LANDMARK DESIGNATION REPORT



Benedict-Gieling House 22 Beaver Street

July 16, 2018

City and County of San Francisco London Breed, Mayor

Planning Department John Rahaim, Director

Cover: Benedict-Gieling House, 2018, Christopher VerPlanck

The Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) is a seven-member body that makes recommendations to the Board of Supervisors regarding the designation of landmark buildings and districts. The regulations governing landmarks and landmark districts are found in Article 10 of the Planning Code. The HPC is staffed by the San Francisco Planning Department.

CONTENTS

OVERVIEW	1
BUILDING DESCRIPTION	2
Neighborhood Context	2
Property Description: Site	8
Property Description: Main House	10
Property Description: Garage/Carriage House	23
HISTORICAL CONTEXTS	25
Pre-construction History: 1847 to 1870	25
Construction History: 1870	
Summary of Alterations: 1870 to 2015	43
Italianate Style in San Francisco: 1865 to 1885	43
ARTICLE 10 LANDMARK DESIGNATION	45
BIBLIOGRAPHY	52
ΔΡΡΕΝΟΙΧ	54

Benedict-Gieling House

22 Beaver Street

Built: Ca. 1870

Architect: Unknown

OVERVIEW

The Benedict-Gieling House occupies a 50 by 115-foot lot on the north side of Beaver Street, between Noe and Castro Streets, in Duboce Triangle. Built ca. 1870 by a silver refiner named Jacob Benedict, the Italianate villa represented the aspirations of an upwardly mobile family at the height of the Comstock Lode Silver Boom. The Benedict property originally consisted of the main house, a carriage house, an outhouse, and several outbuildings. The buildings occupied less than fifty percent of the lot, leaving room for a lush Victorian garden containing a Canary Island palm, tree ferns, and a vast magnolia tree. In 1888, following Jacob's death, his widow sold the property to George T. and Abby Davis. George Davis was a wealthy wool merchant, and he and Abby lived there until 1894. Four years later, their heirs sold the property to Mary E. Fee, an Irish-American entrepreneur. Fee converted the property into a boarding house, reflecting the increasing urbanization of Duboce Triangle. In early 1906, Fee sold the property to Stephen D. and Emma W. Russell. Stephen Russell was First Assistant to San Francisco's Fire Chief. In the 35 years that the Russell family owned it (1906 to 1941), they made several changes to the property, including constructing a prominent tower on the primary façade and building a rental cottage at the northeast corner of the garden. From 1941 onward, several subsequent owners used the property exclusively as boarding house, taking advantage of the demand for inexpensive housing in a steadily deteriorating neighborhood. In 1964, a lawyer named Matthew Fishgold bought the property with plans to build a hotel. When Fishgold's plans fell through, he sold the property to John and Imogene "Tex" Gieling. The Gielings carefully restored the deteriorated property over the next decade and a half. John, a photogrammeter, lived at 22 Beaver Street until his death in 1982. Imogene, a well-known artist who specializes in metalworking, still lives there. The Benedict-Gieling House is an exceedingly rare example of a suburban Italianate villa in San Francisco. Its exterior, which features ornament on three elevations, was clearly designed to be seen "in the round." This, combined with its park-like setting, recall a time when Duboce Triangle was a genteel estate exurb of San Francisco. The neighborhood once contained a half-dozen comparable properties, but they have all been demolished, leaving only the Benedict-Gieling House.

Page | 1

BUILDING DESCRIPTION

Neighborhood Context

Duboce Triangle is a predominantly residential district of flats, small apartment buildings, and single-family dwellings developed between 1870 and the First World War. Originally an affluent exurb of semi-rural estates, Page | 2 Duboce Triangle evolved, after the 1906 Earthquake, into a dense working-class neighborhood with a large Scandinavian immigrant population. During the Depression, many of the remaining single-family properties were carved up into small apartments and boarding houses, with many of these new units filled by shipyard and defense industry workers during the Second World War. Duboce Triangle declined after the Second World War and narrowly avoided being demolished along with the nearby Fillmore District in the 1960s. During the 1970s and 1980s, Duboce Triangle became popular with Gay men interested in rehabilitating Victorians, and in recent decades, it has attracted young, affluent tech workers. Built ca. 1870, the Benedict-Gieling House is one of the oldest houses in Duboce Triangle. It is a rare example of an early Italianate villa built when the neighborhood was a semi-rural expanse of suburban estates on the fringes of the Victorian city. The Benedict-Gieling House occupies a generous 5,750-square foot lot on the first block of Beaver Street. The 50-foot by 115-foot lot is on the north side of Beaver Street, roughly halfway between Castro and Noe Streets (Figure 1). It is a quiet block with very little vehicular traffic and a thick canopy of street trees. The block's sedateness stands in contrast to busy Market Street, which is only one block to the south.




Figure 1. Aerial photograph with the location of 22 Beaver Street indicated by the red pin. **Source: Google Maps**

The first block of Beaver Street slopes steeply uphill from Noe to Castro Street. It is lined by street trees, including several flowering magnolias, bottlebrushes, palms, mulberries, and other temperate climate-loving species (Figure 2). The block is entirely residential except for its southeast corner, where the Noe-Beaver Pocket Park occupies the footprint of a long-demolished house (Figure 3). This park is banked into the hillside and simply landscaped with flowering shrubs and small trees. Beaver Street is defined on both sides by a variety of residential building types $^{\mathsf{Page} \mid 3}$ constructed between 1870 and 1992, ranging from one-story cottages to four-story apartment buildings. The most common building type on the block are two-family flats. Several properties, including the Benedict-Gieling House, have smaller cottages and/or other outbuildings in their rear yards. Remarkably, most of the buildings on the subject block were constructed before the 1906 Earthquake, with all later construction occurring on the sites of suburban villas that were demolished, subdivided, and redeveloped after 1906.



Figure 2. Looking up Beaver Street; view toward west from Noe Street.



Figure 3. Noe-Beaver Mini Park: view toward southwest from intersection of Noe and Beaver Streets.

The south side of the subject block contains 15 flats and rowhouses built between the mid-1870s and 1900, as well as a few later infill dwellings (Figure 4). Most appear to have been built as custom houses, as opposed to having been built as part of a tract of speculative dwellings. The oldest house on the south side of the street is 9 Beaver Street, a two-story-over-garage, gable-roofed, Italianate dwelling. Although it has been remodeled in recent years, surviving stylistic cues suggest an original construction date of ca. 1875 (Figure 5). By far, the most common building type on the south side of the street is a two-story, Stick-Eastlake-style flat, such as 11-13 Beaver Street (Figure 6). A smaller version of this type is the one-story Stick-Eastlake-style cottage at 23 Beaver Street (Figure 7). In general, the houses get newer as one gets closer to Castro Street, with several later Queen Anne flats, such as 45-49 and 51 Beaver Street (Figure 8), joining the older Stick-Eastlake flats and cottages (Figure 9). The sole postquake building on the south side of the block is a hipped roof cottage at 87 Beaver, which was built in 1911 (Figure 10). It sits behind a much larger apartment building facing Castro Street that was built at the same time, suggesting that it may have been part of the same project.



Figure 4. South side of Beaver Street; view from Noe Street.



Figure 5. 9 Beaver Street.



Figure 6. 11-13 Beaver Street.










Figure 8. 45-49 (left) and 51 Beaver Street (right).



Figure 9. 65 (left) and 69 Beaver Street (right).



Figure 10. 87 Beaver Street.

The north side of Beaver Street is more diverse than the south side, in part because it has several newer infill buildings constructed on the sites of former estates that were subdivided and redeveloped after the 1906 Earthquake. One of the oldest houses on the block is the Bragg-Martenstein House at 245 Castro Street, which occupies a lot at the northeast corner of Castro and Beaver Streets. Built ca. 1878, the property contains a two-story, flat-fronted Italianate dwelling (Figure 11). Continuing downhill from Castro Street is a pair of Stick-Eastlake-style houses at 76 and 80-82 Beaver Street, which were both built in the mid-1880s (Figure 12). Beyond them are two contiguous groups of six Mediterranean-style flats built in the 1910s and 1920s at 46-48 to 68-70 Beaver Street. These flats, which adjoin the Benedict-Gieling House to the west, disrupt the predominantly Victorian character of the block with their flat roofs, stucco façades, and zero lot line setbacks (Figure 13).







Figure 11. 245 Castro Street; view toward northeast.

Figure 12. 80-82 (left) and 76 Beaver Street (right).



Figure 13. Row of Mediterranean-style flats at 46-48 to 68-70 Beaver Street; view toward northeast.

Bordering the Benedict-Gieling House to the east is 20 Beaver Street, which was once part of the subject property. The property contains a ca. 1906 cottage at the rear of the lot that has recently been remodeled (Figure 14). At the front of the lot is a much larger single-family dwelling constructed in 1992. This building was redesigned in response to neighborhood concerns about impacts to the neighboring Benedict-Gieling House and garden. As a result, it has a distinctive wedge-shaped footprint that was devised to spare the Canary Island palm that was until 1952 part of the Benedict-Gieling House property (Figure 15).







Figure 14. Cottage at rear of 20 Beaver Street.

Figure 15. Dwelling at front of 20 Beaver Street.

Next-door to 20 Beaver Street is 18 Beaver Street, a small flat-fronted Italianate cottage built in 1882. Until it was expanded to the rear, it was the smallest building on the block (Figure 16). East of 18 Beaver Street is a pair of ca. 1890 flats at 10-12 and 14-16 Beaver Street (Figure 17), a pair of heavily altered flats built in 1906 at 2-4 and 6-8 Beaver Street (Figure 18), and a six-unit apartment building at the northwest corner of Noe and Beaver Streets (Figure 19).



Figure 16. 18 Beaver Street.



Figure 17. 14-16 (left) and 10-12 Beaver Street (right).



Figure 18. 6-8 (left) and 2-4 Beaver Street (right).



Figure 19. Apartment building at Noe and Beaver Streets.



Figure 20. Overall view of 22 Beaver Street; view toward north.

Property Description: Site

A wood picket fence forms the southern boundary of the Benedict-Gieling property (Figure 20). The fence is divided into sections by wood bollards capped by globe-like finials. Toward the west side of the fence is a gate that provides access to the driveway. A pedestrian gate toward the east side of the property provides access to the main house. The main house, which is set back about 20 feet from the fence, occupies the center of the lot, leaving ample room at the front for a garden. This garden, which is defined by a low brick wall, until recently contained a large magnolia tree that all but concealed the primary façade from view. The garden now contains several tree ferns and maidenhair ferns. At the left side of the property, the driveway leads to the garage/carriage house (Figure 21). The driveway is paved with gravel and the brick-lined planting beds along each side contain a variety of plantings, including a flowering plum tree, a flowering quince, fuchsias, hydrangeas, and a rhododendron. The cement pedestrian path along the east side of the property is defined by low planting beds as well that contain several small fruit trees, flowering shrubs, herbs, flowers, and vines (Figure 22). At the rear of the property, where the main house and the garage/carriage house meet, is a quarry tile-covered patio. The patio serves as a landing spot for a wood exterior stair that provides a secondary means of egress from the attic and the second floor level (Figure 23). Along the north side of the patio is a small planting bed containing impatiens and jasmine vines (Figure 24).



Figure 21. Driveway; view toward north.



Page | 9

Figure 22. Pedestrian walkway; view toward south.



Figure 23. Patio and stair; view toward west.



Figure 24. Rear garden; view toward west.

Property Description: Main House

General Description

The Benedict-Gieling House is a two-and-a-half-story, wood-frame, Italianate villa with a finished attic. The dwelling sits atop a brick perimeter foundation which encloses an unexcavated crawl space beneath the house. Roughly 'T'-shaped in plan, the dwelling is clad in redwood rustic siding and capped by a cross-gabled roof. Originally constructed ca. 1870, the exterior has undergone few changes except for the construction of a one-story bathroom wing at the rear and a tower above the main entrance ca. 1906, a one-story rear kitchen wing in 1933, and a shed-roofed attic dormer ca. 1976. Unlike most Victorian-era residences in San Francisco, the Benedict-Gieling House was clearly designed to be viewed "in the round" because, with the exception of the rear elevation, the entire exterior is ornamented. Originally built as a suburban villa for an affluent silver refiner, the Benedict-Gieling House became a boarding house after the 1906 Earthquake. The current occupant converted it back into a single-family dwelling in 1966, and it has remained in this use ever since. Despite changes in occupancy over time, the interior remains quite intact, retaining the majority of its Victorian-era finishes and materials. The first floor has a characteristically Victorian floorplan, with a living room, front parlor, middle parlor, dining room, kitchen, and a bathroom. Meanwhile, the second floor contains three bedrooms, an office, a study, and two bathrooms. The third floor (attic) level, which occupies the area beneath the intersecting roof gables, contains the former servants' quarters, including two bedrooms and a bathroom.

South (Primary) Façade

The south (primary) façade of the Benedict-Gieling House faces Beaver Street (Figure 25). It is three bays wide, with the right bay recessed 15 feet back from the rest of the façade. The main part of the south façade is massed as a rectangle capped by a triangular gable. The fenestration pattern is symmetrical, consisting of four double-hung windows, as well as a smaller attic window in the gable. The windows are embellished with Italianate ornament, including fluted casings, impost blocks, segmental arch headers, and bracketed hoods. The attic window, which may have been added later, has unornamented casings. The main part of the south façade is capped by a plain wood frieze and a raking cornice supported by angled, scroll-sawn brackets. The recessed bay contains the main entrance, which is located inside a portico capped by a denticulated entablature supported by fluted columns (Figure 26). The entrance itself contains a multi-panel wood door flanked by fluted casings. It is capped by a segmental-arch transom. Above the portico is the ca. 1906 tower. Built to contain a bathroom, the south wall of the tower contains a double-hung window capped by a segmental-arch header. This window, as well as many of the others on the east and west façades, is detailed slightly differently from those on the primary façade, with simple fluted moldings, impost blocks, and a gilded foliate cresting; it has no bracketed hood (Figure 27). The tower is capped by a steeply pitched hipped roof. Purely cosmetic, the roof was intended to update the appearance of the house, which was already 36 years old at the time.

Page | 10





Figure 25. South (primary) façade of the Benedict-Gieling House; view toward north.








Figure 26. Main entrance; view toward north.

Figure 27. Tower; view toward north.

East (Secondary) Façade

The east façade of the Benedict-Gieling House is detailed almost the same as the primary façade. This was due to its visual prominence, as it originally faced the garden that once occupied the eastern third of the property (now 20 Beaver Street). The east façade is nearly impossible to photograph due to the tight space between the house and the neighboring property at 20 Beaver Street. To aid the reader's understanding, we have included a photograph taken in 1990 that shows the east façade prior to the construction of the house at 20 Beaver (Figure 28). As this photograph illustrates, the east façade is composed of three parts: the front section adjoining the main entrance, a central gable-roofed portion, and the rear service wing. The front portion contains a pair of windows: one at both the first and second floor levels (Figure 29). The window at the first floor level is simpler than its counterparts, whereas the window at the second floor level matches the windows on the nearby tower.





Figure 28. East façade, 1990; view toward west. Source: Imogene Gieling

The central part of the east façade is encompassed within the gable-roofed part of the house. This section features a three-sided bay window at the first floor level (Figure 30). The bay window contains three double-hung windows and it is embellished with pipe colonnettes and a bracketed entablature. Above the bay window, at the second floor level, is a pair of windows detailed the same as those on the front façade, including a bracketed hood. To the left of this group is one double-hung window next to the tower. It does not have any ornamentation. At the apex of the gable is a double-hung window that provides light and air to the attic. The gable is defined by a plain frieze and a broad raking cornice supported by angled brackets similar to the primary façade.

The northernmost section of the east façade comprises the service wing. Containing the dining room (originally the kitchen) at the first floor level and a study at the second floor level, as well as a one-story kitchen addition built at the rear in 1933, the service wing is largely utilitarian, without the same level of ornamentation observed on other parts of the exterior (Figure 31). In terms of its fenestration pattern, the east wall of the service wing has a purely functional arrangement of windows and doors, including two double-hung windows, a casement window, a large divided lite window, a pair of French doors, and a third door at the second floor level that lets out onto the roof of the ca. 1906 bathroom addition. The only ornament on the service wing is the scroll-swan balustrades of the exterior stair and the roof deck on top of the 1933 kitchen addition. The stair and the roof deck were added ca. 1976 as part of a secondary means of egress for the attic and the carriage house, which was converted into a secondary dwelling unit at the time.





Figure 29. Front part of east façade; view toward northwest.

Figure 30. Bay window; view toward north.

West (Tertiary) Façade

For about the first half-century of its existence, the west façade of the Benedict-Gieling House would have been visible from Beaver Street because the adjoining property was a suburban villa with a sprawling garden on Beaver Street. Since the 1920s, however, the west façade has been hemmed in by a row flats (Figures 32-33). Because it was originally highly visible, the west façade is embellished with the same degree of ornament as the east façade, which faced the garden. It has fewer windows though, because the stair and the chimney occupy much of the interior in this part of the house. Beginning at the left (north) side, the west façade contains a pair of double-hung windows without any ornament.



Figure 31. Detail of east façade of service wing; view toward west.

These windows are part of the flat-roofed service wing, which is capped by a roof deck with a decorative

balustrade added ca. 1976. The central section of the west façade is located within the gable-roofed section. Unlike its counterparts on the south and the east façades, the cornice on the gable of the west façade has no brackets. This part of the west façade is articulated by four windows. At the first floor, there is a double-hung wood window with a segmental-arched header, impost blocks, and gilded cresting that matches several of the windows on the east façade. To the right, is a louvered vent. The second floor level has a matching window in the left bay and a large art glass window in the right bay. The latter illuminates the stair inside the house. The front part of the west façade is not fenestrated because the brick chimney is located inside the house.

Page | 15





Figure 32. West façade; view toward south.

Figure 33. West façade; view toward north.

North (Quaternary) Façade

The north façade of the Benedict-Gieling House faces the rear yard. It is part of the rear service wing, and it has no ornament. It is massed as a series of one and two-story volumes, including the ca. 1906, one-story bathroom addition on the east side (Figure 34); the 1933, one-story kitchen addition at the center (Figure 35); the original 1870, two-story service wing; and the ca. 1976 attic dormer (Figure 36). Due to the cramped conditions at the rear of the property, the north façade can only be photographed in sections.










Figure 35. 1933 kitchen addition; view toward south.



Figure 36. 1976 attic dormer on north side; view toward south.

Interior: First Floor

The first floor level of the Benedict-Gieling House contains five main rooms, including a living room, front parlor, middle parlor, dining room, and kitchen. There is also a bathroom housed within the ca. 1906 addition on the north side. The interior of the first floor level is highly intact, retaining the majority of its original floorplan, materials, and features, including its lath and plaster walls; wood trim, including baseboards, door and window casings, picture rails, and crown moldings; and doors, mantels, and other built-ins. Many of the walls are papered in William Morris-designed wallpaper patterns. Some of the flooring, which is made of both fir and oak, is original, although several rooms on the first floor have parquet floors installed by the current owner in the 1960s and 1970s. Some original Victorian light fixtures remain, although the current owner has replaced missing fixtures with period-appropriate antiques. The ceiling-mounted fixtures are suspended from plaster rosettes that appear to be original.

Page | 17

One enters the Benedict-Gieling House through the main entrance at the southeast corner. A wide hall running from east to west serves as a reception area and provides access to the living room on the south side and the front and middle parlors to the north (Figure 37). The hall terminates at a stair at the west end that leads up to the second floor level. The living room, which is finished the same as the two parlors, features a Classical Revival mantel that was installed after the 1906 Earthquake (Figure 38). Like the living room, the front parlor has a fireplace, although its cast iron mantel, which is enameled to look like marble, is certainly original (Figure 39). A door on the north wall of the front parlor provides access to a bathroom, which is located inside a one-story, shedroofed addition that was likely built in 1906. A pair of pocket doors separates the front parlor from the middle parlor to the west (Figure 40). The middle parlor is ornamented slightly differently from the living room and the front parlor in that it does not have a crown molding. It also does not have a fireplace (Figure 41). Accessed by a doorway on the north wall of the middle parlor is the dining room. Originally the kitchen, the dining room has a tiled fireplace with a wood mantel (Figure 42). A pair of doors flanking the fireplace on the north wall of the dining room provide access to the one-story kitchen addition built in 1933. The kitchen was remodeled in the 1970s but it has what appears to be a salvaged Victorian-era art glass window along the north wall (Figure 43).



Figure 37. Hall; view from stair landing toward east.



Figure 38. Living room; view toward northwest.



Figure 39. Front parlor; view toward north.



Figure 40. Middle parlor; view toward southwest.







Figure 41. Dining room; view toward northwest.

Figure 42. Kitchen; view toward north.

Interior Second Floor

The stair leads up from the entrance hall to the second floor level, which contains three bedrooms, a bathroom, and a study. The stair terminates at a hall that is naturally illuminated by a large art glass window on the west wall (Figure 43). The hall provides direct access to the three bedrooms, a central corridor, a bathroom, and the stair to the attic. The master bedroom is located at the southwest corner of the house above the living room. The master bedroom has fir floors, lath and plaster walls, wood baseboards and door and window casings, and a picture rail. It also has a brick fireplace with a painted wood mantel that appears to be original. It also has a built-in sink with wood cabinets and a marble counter top (Figure 44). The master bedroom is illuminated by a Victorian-era light fixture suspended from a plaster rosette at the center of the ceiling. Catty-corner from the master bedroom is another bedroom located above the front parlor. This bedroom is finished the same as the master bedroom, with lath and plaster walls, wood baseboards and trim, and a fireplace with an original marble mantel (Figure 45). A smaller third bedroom is located opposite the master bedroom, above the middle parlor. This bedroom has been converted into a library, and it contains floor-to-ceiling bookcases salvaged from the California Academy of Sciences. Located between the two bedrooms on the north side of the hall is a corridor leading to a study at the rear of the house. The corridor is lined with bookcases salvaged from the California Academy of Sciences (Figure 46).





Figure 43. Art glass window in stair; view toward west.



Figure 44. Master bedroom; view toward northwest.



Figure 45. Second bedroom; view toward north.



Figure 46. Corridor; view toward north.

The study at the rear of the second floor level is directly above the dining room. It is finished in contemporary materials, suggesting that it may have originally been a storage room that was later converted into a bedroom. It has resilient tile flooring, gypsum board walls and ceiling, and unpainted (possibly salvaged) wood wainscoting and trim (Figure 47). Along the rear (north) wall of the study is a pair of Victorian-era doors providing access to a closet on the west and a bathroom on the east. The bathroom is finished in 1970s (and later)-era materials.

Page | 21



Figure 47. Study at rear of second floor level; view toward northwest.

On the east side of the second floor hall is a doorway leading to a smaller vestibule with stairs leading up to the attic (Figure 48). On the south side of this vestibule is a bathroom consisting of a separate water closet and bathroom. These rooms are located inside the ca. 1906 tower built above the porch. They are finished in a variety of materials from different eras and contain contemporary plumbing fixtures, including a walk-in bathtub installed in 2015 (Figure 49).

Interior: Third Floor

A narrow quarter-turn stair leads up to the attic level, which contains a small suite of rooms historically used as the servants' quarters. Like the main stair, the attic stair has unpainted wood balusters (Figure 50). The attic level is confined within the cross-gabled section of the roof where there is enough headroom for occupancy. It was enlarged in 1976 when a shed-roofed dormer was added to the roof (Figure 51). The attic is finished in lath and plaster walls and ceilings. It has some decorative trim, including high wood baseboards and door and window casings that match the bedrooms below, suggesting that the attic has always been finished. The attic has its own bathroom and a bar with a sink.







Figure 48. Vestibule and attic stair; view toward east.

Figure 49. Bathroom; view toward south.








Figure 51. Attic; view toward east.

Page | 23

Property Description: Garage/Carriage House

General Description

The carriage house was built approximately the same time as the Benedict-Gieling House, in ca. 1870. As originally designed, the first floor would have held carriages and other horse-drawn conveyances, and possibly a horse. Meanwhile, the second floor would have been the hay loft where feed was stored. At some point in the 1890s, the hay loft was converted into a dwelling unit.¹ In 1915, the owner of the property constructed a one-story, 250-square-foot garage in front of the carriage house. The garage cut off access to the carriage house from Beaver Street, suggesting that it was no longer being used for its original purposes. The building remained in use as a dwelling until the 1950s, when it appears to have been abandoned. In 1976, the current owner hired architect Albert Lanier to remodel it as a secondary residential unit. Lanier left the exterior largely the same, but the interior of the heavily deteriorated building was entirely rebuilt.



Figure 52. Garage/carriage house; view toward north from driveway.

The garage/carriage house is a wood-frame

building consisting of a one-story, flat-roofed garage at the front and a one-and-a-half-story, gable-roofed dwelling at the rear (Figures 52-53). It is clad in rustic channel and board and batten siding. The building has a contemporary concrete perimeter and slab foundation. The exterior is articulated by a variety of window and door types. The interior contains a single-car garage in the front and a dwelling unit behind consisting of a kitchen, living room, bathroom, and a bedroom. The bedroom is located in the former hay loft on the second floor level, and a pair of French doors in provides access to a roof deck on top of the garage. The front of the carriage house is capped by a lightning rod and a beam originally used to hoist hay (Figure 54). The east side of the carriage house has a pair of additions that date to the late nineteenth century, including a shed-roofed lean-to containing a kitchen and a pyramidal-roofed structure, originally an outhouse, that now contains a bathroom (Figure 55).

¹ The 1899 Sanborn Map gives the carriage house its own address: 22 ½ Beaver Street, indicating that somebody lived in the building.



Figure 53. Garage/carriage house; view from attic of main house; view toward northwest.



Figure 54. Primary façade of carriage house; view toward northeast.



Figure 55. Additions on east side of carriage house; view toward west.

HISTORICAL CONTEXTS

Pre-construction History: 1847–1870

Although its name only goes back to the late 1960s, Duboce Triangle's history dates back to the last quarter of the nineteenth century when it was laid out as part of the Mission Dolores Tract. What is now Duboce Triangle was a natural expanse of sand dunes and coastal sage scrub when Jasper O'Farrell prepared the first official survey of San Francisco in 1847. Originally located west of the city limits, Duboce Triangle became part of the city in 1851 when the Consolidation Act of that year moved San Francisco's western boundary to Castro Street. Even though Market Street had been laid out in O'Farrell's 1847 survey, it was not built west of Dolores Street until ca. 1870 because the right-of-way was blocked by a serpentine outcrop now known as Mint Hill. Squatters were another impediment to orderly development. Seeking to ameliorate the situation, the 1855 Van Ness Ordinance platted the area that is now the Western Addition and granted legal possessory rights to the actual occupants of the land in most cases, i.e., the squatters. In exchange, the squatters were supposed to relinquish their claims to all public rights-of-way as well as to several dozen reservations set aside for future parks, schools, hospitals, and other public uses.²

Ca. 1855, several speculators whose names are lost to us today, platted a large tract identified on early maps as the Mission Dolores Tract. The tract, which spanned both sides of Market Street, encompassed today's Duboce Triangle, Mission Dolores, and Eureka Valley neighborhoods. Its boundaries were Kate Street (Duboce Avenue) to the north, Valencia Street to the east, 18th Street to the south, and Castro Street on the west.³ The tract contained 24 city blocks measuring 560' x 520' each. As its name suggests, the Mission Dolores tract encompassed the old Spanish mission at Center (now 16th) and Dolores Streets. In addition to embracing the mission and the cluster of adobes that surrounded it, the Mission Dolores Tract encompassed a great deal of pasture land that had been used by the mission to graze its herds of cattle and sheep. Although steep in places, the Mission Dolores Tract was well-watered by several year-round creeks and it had a comparatively balmy climate due to being in the lee of Twin Peaks and Corona Heights, which blocked the cold onshore winds and fog.

The northwest corner of the Mission Dolores Tract encompassed what is now Duboce Triangle. Occupying the eastern slope of Corona Heights, early maps indicate that this relatively remote part of the tract was covered by scrubby sand dunes punctuated by arroyos cloaked in coast live oaks and willows. By the late 1860s, most of it belonged to a handful of absentee property owners, including William Hollis, director of The Real Estate Associates (TREA). Hollis was San Francisco's largest merchant builder, and in 1867, he purchased two blocks bounded by Castro, 15th, 16th, and Noe Streets – including the subject property. Hollis's land is identified on George Goddard's

² Jonathan Lammers, *Department of Parks and Recreation District Record: "Duboce Park Historic District"* (San Francisco: San Francisco Planning Department), 1.

³ Ibid.

1869 Official Map of San Francisco as the Hillside Homestead Association Tract (Figure 56). Incidentally, the map indicates that the east-west streets in what is now Duboce Triangle had different names than they do today. Duboce Avenue was originally called Kate (later changed to Ridley) Street; 14th Street was called Tracy Street; 15th Street was called Sparks Street; and 16th Street was called Center Street. The 1869 Goddard map shows another large landholding in what is now Duboce Triangle that was called the Suerte Grant. It spanned both sides of Market Page | 26 Street and almost reached Mission Dolores. 4 The map also shows an unnamed creek beginning in the Hospital Lot (now Duboce Park) that flowed southeasterly between 14th and 15th Streets toward Mission Bay. 5




Figure 56. 1869 Official Map of the City and County of San Francisco by George Goddard. Blue arrow indicates the approximate location of 22 Beaver Street. **Source: David Rumsey Map Collection**

⁴ Suerte means "luck" in English. Nothing is known about the Suerte Grant.

⁵ This creek still exists, although it is entirely underground. Today a small portion is "daylighted" in the basement of the former Mission Armory at 14th and Mission Streets.

Page | 27

William Hollis decided not to develop the Hillside Tract, probably due to its remoteness. In addition to Market Street not continuing west of Dolores Street, there was no reliable mass transit in the area until the 1880s, when Market Street Railway built three cable car lines, including the Haight Street (1883), Castro Street (1887), and Hayes Street (1889) lines. With demand for speculative housing nonexistent, Hollis decided instead to market the Hillside Tract as raw land. Prior to subdividing the land, Hollis broke up the two large blocks into four smaller blocks measuring 230' x 560' each. This new configuration required two new mid-block streets: Henry and Beaver. Altogether, Hollis' subdivision yielded a much larger number of usable house lots than would have been possible before. Hollis then subdivided the four blocks into house lots according to the "New York" system, meaning that they measured 25 feet wide by 100 or 115 feet deep — a perfect size for rowhouses or tenements.⁶ Aside from surveying and subdividing the land, Hollis did not make any physical improvements, such as street building, sidewalk construction, or the installation of any utilities. With the new subdivision recorded, in the autumn of 1868 he started selling lots in the Hillside Tract Homestead Association.⁷

Homestead associations, a San Francisco innovation, were widely used to sell marginal land in remote and/or topographically challenging areas. Set up like a joint stock corporation, the owner would advertise the sale of shares in the corporation. Once an investor had become fully vested, he or she was allowed to choose one or more lots commensurate with his or her investment. The shareholders were sometimes working-class San Franciscans looking for inexpensive land on which to build a house, but more often than not the investors were real estate speculators hoping to buy land cheap and sit on it until it was worth it to either develop the land or sell it to others at a handsome profit.

In 1870, two years after he opened the Hillside Tract to investors, there were no more advertisements in local newspapers, suggesting that Hollis had sold all of it. From Sanborn Fire Insurance Company maps (Sanborn Maps) it is clear that many investors in the tract had acquired two or more contiguous lots. By being strategic, investors amassed properties with as much as 75 or 100 feet of street frontage: enough for a large house, a generous garden, and one or more outbuildings. In other words, the Hillside Tract attracted buyers interested in developing substantial suburban villas instead of rowhouses or tenements. The reasons for this are unknown, but the area had a lot going for it that would appeal to wealthier individuals, including an agreeable microclimate, spectacular scenery, onshore winds what would keep pollution at bay, plenty of clean water for drinking and irrigation, and the promise of better transit links to downtown in the near future. In the meantime, buyers who wanted to build would have to make do with life in the country, including wells, outhouses, and ungraded streets without sidewalks or streetlights.

⁶ City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco Municipal Reports: Fiscal Year 1881-82. (San Francisco: 1882), 117.

⁷ "Real Estate for Sale," San Francisco Chronicle (October 18, 1868), 2.

Construction History: 1870

No original building permit survives for the Benedict-Gieling House because all nineteenth-century municipal records were destroyed in the 1906 Earthquake and Fire. San Francisco's privately owned water provider, the Spring Valley Water Company, did save its records. Spring Valley Water Company's original water service applications, more familiarly known as tap records, often provide useful information that can help document the original construction date of a pre-quake San Francisco building. The original water tap record for the Benedict-Gieling House is dated April 12, 1882. The application was signed by Jacob Benedict, the original owner.⁸ However, city directory listings, Census records, and voter registration records indicate that Jacob Benedict and his family had been living at what is now 22 Beaver Street since at least 1871. This information, combined with the house's styling, suggests a construction date of ca. 1870. It is not known who designed the house, but its high-quality design and construction suggests that the Benedicts probably hired an architect. In regard to its builder, it is possible that Jacob Benedict, a former carpenter, may have built the house himself with the assistance of day laborers.

Jacob and Ellen Benedict: Ca. 1871 to 1887

Born ca. 1831 in Nova Scotia to a German father and a Scottish mother, Jacob Benedict immigrated to the United States during the Civil War. He arrived in San Francisco ca. 1863, which is when he first appears in local city directories employed as a carpenter. In 1867, he became a naturalized American citizen and began working as a gold and silver refiner. Around this time, Jacob married Ellen (surname unknown), a fellow Nova Scotian of Irish descent. Within a year, the couple had a son and moved to 33 Russ Street in the South of Market area. According to the 1870 Census, the Benedict family was still living at 33 Russ Street. Jacob, age 40, lived with his 38-year-old wife, Ellen, whose occupation was "keeping house." They had a three-year-old son named Frank. In 1870, the Benedicts' property was valued at \$5,500, indicating that they owned their house.

Jacob Benedict was doing quite well as a precious metals refiner during the Comstock Lode Silver Boom, which lasted from 1862 until 1878. The family's growing wealth likely prompted their decision to sell their house on Russ Street, which at the time was becoming increasingly congested and polluted, and move out to what is now Duboce Triangle. According to the 1871 San Francisco City Directory, Jacob Benedict and his family were living on Castro Street between 15th and 16th Streets. The provision of a Castro Street address is almost certainly because Beaver Street had not been opened yet. The Benedict household continued to be listed on Castro Street until 1875, after which they were listed on Beaver Street, between Castro and Noe Streets. Beaver Street was not officially graded

⁸ San Francisco Water Department, "Spring Valley Water Company Water Tap Record for 22 Beaver Street," dated April 12, 1882.

⁹ 1863 San Francisco City Directory.

¹⁰ 1878 San Francisco Voter Register.

¹¹ 1870 U.S. Census for the City and County of San Francisco, 11th Ward, page 71.

until 1888, but by 1875 it is likely that enough houses had been built to warrant some improvements so that it was at least passable by carriage. 12

In 1878, about eight years after building the house on Beaver Street, Jacob Benedict changed jobs, becoming a refiner at the Pacific Bullion Exchange. Unfortunately for Jacob, the Silver Boom was about to collapse, and in 1879, he lost his job. ¹³ Published the following year, the 1880 Census is the earliest decennial census to document the Benedict household at Beaver Street. In that year, the household consisted of Jacob, age 49, who had resumed his previous occupation as a carpenter; and Ellen, age 45, whose occupation was given as "keeping house." Their son Frank did not appear on the 1880 Census schedule, suggesting that he had either died or gone to live with relatives. ¹⁴ In 1882, the Benedicts were finally assigned an address: 10 Beaver Street. From 1882 until Jacob's death on April 6, 1887, at the age of 58, city directories listed him as a carpenter. ¹⁵ Because Jacob died intestate, Ellen Benedict was appointed executrix of the estate, which was valued at \$9,400. ¹⁶ After completing probate in early 1888, Ellen Benedict sold the property to George T. and Abby Davis.

George T. and Abby Davis: 1887 to 1898

George T. Davis was a San Francisco wool dealer. He was born ca. 1830 in Massachusetts to Irish immigrant parents. He first appears in San Francisco City Directories in 1877, when he was listed as a merchant living at 16 Russ Street. In 1878, he was listed as a wool merchant living at 344 ½ 7th Street. According to the 1880 Census, George T. Davis, who was then 50 years old, lived in the 11th Ward (South of Market) with his wife Abby, a 52-year-old Mainer. They had no children living with them. George and Abby Davis were also real estate investors, and by the early 1880s, they began buying properties in what is now Duboce Triangle. In 1882, they had bought 8 Beaver Street next-door to Jacob and Ellen Benedict, where they lived until 1888 when they bought 10 Beaver Street from Ellen Benedict. Abby Shortly after moving into 10 Beaver Street, George Davis changed jobs to work as a buyer for S. Koshland and Co., a San Francisco-based wool processer and bag manufacturer. Abby Davis died December 20, 1894 at the age of 67. George Davis died a little over a week later, on December 29, 1894. Their grown children arranged the Davis's affairs, and in March 1895, they hired the Indiana Auction Company to sell the contents of the Davis's nine-room home. The advertisement for the auction in the *Chronicle* describes the house as containing a

¹² 1871 to 1874 San Francisco City Directories.

 $^{^{\}rm 13}$ 1875 to 1879 San Francisco City Directories.

¹⁴ 1880 U.S. Census for the City and County of San Francisco, Enumeration District 169, page 312.

^{15 &}quot;Deaths: Benedict, Jacob," San Francisco Chronicle (April 10, 1887), 5. 1882 to 1887 San Francisco City Directories.

¹⁶ "Probate Matters," San Francisco Chronicle (May 17, 1887), 7.

¹⁷ 1877 San Francisco City Directory.

¹⁸ 1878 San Francisco City Directory.

¹⁹ 1880 U.S. Census for the City and County of San Francisco, Enumeration District 180, page 1.

²⁰ 1882 to 1888 San Francisco City Directories.

²¹ "Deaths: Abby E. Davis," San Francisco Chronicle (December 30, 1894), 52.

²² "Deaths: George T. Davis," San Francisco Chronicle (December 30, 1894).

parlor, a dining room, a kitchen, three bedrooms, and a "very expensive and complete library." 23 Three years later, on May 4, 1898, their children, Christy and Robert T. Davis, sold the property to Mary E. Fee for \$4,000.²⁴

The subject property first appears on the 1893 Sanborn Maps during the time that it belonged to George and Abby Davis. The maps indicate that the 75' x 115' property contained four buildings, including the two-story main house $^{Page \mid 30}$ at the center of the lot, a carriage house at the northwest corner, and two one-story sheds at the rear. The sheds are unidentified but one was likely a storage shed and the other one a secondary dwelling with an outhouse attached to its west wall (Figure 57). The 1893 Sanborn Maps indicate that the subject block was approximately 50 percent built-out, with several other large estate-type properties at the center of the block, including one nextdoor at 12 Beaver Street.




Figure 57. 1893 Sanborn Map showing the subject property outlined in blue. North is up. Source: San Francisco Public Library

Mary E. Fee: 1898 to 1906

Very little is known about Mary E. Fee. According to the 1897 San Francisco City Directory, Mary Fee was the owner of a dry goods store at 2249 Market Street, which was only about a block away from 10 Beaver Street. Her son, Harry R. Fee, ran a bicycle store next-door at 2253 Market Street. In 1898, Mary and Harry moved to 2279 Market Street where they ran a combination dry goods store and trading stamp business.²⁵ Mary and Harry Fee moved into 22 Beaver Street (the address changed ca. 1895) after Mary bought it. Mary then rented out unused rooms in the house to her relatives, James L. and Mary Chase. James Chase was a grocer who ran a store at 2279 Market Street, where Mary and Harry also operated their businesses. The property appears on the 1899 Sanborn

²³ "Auction Sales: Nine-Room House No. 10 Beaver St.," San Francisco Chronicle (March 4, 1895), 9.

²⁴ "Real Estate Transactions," San Francisco Chronicle (May 5, 1898), 15.

²⁵ 1897-1898 San Francisco City Directories.

Maps, during the time that Mary Fee owned it, looking exactly as it had on the 1893 Sanborn Maps (Figure 58). In contrast, the subject block had become more urban, with several formerly vacant lots developed with flats and rowhouses. The large estates remained, however, indicating that the neighborhood was still desirable and relatively prestigious. Mary Fee owned 22 Beaver Street until February 5, 1906, when she sold it to Stephen D. and Emma Russell.²⁶

Page | 31




Figure 58. 1899 Sanborn Map showing the subject property outlined in blue. North is up. Source: San Francisco Public Library

The sale of 22 Beaver Street to the Russell family occurred just two months before the 1906 Earthquake and Fire, which drastically changed Duboce Triangle. What had been an upper-middle-class neighborhood of villas and large single-family homes occupied by native-born and English-speaking immigrants from Canada and Great Britain became a much more urban neighborhood inhabited by a growing number of immigrants from Ireland, Germany, and Scandinavia. After the quake, many of the remaining suburban villas were gradually torn down and replaced by flats and apartments. The growing demand for housing in the neighborhood resulted in part from its location just west of the "fire line," where the fires that had destroyed much of San Francisco came to halt. Due to its central location and its reservoir of undamaged housing stock, Duboce Triangle became very popular with working-class earthquake refugees and tradesmen employed by construction firms engaged in the reconstruction of San Francisco. As the neighborhood's prestige began to decline, many long-term residents departed, which hastened the redevelopment of older properties with much denser housing.

²⁶ "Real Estate Transactions," San Francisco Chronicle (February 6, 1906), 15.

Stephen D., Emma W., and Lucile R. Russell: 1906 to 1941

Stephen D. Russell was born January 1, 1861 in Menlo Park, California.²⁷ His parents were both Irish immigrants. His wife, Emma (née, Watkins), was born ca. 1864 in San Francisco. Emma's father was a native of Pennsylvania and her mother was from Ireland. According to the 1910 Census, the couple had three children: John, age 18; Frank age 16; and Lucile, age 8. Stephen was a high-ranking firefighter employed by the San Francisco Fire Department (SFFD), where he served as First Assistant to the Fire Chief. Meanwhile, Emma's occupation was given on the census schedules as "keeping house." Nonetheless, it seems that Emma was also the proprietor of a boarding house business on the property. Two of their children were employed, including John who was a rent collector for a real estate company; and Frank, who was a clerk in a candy store. In addition to the immediate family, the property also housed Emma's mother, Mizzie Watkins (born ca. 1847), and several lodgers, including: Johanna Kelly, age 60; Frank Kelly, age 34; Florence Ambrose, age 33; Isabel Ambrose, age 23; William Wescott, age 48; and Mary Wescott, age 30. Although some of the lodgers probably lived in the main house, others lived in the carriage house, which by this time had its own address of 22 ½ Beaver Street, as well as in a newly built cottage that the Russells had constructed at the northeast corner of the lot ca. 1906 (now 20 Beaver Street).²⁸

Page | 32

The 1913 Sanborn Maps, published just seven years after the 1906 Earthquake and Fire, and during the time that the Russell family owned it, show several substantial changes to 22 Beaver Street. The most significant change was the demolition of the sheds at the rear of the property that appear on the 1899 Sanborn Maps and their replacement with several new structures, including a one-story, hipped-roof cottage at the northeast corner of the property (now 20 Beaver Street), and a one-story kitchen wing on the east wall of the carriage house. In addition, the Russells had built an exterior fire escape on the northeast corner of the main house, suggesting that they were renting the attic out to lodgers (Figure 59). In addition, the 1913 Sanborn Maps show changes to the surrounding neighborhood. Almost all of the formerly vacant lots had been developed and many of the older single-family dwellings had been replaced by flats and rowhouses. Still, the three large suburban estates at the center of the block including 22 Beaver, 32 Beaver, and 2273 15th Street, remained standing. However, it is possible that all three had been converted into boarding houses by this time, as 22 Beaver had been.

²⁷ U.S. Find A Grave Index, 1600s-Current, via Ancestry.com.

²⁸ 1910 U.S. Census for the City and County of San Francisco, Enumeration District 92, page 7B.




Figure 59. 1913 Sanborn Map showing the subject property outlined in blue. North is up. Source: San Francisco Public Library

In addition to the changes documented on the 1913 Sanborn Maps, Stephen and Emma Russell made other changes to 22 Beaver Street in the 36 years that they owned it. Not long after buying it in 1906, they made several alterations in order to accommodate more occupants in the main house, including building two bathroom additions. They built one at the first floor level adjoining the front parlor and another at the second floor level above the porch. They enclosed the upper bathroom in a hipped-roofed tower that gave the house a more impressive and up-to-date appearance. No permits survive for any of this early work, either because the Russells did not apply for permits or because the Department of Public Work's record keeping was not as fastidious as it could have been in the rush to rebuild San Francisco after the 1906 Earthquake. The earliest building permit application on file for the property dates to January 26, 1915, when Stephen Russell applied for a permit to construct a one-story garage in front of the carriage house. The garage, which still stands, measured 15 feet by 16 feet in plan and 10 feet high. It had a concrete foundation and was originally clad in rustic siding. No architect or contractor is listed on the application, and it was evidently built with day labor.²⁹

²⁹ San Francisco Department of Building Inspection, "Plans and permits on file for 22 Beaver Street."

Page | 34

A registered Republican, Stephen Russell continued as First Assistant to the Fire Chief for the rest of his life. His son, John, continued to live with his parents for many years. He was a bookkeeper and a member of the Progressive Bull Moose Party.³⁰ Stephen Russell died on October 5, 1917 while fighting a fire in a lodging house at 548 3rd Street. The flimsily built lodging house suddenly collapsed, killing three firefighters and injuring several more; he was 60 years old.³¹ Even before he died, Stephen Russell was widely recognized as one of San Francisco's top firefighters. Indeed, Russell was so highly esteemed that his body was allowed to lay in state at City Hall prior to his burial in Colma. He left his estate to his wife Emma and his daughter Lucile, with the final distribution occurring December 16, 1918.³²

According to the 1920 Census, published three years after Stephen Russell's death, the Russell household consisted of only two family members: Emma, age 54; and her daughter Lucile, age 19. Neither had an occupation listed on the census form and there is no evidence that they were using the property as a boarding house at the time.³³ Sometime in the early 1920s, Lucile got a job as an organist in a movie theater, an occupation she kept for many years.³⁴ Emma and Lucile Russell remained the sole occupants of 22 Beaver Street until 1926. In 1927, Emma and Lucile had moved to a new house that they had bought at 2369 Chestnut Street in the Marina District. After they moved, Emma rented out 22 Beaver Street to a boarding house proprietor. According to the 1929 San Francisco City Directory, several single men and married couples lived at 22 Beaver Street, including a window trimmer named William Hollnagle and his wife Ella, a tailor; a cutlery salesman named Willian Cremer and his wife Christina; a baker named Emil Ekey; a clerk named John Felde; and an unemployed man named Frank Schirner.³⁵ Advertisements for the boarding house in local newspapers mentioned that all meals were provided by a "German chef," which probably accounts for the preponderance of residents with German surnames. Indeed, it is likely that the proprietor was one of the occupants listed.

According to the 1930 Census, Emma and Lucile Russell were living in another new house purchased by Emma at 2429 Francisco Street in the Marina District. They lived with Emma's son, Leslie Russell, and his daughter, Dorothy Russell.³⁶ Leslie was employed as a marine engineer. The Russells still rented out 22 Beaver Street to the proprietor of the German boarding house. According to the 1930 San Francisco City Directory, occupants of the house included a baker named Emil Ekey; a retired woman named Mathilda Fannie; a machinist named Carl Schermer; a musician named Frank Schiessl; and an ironworker named Carl Schirner.³⁷

³⁰ California Voter Registrations, 1900-1968, San Francisco County, Precinct 45, Assembly District 26, 1916.

³¹ "Firemen Buried by Burning Timbers," San Francisco Chronicle (October 6, 1917), 1.

³² San Francisco Office of the Assessor-Recorder, "Property records on file for 22 Beaver Street."

³³ 1920 U.S. Census for the City and County of San Francisco, Enumeration District 106, page 6B.

³⁴ 1925 San Francisco City Directory.

^{35 1929} San Francisco City Directory.

³⁶ 1930 U.S. Census for the City and County of San Francisco, Enumeration District 38-308, page 54A.

³⁷ 1930 San Francisco City Directory.

In April 1930, Emma and Lucile Russell put 22 Beaver Street up for sale, advertising it as a 12-room, furnished boarding house. 1930 was the first full year of the Depression, and there were no offers. A couple of months later, they stopped listing the property. Three years later, in October 1933, Emma Russell applied for a permit to add a room to the house. According to the permit application, the room was to measure 7' 3" by 11' 1" and was to be $^{Page \mid 35}$ located between the dining room and the porch. The cost of the project was \$200 and completed by a contractor named Charles Simonini.³⁸ In all likelihood, this permit application refers to the kitchen, which is today located between the dining room and the back porch.

By 1933, Emma and Lucile Russell were again living at 22 Beaver Street. There is no indication that they operated a boarding house during the rest of the time that they owned it. On September 19, 1933, Emma granted her majority stake in the property to Lucile, who became the sole owner of 22 Beaver Street. Emma Russell remained at 22 Beaver Street for the rest of her life, dying on May 31, 1938 at the age of 74.39 After her mother's death, Lucile resumed operating 22 Beaver Street as a boarding house. According to the 1940 San Francisco City Directory, in addition to Lucile Russell, the property housed the following occupants: a typist named Philip Engler and his wife Eileen Engler, who was a clerk; a bookkeeper named Augusta Scott; and another clerk named Charlotte Blair.⁴⁰ In 1940 or 1941, Lucile married Clarence Kaull and moved out of 22 Beaver Street, and on August 14, 1941, she sold the property to Gwendolyn O. Todd. 41

Lucile Russell made no apparent changes to 22 Beaver Street in the eight years that she owned the property. According to aerial photographs taken of San Francisco by aerial photographer Harrison Ryker in 1938, the subject property looked a lot like it does now. The 1938 aerial photographs show the ca. 1870 house centered on the lot (Figure 60). At the back of the house one can see the 1933 kitchen wing addition. The addition adjoins the 1915 garage addition on the front of the carriage house. Meanwhile, the ca. 1906 cottage is visible at the northeast corner of the lot. The rest of the property was dedicated to driveways and gardens, including a generous lawn to the south and east of the main house. Visible in the garden are a large magnolia tree in front of the main house and a Canary Island palm at the southeast corner of the property. The 1938 aerial photographs indicate that 22 Beaver Street was the last of the large suburban villas on the subject block. Since 1913, developers had built two rows of identical Mediterranean-style flats on the sites of the other estates at 32 Beaver Street and 2273 15th Street.

³⁸ San Francisco Department of Building Inspection, "Plans and permits on file for 22 Beaver Street."

³⁹ California Death Index, 1905-1939.

^{40 1940} San Francisco City Directory.

⁴¹ San Francisco Office of the Assessor-Recorder, "Property records on file for 22 Beaver Street."





Figure 60. 1938 aerial photograph of the subject block showing 22 Beaver Street in blue.

Source: David Rumsey Map Collection

Gwendolyn O. Todd: 1941 to 1953

Little is known about Gwendolyn ("Gwen") O. Todd, the owner of the Benedict-Gieling House from 1941 until 1953. Todd appears to have been an absentee owner during the first year that she owned the property, which she operated as a boarding house. According to the 1942 San Francisco City Directory, 22 Beaver street accommodated the following tenants: Marie Cortopassi, a stenographer; Edward Posteil, a mechanic; his wife Blanche; and Mitzi Fabhell, a telephone operator. According to the 1943 San Francisco City Directory, Gwen Todd, whose occupation was listed as "clerk," was also living at 22 Beaver Street. The Second World War was a period of rapid population turnover in many older San Francisco neighborhoods, including Duboce Triangle. During the war, many absentee property owners subdivided flats and remaining single-family dwellings into smaller apartments to rent to shipyard workers and other defense workers who migrated to San Francisco during the late 1930s and early 1940s. Gwendolyn Todd's name disappears from city directories in 1945, but she continued to own 22 Beaver Street until February 5, 1953, when she sold it to George W. and Katherine R. Pollard and Clifford L. and Ellen E. Lane.

The Benedict-Gieling House appears on the 1950 Sanborn Maps during the time that Gwendolyn Todd owned the property. The 1950 Sanborn Maps do not show any changes to the subject property since the 1938 aerial photographs were taken (Figure 51). In contrast, the surrounding neighborhood was very different. All of the other suburban villas had long since been subdivided and redeveloped with flats and apartment buildings. 22 Beaver was the last of the old Victorian estates on the block, and possibly the entire neighborhood.


^{42 1942} San Francisco City Directory.

⁴³ 1943 San Francisco City Directory.

⁴⁴ San Francisco Office of the Assessor-Recorder, "Property records on file for 22 Beaver Street."



· @



15TH

ST.

64'wide

Figure 51. 1950 Sanborn Map showing the subject property outlined in blue. North is up. Source: San Francisco Public Library

Miscellaneous Owners: 1953 to 1955

Between February 1953 and February 1955, 22 Beaver Street changed hands five times. After purchasing the property in February 1953 along with George and Katherine Pollard, Clifford and Ellen Lane subdivided the property and sold the easternmost 25 feet (Lot 59 - what is now 20 Beaver Street) to Wilhelm F. Haerdter on March 23, 1953. Three months later, on June 9, 1953, the Lanes sold their 50 percent interest in the remainder of the property (Lots 60 and 61) to the Pollards. On July 14, 1953, the Pollards sold 22 Beaver Street to Ruby C. Harker. After Harker bought it in 1953, she moved into the Benedict-Gieling House, remaining there until she sold it to William E. Davis in January 1955. 45 Davis then sold it a month later to Russell J. and Rose M. Allen in a transaction recorded February 9, 1955.46 The large number of real estate transactions for 22 Beaver Street during the 1950s are likely indicative of the actions of property speculators. It is likely that at least some of the owners had hoped to redevelop the property with an apartment building, a fate that had befallen many other comparable properties in Duboce Triangle.

⁴⁵ 1954 San Francisco City Directory.

⁴⁶ San Francisco Office of the Assessor-Recorder, "Property records on file for 22 Beaver Street."

Russell J. and Rose M. Allen: 1955 to 1964

Russell J. Allen was a native of North Dakota who came to San Francisco before the U.S. entry into the Second World War to take a job in the region's defense industry. Rose joined him after the war. In 1955, Russell was employed as a clerk by the San Mateo County Housing Commission. Five years later, he was superintendent of the commission and living at 22 Beaver Street with his wife Rose. Russell J. Allen died in 1960 and left his estate to Rose M. Allen in a transaction recorded on October 25, 1960.⁴⁷ Rose Allen continued to live at 22 Beaver Street for four more years, selling it to Matthew M. Fishgold on November 23, 1965.⁴⁸ During the time that the Allens owned the Benedict-Gieling House, they rented rooms to others, regularly placing ads in local newspapers for a three-room unit costing \$75 a month. It is unknown whether this unit was the carriage house or a separate unit in the main house. After Russell's death in 1960, Rose placed several advertisements looking for a "gentleman" to rent a "large front room" for \$40 a month.⁴⁹

Page | 38

By the mid-1960s, Duboce Triangle was becoming an increasingly "distressed" neighborhood. As longtime residents left during the 1950s, their places were taken by people who had few options, including many African-Americans pushed out of the adjoining Western Addition. San Francisco's black population, which had historically been very small, exploded during World War II as people came west to take jobs in Bay Area shipyards. Most settled in the East Bay cities of Richmond and Oakland, but many also moved into San Francisco's Western Addition and Bayview-Hunters Point neighborhoods. Prevented by racial covenants and other forms of discrimination from moving into newer neighborhoods after the war, some African Americans began moving into Duboce Triangle in the late 1950s. This influx turned into a flood in the 1960s as the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency began demolishing the adjoining Fillmore District. As an already aging and overcrowded neighborhood with indifferently maintained housing stock, Duboce Triangle had long been "red-lined" by most banks, meaning that property owners could not borrow money to fix up their property, which in turn, hastened the neighborhood's deterioration. ⁵⁰

Matthew M. Fishgold: 1964 to 1966

Matthew M. Fishgold was born September 15, 1921 in San Francisco.⁵¹ His parents were Hershel Fishgold, a Russian-born variety store owner; and Pauline (née, Keppler) Fishgold, a native of New York City.⁵² Matthew grew up in the Parkside District. He attended one year of college in 1941-42 and then enlisted in the U.S. Army in 1943 when he was 22.⁵³ He resumed his studies in 1945 after the war and then earned his law degree. By 1948, he was

⁴⁷ San Francisco Office of the Assessor-Recorder, "Property records on file for 22 Beaver Street."

⁴⁸ San Francisco Office of the Assessor-Recorder, "Property records on file for 22 Beaver Street."

⁴⁹ "Classifieds," San Francisco Chronicle (November 10, 1961), 31.

⁵⁰ Alexander S. Bodi, *Duboce Triangle of San Francisco: A Study of a Community* (San Francisco: unpublished Master's Thesis in Anthropology at San Francisco State, 1983), 3.

⁵¹ U.S. World War II Draft Cards, 1940-1947, "Matthew M. Fishgold," via Ancestry.com.

⁵² 1940 U.S. Census for the City and County of San Francisco, Enumeration District 38-399, page 6B.

⁵³ U.S. World War II Army Enlistment Records, 1938-1946.

working as an attorney out of the Russ Building at 235 Montgomery Street.⁵⁴ On November 23, 1965, a year after he bought 22 Beaver Street, Fishgold sold a 50 percent interest in the property to a company called Twin Bays, Inc., a holding company owned by another attorney.⁵⁵ According to long-time owner, Imogene "Tex" Gieling, Fishgold and his partner planned to demolish 22 Beaver Street and construct a hotel on the site. Evidently they hoped to acquire the property next-door at 20 Beaver Street as well, which they were unable to do. Although they never $^{Page \mid 39}$ applied for a building permit, they were successful in changing the zoning of the property for hotel use.⁵⁶ While he owned it, Fishgold rented 22 Beaver Street to a man named Terrance White for \$200 a month. White rented out the house's many rooms to hippies from the nearby Haight-Ashbury District. Tex Gieling describes the property as being a "hippie crash pad" when she and her husband bought it on May 20, 1966.⁵⁷

John S. and Imogene B. Gieling: 1966 to present

John S. Gieling was born February 27, 1925 in New York City to John K. and Gladys (née, Sherman) Gieling, 58 John K. Gieling was a recent immigrant from Germany. According to the 1925 New York State Census, he was the manager of a hosiery factory.⁵⁹ By 1930, the family had moved to White Plains in suburban Westchester County. According to the 1940 Census, the Gieling household consisted of John K., age 48, the owner of an export business; his wife Gladys, age 44; and their son John S. Gieling, age 15.60 Not long after graduating high school, John S. Gieling moved to Cambridge, Massachusetts to enroll at Harvard University, entering as a freshman in September 1942. 61 Less than a year later, on May 21, 1943, he enlisted in the U.S. Army.⁶² After the war, John resumed his education at Harvard, graduating in 1948 with a degree in Geology. ⁶³ A year later, in 1949, he was living in Amarillo, Texas and employed as a geologist by Shamrock Oil & Gas Co. ⁶⁴ Gieling spent the next few years traveling through Texas as an oil company geologist. Gieling moved to San Francisco in 1953, and he married Imogene Bailey in the city on June 12, 1954.⁶⁵ According to the 1954 San Francisco City Directory, John Gieling was a photogrammeter. The couple lived at 1862 Union Street in the Cow Hollow neighborhood. John soon got a job in the office of Hans S. Wahlen, a civil engineering firm in San Mateo, where he worked from the mid-1950s until 1965, when he began working for Creegan & DeAngelo, another San Mateo engineering firm.⁶⁶ He worked there for the rest of his life, until his death on October 25, 1982.67

⁵⁴ 1948 San Francisco City Directory.

⁵⁵ San Francisco Office of the Assessor-Recorder, "Property records on file for 22 Beaver Street."

⁵⁶ Imogene Gieling, conversation with Christopher VerPlanck, March 28, 2018.

⁵⁷ Ibid.

⁵⁸ U.S. Social Security Death Index, 1935-2014.

⁵⁹ 1925 New York State Census for New York City, Assembly District 22, page 2D.

⁶⁰ 1940 U.S. Census for City of White Plains, New York, Enumeration District 60-383, page 4A.

⁶¹ U.S. School Yearbooks, 1900-1990, for John Sherman Gieling, via Ancestry.com.

⁶² U.S. World War II Army Enlistment Records, 1938-1946, for John S. Gieling, via Ancestry.com.

⁶³ U.S. School Yearbooks, 1900-1990, for John Sherman Gieling, via Ancestry.com.

^{64 1949} Amarillo City Directory.

⁶⁵ California Marriage Index, 1949-1959.

^{66 1957-1965} San Mateo City Directories.

⁶⁷ California Death Index, 1940-1997.

Imogene "Tex" Bailey was born in May 6, 1923 in Corsicana, Texas, a small town 60 miles southeast of Dallas. She graduated from Burkburnett High School in Burkburnett, Texas, in 1940. Imogene enrolled at Texas State College for Women (now Texas Woman's University) in Denton, Texas. She graduated in 1944 with a bachelor's degree in advertising design. Instead of going to work for an advertising firm, the talented young artist applied to the Hans Hoffmann School of Fine Arts in New York City, where she studied painting from 1946 to 1947. After moving to San Page | 40 Francisco in 1950, Imogene Bailey refocused her efforts on jewelry making. In 1953, she began studying metalwork at the College of Marin. Shortly thereafter, she began studying metalworking at University of Washington, where she studied under Ruth Pennington. She earned her MA in Fine Arts from University of Washington in 1956. Around the same time she founded the metals department at University of California, Berkeley, where she taught until 1962. She then founded the metals department at San Francisco State University, where she taught from 1965 until 1993.⁶⁸ Tex Gieling also founded a metalsmithing cooperative called Truesilver Union in a building she and her husband purchased in 1971 at 4391 24th Street.

When John and Tex Gieling purchased 22 Beaver Street, it was, like much of Duboce Triangle, in terrible condition. Inconsistently maintained for decades, the most recent owners had planned to demolish the building. In the meantime, they had rented it to hippies who used it as a crash pad. According to Tex, 22 Beaver Street was extremely blighted and it affected the entire block. San Francisco's chief building inspector had wanted the property to be demolished, and he put pressure on the Gielings to remedy its many deficiencies. The Gielings applied for their first building permit on May 31, 1966. The scope of work, which was estimated to cost \$1,800, included remodeling the kitchen and the bathrooms, installing closets, bringing all plumbing and electrical systems up to code, building a new rear exterior stair, and "decorating." The architect was Felix Rosenthal and the contractor was Keith Jensen.⁶⁹ The work was completed over the next year, with several permit renewals. Four years later, in March 1970, the Gielings applied for a permit to build a concrete retaining wall costing \$200.

By 1970, the Benedict-Gieling House was in much better condition than it had been in many years and the Bureau of Building Inspection had stopped pressing the Gielings to demolish the property. In 1972, the Bureau launched a new federal program to reverse deterioration in Duboce Triangle and other vulnerable inner city neighborhoods. Funded by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the Federally Assisted Code Enforcement program (FACE) provided property owners with low-interest loans to help them fix up their properties. Developed as an alternative to wholesale urban renewal, FACE inspectors proactively canvassed neighborhoods and developed checklists of issues to be abated or resolved. The FACE inspection report for 22 Beaver Street is a page long and it enumerated a list of minor items geared toward ensuring the health and safety of the buildings' occupants, including repairing and replacing several broken windows, repairing the sidewalk, addressing

^{68 &}quot;Imogene Gieling," https://www.temple.edu/crafts/metalsdirectorypage/p62.html, accessed April 2, 2018.

⁶⁹ San Francisco Department of Building Inspection, "Plans and permits on file for 22 Beaver Street."

foundation/retaining wall issues, enclosing the crawl space beneath the house, providing a secondary means of egress from the attic, replacing and repairing stair railings, and addressing several minor mechanical issues. The FACE report was much harsher on the former carriage house, which the Gielings had not had the time or resources to deal with yet.⁷⁰

Page | 41

In fall 1972, John and Tex Gieling hired architect Albert Lanier, husband of artist Ruth Asawa – a family friend – to develop a scope of work to comply with all of the items on the FACE Inspection Report. The cost of the work was \$3,000. At the same time, Lanier drew up plans to rehabilitate the carriage house as a secondary dwelling unit. The Bureau of Building Inspection approved the \$14,500 conversion based on the property's zoning, lack of opposition from neighbors, and because the upper level had previously been used as a dwelling unit. The Planning Department approved the work as well, stating that the conversion of the carriage house would provide an income stream to the owners to assist them in their ongoing restoration of the property. The scope of work for the carriage house conversion included installing new concrete foundations, re-plumbing, rewiring, building a new roof, installing a secondary means of egress, remodeling the interior, and restoring and repainting the exterior. Although filed in 1972, the work was not immediately completed because a new permit filed in September 1976 had the same scope of work. All of the work was completed by 1980, and a Certificate of Occupancy was finally issued on February 17, 1984.

As mentioned previously, John Gieling died in 1982. Tex Gieling has continued to live at 22 Beaver Street ever since then. In the early 1990s, three years before she retired from San Francisco State University, Tex Gieling became embroiled in a dispute with a developer who had purchased the property next-door to hers at 20 Beaver Street. As discussed previously, what is now 20 Beaver Street was part of the subject property until 1953, when a previous owner sold the easternmost 25 feet of the property to Wilhelm F. Haerdter. Almost four decades later, in the spring of 1990, a developer named Gary Arge proposed to construct a three-story, single-family building at the front of the property, which would have retained the 1906 cottage at the rear of the lot but destroyed the 120-year-old Canary Island palm at the front. In response, several Duboce Triangle neighbors, including Tex Gieling, filed for discretionary review in hopes that the developer would preserve the palm and retain some of the property's "park-like" qualities. The Planning Commission deliberated on the matter at their April 5, April 16, and May 17, 1990 meetings, and following AIA arbitration, concluded that Arge should increase the front yard setback to protect the palm and make several other design changes to preserve the character of the block. Incidentally, Arge, who was facing fierce neighborhood opposition to two other projects of his, fell deeply into debt. On June

⁷⁰ San Francisco Department of Building Inspection, "FACE Inspection Report for Single and Two-family Dwellings: 22 Beaver Street."

⁷¹ Letter from R. Spencer Steele, Zoning Administrator, to Albert Lanier, architect, October 5, 1972.

⁷² San Francisco Department of Building Inspection, "Plans and permits on file for 22 Beaver Street."

⁷³ San Francisco Department of Building Inspection, "Plans and permits on file for 22 Beaver Street."

⁷⁴ Pat Christensen, "Banding Together in Duboce Triangle," San Francisco Independent (December 4, 1990).

⁷⁵ Undated setter from Imogene "Tex" Gieling to Pedro Arce, San Francisco Planning Department, in personal collection of Imogene Gieling.

24, 1991, Arge shot two of his business partners (and creditors): Jerry Bernstein and Helmut Wursthorn, killing them both at Bernstein's Noe Valley office. He then committed suicide. Following the murders/suicide, 20 Beaver Street was sold, and a man named Eckhard Evers eventually built a house at the front of the lot in 1992 that largely complied with the solution brokered by the Planning Commission and the AIA. The new dwelling appears on Sanborn Maps prepared by the Planning Department in the early 1990s (Figure 52).

Page | 42




Figure 52. Ca. 1992 Sanborn Map showing the subject property outlined in blue. North is up.

Source: San Francisco Planning Department

Tex Gieling has continued to make and exhibit art since retiring from San Francisco State University in 1993. Her jewelry and metalwork have earned her many awards, including the Honorary Member Award from the Society of North American Goldsmiths in 2003. Gieling has also worked to foster future generations of metalsmithers and jewelry makers, including co-founding the Metal Arts Guild of San Francisco (MAG) in 1951.⁷⁷

Aside from regular maintenance, Tex Gieling has made very few changes to 22 Beaver Street since completing the restoration in 1984. In May 1994, she applied for a permit to replace several posts in the crawl space beneath the house that were damaged by powder post beetles, and in February 2015 she applied for a permit to install a walk-in tub in one of the bathrooms on the second floor. Around the same time, she also installed a chair lift.⁷⁸

⁷⁶ Dawn Garcia, "Noe Valley Gunman Described as Over-Ambitious Developer," San Francisco Chronicle (June 26, 1991), A15.

⁷⁷ San Francisco Office of the Assessor-Recorder, "Property records on file for 22 Beaver Street."

⁷⁸ San Francisco Department of Building Inspection, "Plans and permits on file for 22 Beaver Street."

Summary of Alterations: 1870 to 2015

The Benedict-Gieling House has undergone comparatively few alterations since it was originally built by Jacob Benedict ca. 1870. The most notable changes to the house include the addition of the tower above the main entrance ca. 1906 and the construction of a rear kitchen wing in 1933. After buying the property in 1966, the Gielings rehabilitated the house. Although the Secretary of the Interior's Standards did not exist when they started, they repaired deteriorated features and only replaced heavily deteriorated or missing elements in kind. The only notable exterior alteration they made was the addition of a dormer to the rear-facing facet of the roof in 1976. After 1976, the Gielings rehabilitated the heavily deteriorated carriage house as a dwelling unit.

Page | 43

Italianate Style in San Francisco

The Italianate style first swept the eastern United States during the 1840s and 1850s in response to the published works of architectural tastemaker A. J. Downing, who encouraged the reinterpretation of Italian Renaissance villas and urban palazzos for romantic country estates in the United States. Gradually, the style filtered down to the middle classes and by the 1860s, Italianate-style commercial buildings and rowhouses lined the streets of many American towns and cities. Meanwhile, Italianate-style suburban houses and "villas" began to grace its suburbs and small towns. The Italianate style was the first American architectural style to have a major effect on San Francisco's built environment, arriving here in the mid-1860s after the Civil War.




Figure 53. Typical flat-fronted Italianate dwelling in San Francisco.

In San Francisco, the Italianate style remained popular between 1865 and 1885, with earlier examples – those built between 1865

and 1875 – having a flat front, and later examples – those built between 1875 and 1885 – usually having a three sided bay window on the primary façade. On the East Coast and in the Midwest, Italianate-style dwellings were typically built of stone or brick. However, due to inadequate supplies of lime (for mortar) and good native building stone, local architects and builders in San Francisco reinterpreted the style using native redwood (Figure 53). Indeed, except for the foundation, most of San Francisco's Italianate houses used redwood to replicate all of the style's signature masonry elements, including rusticated water tables, quoins, and scroll-sawn brackets lining the cornice. Most of the ornament was mass-produced and purchased from lumber yards and millwork shops. Due to the predominance of narrow, 25-foot-wide house lots in San Francisco, the Italianate ornament was typically confined to the primary street-facing façade(s), although sometimes it was carried around to other sections visible from the street. The rest of the exterior was usually clad in plain rustic channel siding with little ornament. Most

Italianate houses in San Francisco have steeply pitched gable or hipped roofs that are concealed behind a false "western" parapet. Flat-fronted Italianates are usually simpler than their bay-windowed counterparts in that they usually do not have as much scroll-sawn or machine-made incised ornament, with most of the ornament confined to the main entrance, window caps, and cornice. Flat-fronted Italianates can be one or two stories, and very rarely, three stories.

Page | 44

The Italianate villa is similar to the Italianate rowhouse described above, except that it is a freestanding dwelling with no adjoining structures to obscure its side façades. Indeed, San Francisco's narrow urban lots have resulted in a residential pattern focused on rowhouse development with no side yard setbacks. Because the side elevations of rowhouses are not visible from the street, they are usually given a utilitarian treatment. The Italianate villa is different. Because they were often built by wealthy individuals on large landscaped lots, most were provided with ornament on three sides, including the front (primary) façade and the two side elevations. Typically built with a bigger budget than the average Italianate rowhouse, the Italianate villa is typically much larger and often incorporates features not seen on rowhouses, such as a tower, portico, porte-cochère, more than one bay window, and a higher level of trim, including quoins, imitation ashlar, oversized brackets, turned balusters, and pediments. Only about a dozen good examples remain in San Francisco. Those that retain their original lots often have gardens and/or freestanding outbuildings, such as a carriage house, stable, or secondary residence. Two good examples include the Casebolt House at 2727 Pierce Street (Figure 54) and the Coleman-Wormser House at 1834 California Street (Figure 55), which are both in the Western Addition. Others can be found in the Mission District, Potrero Hill, Noe Valley, and Pacific Heights.



Figure 54. Casebolt House, 2727 Pierce Street, 1964.
Source: San Francisco Historical Photograph Collection,
San Francisco Public Library, Image No. AAC-5960



Figure 55. Coleman-Wormser House, 1834 California Street, 2017. Source: Google Maps

ARTICLE 10 LANDMARK DESIGNATION

This section of the case report provides an analysis and summary of the applicable criteria for designation, integrity statement, statement of significance, period of significance, inventory of character-defining features, and additional Article 10 requirements.

Page | 45

CRITERA FOR DESIGNATION

Check all criteria applicable to the significance of the property that are documented in the report. The criteria checked are the basic justifications for *why* the resource is important.

- _ Association with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history.
- Association with the lives of persons significant in our past.

<u>X</u> Embody distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction.

Has yielded or may be likely to yield information important in history or prehistory.

Statement of Significance

Designed by a now-unknown architect and built ca. 1870 at the height of the Comstock Lode silver boom for a precious metals refiner named Jacob Benedict, the Benedict-Gieling House is a very early and well-preserved example of an Italianate villa in San Francisco. Originally built on a 75' x 115' lot, the Benedict-Gieling House was one of several villas built in Duboce Triangle during the last quarter of the nineteenth century. The neighborhood remained a gracious suburban enclave until the 1906 Earthquake. After the disaster, Duboce Triangle quickly transitioned into a densely populated urban district, and in the decades that followed, all but one of the neighborhood's Italianate villas were demolished. Against the odds, the Benedict-Gieling survived and became a boarding house, a use it retained until the early 1960s. During the middle of the twentieth century, many absentee property owners inexpensively remodeled their rental properties in Duboce Triangle by stripping the Victorian ornament and stuccoing over the wood sheathing. Fortunately, very little was done to the subject property, but by the 1960s, prolonged deferred maintenance had led the City to classify it as a primary source of blight in Duboce Triangle, which at that time was a struggling inner city neighborhood. In 1966, John and Imogene "Tex" Gieling bought the property from developers who had planned to replace it with a hotel. The Gielings' painstaking restoration of the property over the next two decades inspired others to restore long-neglected Victorians in Duboce Triangle. Their efforts transformed the severely deteriorated former hippie crash pad into a local neighborhood landmark, replete with a rehabilitated Victorian garden and a contemporary carriage house converted into a secondary dwelling. More than a half-century later, the Benedict-Gieling House at 22 Beaver Street is still Tex Gieling's principal residence.

Characteristics of the Landmark that justify its designation:

Design/Construction

The Benedict-Gieling House is a rare and well-preserved example of an Italianate villa built during the second half of the nineteenth century. Built barely a generation after the Gold Rush, the Benedict-Gieling House is an intact example of a building type that was popular in the United States from ca. 1845 to ca. 1875. Although locally built of Page | 46 redwood instead of the customary brick, stone, or cast iron used "Back East," the Benedict-Gieling House embodies many characteristics of the type, including its portico, tower, cross-gable roof, bracketed cornice, fluted door and window trim, and segmental-arched windows with bracketed hoods and impost blocks. In contrast to the much more common Italianate rowhouse which usually has only one ornamented façade, the Benedict-Gieling House has Italianate detailing on three of its four exterior elevations, indicating that it was meant to be appreciated within its landscaped setting unobscured by adjoining buildings. Built in several older Bay Area communities after the Civil War, the Italianate villa is vulnerable to redevelopment because of its large lot size. Although Italianate villas remain somewhat common in parts of Oakland and Alameda, as well as in rural Napa and Sonoma Counties, only about a dozen remain in San Francisco. Good examples include the Hoadley House at 2908 Bush Street (built ca. 1854 – Landmark No. 216), the Casebolt House at 2727 Pierce Street (built 1865 – Landmark No. 51), the Captain Charles Adams House at 300 Pennsylvania Avenue (built 1868), the C.F. Richards House at 301 Pennsylvania Avenue (built 1870), the Crowell House at 400 Pennsylvania Avenue (built 1870), the Ortman-Shumate House at 1901 Scott Street (built 1870 - Landmark No. 98), the Burr House at 1772 Vallejo Street (built 1875 - Landmark No. 31), "La Quinada" at 1876 15th Street (1875), the Wormser-Coleman House at 1834 California Street (1876 - Landmark No. 53), and the Axford House at 1190 Noe Street (built 1877 - Landmark No. 133). The Benedict-Gieling House is also unusual in that it retains its original carriage house and a portion of its Victorian garden, including a mature Canary Island palm on the adjoining parcel to the south, which until 1953 was part of the subject property.

Period of Significance

The period of significance for the Benedict-Gieling House is 1870 to 1906, beginning with the likely year of its original construction and concluding with alterations made in 1906 by the Russell family.

Integrity

The seven aspects of integrity used by the National Register of Historic Places, the California Register of Historical Resources, and Article 10 of the Planning Code are location, design, materials, workmanship, setting, feeling, and association. The following sections analyze the integrity of the Benedict-Gieling House under each of the seven aspects of integrity.

Location:

The Benedict-Gieling House retains the aspect of location because it has never been relocated.

July 16, 2018

Design:

The Benedict-Gieling House retains the aspect of design because it has kept its original massing, fenestration pattern, and Italianate ornament. It also retains its original floorplan and interior features, especially in the entry/stair hall, living room, both parlors, and the three bedrooms on the second floor level. The only parts of the house have undergone change include the rear façade, where three small additions were constructed between $^{\mathsf{Page}}\mid$ 47 1906 and 1976. The most substantial exterior change was the construction of a bathroom addition above the main entrance ca. 1906. This addition, which includes a hipped-roof tower, has gained significance in its own right.

Materials:

The Benedict-Gieling House retains the aspect of materials. Although the house was in poor condition when the Gielings bought it in 1966, they expertly restored it. If a feature was too heavily deteriorated or was missing, the Gielings either replicated it out of new materials or contacted previous owners for assistance in locating the missing detail. Some features, including several windows and some flooring on the first floor level, were too badly deteriorated and/or missing when the Gielings bought the property in 1966, requiring some replacement, but the work was carefully designed and executed to ensure that it matched the remaining historic materials.

Workmanship:

The Benedict-Gieling House retains the aspect of workmanship. Despite the fact that it is primarily made of massproduced materials readily available from Victorian-era lumber yards and millwork shops, including the redwood siding, windows, doors, and scroll-sawn trim, the Benedict-Gieling House retains several examples of custom-made craftsmanship, including the art glass window on the west façade; the tile, brick, and wood mantels in the living room, parlors, and bedrooms; the wood stair balustrade; and the built-in cabinetry in various rooms. The lath and plaster trim inside is also custom-made by individual artisans.

Setting

The Benedict-Gieling House retains the aspect of setting. Since 1870, Duboce Triangle has been transformed from a suburban enclave of Victorian villas into a densely populated inner city neighborhood, but it remains dominated by Victorian and Edwardian-era housing stock that is harmonious with the Benedict-Gieling House. The Benedict-Gieling House's site has undergone some changes as well, chiefly the sale of the eastern third of the property in 1953. This lot, which included some of the Victorian garden, was eventually redeveloped, but the 120-year-old Canary palm still stands at 20 Beaver Street. The remainder of the subject property remains intact, including the ca. 1870 carriage house at the northwest corner, the driveway along the west side, and the Victorian gardens at the front, back, and east sides of the Benedict-Gieling House.

Feeling:

The Benedict-Gieling House retains the aspect of feeling. It is one of a small number of surviving Italianate villas built in San Francisco in the decade following the Civil War. Built by Jacob Benedict, a prosperous precious metals refiner, the Benedict-Gieling House represents the riches that came out of the Comstock Lode silver boom, as well as the development of Duboce Triangle as a gracious suburban enclave after the Civil War. More than many $^{\mathsf{Page}}\mid 48$ properties of the same age, the distinctive Italianate styling and lush Victorian garden of the Benedict-Gieling House evoke the atmosphere of a long-lost San Francisco.

Association:

The Benedict-Gieling House retains the aspect of association because it would be readily recognizable to any prior owner or occupant of the property dating back to its original construction ca. 1870.

In conclusion, the Benedict-Gieling House retains all seven aspects of integrity.

Article 10 Requirements Section 1004 (b)

Boundaries of the Landmark Site

The site proposed for landmark status encompasses the entirety of Assessor Parcel Number 3561/060, a 5,750square-foot parcel bounded by Beaver Street to the south and four other residential properties to the north, west, and east.

Character-defining Features

A case report for a property proposed for Landmark status under Article 10 of the Planning Code must have an inventory of all character-defining features. This is necessary so that the property owner, Planning staff, and the public know what features and materials (elements) should be preserved to protect the historical and architectural character of the landmark.

Exterior

The character-defining exterior features of the Benedict-Gieling House include its overall form, massing, structural system, fenestration pattern, rustic channel siding cladding materials, and wood architectural ornamentation. Its specific exterior character-defining features include:

- The overall height and massing of the partial three-story building, including its T-shaped plan, cross-gable roof, portico, bay window, and hipped-roof tower;
- The publicly visible portions of the building's exterior—in particular the primary south façade, the west façade facing the driveway, and the east façade from the front of the house to just beyond the bay window;

- All ornament, including fluted door and window trim, window hoods, portico columns and entablature, bay window trim, and bracketed raking cornices;
- The primary entrance, including the painted wood doors, casings, transom, and paneling;
- Other exterior fenestration on the publically visible parts of the west, south, and east facades;
- Double-hung wood windows and trim;
- Art glass window in stair hall;
- Rustic channel siding on the west, south, and east façades;
- Overall height and massing of the carriage house;
- Exterior wood cladding of the carriage house; and
- Hay hoist on south façade of the carriage house.

At the time of designation, non-character-defining exterior features include all post-1906 alterations, including the following:

Page | 49

- 1915 Garage addition in front of the carriage house;
- 1933 kitchen addition and other associated changes to the north (rear) façade;
- 1976 shed-roofed dormer and rear stair;
- 1976 alterations to carriage house, including fenestration pattern and roof deck.

The character-defining spaces and features of the interior of the Benedict-Gieling House include all intact parts of the house that existed when the house was built ca. 1870, including alterations and repairs made up until 1906:

- Footprint and volume of the spaces identified above, including, on the first floor level: the living room, hall, front parlor, middle parlor, and dining room; and on the second floor, master bedroom, second bedroom, and third bedroom;
- All surviving trim in the spaces identified above, including all lath and plaster wall and ceiling finishes, wood trim, stairs and balustrades, doors, light fixtures, and hardware.

At the time of designation, non-character-defining interior features include all spaces affected by post-1906 alterations or that lack significance, including the remodeled bathrooms, kitchen, attic servants' quarters, and all utilitarian back-of-house storage and utility areas at the rear of the house on the first and second floor levels.

The character-defining features of the site include the footprint of the front garden, driveway, and rear garden, though not any of the specific trees, shrubs, or plants, which were all planted by the current owner. Pending approval by the adjoining property owner, the surviving Canary Island palm at 28 Beaver Street should be included as a character-defining feature of the subject property because it was part of the Benedict-Gieling property until 1953 and because it is a characteristic feature of Italianate villas.

Please refer to the significance diagram as a reference to the character-defining spaces of the Benedict-Gieling property (Figure 56).




Figure 56. Significance diagram showing character-defining spaces of 22 Beaver Street in red, non-character-defining spaces in blue, and general location of other site features. The dashed line indicates the approximate location of the property line between 22 and 28 Beaver Street.

PROPERTY INFORMATION

Historic Name: Jacob Benedict House

Popular Name: Benedict-Gieling House

Address: 22 Beaver Street

Block and Lot: 3561/060

Owner: Imogene Gieling

Current Use: Two-family dwelling

Zoning: H2 – Hotels

Page | 51

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Published Works

"Auction Sales: Nine-Room House No. 10 Beaver St." San Francisco Chronicle (March 4, 1895), 9.

Page | 52

Bodi, Alexander S. *Duboce Triangle of San Francisco: A Study of a Community.* San Francisco: unpublished Master's Thesis in Anthropology at San Francisco State, 1983.

Christensen, Pat. "Banding Together in Duboce Triangle." San Francisco Independent (December 4, 1990).

City and County of San Francisco. *Municipal Reports: The San Francisco Earthquake and Fire of April 1906.* San Francisco: 1907.

"Classifieds." San Francisco Chronicle (November 10, 1961), 31.

"Deaths: Abby E. Davis." San Francisco Chronicle (December 30, 1894), 52.

"Deaths: Benedict, Jacob." San Francisco Chronicle (April 10, 1887), 5.

"Deaths: George T. Davis." San Francisco Chronicle (December 30, 1894).

Delehanty, Randolph. In the Victorian Style. San Francisco: Chronicle Books, 1991.

"Firemen Buried by Burning Timbers." San Francisco Chronicle (October 6, 1917), 1.

Garcia, Dawn. "Noe Valley Gunman Described as Over-Ambitious Developer." San Francisco Chronicle (June 26, 1991), A15.

Gelernter, Mark. A History of American Architecture: Buildings in their Cultural and Technological Context. Hanover, NH and London: University Press of New England, 1999.

Issel, William and Robert W. Cherny. *San Francisco, 1865-1932: Power, Politics and Urban Development.* Berkeley: University of California Press, 1986.

Junior League of San Francisco. *Here Today: San Francisco's Architectural Heritage*. San Francisco: San Francisco: Chronicle Books, 1968.

Lammers, Jonathan. *Department of Parks and Recreation District Record: "Duboce Park Historic District."* San Francisco: San Francisco Planning Department.

Nidala, Thomas. The Great Houses of San Francisco. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1974.

Nimmo, H. Arlo. Good and Bad Times in a San Francisco Neighborhood. San Francisco: October Properties, 2007.

"Probate Matters." San Francisco Chronicle (May 17, 1887), 7.

"Real Estate for Sale." San Francisco Chronicle (October 18, 1868), 2.

"Real Estate Transactions." San Francisco Chronicle (May 5, 1898), 15.

"Real Estate Transactions." San Francisco Chronicle (February 6, 1906), 15.

San Francisco City Directories: 1865 to 1982.

San Mateo County Directories: 1950 to 1970.

Shepard, Susan. *In the Neighborhoods: A Guide to the Joys and Discoveries of San Francisco's Neighborhoods.* San Francisco: Chronicle Books, 1981.

Page | 53

Trachtenberg, Marvin and Isabelle Hyman. *Architecture from Prehistory to Post-modernism.* New York: Prentice Hall, Inc., 1986.

Waldhorn, Judith Lynch and Carol Olwell. A Gift to the Street. San Francisco: Antelope Island Press, 1976.

Waldhorn, Judith Lynch and Sally B. Woodbridge. *Victoria's Legacy: Tours of San Francisco Bay Architecture*. San Francisco: 101 Productions, 1978.

Whiffen, Marcus. American Architecture since 1780. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 1988.

Government Records and Repositories

California Death Index, 1940-1997.

California Marriage Index, 1949-1959.

San Francisco Department of Building Inspection. Permit applications on file for 22 Beaver Street.

San Francisco Office of the Assessor-Recorder. Property records on file for Assessor's Parcel 3561/060.

San Francisco Historical Photograph Collection, San Francisco Public Library.

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, Historic Photograph Archives.

San Francisco Public Library. Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps for San Francisco.

San Francisco Water Department. Water service applications on file for 22 Beaver Street.

United States Census Records for San Francisco.


United States Army. World War II Draft Cards, 1940-1947.

United States Social Security Administration. Social Security Death Index, 1935-2014.

APPENDIX

A. Building Permit Applications on file for 22 Beaver Street

Page | 54



story frame building

Stephen Russell.

APPLICATION

22 Beaver-rear

JAN 28 1915

OFFICIAL COPY

Approved:



MARK STREET LINE ON PLANS

WRITE IN INK-FILE TWO COPIES

Applicant must indicate in ink correctly and distinctly on the back of this sheet, a diagram of the lot with street, alleys, location of existing buildings on the lot, if any, and location and dimensions of proposed buildings. Plans and Specifications must be fastened together.

APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT

FRAME BUILDING

Application is hereby made to the Board of Public Wo	orks of the City and County of San Francisco for permis-
sion to build 1 story frame	on the lot situated in rear of 22 Beaver St.
in accordance with the plans and specifications subm	
The building law shall be complied with in the erect	tion of the building, whether otherwise specified or not
Estimated cost of building \$ 100 Building to be	occupied as Private garageNo.
Families.	72
Size of Lot 75 feet from 75	feet rear120feet deep.
Size of proposed building	Ft. by 15 Ft
	frontFt
The state of the s	Ft. Height in clear of first storyFt
	Ft. Height in clear of third storyFt
	., thickness, on top 8 inches
	t of foundation walls Ft
Size of studs in basement (underpinning)by	,
Size of studs in first story 2 by 4	
Size of stude in second storyby	inches inches on centers.
Size of studs in third storyby	III. AND SECRETARY OF THE SECRETARY SECRETARY
	outside, andinside
	inches on centers. Longest span between supportsft
The state of the s	nches on centers. Longest span between supportsft.
	nches on centers: Longest span between supportsft.
AND MALES - 1971	nches on centers. Longest span between supportsft.
Studs in bearing partitions by inches	
There shall be no encroachments upon the stre	eet or sidewalk
The second secon	narmless the City and County of San Francisco against al
liabilities, judgments, costs and expenses which may	in any wise accrue against said city and county in con-
	use or occupancy of any sidewalk, street or sub-sidewalk ctly comply with the conditions of this permit, and the
Building Law.	certy comply with the conditions of this permit, and the
di di	
Architect	- T
Address	Owner Stephen Russell
Builder	Address 22 Beaver
Address	By

(Note-the owner's name must be signed by himself or by his Architect or authorized agent.)

BUREAU OF FIRE PREVENTION AND APPROVED: PUBLIC SAFETY Construct and Install on Building to Satisfac-tion of Bureau of Fire Prevention the Follow-ing Fire Protection Equipment and Appliances Superintendent Bureau of Building Inspection F. D. (Dry) Standpipes..... City Planning Commission Wet Standpipes Hose Reels Tanks Downpipes Director of Public Health Automatic Fire Pumps Automatic Sprinkler System. APPROVED: Water Service Connection Groundfloor Pipe Casings Refrigeration Department of Electricity Incinerators APPROVED: Bureau of Engineering APPROVED: Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety

Fire Marshal

Art Commission

APPROVED:

Permit No.

OFFICIAL COPY BLDG. FORM. FOR PERMIT TO MAKE ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS TO BUILDING OCT 16 1935 Superintendent Bureau of Building Inspection Issued

SAM FRANCI Sentral Bureau—F. No. 8

Write in Ink-File Two Copies

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
BUILDING INSPECTION FORM
APPLICATION F

CENTRAL PERMIT BUREAU

APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT

3

ALTERATION

•	3	Ξ.				1000	
r p	ication is hereby made ermission to build in a e description and for	accordance with	the plans and	specifications su	y and County bmitted herew	of San Franc ith and accord	isco
)]	Location 22	Beave	N St			***************************************	
)]	For what purpose is p	resent building	now used?	Resu	lence-		inores.
]	For what purpose will	building be use	d hereafter?			····	
) '	Total Cost \$	0				4	
) .	Description of work to	be done					
	117						g
	got ton	1. 19. 4	2 roos	11 = Z=	3"X/1	-1", Le	ad
8	20.00	1/					
		-					
****		minimum (minimum)	***************************************		*******************		
		***************************************					THE SECTION S.

•	Contractor (DOES) (DOES NO	TTI)	CHOCK THE SHAREST WINDS AND STATE				
) ;	Supervision of constru						
	Address 22			The state of the s			
AN AL	I hereby certify and an LDING ZONE ORDI ICES OF THE CITY IFORNIA will be com- uify and keep harmles	ree, if a permit NANCES, SET AND COUNTY plied with, whe s the City and	F BACK LIN: OF SAN FR. ther herein sp County of Sa	all the provision E REQUIREME ANCISCO and t ecified or not; and Francisco ago	s of the BUIL NTS AND T he STATE Ho and I hereby a linst all lisbi	DING LAW, THE FIRE OF DUSING ACT agree to save, litles, Judgme	HE DI- OF in- nts,
AL emi sts g o	I hereby certify and as LDING ZONE ORDI ICES OF THE CITY IFORNIA will be com ify and keep harmles and expenses which no of this permit, or from cof, and will in all this	ree, if a permit NANCES, SET AND COUNTY plied with, whe se the City and may in anywise a the use or occ- ngs strictly com-	is issued, that I BACK LIN. OF SAN FR. ther herein sp. County of Sa accrue against upancy of any uply with the co	all the provision E REQUIREME ANCISCO and t ecified or not; a in Francisco ag said city and cou sidewalk, street onditions of this	s of the BUIL NTS AND T he STATE Ho and I hereby a ainst all liabi nty in consequ or sub-sidewal permit.	DING LAW, THE FIRE OF CUSING ACT agree to save, judgme ence of the grak placed by visual properties.	HE DI- OF in- nts, ant- rtue
AN AL sts g o	I hereby certify and as LDING ZONE ORDI CES OF THE CITY IFORNIA will be comify and keep harmles and expenses which not this permit, or from sof, and will in all this Architect.	ree, if a permit NANCES, SET AND COUNTY plied with, who so the City and may in anywise: the use or occ- ngs strictly com	is issued, that is BACK LIN. OF SAN FR. ther herein sp. County of Sa accrue against upancy of any ply with the co	all the provision E REQUIREME ANCISCO and t ecified or not; an in Francisco ag said city and cou sidewalk, street onditions of this	s of the BUIL. NTS AND The STATE HO and I hereby a ainst all liabi nty in conseque or sub-sidewal permit.	DING LAW, THE FIRE OF DUSING ACT agree to save, integer ence of the grack placed by visual save and th	HE OI- OF in- nts, ant- rtue
AN AL sts g o	I hereby certify and as LDING ZONE ORDI ICES OF THE CITY IFORNIA will be com ify and keep harmles and expenses which no of this permit, or from cof, and will in all this	ree, if a permit NANCES, SET AND COUNTY plied with, who so the City and may in anywise: the use or occ- ngs strictly com	is issued, that is BACK LIN. OF SAN FR. ther herein sp. County of Sancrue against upancy of any uply with the co	all the provision E REQUIREME ANCISCO and t ecified or not; an in Francisco ag said city and cou sidewalk, street onditions of this	s of the BUIL NTS AND T he STATE HO and I hereby a ainst all liabi nty in conseque or sub-sidewal permit.	DING LAW, THE FIRE OF DUSING ACT agree to save, independence of the grace of the gr	HE OI- OF in- nts, ant- rtue
ANAL mr	I hereby certify and as LDING ZONE ORDI CES OF THE CITY IFORNIA will be comify and keep harmles and expenses which not this permit, or from cof, and will in all this Architect. Certificate No	pree, if a permit NANCES, SET AND COUNTY plied with, whe si the City and may in anywise a the use or occ- ings strictly com	is issued, that I BACK LIN OF SAN FR. ther herein ap County of Sa accrue against upancy of any ply with the co	all the provision E REQUIREME ANCISCO and t excified or not; a m Francisco ag said city and cou sidewalk, street onditions of this mse No and County of	s of the BUIL. NTS AND The STATE Hord I hereby aninst all liabinty in consequor sub-sidewal permit. San Francisco	DING LAW, THE FIRE OF DUSING ACT, agree to save, littles, judgme ence of the gr k placed by vi	THE DI- OF in- nts, ant- rtue
ANAL mr	I hereby certify and as LDING ZONE ORDI ICES OF THE CITY IFORNIA will be cominify and keep harmles and expenses which not this permit, or from sof, and will in all this Architect. Certificate No	mee, if a permit NANCES, SET AND COUNTY plied with, who so the City and may in anywise in the use or occur age strictly com Home	is issued, that F BACK LIN OF SAN FR, ther herein ap County of Sa accrue against upancy of any ply with the co	all the provision E REQUIREME ANCISCO and t ectified or not; a m Francisco ag said city and cou sidewalk, street conditions of this mse No and County of	s of the BUIL. NTS AND The STATE Hord I hereby aninst all liabinty in consequor sub-sidewal permit. San Francisco	DING LAW, THE FIRE OF DUSING ACT, agree to save, littles, judgme ence of the gr k placed by vi	THE CDI- OF in- nts, ant- rtue
ANAL mrats	I hereby certify and as LDING ZONE ORDI ICES OF THE CITY IFORNIA will be coming and expenses which not this permit, or from cof, and will in all this Architect. Certificate No	mee, if a permit NANCES, SET AND COUNTY plied with, who so the City and may in anywise in the use or occur age strictly com Home	is issued, that I BACK LIN OF SAN FR, ther herein ap County of Sa accrue against upancy of any ply with the co	all the provision E REQUIREME ANCISCO and t excified or not; a m Francisco ag said city and cou sidewalk, street onditions of this mse No and County of	s of the BUIL. NTS AND The STATE Hond I hereby aninst all liabinty in consequor sub-sidewal permit. San Francisco	DING LAW, THE FIRE OF DUSING ACT, agree to save, littles, judgme ence of the gr k placed by vi	THE CDI- OF in- nts, ant- rtue
ANAL emir sts g o nero	I hereby certify and as LDING ZONE ORDI CES OF THE CITY IFORNIA will be comify and keep harmles and expenses which not this permit, or from of, and will in all this Architect. Certificate No	mee, if a permit NANCES, SET AND COUNTY plied with, who so the City and may in anywise in the use or occur age strictly com Home	is issued, that I BACK LIN OF SAN FR, ther herein ap County of Sa accrue against upancy of any ply with the co	all the provision E REQUIREME ANCISCO and t ectified or not; a m Francisco ag said city and cou sidewalk, street conditions of this mse No and County of	s of the BUIL. NTS AND The STATE Hond I hereby aninst all liabinty in consequor sub-sidewal permit. San Francisco	DING LAW, THE FIRE OF DUSING ACT, agree to save, littles, judgme ence of the gr k placed by vi	THE CDI- OF in- nts, ant- rtue
ANAL. mir sts g o ere	I hereby certify and as LDING ZONE ORDI (CES OF THE CITY IFORNIA will be coming and expenses which not this permit, or from lof, and will in all this Architect. Certificate No	mee, if a permit NANCES, SET AND COUNTY plied with, whe so the City and may in anywise in the use or occur ings strictly com Mone	is issued, that I BACK LIN OF SAN FR, ther herein ap County of Sa accrue against upancy of any ply with the co Lice City Lice City	all the provision E REQUIREME ANCISCO and t excified or not; a m Francisco ag said city and cou sidewalk, street onditions of this mse No and County of	s of the BUIL. NTS AND The STATE Hond I hereby aninst all liabinty in consequor sub-sidewal permit. San Francisco	DING LAW, THE FIRE OF DUSING ACT, agree to save, littles, judgme ence of the gr k placed by vi	THE CDI- OF in- nts, ant- rtue
ANAL. mir sts g o ere	I hereby certify and as LDING ZONE ORDI CES OF THE CITY IFORNIA will be comify and keep harmles and expenses which not this permit, or from of, and will in all this Architect. Certificate No	mee, if a permit NANCES, SET AND COUNTY plied with, who so the City and may in anywise a the use or occur as strictly com Time Tome Tome	is issued, that I BACK LIN OF SAN FR, ther herein ap County of Sa accrue against upancy of any ply with the co Lice City Lice City	all the provision E REQUIREME ANCISCO and t ectified or not; a m Francisco ag said city and cou sidewalk, street onditions of this mse No and County of a mse No and County of S	s of the BUIL. NTS AND The STATE Hond I hereby aninst all liabinty in consequor sub-sidewal permit. San Francisco	DING LAW, THE FIRE OF DUSING ACT agree to save, ititles, judgme ence of the gr k placed by vi	THE CDI- OF in- nts, ant- rtue
ANAL. mir sts g o ere	I hereby certify and as LDING ZONE ORDI ICES OF THE CITY IFORNIA will be cominify and keep harmles and expenses which not this permit, or from sof, and will in all this Architect. Certificate No	mee, if a permit NANCES, SET AND COUNTY plied with, who so the City and may in anywise a the use or occur as strictly com Time Tome Tome	is issued, that I BACK LIN OF SAN FR, ther herein ap County of Sa accrue against upancy of any ply with the co Lice City Lice City	all the provision E REQUIREME ANCISCO and t ectified or not; a m Francisco ag said city and cou sidewalk, street onditions of this mse No and County of a mse No and County of S	s of the BUIL NTS AND The STATE HO and I hereby a inst all liability in consequence of sub-sidewal permit. San Francisco an Francisco	DING LAW, THE FIRE OF DUSING ACT agree to save, ititles, judgme ence of the gr k placed by vi	THE DI- OF in- nts, ant- rtue
ANALL mr sts g o ere	I hereby certify and as LDING ZONE ORDI CES OF THE CITY IFORNIA will be cominify and keep harmles and expenses which not this permit, or from sof, and will in all this Architect. Certificate No	mee, if a permit NANCES, SET AND COUNTY plied with, who so the City and may in anywise a the use or occur as strictly com Time Tome Tome	is issued, that I BACK LIN OF SAN FR, ther herein ap County of Sa accrue against upancy of any ply with the co Lice City Lice City	all the provision E REQUIREME ANCISCO and t ectified or not; a m Francisco ag said city and cou sidewalk, street onditions of this mse No and County of a mse No and County of S	s of the BUIL NTS AND The STATE Hi and I hereby inst all liabi nty in conseque or sub-sidewal permit. San Francisco an Francisco	DING LAW, THE FIRE OF DUSING ACT, agree to save, agree to save, agree to fittes, fudgme ence of the gr k placed by vi	THE DI- OF in- ints, ant- rtue
ANAL mr sts g of erection (a)	I hereby certify and as LDING ZONE ORDI CES OF THE CITY IFORNIA will be comify and keep harmles and expenses which not this permit, or from cof, and will in all this Architect. Certificate No	mee, if a permit NANCES, SETAND COUNTY plied with, who is the City and may in anywise in the use or occurs strictly com Home Mone and prepared by or Engineer	Is issued, that P BACK LINI OF SAN FR. ther herein sp County of Sa accrue against upancy of any ply with the co Lice City Lice City	all the provision E REQUIREME ANCISCO and t ectified or not; a in Francisco ag said city and cou sidewalk, street onditions of this mad County of a and County of s and County of S	s of the BUIL. NTS AND The STATE HO and I hereby a ainst all liabi nty in conseque or sub-sidewal permit. San Francisco an Francisco	DING LAW, THE FIRE OF DUSING ACT agree to save, ilities, judgme ence of the gr k placed by vi	THE DI- OF in- ints, ant- rtue
ANAL state of the	I hereby certify and as LDING ZONE ORDI CES OF THE CITY IFORNIA will be comity and keep harmles and expenses which not this permit, or from of, and will in all this Architect. Certificate No	mee, if a permit NANCES, SETAND COUNTY plied with, who is the City and may in anywise in the use or occurs strictly com Home Mone and prepared by or Engineer	Is issued, that P BACK LINI OF SAN FR. ther herein sp County of Sa accrue against upancy of any ply with the co Lice City Lice City	all the provision E REQUIREME ANCISCO and t ecified or not; a m Francisco ag sald city and cou sidewalk, street onditions of this mse No and County of s and County of S mse No and County of S	s of the BUIL NTS AND The STATE Ho and I hereby inst all liabi nty in conseque or sub-sidewal permit. San Francisco an Francisco an Francisco	DING LAW, THE FIRE OF DUSING ACT agree to save, ilities, judgme ence of the gr k placed by vi	THE DI- OF in- ints, ant- rtue
ANAL state of the	I hereby certify and as LDING ZONE ORDI CES OF THE CITY IFORNIA will be comify and keep harmles and expenses which not this permit, or from cof, and will in all this Architect. Certificate No	mee, if a permit NANCES, SETAND COUNTY plied with, who is the City and may in anywise in the use or occurs strictly com Home Mone and prepared by or Engineer	Is issued, that P BACK LINI OF SAN FR. ther herein sp County of Sa accrue against upancy of any ply with the co Lice City Lice City	all the provision E REQUIREME ANCISCO and t ectified or not; a m Francisco ag said city and cou sidewalk, street onditions of this mse No and County of s and County of S and County of S	s of the BUIL. NTS AND The STATE HO of I hereby a sinst all liability in consequence of the state of the stat	DING LAW, THE FIRE OF DUSING ACT agree to save, ilities, judgme ence of the gr k placed by virtue of th	THE DI- OF in- ints, ant- rtue
ANAL mr sts g of erection (a)	I hereby certify and as LDING ZONE ORDI (CES OF THE CITY IFORNIA will be committy and keep harmles and expenses which not this permit, or from sof, and will in all this Architect. Certificate No State of California Address. Engineer	mee, if a permit NANCES, SETAND COUNTY plied with, who is the City and may in anywise in the use or occurs strictly com Home Mone and prepared by or Engineer	Is issued, that P BACK LINI OF SAN FR. ther herein sp County of Sa accrue against upancy of any ply with the co Lice City Lice City	all the provision E REQUIREME ANCISCO and t ectified or not; a m Francisco ag said city and cou sidewalk, street onditions of this mse No and County of s and County of S and County of S	s of the BUIL NTS AND The STATE Ho and I hereby inst all liabi nty in conseque or sub-sidewal permit. San Francisco an Francisco an Francisco	DING LAW, THE FIRE OF DUSING ACT agree to save, ilities, judgme ence of the gr k placed by virtue of th	THE DI- OF in- ints, ant- rtue
ANALIMIT stss g of ere	I hereby certify and as LDING ZONE ORDI (CES OF THE CITY IFORNIA will be committy and keep harmles and expenses which not this permit, or from sof, and will in all this Architect. Certificate No State of California Address. Engineer	mee, if a permit NANCES, SETAND COUNTY plied with, who is the City and may in anywise in the use or occurs strictly com Home Mone and prepared by or Engineer	Is issued, that P BACK LINI OF SAN FR. ther herein sp County of Sa accrue against upancy of any ply with the co Lice City Lice City	all the provision E REQUIREME ANCISCO and t excified or not; a m Francisco ag sald city and cou sidewalk, street onditions of this mse No and County of s mse No and County of S mse No and County of S	s of the BUIL. NTS AND The STATE HO of I hereby a sinst all liability in consequence of the state of the stat	DING LAW, THE FIRE OF DUSING ACT, agree to save, ities, judgme ence of the gr k placed by vi	THE CDI- OF in- nts, ant- rtue

Berg Du F

			OFFICIAL COPY SALANDATION LIVE A NVE LI
Approved:	Approveds	RÉFER TO:	BLDG. FORM
Zone	Marketal play of the Alexander	Bureau of Engineering	No Die
OPC Setbacks		BBI Struct, Engineer	3 APPLICATION - C
	Department of Public Health	Boiler Inspector	Lesson
Not reviewed by this Department of the		Dept. of Public Health	FOR PERMIT TO MAKE
Not reviewed by the Department of the Planning, issuance of the requested permit constitutes no indication that use of this property department or does not conform to the	Approved:	Dept. of Electricity	ADDITIONS, ALTERATION OF REPAIRS TO BUILDING
City Flaming Code Department of City Planning	material design of the second	Approved 5-25 1968	30 B
	Department of Electricity	Provided the following conditions are com-	Location A L C. SERCELL
Approved:	Approved:	plied with:	
		1- ADDROGED TOREY	
	Art Commission	1- ADDROUTS FOR FRONT BUILDONS ONLY 2- PROVERS HAMORANS ATTER TOT 3- DATAIN A PROMERTS ELECTRICAL PERSONES	Total Cost \$ 1800
		1-1200 100 M	MAY 2 4 1966
	Approved:	A PLUMBULES	Filed 1/ 3 4 2 5 1014 5 2 106 6
Selle 11 5-26-66		SITTEMENTAL PERCENTE	
Bureau of Fire Prevention & Public Safety	Boiler Inspector		APPROVED:
V-State Co.			A PPROVED
Approved:	Approved:		PPROVED
			MAY 3 1 1968
7.1 20 La 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	FI COMMITTEE AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF TH		Place P
A STATE OF THE STA	Redevelopment Agency		MOREAL SUSTEIN INSPECTION
1 14	Approved:		works anticised instruction
		Joh Whan	Superintendent, Bureau of Building Inspection
Civil Engineer, Bureau of Building Inspection	10.0	Building Inspector, Bureau of Building Inspection	
Approved:	Parking Authority	I agree to comply with all conditions or stip- ulations of the various Bureaus or Departments	# 5770 2 94/856
TERTITUM.	No portion of building or structure or scaf-	noted hereon.	MAY 3 1 1966
	folding used during construction to be closer than 6'0" to any wire containing more	Lower A Rection	MAI 3 1 1903
Level Andrews and the company of the	than 750 volts. See Sec. 385 California	Owner or Owner's Authorized Agent	200 14 Dillians and his days a state of a part of the state of the sta
Bureau of Engineering	Penal Code.	L-E-W-	

Owner's Authorized Agent to be Owner's Authorized Architect, Engineer or General Contractor.
CERTIFICATE OF FINAL COMPLETION AND/OR PERMIT OF OCCUPANCY MUST BE
OBTAINED ON COMPLETION OF WORK OR ALTERATION INVOLVING AN ENLARGEMENT OF THE BUILDING OR A CHANGE OF OCCUPANCY PURSUANT TO SEC. 808
AND 809, SAN FRANCISCO BUILDING CODE, BEFORE BUILDING IS OCCUPIED.

Pursuant to Sec. 304, San Francisco Building Code, the building permit shall be posted on job. Owner is responsible for approved plans and application being kept at building site.

BLDG. FORM 338230 No._ APPLICATION OF FOR PERMIT TO MAKE ADDITIONS, ALTERATION OF REPAIRS TO BUILDING Total Cost \$_ 部 26% Filed. APPROVED: 3 HALF-FEE REQUESTE Superintendent, Bureau of Building Inspection * in % Permit No. Issued. MAR 20 1067

OFFICIAL COPY

4-	
Approved:	Approved:
Z оле	
CPC Setbacks	
awayed by the Department of City	Department of Public Healt
the rices or does not conform to the	Approved:
Department of City Planning	Department of Electricit
Approved:	Approved:
	~
	Art Commission
	Approved:
Bureau of Fire Prevention & Public Safety	Boiler Inspector
pproved:	Approved:
	Hederelopment Agency
65	Approved:
Civil Engineer, Bureau of Bailding Inspection	
proved:	Parking Authority
Bureau of Engineering	No portion of building or structure or scaf- folding used during construction to be closer than 6'0" to any wire containing more than 750 volts. See Sec. 385 California Penal Code.
Duress or Augmeeting	A NORTH LANG.

REFER TO: Bureau of Engineering Boiler Inspector Art Commission Dept. of Public Health Dept. of Electricity Redevelopment Agency Parking Authority Approved 1-3 Provided the following conditions are complied with: Jue WARDS. Building Inspector, Bureau of Building Inspection I agree to comply with all conditions or stip-

ulations of the various Bureaus or Departments notes hereon.

Owner or Owner's Authorized Agent

CENTRAL PERMIT BUREAU F485

140	Write in Ink-File Two Copies
=	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
	RTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS CENTRAL PERMIT BUREAU
4	APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT
4	ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS
	December 30 1966
uild lon s	application is hereby made to the Department of Public Works of San Francisco for permission to in accordance with the plans and specifications submitted herewith and according to the descripted for the purpose hereby after set forth:
(1)	Location 22 1
(2)	Total Cost (\$) . B. (3) No. of Stories
-	Present Use of building Assault (6) No. of families
	Proposed Use of building family formed (8) No. of families
	Type of construction (10) Proposed Building Code Classification
(11)	Any other building on lot (must be shown on plot plan if answer is yes.)
12)	Does this alteration create an additional story to the building?
(13)	Does this alteration create a horizontal extension to the building?
(14)	Does this alteration constitute a change of occupancy
(15)	Electrical work to be performed (16) Plumbing work to be performed
(17)	Automobile runway to be altered or installed yellor no Sidowalk over sub-sidewalk space to be repaired or altered
	Sidowalk over sub-sidewalk space to be repaired or altered.
	Will street space be used during construction?
	yés or no
(20)	Write in description of all work to be performed under this application: (Reference to plans is not sufficient)
······································	
	Supervision of construction by Leuth Leuser Address
(22)	General Contractor Leith Lease California License No.
	Address
(23)	Architect or Engineer fellet to the Alifornia Certificate No
1941	Address
	Architect or Engineer
(25)	I hereby certify and agree that if a permit is issued for the construction described in this application, all the provisions of the permit and all laws and ordinances applicable thereto will be complied with. I further agree to save San Francisco and its officials and employees harmless from all costs and damages which may accrue from use or occupancy of the sidewalk, street or subsidewalk space or from anything else in connection with the work included in the permit. The foregoing covenant shall be binding upon the owner of said property, the applicant, their heirs, successors and assigness.
(26)	Owner John J. Stillien (Phone MA- + 5, 0)
	Address 21 Daniel Vr (Taraloly 1801 Min Sky)
	Owner's Authorized Agent to be Owner's Authorized Architect, Engineer or General Contractor. CERTIFICATE OF FINAL COMPLETION AND/OR PERMIT OF OCCUPANCY MUST BE OBTAINED ON COMPLETION OF WORK OR ALTERATION INVOLVING AN ENLARGEMENT OF THE BUILDING OR A CHANGE OF OCCUPANCY PURSUANT TO SEC. 808 AND 809, SAN FRANCISCO BUILDING CODE, BEFORE BUILDING IS OCCUPIED.

			SNOTHING 174 de d NV S NV S
Approved: Zone	Approved: Department of Public Health Approved:	REFER TO: Bureau of Engineering	BLDG. FORM 345202 APPLICATION OF THE LONG THE L
JUL 13 1967 Department of City Flanning Approved:	Department of Electricity Approved: Art Commission	Parking Authority Approved 1927 Provided the following conditions are complied with: **Control Building Inspector Grant Street of Job.	Total Cost \$ 1800 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
Bureau of Fire Prevention & Public Safety Approved:	Approved: Boiler Inspector Approved:		Filed. 7//0 1967 APPROVED:
Civil Engineer, Bureau of Building Inspection	Approved: Parking Authority No portion of building or structure or scaf-	Building Inspector, Bureau of Building Inspection I agree to comply with all conditions or stipulations of the various Bureaus or Departments noted hereon.	HALF-FRE REQUESTED Superintender Surean of Building Inspection Permit No.
Bureau of Engineering	folding used during construction to be closer than 60° to any wire containing more than 750 volts. See Sec. 385 California Penal Code.	Owner or Owner's Authorized Agent	Issued 1967, 19

DEP

e Management of the control of the c			
Approved:	Approved:	REFER TO:	BEROPERTY CONSERVATION DIVISION
Zone		and the second s	
CPC Setbacks		Bureau of Engineering	3 APPLICATION IT
OL O DOMESTING		Boiler Inspector	ALTHORITY OF THE PARTY OF THE P
	Department of Public Health	Art Commission	Lessen
iot reviewed by the Department of City lanning, issuance of the requested permit constitutes no indication that use of this reperly does or does not conform to the	Approved:	Boiler Inspector Art Commission Dept. of Public Health Dept. of Electricity Redevelopment Agency Parking Authority	ADDITIONS ALTERATION OF REPAIRS
ity Flanning Code.		Approved 2-23 19.7/	Of MORDITAINGO -
Department of City Planning	Department of Electricity	Provided the following conditions are com-	Location 22 Deave
Approved:	Approved:	plied with:	- Contracts Conserved and Application of the Tennes of the
CH V V			1947.025
		Approved - Subject to requirements	7 s. E - 1 - 2-07
	Art Commission	Report of Condition. 3567-60-7	Total Cost \$ 760
	Approved:		1 200 0000
	TO SE PROGRAM SHOW THAT IS		Filed 3/1 " 197 C
			192
Bureau of Fire Prevention & Public Safety	Boiler Inspector		APPROVED:
	Approved:	Any electrical or plumbing	
Approved:		WORK Will require appropriate	MPPMWVEIII
		separate permits.	/A Dept. Public Works [1]
			MAY 7.1971
	Redevelopment Agency	â	0 - 4 6 - 01
40 P		9	Olfred Tolding
7.0	Approved:	-0 10	BUREAU MULCINE MUNCHEST PAR
		De sour of On our elf	OBREAG EDITE ME INT. VELSA JACO
		Building Inspector, Bureau of Building Inspection	Superintendent, Bureau of Building Inspection
Civil Engineer, Bureau of Building Inspection	Parking Authority		
Approved:	Of the Control of the	I agree to comply with all conditions or stip- ulations of the various Bureaus or Departments	150 No. 3519 74
Approved.	No portion of building or structure or scaf-	noted hereon.	d. Sch. 1810 - 11 October and Standard Standard Standard Standard Standard Standard Standard Standard Standard
	folding used during construction to be	X X // // ·	
	closer than 6'0" to any wire containing more	brevalued fet lease	Issued May 3, 1971.
	than 750 volts. See Sec. 385 California Penal Code.	Owner or Owner's Authorized Agent	100464
Bureau of Engineering	women could		
			F10F 115
	in the second se		

VGOD LAINITIO

Owner is responsible for approved plans and application being kept at building site.

MAE

SAN FRANCIS	San Francisco Department of Public \ ke LOT 3 XIII Office: 70 Castro
FICIAL Y	Bureau of Building Inspection 40
O EPARTMENT BUILDING MOPEC	OF INSPECTION REPORT
PY	ADDRESS 22. Beaver St. FILE NO. 3561-60.7
	OWNER: John S. & Imagene B. Gieling DATE INSPECTED 4-20-70
	RESIDES AT: 22- Beaver St PHONE 621-4540 Present B-3 Former 2 nd Per
İ	AGENT: PARKING 0,(1)2, 3 + USE(1) 2, other: 0; Guestrooms 0
į	The following items that are checked require correction in accordance with the San Francisco Housing Code and/or other applicable Codes and Ordinances:
	1. A Repair sidewalk 2. () Remove debris and rubbish 3. A Repair fence; retaining wall 4. Provide weatherproofing 5. Repair defective flue, gutter leader 6. A Repair defective flue, gutter leader 7. A Repair defective wiring 7. A Repair handralis flouride 8. A Repair defective wiring 9. () Remove second dwelling unit 10. () Legalize accessory kitchen 11. () Legalize accessory kitchen 12. () Provide proper egress 13. () Provide proper ceiling height; room area/width 14. A Provide proper light/ventilation 15. Conoracte walk way 15. () Provide required electrical outlets 16. () Remove oversized fuses 17. A Repair defective wiring 18. () Remove oversized fuses 19. () Remove oversized fuses 19. () Remove oversized fuses 19. () Remove oversized fuses 20. () Repair defective wiring 21. () Provide required electrical outlets 22. () Provide required electrical outlets 23. Provide/repair foundation 24. A Repair walls; floors; ceilings 25. A Repair defective roof 26. () Work was done without permit 27. A Suggested improvements 28. Other corrections 29. Conoracte walk way 20. () Remove oversized fuses 20. () Remove oversized fuses 20. () Remove oversized fuses 22. () Provide required electrical outlets 22. () Provide required electrical outlets 22. () Provide/repair foundation 23. A Repair walls; floors; ceilings 24. A Repair walls; floors; ceilings 25. A Repair defective wiring 26. () Work was done without permit 27. A Suggested improvements 28. A Repair defective wiring 29. A Remove oversized fuses 20. () Remove oversized fuses 20. () Remove oversized fuses 20. () Remove oversized fuses 22. () Provide required electrical outlets 22. () Provide required electrical outlets 23. A Provide/repair foundation 24. A Repair walls; floors; ceilings 25. A Repair defective wiring 26. () Work was done without permit 27. A Suggested improvements 28. A Remove oversized fuses 29. () Remove oversized fuses 20. () Remove oversized fuses 20. () Remove oversized fuses 20. () Remove oversized fuses 21. A Provide required fuses 22. () Provid
	5: Furpose flue in contact with wood - Fetend flue on kitchen root. 6: Rear stains & milings - Secure miling for interior stair to 2 of flow. 7: Front stairs - guard rail is lacking top of roar stairs. 8: Under front stairway - also remove form lumber in contact with ground. 14: Enclose under flow area sprovide properly screened wentilation for gas meter & bot air furnace. 17: See affached plumbing report.
E	18:-2: See affached electrical report.
	23: Lower grade or raise toundation along east & west sides & under stairs. 24; Floor surfaces in buthrooms & westercloset are in a state at disrepair or are improperly covered on 19 12 Mars - Provide approved waterproof the Surfaces, walls a certing in upper rear shower room a water closet fack a proper non-absorbert finish. (Shower lacks Troper waterproof pon-)
V.	24: Repair Breken plaster in stairway. 25: At rear east side. 27: Provide a second means of egress from aftic rooms and a smalle heat detector at tap at stairs. — Paint interior of blily. — 28-Water heater is in an unapproved location — Replace Sash Cords throughout please contact the inspector who prepared this report if you have any questions concerning the required work and obtain required permits: B Building Plumbing. Electrical within 30 days. See attached inspection Report 29 Electrical 30 M Plumbing.

SAN FRANCIS	San Francisco Dopartment of Public \ ks Bureau of Building Inspection	Office: 10- Castra
FICIAL TO DE TO	1 1	Telephone: 626-4376
ODEPARTMENT	No. 1	ILY DWELLINGS (Sheet 20 F 2)
S RAITCHUR MANECL	ADDRESS: 22- Beaver St.	FILE NO. 3561-60-7
۱ ۲	OWNER: John S& Imagene B. Gicking	DATE INSPECTED_4-20-76
•	RESIDES AT: 22- Beaver St. PHONE	Present R-3 Former 2nd Res.
	AGENT: PARKING 0, Q, 2, 3	+ USE(1) 2, other: O ; Guestrooms O
į	The following items that are checked require correction in accordance other applicable Codes and Ordinances:	dance with the San Francisco Housing Code and/or
	1. Repair sidewalk 2. () Remove debris and rubbish 3. Repair fence; retaining wall 4. Provide weatherproofing 5. Repair defective flue, gutter leader 6. Repair/replace stairs 7. Provide mendralis 8. Replace damaged wood 9. () Remove second dwelling unit 10. () Legalize added rooms; unit 11. () Legalize accessory kitchen 12. () Provide proper egress 13. () Provide proper ceiling height; room area/width	15. () Provide proper heat 16. () Remove unapproved gas appliance 17. Repair defective plumbing 18. Remove unapproved cord wiring 19. () Remove oversized fuses 20. () Repair defective wiring 21. Provide required electrical outlets 22. () Provide ratproofing 23. Provide/repair foundation 24. Repair walls; floors; ceilings 25. Repair defective roof 26. () Work was done without permit 27. Suggested improvements
	14. Provide proper light/ventilation Location and extent of items checked above:	28. Cher corrections
	#4-5-6-7-8-23-24-25	I W
	This pertains to the garage and at the rear west side of the p The building lacks continues or has deteriorated foundations. Concrete floor in garage area, is Mambers of walls, floors, ceiling	in estate of disrepair.
	and buckling due to detective to Wood Stairs have deteriorated to a as upper Sweet.	naterials or deterioration.
16 6	- Due to general dilapidation &	ld be demolished or acceptable
ġ.		
34 12 14		
S	Please contact the Inspector who prepared this report if you have any quired permits: [X Building [X Plumbing [X Electrical within 30 d	questions conserning the required work and obtain reasys.

SAN FRANCIS	(C O	
FICIAL	San Francisco Department of Public Works Burdau of Building Inspection Property Conservation Division	Once Hours: 8 to 9 AM - 4 to 5 PM Telephone: 626 - 4376
BUILDING IMPPEC	O.F.	INSPECTION REPORT
BUILDING INSPEC	Addres 22 BEAVER ST.	File No. 3561-60-7
~ II I		Electrical Inspector: DURKIN
74	Date of Inspection 4-20-70	Building Inspector: SANGUINETTI
		n accordance with San Francisco Electrical Code, and/or Housing on and do not include violations which may be disclosed as work
	1. Remove all cord wiring 2. Conserve all substandard wiring 3. Conserve all substandard wiring 4. Conserve all substandard wiring 5. Conserve all substandard wiring 6. Conserve all substanda	9. () Install new, approved branch circuits 10. () Install non-tumperable fuses or breakers 11. () Connect fan in approved manner 12. () Ground outlet boxes and/or other equipment 13. () Install approved covers 14. () Other Code violations 15. () Suggested improvements
à	Location and extent of items checked above:	
	ITEM# - FROM UPST	AIRS WORKROOM AND ATTIC
	HALL WAY CEILIN	
	ITEM #4-TO UPSTAIRS	SHOWER-TOILET ROOM AND
	SARAGE, ONE (1).	EBCH
	ITEM#5-SHOWER-TOILET	ROOM; UPSTAIRS BEDROOM; WORK-
		NNEST FLURESCENT FIXTURES:
	- GARAGE.	
	The state of the s	ET ROOM UPSTAIRS GARAGE
	11EM 6- 10 SHOWEK-1014	ET ROOM UPSTAIRS, GARAGE.
1/2		
100		
2		
	A	
6.43		

OFFICIAL CO BUILDING INSPECT	San Francisco Department of Public V Europu of Building Inspection Property Conservation Division	forks	Office: 367	9 AM - 4 10 5 PM Hayes St 5572-26 95
Y			ALL DEDART	
10	226	LUMBING INSPECTI	SOMETHIES, SHOW THE SE	206/at/00 = 1
	Address 22 Benner &		File Report I	No. 3561-60-7 spector: a Sebel
	Inspected on: 4/20	/70	Plumbing In	spector:
	The following items that are checked rec Francisco Housing Code or other applica	uire correction in accordance ble codes and ordinances.	e with the San Fran	ncisco Plumbing Code and/or the San
	PLUMBING			harden als Inles annus ultimbles for
	tures,	a law and the control of the control	100 HORNESTO 1000	leader, air inlet cover, plumbing fix-
	2. Provide waste/vent piping,/f	f.	 .	
a	4. Properly secure, grade, cap wa	ste/sewer pipe lines.) to sewer.		
	 6. Provide sewer trap and fresh a 7. Provide required area drain. 			
	8. Provide trap to serve fixture.			
4	WATER 9. Repair/replace water piping/ 10. Remove cross connection, nor 11. Install approved water pipe se	-conforming piping and cap :	at source.	operly/installed/secured.
	GAS	ACREMIA SERVICE SERVICE		
	12. Remove/replace gas piping/g 13. Provide approved gas control 14. Install gas appliance vent has 15. Install gas appliance vent of a 16. Remove unapproved gas appli 17. Other deficiencies. 18. Suggested improvements.	valve, drips in gas piping ring the required grade to th pproved material, properly s	e flue, size, distance ecured, extended a	from combustible surfaces.
	Location and extent of items checke	ed above:		
	- P1 4	"Cartino stac	to east sie	6 - Replace delection
	castino jai	oins.	T. H. S.	
Š	.FI	Vaste basin 2	nd floor !	allroom- Replace
	defective I	2100	U	
9	P2	Sink and	Gov- P	will ned install
	a next to	extend alone	the west	
	P3	Kenin and	Min. a.	Abeliana Prile
	11.7	B + 4	211	al +1
	Ps and ensure	a see se	sycus	axore su sor
	13	orog leaders	4 - 12	wperly emuerall
	- Not flesa	20 14 JULY 12	ever po	offerly 1 a DD
	FIR	yas range -	- Orov	ask and properly
4 .	P12 an appr	med sent.	,,	p 1 1
	117	Jestol mand	denices	- 1 atraille auch

The items of correction listed herein are those which were observed, and does not include violations which may be concealed and become evident when work is begun.

water in a line of the second and the

Please contact the inspector who prepared this report if you have any questions concerning the required work. NOTE: Installation and repair is to be done in a workmanlike manner under permit. Permits required: Plumbing Building.

PLUMBING

LEGIMPHIAG

SAN FRANC	1500	
日 1/1 日	()	
FICIAL OF S	San Francisco Department of Public Works Bureau of Building Inspection	Office Hours: 8 to 9 AM - 4 to 5 PM Office: 369 Kaylo St
기교론 및 경기 등 있는 것 같아 얼마 없는데 하다 없네 다	Property Conservation Division	7
S BUILDING INSE	ECHON	Telephone: 558-2695
Υ	BITIADINA	INSPECTION REPORT
1	22 R2 1+	File Report No. 356 /- 60-7
-	4/20/20	- 1,000
į	Inspected on: //20//0	Plumbing Inspector:
ĺ	The following items that are checked require correction Francisco Housing Code or other applicable codes and	n in accordance with the San Francisco Plumbing Code and/or the San ordinances.
	PLUMBING	
	tures.	g, sewer piping, vent piping, roof leader, air inlet cover, plumbing fix-
	2. Provide waste/vent piping,/fittings of proj	per size, material.
be	4. Properly secure, grade, cap waste/sewer pipe 5. Properly connect roof leader(s) to sewer.	e lines.
	6. Provide sower trap and fresh air inlet 7. Provide required area drain.	
11.87%	8. Provide trap to serve fixture.	
	WATER	
	10. Remove cross connection, non-conforming p	to be defective, undersized, improperly/installed/secured.
	11. Install approved water pipe service valve, fi	ttings, air chambers.
	GAS	
	13. Provide approved gas control valve, drips in	nd to be defective, of improper material, undersize. n gas piping
		red grade to the flue, size, distance from combustible surfaces.
		and cap line at source.
	18. Suggested improvements.	
	Location and extent of items checked above:	
	P9 Basin	estflow both soon and 2nd flow
	front fedroom.	- Freik and instell for
	_ water-	
	Ply Sas me	sing east sich - Remove goo
	laciona motini	use and expoll at the welly
ge.	PIT Haslin	to be of grad liver - Remove as
	mining a . I Come	2/50.
	P17 Bosin	The sent will william -
	- Remove	Promise Service I for
	Dis July	2. t. pl. + X
	P17 Wester	-+ 1 0. + +
	Trester from Cl	and relocate to an opproved
	- weating and p	uperly install. Show do and
	justall orselief	vole,
	V	No.

The items of correction listed herein are those which were observed, and does not include violations which may be concealed and become evident when work is begun.

abdulate white whiteher is the bearing

Please contact the inspector who prepared this report if you have any questions concerning the required work, NOTE; Installation and repair is to be done in a workmanlike manner under permit. Permits required: Plumbing Building.

PLUMBING

SAN FRANCI	S C O . FOR DEPARTMENTAL USE ONLY	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS	BLDG. FORM
S DEPARTMENT BUILDING INSPE	T OF	APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS	ယ
P	Dept. Public Works 110V /7 1972 (Ufuel Studies Discours) DATE FILED PLANT NO. PLANT NO. 410944 PLANT NO. 115SUED	APPLICATION IS HEREBY MADE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR PERMISSION TO BUILD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS SUBMITTED HEREWITH AND ACCORDING TO THE DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE PURPOSE MEREINAFTER SET FORTH: (1) STREET ADDRESS OF JOB: 2 2 3 EAYER 5 T.	41.7944
	(AA) TYPE OF CONSTR. 1-5r D N E ISAI NUMBER CONSTRUCTION ASS	ON OF EXISTING BUILDING MEET OF COMPANSEENT USE. ISAN BUILD, COOK ITAN AMENTS ITAN OCCUP. CLASS. ITAN	HO. OF
	DESCRIPTION OF BUIL 14) TYPE OF CONSTR. I.A.F L.I. N. OR. ISIN DIMERS OF STORES OF ST	TILL DOES THIS ALTERATION FIL CHARLA MOREONIA FIL STATES ON THE ALTERATION FIL CHARLA MOREONIA ADDRESS ADDRESS ADDRESS ADDRESS ADDRESS FIL CHARLA MOREONIA FIL CHARLA M	74 O
	IMPORTANT NOTICES No change sholl be made in the character of the occupancy or use wiferst ablaining a Satisfing Permit authorizing such change. See Sec. 103, 1 104.6, 11, 104.0, 202, 502.1, San Francisco Building Code and Soc. 104, Francisco Building Code and Soc. 104, Francisco Building or structure or scaffolding used during construit to be closer than 6'0" to any wire contacting more than 750 volts, Sae 385, California Panal Code, Pursuant to Sec. 302.A.8, San Francisco Building Code, the building a shall be posted on the job, the chear it suspossible for approved plant of the posted of the posted on the posted on the posted on the job, the chear it suspossible for approved plant of the content of	OAB, SON STRUCTION DESCRIBED IN THIS APPLICATION, ALL THE PROVIS PERMIT AND ALL LAWS AND ORDINANCES THERETO WILL BE CONTROL SITE OF THE ABOVE WORK SITE OF THE ABOVE WHICH PROM USE OR OCCUPANCY OF THE SIDEWALK, STREET OR SITE OF THE ABOVE WORK SITE OF THE ABOVE WORK OF SAID PROPERTY, THE APPLICANT, THERE HEIRS AND ASSIGNMENS. TION THE ABOVE SIGNMENS OF SAID PROPERTY, THE APPLICANT, THERE HEIRS AND ASSIGNMENS. THE OWNER OF SAID PROPERTY, THE APPLICANT, THERE HEIRS AND ASSIGNMENS. THE OWNER ABOVE SITE OF THE ABOVE WORK SIGNMENS OF THE ABOVE WORK SIGNMENS. THE OWNER ABOVE SITE OF THE ABOVE SITE OF THE ABOVE SIGNMENS. THE OWNER ABOVE SITE OF THE	IONS OF THE MAPLIED WITH, 1 SHALL NOT F CALIFORNIA LALS AND EM- MAY ACCRUE SUB-SIDEWALK WORK INCLUD-

IAN FRANC	15 C O	CONDITIONS AND STIPULATIONS	
T) T	NT OF	APPROVED Comply with Tile \$3561-60-7	DATE:REASON:
บ้านก็เคียง ใหร่คา	ECTIO	Electrical & Plansling work require a separate permit	NOTIFIED MR.
		APPROVED: Not reviewed by the Department of City Planning, Issuance of the requested permit constitutes no Indication that use of this quoperty does or does not conform to the City Manning Code.	DATE:REASON:
		APPROVED.	DATE:
			REASON
À		BUREAU OF FIRE PREVENTION & PUBLIC SAFETY	NOTIFIED MR.
		APPROVED:	DATE:REASON:
		CIVIL ENGINEER, BUR, OF BIDG, INSPECTION	NOTIFIED MR.
ų į		APPROVED:	DATE:REASON:
		BUREAU OF ENGINEERING	NOTIFIED MR.
		APPROVED: DEFARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH	DATE:
7		APPROVED:	DATE:
		APPROVED:	DATE:
	Ċ	APPROVED:	DATE:

CW FEE

12.00				jū Dagas ar parties programa ir p Dagas ar parties programa ir par					ì	Ē.	7.4
इव व	•			FOCT. 0	Ma Talenta T alenta					APP. NC.	,7
	cese	E John	Gielin	2 _ 2	2 86	eave	r St.		410	944	
200	-			•	•	1		BLOCK NO.		HOUSE NO.	
ESTAULTES S	2251			FEE S				DATE APPLICATIO			-100VA
300	S.E.	~	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		18-01	AMIT NO.				-24-72	2
Market Company		_Novem	ber 17	19 72			3	INEK!			
EREC	T ALTE	7.7.2 NO - 20 M/S	TURE - T	THE MARKETON	STOR	1ES -	FAMIL	IES - PL	ANS - C	CCUPAN	1CY
	Sac.	also	4212	02			•		'a 'A ' A		
corruer	THE RESIDENCE PROPERTY.				ΑĒ	DRESS					
ARCH TEC		W. C.	#7		A	DRESS		311 -11-11			
ENCINEER					A	DORESS					-
-0	- ens, utese			EPARTNE		BLIC WO					
23- 1 56				Y AND COL	NIA CL	Jan FRA	DE12CO				

Imogene & John Gieling 22 Beaver Street 410944

SAN ERANCISCO	BUILDING INSPECTORS JOB RECORD
DEPARTMENT	WORK COMMENCED PRIOR
S RAITDING INCRECTION	FOUNDATION FORMS INSPECTED. O.K. TO POUR
8 T 2	LATHING PERMISSION TAG POSTED
2	FLUES BYNO
, e	EXTERIOR OR STRUCTURAL PLASTERING OK
A -	ALL SPECIAL INSPECTION REPORTS RECEIVED.
1 /	FIRE ESCAPE INSTALLED PER APPROVED PLAN.
9/17/75	anxit dirsin of substantiel
	Este "irrut N: FACE ban,
K 14 75	antelen from worter for
	Froutened Job and Tother P
•	
11 22/76	LORK CONTINUED UNDER
	APPL# 498800 1721202

1	
4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1 1	
	WORK COMPLETED FINAL CERTIFICATE POSTED.
4109	UU Reginela Phellin
7,07	Heginala-PSelly BUILDING INSPECTOR 1-1278

Date of This Letter: October 5, 1972 Last Date for Filing Appeal: October 16, 1972

Mr. Albert Lanler 22 Beaver Stroet Han Francisco, California

Ro: V772,43 22 Beaver Street, Lot 60 in Assessor's Block 3561 in an R-3 (Medium Dansity Multiple Residential) district.

Dear Mr. Lanier:

This is to notify you and other interested parties that your application under the City Planning Code for a variance pertaining to the above property and described as follows:

REAR YARD VARIANCE SOUGHT: The proposal is to convert the carriage house at the rear of the lot to a dwellin unit where a 2-foot rear yard presently exists when the City Planning Code requires a 20-foot rear yard when no expansion of the building is involved,

which application was considered by the Zoning Administrator at a public hearing on September 22, 1972, has been decided as follows:

GRANTED, to convert an existing carriage house to a dwelling unit in general conformity with plans on file with this application marked Exhibit "A".

FINDINGS OF FACT I.

- 1. The subject lot is a rectangular parcel having a 50-foot frontage on Beaver Street and a depth of 115 feet for a total area of 5,750 square feet.
- ?. Subject property is located in an R-3 (Low-Medium Density Multiple Residential) district developed primarily with one and two family dwellings.
- 3. Occupying the subject lot is a two-story, one-family dwelling, and an attached garage and carriage house extending to within two feet of the rear property line.

's, Albert Lanier -

October 5, 1972

- 4. The proposal is to convert the carriage house to a dwelling unit.
 Improvements would include the repair and restoration of the attached garage.
- Subject lot is a double lot and could be developed with seven dwelling units under R-3 zoning standards of one dwelling unit for each 800 square feet of lot area.
- The development on the subject property results in a lesser amount of lot overage than is normal in this area, less than fifty percent, in comparison to the 65% allowed under R-3 zoning standards. An existing driveway on the orth side of subject property constitutes a sizable portion of usable open space, having dimensions of 16 feet by 78 feet and area of 1246 square feet. In addition approximately 2000 square feet of area is presently occupied by a flower garden and shrubbery.
- 7. Said carriage house was formerly a dwelling unit but is presently in disuse and disrepair.
- 5. The proposal would not increase lot coverage nor extend further into usable open space.
- There is a pattern of similar second dwelling units occupying carriage houses or other structures in the rear of properties on the same block and in neighboring blocks.
- 10. The subject building was constructed circa 1882 in Italianate style and has an unusual window treatment in that some are framed in Tudor-like srches with delicate filigree ornamanets while others are surmounted by pediments on consoles.
- II. Applicant maintains that repair of the existing carriage house is essential to completion of the historical restoration of this property but that the expense of doing so would be a financial hardship unless a rental income could be realized.
- 12. No opposition to said proposal has been registered with the City Planning Department.



VI. CONGLUSIONS BASED UPON THESE FINDINGS

The Charter and Section 305 (c) of the Planning Code specify five requirements "Met must all be met if a variance is to be granted, and the Charter and Code also entity that this variance decision must set forth the findings upon which these to be, or not to be, met in each case. The five remembers, therefore, are listed below and, on the basis of the findings herein er forth, they are deemed to be, or to be, met in this case as indicated.

That there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances applying to property involved or to the intended use of the property that do not apply the same class of district:

REQUIREMENT MET, Because subject lot is a double with fifty (50) feet of street frontage; and, because subject building was built circa 1882 and rapair of the existing carriage house is essential to complete the bistorical restoration currently being undertaken; and because the large wount of usable open space on either side of the subject building edowes the subject property with a far greater ammount of open area than the case with other properties in the R-3 district.

That owing to such exceptional circumstances the literal enforcement That owing to such exceptional circumstances the fractical difficulty The provinces of the order attributable to the applicant or the wase " " troperty.

TELEMENT MET, Because the literal enforcement of provisions of the City *. Tring Code would result in denying the applicant a second dwelling where the existing zoning permits seven dwelling units; and, because " iteral enforcement of the Planning Code would result in making the * on of historic restoration of an old San Francisco home infeasible.

That such variance is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment set such variance is necessary for the property, possessed by other property the the same . ess of district:

the first war, Because as shown in the Findings of Fact because the 21 8 106 Fining would permit a total of seven dwelling units on the subject erause there is a pattern of similar second dwelling units the rearyards of neighboring properties.



c. Albert Lanier

- 4 -

October 5, 1972

tenuirement 4. That the granting of such variance will not be materially letrimental to the public welfare or materially injurious to the property or improvements in the vicinity:

REQUIREMENT MET, Because the historic restoration of the subject buildings would constitute a substantial aesthetic improvement and would maintain the architectural character of an earlier era.

Emirement 5. That the granting of such variance will be in harmony with the Caral purpose and intent of the City Planning Code and will not adversely affect to Master Plan:

REQUIREMENT MET, Because the large amount of open space existing on the subject property is sufficient to meet the intent and purpose of the Planning Code; and, because the R-3 zoning standards would permit a much greater density then is proposed and development at this lesser density would not adversely affect the Master Plan.

This decision will become effective if no appeal from this decision has been filed as provided in Section 308.2 of the City Planning Code on or before the last date for filing as noted above.

Sincerely yours,

R. Spencer Steele

Zoning Administrator

Jaxuan ?

her in Ciles

FOR DEPARTMENTAL USE ONLY	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
	APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT
ODERARTMENT DE	ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS
BUILDING INSPECTION Pulse there [1]	APPLICATION HADE HADE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
12 - 12 1 1 1 1 1 2 mg	OF SAN FRANCISCO POR PROPERTY OF THE DESIGNATION WITH
The superior designation of the superior of th	THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS SERMITTED HEREWITH AND ACCORDING
-	TO THE DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE PURPOSE HEREINAFTER SET FORMET STATES OF JOS.
DATE HUD 85 1973	
A 57 . 25 1973 / 5 75 9	22 Beaver St
38/573 SEP 81 1973	ON OF EXISTING BUILDING
(4A) TIPE OF CONSTR. I-br (1 N M (SA) NUMBER (6A) NUMBER (6A) NO STORYES (1 AA)	MASE OF 17A) PRESENT USE: NO PE CALL BLOG. CODE DECLARS O CELLARS O CELLARS O DOCCUP. CLASS. I DWG. UNITS
DESCRIPTION OF BUI	ILDING AFTER PROPOSED ALTERATION
10 2 0 3 0 4 0 5 % OCCUPANCY: 2 AND	CELARS O DWELLING
CREATE ADDITIONAL NEW HEIGHT AT STORY TO SUILDING? NO XI CENTER LINE OF FRONT (14) WILL SIDEWALK OVER YES D (15) WILL SUILDING	FT EXTENSION TO BUILDING? NO X FLOOR AREA: SQ. FT.
REPAIRED OR ALTERED? NO XI PROPERTY LINE?	NO X ORACTERED NO X CONSTRUCTION NO X TES CI CITI SECTRICAL YES X (22) PLUMBING WORK TO SE
ON FLOT FLAN) NO () OF OCCUPANCY?	NO E PERFORMENT NO E PERFORMENT NO E LICENSE NO.
	ADDRESS CALIF, CENTIFICATE NO.
LANIER & SHERRILL -	ADDRESS CAUE CENTIFICATE NO.
54 M = 136) CONSTRUCTION LENDER (INTER MAKE AND BRANCH DESIGNATION IF AN IF THERE 15 NO KNOWN CONSTRUCTION LENDER, ENTER "UNKNOWN".	47. 4008ESS
MR. & MRS. JOHN GEILING -	22 BEAVER ST 621-4540
(16) WAITE IN DESCRIPTION OF ALL WORK TO BE PERFORMED UNDER THIS A	UFLICATION (EFFERENCE TO FLANS IS NOT 30/HICENTI)
Rehabilitate existing co	arrigge house -install new
foundations, sleets, roc	of, re-plumb, re-wire and
bring up to code I	nstall 2nd means of egress
and completely re-A	wish in troips of carriage
house Paint exter	TOT completely.
ķ — ***	
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
IMPORTANT NOTICES No change shall be made in the character of the occupancy or use	APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION
first obtaining a Building Fermit authorizing such change. See Sec. 103, 104.6.1, 104.C. 502, 502.1, Sen Francisca Building Code and Sec. 10	THE THE CENTIFY AND AGREE (HAT IF A PERMIT IS ISSUED FOR THE CON-
Francisco Hovaing Code. No portion of bibliology or structure or statisticing used during constitute to be closer than 5'0" to any wire containing more than 750 valts. So 185, California Fenal Code.	I CERTIFY THAT IN THE PERFORMANCE OF THE ABOVE WORK I SHALL NOT
Partition to Sec. 302.A.B. San Francisco Building Code, the building shall be parted on the job. The owner is responsible for approved old	I FURTHER AGREE TO SAVE SAN FRANCISCO AND ITS OFFICIALS AND EM-
upplication being kept at heliding site. Geode, lines as shown an drawings accompanying this applicates are a to be extract, it actual grade lines are not the same as shown revised di	SPACE OR FROM ANYTHING ELSE IN CONNECTION WITH THE WORK INCLUD-
throwing correct grade lises, cuts and little tagether with complete det resolving walls and wall lastings required must be submitted to this bust approved.	mile of ED IN THE FERMIT, THE FORESCEING COVENANT SHALL BE BINDING UPON FOR FEM IN THE PROPERTY, THE APPLICANT, THEIR HEIRS, SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNEES.
ANY STIPULATION REQUIRED HEREIN OR BY CODE MAY BE APPEALE BUILDING NOT TO SE OCCUPIED UNTIL CERTIFICATE OF FINAL COMP IS POSTED ON THE BUILDING OR FERMIT OF OCCUPANCY GRANTED.	ED. O 2 2 4 1.
REQUIRED. APPROVAL OF THIS APPLICATION DOES NOT CONSTITU APPROVAL FOR THE ILECTRICAL WIRING OR PLUMBING INSTALLATIC SEPARATE PERMIT, FOR THE WIRING AND PLUMBING MUST BE OBT	TE AN SIGNATURE OF OWNER OR AUTHORIZED AGENT
SEPARATE PERMITS ARE REQUIRED IF ANSWER IS "YES" TO ANY OF QUESTIONS (15) (16) (17) (20) (21) or (22). THIS IS NOT A BUILDING PERMIT, NO WORK SHALL BE STARTED UT	ABOVE CHECK APPROPRIATE SOX:
BUILDING PERMIT IS ISSUED. In dwellings all involating materials must have a degrance of not less th	C LESSEE AGENT WITH POWER OF ATTORNEY
inches from all electrical wires or equipment.	CONTRACTOR ATTORNEY IN FACT

· · · r_

w w

CONDITIONS AND STIPULATIONS	
DEPARATE INDIVIDUAL 5-24-73 BUILDINGOIND PECTION Comply with FIRE Report Fle 354/607 See HAP 410544 SEE Per consider plans	DATE 5.27-7.3 REASON: Re-windows out-reser property line. see plan.
APPROVED: 12-3 PROJECT IN A CATECORY E. P. COMM. FOUND PROJECT IN A CATECORY	NOTIFIED MR. HI. Colo. John Meliner. DATE: REASON:
MAY 3 0 1973 DEPARTMENT OF CITY FLANING APPROVED:	NOTHED MR. DATE: REASON:
Comply with approved plans 6/4/23 BUREAU OF FREE PSEVENTION & PUBLIC SAFETY APPROVED:	NOTIFIED MR: NOTIF
The Van Lois 7/10/23 CIVIL ENGINEER, BUR OF BLDG, INSPECTION	NOTIFIED MR. LAMENTE
BUSEAU OF ENGINEERING APPROVED:	NOTIFIED MR. DATE: REASON: NOTIFIED MR. DATE: REASON: NOTIFIED MR. DATE: DATE: REASON: NOTIFIED MR. DATE: OCC.
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH APPROVED:	REASON: NOTIFIED MR. DATE: REASON: REASON:
APPROVED.	DATE: REASON: NOTIFIED MR.
1 AGREE TO COMPLY WITH ALL CONDITIONS OR STIPULATIONS OF THE VARIOUS BUREAUS OR DEPARTMENTS NOTED ON THE MATERIAL APPLICATION, AND ATTACHED STATEMENTS OF CONDITIONS OR STIPULATIONS, WHICH ARE REFERST MADE	DATE: REASON: NOTIFIED MR.

- -

**

. .

SIGNATURE OF OWNER, LESSEE OR AUTHORIZED AGENT FOR OWNER OR LESSEE



OWNER OR LESSEE

John Geiling

J E		22 Beaver St.		421202	
RESS	11		BLOCK - LOT	HOUSE N	٥.
		and the second s	DATE	\$/25/7	3
. :273	PERMIT	38/513 X	2 INSPECTOR	etti)	<i>i</i>
SLDG.	0 V	OCCUPANCY /	N STANIS	NUMBER	≀ OF
TYPE	CODE	DESCRIPTION		STORIES	FAM
51	I	Derg	2	2	1
4		ADDRESS	1765		
Sharet	2	ADDRESS	**********		
TRA	m de	A THE MAPORESS	10 TAGE - 0 F 9		
	BLDG. TYPE	BLDG. TYPE CCOE	PERMIT NO. 38/5/3 BLDG. OCCUPANCY TYPE CODE DESCRIPTION ADDRESS ADDRESS	DATE APPLICATION PERMIT NO. SLDG. OCCUPANCY PLANS TYPE CODE DESCRIPTION ADDRESS ADDRESS ADDRESS	DATE APPLICATION PERMIT NO. SINSPECTOR DISTRICT TO NUMBER TYPE CODE DESCRIPTION ADDRESS ADDRESS

22 Beaver St.

DATE DATE	BUILDING INSPECTORS JOB RECORD
O DEPART MENT OF	WORK COMMENCED Per parch replaced pains
¥ .//	FOUNDATION FORMS INSPECTED. O.K. TO POUR 410944
11	LATHING PERMISSION TAG POSTED
11	FLUES BYNO
1.1	EXTERIOR OR STRUCTURAL PLASTERING OK
11	ALL SPECIAL INSPECTION REPORTS RECEIVED.
1 1	FIRE ESCAPE INSTALLED PER APPROVED PLAN.
12/26/3	Job awaiting funding.
1/20/4	**************************************
2/6/4	Job transferred to R. Williams
4 /19/74	MIST WOWNERS AND BILL ONELL
11	AT JOB - SOME PROGRESS BEING MADE
4 50174	GRANTED GMENTH MORATORICEM - B.W
10 125175	GENTED 4 MONTH MORNING-130
2 14 175	LOR CORD TO GEORGE GRARY
1 1	WORK TO CONTINE ON
11	Appl 456016
1/	
//	
	WORK COMPLETED. FINAL CERTIFICATE POSTED.

APPLICATION #21202

Regentla Phelby
BUILDING INSPECTOR 1-12-78

FICIAL	FOR DEPARTMEN A N C 1 2 C O AMPOSED SC ST ANCE I N D D D D T M E NOT 92 Report Pub	OVEN	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS	BLDG: 3
Å BAITDIW	SINSPECTION SEP	2 2 1976 1	APPLICATION IS HERELY MADE TO THE OPPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORDS OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR PERMISSION TO BUILD IN ACCORDANCE WITH. THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS SUBMITTED HEREWITH AND ACCORDING TO THE DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE PURPOSE HEREINAPTER SET FORTH-	AUS 6916
	CATE FILED	103004	+22 Beach St.	
	HEAD 10 457	SEP 221976	OI ESTUNGED COST OF 108.	
	2/00730	+ · · · DESCRIPTIO	ON OF EXISTING BUILDING	
	I D SE A	1 5 X 1 OF OCCUPANCY 31 AND	CHIAME OF MON CENTRAL	NO OF JUNITS
	AT TYPE OF CONSTR. YAY LE	N NATUS NUMBER OF USAS NUM	DING AFTER PROPOSED ALTERATION BERGE OF THE PROPOSED USES SHIP OCCUP, CLASS. I DIV	va. op gynnys
	10A; DOES THIS ALTERATION CREATE ADDITIONAL	YES 1. (10) IF YES, STATE NO TO CENTER LINE OF SKONT:	ELLARS DIDELLING TO THE ATTENDED TO THE STATE OF THE ATTENDED TO THE STATE OF THE ATTENDED TO THE STATE OF TH	SQ. FI.
	ENG. WILL SIDEWALK OVER BUB-SIDEWALK SPACE BE	YES 1.1 (35) WITE BUILDING EXTEND BEYOND PROPERTY LINES	YES C. JIA, IS ADTO DISWAY THE C. JIT, WILL STREET SPACE TO SEE USED DORSING HO TO OR ATTEMPT NO SY CONSTRICTIONS:	965 D NO 82
	19. ANY OTHER EXISTING SIDG.	YES 2K (20) DDES THIS ALTERATION CONSTITUTE A CHARGE OF OCCUPANCY?	YAS I (21) ELECTRICAL YES A (22) FLUMBING WORK TO SE NO (2) PERSONNEDS NO (2) PERSONNEDS	NO E
		TED	DBESS CAUS, LICENSE NO.	
	ANTICATION OF ENGINEER (FOR LANTIES)	E SHERRICE	CALIF CERTIFICATE N CAUS CERTIFICATE N CAUS CERTIFICATE N CAUS CERTIFICATE N CAUS CERTIFICATE N	\$1
	ニュハムス	A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH		
	MREMR	HAVE AND BRANCH DESIGNATION IF AN	NG 22 BEAVED 621	4540
	1977 OWNER - LESSEE (CROSS OUT		IOREIS PHONE (FOR CONTACT PLICATION (ROBEINGS TO PLANS IS NOT SUFFICIENT):	CI BE BUREAU)
	REHABILI FOUNDATIO AND BRU OF EGRE STRUCT L	NS, SLAB NG UP TO G SS FROM M PRALLY SOU	ROOF, RE-PLUMB, RE-V LODE : INSTALL 2 NO ME AIN HOUSE : NAKE ALL NO AND COMPLETELY RE	VSTALW NIRE ANS
1	PAINT	- INTERIOR -	OF CARTIAGE HOUSE!	
				9
7	.FILE35	61-60-1		
i	· Note B	LYPRY PAR LARI	ANCE C. NONAD 18/5/12 # VZ72	443
	IMPOR	TANY NOTICES	APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION	
	first obtaining a Building Permit a 104.8-1, 104.C. 502, 502.1, San	shorester of the occupancy or use wi athorbing such change. See Sec. 103, 1 Francisco Building Code and Sec. 104,	GAS. STRUCTION DESCRIBED IN THIS APPLICATION, ALL THE PROVIS	IONS OF THE
	Frencisco Hauting Code. No portion of building or street	ure or scalfolding used during construc- to containing more than 750 volts. See	I CERTIFY THAT IN THE PERFORMANCE OF THE ABOVE WORK	I SHALL NOT
4	385, California Penul Code. Furtuent to Sec. 202 A.B. Sen Fr	reneises Rooding Code, the Spilding p	RELATING TO WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION INSURANCE	0.014
	application being kept at building a Grade lines of shawn on departed	ite. 5 accempanying this application are assu- are not the same as them; respect drain	PROVEDS HARMESS FROM ALL COSTS AND DAMAGES WHICH PROVEDS OR OCCUPANCY OF THE SIDEWALK STREET OR S	MAY ACCRUE
	showing covere grade lines, cub-	and fills together with complete detail regard must be submitted to this bureau	S OF . BUT IN THE PERMIT. THE POREGOING COVENANT SHALL BE BUT	NOING UPON
I	ANY STIPULATION REQUIRED HER RUNDING NOT TO BE OCCUPIED IN THE RUNDING OF	EIN OR BY CODE MAY BE APPEALED. UNTIL CERTIFICATE OF FINAL COMPLET PERMIT OF OCCUPANCY GRANTED, W	101 X - one TB Stul	
1	REQUIRED: APPROVAL OF THIS APPROVAL FOR THE ELECTRICAL SEPARATE PERMIT FOR THE WIRL	APPLICATION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE WIRING OR PLUMBING INSTALLATION ING. AND PLUMBING MUST BE OBTAIN	AN SENATURE OF DEVICE OR AUTHORIZED AGENT	2/
#	QUESTIONS (15) (16) :17, (20) (21)	IF ANSWER IS "YES" TO ANY OF A	OVE CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX.	
1	BUILDING PERMIT IS ISSUED; In dwellings all involving materials	s must have a Contante of righ less than	I LESSEE AGENT WITH POWER OF ATTORNEY	
į	inches from all electrical affins or eq	Olyment.	[] CONTRACTOR [] ATTOXAGY IN FACT	

AMFRAME		
EPARTMEN	Approved - Subject to requirements Approved - Subject to requirements Isted in Property Conservation	DATE REASON.
	work will require appropriate separate permits.	938 F NOTIFIED MR.
M	APPROVED. Approved in a scood with Variance 4272.43	DATE: REASON:
	APPROVED:	NOTIFIED AR.
		REASON
	BUREAU OF FIRE PREVENTION & PUBLIC SAFETY APPROVED:	NOTIFIED MR.
ď		REASON PLANS
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	APPROVED:	NOTIFIED MR. SHEEL
П-X-		REASON:
ŋ	EUNEAU OF INGINEERING APPROVEDS	DATE:
 	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH APPROVEDS	DATE.
П	#ELEVILOPMENT AGENCY APPROVED	DATE:REASON:
	APPROVED:	DATE REASON: NOTIFIED MR:
	AGREE TO COMPLE WITH ALL CONDITIONS OR STIPULATIONS OF THE VARIOUS BURIAUS OR DEPARTMENTS INDIED ON HITS APPLICATION, AND ATTACHED STRUMENTS OF CONDITIONS OR STIPULATIONS, WHAT ARE HEREST PADE A PART OF THIS APPLICATION NUMBER OF ATTACHPENTS. 3	



ATHER OR LESSEE	Inc		102 LOCATION 22 Server St.		APF. NO.	
John Gig!	DRESS		TE SORVEL SE.	BLOCK - LOT	HOUSE N	
TIMATED COST				DATE	7-20-76	
RANT ISSUED		PERMIT	k50	INSPECTOR &	Canana	
9-22-76	BLCC.	T	OCCUPANCY	T-Ma	G56666	R Of
ERECT/ALTER	TYPE	CODE	DESCRIPTION	PLANS	STORIES	FAM.
SITUR ONTRACTOR	5N_] &	carriage hou	30 2		2
				DEPARTM CITY AND CO	ILDING RECORD ENT OF PUBLIC DUNITY OF SAN F	WORKS FANCIS
			JOB LOCATION		APP. NO	_
John Sie	ing	· 0	22 Beaver/	26.	45601	D .
	IIne				45001	

57	L DATE)	BUILDING INSPECTORS JOB RECORD
1	1/22/70	WORK COMMENCED
BL	JEDING INSPECT OF	FOUNDATION FORMS INSPECTED. O.K. TO POUR
Mary and	11	LATHING PERMISSION TAG POSTED
	11	FLUES BY NO.
•	111	EXTERIOR OR STRUCTURAL PLASTERING OK
-	11	ALL SPECIAL INSPECTION REPORTS RECEIVED.
7	11	FIRE ESCAPE INSTALLED PER APPROVED PLAN.
- 17 19 1	10/22/76	GRADING FOR FOUNDMITTERS
3	12123126	forms INSTALLED OF TO PORK
	THE PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY OF	OK FO INSTALL CENCLETE
1 ST	11	JOB-BURG FUB
11.	4112177	ROWLE FROMING COMPLETATE
	11	
1 (1/3/11)	10118177	WORKCONTINUING ON
五		Appl. # 7709041
40	1 i	
73	1 /	
	11	
7 27	/ /	7
1	1/	
		WORK-COMPLETED THAT CONTINUES POSTED.
	456	016 Regenald Phelby

150 500	and the standing of the scale of the state of the		7.9			
S A I						
315 ()	1	see to be the time to be a substitute of	70.00			
	TAGELAT OF	Y AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS	9.00			
BOLLDIT	A PROVEN	APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT	d			
	UU CED 92 1976	BOITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS	56			
•	Michigan Sold Die on sen	PRANCISCO FOR PERHISSION TO BURLD IN ACCOMPANCE WIRELS NS AND SPECIFICATIONS SUBMITTED HEREWITH AND ACCOMPANIO				
	V 3.0/ 4	DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE PURPOSE HEREINAFIER SET FORES.	į į			
	7-21-76 103004 + 2	2 Beaven It.	•			
	406450 SEP 221976 + 4	24 500 \$35000 BL				
	ESCRIPTION OF SECURITION OF SE	O DWELLING COMMENT	4			
	DESCRIPTION OF SURDING A DESCRIPTION OF SURDIN	FER PROPOSED ALTERATION O DOVELLING OCCUP. CAUS. I SHOULD SEE TO SHOULD	5			
	THEM COESTINES AUTOMATION THE DEPOSIT OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE METERS AND THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE ADMINI	(IAA) DOES THIS ASTERATION YES I (3) IF YES STATE CREATE A HORSECULIAL HO SE ALDER ASEA SCIENSION TO BULLDENGT HO SE ALDER ASEA SE I (1) A HORSE ASEA SE I	T.			
	STANDED OF WILLIAM HO ST MICHAEL THELE HO ST	TO BE CONCREDED NO SELECTIONS. NO SE	文 八			
	CENT CONFERCIENT ADDRESS ADDRE	CALIF. LICENSE NO.	- 1			
		60 MISSION ST. C-3031				
	THE CONSTRUCTION ISSUES SHIPS HAVE AND SEARCH DESIGNATION IS AND SEARCH TO SEARCH THE THEORY OF THE	- 22 BEAVED 621-95"				
	DE PARE IN DECEMBER OF ALL WORK TO IN NEW ORMER MICH. HIS THAT CHOS	- 1 - 0.7				
	PEHABILITATE EXISTING	G CARRIAGE HOUSE - INST OF RE-PLUMB, RE-WIR	200			
	AND BRING UP TO COL	DE . INSTALL IND MEAN				
	STRUCTURALLY SOUND	AND COMPLETELY RE-				
	PAINT EXTERIOR	CARRIAGE HOUSE.				
	FILE # 3561- 60-7					
			4			
	NOTE: RETTRY ARE WARIAND	6 CAPARD-18/5/12 # VZTR43				
	IMPORTANT NOTICES No change shell be mode in the character of the occupancy or use without	APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION	4			
	No through their be made in the character of the occupancy or use without feat obtaining a fladding Permit multiprizing such change. See Sec. 103, 164 8, 104.8.1, 104.8.5, 502, 502.1, Sor Francisco Building Code and Sec. 104, Son Code of	I HENRY CHAIRY AND AGREE THAT IF A PRINT IS ISSUED FOR THE STRUCTION DESCRISED IN THIS APPLICATION, ALL THE PROVISIONS OF FEMILY AND ALL LAWS AND OBSESSANCES THERESO WITH SE COMPLESS I I CHIEFLY THAT IN THE PERFORMANCE OF THE ABOVE WORK I SHALL	WIDE			
	The the chair films of U is any ware collecting more from 750 with, See Sec. 1985, Collinsian Freed Code. Personnel to Sec. 302.A.8, San Francisco Building Code, the building permit lated the printed per the job. The immer is requested for egyptored place and	EMPLOY ANY PERSON IN VIGLATION OF THE DASOR CODE OF CARROL RELATIONS TO WORKMEN'S COMPRISATION DISTRIBUTE. I FURTHER AGREE TO SAVE SAN PRANCISCO AND ITS OPERCURE AND	MINEA 			
	epplication being kept at building site	PLOYEES HARMESS FROM ALL COSTS AND DANAGES WHICH MAY AC	CRIE			

common streament as attending a consequently this application set content to be correct. If could grade into some nor the same or show revised drawings thereing covered grade facts, cuts and tilk appetres with complete details of retaining walls and wall featings required must be submitted to this bureous for approach.

retaining works and wolf footings required must be submitted to this bureou for approval.

AT STRUCKTION REQUIRED HEREIN OR BY CODE MAY BE APPEALED. SUBCINION BY TO BE OCCUPRED UNTIL CERTIFICATE OF FINAL COMPRETIONS ROSED ON THE BURDINGS OF REPORT OF OCCUPANCY GRANTED, WHICH RECHARD, APPROVAL OF THIS APPLICATION SCIES HOT CONSTRUCTE AN APPROVAL IN OR THE RECHARCAL WEIGHTS OF RELIENCE HOUSE HOT CONSTRUCTE AN APPROVAL INFO THE THE WIRENG OF PUBLISHED OF STALLARDONS, A SEPARATE PREMITS ARE REQUIRED IN ANSWER 15 "YES" TO ANY OF ADOVE OVERSLICORS, AND THE STALLARD SCIEDULES AND THE SUBCINION OF STALLARD STALLARD SCIEDULES AND THE SUBCINION OF STALLARD STALLARD SCIEDULES AND THE SUBCINION OF STALLARD STALLARD STALLARD SCIEDULES AND THE SUBCINION OF STALLARD STALLARD SCIEDULES AND THE SUBCINION OF STALLARD STALLARD SCIEDULES AND THE SUBCINION OF STALLARD SCIEDULES AND THE SUBCINIO

FROM USE OR OCCUPANCY OF THE SIDEWALK, STREET OR SUPSIDEWALK SPACE OR FROM ANYTHRIC ELSE IN CONNECTION WITH THE WORK DICEIDS IN THE FEMAL THE FORECOME COMPANY SHALL BE EMPLOYED THE OWNER OF SAID PROPERTY, THE APPLICANT, THEIR HEIRS, SUCCESSORS AND ADJUSTMESS.

E BOX. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX-

XOWNER.

LESSEE

AGENT WITH POWER OF ATTORNET

CONTRACTOR TATTORNEY IN FACT

OFFICIAL COP

FOR DEPARTMENTAL USE ONLY	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
SAN FRANCISCO	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION reduce to visit of the to	APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS PUCATION IS HEREBY MADE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FUEUC WORKS SAN FRANCISCO FOR PERMISSION TO BUILD IN ACCORDANCE WITH E PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS SUBMITTED HEREWITH AND ACCORDING THE DURPOSE HEREMAFTER SET FORTH. STREET ADDRESS OF JOE.
2100010 10 10 10	DIESTUANTO COSTO PA
	N OF EXISTING BUILDING
TAN TYPE OF CONSTR. THE CLANS CONTROL OF STORIES OF STORIES OF STORIES OF SOCIEDANCE: 3 ASSUMERS OF SOCIEDANCE: 3 ASSUMERS OF SOCIEDANCE: 3 ASSUMERS OF SOCIEDANCE: 3	DIESELLING GAS COSE Z PAN NO. OF DIESELING 2
(4) TYPE OF CONSER, 14 D N A (5) NUMBER OF 3 (6) NUMBER OF STORIES OF SASEMENTS	OCCUP, CLASS: UP NO. OF OWELLING 2
TOTAL DOES THIS ALTERATION YES ID TO IT YES, STATE NEW REIGHT AT	(11A) DOES THIS ALTIRATION YES (11) IF YES, STATE NEW GROUND NEW GROUND
(14) WILEDEWARK OVER YES II (15) WILEULDING SEPAND	YES (14) IS AUTORUNIWAY YES (17) WILL STREET SPACE YES (17) WILL STREET SPACE YES (17)
(19) ANYOTHEKEXISTING BIDG. YEB (20) DOES THIS ALTRIATION CONTOUR AS SHOW	NO2 OB ATTERED? NO2 CONSTRUCTION? NO2 YES I (22) ELECTRICAL YES SCIENCY NO2 YES SCIENCY NO2 YES SCIENCY NO2 YES SCIENCY NO2 PERFORMED? NO□
	PHONE CAUF, IICENSI RO.
The state of the s	9859 CAUF, GERTHICASE NO.
(23) CONSIDECTION LENGTR TENTER NAME AND BRANCH DESIGNATION IF ANY, IF THERE IS NO ENOUGH CONSTRUCTION LENGTR, BRITER "UNKNOWN".	HUD WASH. DIC.
To long cy son	1 ACD Surfections 3561-60-7 applications # 456016
IMPORTANT NOTICES	ARRICANT'S CRETIFICATION
MPORTANT NOTICES Biologing sholl be estable to the coopening of use without first obtains to Building Perceit outsinating such shongs. See Sec. 193, 194.8, 194.8-1, 194.6, 51 502.1, Sen Fruncisco Building Code, and Sec. 193, 194.8, 194.8-1, 194.6, 51 502.1, Sen Fruncisco Building Code, and Sec. 194, Sen Francisco Building Code, No postine of building or studence is coeffeiding used during contraction, to be dering and the common of building or studence is coeffeiding used during contraction, to be dering and common of the common of the property of the building permet shall pasted an the job. The owner is responsible for approved plans and application before the pasted on the job. The owner is responsible for approved plans and application before the correct of actual great have not develops accomposition of the same of a correct of actual great have not the same as shown remised decisings showly correct grade lines, cuts and fills tegesher with complete details of retaining walls a wall feature or acquired must be substitled to this bureau for approval. ANY SIEPLATION REQUIRED HERBIN OR BY CODE MAY 86 AFFEATED. BUILDING NOT TO BE OCCUMED THIS INCREMING AFFEATED. WHEN REQUIRED APPROVAL OF THIS APPLICATION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN APPROVAL POR THE ELECTRICATE WISHING OR PLUMBERS INSTITUTIONS. A SEPARATE PRIMIT AND REQUIRE APPROVAL OF THIS APPLICATION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN APPROVAL POR THE WISHING AND PLUMBING MUST BE OSTAINED. SEPARATE PRIMIT AND REQUIRED ANSWER IS YES? TO ANY OF ABOVE QUESTIONS [15] 116 (17) (20) (21) or (22) THIS IS NOT A SUIDING PERMIT, NO WORK SHALL BE STARTED UNTIL A BUILDON PERMIT IS ISSUED. In dwellings all insulating materials must have a descence of not less than two sed from off electrical winns of equipment. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX: CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX: CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX: CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX:	DESCRISEO IN THIS APPLICATION, ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE PERMIT AND ALLIAWS AND GROINANCES THERETO WILL BE COMPUED WITH. NOTICE TO APPLICANS In conformity with the provisions of Section 3800 of the Lobor Code of the State of Coliffornia, the explicant shall have on tills, or file with the Control Permit Bureaut, within the Confliction (i) or (ii) or (iii) of (iii) distinguished befowers theil indicate later (iv) or (iv) are (iv) befow, whichever is applicable, Check one of the following methods of sampliances (i) Certificate of Consent to Self-Insura Issued by the Director of Industrial Relations. (i) Certificate of Workman's Compensation Insurance issued by on admitted Insurance. (ii) B. An expect copy or duplicate of (i) certified by the Director or (ii) the certified by the insurance. (iv) The cost of the work to be performed in \$100 or less. (iv) I certify that in the performance of the work for which this Permit is issued, Isholf set ampley any person in any manager or osts insurance subject to the workman's compensation less of Certains as the performance of the work that Indicational in this result that I indication in the performance of the work that Indicational in the person that Indicational Insurance work that I indication in the person that I indicated homes are that I work the interval. In this result that I indicated homes are that I work the interval.
	John S Valory 9/29/77

	(AFPROVED:	47, 194, 14, 5
7,5	apples 1	DATE:
T MINE	1 (comply out destrety leverenties	REASON:
DEPARTMEN	TOF JAND 1 pt # 3561-60-7	
Britdia G 141351	CHON Obtain receivery Firmito for	
3 N	Electricise, Dumbing of Schwart Work	
	Deigan	NOTIFIED MR.
	BUILDING ASSESSOR, BUR. OF BURG. INSP.	
31	APPROVED:	DATE
1. 1-1.	9/29/11 1. 0	REASON:
i. in	1/29/11 Les Application # 456016	10. 10 30.00
السارة	A Beignas	
1.		1000 DIEN
1- 21-75	DEPARTMENT OF DIY PLANTENG	NOTIFIED MR
- 2	The state of the s	DATE:
	APPROVED:	DATE:
0.4		
1.4-4		
Langue LE		NOTIFIED MR.
	MUZEAU OF FINE PREVENTION & PUBLIC SAFETY	The same of the same and a same of the
	APPROVED:	DATE:
400	1/29/77	REASON:
r-i	1/29/17 See Explication of 456016	
	22	
	1) Decgar	5 = E
	CIVIL ENGAGER, BUR, OF BLOCK INSPECTION	NOTIFIED MR.
1 - 37	APPROVED:	DATE:
		REASON:
1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		NOTIFIED MR.
	BUREAU OF ENGINEERING	TANDE MAN TIME
	APPROVED:	DATE:
- []		REASON:
اسسا		NOTIFIED MR.
-	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH	
11	APPROVED:	DATE
5 %	The Swart of Security Control of Artists and American for the Control of	REASON;
1:07	REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY	NOTIFIED MR.
	APPROVED:	DATE
		REASON:
į LI		Fig. 1. Let Exhau
Ersis	RESIDENTIAL ENV. INSPECTOR, DIV. OF APT. & HOTEL INSP., 6.B.L.	NOTIFIED MR.
1500	APPRÒYED:	DATE:
61 get 4.1g		REASON:
200 miles		The state of the s
L	[20] [10] [2] [10] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2	NOTIFIED MR.

OWNER OR LESSEE			JOB LOCATION			APP. NO	i.
J. Gieling			22 Beaver	5 5		77090	41
OWNER OR LESSEE'S AD	DRESS			BLOCK	LOI	HOUSE 1	NO.
17,500.						0/18/7	7
PERMIT ISSUED		PERMIT	40	INSPL	CIOR		
10/18/77	n. I co. o report contra		423812		G	reeme	
COCCTANTO	BLDG.		OCCUPANCY		PLANS	NUMBE	R OF
ERECT/ALTER	TYPE	CODE	DESCRIPTION		PLANS	STORIES	FA
alter	5N	· I	dwelling	F		3	3
CONTRACTOR		A STATE OF THE PARTY.	ACONESS	the second			2000
ARCHITECT			AODRESS				Palane
ENGINEER			ADDRESS				
BUILDING INSPECTION JOB CARD BUILDING INSPECTION JOB CARD BUILDING RED DEPARTMENT OF PU CITY AND COUNTY OF				OF PUBLIC V	VORKS RANCI		
OWNER OR LESSEE			JOB LOCATION			APP. NO	
J. Gieling	6		22 Beaver	- 4	770	9041	

DATE)	BUILDING INSPECTORS JOB RECORD
10118177	WORK COMMENCED PRIOR APPRIAGEOIG
11	FOUNDATION FORMS INSPECTED. O.K. TO POUR
11	LATHING PERMISSION TAG POSTED
318178	FLUES BY ATLES HEATING & VENTNO. 14871
1.1	EXTERIOR OF STRUCTURAL PLASTERING OK
11	ALL SPECIAL INSPECTION REPORTS RECEIVED.
1 1	FIRE ESCAPE INSTALLED PER APPROVED PLAN.
11115177	PROCRESS lasguildunger.
11/2/18	INSPECTION- INTERIOR FINISE.
1131 178	WALLFURNICE IMPROPERLY NUTTELL
4120179	NEW PLANT ISSUED
//	APPLICATION # 7902259
//	·
11	
/ /	
11	
11	
11	
<i>i</i> /	
11	
	WORK COMPLETED. FINAL CERTIFICATE POSTED.

7709041

77090410 MERCTOR

ーリ壁	FOR DEPARTMENTAL USE ONLY	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTAME	FILMEN	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
BUILDING INS	PECHON MLD	APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT
	Dept. Public Works	ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS
7.77	APR 2 0 1979	APPLICATION IS REVERY MADE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
\$ Th	Affect C Long	OF SAN PRINCISCO FOR PERHASSION TO BURD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS SUBMITTED HEREWITH AND ACCORDING
7	SUREAL BUILDING COLUMN	TO THE DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE AURYOSE HERENWITER SET FORTH:
	1 a	1. 5700
A Harm	4120 Ha Procession	22 BEAVER ST. A. CO.
POTE	1991 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	atis \$6000 9911/1
1 4	42011 719	
0 444	SE DE DE LE COMPANIE SE SOMES	DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING BURDING MAIN HOUSE OF DIVISION OF AN OCCUP, CLASS I PAN HOUSE COMPANIES I AND COLLEGE OF THE COLL
	Cat re Desc	DEPTION OF BUILDING AFTER PROPOSED ALTERATION
6.610 L. 33	THE OF CONCESS THE DINK TO HANGE OF 3	ACCEPTAGE DWELLING OCCUP, CLASS Z DWELLING OCCUP, CLASS Z DWELLOW ACCEPTAGE AT THE PROPERTY OF
: E	CHAIR ADDITIONAL HOS CHAIR INC. STORY TO BLACK ONCE TISS (15) WALL SLEED.	SET AT SECURIORS FT. EXTREMENT TO BURDLESS HOLD HOSEAREA SOUTH.
1	WELL SCHWALL OVER THE TENT TO THE SERVICE OF THE SE	TONG TONG TO THE CONTROLLED TO
1	ON MOTAL NO.D OF COOLS	ADDRESS . HONE PROPERTY NO.D. PROPERTY NO.D.
3 t - Gar	ASORTICI OR ENGINEER (DESIGN C) CONSTRUCTION (C)) ACCIONESS CAUN CONTRACATE AND
1 7	F THESE S NO ENGINE CONSTRUCTION SENDER THESE TO	MARCHI P MIT. ACCRESS
j	GE THES J. GIELING	SECY HUD WASH D.C. MORE FOR CONTACT WHEREIN
10.1		
		REPORT # 3561-60-7
	CONT.	TNUANCE OF APPLICATION # 458016
	CONT. FOLD H TANLESS CLAUSE: The Per be paralle act of in bed here to be filty and County of Co	REPORT # 3561-60-7 TNUANCE OF APPLICATION # 456016 TT 09041
	CONT. CODE H. TOULESS CLAUSE: The Per The difference of the first and Country of Se from an in the control of the control o	REPORT # 3561-60-7 INUANCE OF APPLICATION #456016 TO 09041 Interval and control and con
	CONT. COLD H. TANLESS CLAUSE: The Per be designed at the first of the first and the first of the first and the first of the first and the first of	REPORT # 3561-60-7 INVANCE OF APPLICATION #4560+6 TO 09041 Internal and or according to the second control of the second control
	CONT. ECLD H-EMLESS CLAUSE: The Per- he paralle attention had been to the City and County of County are one feet at a remarkant from upon the parallel attention of the City and County are one feet at a remarkant from upon	REPORT # 3561-60-7 INVANCE OF APPLICATION #4560+6 TO 09041 Internal and or according to the second control of the second control
	CONT CONT CONT Bod here is the first and for my of the from such as the first and for my of the from such as the first and for my of the first and for th	TINUANCE OF APPLICATION # 4550#6 TO DO 01. THE IN LINE THE IN LINE TO DO 1. THE IN LIN
4	CONT. CONT. CONT. CONT. CONT. By Grant Street	PROPORT # 3561-60-7 INUANCE OF APPLICATION # 456516 77 D9 D91 Interest and and a proportion of the construction and applicant's Certification APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION INGER OF THE PERMIT AND AGREE THAT IF A PERMIT AND ALL LEWS CONSTRUCTION AND COMMUNICATION ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE PERMIT AND ALL LEWS AND COMMUNICATION ALL LEWS AND COMMUNICATION ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE PERMIT AND ALL LEWS AND COMMUNICATION ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE PERMIT AND ALL LEWS AND COMMUNICATION ALL LEWS AND COMMUNICATION AND COMMUNICATION ALL LEWS AND COMMUNICATION ALL LEWS AND COMMUNICATION AND COMMUNICATION AND COMMUNICATION ALL LEWS AND COMMUNICATION ALL LEWS AND COMMUNICATION ALL LEWS AND COMMUNICATION ALL
性 理 (CONT CONT CONT BY SELECT THE SECRET SET OF POPULATION OF THE SECRET SET OF SECRET S	TINUANCE OF RIPLICATION #4555# TO DO DI TRANSPORT THE TANK TO BE A CONSTRUCTION APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION I MERENY CERTIFIC ATTOM APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION I MERENY CERTIFIC ATTOM APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION I MERENY CERTIFIC ATTOM NOTICE TO APPLICANT NOTICE TO APPLICANT DESCRIPTION OF THE PERMIT AND ALLIANS See See 125, Coffernia Publishing permit sholl be Building permit should be Building permit
性を強いる。	CONT CONT CONT By Sheet a tracking the first set of from set of the set of	TOURNER OF REPLICATION ### STATE OF THE CONSTRUCTION IN THE CONSTRUCTION APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION IN PART TO THE TOUR STATE OF THE CONSTRUCTION AND CONSTRUCT
** 関係の利用である。 *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *	CONT CONT BY SHORT IN THE STANDARD SET THE PAY THE STANDARD SET THE PAY THE STANDARD SET THE STANDARD SET THE PAY THE STANDARD SET THE STANDARD SET THE STANDARD ET THE STANDARD THE STANDARD SET THE STANDARD	TINUANCE OF RIPLICATION ### SECTION ### SE
	CONT. CONT. By different to the first send of the first send for the first send of	TOTAL TO TOTAL TO THE CONSTRUCTION APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION INSTRUCTION OF APPLICATION APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION INSTRUCTION INSTRUCTION APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION INSTRUCTION INSTRUCTION APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION INSTRUCTION INSTRUCTION APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION INSTRUCTION INSTRUCTION APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION INSTRUCTION INSTRUCTION APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION INSTRUCTION IN
	CONT. CONT. CONT. By different the first send of the first send	TOURNER OF REPLICATION ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### #
	CONT. CONT. CONT. By different the first send of the first send	PRINCIPAL STATEMENT OF REPLICATION STATEMENT OF SECTION
· 整理 经股份 化二甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基	CONT. CONT. CONT. BY STATE STATE STATE STATE FOR THE STATE STAT	TO PRINCE OF APPLICATION ### DISERSED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION IN THE TOTAL IT. LOAD, SEZ TOTAL
	CONT. CONT. CONT. By different the first send of the first send	PRINCIPAL 2 OF RIPLICATION ### TO DO ### Training and process of the control of
	CONT. CONT. CONT. By Shert I have first and Son my of Son from an income to the state of the	PROPERTY AND ACRES TO A PRINCIPLY AND ACRES THAT IS A PERMIT IS ISSUED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND CONSTRUCTION

DATE	BUILDING INSPECTORS JOB RECOR	D
412017	WORK COMMENCED PRIDES 42 17 7 70904	7
//	FOUNDATION FORMS INSPECTED O.K. TO POUR	10
11	LATHING PERMISSION TAG POSTED	•
14	FLULS BYNO	-
11	EXTERIOR OF STRUCTURAL PLASTERING OK	۵.
111	ALL SPECIAL INSPECTION REPORTS RECEIVED.	
11	FIRE ESCAPE INSTALLED PER APPROVED PLAN.	
12/3882	ELEC & PLUMBING	
1.1	WORK COMPLETED	
11		
1-1.		
11		
4 /		
11		
11		
11		
11		
11		
11		1
2/17/84		
	WORK COMPLETED FINAL CERTIFICATE POSTE	D.

#7902259

Gokon Speciel



CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO Application 7902259 Number DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION CERTIFICATE OF FINAL COMPLETION AND OCCUPANCY (NOTE A separate PERMIT OF OCCUPARCY is required for buildings, with a class II oscupancy) Location 22 BEAVER S7
House Number Offinish of Assessment

Type of Bldg 5-N Stories 3 Aretic & Bounds if Applicable; Öccopandy MPLY WITH PACE REPORT Desciption of The hereinable described construction is exampleded and conforms to Ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco and Laws of the State of California effective as of the date on which the hereinable mentioned application for building permit was filed and proposed occupancy is approved in pursuance to Sec. 306.C. Article 3. Chapter 1, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code. This certificate posted on Approved OF TIRE PREVENTION & PUBLIC SAFETY

111 .	5 9	
DABTHELL	TOF	SOLUTION OF THE PARAPETER
DING INSPE	CHOM .	
is is		3/8 0 WANTE THE 24-2 YES 0 NOW IN 24-2 YES 0 NOW
t appear it is iginal.	, ,	FOR PESSU
eent orio	Computer in	OF ISSUANCE THE 242
document notice, the ori		
8 2 6	n of by	4
	APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT	TTY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
250	ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS: 15 123	A DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
sharp the	FORM 3 OTHER AGENCIES REVIEW REQUIRED PRESENT	MORKS OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR PERMISSION TO
15 0 1	FORM 8 SOVER THE COUNTER ISSUANCE	A ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS THE PLANS AND LACCORDANG TO THE DESCRIPTION THE PLANS AND LACCORDANG TO THE DESCRIPTION THE PLANS AND LACCORDANG THE SET FORTH
If less due	THE MAKES SE PLAN SETS	A ACCORDANCE WITH THE PIANS AND SPECIFICATIONS THE PIANS AND ACCORDING TO THE DESCRIPTION TO THE
	5-16-94 REAV	ERST 356/ 60
	HENT HO DESCRIPTION OF YOR	IN REVENUE CONT.
	7460 13 4.16-94 \$1000 00	party Landson
		NISHED BY ALL APPLICANTS
H	FRAME COLLEGE 2 MESTING O PRIVATE	HOME STO 13
	PRINCE STORE 2 MACCOUNT OF PRIVATE	FIER PEOPOSED ALTERATION
		HONSE STD WAS TO BE WEST OF THE STREET OF TH
	THE MANAGEMENT AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	MANUAL INC. NO. 17/MANUAL PART
	INOGENE GIELING 22 BEAVER	S.F. (21-1540) OWNER
	IMAGENE GIELLAG "	" 94-114 115-621-4540
Ĵ	REPAIR & REPLACE EXISTIN	G POSTS AT CRAWL SPACE
	WITH NEW 4X6 PRESSUR	RE TREATED POSTS TO
	PAST REFTIE & DOUG	POF IS INDICATED
	1201 201 1100 4 150	O DE LAMBORADO.
	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION -	FORM 3 APPLICANTS ONLY
	CERT ADDITIONAL SHOP TO ADDITION OF THE SHOP TO ADDITIONAL SHOP TO ADDITIONAL SHOP TO ADDITIONAL SHOP THE SHOP	CEATE DESI OF HORS HOW DESIDED 100 FT 10
	(a) whether a financial brooks become conduction (i) whose the second and the sec	ON FIGURE 1999 HOS CONSTRUCTS AND CONTRACTS NO
	(76) CONSTRUCTION ISHORY (INTER NAME AND ISSUED ISSUEDATION & ANT. IN 1969 IS NO SHOWN CONSTRUCTION (SHORE, SHIRE "LANDOWN")	ADD/#E35
	MPORTANT NOTICES No change shall be made in the character of the occupancy or use without first obtaining a Building Permit authorizing such change. See Sen Francisco Building	NOTICE TO APPLICANT HOED HARMLESS CLAUSE. The Permittenal by occapiones of the permit, agree(s) to independy and hald have by the City and Country of Jen Transject from and against
	Code and San Francisco Housing Code. No pomon of building or structure or scalfolding used during construction, to be closer than 60° to only wire containing more than 750 volts. See Sec. 385, Colifornia	any end of dermi, demands and actions to demands returning from operations under this permit, regardless of reafigence of the City and County of Sen Francisco, and to assume the defining of the City and County of Son Francisco against all such claims.
	Penal Code. Putsuant to San Francisco Building Code, the building permit shall be posted on the	to conformity with the provisions of Section 1800 of the Labor Code of the State of
	job. The owner is responsible for approved plans and application being kept at building life. Oracle shall be shall not drawings accompanying that application are caused to be	Configure (i) or (ii) or (iii) designated below as shot indicate from (iv) or (v) or (vi) below, whichever a applicable. If however, Jenn (vi) is chacked then tem (v) must be checked as well. Mark the oppopulation without of complicate below:
	correct. If actual grade lines are not the same as shown revised drawings showing correct grade lines, cut and till regetter with complete details of retaining walls and wall fortings assumed must be schoring to this business for corrected.	Certificate al Consent to Self-Insure issued by the Director of Industrial Relations. Workman's Compensation Insurance issued by an
	ANY STRULATION REGURED REPEN OR BY CODE MAY BE APPRAISE. BUILDING NOT TO BE OCCUPED UNIA. CERTHICATE OF MINAL COMPLETION IS MOSTED ON THE BUILDING OF PERMIT OF OCCUPANCY GENTED, WHEN REGURED.	admitted insurer. An exact copy or duplicate of (i) certified by the Cirector or (ii)
	APPROVAL OF THIS APPUCATION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN APPROVAL FOR THE ELECTRICAL WIRING OR PLUMBING INSTALLATIONS. A SEPARATE REMAIL FOR THE	cernfied by the insure. I We not at the work to be performed in \$100 or lets. V. I serrify that in the performance of the work for which this forms is
	WIRNO AND PUMBIND MIST BE OBTAINED. SEPARATE FEEMIS ARE REQUIRED. IF ANSWER IS "YES" TO ANY OF ABOVE QUESTIONS (III) [13] [13] [13] [13] THIS IS NOT A EUROING PERMIT, NO WORK SHALL BE STARTED WHILL A BUMDING	V. I service has the benefit compared to a flow or less. I service the performance of the work has which this figure is most of become upper to the workings of one person in any manner was to become upper to the workings's compared to the work has been described to the workings of compared to provide and the decrease is understood, in the event that I should become upper to the workings of compared to provide and the provision of Section 3800 at the latter dock, that the Percentilises with the provision of Section 3800 at the latter dock, that the Percentilises is necessarily for the latter dock, that the Percentilises is necessarily to the latter dock, that the Percentilises is necessarily to the latter dock, that the Percentilises is necessarily to the latter dock, that the Percentilises is necessarily to the latter dock, that the Percentilises is necessarily to the latter dock, that the Percentilises is not the percentilises and the percentilises are the percentilises.
	PERMIT 13 155UED. In Awalings all insulating materials must have a clearance of not less than two inches from all alastrical wires or equipment.	labor Code of California and fail to compensated in the labor Code of California and fail to company) softwish with the promision of Section 3300 of the Labor Code, that the Fermitheen applied for shall be dearned another.
	CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX OWNER	YI I certify as the owner (or the agent of the owner) that in the perfor-
	CLESSEE CLAGENT WITH POWER OF ATTORNEY CONTRACTOR CLATTORNEY IN FACT	contractor who complex with the warknow's compensation laws of Colloring and who has so life, or prior to the commencement of any work will file, with the Contral Permit Bureau evidence that workman's compensation insurance is contact.
	APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION 1 HEREBY CERTIFY AND ADRECTION IN A PERMIT IS ISSUED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION	1 1.1.
	DESCRETE IN THIS APPLICATION. ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE CONSTRUCTION DESCRETE IN THIS APPLICATION. ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE PERMIT AND ALL LAWS AND ORDINANCES THEREIG) WILL BE COMPLIED WITH.	Lance of Sun Filling 5/16/94
		/ NOW /

9003 63

y = y	9			
ARTMENT	OF	44	CONDITIONS AND STIPULATIONS	1
s document appears is notice, it is	64 M. A.	APPROVED:	**:- ** af	DATE:
this fry fry	TO SERVICE SER	cleatrical or planning will require appropriate cate parasts.	BUILDING INSPECTOR, BUR OF BLDG MSP	LINOTHER MR
sherp sherp to the	9.0	APPROVED.	•	DATE:
less doe			SEPARTHENT OF CITY PARKING	NOTIFIED MR
	***	APPROVED:		DATE:
				REASON.
	-		BUREAU OF FIRE PREVENTION & PUBLIC SAFETY	NOTIFIED MR.
		APPROVEO:		DATE:
			CIVIL ENGINEER, BUR OF BLDG, INSPECTION	NOTIFIED MR.
		APPROVED:		DATE:
			NUMEAU OF ENSINEERING	REASON:
		APPROVED:		DATE:
			DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH	REASON: NOTIFIED MR
		APPROVED:		DATE:
			REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY	REASON:
		APPROVED:		DATE:
				REASON:
			HOUSING INSPECTION DIVISION	
		agers to targly a thad confirms or inpulsion and inpulsion and confirmation and characteristics. Adults to the confirmation and characteristics.	tight of the ratious bureaus or departments noted on this application, and attacker use 2 creaty is add a past of this application. On 123 5 mg/Cls - 125.	.e.



ADDRESS OF JO	58	BLOCK/LOT A	PPLICATION NO
22 BEAVER OWNE	R NAME S.T	3561 /060	S 4 0 7 8 0 6 TELEPHONE
FINDGUNE GIELING	PARTICIPAL	DISPOSITION DATE PERMIT	621-4540 NO EXPIRE DATE
\$1,000 5/26	5/94 ISSUED	05/16/94 746	013 09/16/94
8 5 R-3		ANS STORIES UNITS	EID-INSP DE
CONT	ACT NAME		TELEPHONE
STANDARD DESCRIPTION/BLDG. US	REPA	OTHER DESCRIPTION IR AND REPLACE AWL SPACE WITH	(E) POSTS A
SPECIAL INSPECTIONS? NO	TIDE NO	COMPLIANCE WITH F	. 1
NOTES:	PENALTY NO	-	
9000-15		DEPARTMENT C	ECTION RECORD OF PUBLIC WORKS OF SAN FRANCISCO ECTION JOB CARD



DATE	BUILDING INSPECTORS JOB RECORD
11	
11	
11/1/1/1	
11	
1/	
/ 60	EXPIRE per SFBC Sec. 303 (d)
/ /	East in the port of the post.
11	
11	
11	
1/	
12499	EXPIRE par SFBC See. 308 (4)
ADD NO.	WORK CEMPLE TO THE POSTED.
AFF. NO.	407806 Millions INSPECTOR





FEB - 3 2015

lom C. Hui TOM C. HUI, S.E. DEPT. OF BUILDING INSPECTION APPROVED FOR ISSUANCE

でんりんり

APPROVAL NUMBER

APPLICATION FOR BUILDING PERMIT ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS

FORM 3 COTHER AGENCIES REVIEW REQUIRED FORM 8 OVER-THE-COUNTER ISSUANCE

NUMBER OF PLAN SETS

HEREINAFTER SET FORTH. O NOT WRITE ABOVE THIS LINE W

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION APPLICATION IS HEREBY MADE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR

PERMISSION TO BUILD IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS SUBMITTED HEREWITH AND

ACCORDING TO THE DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE PURPOSE

FLING FEE RECEPT NO. DATE REFER (1) STREET ADDRESS OF JOH RLOCKALOT 25L1111L 2/3/15 Beaver

100			000	1/2	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NA	1,001	172	34723
124 798	SSUED FEB	3 2015	D, OO	0.4	25) KEVSKED COSTI	\$1900	Ste 2/3/15	
12/11	30	MEAD	1 4		1 67		WE 6/3/1)	
		INFUR			HED BY ALL A	The state of the s	4 10 10 10	
					EXISTING BUILD	The state of the s		
(HA) TYPE OF CONSTILL	(SA) NO. OF STORIES OF DOCUPANCY;	(BA) NO. OF RASEMENTS AND CELLARS:	(7A) PRESENT U	DIVI	ULX		R3 G	HD, OF ELLING E
	11-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-		CRIPTION OF BU		ER PROPOSED A		128	•
(4) TYPE OF COASTN.	STORIES OF COURANCY:	(8) NO. OF BASEMENTS AND CELLARS:	(7) PROPOSED I	TUTT	LEX	(8)	OCCUP CLASS DAYS	10.0F 11.1H82
(10) IS AUTO BUNWA TO BE CONSTRUCTED UR ALTERED?	Š	YES O BE USED!	STREET SPACE TURING CTION?	YES CO	(12) ELECTRICAL WORK TO BE PERFORMED?	YES JEE NO D	(13) PLOMBING WORK TO BE PERFORMED?	YES TO
(14) GENERAL CONTR	FWalk-1	n This ADD	RESA	ZIP	PHONE	985	603 5/31	The second second
(15) OWNER - LESSEE EMMA	(08055 OUT ONE) Gelt	ADDI	IESS	TIP		487568	PHONE (FOR CONTACT BY DEP	4
(16) WHETE IN DESCR			UNDER THIS APPLICATION	N (REFERENCE T	O PLANS IS NOT SUFFICIEN			
Replace	EXIST	mg TWE	with	Walk-	INTUB		//	~ -
1-20A	mp Bres	aker 1 1-	GFI 12	-out	25/	_/	-/-	/
/					_/		/_/	<u></u>
/	/					/	/	
-			ADD	ITIONAL IN	FORMATION			
(17) DOES THIS ALTO CHEATE ADDITO OR STORY TO 8	MAL HEIGHT		IS YES, STATE SEIGHT AT UNLINE OF FRONT	1	(19) DOES THIS ALTERATIO CREATE DECK OR HORIZ. EXTENSION TO BUILDING?	N YES CI. NO ES	(20) IF (10) IS YES, STATE NEW GROUND FLOOR AREA	SQ. FT.
(21) WILL SIDEWALK SUB-SIDEWALK REPAIRED OR A	OVER SPACE BE	YES D (22) WILL	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE		(23) ANY OTHER EXISTING ON LOT? (IF YES, SHOW ON PLOT PLAN)		(74) DOES THIS ALTERATION CONSTITUTE A CHANGE OF COCUPANCY?	YES CI
		□ соизтянстюк €		ADDRESS	1900 CANADA	140	CALIF. CERTIFICATE NO.	
CARCOLANIC COCCASSA	THE RESERVE TO SERVE							
(28) CONSTRUCTION IF THERE IS NO KNOW	LENDER (ENTER NA AN CONSTRUCTION	ME AND BRANCH DES LENDER, ENTER "UNK	DERATION IF ANY,			ADDRESS		

IMPORTANT NOTICES

No portion of building or advanture or acadinating used during construction is to be closer than 6°0" to any whe containing more than 750 yorks. See Seo 385, Cettfornia Penal Gods.

Pursuant to Sen Francisco Building Code, the building permit shall be posted on the job. The our responsible for approved plans and application being kept at building allo.

Grade libes as shown as drawings accompanying this application are secured in the correct. If exhall p libes are not for some as shown, revised drawings allowing correct grade these, such and fills, and comp details of retaining walls and wall justings must be admitted to this department for approval.

ANY STIPULATION REQUIRED HEREIN OR BY CODE MAY BE APPEALED.

BUILDING NOT TO BE OCCUPIED UNTIL CERTIFICATE OF FINAL COMPLETION IS POSTED ON THE BUILDING OR

APPRICAL OF THE REPLICATION SOCS NOT CONSTITUTE AN APPROVAL FOR THE ELECTRICAL WIRING OR PLUMBERG INSTALLATIONS. A SEPARATE PUBLIT FOR THE WIRING AND PLUMBERG MAIST BE DETAINED. SEPARATE PRIMETE ARE RESURED IF ANSWER IS "YES" TO ART OF ABOVE QUESTIONS (TO) (T1) (12) (13) (22) URL (24).

THIS IS NOT A BUILDING PERMIT, NO WORK SHALL BE STARTED UNTIL A BUILDING PERMIT IS ISSUED.

in dwellings, all insulating materials must have a clearance of not less than two inches from all electric wheelor equipment.

CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX

- D DWNER
 D LESSEE
 D CONTRACTOR
- ARCHITECT
 ABENT
 CHENGINEER

APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION

HEREBY CERTIFY AND ASSECTIVATION ASSECTIVATION OF THE CONSTRUCTION DESCRIBED ON THE APPLICATION, ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE PREMET AND ALL LARGE AND ORDINANCES THERETO WILL BE COMPLED WITH.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT

In conformity with the provisions of Section 2550 of the Labor Dobe of the Black of California, the application worker's compared to covering under (i) or (ii) destputed below, or shall induce them (ii), (ii) whichever is applicable. If thesework then (ii) is shoulded, from (iii) must be checked as well. Mark the

entermance of the ware for which this period is to be and policy munifor are: STA+C FUND

() Dr. The cost of the work to be done is \$100 or less

() W. I contify that in the performance of the work for which this permit is its und, I stall not employ any person in any cosmor so as in became subject to the worker's compensation imms of Galiberia.

I currier acknowledge that I understand that in the seast that is should become subject to the worker's compensation provides or the Labor Gode of Cathoria and shifts county forthwith with the provisions of Seather 3000 of the Labor Gode, that the permit have applied for shall be desired associated.

() W. I certify as the center for the agent for the owner) that is the performance of the work for which this permit is bessed, I will enoughly a contractor who compiles with the weaker's congenerates is of Galitania and who, piece to the commencement of any work, will file a completed copy of the with the Gestral Permit Respon. r who complies will the worker's componentian laws ment of any work, will file a completed copy of this farm

homo ay

OFFICE COPY

SAM FRANCI	500	CONDITIONS AND STIPULATIONS	f 104
REFER TO: DEPARTMENT BUILDING INSPEC	م ، به القال	DATE:REASON:	
-	APPROVED:		
		DATE:	
-	ADDROVED	DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING	NOTIFIED MR.
	APPROVED:		DATE:
		BUREAU OF FIFE PREVENTION & PUBLIC SAFETY	NOTIFIED MR.
	APPROVED:	1	DATE:
			REASON:
		MECHANICAL ENGINEER, DEPT. OF BLDG. INSPECTION	NOTIFIED MR.
	APPROVED:		DATE:
			REASON:
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CIVIL ENGINEER, DEPT. OF BLDG. INSPECTION	NOTIFIED MR. 9
-	APPROVED:		DATE: }
			REASON: PERSONS N
		BUREAU OF ENGINEERING	NOTIFIED MR.
	APPROVED:		DATE:
			NOTIFIED MR. DATE:
		DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH	NOTIFIED MR.
	APPROVED:		DATE:
			REASON:
		NOTIFIED MR.	
N ewson	APPROVED:	REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY	DATE:
		REASON:	
		NOTIFIED MR.	
l a	gree to comply with all conditions o		
of	conditions or stipulations, which are Number of attechments	e hereby made a part of this application.	2
		OWNER'S AUTHORIZED AGENT	-



22	ADDRESS OF JOB 22 BEAVER ST									BLOCKLOT - 3561/060		APPLICATION NO. 201502037354			
G	IELING	OWNER NAME I MOGENE		REV	TF	3							TEL	EPHONE	
ES	TIMATED COST	FILEDATE		DIS	POSITIO	NC	DISF	OSITION D	ATE		PERMIT	NO.		EXPIRATI	ON DATE
\$10	,000	02/03/	15	ISS	UEI) (02.	/03/	15	20:	15020	373	54	01/29	/16
FOR	W CONST. TYPE	O	CUPAN	ICY COD	ES			PLANS	STOR	ES	UNITS		Dis	TRICT	
8	5	R-3						0	2		1		17	BID-I	NSP
(CONTACTNAME		- Pie									TELEF	PHONE	
SAF	E STEP	WALK-IN	TU	BS	INC								7043	73854	5
DE	SCRIPTION/BLDG.	USE			RE	LA	CE	(E)	TU	Bq	HENDESCRI	MAY.	(-IN	TUB.	1-
2 F	AMILY				20	AM	P 1	BREA	KER	F3 1/3	GFI	. 2	OUI	LETS	
DWE	LLING											_			
SPE	CIAL INSPECTION	IS? NO	111	FIRE	ZONE	NO			10/0	The state of	··				
SPE	SPECIAL USE DISTRICT			TIDE		NO									
				PENA	LTY	NO		_	-17-1 m		COMPLIANCE	E WITH FI	EPORTS .		7
NOTE	S:					-						_			-

9003-15

PERMIT INSPECTION RECORD
DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
BUILDING INSPECTION JOB CARD