1	[Settlement of Eminent Domain Lawsuit Against the City and County of San Francisco.]		
2			
3	Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Pacific Gas & Electric ("PG&E')		
4	against the City and County of San Francisco for \$1,088,000.00; the lawsuit was filed on		
5	June 6, 2006 in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-06-452985; entitled		
6	Pacific Gas & Electric Company v. City and County of San Francisco; other material		
7	terms of said settlement are set forth in the Stipulation for Entry of Judgment in		
8	Condemnation and the Judgment in Condemnation, contained in Board of Supervisors		
9	File No. <u>071612</u> .		
10			
11	Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:		
12	Section 1. The San Francisco City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action		
13	entitled Pacific Gas & Electric Company v. City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco		
14	Superior Court, Case No. CGC-06-452985, by the payment by PG&E of \$1,088,000.00 and		
15	on such other material terms as are set forth in the Stipulation for Entry of Judgment in		
16	Condemnation ("the Stipulation") and the Judgment in Condemnation ("the Judgment"),		
17	contained in Board of Supervisors File No. <u>071612</u> , and on such other terms as shall be		
18	negotiated and approved by the City Attorney's Office.		
19	Section 2. The above-named action was filed in San Francisco Superior Court on June		
20	6, 2006, and the following parties were named in the lawsuit: City and County of San		
21	Francisco ("the City").		
22	Section 3. The action was brought by PG&E seeking a fee interest in a portion of the		
23	former Michigan Street at 22nd Street ("the Property") in the City and County of San		
24	Francisco. The Property is more particularly described and is depicted in documents labeled		
25	The state of the s		

1	Exhibit A and Exhibit A1 to the Stipulation, contained within Board of Supervisors File No.		
2	071612 and incorporated herein by reference	e. The Property is presently owned by the City.	
3	Section 4. Plaintiff PG&E has agreed	to settle this action by payment to the City of	
4	\$1,088,000 for acquisition of fee ownership of the Property. Those terms are reflected in the		
5	Stipulation and the Judgment, contained within Board of Supervisors File No. 071612 and		
6	incorporated herein by reference.		
7	Section 5. PG&E desires to acquire the Property as part of the construction, operation		
8	and maintenance of electronic transmission facilities as part of the Potrero Switching Station		
9	Project.		
10	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney	RECOMMENDED:	
11		San Francisco Real Estate Division	
12	Dva.	Dv.c.	
13	By: CHRISTINE VAN AKEN Deputy City Attorney	By: AMY L. BROWN Director of Real Estate	
14		Director of Real Estate	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			