| 1  | Treasure Island.]   |
|----|---|
| 2  |   |
| 3  | Resolution endorsing the Development Plan and Term Sheet for the Redevelopment of           |
| 4  | Former Naval Station Treasure Island between Treasure Island Community                      |
| 5  | Development, LLC and the Treasure Island Development Authority.                             |
| 6  |   |
| 7  | WHEREAS, In 1994, a Citizen's Reuse Committee ("CRC"), representing a broad                 |
| 8  | spectrum of community interests, was formed to review reuse planning efforts regarding      |
| 9  | Treasure Island for the San Francisco Planning Department, the San Francisco                |
| 10 | Redevelopment Agency, and the Board of Supervisors; and,                                    |
| 11 | WHEREAS, This planning effort resulted in the establishment of a Draft Reuse Plan for       |
| 12 | Treasure Island that was endorsed in 1996 by the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors and the    |
| 13 | Planning Commission; and,   |
| 14 | WHEREAS, The 1996 Draft Reuse Plan operated like a "general plan" for Treasure              |
| 15 | Island, identifying opportunities and constraints and policy goals and recommendations      |
| 16 | related to the redevelopment effort; and,   |
| 17 | WHEREAS, Also in 1996, the City finalized an agreement (the "TIHDI Agreement") with         |
| 18 | the Treasure Island Homeless Development Initiative ("TIHDI"), a collaboration of homeless  |
| 19 | services agencies that was formed in 1994 to develop the homeless component of the Reuse    |
| 20 | Plan, that, among other things, (1) gave TIHDI certain rights to participate in economic    |
| 21 | development opportunities on Treasure Island, (2) facilitated implementation of a permanent |
| 22 | employment program related to TIHDI's activities, (3) gave TIHDI certain rights to both     |
| 23 | temporary and permanent housing in support of TIHDI's programs, and (4) provided TIHDI      |
| 24 | with financial support; and,  |
| 25 |   |

WHEREAS, One of the key recommendations from the reuse planning process was for
 the City to create a single-purpose authority to govern the redevelopment of Treasure Island
 and the Treasure Island Development Authority ("TIDA") was created in 1997 to serve as that
 entity; and,

5 WHEREAS, Under the Treasure Island Conversion Act of 1997 (the "Act"), the 6 California Legislature (1) designated TIDA as a redevelopment agency under the California 7 Redevelopment Law with authority over Treasure Island, and (2) with respect to those 8 portions of Treasure Island that are subject to the public trust for commerce, navigation and 9 fisheries (the "Tidelands Trust"), vested in TIDA the authority to administer the Tidelands Trust 10 in accordance with the terms of the Act; and,

WHEREAS, Another key recommendation from the reuse planning process was that the redevelopment of Treasure Island should be planned and implemented as a public/private partnership in collaboration with a "master developer", and, accordingly, on June 14, 2000, TIDA authorized the issuance of a Request for Qualifications ("RFQ") regarding the master development of Treasure Island that contemplated the issuance of a Request for Proposals ("RFP") to those respondents who met the qualifications under the RFQ; and,

WHEREAS, Based on review of the submittals to the RFQ by the Treasure
Island/Yerba Buena Island Citizen's Advisory Board (the "TI CAB"), staff and a team of
consultants, TIDA selected Treasure Island Community Development, LLC ("TICD") as the
most qualified master developer to proceed to the RFP phase, and, after an extensive public
process of drafting the RFP with direct input from the TI CAB, TIDA issued a focused RFP in
April 2002, and, after almost another year of intensive analysis and numerous public
meetings, on March 12, 2003, TIDA determined that TICD's final response to the focused

- 24
- 25

RFP met the criteria set forth in the RFP and warranted TIDA entering into exclusive
 negotiations with TICD; and,

3 WHEREAS, On June 1, 2003, TIDA entered into an Exclusive Negotiating Agreement 4 ("ENA") with TICD (and the parties entered into an Amended and Restated ENA dated 5 September 15, 2005) that sets forth the terms and conditions related to the preparation and approval of transaction documents for the redevelopment of Treasure Island, including but not 6 7 limited to, the subject of the negotiations, the term of the exclusive negotiation period and 8 options to extend the term, the allocation and responsibilities related to transaction costs 9 associated with the negotiations, the obligations of TICD and TIDA during the negotiation 10 period, the financial guarantee required to cover TICD's obligations under the ENA, and a 11 schedule of performance for completion of the transaction documents; and,

WHEREAS, As identified in the ENA Schedule of Performance, in order to address key development issues central to the financial and regulatory structure of the development planning, certain issues were prioritized for further analysis, including completion of additional studies regarding alternative locations for a ferry terminal, the feasibility of on-site waste water treatment, and a peer review of TICD's geotechnical assumptions; and,

WHEREAS, TIDA and TICD worked collaboratively with staff of the State Lands
Commission to prepare Senate Bill 1873, authorizing a Tidelands Trust Exchange on
Treasure and Yerba Buena Islands, which was approved by the State legislature and signed
by the Governor on September 15, 2004; and,

WHEREAS, Under the ENA, TICD and TIDA have worked to prepare a Development
Plan for Treasure Island that includes Land Use and Urban Design, Open Space, Housing,
Infrastructure, Community Facilities, Transportation, Fiscal Impacts, Financing, Phasing,
Sustainability, Jobs and Equal Opportunity and Emergency Support plans; and,

25

WHEREAS, The public planning process that has resulted in the Development Plan
 has involved presentation and review of all elements of the project by the TI CAB and its
 subcommittees, the TIDA Board, the Board of Supervisors Land Use and Economic
 Development Committee and other stakeholders groups totaling over 150 public meetings;
 and,

6 WHEREAS, Through the planning process, staff and TICD have worked extensively 7 and in good faith with key stakeholders and partners such as TIHDI, the Sierra Club, the 8 Treasure Island Wetlands Project, Arc Ecology, the Bay Area Water Transit Authority, 9 San Francisco Planning and Urban Research, San Francisco Little League, Treasure Island 10 Sailing Center, a spectrum of City and County departments and agencies, and California 11 State Lands Commission staff, among others; and,

WHEREAS, Staff and TICD presented a comprehensive Development Plan and Term
Sheet for the Redevelopment of Naval Station Treasure Island (the "Development Plan") to
the TI CAB at its September 26, 2006 meeting and to the TIDA Board at its September 27,
2006 meeting; and,

16 WHEREAS, The Development Plan includes extensive public benefits, including, 17 among other things, 1,800 below-market affordable housing units, the creation of 18 approximately 300 acres of parks, open space and recreational amenities, a commitment to 19 high levels of sustainable development practices, including green building standards, an innovative transportation program, storm water treatment wetlands and solar energy 20 21 generation, and economic development benefits such as the creation of thousands of 22 permanent and construction jobs and the expansion of the TIHDI job broker program targeting 23 jobs to formerly homeless and economically disadvantaged individuals and San Francisco 24 residents generally; and,

25

WHEREAS, The Development Plan has been structured such that the project delivers
 all of these public benefits without any new contribution from or recourse to the City's General
 Fund; and,

WHEREAS, On October 11, 2006, the TIDA Board and the TI CAB held a joint hearing
to review and discuss the Development Plan and they provided significant questions,
comments and recommendations; and,

- WHEREAS, Staff produced a comprehensive set of responses to the comments that
  incorporated many revisions to the Development Plan and exhibits in direct response to the
  comments and recommendations; and,
- WHEREAS, On October 24, 2006, the TI CAB voted 16-0-1 to endorse the
  Development Plan; and,
- WHEREAS, On October 30, 2006, the TIDA Board voted 6-0 to endorse theDevelopment Plan; and,

WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors hereby acknowledges that there are a number
of issues related to the reliability of funding for the transportation programs set forth in the
Development Plan that require further study and analysis and that such issues need to be
resolved to the satisfaction of the Board as a condition to the Board's approval of the

18 Disposition and Development Agreement ("DDA"); now, therefore, be it

19 RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby endorses the Development Plan in 20 substantially the form on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 061498, 21 which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if fully set forth herein, subject to the 22 following conditions:

- 23
- The final Financial Plan and Fiscal Analysis supporting the DDA should:
- 24

a) Consider all costs associated with municipal and County functions;

25

1

b) Include a sensitivity analysis on all key assumptions;

2

c) Be developed in timely collaboration with the impacted City departments;

- d) Ensure that the General Fund subsidy for municipal services, excluding
  transportation services, ends by 2017, which date shall be updated to be consistent
  with the project phasing in the DDA;
- e) Be final in that all legal and legislative authorizations related to additional
  taxes and fees that may legally be set at that time have been obtained; and
- 8

9

10

11

 f) Reflect the economic realities of providing sufficient transportation to the Island by the Municipal Railway (MUNI) and demonstrate that the incremental costs of providing municipal services, including MUNI, caused by the new development can be provided out of the new revenues generated by the development of the project.

- The rates of return or a process for setting the rates of return on individual
   residential and commercial development projects (i.e. hurdle rate) which is included in overall
   project revenues in which the City participates should be clearly stipulated in the DDA, and
   any amendment to the rate of return provisions of the DDA that would result in a material
   adverse affect on the City's projected participation as set forth in the DDA shall be subject to
   the prior approval of the Board of Supervisors.
- The Board of Supervisors shall maintain and/or delegate oversight authority of
  the proposed transportation and parking management district.
- The Board of Supervisors shall review and approve the Transportation Plan in
  its entirety prior to approval of the DDA.
- The plan for the redevelopment of the hangars (Buildings Two and Three) must
   be included in the DDA in order to ensure that the reuse of these buildings is adequately
- 24
- 25

addressed and timely and to ensure that TIDA is protected from assuming the risk associated
with the reuse of these buildings.

- TICD shall not have the right of first refusal on any land reclaimed from the Job
  Corps program without prior approval of the Board of Supervisors.
- TIDA and the Mayor's Office should present the DDA to the Board of
  Supervisors on a schedule that provides sufficient time for the Budget Analyst and the
  Controller and other applicable Departments to conduct a thorough review and analysis of the
  DDA and financing plan prior to consideration of the DDA by the Board of Supervisors.
- A management plan for the natural areas on Yerba Buena Island shall be
  developed and adopted.
- The City and County of San Francisco shall be an additional signatory to the
   Development Plan.
- The labor representation card check agreements for the project shall apply to
   grocery stores with 50 employees or more, in addition to the other categories of employees
   provided under the City's Employee Signature Authorization Ordinance, San Francisco
   Administrative Code Chapter 23, Article VI; and be it
- 17 FURTHER RESOLVED, In order to prevent displacement of existing households on 18 Treasure Island, the Transition Plan for Existing Units shall guarantee that all Existing 19 Households on Treasure Island (as defined in the Development Plan) on the date of the approval of the DDA by the Board of Supervisors who remain tenants in good standing 20 21 through the time of relocation to the newly developed housing on Treasure Island shall have 22 the option to rent or buy an affordable unit either at a rental rate equal to their rent at the time of execution of the DDA, subject to adjustment by the allowable annual rent increase as set by 23 24 the San Francisco Rent Board under Chapter 37 of the Administrative Code, or at a rental rate
- 25

which is 30% of their household income, whichever is lower, or at a purchase price that is
affordable to such households. In the event that any existing households cannot access an
affordable unit, those households shall have the right to rent a market rate unit at a rental rate
equal to their rent at the time of execution of the DDA, subject to adjustment by the allowable
annual rent increase as set by the San Francisco Rent Board under Chapter 37 of the
Administrative Code; and be it

7 FURTHER RESOLVED, That, prior to entering into the DDA, TIDA shall diligently 8 pursue and secure State legislation necessary to implement the congestion pricing and 9 parking pricing programs that are described in the Development Plan, or shall identify an 10 alternative solution that does not rely on the General Fund, and that such legislation or 11 alternative solution shall (i) be subject to the prior approval of the Board of Supervisors, and 12 (ii) define the transportation program's goals and objectives with regard to the long-term 13 effectiveness of the transit programs, including operational issues; and be it 14 FURTHER RESOLVED, That TIDA shall report to the Board of Supervisors within 6 15 months after the date of this resolution, and semi-annually thereafter, regarding the status of

16 the transportation and parking management district and the State legislation for the

17 congestion pricing and parking pricing programs; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors or its designee shall ensure that transportation issues affecting Treasure Island are effectively integrated and coordinated with transportation issues affecting the City and the region, including, without limitation, fiscal and operational issues; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That TIDA shall, in consultation with the Municipal
 Transportation Agency and the Controller's Office, identify a mechanism to ensure adequate
 and reliable funding for additional MUNI service on Treasure Island; and be it

25

Mayor Newsom BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

| 1  | FURTHER RESOLVED, That all unreimbursed costs incurred by TIDA related to the                 |
|----|---|
| 2  | development of Treasure Island that are otherwise recoverable under the ENA shall be made     |
| 3  | project costs and paid by TICD prior to the distribution of any project revenues to TICD; and |
| 4  | be it   |
| 5  | FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby urges TIDA to enter into               |
| 6  | any additions, amendments or other modifications to the Development Plan that are             |
| 7  | necessary to implement the terms of this resolution in consultation with the City Attorney.   |
| 8  |   |
| 9  |   |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |

Mayor Newsom BOARD OF SUPERVISORS