RECEIVED BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SAN FRANCISCO 2018 NOV 16 PM 3: 42 To: S.F. PLANNING FROM DAVID Collins 8Y____ OWNER / 74 - 78 PAGE ST. CAN ERANCISCO, CA. 94103 REI APPEAL OF CEQA EXEMPTION DETERMINATION I am appercise the ceqt exemption Determination BECAUSE of the following PEASONS. 1) Propose project will subject TENANTS in NERHEDRING RESIDE EAL TO UNHEALTHY Noise levele - causina a nuigipuce. 2) THE PROPOSED PROJECT is NO WAY CONSISTENT WITH THE CITY OF SAN PRANCISCOS GENERAL PLAN. 3) PROject WILL HAVE A SONITIONATIVE improt on THE Drowy lives of Lengals AND Expose THEN TO AMPLIFICATION EQUIPMENT that will be diruptive AND UNHEALTHY -4) Project DID NOT RECEIVE Proper PISCRESION >ALY REVIEW IN REVIEWING THE Serior of proposed



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

RECEIVED BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SAN FRANCISCO

CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination

PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address		Block/Lot(s)	and the second second second
	84 Page St.	0	837/011
Case No.	Permit No.	Plans Dated	
2016-015922ENV			11/2/17
✓ Addition/	Demolition	New	Project Modification
Alteration	(requires HRER if over 45 years old)	Construction	(GO TO STEP 7)
Project description for Planning Department approval.			

Demolish an existing one-story office building (district non-contributor) and create an open, fenced yard for use by the French American International School. The use will be primarily for grades 3-12 and operate primarily during school hours 8:00am to 6:00pm, weekdays, with limited afterschool and weekend programs.

STEP 1: EXEMPTION CLASS

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Note: If n	either class applies, an Environmental Evaluation Application is required.
\checkmark	Class 1 – Existing Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additions under 10,000 sq. ft.
	Class 3 – New Construction/ Conversion of Small Structures. Up to three (3) new single-family residences or six (6) dwelling units in one building; commercial/office structures; utility extensions.; .; change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU. Change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU.
	Class

STEP 2: CEQA IMPACTS

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

If any box is checked below, an Environmental Evaluation Application is required.

 Air Quality: Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities) within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone? Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g., backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks)? Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Article 38 program and the project would not have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations. (refer to EP _ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Air Pollutant Exposure Zone)

 Hazardous Materials: If the project site is located on the Maher map or is suspected of containing hazardous materials (based on a previous use such as gas station, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing, or a site with underground storage tanks): Would the project involve 50 cubic yards

or more of soil disturbance - or a change of use from industrial to residential? If yes, this box must be checked and the project applicant must submit an Environmental Application with a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment. *Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, a DPH waiver from the*

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

	Maher program, or other documentation from Environmental Planning staff that hazardous material effects would be less than significant (refer to EP_ArcMap > Maher layer).
	Transportation: Does the project create six (6) or more net new parking spaces or residential units? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities?
	Archeological Resources: Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? (<i>refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Archeological Sensitive Area</i>)
	Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment: Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (<i>refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography</i>)
	Slope = or > 20%: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (<i>refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography</i>) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.
	Seismic: Landslide Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (<i>refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones</i>) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.
	Seismic: Liquefaction Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (<i>refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones</i>) If box is checked, a geotechnical report will likely be required.
	are checked above, GO TO STEP 3. <u>If one or more boxes are checked above, an <i>Environmental</i> <i>Application</i> is required, unless reviewed by an Environmental Planner.</u>
$\mathbf{\overline{\mathbf{V}}}$	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The project does not trigger any of the CEQA impacts listed above.
Comments	and Planner Signature (optional): Jean Poling Digitally signed by Jean Poling Date: 2017.12.13 18:04:45-08:00'
environme	ortation effects per 8/17/17 memo. No noise effects per 12/13/17 Charles Salter ental noise assessment update and 12/13/17 Planning Department "noise from playfield use" memo.

STEP 3: PROPERTY STATUS – HISTORIC RESOURCE TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Parcel Information Map)			
Category A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5.			
	Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4.		
\checkmark	Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6.		

1. <u>-</u> 1.

۰.

STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Che	ck all that apply to the project.
	1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included.
	2. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building.
	3. Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards. Does not include storefront window alterations.
	4. Garage work. A new opening that meets the <i>Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts,</i> and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines.
	5. Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.
	6. Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of- way.
	7. Dormer installation that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows.
	8. Addition(s) that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features.
Not	e: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding.
\mathbf{V}	Project is not listed. GO TO STEP 5.
	Project does not conform to the scopes of work. GO TO STEP 5.
	Project involves four or more work descriptions. GO TO STEP 5.
	Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6.

STEP 5: CEQA IMPACTS – ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW TO BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER

Check a	ll that apply to the project.
	1. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.
	2. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces.
	3. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character.
	4. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.
	5. Raising the building in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.
	6. Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.
	7. Addition(s), including mechanical equipment that are minimally visible from a public right-of-way and meet the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.
	8. Other work consistent with the Secretary of the Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (specify or add comments):

	E COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER Further environmental review required. Proposed projec all that apply): Step 2 – CEQA Impacts Step 5 – Advanced Historical Review STOP! Must file an Environmental Evaluation Application No further environmental review is required. The project Planner Name: Project Approval Action:	ion.	
	Further environmental review required. Proposed project all that apply): Step 2 – CEQA Impacts Step 5 – Advanced Historical Review STOP! Must file an Environmental Evaluation Application No further environmental review is required. The project	<i>ion.</i> :ct is categorically exempt under CEQA. Signature:	
	Further environmental review required. Proposed project all that apply): Step 2 – CEQA Impacts Step 5 – Advanced Historical Review STOP! Must file an Environmental Evaluation Application	ion.	
	Further environmental review required. Proposed project all that apply): Step 2 – CEQA Impacts Step 5 – Advanced Historical Review		
	Further environmental review required. Proposed project all that apply): Step 2 – CEQA Impacts	ct does not meet scopes of work in either (<i>check</i>	
	Further environmental review required. Proposed project all that apply):	ct does not meet scopes of work in either (<i>check</i>	
		ct does not meet scopes of work in either (check	
$\sim n$			
TEP	6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATION		
rese	rvation Planner Signature: Eiliesh Tuffy	bert by Elin A Ton g. angles, a tony array, a a completing on Canad Paring, an Elin A Ton. 19 a 19 Sect 2000	
omi	nents (optional):		
	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical	그 것 같은 것 같	
	Further environmental review required. Based on the information provided, the project requires an <i>Environmental Evaluation Application</i> to be submitted. GO TO STEP 6.		
Note	: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Preservation		
	Coordinator) Reclassify to Category A a. Per HRER dated: b. Other (specify): Reclassify to Category C		
<u></u>	(Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Prese 10. Reclassification of property status. (Requires approv		
Demolish non-contributing building in the Hayes Valley Residential Nat'l Reg District; New fence and signage materials and finishes are compatible with the		finishes are compatible with the district	
\checkmark	Demolish non-contributing building in the Hours	pric district (specify or add comments):	

4

STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address (If different than front page)		Block/Lot(s) (If different than
		front page)
Case No.	Previous Building Permit No.	New Building Permit No.
Plans Dated	Previous Approval Action	New Approval Action

Modified Project Description:

DETERMINATION IF PROJECT CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION

Compared to the approved project, would the modified project:		
	Result in expansion of the building envelope, as defined in the Planning Code;	
	Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312;	
	Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?	
	Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may no longer qualify for the exemption?	

If at least one of the above boxes is checked, further environmental review is required. ATEX FORM

DETERMINATION OF NO SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION

The proposed	modification would not result in any of the above changes.		
If this box is checked, the prop	osed modifications are categorically exempt under CEQA, in accordance with prior project		
approval and no additional environmental review is required. This determination shall be posted on the Planning			
Department website and office and mailed to the applicant, City approving entities, and anyone requesting written notice.			
Planner Name:	Signature or Stamp:		

COLLINS DAVID PO BOX 330368 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94133-0368 8844 DATE NOU. 16 1 90/7162 PAYTOTHE S.F. PCANNING \$ Six HUUPRED JEVENTEEN DOLLARS CHASE JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. www.Chase.com of CEPA determini MEMO APPEVIC MP

VISORS

BOARD OF SUPERVI SAN FRANCISC 2018 NOV 16 PM 3: 42

BY.