RESOLUTION NO.

| 1 | [Urging the California PUC and the State Legislature to take steps to prevent the Pacific Gas & Electric Company from engaging in competitive practices as the City and County of San |
|----|---|
| 2 | Francisco moves to implement Community Choice Aggregation] |
| 3 | |
| 4 | Resolution urging the California Public Utilities Commission and the State Legislature |
| 5 | to take steps to prevent the Pacific Gas & Electric Company from engaging in |
| 6 | competitive practices as the City and County of San Francisco moves to implement |
| 7 | Community Choice Aggregation. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | WHEREAS, The City and County of San Francisco is moving forward on a plan to |
| 10 | enact Community Choice Aggregation, and the Local Agency Formation Committee has spent |
| 11 | countless hours on the effort; and, |
| 12 | WHEREAS, Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) involves the City and County of |
| 13 | San Francisco (CCSF) entering the business of the buying and selling of power, though |
| 14 | PG&E would still own the actual transmission infrastructure; and, |
| 15 | WHEREAS, CCA is expected to bring lower rates to current PG&E customers and |
| 16 | allow the City and County of San Francisco to increase dramatically its investment in |
| 17 | renewable sources of power; and, |
| 18 | WHEREAS, The CCA law, Assembly Bill 117, allows customers to opt-out of CCA |
| 19 | service and stay with PG&E and, |
| 20 | WHEREAS, It is the intention of CCSF to provide service offerings to all customer |
| 21 | classes within CCSF; and, |
| 22 | WHEREAS, The success of CCSF as a CCA will be enhanced by the participation of |
| 23 | large customers in the CCA; and, |
| 24 | WHEREAS, Approximately 50 percent of the potential CCA load comes from the 1 |
| 25 | percent of large utility customers whose use is greater than 200 kilowatts; and, |
| | |

| 1 | WHEREAS, it is imperative that COSF be given the opportunity to promote its program |
|----|---|
| 2 | to large customers to make its program cost effective for CCSF and all CCA customers; and, |
| 3 | WHEREAS, Current rules governing confidentiality of customer information do not |
| 4 | allow PG&E to share its customer list with CCSF yet AB 117 requires PG&E to "cooperate |
| 5 | fully with any community choice aggregators that investigate, pursue, or implement |
| 6 | community choice aggregation programs"; and, |
| 7 | WHEREAS, CCSF is at a significant disadvantage at this time because it does not |
| 8 | have access to the customer information currently in the hands of PG&E and, |
| 9 | WHEREAS, Competition from PG&E will create continued uncertainty for the City's |
| 10 | CCA program that may result in higher costs and an unstable operational environment; and, |
| 11 | WHEREAS, Having access to PG&E's large customer list at this time will allow CCSF |
| 12 | to better develop and promote its CCA program and to more accurately forecast its need for |
| 13 | power along with PG&E now, therefore, be it |
| 14 | RESOLVED, The City and County of San Francisco urges the California Public Utility |
| 15 | Commission to require PG&E and other utilities to give the over 200 kilowatts list of customers |
| 16 | to CCSF under a confidentiality agreement, and that the list should include not only the |
| 17 | account information but also the name and contact information for the local energy manager |
| 18 | or other person(s) that makes energy purchase decisions for the customer; and be it |
| 19 | FURTHER RESOLVED, That the CPUC should direct PG&E not to engage in any |
| 20 | behavior that could be interpreted as competitive to CCA development and operations, |
| 21 | including a directive that no bonuses or utility employee compensation plans should be tied to |
| 22 | a retention of a customer that could go to CCA; and be it |
| 23 | FURTHER RESOLVED, That the CPUC should direct PG&E to fully cooperate with |
| 24 | CCA implementation per AB 117; and be it |

25

| 1 | FURTHER RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco urges San |
|----|--|
| 2 | Francisco's State legislative delegation to pursue legislation that would ensure that all electric |
| 3 | corporations cooperate with statewide CCA activity; and be it |
| 4 | FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors should send a copy |
| 5 | of this resolution to Steve Larson, Executive Director, California Public Utilities Commission, |
| 6 | 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA, 94102, Assemblymember Mark Leno, 55 Brady |
| 7 | Street, San Francisco, CA, 94103, and Senator Carole Migden, State Capitol, Room 2059, |
| 8 | Sacramento, CA, 94814. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |