| 1 | [Disapprove certification of 491 Bayshore Boulevard (Home Depot) FEIR] |
|----|--|
| 2 | Motion dicongraving the contification by the Planning Commission of the Final |
| 3 | Motion disapproving the certification by the Planning Commission of the Final |
| 4 | Environmental Impact Report for the 491 Bayshore Boulevard (Home Depot) Projection |
| 5 | NATIFICA O 11 D 1110 A 1 (1 D 1 10 D |
| 6 | WHEREAS, Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. (the "Project Sponsor") is proposing to construct |
| 7 | a 140,000 square foot store with an attached 585 parking space garage at 491 Bayshore |
| 8 | Boulevard (the "Project"); and |
| 9 | WHEREAS, the Project Sponsor applied for a site permit on January 23, 2001 (City |
| 10 | Planning File No. 2001.0062ED); and |
| 11 | WHEREAS, The Planning Department for the City and County of San Francisco (the |
| | "Department") determined that an Environmental Impact Report ("EIR") was required and |
| 12 | provided public notice of that determination by publication in a newspaper of general |
| 13 | circulation on March 9; 2002; and |
| 14 | WHEREAS, On March 29,2003, the Department published the Draft Environmental |
| 15 | Impact Report ("DEIR") for the Project; and |
| 16 | WHEREAS, On July 10, 2003, the Commission held a duly noticed public hearing on |
| 17 | the DEIR, at which time opportunity for public comment was received on the DEIR, and |
| 18 | written comments were received through July 11, 2003; and |
| 19 | WHEREAS, The Department prepared responses to comments received at the public |
| 20 | hearing on the DEIR and submitted in writing to the Department, prepared revisions to the text |
| 21 | of the DEIR and published a Draft Summary of Comments and Responses on June 28, 2003; |
| 22 | |
| 23 | and |
| 24 | WHEREAS, A Final Environmental Impact Report ("FEIR") for the Project was |
| 25 | prepared by the Department, consisting of the DEIR, any consultations and comments |

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Page 1 7/27/2011

| 1 | received during the review process, any additional information that became available and the |
|----|---|
| 2 | Draft Summary of Comments and Responses, all as required by law; and |
| 3 | WHEREAS, On July 28, 2005, the Commission reviewed and considered the FEIR |
| 4 | and, by Motion No. 17071, found that the contents of said report and the procedures through |
| 5 | which the FEIR was prepared, publicized and reviewed complied with the provisions of the |
| 6 | California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the State CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of |
| 7 | the San Francisco Administrative Code; and |
| 8 | WHEREAS, By Motion No. 17071, the Commission found the FEIR to be adequate, |
| 9 | accurate and objective, reflected the independent judgment and analysis of the Department |
| 10 | and the Commission and that the Summary of Comments and Responses contained no |
| 11 | significant revisions to the DEIR, adopted findings relating to significant impacts associated |
| 12 | with the Project and certified the completion of the FEIR in compliance with CEQA and the |
| 13 | State CEQA Guidelines; and |
| 14 | WHEREAS, On July 28, 2005, by Motion No. 17072, the Commission approved the |
| 15 | Project; and |
| 16 | WHEREAS, On August 11, 2005, Sue Hestor, on behalf of Cole Hardware filed an |
| 17 | appeal of the FEIR with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors; and |
| 18 | WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors held a public hearing on September 27, 2005 to |
| 19 | review the decision by the Planning Commission to certify the FEIR; and |
| 20 | WHEREAS, the FEIR files and other Project-related Department files have been |
| 21 | available for review by this Board of Supervisors and the public, and those files are part of the |
| 22 | record before this Board of Supervisors; and |
| 23 | WHEREAS, this Board has reviewed and considered the FEIR and heard testimony |
| 24 | and received public comment regarding the adequacy of the FEIR; now, therefore, be it |

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

25

| 1 | MOVED, That this Board of Supervisors disapproves the certification of the FEIR by |
|----|--|
| 2 | the Planning Commission. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |