1	[Proposition J Contract – Parking Citation Processing and Collection System]
2	
3	Resolution concurring with Controller's certification that citation processing and
4	collection services can be practically performed by a private contractor at a lower cost
5	than by City and County employees.
6	
7	WHEREAS, Section 10.104.15 of the San Francisco Charter allows City Departments
8	to contract for services performed by employees subject to civil service examination when it
9	has been determined by the Office of the Controller that can be practically performed under
10	private contract at a lower cost to the City than similar work performed by City and County
11	employees; and
12	WHEREAS, In 1998, the Board of Supervisors approved a four-year agreement with
13	PRWT Services, Inc. for a Parking Processing and Collection system; and
14	WHEREAS, In 2002, the Board of Supervisors approved a two-year extension of this
15	contract; and
16	WHEREAS, The Office of the Controller has certified that the requirements of Charter
17	section 10.104.15 have been satisfied for Fiscal Year 2003-2004 and has determined that
18	contracting for the services provided for under this contract is more economical than providing
19	the same services with City and County employees; and
20	WHEREAS, The Controller's certification is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
21	Supervisors in File No, which is hereby declared to be a part of this
22	resolution as if set forth fully herein; and,
23	WHEREAS, On April 6, 2004 the Board of Directors of the Municipal Transportation
24	Agency adopted Resolution 04-046; a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
25	Supervisors in File No, which is hereby declared to be a part of this

1	resolution as if set forth fully herein, recommending that the Board of Supervisors concur with
2	Controller's determination; now, therefore, be it
3	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors concurs with the Controller's certification
4	that citation processing and collection services can be practically performed by a private
5	contractor at a lower cost than by City and County employees for fiscal year 2003-04.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	