FILE NO. 040629

1	[Affirm certification of the final environmental impact report for the Transbay Terminal.]
2	
3	Motion affirming the Planning Commission certification of the final environmental
4	impact report for the Transbay Terminal/Caltrain Downtown Extension/Redevelopment
5	Project.
6	
7	WHEREAS, The Transbay Joint Powers Authority is the project sponsor for the
8	reconstruction of the Transbay Terminal, which is located at 425 Mission Street; Caltrain
9	Downtown Extension; and various related actions (the "Transbay Terminal Component"). The
10	project also includes the Transbay Redevelopment Plan, which is jointly sponsored by the City
11	and County of San Francisco and the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency. (The Transbay
12	Terminal Component and the Transbay Redevelopment Plan shall be collectively referred to
13	herein as the "Project".); and
14	WHEREAS, Upon initiation of the environmental review for the Project, the San
15	Francisco Planning Department (the "Department") determined that an environmental impact
16	report ("EIR") was required, created City Planning File No. 2000.048E, and provided public
17	notice of that determination by publication in a newspaper of general circulation on March 17,
18	2001. The EIR has three co-lead agencies: the City and County of San Francisco, the San
19	Francisco Redevelopment Agency, and the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board ("JPB");
20	and
21	WHEREAS, Because the Transbay Terminal Component will obtain funding from the
22	Federal Transit Administration (the "FTA"), and due to the potential environmental impacts of
23	the Transbay Terminal Component, the FTA determined that a federal environmental impact
24	statement ("EIS") was required. On March 28, 2001, the FTA published in the federal register
25	a notice of intent to prepare an EIS; and

WHEREAS, The three co-lead agencies and the FTA agreed to combine the federal
 and State environmental review documents into a single joint EIS/EIR; and

WHEREAS, On October 5, 2002, the Department published a draft EIS/EIR and provided notice of the availability of the document for public review and comment. A copy of said document is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. <u>040616</u> and is incorporated herein by reference; and

WHEREAS, On November 26, 2002, the Planning Commission (the "Commission")
held a duly noticed public hearing on the draft EIS/EIR. The Redevelopment Agency, on
November 11, 2002, and the JPB staff, on November 13, 2002, each held an additional duly
noticed public hearing on the draft EIS/EIR; and

WHEREAS, On December 20, 2002, the 77-day public comment period on the draft
 EIS/EIR ended; and

WHEREAS, On March 28, 2003, in Resolution No. <u>03-001</u>, the Transbay Joint Powers Authority, following FTA guidelines and regulations, adopted the locally preferred alternative for inclusion in the Final EIS/EIR. The locally preferred alternative consists of the "West Ramp" Transbay Terminal, "Second Street-to-Main Street" track alignment, "Tunneling", and "Full Build" program for the Transbay Redevelopment Plan. A copy of said document is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. <u>040616</u> and is incorporated herein by reference; and

20 WHEREAS, On March 24, 2004, the Department published a "Draft Summary of 21 Comments and Responses" on the draft EIS/EIR (Volume II). On this same date, the 22 Department also published revisions to the draft EIS/EIR (Volume I) that included refinements 23 to the Project analyzed and staff-initiated text changes to take into account concerns that 24 commentors had raised. Both Volumes I and II were distributed to the Commission and other 25 co-lead agencies and to all parties who commented on the draft EIS/EIR. Copies of said

documents are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. <u>040616</u> and are
 incorporated herein by reference; and

WHEREAS, On April 22, 2004, the Commission and the JPB, at a duly noticed joint
public hearing, considered the certification of the final EIR, which consisted of the draft
EIS/EIR, the Draft Summary of Comments and Responses, revisions to the draft EIS/EIR, and
related documents; and

7 WHEREAS, On said date, in Motion No. 16773, the Commission found that the 8 contents of the Final EIS/EIR and the procedures through which it was prepared, publicized, 9 and reviewed complied with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (Cal. Public Resources Code sections 21000 et seq., hereinafter "CEQA"), the State CEQA 10 Guidelines (Cal. Code of Regulations Title 14, sections 15000 et seq., hereinafter "CEQA 11 12 Guidelines"), and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code ("Chapter 31"); and 13 WHEREAS, By said Motion, the Commission also found the Final EIS/EIR reflected its 14 independent judgment and analysis and was adequate, accurate, and objective, and certified 15 the Final EIR in compliance with CEQA, the CEQA Guidelines, and Chapter 31. Said Motion 16 is on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. 040616 and is incorporated herein by 17 reference; and

WHEREAS, On April 22, 2004, in Resolution No. <u>2004-11</u>, the JPB also certified the Final EIR and made similar findings to those of the Commission in regard to CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines. Said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. <u>040616</u> and is incorporated herein by reference; and

WHEREAS, On April 20, 2004, in Resolution No. <u>45-2004</u>, the Redevelopment Agency, at a duly noticed public hearing, also certified the final EIR and made similar findings to those of the Commission and JPB in regard to CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines. Said Resolution is

on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. <u>040616</u> and is incorporated herein by reference;
and

WHEREAS, By separate letters to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors dated May 10, Oliver L. Holmes, on behalf of unidentified individuals and entities that will be impacted by the Transbay Terminal project, and Timothy A. Tosta, on behalf of Myers Natoma Venture and Myers Development Company, filed timely appeals of the Final EIR certification to the Board of Supervisors. On May 12, 2004, Joseph J. Brecher, on behalf of various Stillman Street and Clocktower Loft residents and businesses, also filed a timely appeal of the Final EIR certification: and

WHEREAS, On June 8, 2004 June 15, 2004, this Board held a duly notice public
hearing to consider the appeal of the Final EIR certification; and

WHEREAS, This Board has reviewed and considered the Final EIS/EIR and heard
 testimony and received public comment regarding the adequacy of this document; and
 WHEREAS, The Final EIS/EIR files and all correspondence and other documents have
 been made available for review by this Board and the public. These files are available for
 public review by appointment at the Planning Department offices at 1660 Mission Street and
 are made part of the record before this Board by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, Since the Planning Commission action on the Final EIR, there is no new information of significance that would require a substantial revision to the Final EIR and necessitate recirculation of said document pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15088.5; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That based on substantial evidence in light of the whole record, this Board of Supervisors finds that: 1) the Final EIR reflects its independent judgment and analysis and is adequate, accurate, and objective; 2) the Final EIR is sufficient as an informational document and its conclusions are correct; and 3) the findings contained in the Planning Commission

1	certification are correct; and this Board hereby affirms the decision of the Planning
2	Commission in its Motion No. 16773 to certify the Final EIR in compliance with CEQA, the
3	CEQA Guidelines, and Chapter 31.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	