File Number: 190060
(Provided by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)

Grant Ordinance Information Form

(Effective July 2011)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors ordinances authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:

- 1. Grant Title: Leveraging Collaboration to End Domestic Violence: Systems-Level Change to Prevent Domestic Violence in the Bayview District of San Francisco.
- 2. Department: Status of Women

3. Contact Person: Emily M. Murase, PhD

Telephone: 415-252-2571

4. Grant Approval Status (check one):

[X] Approved by funding agency

[] Not yet approved

- 5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$384,562
- 6. a. Matching Funds Required: \$0
 - b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable):
- 7. a. Grant Source Agency: Blue Shield of California Foundation
 - b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable):
- 8. Proposed Grant Project Summary: To provide support for the City & County of San Francisco to create a multi-sector collaborative that will engage youth and families to implement community-specific prevention strategies that challenge and change gender norms and promote healthy relationships.
- 9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:

Start-Date:

01/01/2019

End-Date: 12/31/2020

- 10. Number of new positions created and funded: 0.5 FTE 1820 Junior Administrative Analyst at Department on the Status of Women
- 11. Explain the disposition of employees once the grant ends? The Junior Administrative Analyst position will terminate.
- **12.** a. Amount budgeted for contractual services:
 - b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? No. The project is a collaboration with three community organizations that have partnered on this application to lead development of a multi-sector collaborative, create digital media, and implement healthy relationships curriculum into their programming.

13. a. Does the budget include indirect costs?	
[] Yes [X] No b. 1. If yes, how much? \$	
b. 2. How was the amount calculated?	
c. 1. If no, why are indirect costs not included?	
[] Not allowed by granting agency [X] To maximize use of grant funds on direct services [] Other (please explain):	
c. 2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs?	
If calculated at 10% of salaries, the indirect costs for	this program would have been \$7,478.
14. Any other significant grant requirements or comments: None.	
Disability Access Checklist*	
15. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):	
[X] Existing Site(s) [] Existing Structure(s)	[X] Existing Program(s) or Service(s)
[] Rehabilitated Site(s) [] Rehabilitated Structure(s) [] New Site(s) [] New Structure(s)	[] New Program(s) or Service(s)
16. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and	
concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with other Federal, State and local access laws and regulations and	
disabilities, or will require unreasonable hardship exceptions, as	· ·
Comments:	
Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:	
Carol Sacco	
(Name)	
Department ADA Coordinator/ Associate Director (Title)	
NO0, 19 2018	(000
Date Reviewed:	(Signature Required)
Overall Department Head on Designer Assessed	(c.g.,c.a.,c.,c.,d.,c.,e)
Overall Department Head or Designee Approval:	
Emily M. Murase, PhD	
(Name)	
<u>Director</u>	
(Title)	Enma
Date Reviewed: 12-19-2018	(Simpature Paguired)
	(Signature Required)

If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business

Enterprise (LBE) requirements? Sub-contractors are non-profits, not businesses.

Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? One-time.

C.

d.