "Resolution proposing adoption of infrastructure financing plan and formation of City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); providing for future annexation; determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act" (the Resolution Proposing Formation), and (ii) "Resolution calling special election for City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making

findings under the California Environmental Quality Act," which resolutions proposed formation of the IRFD, proposed approval of the Infrastructure Financing Plan, proposed the process for future annexations of territory to the IRFD, proposed an annual appropriations limit for the IRFD, proposed issuance of bonds and other debt (Bonds) for the IRFD to finance certain facilities (the Facilities) and called a special election within the IRFD. R. A special election was held within the IRFD pursuant to which the eligible landowner-electors approved the propositions presented at the election by the two-thirds vote required by the IRFD Law, Section 2. By the passage of this Ordinance, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares the IRFD to be fully formed with full force and effect of law, approves the Infrastructure Financing Plan, declares the IRFD to have an annual appropriations limit of \$91.9 million, and declares that the Board of Supervisors has the authority to issue from time to time Bonds in one or more series for the IRFD in the maximum aggregate principal amount of (i) \$91.9 million (in 2017 dollars) plus (ii) the principal amount of Bonds approved by this Board of Supervisors and the qualified electors of the annexation territory in connection with the annexation of the annexation territory to the IRFD, so long as the Board makes the finding specified in IRFD Law Section 53369.41(f), all as provided in the proceedings for the IRFD and in the IRFD Law. Territory may be annexed into the IRFD in the future, as described in the Resolution of Intention to Establish IRFD and the Resolution Proposing Formation. It is hereby found that all prior proceedings and actions taken by this Board of Supervisors with respect to the IRFD were valid and in conformity with the IRFD Law, Section 3. In accordance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.5(b) and 53369.14(d)(5), the Board of Supervisors hereby establishes the date on which the allocation of tax increment shall begin for the IRFD (the Commencement Date), with the Commencement Date being the first day of the fiscal year following the fiscal year in which the IRFD has generated and the City has received at least \$100,000 of tax increment. Section 4. In the Resolution of Intention to Establish IRFD, the Board of Supervisors made certain findings under the CEQA about the Final Environmental Impact Report for the Pier 70 Mixed-Use District Project, and those findings are incorporated in this Ordinance as if set forth in their entirety herein. Section 5. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held to be invalid or unconstitutional by a decision of a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions or applications of this ordinance, this Board of Supervisors hereby declaring that it would have passed this ordinance and each and every section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, and word not declared invalid or

unconstitutional without regard to whether any other portion of this ordinance or application thereof would be subsequently declared invalid or unconstitutional. Section 6. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall cause this Ordinance to be published within 5 days of its passage and again within 15 days after its passage, in each case at least once in a newspaper of general circulation published and circulated in the City. // Section 7. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within 10 days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

## REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR PERFORMANCE AND ANALYSIS SERVICES (RFP 18/19-06)

Notice is hereby given that the San Francisco County Transportation Authority is requesting proposals from qualified respondents for performance monitoring and analysis services. The full RFP is posted on the Transportation Authority's website, [www.sfcta.org/contracting](http://www.sfcta.org/contracting). Proposals are due to the Transportation Authority electronically to [info@sfcta.org](mailto:info@sfcta.org) by December 3, 2018 at 2:00 p.m.

## NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

Notice is hereby given that the following individual has filed an application with the Department of Public Health for a permit to operate a laundry at the specified location in the City and County of San Francisco:

Wash Express  
952 Geneva Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94112

Protests against the granting of said permit will be heard at the Office of the Director of Public Health at 9:30 a.m. on Tuesday, November 13, 2018, when said application will be heard in Room 300, 101 Grove Street.

Greg Wagner  
Acting Director of Public Health

## REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR ON-CALL STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES (RFQ 18/19-05)

Notice is hereby given that the San Francisco County Transportation Authority and Treasure Island Mobility Management Agency are requesting Statements of Qualifications (SOQs) from qualified respondents for on-call strategic communications, media and community relations services. The full RFQ is posted on the Transportation Authority's website, [www.sfcta.org/contracting](http://www.sfcta.org/contracting). SOQs are due to the Transportation Authority electronically to [info@sfcta.org](mailto:info@sfcta.org) by December 5, 2018 at 2:00 p.m.

## BULK SALES

### NOTICE TO CREDITORS OF BULK SALE (SECS. 6104, 6105 U.C.C.)

Notice is hereby given to the Creditors of: Iklekti Indian LLC, Seller(s), whose business address(es) is: 2299 S. El Camino Real, San Mateo, CA 94403, that a bulk transfer is about to be made to: Sri Sai Krupa LLC, Buyer(s), whose business(es) address is: 2299 S. El Camino Real, San Mateo, CA 94403. The property to be transferred is located at: 2299 S. El Camino Real, San Mateo, CA 94403.

Said property is described in general as: All stock in trade, fixtures, equipment, goodwill and other property of that business known as The Chennai Club, and located at: 2299 S. El Camino Real, San Mateo, CA 94403.

The bulk sale is intended to be consummated at the office of: CHICAGO TITLE COMPANY, 12156 Saratoga Sunnyvale Rd., Saratoga, CA 95070. The bulk transfer will be consummated on or after the 26th day of November, 2018.

This bulk transfer is subject to Section 6106.2 of the California Commercial Code. If Section 6106.2 applies, claims may be filed at CHICAGO TITLE COMPANY, Escrow Division, Escrow No. FWPS-2995181064-TL, 12156 Saratoga Sunnyvale Rd., Saratoga, CA 95070. Phone: (408)973-1900, Fax: (408)973-8778.

This bulk transfer does NOT include a liquor license transfer. All claims must be received at this address by the 23rd day of November, 2018. So far as known to the Buyer(s), all business names and addresses used by the Seller(s) for the three (3) years last past, if different from the above, are: NONE.

11/7/18  
SPEN-3191900#  
EXAMINER & SAN MATEO WEEKLY

## CIVIL

### ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

Case No. 18CIV05766  
Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo  
Petition of: Sarah Clements for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:

Petitioner filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Sarah Clements to Sarah Clements-Mishra  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause

why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:  
Date: 12-11-2018, Time: 9:00 AM, Dept.: PJ  
The address of the court is 400 County Center, Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

A copy of this Order to Show Cause shall be published at least once each week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing on the petition in the following newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county: Examiner  
Date: October 26 2018  
Susan Irene Etezadi  
Judge of the Superior Court  
11/7, 11/14, 11/21, 11/28/18  
NPEN-3192190#  
EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

### ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

Case No. 18CIV05736  
Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo  
Petition of: Wei Hui Liu for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:

Petitioner Wei Hui Liu filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows:

Wei Hui Liu to Tommy Liu  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:  
Date: 12/07/2018, Time: 9:00 A.M., Dept.: PJ  
The address of the court is 400 County Center Redwood City, CA 94063

A copy of this Order to Show Cause shall be published at least once each week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing on the petition in the following newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county: The Examiner - Boutique & Villager  
Date: October 25 2018  
SUSAN IRENE ETEZADI  
Judge of the Superior Court  
10/31, 11/7, 11/14, 11/21/18  
NPEN-3189734#  
EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

### ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

Case No. 18CIV05579  
Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo  
Petition of: The Petition of Henry Ke Zhao by his father Peng Zhao for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:

Petitioner filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Henry Ke Zhao to Henry Yuanzhen Zhao  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated



# PUBLIC NOTICES

SAN MATEO COUNTY: 650-556-1556  
E-mail: smlegals@sfmediaco.com

SAN FRANCISCO: 415-314-1835  
E-mail: sflegals@sfmediaco.com

SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER • DAILY CITY INDEPENDENT • SAN MATEO WEEKLY • REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE • ENQUIRER-BULLETIN • FOSTER CITY PROGRESS • MILLBRAE - SAN BRUNO SUN • BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

## GOVERNMENT

Pursuant to Public Works Code Article 27, Public Works will conduct a public hearing to consider the tentative approvals of the following applications for Surface - Mounted Facility Site permits: Permit # Applicant Preferred Location Addresses 18SMF - 0010 AT&T California 1) 2783 22nd St (Florida St. frontage) 2) 2850 22nd St (Alabama St. frontage) 18SMF - 0013 AT&T California 1) 1601 30th Ave (Fronting Lawton St) The public hearing will be held at: City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 400 9:00A M, Monday, November 26, 2018. All interested parties are invited to attend. Any interested party may also submit written comments regarding the subject matter to: smf@sfdpw.org, OR San Francisco Public Works Bureau of Street - Use & Mapping 1155 Market Street 3rd Floor San Francisco, CA 94103 Attention: Surface - Mounted Facility Program If received the day before the hearing, written comments shall be brought to the attention of the Hearing Officer and will be made a part of the official public record of this proceeding.

Pursuant to Public Works Code Article 25 and Public Works Order 184504, Public Works will conduct a public hearing to consider the protests filed with respect to the issuance of tentative approvals for the following applications for Personal Wireless Service Facility Site permits: Permit # Company Address 16WR - 0238 MODUS, INC Haight Street between Lyon Street and Buena Vista Avenue West - South side 18WR - 0067 MODUS, INC 270 Masonic Ave 18WR - 0106 Verizon Wireless 401 Avila St 18WR - 0185 Verizon Wireless 2735 Green St 18WR - 0196 ExteNet Systems, Inc. 600 Arguello Blvd The public hearing will be held at: City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 400 9:00AM, Monday, November 26, 2018. All interested parties are invited to attend. Any interested party may also submit written comments regarding the subject matter to: DPW - Wireless - Program@sfdpw.org, OR San Francisco Public Works Bureau of Street - Use & Mapping 1155 Market Street 3rd Floor San Francisco, CA 94103 Attention: Wireless Facility Program If received the day before the hearing, written comments shall be brought to the attention of the Hearing Officer and will be made a part of the official public record of this proceeding.

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MONDAY, NOVEMBER 26, 2018 - 1:30 PM CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250 1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Land Use and Transportation Committee

will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard: File No. 180935. Ordinance amending the Planning Code and Zoning Map to create the 1550 Evans Avenue Special Use District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments to the City prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made part of the official public record in this matter, and shall be brought to the attention of the members of the Committee. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102. Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board. Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Wednesday, November 21, 2018. - Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board.

### BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco Finally Approved the following Ordinance No. 248-18 (File No. 180772), and Approved by the Mayor on November 2, 2018: [ Ordinance creating City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); affirming the Planning Department's determination and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act; and approving other matters in connection therewith.] Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby finds, determines, and declares based on the record before it that: A. FC Pier 70, LLC (Forest City) and the City and County of San Francisco ("City"), acting by and through the San Francisco Port Commission ("Port"), anticipate entering into a Disposition and Development Agreement ("DDA"), which will govern the disposition and development of approximately 28 acres of land in the waterfront area of the City known as Pier 70 ("Project Site"). B. In the general election held on November 4, 2014, an initiative entitled, the "Union Iron Works Historic District Housing, Waterfront Parks, Jobs and Preservation Initiative" (Proposition F), was approved by the voters in the City. C. Pursuant to Proposition F, the voters in the City approved a policy of the City, that the City encourage the

timely development of the Project Site with a development project that includes certain major uses, including without limitation, new below market-rate homes affordable to middle- and low-income families and individuals, representing 30 percent of all new housing units (Affordable Housing). D. To meet a part of this requirement, Forest City and the City anticipate that the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development will undertake pursuant to the DDA an obligation to construct three 100% affordable housing projects within the Project Site and an area of land in the vicinity of the Project Site and within Pier 70 commonly known as Parcel K South ("Parcel K South"), to satisfy the requirements for Affordable Housing under Proposition F. E. Under Chapter 2.6 of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the California Government Code commencing with Section 53369 ("IRFD Law"), this Board of Supervisors is authorized to establish an infrastructure and revitalization financing district and to act as the legislative body for an infrastructure and revitalization financing district. F. The IRFD Law provides that the legislative body of an infrastructure and revitalization financing district may, at any time, add territory to a district or amend the infrastructure financing plan for the district by conducting the same procedures for the formation of a district or approval of bonds as provided in the IRFD Law, and the Board of Supervisors wishes to establish the procedure for future annexation of property into the proposed infrastructure district. G. IRFD Section 53369.14(d)(5) provides that the legislative body of a proposed infrastructure and revitalization financing district may specify, by ordinance, the date on which the allocation of tax increment will begin, and the Board of Supervisors accordingly wishes to specify the date on which the allocation of tax increment will begin for the proposed infrastructure and revitalization financing district. H. Pursuant to the IRFD Law, the Board of Supervisors adopted its "Resolution of Intention to establish City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70) on land within the City and County of San Francisco commonly known as the Hoedown Yard to finance the construction of affordable housing within Pier 70 and Parcel K South; to provide for future annexation; to call a public hearing on September 11, 2018, on the formation of the district and to provide public notice thereof; determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act" (the Resolution of Intention to Establish IRFD), stating its intention to form the "City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard)" ("IRFD"), pursuant to the IRFD Law. I. In the Resolution of Intention to Establish IRFD, this Board of Supervisors

declared its intent to provide for future annexations of property into the IRFD any time after formation of the IRFD, but only if the Board of Supervisors has completed the procedures set forth in the Infrastructure Financing Plan, which shall be based on the following: i) the Board of Supervisors adopts a resolution of intention to annex property ("annexation territory") into the IRFD and describes the annexation territory to be included in the IRFD, (ii) the resolution of intention is mailed to each owner of land in the annexation territory and each affected taxing entity in the annexation territory, if any, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.11 and 53369.12, (iii) the Board of Supervisors directs the Port to prepare an amendment to the Infrastructure Financing Plan, if necessary, and the designated official prepares any such amendment, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.13 and 53369.14 Law, (iv) any amendment to the Infrastructure Financing Plan is sent to each owner of land and each affected taxing entity (if any) within the annexation territory, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.15 and 53369.16, (v) the Board of Supervisors notices and holds a public hearing on the proposed annexation, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.17 and 53369.18, (vi) the Board of Supervisors adopts a resolution proposing the adoption of any amendment to the Infrastructure Financing Plan and annexation of the annexation territory to the IRFD, and submits the proposed annexation to the qualified electors in the annexation territory, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.20-53369.22, with the ballot measure to include the question of the proposed annexation of the annexation territory into the IRFD, approval of the appropriations a limit for the annexation territory and approval of the issuance of bonds for the annexation territory, and (vii) after canvass of returns of any election, and if two-thirds of the votes cast upon the question are in favor of the ballot measure, the Board of Supervisors may, by ordinance, adopt the amendment to the Infrastructure Financing Plan, if any, and approve the annexation of the annexation territory to the IRFD, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Section 53369.23. J. The Board of Supervisors also adopted its "Resolution authorizing and directing the Executive Director of the Port of San Francisco, or designee thereof, to prepare an infrastructure financing plan for City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act," (the Resolution Proposing Formation), and (ii) "Resolution calling special election for City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act," (the Resolution Proposing Formation), and (ii) "Resolution calling special election for City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act," which resolutions proposed formation of the IRFD,

IRFD Law. K. The Infrastructure Financing Plan includes a list of Facilities (as defined below) to be financed by the IRFD. L. As required by the IRFD Law, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors caused to be mailed a copy of the Resolution of Intention to Establish IRFD to each owner of land within the proposed IRFD and each affected taxing entity (as defined in the IRFD Law). M. As further required by the IRFD Law, the Executive Director of the Port prepared and sent the Infrastructure Financing Plan, along with any report required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.) that pertains to the proposed Facilities or the proposed development project for which the Facilities are needed ("CEQA Report"), to (i) each owner of land within the proposed IRFD and (ii) each affected taxing entity; and the Executive Director of the Port of San Francisco also sent the Infrastructure Financing Plan and the CEQA Report to the City's Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors. N. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors made the Infrastructure Financing Plan available for public inspection. O. As required by the IRFD Law, the Board of Supervisors, as the legislative body of the City, which is the only affected taxing entity which is proposed to be subject to the division of taxes pursuant to the IRFD Law, considered and adopted its resolution "Resolution approving Infrastructure financing plan for City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act," pursuant to which the Board of Supervisors approved the Infrastructure Financing Plan. P. Following publication of a notice consistent with the requirements of the IRFD Law, this Board of Supervisors held a public hearing relating to the proposed IRFD and the proposed Infrastructure Financing Plan. Q. Subsequent to the hearing, the Board of Supervisors adopted resolutions entitled (i) "Resolution proposing adoption of infrastructure financing plan and formation of City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); providing for future annexation; determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act" (the Resolution Proposing Formation), and (ii) "Resolution calling special election for City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act," which resolutions proposed formation of the IRFD,

proposed approval of the Infrastructure Financing Plan, proposed the process for future annexations of territory to the IRFD, proposed an annual appropriations limit for the IRFD, proposed issuance of bonds and other debt (Bonds) for the IRFD to finance certain facilities (the Facilities) and called a special election within the IRFD. R. A special election was held within the IRFD pursuant to which the eligible landowner-electors approved the propositions presented at the election by the two-thirds vote required by the IRFD Law. Section 2. By the passage of this Ordinance, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares the IRFD to be fully formed with full force and effect of law, approves the Infrastructure Financing Plan, declares the IRFD to have an annual appropriations limit of \$91.9 million, and declares that the Board of Supervisors has the authority to issue from time to time Bonds in one or more series for the IRFD in the maximum aggregate principal amount of (i) \$91.9 million (in 2017 dollars) plus (ii) the principal amount of Bonds approved by this Board of Supervisors and the qualified electors of the annexation territory in connection with the annexation of the annexation territory to the IRFD, so long as the Board makes the finding specified in IRFD Law Section 53369.41(f), all as provided in the proceedings for the IRFD and in the IRFD Law. Territory may be annexed into the IRFD in the future, as described in the Resolution of Intention to Establish IRFD and the Resolution Proposing Formation. It is hereby found that all prior proceedings and actions taken by this Board of Supervisors with respect to the IRFD were valid and in conformity with the IRFD Law. Section 3. In accordance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.5(b) and 53369.14(d)(5), the Board of Supervisors hereby establishes the date on which the allocation of tax increment shall begin for the IRFD (the Commencement Date), with the Commencement Date being the first day of the fiscal year following the fiscal year in which the IRFD has generated and the City has received at least \$100,000 of tax increment. Section 4. In the Resolution of Intention to Establish IRFD, the Board of Supervisors made certain findings under the CEQA about the Final Environmental Impact Report for the Pier 70 Mixed-Use District Project, and those findings are incorporated in this Ordinance as if set forth in their entirety herein. Section 5. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held to be invalid or unconstitutional by a decision of a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions or applications of this ordinance, this Board of Supervisors hereby declaring that it would have passed this ordinance and each and every section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, and word not declared invalid or unconstitutional without regard to whether any other portion of this ordinance or application thereof would be subsequently declared invalid

or unconstitutional. Section 6. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall cause this Ordinance to be published within 5 days of its passage and again within 15 days after its passage, in each case at least once in a newspaper of general circulation published and circulated in the City. III. Section 7. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within 10 days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

## BULK SALES

### NOTICE TO CREDITORS OF BULK SALE (U.C.C. §6104, 6105)

ESCROW #: 0126012277-PC NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN to creditors of the within named seller that a bulk sale is about to be made of the assets described below. The names and business address of the Seller(s) is/are: REVABA, Inc. 1025 Alameda De Las Pulgas, Belmont, CA 94002. The location in California of the Chief Executive Office of the seller is: same as above. As listed by the seller, all other business names and addresses used by the seller within three years before the date such list was sent or delivered to the buyer are: None.

The names and business address of the Buyer(s) is/are: Sattmali LLC 1025 Alameda De Las Pulgas, Belmont, CA 94002. The assets to be sold are described in general as: All stock in trade, furniture, fixtures, equipment and other property.

And are located at: 1025 Alameda De Las Pulgas, Belmont, CA 94002. The business name used by the Seller(s) at those locations is: The UPS Store #6084. The anticipated date of the bulk sale is: December 6, 2018. At the office of Old Republic Title Company @ 1000 Burnett Avenue, Suite 400, Concord, CA 94520. The bulk sale is subject to California Uniform Commercial Code Section 6106.2. If so subject, the name and address of the person with whom claims may be filed is as follows: Old Republic Title Company @ 1000 Burnett Avenue, Suite 400, Concord, CA 94520 or E-Fax to 925-265-9040 or Fax 925-363-2276.

The last day for filing claims shall be December 5, 2018 which is the business day before the sale date specified herein. Dated: 10/1/2018 Buyer(s): By: Sattmali LLC /S/By: Emil Sheth, CEO /S/ By: Bhavna Sheth, Manager 11/16/18 SPEN-3194742# EXAMINER & SAN MATEO WEEKLY

## CIVIL

### ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

Case No. 18CV06034 Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo Petition of: Luann Y. Aki for Change of Name

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Mia Aimiao Carlson-Aki to Mia Joy Carlson

The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: 12/19/2018, Time: 9:00 a.m., Dept.: PJ

The address of the court is 400 County Center, Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

A copy of this Order to Show Cause shall be published at least once each week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing on the petition in the following newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county: The Examiner. Date: November 7, 2018 Susan Irene Etezadi Judge of the Superior Court 11/16, 11/23, 11/30, 12/7/18 NPEN-3195019# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER

### ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

Case No. 18CV05653 Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo

Petition of: Bianca Guzman Ponce' for Change of Name TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:

Petitioner Bianca Guzman Ponce' filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Bianca Guzman Ponce' to Bianca Guzman

The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing: Date: 12/04/2018, Time: 9:00 AM, Dept.: PJ

The address of the court is 400 County Center, Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

A copy of this Order to Show

835 MARKET ST, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103  
Telephone (415) 314-1835 / Fax (510) 743-4178

LISA LEW  
CCSF BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES)  
1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA - 94102

EXM#: 3191862

PROOF OF PUBLICATION

(2015.5 C.C.P.)

State of California )  
County of SAN FRANCISCO ) ss

Notice Type: GPN - GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE

Ad Description:

LL - 180772 - IRFD NO. 2 - PIER 70

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of California; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer and publisher of the SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER, a newspaper published in the English language in the city of SAN FRANCISCO, county of SAN FRANCISCO, and adjudged a newspaper of general circulation as defined by the laws of the State of California by the Superior Court of the County of SAN FRANCISCO, State of California, under date 10/18/1951, Case No. 410667. That the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

11/07/2018, 11/16/2018

Executed on: 11/16/2018  
At Los Angeles, California

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.



Signature

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
OF THE CITY AND  
COUNTY OF SAN FRAN-  
CISCO

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco Finally Approved the following Ordinance No. 248-18 (File No. 180772), and Approved by the Mayor on November 2, 2018: [ Ordinance creating City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); affirming the Planning Department's determination and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act; and approving other matters in connection therewith.] Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco; Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby finds, determines and declares based on the record before it that: A. FC Pier 70, LLC (Forest City) and the City and County of San Francisco ("City"), acting by and through the San Francisco Port Commission ("Port"), anticipate entering into a Disposition and Development Agreement ("DDA") which will govern the disposition and development of approximately 28 acres of land in the waterfront area of the City known as Pier 70 ("Project Site"). B. In the general election held on November 4, 2014, an initiative entitled, the "Union Iron Works Historic District Housing, Waterfront Parks, Jobs and Preservation Initiative" (Proposition F), was approved by the voters in the City. C. Pursuant to Proposition F, the voters in the City approved a policy of the City, that the City encourage the timely development of the Project Site with a development project that includes certain major uses, including without limitation, new below market-rate homes affordable to middle- and low-income families and individuals, representing 30 percent of all new housing units (Affordable Housing). D. To meet a part of this requirement, Forest City and the City anticipate that the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development will undertake pursuant to the DDA an obligation to construct three 100% affordable housing projects

within the Project Site and an area of land in the vicinity of the Project Site and within Pier 70 commonly known as Parcel K South ("Parcel K South"), to satisfy the requirements for Affordable Housing under Proposition F. E. Under Chapter 2.6 of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the California Government Code commencing with Section 53369 ("IRFD Law"), this Board of Supervisors is authorized to establish an infrastructure and revitalization financing district and to act as the legislative body for an infrastructure and revitalization financing district. F. The IRFD Law provides that the legislative body of an infrastructure and revitalization financing district may, at any time, add territory to a district or amend the infrastructure financing plan for the district by conducting the same procedures for the formation of a district or approval of bonds as provided in the IRFD Law, and the Board of Supervisors wishes to establish the procedure for future annexation of property into the proposed infrastructure district. G. IRFD Section 53369.14(d)(5) provides that the legislative body of a proposed infrastructure and revitalization financing district may specify, by ordinance, the date on which the allocation of tax increment will begin, and the Board of Supervisors accordingly wishes to specify the date on which the allocation of tax increment will begin for the proposed infrastructure and revitalization financing district. H. Pursuant to the IRFD Law, the Board of Supervisors adopted its "Resolution of Intention to establish City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70) on land within the City and County of San Francisco commonly known as the Hoedown Yard to finance the construction of affordable housing within Pier 70 and Parcel K South; to provide for future annexation; to call a public hearing on September 11, 2018, on the formation of the district and to provide public notice thereof; determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act" (the Resolution of Intention



Email

\* A 0 0 0 0 0 4 9 2 9 8 7 7 \*

to Establish IRFD), stating its intention to form the "City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard)" ("IRFD"), pursuant to the IRFD Law. I. In the Resolution of Intention to Establish IRFD, this Board of Supervisors declared its intent to provide for future annexations of property into the IRFD any time after formation of the IRFD, but only if the Board of Supervisors has completed the procedures set forth in the Infrastructure Financing Plan, which shall be based on the following: i) the Board of Supervisors adopts a resolution of intention to annex property ("annexation territory") into the IRFD and describes the annexation territory to be included in the IRFD, (ii) the resolution of intention is mailed to each owner of land in the annexation territory and each affected taxing entity in the annexation territory, if any, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.11 and 53369.12, (iii) the Board of Supervisors directs the Port to prepare an amendment to the Infrastructure Financing Plan, if necessary, and the designated official prepares any such amendment, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.13 and 53369.14, (iv) any amendment to the Infrastructure Financing Plan is sent to each owner of land and each affected taxing entity (if any) within the annexation territory, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.15 and 53369.16, (v) the Board of Supervisors notices and holds a public hearing on the proposed annexation, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.17 and 53369.18, (vi) the Board of Supervisors adopts a resolution proposing the adoption of any amendment to the Infrastructure Financing Plan and annexation of the annexation territory to the IRFD, and submits the proposed annexation to the qualified electors in the annexation territory, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.20-53369.22, with the ballot measure to include the question of the proposed annexation of the annexation territory into the IRFD, approval of the appropriations limit for the annexation

territory and approval of the issuance of bonds for the annexation territory, and (vii) after canvass of returns of any election, and if two-thirds of the votes cast upon the question are in favor of the ballot measure, the Board of Supervisors may, by ordinance, adopt the amendment to the Infrastructure Financing Plan, if any, and approve the annexation of the annexation territory to the IRFD, in substantial compliance with IRFD Law Section 53369.23. J. The Board of Supervisors also adopted its "Resolution authorizing and directing the Executive Director of the Port of San Francisco, or designee thereof, to prepare an infrastructure financing plan for City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act," ordering preparation of an infrastructure financing plan for the IRFD (Infrastructure Financing Plan) consistent with the requirements of the IRFD Law. K. The Infrastructure Financing Plan includes a list of Facilities (as defined below) to be financed by the IRFD. L. As required by the IRFD Law, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors caused to be mailed a copy of the Resolution of Intention to Establish IRFD to each owner of land within the proposed IRFD and each affected taxing entity (as defined in the IRFD Law). M. As further required by the IRFD Law, the Executive Director of the Port prepared and sent the Infrastructure Financing Plan, along with any report required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.) that pertains to the proposed Facilities or the proposed development project for which the Facilities are needed ("CEQA Report"), to (i) each owner of land within the proposed IRFD and (ii) each affected taxing entity; and the Executive Director of the Port of San Francisco also sent the Infrastructure Financing Plan and the CEQA Report to the City's Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors. N. The Clerk of the Board of

Supervisors made the Infrastructure Financing Plan available for public inspection. O. As required by the IRFD Law, the Board of Supervisors, as the legislative body of the City, which is the only affected taxing entity which is proposed to be subject to the division of taxes pursuant to the IRFD Law, considered and adopted its resolution "Resolution approving infrastructure financing plan for City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act," pursuant to which the Board of Supervisors approved the Infrastructure Financing Plan. P. Following publication of a notice consistent with the requirements of the IRFD Law, this Board of Supervisors held a public hearing relating to the proposed IRFD and the proposed Infrastructure Financing Plan. Q. Subsequent to the hearing, the Board of Supervisors adopted resolutions entitled (i) "Resolution proposing adoption of infrastructure financing plan and formation of City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); providing for future annexation; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act" (the Resolution Proposing Formation), and (ii) "Resolution calling special election for City and County of San Francisco Infrastructure and Revitalization Financing District No. 2 (Hoedown Yard, Pier 70); determining other matters in connection therewith; and affirming the Planning Department's determination, and making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act," which resolutions proposed formation of the IRFD, proposed approval of the Infrastructure Financing Plan, proposed the process for future annexations of territory to the IRFD, proposed an annual appropriations limit for the IRFD, proposed issuance of bonds and other debt

(Bonds) for the IRFD to finance certain facilities (the Facilities) and called a special election within the IRFD. R. A special election was held within the IRFD pursuant to which the eligible landowner-electors approved the propositions presented at the election by the two-thirds vote required by the IRFD Law. Section 2. By the passage of this Ordinance, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares the IRFD to be fully formed with full force and effect of law, approves the Infrastructure Financing Plan, declares the IRFD to have an annual appropriations limit of \$91.9 million, and declares that the Board of Supervisors has the authority to issue from time to time Bonds in one or more series for the IRFD in the maximum aggregate principal amount of (i) \$91.9 million (in 2017 dollars) plus (ii) the principal amount of Bonds approved by this Board of Supervisors and the qualified electors of the annexation territory in connection with the annexation of the annexation territory to the IRFD, so long as the Board makes the finding specified in IRFD Law Section 53369.41(f), all as provided in the proceedings for the IRFD and in the IRFD Law. Territory may be annexed into the IRFD in the future, as described in the Resolution of Intention to Establish IRFD and the Resolution Proposing Formation. It is hereby found that all prior proceedings and actions taken by this Board of Supervisors with respect to the IRFD were valid and in conformity with the IRFD Law. Section 3. In accordance with IRFD Law Sections 53369.5(b) and 53369.14(d)(5), the Board of Supervisors hereby establishes the date on which the allocation of tax increment shall begin for the IRFD (the Commencement Date), with the Commencement Date being the first day of the fiscal year following the fiscal year in which the IRFD has generated and the City has received at least \$100,000 of tax increment. Section 4. In the Resolution of Intention to Establish IRFD, the Board of Supervisors made certain findings under the CEQA about the Final Environmental Impact Report for the Pier 70 Mixed-Use District Project, and those findings are incorporated in this Ordinance as if set forth in their entirety

herein. Section 5. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held to be invalid or unconstitutional by a decision of a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions or applications of this ordinance, this Board of Supervisors hereby declaring that it would have passed this ordinance and each and every section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, and word not declared invalid or unconstitutional without regard to whether any other portion of this ordinance or application thereof would be subsequently declared invalid or unconstitutional. Section 6. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall cause this Ordinance to be published within 5 days of its passage and again within 15 days after its passage, in each case at least once in a newspaper of general circulation published and circulated in the City. /// Section 7. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within 10 days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.