ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 392

Introduced by Assembly Members Weber and McCarty (Principal coauthor: Assembly Member Holden) (Principal coauthors: Senators Bradford and Mitchell) (Coauthor: Assembly Member Mark Stone)

February 6, 2019

An act to amend Sections 196 and 835a of the Penal Code, relating to peace officers.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 392, as introduced, Weber. Peace officers: deadly force.

Existing law authorizes a peace officer to make an arrest pursuant to a warrant or based upon probable cause, as specified. Under existing law, an arrest is made by the actual restraint of the person or by submission to the custody of the arresting officer.

Existing law authorizes a peace officer to use reasonable force to effect the arrest, to prevent escape, or to overcome resistance. Existing law does not require an officer to retreat or desist from an attempt to make an arrest because of resistance or threatened resistance of the person being arrested.

Under existing law, a homicide committed by a peace officer is justifiable when necessarily committed in arresting a person who has committed a felony and the person is fleeing or resisting such arrest.

Existing case law deems such a homicide to be a seizure under the Fourth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States, and as such, requires the actions to be reasonable.

This bill would redefine the circumstances under which a homicide by a peace officer is deemed justifiable to include when the killing is

in self-defense or the defense of another, consistent with the existing legal standard for self-defense, or when the killing is necessary to prevent the escape of a fleeing felon whose immediate apprehension is necessary to prevent death or serious injury. The bill would additionally bar the use of this defense if the peace officer acted in a criminally negligent manner that caused the death, including if the officer's criminally negligent actions created the necessity for the use of deadly force.

The bill would also affirmatively prescribe the circumstances under which a peace officer is authorized to use deadly force to effect an arrest, to prevent escape or to overcome resistance.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 196 of the Penal Code is amended to 2 read:

3 196. (*a*) Homicide is justifiable when committed by <u>public</u> 4 *peace* officers and those acting by their command in their aid and 5 assistance, <u>either</u> *under any of the following circumstances:*

6 1.

7 (1) In obedience to any judgment of a competent Court; or, 8 *court*.

9 2. When necessarily committed in overcoming actual resistance
 10 to the execution of some legal process, or in the discharge of any
 11 other legal duty; or,

3. When necessarily committed in retaking felons who have
 been rescued or have escaped, or when necessarily committed in
 arresting persons charged with felony, and who are fleeing from
 justice or resisting such arrest.

16 (2) When the homicide results from a peace officer's use of 17 force, other than deadly force, that is in compliance with 18 subdivision (b) of Section 835a.

(3) When, except as otherwise provided in subdivision (b), the
homicide would be justifiable pursuant to Section 197, in
self-defense or the defense of another person.

22 (4) When, subject to subdivision (b), the officer reasonably

23 believes, based on the totality of the circumstances, that the use

of force resulting in a homicide is necessary to prevent the escape
 of a person, and all of the following are true:

3 (A) The peace officer reasonably believes that the person has
4 committed, or has attempted to commit, a felony involving the use
5 or threatened use of deadly force.

6 (B) The peace officer reasonably believes that the person will
7 cause death or inflict serious bodily injury to another unless
8 immediately apprehended.

9 (C) If feasible, the peace officer has identified themselves as a 10 peace officer and given a warning that deadly force may be used 11 unless the person ceases flight, unless the officer has reasonable 12 ground to believe the person is aware of these facts.

13 (b) As used in paragraph (4) of subdivision (a), "necessary" 14 means that, given the totality of the circumstances, an objectively 15 reasonable peace officer in the same situation would conclude 16 that there was no reasonable alternative to the use of deadly force 17 that would prevent death or serious bodily injury to the peace 18 officer or to another person. The totality of the circumstances 19 means all facts known to the peace officer at the time and includes 20 the tactical conduct and decisions of the officer leading up to the 21 use of deadly force.

22 (c) Neither this section nor Section 197 provide a peace officer 23 with a defense to manslaughter in violation of Section 192, if that 24 person was killed due to the criminally negligent conduct of the 25 officer, including situations in which the victim is a person other 26 than the person that the peace officer was seeking to arrest, retain 27 in custody, or defend against, or if the necessity for the use of 28 deadly force was created by the peace officer's criminal 29 negligence.

30 SEC. 2. Section 835a of the Penal Code is amended to read:

31 835a. (a) The Legislature finds and declares all of the 32 following:

(1) That the authority to use physical force, conferred on peace
officers by this section, is a serious responsibility that shall be
exercised judiciously and with respect for human rights and dignity
and for the sanctity of every human life. The Legislature further
finds and declares that every person has a right to be free from

excessive use of force by officers acting under color of law.
(2) That the decision by a peace officer to use force shall be

40 evaluated carefully and thoroughly, in a manner that reflects the

1 gravity of that authority and the serious consequences of the use

2 of force by peace officers, in order to ensure that officers use force
3 consistent with law and agency policies.

4 (3) That the decision by a peace officer to use force shall be 5 evaluated from the perspective of a reasonable officer in the same 6 situation, based on the totality of the circumstances known to or 7 perceived by the officer at the time, rather than with the benefit of 8 hindsight, and that the totality of the circumstances shall account 9 for occasions when officers may be forced to make quick judgments 10 about using force.

11 Any

(b) Any peace officer who has reasonable cause to believe that
the person to be arrested has committed a public offense may use
reasonable force force, other than deadly force, to effect the arrest,
to prevent escape or to overcome resistance.

16 Å

17 (c) A peace officer who makes or attempts to make an arrest 18 need not-retreat abandon or desist from his efforts the arrest by 19 reason of the resistance or threatened resistance of the person being arrested; nor shall such arrested. A peace officer shall not be 20 21 deemed an aggressor or lose his the right to self-defense by the 22 use of reasonable force to effect the arrest or to prevent escape or 23 to overcome resistance. A peace officer shall, however, attempt to control an incident through sound tactics, including the use of 24 25 time, distance, communications, tactical repositioning, and 26 available resources, in an effort to reduce or avoid the need to use 27 force whenever it is safe, feasible, and reasonable to do so. This 28 subdivision does not conflict with the limitations on the use of 29 deadly force set forth in this section or Section 196. 30 (d) (1) A peace officer is justified in using deadly force upon

31 another person only when the officer reasonably believes, based

32 on the totality of the circumstances, that such force is necessary33 for either of the following reasons:

34 (A) To defend against a threat of imminent death or serious 35 bodily injury to the officer or to another person.

36 (B) To prevent the escape of a fleeing suspect consistent with
37 paragraph (4) of subdivision (a) of Section 196.

38 (2) A peace officer shall not use deadly force against a person

39 based on the danger that person poses to themselves, if the person

does not pose an imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury
 to the peace officer or to another person.

3 (3) This subdivision does not provide the legal standard and 4 shall not be used in any criminal proceeding against a peace officer 5 relating to the use of force by that peace officer, or to any defenses 6 to criminal charges under sections 196 or 197 or any other defense 7 asserted by that officer, but may be used in any civil or 8 administrative proceeding.

9 (e) For purposes of this section, the following definitions shall 10 apply:

(1) "Deadly force" means any use of force that creates a
substantial risk of causing death or serious bodily injury, including,
but not limited to, the discharge of a firearm.

14 (2) A threat of death or serious bodily injury is "imminent" 15 when, based on the totality of the circumstances, a reasonable 16 officer in the same situation would believe that a person has the 17 present ability, opportunity, and apparent intent to immediately 18 cause death or serious bodily injury to the peace officer or another 19 person. An imminent harm is not merely a fear of future harm, no matter how great the fear and no matter how great the likelihood 20 21 of the harm, but is one that, from appearances, must be instantly 22 confronted and addressed.

23 (3) "Necessary" means that, given the totality of the 24 circumstances, an objectively reasonable peace officer in the same

25 situation would conclude that there was no reasonable alternative 26 to the use of deadly force that would prevent death or serious

27 bodily injury to the peace officer or to another person.

28 (4) "Totality of the circumstances" means all facts known to

29 the peace officer at the time and includes the tactical conduct and

30 *decisions of the officer leading up to the use of deadly force.*

0