1	[Settlement Of Lawsuit]
2	
3	Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by the City and County of San
4	Francisco, on behalf of the People of California, against the Duke Energy Companies;
5	the lawsuit entitled <u>People v. Dynegy, et al.</u> was filed on January 18, 2001, and has been
6	consolidated as Wholesale Electricity Antitrust Cases I & II in the United States District
7	Court, Southern District of California, Case Nos. 02 CV 0990-RHW, CV 02-1000-RHW, 02
8	CV-1001 RHW; the settlement provides \$500,000 to San Francisco to be used for
9	energy efficiency, renewable energy or environmental justice projects in areas affected
10	by electric generation in San Francisco and \$172 million in benefits to California
11	electric ratepayers, among other provisions.
12	
13	Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
14	Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "Wholesale
15	Electricity Antitrust Cases I & II," United States District Court, Southern District of California,
16	Case Nos. 02 CV 0990-RHW, CV 02-1000-RHW, 02 CV-1001 RHW against the Duke Energy
17	Companies (Duke) by entering the settlement agreement with Duke negotiated by the State of
18	California through the Attorney General. The settlement agreement is on file with the Clerk of
19	the Board of Supervisors in File No The allocation of settlement proceeds among
20	settling parties is governed by the California Litigation Escrow Allocation Agreement on file
21	with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No
22	
23	San Francisco's litigation against all other defendants in the Wholesale Electricity Antitrust
24	Case will continue. The primary benefits to San Francisco from the settlement include the
25	following: (1) San Francisco will receive \$500,000 to be used for energy efficiency, renewable
	SUPERVISOR MAXWELL OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

energy or environmental justice projects in areas affected by electric generation in San
Francisco; (2) California electric ratepayers will receive \$172 million in benefits from the
settlement, of which \$86.3 million is expected to benefit PG&E's customers, including San
Francisco residents and businesses; (3) Duke will pay approximately \$20 million in attorney
fees, of which \$7 million will be allocated among the attorneys representing private plaintiffs
and local governments, including those representing San Francisco. Section 1.53 of the

Section 2. The above-named action was filed in the Superior Court in the County of San Francisco on January 18, 2001 and has been consolidated as Wholesale Electricity Antitrust Cases I & II in the United States District Court, Southern District of California. The following parties were named in the lawsuit: the People of the State of California, by and through the San Francisco City Attorney, Plaintiffs, and more than one dozen defendants, including the Duke Energy Companies.

settlement agreement requires approval of the Board of Supervisors by December 31, 2004.

Section 3. Funds received by the City from this settlement shall be used to carry out the purpose for which funds have been received, and shall be appropriated to departments in accordance with the terms and conditions under which said funds have been received. The \$500,000 payment shall be appropriated as follows: (1) \$250,000 for purchasing and installing small scale solar power systems in non-profit organizations and homes of low-income homeowners in areas of San Francisco affected by electric generation. The Department of the Environment and the Public Utilities Commission shall work together to develop and implement this program. (2) \$250,000 for purchase and planting of trees in areas of San Francisco affected by electric generation. The Department of the Environment and the Department of Public Works shall work together to develop and implement this program.

1	The named departments shall not use these funds for overhead or administrative costs
2	and shall give weight to the following considerations: (a) utilization of current programs
3	operated by the City, community or non-profits that could implement the program in a cost-
4	effective and timely manner; and (b) provision of jobs to underemployed residents of San
5	Francisco. The named departments shall complete a plan for implementation of these
6	programs and shall seek Commission approval within 60 days after the City receives payment
7	of the \$500,000 from Duke. The named departments shall seek public input in the
8	development of these programs
9	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:
10	
11	DENNIS J. HERRERA City Attorney
12	
13	
14	Theresa L. Mueller Deputy City Attorney
15	Dopaty Oily Attentoy
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	