## AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE

FILE NO. 031831

RESOLUTION NO.

1	[Agreement to exchange real property related to the former Central Freeway parcels in the Hayes Valley neighborhood.]
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Resolution approving and authorizing agreements for the exchange by the City of certain real property known generally as a portion of Block 761, Lot 23 with the State of California for those certain parcels described generally as portions of Block 792, Lot 28, Block 808, Lot 36, and Block 785, Lot 29; adopting findings pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act; adopting findings that the conveyance is consistent with the City's general plan and eight priority policies of City Planning Code Section 101.1; and authorizing the Director of Property to execute documents and take certain actions in furtherance of this resolution.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WHEREAS, The City has acquired certain real property consisting of the area formerly occupied by the Central Freeway, including that parcel described generally as a portion of Block 761, Lot 23 ("City Parcel B"), which constitutes approximately 12,642 square feet, from the State of California, Department of Transportation (the "State"), pursuant to Section 72.1 or the California Streets and Highways Code and Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 000667; and,  WHEREAS, City Parcel B has an irregular shape, and the State owns parcels adjacent to City Parcel B, which can be developed along with City Parcel B by the State, thereby
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	adding value to and enhancing the ultimate development of City Parcel B by the State; and WHEREAS, The City also acquired certain real property consisting of the area formerly occupied by the Central Freeway, including those parcels described generally as a portion of

Block 785, Lot 29 ("City Parcel F"), which constitutes approximately 18,439 square feet, a

portion of Block 792, Lot 28 ("City Parcel G"), which constitutes approximately 12,370 square

24

1	feet, and a portion of Block 808, Lot 36 ("City Parcel I"), which constitutes approximately
2	16,240 square feet, from the State, pursuant to Section 72.1 of the California Streets and
3	Highways Code and Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 000667; and,
4	WHEREAS, The State is the present owner of certain real property located adjacent to
5	City Parcels F, G and I, generally described as a portion of Block 792, Lot 28 ("State Parcel
6	DOF-1"), a portion of Block 808, Lot 36 ("State Parcel DOF-2"), and a portion of Block 785,
7	Lot 29 ("State Parcels DOF-3 & 4"), collectively the "State Property," which together constitute
8	approximately 21,880 square feet; and,
9	WHEREAS, City Parcels F, G and I are irregularly shaped, and the combination of the
10	State Property with City Parcels F, G and I will create more regularly shaped parcels, thereby
11	adding value to and enhancing the ultimate development of City Parcels F, G and I by the
12	City; and
13	WHEREAS, The additional value gained from enhancing development opportunities for
14	City Parcels F, G and I will support the replacement of the former Central Freeway with a
15	ground-level boulevard along Octavia Street from Market Street to Fell Street, as described in
16	that certain Cooperative Agreement between the City and the State, dated November 29,
17	2000 (the "Octavia Boulevard Project"), and will support the development of housing, including
18	affordable housing, consistent with Proposition I, which was approved by the voters in
19	November 1999; and,
20	WHEREAS, The State desires to obtain City Parcel B in order to support and provide
21	for its present and future facilities; and,
22	WHEREAS, The City and the State desire to support the Octavia Boulevard Project
23	and facilitate the development of new State facilities in San Francisco by exchanging City
24	Parcel B with the State Property as described in the copy of the proposed Parcel Exchange
25	Agreement between the State and the City, dated November 2003 (the "Exchange

1	Agreement"), on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No, which
2	is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; and,
3	WHEREAS, Based on current valuations the City and the State have concluded that
4	the State Property fair market value exceeds the fair market value of City Parcel B by
5	approximately \$1,004,500, and pursuant to State Legislation, Chapter 610, Statutes of 2001,
6	said value differential shall be deemed by both the City and the State as a subvention by the
7	State augmenting the supply of affordable housing and facilitating the development of new
8	State facilities in San Francisco; and,
9	WHEREAS, The Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco (the
10	"Agency") has determined that the property exchange will result in the addition of
11	approximately 17 affordable housing units to the total number of housing units produced in the
12	former Central Freeway corridor; and,
13	WHEREAS, The City will ensure the realization of the additional affordable housing
14	units by (1) transferring State Parcel DOF-1 to the Agency pursuant to that certain Option
15	Agreement for the Purchase and Sale of Real Estate between the City and the Agency, dated
16	March 19, 2002, for development, along with the adjacent City Parcel G, as 100% affordable
17	housing, and (2) the recordation of the Declarations of Covenants, Conditions and
18	Restrictions requiring the inclusion of 15% affordable housing units in developments on State
19	Parcel DOF-3 and State Parcel DOF-4 and the adjacent City Parcel F, and on State
20	Parcel DOF-2 and the adjacent City Parcel I; and,
21	WHEREAS, The 100% affordable housing requirement on State Parcel DOF-1 and the
22	15% affordable housing requirement on State Parcels DOF-2, DOF-3 and DOF-4 utilized in
23	the Octavia Boulevard Project are in excess of the 10% affordable housing requirement that is
24	typically imposed by the Planning Code on projects that do not require a conditional use
25	permit; and

1	WHEREAS, The State, pursuant to Chapter 610, Statutes of 2001 and upon approval
2	of the State Public Works Board, has full power and authority to exchange with the City the
3	State Property for the City Parcel B; and,
4	WHEREAS, The proposed exchange of the State Property and the City Parcel B (the
5	"Transfers") is exempt from the California Subdivision Map Act, California Government Code
6	Sections 66410 et seq., because in this instance public policy does not necessitate a parcel
7	map in accordance with Section 66428(a)(2); and
8	WHEREAS, In a letter dated August 6, 2003, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of
9	the Board of Supervisors in File No, the Director of Planning found that the
10	Transfers are consistent with the City's General Plan and with the Eight Priority Policies of
11	City Planning Code Section 101.1, and pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act
12	("CEQA"), State CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code,
13	the Director of Planning also found that the Transfers are categorically exempt from CEQA;
14	now, therefore be it
15	RESOLVED, That in accordance with the recommendations of the Director of Property
16	and the City's Director of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the
17	Transfers and authorizes and urges the Director of Property to execute the necessary
18	agreements, in the name and on behalf of the City, consistent with the Exchange Agreement
19	presented to this Board to effectuate the Transfers; and, be it
20	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Director of Property is hereby authorized and urged,
21	in the name and on behalf of the City, to execute and deliver a quitclaim deed to the State for
22	the City Parcel B upon the closing described in the Exchange Agreement in accordance with
23	the terms and conditions of that agreement, and to take any and all steps (including, but not
24	limited to, the execution and delivery of any and all certificates, agreements, notices,

consents, escrow instructions, closing documents and other instruments or documents) as the

Director of Property and City Attorney deems necessary or appropriate in order to
consummate the Transfers, or to otherwise effectuate the purpose and intent of this
resolution, such determination to be conclusively evidenced by the execution and delivery by
the Director of Property of any such documents; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby finds that it is in the City's best interest to exchange the City Parcel B directly to the State in order to facilitate development of the State Property to increase affordable housing that the public interest or necessity demands, or will not be inconvenienced by, the exchange of City Parcel B, and that because of the irregular shapes of the individual parcels which comprise the City Parcel B and the State Property, competitive bidding would be impractical or impossible in these instances; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors authorizes the Director of Property to enter into any additions, amendments or other modifications to the Transfers (including, without limitation, attachment of exhibits) that the Director of Property and the City Attorney determines are in the best interests of the City, do not materially increase the obligations or liabilities of the City, and are necessary or advisable to complete the Transfers and effectuate the purpose and intent of this resolution, such determination to be conclusively evidenced by the Director's execution and delivery of the Exchange Agreement and any amendments thereto; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds, based on the record before it and in its independent judgment, that the actions proposed in this legislation are categorically exempt from CEQA for the reasons set forth in the CEQA findings of the Director of Planning set forth above and adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth said findings; and, be it

1	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors adopts as its own and
2	incorporates by reference herein as though fully set forth the findings in the Director of
3	Planning's letter referred to above, that the proposed Transfers are in conformity with the
4	General Plan and are consistent with the Eight Priority Policies of Planning Code
5	Section 101.1.
6	RECOMMENDED:
7	
8	
9	Acting Director of Property
10	
11	
12	Director of Public Works
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	