1	[Reversing adoption of the negative declaration for 40-50 Lansing Street]
2	
3	Motion reversing the Planning Commission adoption of the negative declaration for 40
4	50 Lansing Street.
5	
6	WHEREAS, The project sponsor for a development at 40-50 Lansing Street (the
7	"Project") submitted its application for environmental review of the Project on April 25, 2002
8	(City Planning File No. 2002.0446E); and
9	WHEREAS, The San Francisco Planning Department (the "Department") issued a
10	preliminary mitigated negative declaration for this Project on October 19, 2002 (the "negative
11	declaration"). A copy of said document is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in
12	File No. 030376 and is incorporated by reference herein; and
13	WHEREAS, On November 8, 2002, the negative declaration was timely appealed to
14	the San Francisco Planning Commission (the "Commission"); and
15	WHEREAS, On February 20, 2003, the Commission held a duly noticed public hearing
16	on the negative declaration appeal; and
17	WHEREAS, On said date, the Commission reviewed and considered the preliminary
18	mitigated negative declaration, as amended, the appeal letter, a responses to concerns
19	document that the Department prepared, and public testimony. By Motion No. 16530, the
20	Commission found that the contents of the negative declaration and the procedures through
21	which it was prepared, publicized, and reviewed complied with the provisions of the California
22	Environmental Quality Act (Cal. Pub. Res. Code sections 21000 et seq., hereinafter "CEQA")
23	the State CEQA Guidelines (Cal. Code Regs. Title 14, sections 15000 et seq., ("CEQA
24	Guidelines") and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code ("Chapter 31"); and
25	

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 1 7/27/2011

1	WHEREAS, By said Motion No. 16530, the Commission found the negative declaration
2	reflected its independent judgment and analysis and was adequate, accurate, and objective,
3	and adopted the negative declaration in compliance with CEQA, the State CEQA Guidelines,
4	and Chapter 31. Said motion is on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 030376 and is
5	incorporated by reference herein; and
6	WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors dated February 20, 2003
7	(as amended by subsequent letter dated February 24, 2003), Patrick M. Malone filed an
8	appeal of the negative declaration to the Board of Supervisors; and
9	WHEREAS, The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors received this appeal letter on or
10	around February 20, 2003; and
11	WHEREAS, On March 25, 2003, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to
12	consider the appeal of the negative declaration; and
13	WHEREAS, This Board has reviewed and considered the negative declaration and
14	heard testimony and received public comment regarding the adequacy of this document; and
15	WHEREAS, The negative declaration files and all correspondence and other
16	documents have been made available for review by this Board, the Commission and the
17	public. These files are available for public review by appointment at the Planning Department
18	offices at 1660 Mission Street, and are part of the record before this Board by reference
19	herein; and
20	WHEREAS, Since the Planning Commission action on the negative declaration, there
21	is no new information of significance that would require a substantial revision to the negative
22	declaration and necessitate recirculation of said document pursuant to CEQA Guideline
23	section 15073.5; now, therefore, be it
24	MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors reverses the Planning Commission's adoption
25	of the negative declaration.