1	[Regulation of Permit Consultants, Commonly Known as Permit Expediters]
2	
3	Ordinance amending the San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code by
4	adding Article V, sections 5.100 to 5.150 to impose registration and disclosure
5	requirements on permit consultants.
6	Note: Additions are <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman</u> ;
7	deletions are strikethrough italies Times New Roman. Board amendment additions are double underlined.
8	Board amendment deletions are strikethrough normal.
9	Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
10	Section 1. The San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code is hereby
11	amended by adding Article V, sections 5.100 through 5.150 to read as follows:
12	Article V
13	Regulation of Permit Consultants, Commonly Known as Permit Expediters
14	Sec. 5.100. FINDINGS.
15	(a) The Board of Supervisors finds that the people of the City and County of San Francisco are
16	entitled to fair and equitable processes for the review and approval of permit applications by City and
17	County departments.
18	(b) The people of the City and County of San Francisco have a right to expect that City and
19	County departments will provide the same high level of service to all customers with properly filled-out
20	and filed permit applications regardless of whether they hire permit consultants expediters to
21	facilitate approval of their permit applications.
22	(c) The Board of Supervisors finds that public disclosure of the identity and extent of efforts of
23	permit consultants expediters to obtain permits issued by City departments on behalf of clients is
24	essential to protect public confidence in the responsiveness and integrity of government and will limit
25	the ability of permit consultants expediters to obtain or create the appearance of obtaining

1	preferential treatment from City and County officers and employees during permit review and approva
2	processes.
3	(d) It is the purpose and intent of the Board of Supervisors to impose reasonable registration
4	and disclosure requirements on permit consultants expediters that will protect the public's
5	confidence in the integrity of the permitting processes of City and County departments.
6	Sec. 5.105. DEFINITIONS.
7	Whenever used in this Article Chapter, the following definitions shall apply:
8	(a) "City and County" means the City and County of San Francisco.
9	(b) "Client" means the person for whose benefit permit consulting expediting services are
10	performed by a permit consultant expediter.
11	(c) "Department" means any department of the City and County of San Francisco.
12	"Department" does not include any other local agency or any federal or state agency, including but no
13	limited to the San Francisco School District, the San Francisco Community College District, the San
14	Francisco Redevelopment Agency, the San Francisco Parking Authority, the San Francisco Health
15	Authority, and the San Francisco Housing Authority.
16	(d) "Domestic partners" means persons who register their partnerships pursuant to the voter-
17	approved Domestic Partnership Ordinance, San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 62 or
18	pursuant to California Family Code Sections 297 to 298.5.
19	(e) "Economic consideration" means any payments, fees, commissions, reimbursements for
20	expenses, gifts, or anything else of value.
21	(f) "Licensed" means licensed by the State of California.
22	(g) "Permit" means any permit issued by a City and County of San Francisco department.
23	(h) "Permit consultant expediter" means any person or entity that receives or is promised
24	economic consideration equaling \$1,000 or more in a calendar year for permit consulting expediting
25	services. The term "permit consultant expeditor" does not include the permit applicant or the permit

1	applicant's spouse or domestic partner, the permit applicant's licensed contractor for the project, the
2	permit applicant's licensed architect for the project, the permit applicant's registered engineer for the
3	project, the permit applicant's lawyer if the lawyer provides only legal services, the permit applicant's
4	employees if the employee(s) provide services in addition to permit consulting expediting services, or
5	or employees of a permit consultant expediter, or any person or entity required under the San
6	Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance, Article II of the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, to
7	register and file disclosure forms with the Ethics Commission and who has in fact registered
8	and filed disclosure forms under that Ordinance, which disclose any information required to be
9	disclosed by this Article.
10	(i) "Permit consulting expediting services" means assisting a client to obtain or oppose
11	approval of a permit through any of the following means: providing information and advice to a client
12	regarding the permit application process and procedure; preparing, completing, submitting or making
13	changes to a permit application; paying permit application fees on behalf of a client; communicating
14	with department officers or employees regarding the contents, procedure or status of a permit
15	application; conveying the permit application through the requisite steps or stages of the permit
16	process; picking up a permit from a department; requesting that a permit be denied for purposes of
17	filing an appeal with the Appeals Board; and representing or assisting a client in an appeal to the
18	Appeals Board from a denial of a permit application.
19	(j) "Registered" means registered by the State of California.
20	Sec. 5.110. PROHIBITIONS.
21	(a) REGISTRATION AND REPORTING. It shall be unlawful for any permit consultant
22	expediter to provide permit consulting expediting services without first registering with the Ethics
23	Commission and complying with the reporting requirements specified in Section 5.115.
24	

25

1	(b) EVASION OF OBLIGATIONS. No permit consultant expeditor-shall attempt to evade the
2	obligations imposed by this Article Chapter through indirect efforts or through the use of agents,
3	associates or employees.
4	Sec. 5.115. REGISTRATION, REREGISTRATION, and FEES.
5	(a) REGISTRATION REPORTS. At the time of initial registration, each permit consultant
6	expediter shall report to the Ethics Commission the following information:
7	(1) The name, business address, business phone number and email address of the permit
8	<u>consultant_expediter;</u>
9	(2) Former service or employment, if any, with the City and County, the department for which
10	he or she served or was employed, and the period of service or employment;
11	(3) The names of any individuals employed by the permit consultant expediter to assist in
12	providing permit consulting expediting services;
13	(4) The names of any former officers or employees of the City and County who are employed by
14	the permit consultant expediter, the departments for which they served or were employed, and the
15	period of service or employment;
16	(5) The name, address and telephone number of each client for whom the permit consultant
17	expediter-provided permit consulting expediting services, during the preceding calendar year.
18	provided that a permit consultant shall not be required to report any information about a client
19	for whom the permit consultant provided permit consulting services prior to the effective date
20	of this Article;
21	(6) A description of the type of permit(s) sought by each client; the department responsible for
22	its issuance; the date the permit application(s) was filed and the date the permit(s) was issued;
23	(7) For each client, the total economic consideration promised by or received from the client in
24	exchange for the provision of permit consulting expediting services, during the preceding calendar
25	

1	year, provided that a permit consultant shall not be required to report any economic
2	consideration promised by or received from a client prior to the effective date of this Article;
3	(8) Any other information required by the Ethics Commission consistent with the purposes and
4	provisions of this Chapter.
5	(b) REREGISTRATION REPORTS. Each permit consultant expediter shall reregister
6	annually no later than January 1st. Each reregistration report shall include all information required
7	by Subsection (a), provided that for the first reregistration report filed by the permit consultant,
8	the time period for which the information required to be reported by this subsection shall be
9	the period between the initial registration report and the first reregistration report.
10	(c) PERMIT CONSULTANT TERMINATION STATEMENTS. A permit consultant sha
11	comply with all the requirements of this Chapter until the permit consultant ceases all activity
12	as a permit consultant and files a statement of termination with the Ethics Commission. The
13	statement of termination must include any information that would be required to be reported
14	on a reregistration report, which the permit consultant has not already disclosed on an initial
15	registration or reregistration report.
16	( <u>de)</u> FEES.
17	(1) At the time of the initial registration and reregistration, each permit consultant expediter
18	shall pay to the Ethics Commission a fee of \$100 established by the Ethics Commission, which
19	shall not exceed \$300.
20	(2) In addition, at At the time of registration and reregistration, each permit consultant
21	expediter shall pay a fee of \$50 for each client.
22	(3) At the time of filing a statement of termination, each permit consultant shall pay a
23	fee of \$50 for each client for whom the permit consultant has not already paid a fee pursuant
24	to Subsection (d)(2). When a client is acquired subsequent to initial registration or
25	

1	reregistration, the per client fee shall be paid at the time of filing the information required by
2	Subsection (d).
3	(4) The Ethics Commission shall deposit fees collected pursuant to this Section in the General
4	Fund of the City and County of San Francisco.
5	(5) The Rules Committee of the Board of Supervisors shall review the amount of the
6	fees imposed by this Section as part of the review process required by Section 5.145.
7	(d) PERMIT CONSULTANT EXPEDITER TERMINATION STATEMENTS. A permit
8	consultant expediter shall comply with all the requirements of this Chapter until the permit
9	consultant expediter ceases all activity as a permit consultant expediter and files a statement
10	of termination with the Ethics Commission.
11	(e) ACCURACY AND COMPLETENESS. Each permit consultant expediter shall verify,
12	under penalty of perjury, the accuracy and completeness of the information provided pursuant to this
13	Section.
14	(f) RETENTION OF BOOKS, PAPERS AND DOCUMENTS. Each permit consultant
15	expediter-shall retain for a period of five years all books, papers and documents necessary to
16	substantiate the reports and statements required under this Chapter.
17	Sec. 5.120. SINGLE REPORTING REQUIREMENT FOR REGISTERED
18	LOBBYISTS.
19	The provisions of this Article, including the prohibition in Section 5.110 and the
20	reporting requirements in Section 5.115, shall not apply to any permit consultant who is
21	required by Article II of the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, the San Francisco
22	Lobbyist Ordinance, to register and file disclosure forms with the Ethics Commission, and who
23	does in fact register and file disclosure forms under that ordinance, and discloses on those
24	forms any information required to be disclosed by Section 5.115 of this Article. The Ethics
25	Commission shall make any necessary changes to the forms required to be filed pursuant to

1	the Lobbyist Ordinance to permit disclosure on those forms of any information required to be
2	disclosed by Section 5.115 of this Article.

## Sec. 5.125. PERMIT APPLICATION PROCESSING.

- (a) EQUAL TREATEMENT OF PERMIT APPLICANTS. It shall be the policy of all departments, officers and employees of the City and County to treat all permit applicants the same regardless of the relationship of the applicant and/or the applicant's representatives to any officer or employee of the City and County and regardless of whether the applicant hires a permit consultant to provide permit consulting services. Intentional preferential treatment of any permit applicant and/or the applicant's representatives by any officer or employee of the City and County shall subject the officer or employee to disciplinary action for official misconduct.
- (b) APPLICATION PRIORITY. It shall be the policy of all departments, officers and employees of the City and County to review, consider, and process all applications, revisions, corrections and other permit-related material in the order in which that type of material is received unless there is a written finding of a public policy basis for not doing so, such as the involvement of public funds in the project for which the permit is sought, or the response to a delay caused by an earlier procedural error in processing the permit or another permit for the same project. Absent such a finding, any officer or employee of the City and County who intentionally fails to review, consider and process all applications, revisions, corrections and other permit-related material in the order in which that type of material is received shall be subject to disciplinary action for official misconduct. Each department of the City and County that is responsible for issuing permits shall adopt written guidelines for determining when there is a public policy basis for processing permit material out of order.
- (c) PERMIT PROCESSING CODE OF CONDUCT. No later than 60 days after the effective date of this Article, the Ethics Commission shall adopt a code of conduct for permit

1	processing (the "Permit Processing Code of Conduct") containing ethical guidelines for permit
2	applicants, permit consultants, and officers and employees of the City and County. The
3	Permit Processing Code of Conduct shall be posted in a conspicuous place in each
4	department, and a copy shall be distributed to each officer of the City and County who makes
5	or participates in making decisions related to permit applications.
6	Sec 5.13020. POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE ETHICS COMMISSION.
7	(a) The Ethics Commission shall provide forms for the reporting of all information required by
8	this Article Chapter.
9	(b) The Ethics Commission shall issue a registration number to each registered permit
10	consultant_expediter.
11	(c) At the time of initial registration and reregistration, the Ethics Commission shall provide
12	the permit consultant expediter with a copy of the City's law regulating permit consultants
13	expediters and any related material the Commission determines will serve the purposes of this
14	<u>ArticleChapter</u> . Each permit consultant expediter must sign a statement acknowledging receipt of
15	these materials.
16	(d) The Ethics Commission shall compile the information provided in registration and
17	reregistration reports filed pursuant to this ArticleChapter as soon as practicable and shall forward a
18	report of the compiled information to the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor.
19	(e) The Ethics Commission shall preserve all original reports, statements, and other records
20	required to be kept or filed under this ArticleChapter for a period of five years. Such reports,
21	statements, and reports shall constitute a part of the public records of the Ethics Commission and shall
22	be open to public inspection.
23	(f) The Ethics Commission shall provide formal and informal advice regarding the duties under
24	this Article Chapter of a person or entity pursuant to the procedures specified in San Francisco
25	Charter Section C3.699-12.

1	(g) The Ethics Commission shall have the power to adopt all reasonable and necessary rules
2	and regulations not inconsistent with the provisions of this Article Chapter for the implementation of
3	this Article Chapter pursuant to the procedures specified in Chapter pursuant to the procedure
4	specified in San Francisco Charter Section 15.102.
5	Sec. 5.1325. ADMINISTRATIVE AND CIVIL ENFORCEMENT, AND PENALTIES.
6	(a) If any permit consultant expediter files an original statement or report after any deadline
7	imposed by this ArticleChapter, the Ethics Commission shall, in addition to any other penalties or
8	remedies established in this ArticleChapter, fine the permit consultant expediter \$50 per day after
9	the deadline until the statement or report is received by the Ethics Commission. The Ethics
10	Commission may reduce or waive a fine if the Commission determines that the late filing was not
11	willful and that enforcement will not further the purposes of this Article Chapter. The Ethics
12	Commission shall deposit funds collected under this Section in the General Fund of the City and
13	County of San Francisco.
14	(b) Any person who believes that Section 5.110 has been violated may file a complaint with the
15	Ethics Commission. Upon receipt of a complaint, or upon its own initiative, the Ethics Commission
16	may investigate allegations of a violation of Section 5.110 and enforce the provisions of Section 5.110
17	pursuant to the procedures established in San Francisco Charter Section C3.699-13, and the
18	Commission's rules and regulations adopted pursuant to San Francisco Charter Section 15.102.
19	The Commission may cancel for up to one year the registration of any permit consultant expediter
20	who has violated Section 5.110. A permit consultant expediter-whose registration has been canceled
21	pursuant to this Section may not provide permit consulting expediting services for the period that the
22	registration is canceled. When the period of cancellation ends, the permit consultant expediter may
23	reregister pursuant to Section 5.115(a) and (c).
24	(c) Any person or entity which violates Section 5.110 may be liable in a civil action brought by
25	the City Attorney for an amount up to \$1,000 per violation.

1	(d) No administrative or civil action shall be maintained to enforce Section 5.110 unless
2	brought within four years after the date the cause of action accrued or the date that the facts
3	constituting the cause of action were discovered by the Ethics Commission or City Attorney, whichever
4	<u>is later.</u>
5	(e) In investigating any alleged violation of Section 5.110, the Ethics Commission and City
6	Attorney shall have the power to inspect, upon reasonable notice, all documents required to be
7	maintained under Section 5.115. This power to inspect documents is in addition to other powers
8	conferred on the Ethics Commission and City Attorney by the Charter, or by ordinance, including the
9	power of subpoena.
10	Sec. 5.1340. ELECTRONIC FILING OF STATEMENTS AND REPORTS.
11	(a) ELECTRONIC FILING REQUIRED. Whenever a permit consultant expediters are is
12	required by this Article Chapter to file an original statement or report, the Ethics Commission may
13	require a permit consultant expediter to file an electronic copy of the statement or report. The
14	electronic copy shall be due no later than the deadline imposed by this Article Chapter for filing the
15	original statement or report.
16	(b) POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE ETHICS COMMISSION
17	(i) Pursuant to San Francisco Charter Section 15.102, the Ethics Commission shall adopt
18	regulations specifying the electronic filing requirements applicable to permit consultants expediters.
19	The Ethics Commission shall adopt these regulations no fewer than 120 days before the electronic
20	filing requirements are effective.
21	(ii) The Ethics Commission shall prescribe the format for electronic copies of statements and
22	reports no fewer than 90 days before the statements and reports are due to be filed.
23	(c) PENALTIES. If any permit consultant expediter files an electronic copy of a statement or
24	report after the deadline imposed by this Section, the Ethics Commission shall, in addition to any other
25	penalties or remedies established in this Chapter, fine the permit consultant expediter \$50 per day

1	after the deadline until the electronic copy is received by the Ethics Commission. The Ethics
2	Commission may reduce or waive a fine if the Commission determines that the late filing was not
3	willful and that enforcement will not further the purposes of this Article Chapter. The Ethics
4	Commission shall deposit funds collected under this section in the General Fund of the City and County
5	of San Francisco.
6	SEC. 5.145. EFFECT OF AMENDMENTS TO LOBBYIST ORDINANCE AND
7	MANDATORY REVIEW.
8	(a) Effect of Amendments to Lobbyist Ordinance. If Article II of the Campaign
9	and Governmental Conduct Code, the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance, is amended
10	to require disclosure of the same information required by this Article, Sections
11	5.100(c)-(d), 5.105 (b), (d), (e), (f), and (j); 5.110; 5.115; 5.125(a)-(e); 5.130; and 5.135 shall
12	no longer have any effect and shall be removed from this code
13	(b) Mandatory Review. The Rules Committee of the San Francisco Board of
14	Supervisors shall review the provisions of this Article in February of 2006 and report to
15	the full Board of Supervisors any amendments to this Article that its members deem
16	necessary.
17	Sec. 5.1350. SEVERABILITY
18	If any provision of this Article is held invalid or unconstitutional, such invalidity or
19	unconstitutionality shall not affect other provisions or applications which can be given effect without
20	the invalidated provision, and to this end the provisions of this Article are severable.
21	ADDDOVED AS TO FORM
22	APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney
23	
24	By:
25	Chad A. Jacobs Deputy City Attorney