1	[Revision of the recourse hearing procedures for public work prevailing wage violations.]	
2		
3	Ordinance amending subsection 6.22(E)(8)(c) to revise the recourse hearing	
4	procedures for contractors challenging forfeitures for prevailing wage violations on	
5	public work projects.	
6	Note:	Additions are single-underline italics Times New Roman;
7		deletions are strikethrough italics Times New Roman. Board amendment additions are double underlined.
8		Board amendment deletions are strikethrough normal.
9	Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:	
10	Section 1. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending	
11	Subsection 6.22(E)(8)(c), to read as follows:	
12	(c) Recourse <u>Procedure</u> . If the contractor or subcontractor disagrees with the forfeiture	
13	as so provided in the foregoing subparagraph (b), then within fifteen working days of the date of	
14	the notification as provided for above, the contractor or subcontractor may request a hearing. before	
15	the City Administrator.the following procedure applies: The City Administrator, or his/her/designee,	
16	shall consider the evidence	e provided by the contractor or subcontractor and the responsible
17	department head and/or th	ne Labor Standards Enforcement Officer. The hearing shall occur within
18	forty-five days of the requ	est, unless all parties agree to an extended period. The determination of the
19	City Administrator, or his	her designee, shall be final. The contractor or subcontractor may appeal
20	such determination only b	y action at law for breach of contract.(i) The contractor or subcontractor
21	may request a hearing in v	writing within 15 days of the date of the notification of forfeiture. The request
22	shall be directed to the Cit	ty Controller. Failure by the contractor or subcontractor to submit a timely,
23	written request for a heari	ing shall constitute concession to the assessment and the forfeiture shall be

deemed final; (ii) Within 15 days of receiving a proper request, the Controller shall appoint a hearing

officer with knowledge and not less than five years' experience in labor law, prevailing wage, and/or

24

25

1	wage and hour issues, and shall so advise the enforcing official and the contractor or subcontractor,	
2	and/or their respective counsel or authorized representative; (iv) The hearing officer shall be	
3	responsible for all communications between the parties; (v) The hearing officer shall promptly set a	
4	date for a hearing. The hearing must occur within 45 days of the notification of the appointment of the	
5	hearing officer unless all parties agree to an extended period; (v) The contractor or subcontractor sha	
6	have the burden of proving that the basis for the backwage and penalty assessment is incorrect; (vi) If	
7	the hearing officer seeks to resolve a preliminary or procedural matter prior to the hearing, the hearing	
8	officer shall do so expeditiously and shall issue a decision on such matter not later than ten days from	
9	the date the preliminary issue is raised; (vii) Within 30 days of the hearing, the hearing officer shall	
10	issue a written decision affirming, modifying, or dismissing the assessment. The decision of the hearing	
11	officer shall consist of findings and a determination. The hearing officer's findings and determination	
12	shall be final. If the hearing officer does not issue findings and a determination within the 30-day	
13	period, then the original certification of forfeiture by the enforcing official under the foregoing section	
14	6.22(E)(8)(b) shall become the final determination, effective 30 days after the date of the hearing; (viii)	
15	The contractor or subcontractor may appeal the final determination only by filing in the San Francisco	
16	Superior Court a petition for a writ of mandate under California Code of Civil Procedure section	
17	1094.5, as it may be amended.	
18		
19		
20	APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney	
21		
22	By: Sheryl L. Bregman	
23	Deputy City Attorney	
24		

25