Amendment of the Whole December 7, 2005

FILE NO. 051120

RESOLUTION NO.

| 1 [| Street Er | ncroachmei | nt at 62 | 4 Laguna | Street.] |
|-----|-----------|------------|----------|----------|----------|
|-----|-----------|------------|----------|----------|----------|

Resolution granting revocable permission to 624 Laguna LP, to occupy a portion of the public right-of-way for the installation and operation of a new sub-sidewalk elevator under the sidewalk fronting the subject property at 624 Laguna Street (Block 0807, Lot 012), conditioned upon the payment of an annual assessment fee of \$1,000.00 and making findings of consistency with the City's General Plan and Planning Code Section 101.1.

WHEREAS, pursuant to Public Works Code Section 786, permission was requested by A.R. Sanchez-Corea and Associates, Inc., agent for the owner, 624 Laguna LP to occupy a portion of the public right-of-way for the installation and operation of a new sub-sidewalk elevator under the sidewalk fronting the subject property, as shown on the plans, a copy of which is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 051120; and

WHEREAS, The Department of City Planning, by letter dated February 27, 2005, declared that the proposed encroachment does not conform with the General Plan and with the priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. A copy of said letter is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 051120, and is incorporated herein by reference; and

19 and

WHEREAS, The Planning Commission, on November 17, 2005, reversed the Planning

Department's decision and adopted Resolution No. 17148, which found that the proposed

encroachment is consistent with the General Plan and the priority policies of Planning Code

Section 101.1. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

in File No. 051120, and is incorporated herein by reference; and

| 1 | WHEREAS, At a duly noticed public hearing on May 4, 2005, the Department of Public |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | Works recommended approval of the proposed encroachment; and |
| 3 | WHEREAS, The permit and associated encroachment agreement, which are |
| 4 | incorporated herein by reference and attached hereto as Exhibit A, shall not become effective |
| 5 | until: |
| 6 | (a) The Permittee executes and acknowledges the permit and delivers said permit to |
| 7 | the City's Controller, and |
| 8 | (b) Permittee delivers to the City Controller a policy of insurance provided for in said |
| 9 | agreement and the Controller shall have had approved the same as complying with the |
| 10 | requirement of said agreement. The Controller may, in his discretion, accept, in lieu of said |
| 11 | insurance policy, the certificate of an insurance company certifying to the existence of such a |
| 12 | policy; and, |
| 13 | (c) The City Controller records the permit and associated agreement in the office of the |
| 14 | County Recorder; and |
| 15 | WHEREAS, The Permittee, at the Permittee's sole expense and as is necessary as a |
| 16 | result of this permit, shall make the following arrangements: |
| 17 | (a) To provide for the support and protection of facilities belonging to the Department of |
| 18 | Public Works, San Francisco Water Department, the San Francisco Fire Department and |
| 19 | other City Departments, and public utility companies; and |
| 20 | (b) To remove or relocate such facilities and provide access to such facilities for the |
| 21 | purpose of constructing, reconstructing, maintaining, operating, or repairing such facilities; |
| 22 | and |
| 23 | WHEREAS, The Permittee shall procure the necessary permits from the Central Permit |
| 24 | Bureau, Department of Building Inspection and/or Bureau of Street-Use and Mapping, |

25

| 1 | Department of Public Works, and pay the necessary permit fees and inspection fees before |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | starting work; and |
| 3 | WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors shall implement an annual assessment fee of |
| 4 | \$1,000.00 for the use of said right-of-ways, which shall be increased at the beginning of each |
| 5 | fiscal year based upon the Consumer Price Index quotient, and shall be re-evaluated by DPW |
| 6 | every five (5) years; and |
| 7 | WHEREAS, No structure shall be erected or constructed within said street right-of-way |
| 8 | except as specifically permitted herein; and, |
| 9 | WHEREAS, The Permittee shall assume all costs for the maintenance and repair of the |
| 10 | encroachments and no cost or obligation of any kind shall accrue to the City and County of |
| 11 | San Francisco by reason of this permission granted; now, therefore be it |
| 12 | RESOLVED, That pursuant to Public Works Code Section 786, the Board of |
| 13 | Supervisors hereby grants permission, revocable at the will of the Director of the Department |
| 14 | of Public Works, to Launce E. Gamble, Trustees, to occupy a portion of the public right-of-way |
| 15 | for the installation and operation of a new sub-sidewalk elevator under the sidewalk fronting |
| 16 | the subject property at 624 Laguna Street, fronting 624 Laguna Street (Block 0807, Lot 012), |
| 17 | conditioned upon the payment of an annual assessment fee of \$1,000.00 : and conditioned on |
| 18 | implementation of the mitigation measures set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. |
| 19 | 17148, namely use of slip-resistant exterior to provide a smooth sidewalk surface for |
| 20 | pedestrians, a plate color and consistency that blends in with the surrounding sidewalk |
| 21 | surface, and restrictions on use of the sub-surface sidewalk elevator to non-peak hours of |
| 22 | pedestrian traffic for two scheduled half-hour periods per day, one for freight deliveries and |
| 23 | one for garbage removal; and, be it |
| 24 | |

25

| 1 | FURTHER RESOLVED, That this Board adopts the findings of the Planning | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Department Commission, which determined that said permit is not-consistent with the Genera | | | | | |
| 3 | Plan and the priority policies of Planning Co | Plan and the priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | |
| 5 | RECOMMENDED: | APPROVED: | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | |
| 7 | see original for signatures | | | | | |
| 8 | Robert P. Beck | Edwin M. Lee | | | | |
| 9 | Deputy Director for Engineering | Director of Public Works | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |