| 1 | [Urging independent review of Professor Ignacio Chapela's tenure hearing] |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Resolution urging review of University of California at Berkeley's procedures in |
| 4 | denying the tenure of Professor Ignacio Chapela in light of his exposure of illegal |
| 5 | dumping of GMO crops in Mexico by Monsanto Corporation. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | WHEREAS, It is the role of the University to promote critical thinking and the pursuit of |
| 8 | truth and excellence in the face of undue influence, internal politics and economic pressure |
| 9 | from pharmaceutical and biotechnological corporations; and |
| 10 | WHEREAS, We live in a society where our public University's are increasingly forced |
| 11 | to rely on outside funding in order to survive, thereby making them beholden to private and |
| 12 | corporate interests in order to get funding; and |
| 13 | WHEREAS, In the case of Professor Ignacio Chapela there are significant and |
| 14 | substantiated allegations, requiring further investigation, that the exposure of illegal dumping |
| 15 | of GMO crops in Mexico by Professor Chapela as well as Professor Chapela's criticisms of |
| 16 | corporate influence, affected the outcome of his tenure hearing and led to his dismissal from |
| 17 | the University; and |
| 18 | WHEREAS, Professor Chapela's tenure was supported and voted on overwhelmingly |
| 19 | by thirty-two out of thirty-three of his colleagues and fellow faculty members, and |
| 20 | WHEREAS, Professor Chapela's tenure was further recommended on multiple |
| 21 | occasions by the dean of his department and the dean of the College of Natural Resources; |
| 22 | and |
| 23 | WHEREAS, University Chancellor Robert Berdahl ignored these recommendations |
| 24 | and denied Professor Chapela's tenure based on a negative vote from the budget committee |
| 25 | and |

| 1 | WHEREAS, The University had previously accepted 25 million dollars from biotech |
|----|--|
| 2 | giant Syngenta which had been criticized by Professor Chapela; and |
| 3 | WHEREAS, The current allegations of outside influence and politics send a chilling |
| 4 | message to all those scientists and professors who would exercise their free speech and |
| 5 | critical assessment regarding the extreme dangers involved in technological manipulation of |
| 6 | nature and its negative consequences; and |
| 7 | WHEREAS, The role of undue influence on the University in the area of environmental |
| 8 | protection, biotechnology policy and the need to protect social critics was at the center of the |
| 9 | California Legislature Hearings held in Sacramento on May 12, 2000; now, therefore be it |
| 10 | RESOLVED, The San Francisco Board of Supervisors urges the new Chancellor, |
| 11 | Chancellor Birgeneau, to review the determination of tenure in the case of Professor Chapela |
| 12 | with utmost urgency; and |
| 13 | FURTHER, That Chancellor Birgeneau investigate the politics and influences that led |
| 14 | to the previous determination and make his findings public as soon as possible. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |