| 1 | [Approval of an historical property contract for 690 Market Street ("Chronicle Building").] |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Resolution under Chapter 71 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, approving an |
| 4 | historical property contract between RC Chronicle Building LP and 690 Market Master |
| 5 | Association, a nonprofit mutual public benefit association, the owners of 690 Market |
| 6 | Street ("Chronicle Building"), and the City and County of San Francisco; authorizing |
| 7 | the Director of Planning and the Assessor to execute the historical property contract. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | WHEREAS, The California Mills Act (Government Code Section 50280 et seq.) |
| 10 | authorizes local governments to enter into a contract with the owners of a qualified historical |
| 11 | property who agree to rehabilitate, restore, preserve, and maintain the property in return for |
| 12 | property tax reductions under the California Revenue and Taxation Code; and |
| 13 | WHEREAS, San Francisco contains many historic buildings that add to its character |
| 14 | and international reputation and that have not been adequately maintained, may be |
| 15 | structurally deficient, or may need rehabilitation, and the costs of properly rehabilitating, |
| 16 | restoring, and preserving these historic buildings may be prohibitive for property owners; and, |
| 17 | WHEREAS, Chapter 71 of the San Francisco Administrative Code was adopted to |
| 18 | implement the provisions of the Mills Act and to preserve these historic buildings; and |
| 19 | WHEREAS, 690 Market Street ("Chronicle Building") is a Significant Building (Category |
| 20 | II) under Article 11 of the Planning Code and thus qualifies as an historical property as defined |
| 21 | in Administrative Code Section 71.2; and |
| 22 | WHEREAS, A Mills Act application for an historical property contract has been |
| 23 | submitted by RC Chronicle Building LP and 690 Market Master Association, a nonprofit |
| 24 | mutual public benefit association, the owners of 690 Market Street, detailing completed |
| 25 | rehabilitation work and proposing a maintenance plan for the property; and |

| 1 | WHEREAS, As required by Administrative Code Section 71.4(a), the application for the |
|----|--|
| 2 | historical property contract for 690 Market Street was reviewed by the Assessor's Office, the |
| 3 | Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board, and the Planning Commission; and |
| 4 | WHEREAS, The Assessor has reviewed the historical property contract and has |
| 5 | provided the Board of Supervisors with an estimate of the property tax calculations and the |
| 6 | difference in property tax assessments under the different valuation methods permitted by the |
| 7 | Mills Act in its report transmitted to the Board of Supervisors on October 30, 2008, which |
| 8 | report is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 080953 and is hereby |
| 9 | declared to be a part of this resolution as if set forth fully herein; and, |
| 10 | WHEREAS, The Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board recommended approval of |
| 11 | the historical property contract in its Resolution No. 620, which Resolution is on file with the |
| 12 | Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No 080953 and is hereby declared to be a part of this |
| 13 | resolution as if set forth fully herein; and, |
| 14 | WHEREAS, The Planning Commission recommended approval of the historical |
| 15 | property contract in its Resolution No. 17575, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the |
| 16 | Board of Supervisors in File No. 080953 and is hereby declared to be a part of this resolution |
| 17 | as if set forth fully herein; and, |
| 18 | WHEREAS, The draft historical property contract between RC Chronicle Building LP, |
| 19 | owner of 690 Market Street, and the City and County of San Francisco is on file with the Clerk |
| 20 | of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 081410 and is hereby declared to be a part of this |
| 21 | resolution as if set forth fully herein; and, |
| 22 | WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors has conducted a public hearing pursuant to |
| 23 | Administrative Code Section 71.4(d) to review the Planning Commission's recommendation |
| 24 | and the information provided by the Assessor's Office in order to determine whether the City |
| | |

should execute the historical property contract for 690 Market Street; and

25

| 1 | WHEREAS, The Board of Supervisors has balanced the benefits of the Mills Act to the |
|----|---|
| 2 | owner of 690 Market Street with the cost to the City of providing the property tax reductions |
| 3 | authorized by the Mills Act, as well as the historical value of 690 Market Street and the |
| 4 | resultant property tax reductions; now, therefore, be it |
| 5 | RESOLVED, That this resolution hereby supersedes the Resolution "Approval of an |
| 6 | historical property contract for 690 Market Street ("Chronicle Building")", approved by the |
| 7 | Board of Supervisors on November 4, 2008 and found in Board File No. 080953; and be it |
| 8 | FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the historical |
| 9 | property contract between RC Chronicle Building LP and 690 Market Master Association, a |
| 10 | nonprofit mutual public benefit association, owners of 690 Market Street, and the City and |
| 11 | County of San Francisco; and, be it |
| 12 | FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the Director |
| 13 | of Planning and the Assessor to execute the historical property contract. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |