File No.	180778	Committee Item No.	. 3
		Board Item No.	10

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENT	TS LI	ST
Committee: Land Use and Transportation Committee I		
Board of Supervisors Meeting	Date _	PERFUEBBLE 11, JUF
Cmte Board		•
☐ Motion ☐ Resolution		•
	•	
Budget and Legislative Analyst ReportYouth Commission Report		
Introduction Form		
Department/Agency Cover Letter and/o	or Re	port
MOU Grant Information Form		
Grant Budget	,	
Subcontract Budget		
☐ ☐ Contract/Agreement ☐ Form 126 – Ethics Commission		
Award Letter		
Application		. ,
Public Correspondence		
OTHER (Use back side if additional space is no	eede	d)
M III Deferral DIC 072440		
Referral BIC 073118 BIC Response 091918		
Referral CEQA 092418		
CEQA Determination 092718		
		<u></u>
· 🛱 🖼		
	<u> </u>	
Completed by: Erica Major Date	Nove	ember 19, 2018
Completed by: Erica Major Date		5618

NOTE:

[Building Code - Penalties for Constructing an Impervious Surface in the Front Yard Setback Without the Required Permit]

Ordinance amending the Building Code to modify the penalty for constructing an impervious surface in the front yard setback without a permit; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward the Ordinance to the California Building Standards Commission upon final passage.

Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.

Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u>.

Deletions to Codes are in <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman font</u>.

Board amendment additions are in <u>double-underlined Arial font</u>.

Board amendment deletions are in <u>strikethrough Arial font</u>.

Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Findings.

- (a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 180778 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this determination.
- (b) On September 19, 2018, the Building Inspection Commission considered this ordinance at a duly noticed public hearing pursuant to Charter Section D3.750-5.

Section 2. California Health and Safety Code Section 17958.7. No findings under Section 17958.7 of the California Health and Safety Code are required for this ordinance

24

25

because it is not amending a "building standard," as defined in Health and Safety Code Section 18909.

Section 3. The Building Code is hereby amended by revising Section 106A.1.13, to read as follows:

106A.1.13 Permits and fees for construction of an impervious surface in a front yard setback; penalties for violation of requirements.

- (a) 1. General. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, or corporation to commence or proceed with the construction of an impervious surface in a front yard setback area, other than a driveway as defined in #he Planning Code Section 136(c)(30), unless a permit is first obtained. See Section 110A, Table 1A-F – Specialty Permit Fees – for applicable fee.
- (b) 2. Referral to Planning Department. The Department shall refer all applications for a permit pursuant to this #Section 106A.1.13 to the Planning Department for a determination regarding the proposed construction's compliance with Sections 132(g) and 136(c)(30) of the Planning Code. The Department may not issue the permit unless Planning determines the proposed construction to be compliant with the Planning Code requirements.
- Verification of compliance during inspections. In performing the inspections required under Section 108A, 1 of this Code, the inspector shall also verify that an impervious surface has not been constructed in the front yard setback area in violation of this Section 106A.1.13. If the inspector finds that there is a violation of Section 106A.1.13, the violation shall be required to be corrected under that existing permit.

(d) 3. Penalties Penalty for violation.

1. Notice of Municipal Code Violation ("NOV"). The Department shall issue an NOV pursuant to Section 102A.4 of this Code to Any person, the owner of the property firm or corporation determined to be in violation of violating the provisions of subsection (a) above this Section shall be

guilty of an infraction. Every violation determined to be an infraction is punishable by (1) a fine not exceeding \$100 for a first violation, (2) a fine not exceeding \$200 for a second violation within one year, and (3) a fine not exceeding \$500 for a third violation within one year. The NOV shall require the owner or the owner's authorized representative to apply for and obtain a permit to bring the existing surface in the front yard setback area into compliance with current Municipal Code requirements, including but not limited to the Building and Planning Codes.

- 2. Investigation Fees. Violations of this Section 102A.1.13 shall be subject to the fees set forth in Table 1A-K of this Code for either work without permit or work exceeding the scope of the approved permit.
- 3. Civil and criminal penalties. Any person, including the owner or the owner's authorized representative, who violates, disobeys, omits, neglects, or refuses to comply with, or resists or opposes the execution of the provisions of this Section 106A.1.13 shall be subject to the civil penalty of up to \$500 per day and the criminal penalties that are set forth in Section 103A of this Code.
- 4. Costs of Abatement. In addition to any monetary penalties authorized by Section 103A of this Code, the Department shall be entitled to recover its costs of abatement pursuant to Section 102A.7(d).

Section 4. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

Section 5. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal

Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

Section 6. Directions to Clerk. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to forward a copy of this ordinance to the California Building Standards Commission upon final passage.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

Ву:

JUDITH A. BOYAJIAN Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2018\1800641\01291944.docx

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Building Code - Penalties for Constructing an Impervious Surface in the Front Yard Setback Without the Required Permit]

Ordinance amending the Building Code to modify the penalty for constructing an impervious surface in the front yard setback without a permit; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward the Ordinance to the California Building Standards Commission upon final passage.

Existing Law

Building Code Section 106A.1.13 requires a permit and establishes a fee for the construction of an impervious surface in a front yard setback. Applications for construction of an impervious surface in the front yard setback are required to be referred to the Planning Department for a determination whether the proposed construction complies with Sections 132(g) and 136(c)(30) of the Planning Code. Violation of the permit requirement is an infraction that can result in a criminal penalty of up to \$100 for a first violation, up to \$200 for a second violation within one year, and up to \$500 for a third violation within one year.

Amendments to Current Law

This ordinance provides the following additional enforcement tools to achieve compliance with the front yard setback permit requirements:

- The civil penalty of up to \$500 per day and criminal penalties that are set forth in Section 103A of the Building Code will apply;
- The Department will issue a Notice of Municipal Code Violation (NOV) pursuant to Section 102A.4 of the Building Code that requires the owner or owner's agent to apply for and obtain a permit to bring the existing surface in the front yard setback area into compliance with current Municipal Code requirements, including but not limited to the Building and Planning Codes.
- Violations are expressly subject to the Building Code's investigation fees for work
 without permit or work exceeding the scope of an approved permit, and the Department
 will be entitled to recover its costs of abatement pursuant to Section 103A of the
 Building Code.
- During inspections required for work performed under permits issued by the Department, the inspector will verify compliance with the front yard setback permit

requirements, and any violation will be required to be corrected under that existing permit.

Background Information

Planning Code Section 132(g) sets forth the requirements for landscaping and permeable surfaces in the front setback area. It provides that the front setback shall be appropriately landscaped, meet any applicable water use requirements of Chapter 63 of the Administrative Code, and in every case not less than 20% of the required setback area shall be and remain unpaved. These requirements are triggered by (1) construction of a new building, (2) the addition of a new dwelling unit, garage, or additional parking; (3) any addition to a structure that would result in an increase of 20% or more of the existing gross floor area; (4) a residential merger; or (6) paving or repaving more than 200 square feet of the front setback. Pursuant to Section 132(h), the front setback area shall be at least 50% permeable so as to increase stormwater infiltration. Section 136(c)(30), also referenced in Building Code Section 106A.1.13, allows driveways meeting specified requirements as obstructions in required setback areas.

In Chapter 63 of the Administrative Code (the "Water Efficient Irrigation Ordinance"), the Board of Supervisors found that irrigated landscapes contribute significantly to the quality of life of the people of San Francisco. They provide areas for active and passive recreation and as an enhancement to the environment by cleaning air and water, and add to the natural beauty of San Francisco's commercial, industrial, and residential neighborhoods. This ordinance will provide additional tools to enforce the Code requirements.

n:\legana\as2018\1800641\01291273.docx

BUILDING INSPECTION COMMISSION (BIC)

Voice (415) 558-6164 - Fax (415) 558-6509 Department of Building Inspection 1660 Mission Street, San Francisco, California 94103-2414

September 20, 2018

London N. Breed Mayor

Ms. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

COMMISSION

Board of Supervisors, City Hall

Angus McCarthy

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

President

San Francisco, CA 94102-4694

Debra Walker Vice-President Dear Ms. Calvillo:

Kevin Clinch John Konstin Frank Lee Sam Moss James Warshell RE: File No.180778

Sonya Harris Secretary

Ordinance amending the Building Code to modify the penalty for constructing an impervious surface in the front yard setback without a permit; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward the Ordinance to the California

Building Standards Commission upon final passage.

Tom C. Hui Director

The Building Inspection Commission met and held a public hearing on September 19, 2018 regarding File No. 180778 on the proposed amendment to the San Francisco Building Code referenced above. The Commissioners voted unanimously to support this proposed amendment.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at 558-6164.

The Commissioners voted as follows:

President McCarthy Commissioner Clinch Yes Excused Vice-President Walker Commissioner Konstin

Yes Yes

Commissioner Lee Commissioner Warshell

Yes Excused Commissioner Moss

Yes

Sincerely,

Xlongu Haro Sonya Harris

Commission Secretary

CC:

Tom C. Hui, S.E., Director Mayor London N. Breed Supervisor Ahsha Safai Board of Supervisors

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

September 24, 2018

File No. 180778

Lisa Gibson Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Gibson:

On July 24, 2018, Supervisor Safai introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 180778

Ordinance amending the Building Code to modify the penalty for constructing an impervious surface in the front yard setback without a permit; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward the Ordinance to the California Building Standards Commission upon final passage.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Laura Lynch, Environmental Planning Not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15378 and 15060(c) (2) because it does not result in a physical change in the environment.

Joy

Navarrete

To:

BOS Legislation, (BOS)

Subject:

RE: Public Comment: File 180778

From: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) Sent: Monday, July 30, 2018 8:26 AM

To: BOS-Supervisors < bos-supervisors@sfgov.org >; BOS Legislation, (BOS) < bos.legislation@sfgov.org >

Subject: FW: Public Comment: File 180778

From: Thomas Busse [mailto:tjbussesf@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, July 28, 2018 11:29 PM

To: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) < board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org >

Subject: Public Comment: File 180778

This proposal is yet another feel-good False Green Flag.

San Francisco is already one of the most energy-efficient cities in the country, and some environmentalists have seen an eyesore in certain blocks in the Avenues for paved-over driveways. They need to get over it. This impact is so negligible, the cost of this proposal is so out of proportion it's undefined, as you cannot divide by zero environmental gain.

Some disabled individuals benefit from these laws because it increases their mobility. A good friend of mine is a disabled vet, and he requires greater turning radius to maneuver to a garage in his house in the avenues. He purchased the building specifically because the paved over front yard gave both extra room for his special van elevator combined with direct floor-level access through the garage.

Second, San Francisco has a parking shortage, and this has a disproprotionate impact in the Avenues where many working families with two cars park the second in the driveway. MUNI does not cut it. Period. The average bus moves at 7mph in those areas and it takes 75-80 minutes to get across the city. This proposal might get feel-good points for the Environmentalists who have hurt yet another middle class family by forcing them into poverty.

Third, I am concerned about the quality of San Francisco's groundwater given the century of industrial activity over San Francisco's aquifers. We were lied to about Radiological contamination, and how much of that seeps down - giving out school children a healthy dose of strontium?

Finally, let's be honest: this is about the SFPUC's revenue bonds and "spreading grounds" are something that can be taxed for the super sewer, because they blew all the money on Zula Jones.

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Tom Hui, Director, Department of Building Inspection

Sonya Harris, Secretary, Building Inspection Commission

FROM:

Erica Major, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

DATE:

July 31, 2018

SUBJECT:

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use* and Transportation Committee has received the following legislation, introduced by Supervisor Safai on July 24, 2018:

File No. 180778

Ordinance amending the Building Code to modify the penalty for constructing an impervious surface in the front yard setback without a permit; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward the Ordinance to the California Building Standards Commission upon final passage.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Charter, Section D3.750-5, for public hearing and recommendation. It is pending before the Land Use and Transportation Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Please forward me the Commission's recommendation and reports at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: alisa.somera@sfgov.org.

c: William Strawn, Department of Building Inspection Carolyn Jayin, Department of Building Inspection

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

September 24, 2018

File No. 180778

Lisa Gibson Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Gibson:

On July 24, 2018, Supervisor Safai introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 180778

Ordinance amending the Building Code to modify the penalty for constructing an impervious surface in the front yard setback without a permit; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward the Ordinance to the California Building Standards Commission upon final passage.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Laura Lynch, Environmental Planning

	Westside = best side! <westsidebestsidesf@< p=""></westsidebestsidesf@<>	nggmail.com>
Sent:	Monday, November 26, 2018 1:26 PM	
To:	Tang, Katy (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Kim, J	
Cc:	Summers, Ashley (BOS); Ho, Jessica (BOS); N 11/26 LUTC	Ma, Annie (BOS); Major, Erica (BOS)
Subject:	11/26 LOTC	
	•	
This message is from out	side the City email system. Do not open links or a	attachments from untrusted sources.
Dear Members of the Land	Use and Transportation Committee,	
On hehelf of the 240 feller	in Wasteida - hast sidal a community anger	insting of maighbourg advancting for
	in <i>Westside</i> = <i>best side!</i> , a community organ some transit in the Sunset, Parkside, Lakesho	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2	like to express our SUPPORT for two propos	•
,,,	Tariff	T
Required Permit": it is about concrete, almost always for This not only results in water extending past the propert	es for Constructing an Impervious Surface in ut time property owners face real consequent the sole reason of using their front yard as peter not being able to percolate into the grount line and into the sidewalk/pedestrian right of the ity issue. For this reason we SUPPORT this using this legislation.	ces for covering open/green space with parking, which is an unpermitted use. d anymore but often also parked vehicle of way. This is not only an eyesore but
years to act in order to lim is not only outdated policy anymore, parking to be bu Additionally, for the same expensive to build, this wi	eet Parking Requirements": in a transit-first of it the devastating impacts of climate change, it is also completely irresponsible. This legill. This is a great first step towards reducing building envelope, less parking will mean mill help in making those homes affordable "by ment. A no brainer for us to SUPPORT and ip on this.	having minimum parking requirements islation would still allow, but not requir our City's addiction to private cars. fore homes, and because parking is really design". This legislation is pro-housing
Thanks for your considera	tion.	
Jimmy La Westside = best side! com	munity organizer and District 4 resident	

2309 Noriega Street PMB 67 San Francisco, CA 94122

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	Westside = best side! <westsidebestsidesf@g Monday, November 26, 2018 1:26 PM Tang, Katy (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Kim, Jar Summers, Ashley (BOS); Ho, Jessica (BOS); Ma 11/26 LUTC</westsidebestsidesf@g 	ne (BOS)
This message is from outside	the City email system. Do not open links or att	tachments from untrusted sources.
Dear Members of the Land Use	e and Transportation Committee,	
abundant housing and awesom	Vestside = best side!, a community organize transit in the Sunset, Parkside, Lakeshor to express our SUPPORT for two propose	e, and West of Twin Peaks
Required Permit": it is about to concrete, almost always for the This not only results in water mextending past the property line	re Constructing an Impervious Surface in the me property owners face real consequence es sole reason of using their front yard as part to being able to percolate into the ground e and into the sidewalk/pedestrian right of ssue. For this reason we SUPPORT this or ag this legislation.	es for covering open/green space with arking, which is an unpermitted use. anymore but often also parked vehicle way. This is not only an eyesore but
years to act in order to limit the is not only outdated policy, it is anymore, parking to be built. T Additionally, for the same built expensive to build, this will he	Parking Requirements": in a transit-first cite devastating impacts of climate change, he is also completely irresponsible. This legisly his is a great first step towards reducing or ding envelope, less parking will mean more lip in making those homes affordable "by ont. A no brainer for us to SUPPORT and with this.	having minimum parking requirements lation would still allow, but not require our City's addiction to private cars. re homes, and because parking is really design". This legislation is pro-housing
Thanks for your consideration.		
Jimmy La Westside = best side! commun	ity organizer and District 4 resident	
x		

2309 Noriega Street PMB 67 San Francisco, CA 94122

Print Form

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

BOARD OF	CETY ED I SUPERVISORS D'ANCISCO
2018 JUL	Time stamp

[hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	ZUN JUL for meeting date 9
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Char	ter Amendment).
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	and the first of the section of the
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.	
4. Request for letter beginning: "Supervisor	inquiries"
5. City Attorney Request.	
6. Call File No. from Committee.	
7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion).	
8. Substitute Legislation File No.	
9. Reactivate File No.	
10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on	
☐ Small Business Commission ☐ Youth Commission ☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Inspective For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda),	☐ Ethics Commission ction Commission use the Imperative Form.
Sponsor(s):	•
Supervisor Ahsha Safai	
Subject:	
	Yard Setback Without the Required
Subject: Building Code - Penalties for Constructing an Impervious Surface in the Front	Yard Setback Without the Required
Subject: Building Code - Penalties for Constructing an Impervious Surface in the Front Permit	an impervious surface in the front yard nder the California Environmental
Subject: Building Code - Penalties for Constructing an Impervious Surface in the Front Permit The text is listed: Ordinance amending the Building Code to modify the penalty for constructing setback without a permit; affirming the Planning Department's determination of Quality Act; and directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to forward the	an impervious surface in the front yard nder the California Environmental