[Conditional Use Revocation	n.]
-----------------------------	----	---

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ordinance amending the San Francisco Planning Code by amending Section 303 to provide for the revocation of a conditional use authorization upon a finding that the applicant provided false information in obtaining the conditional use; adopting findings under Planning Code Section 302.

7 Note: Additions are <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman;</u> deletions are <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman</u>.
8 Board amendment additions are double underlined.

Board amendment deletions are strikethrough normal.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, the Board of Supervisors hereby finds that this ordinance will serve the public necessity, convenience and welfare.

Section 2. The San Francisco Planning Code is hereby amended by amending Section 303, to read as follows:

SEC. 303. CONDITIONAL USES.

(a) General. The City Planning Commission shall hear and make determinations regarding applications for the authorization of conditional uses in the specific situations in which such authorization is provided for elsewhere in this Code. The procedures for conditional uses shall be as specified in this Section and in Sections 306 through 306.6, except that Planned Unit Developments shall in addition be subject to Section 304, medical institutions and post-secondary educational institutions shall in addition be subject to the institutional master plan requirements of Section 304.5, and conditional use and Planned Unit Development applications filed pursuant to Article 7, or otherwise required by this Code for uses or features in Neighborhood Commercial Districts, and conditional use applications within South of Market Districts, shall be subject to the provisions set forth in Sections 316

- through 316.8 of this Code, in lieu of those provided for in Sections 306.2 and 306.3 of this

 Code, with respect to scheduling and notice of hearings, and in addition to those provided for
- 3 in Sections 306.4 and 306.5 of this Code, with respect to conduct of hearings and
- 4 reconsideration.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- (b) Initiation. A conditional use action may be initiated by application of the owner, or authorized agent for the owner, of the property for which the conditional use is sought.
- (c) Determination. After its hearing on the application, or upon the recommendation of the Director of Planning if the application is filed pursuant to Sections 316 through 316.8 of this Code and no hearing is required, the City Planning Commission shall approve the application and authorize a conditional use if the facts presented are such to establish:
- (1) That the proposed use or feature, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable for, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community
- (A) In Neighborhood Commercial Districts, if the proposed use is to be located at a location in which the square footage exceeds the limitations found in Planning Code § 121.2(a) or 121.2(b), the following shall be considered:
- (i) The intensity of activity in the district is not such that allowing the larger use will be likely to foreclose the location of other needed neighborhood-servicing uses in the area; and
- (ii) The proposed use will serve the neighborhood, in whole or in significant part, and the nature of the use requires a larger size in order to function; and
- (iii) The building in which the use is to be located is designed in discrete elements which respect the scale of development in the district; and
- (2) That such use or feature as proposed will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity, or

1	injurious to p	property, improvements or potential development in the vicinity, with respect to		
2	aspects incl	uding but not limited to the following:		
3	(A)	The nature of the proposed site, including its size and shape, and the proposed		
4	size, shape	and arrangement of structures;		
5	(B)	The accessibility and traffic patterns for per-sons and vehicles, the type and		
6	volume of such traffic, and the adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;			
7	(C)	The safeguards afforded to prevent noxious or offensive emissions such as		
8	noise, glare, dust and odor;			
9	(D)	Treatment given, as appropriate, to such aspects as landscaping, screening,		
10	open space	s, parking and loading areas, service areas, lighting and signs; and		
11	(3)	That such use or feature as proposed will comply with the applicable provisions		
12	of this Code and will not adversely affect the Master Plan; and			
13	(4)	With respect to applications filed pursuant to Article 7 of this Code, that such use		
14	or feature as	s proposed will provide development that is in conformity with the stated purpose		
15	of the applicable Neighborhood Commercial District, as set forth in zoning control category .1			
16	of Sections	710 through 729 of this Code; and		
17	(5)(A) With respect to applications filed pursuant to Article 7, Section 703.2(a), zoning		
18	categories .	46, .47, and .48, in addition to the criteria set forth above in Section 303(c)(1—4),		
19	that such us	se or feature will:		
20	(i)	Not be located within 1,000 feet of another such use, if the proposed use or		
21	feature is included in zoning category .47, as defined by Section 790.36 of this Code; and/or			
22	(ii)	Not be open between two a.m. and six a.m.; and		
23	(iii)	Not use electronic amplification between midnight and six a.m.; and		
24	(iv)	Be adequately soundproofed or insulated for noise and operated so that		

incidental noise shall not be audible beyond the premises or in other sections of the building

- and fixed-source equipment noise shall not exceed the decibel levels specified in the San
 Francisco Noise Control Ordinance.
 - (B) Notwithstanding the above, the City Planning Commission may authorize a conditional use which does not satisfy the criteria set forth in (5)(A)(ii) and/or (5)(A)(iii) above, if facts presented are such to establish that the use will be operated in such a way as to minimize disruption to residences in and around the district with respect to noise and crowd control.
 - (C) The action of the Planning Commission approving a conditional use does not take effect until the appeal period is over or while the approval is under appeal.
 - (6) With respect to applications for live/work units in RH and RM Districts filed pursuant to Section 209.9(f) or 209.9(h) of this Code, that:
 - (A) Each live/work unit is within a building envelope in existence on the effective date of Ordinance No. 412-88 (effective October 10, 1988) and also within a portion of the building which lawfully contains at the time of application a nonconforming, nonresidential use;
 - (B) There shall be no more than one live/work unit for each 1,000 gross square feet of floor area devoted to live/work units within the subject structure; and
 - (C) The project sponsor will provide any off-street parking, in addition to that otherwise required by this Code, needed to satisfy the reasonably anticipated auto usage by residents of and visitors to the project.

Such action of the City Planning Commission, in either approving or disapproving the application, shall be final except upon the filing of a valid appeal to the Board of Supervisors as provided in Section 308.1.

(d) Conditions. When considering an application for a conditional use as provided herein with respect to applications for development of "dwellings" as defined in Chapter 87 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, the Commission shall comply with that Chapter which

- requires, among other things, that the Commission not base any decision regarding the development of "dwellings" in which "protected class" members are likely to reside on information which may be discriminatory to any member of a "protected class" (as all such terms are defined in Chapter 87 of the San Francisco Administrative Code). In addition, when authorizing a conditional use as provided herein, the City Planning Commission, or the Board of Supervisors on appeal, shall prescribe such additional conditions, beyond those specified in this Code, as are in its opinion necessary to secure the objectives of the Code. Once any portion of the conditional use authorization is utilized, all such conditions pertaining to such authorization shall become immediately operative. The violation of any condition so imposed shall constitute a violation of this Code and may constitute grounds for revocation of the conditional use authorization. Such conditions may include time limits for exercise of the conditional use authorization; otherwise, any exercise of such authorization must commence within a reasonable time.
- (e) Modification of Conditions. Authorization of a change in any condition previously imposed in the authorization of a conditional use shall be subject to the same procedures as a new conditional use. Such procedures shall also apply to applications for modification or waiver of conditions set forth in prior stipulations and covenants relative thereto continued in effect by the provisions of Section 174 of this Code.
- (f) Conditional Use Abatement. The Planning Commission may consider the possible revocation of a conditional use or the possible modification of or placement of additional conditions on a conditional use when the Planning Commission determines, based upon substantial evidence, that the applicant for the conditional use had submitted false information in the application process or the conditional use is not in compliance with a condition of approval, is in violation of law if the violation is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Planning Commission, or operates in such a manner as to create hazardous, noxious or

- offensive conditions enumerated in Section 202(c) if the violation is within the subject matter
- 2 jurisdiction of the Planning Commission and these circumstances have not been abated
- 3 through administrative action of the Director, the Zoning Administrator or other City authority.
- 4 Such consideration shall be the subject of a public hearing before the Planning Commission
- 5 but no fee shall be required of the applicant or the subject conditional use operator.
 - (1) The Director of Planning or the Planning Commission may seek a public hearing on conditional use abatement when the Director or Commission has substantial evidence that *the applicant for the conditional use had submitted false information in the application process or substantial evidence* of a violation of conditions of approval, a violation of law, or operation which creates hazardous, noxious or offensive conditions enumerated in Section 202(c).
 - (2) The notice for the public hearing on a conditional use abatement shall be subject to the notification procedure as described in Sections 306.3 and 306.8 except that notice to the property owner and the operator of the subject establishment or use shall be mailed by regular and certified mail.
 - (3) In considering a conditional use revocation, the Commission shall consider whether and how the false information submitted by the applicant affected the decision of the Commission, or the Board of Supervisors on appeal, to authorize the conditional use, substantial evidence of how any required condition has been violated or not implemented or how the conditional use is in violation of the law if the violation is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Planning Commission or operates in such a manner as to create hazardous, noxious or offensive conditions enumerated in Section 202(c) if the violation is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Planning Commission. As an alternative to revocation, the Commission may consider how the use can be required to meet the law or the conditions of approval, how the hazardous, noxious or offensive conditions can be abated, or how the criteria of Section

- 303(c) can be met by modifying existing conditions or by adding new conditions which could remedy a violation.
 - (4) Appeals. A decision by the Planning Commission to revoke a conditional use, to modify conditions or to place additional conditions on a conditional use or a decision by the Planning Commission refusing to revoke or amend a conditional use, may be appealed to the Board of Supervisors within 30 days after the date of action by the Planning Commission pursuant to the provisions of Section 308.1(b) The Board of Supervisors may disapprove the action of the Planning Commission in an abatement matter by the same vote necessary to overturn the Commission's approval or denial of a conditional use. The Planning Commission's action on a conditional use abatement issue shall take effect when the appeal period is over or, upon appeal, when there is final action on the appeal.
 - (5) Reconsideration. The decision by the Planning Commission with regards to a conditional use abatement issue or by the Board of Supervisors on appeal shall be final and not subject to reconsideration within a period of one year from the effective date of final action upon the earlier abatement proceeding, unless the Director of Planning determines that:
 - (A) There is substantial new evidence of a new conditional use abatement issue that is significantly different than the issue previously considered by the Planning Commission; or
 - (B) There is substantial new evidence about the same conditional use abatement issue considered in the earlier abatement proceeding, this new evidence was not or could not be reasonably available at the time of the earlier abatement proceeding, and that new evidence indicates that the Commission's decision in the earlier proceeding has not been implemented within a reasonable time or raises significant new issues not previously considered by the Planning Commission. The decision of the Director of Planning regarding the sufficiency and adequacy of evidence to allow the reconsideration of a conditional use

1	abatement issue within a period of one year from the effective date of final action on the		
2	earlier abatement proceeding shall be final.		
3	(g)	Hotels and Motels.	
4	(1)	With respect to applications for development of tourist hotels and motels, the	
5	Planning Commission shall consider, in addition to the criteria set forth in Subsections (c) and		
6	(d) above:		
7	(A)	The impact of the employees of the hotel or motel on the demand in the City for	
8	housing, public transit, childcare, and other social services. To the extent relevant, the		
9	Commission shall also consider the seasonal and part-time nature of employment in the hotel		
10	or motel;		
11	(B)	The measures that will be taken by the project sponsor to employ residents of	
12	San Francisco in order to minimize increased demand for regional transportation; and		
13	(C)	The market demand for a hotel or motel of the type proposed.	
14	(2)	Notwithstanding the provisions of Sub-sections (f)(1) above, the Planning	
15	Commission	shall not consider the impact of the employees of a proposed hotel or motel	
16	project on th	ne demand in the City for housing where:	
17	(A)	The proposed project would be located on property under the jurisdiction of the	
18	San Francis	sco Port Commission; and	
19	(B)	The sponsor of the proposed project has been granted exclusive rights to	
20	propose the	project by the San Francisco Port Commission prior to June 1, 1991.	
21	(3)	Notwithstanding the provisions of Subsection (f)(1) above, with respect to the	
22	conversion	of residential units to tourist hotel or motel use pursuant to an application filed on	
23	or before June 1, 1990 under the provisions of Chapter 41 of the San Francisco		
24	Administrative Code, the Planning Commission shall not consider the criteria contained in		

Subsection (f)(1) above; provided, however, that the Planning Commission shall consider the

1	criteria contained in Subsection (f)(1)(B) at a separate public hearing if the applicant applies
2	for a permit for new construction or alteration where the cost of such construction or alteration
3	exceeds \$100,000. Furthermore, no change in classification from principal permitted use to
4	conditional use in Section 216(b)(i) of this Code shall apply to hotels or motels that have filed
5	applications on or before June 1, 1990 to convert residential units to tourist units pursuant to
6	Chapter 41 of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

(h) Internet Services Exchange.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- (1) With respect to application for development of Internet Services Exchange as defined in Section 209.6(c), the Planning Commission shall, in addition to the criteria set forth in Subsection (c) above, find that:
- (A) The intensity of the use at this location and in the surrounding neighborhood is not such that allowing the use will likely foreclose the location of other needed neighborhood-serving uses in the area;
- (B) The building in which the use is located is designed in discrete elements, which respect the scale of development in adjacent blocks, particularly any existing residential uses;
- (C) Rooftop equipment on the building in which the use is located is screened appropriately.
- (D) The back-up power system for the proposed use will comply with all applicable federal state, regional and local air pollution controls.
- (E) Fixed-source equipment noise does not exceed the decibel levels specified in the San Francisco Noise Control Ordinance.
- (F) The building is designed to minimize energy consumption, such as through the use of energy-efficient technology, including without limitation, heating, ventilating and air conditioning systems, lighting controls, natural ventilation and recapturing waste heat, and as such commercially available technology evolves;

- (G) The project sponsor has examined the feasibility of supplying and, to the extent feasible, will supply all or a portion of the building's power needs through on-site power generation, such as through the use of fuel cells or co-generation;
 - (H) The project sponsor shall have submitted design capacity and projected power use of the building as part of the conditional use application; and
 - (2) As a condition of approval, and so long as the use remains an Internet Services Exchange, the project sponsor shall submit to the Planning Department on an annual basis power use statements for the previous twelve-month period as provided by all suppliers of utilities and shall submit a written annual report to the Department of Environment and the Planning Department which shall state: (a) the annual energy consumption and fuel consumption of all tenants and occupants of the Internet Services Exchange; (b) the number of all diesel generators located at the site and the hours of usage, including usage for testing purposes; (c) evidence that diesel generators at the site are in compliance with all applicable local, regional, state and federal permits, regulations and laws; and (d) such other information as the Planning Commission may require.
 - (3) The Planning Department shall have the following responsibilities regarding Internet Services Exchanges:
 - (A) Upon the effective date of the requirement of a conditional use permit for an Internet Services Exchange, the Planning Department shall notify property owners of all existing Internet Services Exchanges that the use has been reclassified as a conditional use;
 - (B) Upon the effective date of the requirement of a conditional use permit for an Internet Services Exchange, the Planning Department shall submit to the Board of Supervisors and to the Director of the Department of Building Inspection a written report covering all existing Internet Services Exchanges and those Internet Services Exchanges seeking to obtain a conditional use permit, which report shall state the address, assessor's

1	block and lot, zoning classification, square footage of the Internet Services Exchange		
2	constructed or to be constructed, a list of permits previously issued by the Planning and/or		
3	Building Inspection Departments concerning the Internet Services Exchange, the date of		
4	issuance of such permits, and the status of any outstanding requests for permits from the		
5	Planning and/or Building Inspection Departments concerning Internet Services Exchange; and		
6	(C) Within three years from the effective date of the requirement of a conditional use		
7	permit for an Internet Services Exchange, the Planning Department, in consultation with the		
8	Department of Environment, shall submit to the Board of Supervisors a written report, which		
9	report shall contain the Planning Commission's evaluation of the effectiveness of the		
10	conditions imposed on Internet Services Exchanges, and whether it recommends additional or		
11	modified conditions to reduce energy and fuel consumption, limit air pollutant emissions, and		
12	enhance the compatibility of industrial uses, such as Internet Services Exchanges, located		
13	near or in residential or commercial districts.		
14	ADDDOVED AC TO FORM		
15	APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney		
16	D		
17	By: JUDITH A. BOYAJIAN		
18	Deputy City Attorney		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			