1

9

14

19

[Board of Supervisors response to the 2007-2008 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "The homeless have homes, but they are still on the street"]

Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2007-2008 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "The homeless have homes, but they are still on the street."

WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code Section 933 et seg., the Board of Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and

WHEREAS, In accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), if a finding or recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over which it has some decision making authority; and

WHEREAS, The 2007-2008 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "The homeless have homes, but they are still on the street" is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 081018, which is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; and

WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond to Findings Nos. 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 10 as well as Recommendations Nos. 1, 2 and 9 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report (Note that the recommendations do not correspond numerically with their associated findings); and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 1 states: "Homeless spending is large scale, complex and dispersed, but the City has no mechanism for regularly monitoring it across departments;" and Supervisor Mirkarimi
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, Finding No. 2 states: "Updating the six-year old 2001-2002 Budget Analyst's Report of direct and indirect homeless spending would provide worthwhile data to aid in assessing whether the trend in ever-increasing spending on homelessness is changing;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 1 states: "The Controller and the Board of Supervisors' Budget Analyst should update the 2001-2002 analysis of the City's homeless spending;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 4 states: "Efforts to monitor the cost effectiveness of supportive housing program have not been comprehensive, and have not included all cohorts of the population at risk of homelessness;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 5 states: "The costs of different homeless housing programs vary greatly for reasons which are poorly understood. Little analysis of these differences has been done;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 6 states: "It is unclear whether current plans to create one type of building over another or to develop new models are informed by past experience or by any cost/benefit analysis;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 7 states: "The City is just beginning to develop simple outcome measures of success relative to cost in its supportive housing program;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 8 states: "Greater freedom to experiment with different approaches and levels of service could facilitate greater cost effectiveness in supportive housing programs;" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 2 states: "The City should order that comprehensive cost/benefit analysis be performed on current supportive housing programs to include: (A) costs of the supportive programs for various homeless populations compared to the cost avoidance through reduced use of emergency services, hospitals, jails, etc., and (B)

comparative analysis of specific programs and supportive housing models to assess reasons that the cost of providing supportive housing differs substantially between different programs that seem equally successful in outcome;" and

WHEREAS, Finding No. 10 states: "San Franciscans' continued support of efforts to house the homeless may depend on their observing an improvement in quality of life attributes such as aberrant street behaviors:" and

WHEREAS, Recommendation No. 9 states: "With the success of Housing First, the City should address the quality of life issues that concern the welfare of all San Francisco residents and should develop programs that enforce the law, demonstrate compassion, and move the affected population from harm reduction to meaningful living;" and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Penal Code Section 933.05(c), the Board of Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on Findings Nos. 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 10 as well as Recommendations Nos. 1, 2 and 9 contained in the subject Civil Grand Jury report; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court that it agrees with Finding Nos. 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 10 as well as Recommendation Nos. 1, 2 and 9 of the 2007-2008 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "The homeless have homes, but they are still on the street;" and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, Pursuant to Penal Code Section 933.05(c), the Board of Supervisors responds to the findings and recommendations to which it agrees by hereby urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his/her department heads and through the development of the annual budget.



## City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

## Resolution

	201007	
File Number:	081095	Date Passed:
Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2007-2008 Civil Grand Jury Report entitled "The homeless have homes, but they are still on the street."		
May 5, 2009 Board of Supervisors — ADOPTED  Ayes: 11 - Alioto-Pier, Avalos, Campos, Chiu, Chu, Daly, Dufty, Elsbernd, Mar, Maxwell, Mirkarimi		
	File No. 081095	I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on May 5, 2009 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.
	·	A-Ca.Do
		Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board
	5/15/09	UNSIGNED
	Date Approved	Mayor Gavin Newsom

Date: May 15, 2009

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution, not being signed by the Mayor within the time limit as set forth in Section 3.103 of the Charter, became effective without his approval in accordance with the provision of said Section 3.103 of the Charter.

Clerk of the Board