BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco 94102-4689 Tel. No. 554-5184 Fax No. 554-5163 TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: John Rahaim, Director, Planning Department Sophia Kittler, Mayor's Office Kate Hartley, Director, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD) Julie Rosenberg, Executive Director, Board of Appeals Jonas Ionin, Historic Preservation Commission Tom DeCaigny, Director, Arts Commission Jon Givner, Deputy City Attorney, Office of the City Attorney John Arntz, Director, Department of Elections Nadia Sesay, Executive Director, Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure Vincent C. Matthews, Ed.D., Superintendent, SFUSD (MatthewsV@sfusd.edu)
Steve Bruckman, Commuity College District (sbruckman@ccsf.edu)

- FROM: Victor Young, Assistant Clerk, Rules Committee Board of Supervisors
- DATE: April 30, 2019
- SUBJECT: INITIATIVE ORDINANCE INTRODUCED November 5, 2019 Election

The Board of Supervisors' Rules Committee has received the following Charter Amendment and Initiative Ordinance for the November 5, 2019, Election. This matter is being referred to you in accordance with Rules of Order 2.22.4.

File No. 190437

Charter Amendment (First Draft) to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to provide for streamlined review of eligible affordable housing and housing for teachers and employees of the San Francisco Unified School District or Community College District by limiting discretionary review by City boards and commissions and providing for Planning Department ministerial review in lieu of approvals by or certain appeals to City boards and commissions; an Initiative Ordinance to make corresponding amendments to the Planning Code and the Business and Tax Regulations Code; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; at an election to be held on November 5, 2019. Please review and submit any reports or comments you wish to be included with the legislative file.

If you have any questions or concerns, please call me at (415) 554-7723 or email: victor.young@sfgov.org. To submit documentation, please forward to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

C: Scott Sanchez, Planning Department Corey Teague, Planning Department Lisa Gibson, Planning Department Devyani Jain, Planning Department AnMarie Rodgers, Planning Department Dan Sider, Planning Department Aaron Starr, Planning Department Joy Navarrete, Planning Department Laura Lynch, Planning Department Andres Power, Mayor's Office Eugene Flannery, MOHCD Gary Cantara, Board of Appeals Tim Frye, Historic Preservation Commission Andrea Ruiz-Esquide, Historic Preservation Commission Georgia Powell, Planning Department Susan Pontious, Arts Commission Rebekah Krell. Arts Commission Sharon Page Ritchie, Arts Commission Anne Trickey, Arts Commission Lucinda Nguyen, Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure Viva Mongi, SFUSD (mogiv@sfusd.edu Ester Casco, SFUSD (CascoE@sfusd.edu) Danielle Houck, SFUSD(daniellehouck@sfusd.edu) Ronald Gerhard, CCSF (rgerhard@ccsf.edu)

(FIRST DRAFT)

[Charter Amendment and Initiative Ordinance - Non-Discretionary Review of 100% Affordable Housing and Teacher Housing Projects]

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the voters at an election to be held on November 5, 2019, to amend the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to provide for streamlined review of eligible affordable housing and housing for teachers and employees of the San Francisco Unified School District or Community College District by limiting discretionary review by City boards and commissions and providing for Planning Department ministerial review in lieu of approvals by or certain appeals to City boards and commissions; and to make corresponding amendments to the Planning Code and the Business and Tax Regulations Code; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Section 1. CEQA FINDINGS. The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this proposed Charter Amendment comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____ and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this determination.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors hereby submits to the qualified voters of the City and County, at an election to be held on November 5, 2019, a proposal to amend the Charter of the City and County, the San Francisco Planning Code, and the San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, as follows:

- |||
- |||
- |||

NOTE:Unchanged text and uncodified text are in plain font.Additions are single-underline italics Times New Roman font.Deletions are strike-through italics Times New Roman font.Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged subsections.

Section 1. TITLE. This measure shall be known and may be cited as the "San Francisco For Everyone Initiative" (the "Initiative").

Section 2. PURPOSE AND FINDINGS. The People of the City and County of San Francisco hereby find as follows:

(a) San Francisco is in a severe housing crisis. The shortage of affordable housing has forced lower income and middle class families out of the City. There is a need to make it easier to build affordable and middle-income housing to keep our city diverse, and provide homes for lower and middle income workers, including teachers. In the midst of this severe housing shortage and affordability crisis, San Francisco must immediately remove barriers to building housing for low and middle income residents and working families, including for our teachers.

(b) Teachers and other employees of the San Francisco Unified School District and Community College District suffer acutely from the City's severe housing shortage, with hundreds of teachers leaving the school district every year, many due to frustration with high housing costs and the escalating cost of living. When it comes to providing quality public education for our students, it is far preferable for teachers to live in the City and district in which they teach.

(c) San Francisco's current planning and appeals process unnecessarily delays the development of new affordable housing, even in locations that have already undergone extensive environmental and neighborhood review. This measure remedies that cumbersome planning and appeal process by allowing eligible 100% affordable and teacher housing projects to move

forward without delay.

(d) The purpose of the San Francisco For Everyone Initiative is to facilitate the development and construction of 100% affordable and teacher housing in San Francisco.

(e) Affordable housing is an especially paramount concern in San Francisco. San Francisco has one of the highest housing costs in the nation, but San Francisco's economy and culture rely on a diverse workforce at all income levels. It is the policy of the City to enable these workers to afford housing in San Francisco and ensure that they pay a reasonably proportionate share of their incomes to live in adequate housing and to not have to commute ever-increasing distances to their jobs. The Association of Bay Area Governments determined that San Francisco's share of the Regional Housing Need for January 2015 to June 2022 was the provision of 28,870 new housing units, with 6,234 (or 21.6%) as very low, 4,639 (or 16.1%) as low, and 5,460 (or 18.9%) as moderate income units.

(f) The Board of Supervisors, and the voters in San Francisco, have long recognized the need for the production of affordable housing. The voters, in some cases, and the Board in others, have adopted measures to address this need, such as mandatory Inclusionary Affordable Housing Ordinance in Planning Code Section 415; the San Francisco Housing Trust Fund, adopted in 2012, which established a fund to create, support, and rehabilitate affordable housing, and set aside \$20 million in its first year, with increasing allocations to reach \$50 million a year for affordable housing; the adoption of Proposition K in November 2014, which established as City policy that the City, by 2020, will help construct or rehabilitate at least 30,000 homes, with more than 50% of the housing affordable for middle-income households, and at least 33% as affordable for low- and moderate income households; and the multiple programs that rely on Federal, State and local funding sources as identified in the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development Consolidated Plan. These programs enable the City to work towards the voter-mandated affordable housing goals.

Mayor Breed; Supervisors Brown, Safai BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (g) Streamlined review enables cities to expedite development of 100% affordable housing and teacher housing projects by reducing the time and expense associated with long project review periods and multiple appeal proceedings.

Section 3. CHARTER AMENDMENT. The Charter of the City and County of San Francisco shall be amended by adding new Section 16.126 and by amending Sections 4.105, 4.106, 4.135, 5.103, and 9.118, to read as follows:

SEC. 16.126. STREAMLINED REVIEW OF 100% AFFORDABLE AND TEACHER HOUSING PROJECTS.

(a) Definitions. For purposes of this Section 16.126, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

<u>100% Affordable Housing</u>. Residential units that are deed-restricted to be affordable to households with an income up to 140% of the unadjusted area median family income (AMI) for the HUD Metro Fair Market Rent Area (HMFA) that contains San Francisco, as published annually by MOHCD.

<u>100% Affordable Housing Project. A project for the development of 100% Affordable</u> <u>Housing. In the alternative, a 100% Affordable Housing Project also is a mixed use</u> <u>development consisting of residential and nonresidential uses with at least two-thirds of the</u> <u>gross building square footage designated for residential use, which shall be restricted as 100%</u> <u>Affordable Housing.</u>

(1) Notwithstanding the foregoing requirements for a 100% Affordable Housing Project, the maximum affordable rent or sales price for a unit in such project may be no higher than 20% below median market rents or sales prices for the neighborhood in which the 100% Affordable Housing Project is located, which neighborhood shall be defined in accordance with the American Community Survey Neighborhood Profile Boundaries Map. MOHCD shall determine the allowable rents and sales prices, and the eligible households for such units accordingly.

(2) Notwithstanding the foregoing requirements for a 100% Affordable Housing Project, any prior tenant who may return to the 100% Affordable Housing Project whose income exceeds 140% AMI shall not change the definition of the housing project as a 100% Affordable Housing Project and cause the housing project to be excluded from the provisions of this Section 16.126.

MOHCD. The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development or its successor <u>agency.</u>

Teacher Housing Project. A project for the development of residential units, where no less than two-thirds of the units are deed-restricted to occupancy by at least one employee of the Unified School District or Community College District, as verified by the Planning Department, or MOHCD. In the alternative a Teacher Housing Project is also a mixed use development consisting of residential and nonresidential uses with at least two-thirds of the gross building square footage designated for residential use, of which no less than two-thirds of the residential units shall be deed restricted to occupancy by at least one employee of the Unified School District or Community College District, as provided in this Section 16.126. The residential units that are deed-restricted to occupancy by at least one employee of the Unified School District or Community College District shall also be deed-restricted to be affordable to households with an income up to 140% of the unadjusted area median family income (AMI) for the HUD Metro Fair Market Rent Area (HMFA) that contains San Francisco, as published annually by MOHCD.

(1) Notwithstanding the foregoing income restrictions for a Teacher Housing Project, the maximum affordable rent or sales price for a unit in such project may be no higher than 20% below median market rents or sales prices for the neighborhood in which the Teacher Housing Project is located, which neighborhood shall be defined in accordance with the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

American Community Survey Neighborhood Profile Boundaries Map. MOHCD shall determine
the allowable rents and sales prices, and the eligible households for such units accordingly.
(2) Notwithstanding the foregoing income restrictions for a Teacher Housing
Project, any prior tenant who may return to the Teacher Housing Project whose income exceeds
140% AMI shall not change the definition of the housing project as a Teacher Housing Project
and cause the housing project to be excluded from the provisions of this Section 16.126.
(b) Eligibility. To be eligible for streamlining under this Section 16.126, projects must
 meet the following requirements:
(1) The project is a 100% Affordable Housing Project or Teacher Housing
<u>Project; and</u>
(2) The project is located on a site that (A) is not designated open space under
the jurisdiction of the Recreation and Park Department that is used as a public park, (B) is not in
a zoning district that prohibits dwelling units, (C) is not located in an RH-1, RH-1(D), or RH-2
zoning district, and (D) does not cause any removal or demolition of a designated state or
national landmark, or designated City landmark or contributory building in a designated
historic district as provided in the Planning Code, or a Significant Building designated Category
<u>I or II as provided in Planning Code Article 11.</u>
(c) Discretionary Approvals. It is the intent of this Section 16.126 to exempt eligible
100% Affordable Housing Projects and Teacher Housing Projects from any requirements for
discretionary approvals by the City, including but not limited to the Planning Commission,
Historic Preservation Commission, Arts Commission, Board of Supervisors, and Board of
<u>Appeals.</u>
(d) Implementation and Application.
(1) The Planning Department, in consultation with MOHCD, may adopt

(1) The Planning Department, in consultation with MOHCD, may adopt regulations to implement this Section 16.126.

Mayor Breed; Supervisors Brown, Safai BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(2) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Charter, the City may enact ordinances applying the controls of this Section 16.126, and the Charter provisions expressly related to Section 16.126, to additional forms of housing, but may not restrict its application to 100% Affordable Housing Projects and Teacher Housing Projects.

SEC. 4.105. PLANNING COMMISSION.

* * * *

REFERRAL OF CERTAIN MATTERS. The following matters shall, prior to passage by the Board of Supervisors, be submitted for written report by the Planning Department regarding conformity with the General Plan:

1. Proposed ordinances and resolutions concerning the acquisition or vacation of property by, or a change in the use or title of property owned by, the City and County;

2. Subdivisions of land within the City and County;

3. Projects for the construction or improvement of public buildings or structures within the City and County;

4. Project plans for public housing, or publicly assisted private housing in the City and County;

5. Redevelopment project plans within the City and County; and

6. Such other matters as may be prescribed by ordinance.

Notwithstanding the foregoing list of matters requiring a report regarding General Plan

conformity, any eligible 100% Affordable Housing Project or Teacher Housing Project, as

defined in Charter Section 16.126, that the Planning Department determines to be consistent

with the applicable zoning as set forth in the Planning Code shall be deemed to be consistent

with the General Plan and shall not require referral for a separate report of conformity by the

Planning Department for the foregoing matters. The Commission shall disapprove any proposed

Mayor Breed; Supervisors Brown, Safai **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

action referred to it upon a finding that such action does not conform to the General Plan. Such a finding may be reversed by a vote of two-thirds of the Board of Supervisors.

All such reports and recommendations shall be issued in a manner and within a time period to be determined by ordinance.

PERMITS AND LICENSES. All permits and licenses dependent on, or affected by, the City Planning Code administered by the Planning Department shall be approved by the Commission prior to issuance <u>except that permits</u>, <u>licenses</u>, <u>or other approvals for an eligible</u> <u>100% Affordable Housing Project or Teacher Housing Project as defined in Charter Section</u> <u>16.126 do not require approval by the Commission prior to issuance</u>. The Commission may delegate this approval function to the Planning Department. Notwithstanding the foregoing, certificates of appropriateness for work to designated landmarks and historic districts and applications for alterations to significant or contributory buildings or properties in designated conservation districts that have been approved, disapproved, or modified by the Historic Preservation Commission shall not require approval by the Commission prior to issuance.

* * * *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SEC. 4.106. BOARD OF APPEALS.

* * * *

(b) The Board shall hear and determine appeals with respect to any person who has been denied a permit or license, or whose permit or license has been suspended, revoked or withdrawn, or who believes that his or her interest or the public interest will be adversely affected by the grant, denial, suspension or revocation of a license or permit, except for a permit or license under the jurisdiction of the Recreation and Park Commission or Department, or the Port Commission, or a building or demolition permit for a project that has received a permit or license pursuant to a conditional use authorization. *or any permit or license for an eligible 100%*

Affordable Housing Project or Teacher Housing Project as defined in Charter Section 16.126.

* *

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SEC. 4.135. HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION.

* * * *

CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS. The Historic Preservation Commission shall approve, disapprove, or modify certificates of appropriateness for work to designated landmarks or within historic districts. For minor alterations, the Historic Preservation Commission may delegate this function to staff, whose decision may be appealed to the Historic Preservation Commission. <u>A Certificate of Appropriateness shall not be required for construction of an</u> *eligible 100% Affordable Housing Project or Teacher Housing Project as defined in Charter* <u>Section 16.126 in a historic district, provided that the Planning Department shall develop and</u> *apply objective criteria substantially similar to the criteria used for issuance of a Certificate of* <u>Appropriateness to review the design of a proposed 100% Affordable Housing Project or</u> <u>Teacher Housing Project located within a historic district.</u>

For projects that require multiple planning approvals, the Historic Preservation Commission must review and act on any Certificate of Appropriateness before any other planning approval action. For projects that (1) require a conditional use permit or permit review under Section 309, et seq., of the Planning Code and (2) do not concern an individually landmarked property, the Planning Commission may modify any decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness by a 2/3 vote, provided that the Planning Commission shall apply all applicable historic resources provisions of the Planning Code.

For projects that are located on vacant lots, the Planning Commission may modify any decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness by a two-thirds vote, provided that the Planning Commission shall apply all applicable historic resources provisions of the Planning Code.

The Historic Preservation Commission or Planning Commission's decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be final unless appealed to the Board of Appeals, which may modify the decision by a 4/5 vote; provided, however, that if the project requires Board of Supervisors approval or is appealed to the Board of Supervisors as a conditional use, the decision shall not be appealable to the Board of Appeals, but rather to the Board of Supervisors, which may modify the decision by a majority vote.

* * * *

ALTERATION OF SIGNIFICANT OR CONTRIBUTORY BUILDINGS OR BUILDINGS IN
CONSERVATION DISTRICTS IN THE C-3 DISTRICTS. The Historic PreservationCommission shall have the authority to determine if a proposed alteration is a Major Alteration
or a Minor Alteration. The Historic Preservation Commission shall have the authority to
approve, disapprove, or modify applications for permits to alter or demolish designated
Significant or Contributory buildings or buildings within Conservation Districts. The Historic
Preservation Commission shall not have the authority to approve, disapprove, or modify
applications for permits to alter buildings for an eligible 100% Affordable Housing Project or
Teacher Housing Project as defined in Charter Section 16.126, provided that the Planning
Department develops and applies objective criteria substantially similar to the applicable
criteria for issuance of a permit to alter to review the design of a proposed 100% Affordable
Housing Project or Teacher Housing Project. For Minor Alterations, the Historic Preservation
Commission may delegate this function to staff, whose decision may be appealed to the Historic
Preservation Commission.

For projects that require multiple planning approvals, the Historic Preservation Commission must review and act on any permit to alter before any other planning approval action. For projects that (1) require a conditional use permit or permit review under Section <u>309</u>, et seq., of the Planning Code and (2) do not concern a designated Significant (Categories I and II) or Contributory (Category III only) building, the Planning Commission may modify any decision on a permit to alter by a 2/3 vote, provided that the Planning Commission shall apply all applicable historic resources provisions of the Planning Code.

For projects that are located on vacant lots, the Planning Commission may modify any decision on a permit to alter by a two-thirds vote, provided that the Planning Commission shall apply all applicable historic resources provisions of the Planning Code.

The Historic Preservation Commission's or Planning Commission's decision on a permit to alter shall be final unless appealed to the Board of Appeals, which may modify the decision by a 4/5 vote; provided, however, that if the project requires Board of Supervisors approval or is appealed to the Board of Supervisors as a conditional use, the decision shall not be appealable to the Board of Appeals, but rather to the Board of Supervisors, which may modify the decision by a majority vote.

* * * *

REFERRAL OF CERTAIN MATTERS. The following matters shall, prior to passage by the Board of Supervisors, be submitted for written report by the Historic Preservation Commission regarding effects upon historic or cultural resources: ordinances and resolutions concerning historic preservation issues and historic resources; redevelopment project plans; waterfront land use and project plans; and such other matters as may be prescribed by ordinance. <u>An eligible</u> <u>100% Affordable Housing Project or Teacher Housing Project as defined in Charter Section</u> <u>16.126 shall not require review by the Historic Preservation Commission under this paragraph</u>. If the Planning Commission is required to take action on the matter, the Historic Preservation Commission shall submit any report to the Planning Commission as well as to the Board of Supervisors; otherwise, the Historic Preservation Commission shall submit any report to the Board of Supervisors.

SEC. 5.103. ARTS COMMISSION.

* * :

In furtherance of the foregoing the Arts Commission shall:

1. Approve the designs for all public structures, any private structure which extends over or upon any public property and any yards, courts, set-backs or usable open spaces which are an integral part of any such structures, *except that an eligible 100% Affordable Housing Project or* <u>Teacher Housing Project as defined in Charter Section 16.126 is not subject to design approval</u> by the Arts Commission;

2. Approve the design and location of all works of art before they are acquired, transferred or sold by the City and County, or are placed upon or removed from City and County property, or are altered in any way; maintain and keep an inventory of works of art owned by the City and County; and maintain the works of art owned by the City and County;

3. Promote a neighborhood arts program to encourage and support an active interest in the arts on a local and neighborhood level, assure that the City and County-owned community cultural centers remain open, accessible and vital contributors to the cultural life of the City and County, establish liaison between community groups and develop support for neighborhood artists and arts organizations; and

4. Supervise and control the expenditure of all appropriations made by the Board of Supervisors for the advancement of the visual, performing or literary arts.

Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit or abridge the powers or exclusive jurisdiction of the charitable trust departments or the California Academy of Sciences or the Library Commission over their activities; the land and buildings set aside for their use; or over the other assets entrusted to their care.

|||

|||

SEC. 9.118. CONTRACT AND LEASE LIMITATIONS.

(a) Unless otherwise provided for in this Charter, contracts entered into by a department, board or commission having anticipated revenue to the City and County of one million dollars or more, or the modification, amendment or termination of any contract which when entered into had anticipated revenue of one million dollars or more, shall be subject to approval of the Board of Supervisors by resolution. *Contracts for an eligible 100% Affordable Housing Project or Teacher Housing Project as defined in Charter Section 16.126 shall not be subject to approval of the Board of Supervisors.*

(b) Unless otherwise provided for in this Charter, and with the exception of construction contracts entered into by the City and County, any other contracts or agreements entered into by a department, board or commission having a term in excess of ten years, or requiring anticipated expenditures by the City and County of ten million dollars, or the modification or amendments to such contract or agreement having an impact of more than \$500,000 shall be subject to approval of the Board of Supervisors by resolution. *Contracts or agreements for an eligible 100% Affordable Housing Project or Teacher Housing Project as defined in Charter Section 16.126 shall not be subject to approval of the Board of Supervisors.*

(c) Unless otherwise provided for in this Charter, any lease of real property for a period of ten or more years, including options to renew, or having anticipated revenue to the City and County of one million dollars or more; the modification, amendment or termination of any lease, which when entered into was for a period of ten or more years, including options to renew, or had anticipated revenue to the City and County of one million dollars or more; and any sale or other transfer of real property owned by the City and County, shall first be approved by resolution of the Board of Supervisors. Leases of property under the jurisdiction of the Port Commission for maritime use shall be exempt from the requirements of this section. *The Board of Supervisors' approval under this Section 9.118(c) shall not be required for a ground lease of*

real property for an eligible 100% Affordable Housing Project or Teacher Housing Project as defined in Charter Section 16.126, provided that the ground lease is no less than 55 years and no longer than 99 years.

SECTION 4. PLANNING CODE AMENDMENTS. The Planning Code is hereby amended by adding new Section 344, and revising Section 101.1, to read as follows:

<u>SEC. 344. STREAMLINED REVIEW OF 100% AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND</u> <u>TEACHER HOUSING PROJECTS.</u>

(a) Purpose and Amendment. It is the intent of this Section 344 to exempt 100% Affordable Housing Projects and Teacher Housing Projects from any requirements for discretionary approvals by the Planning Commission, or other City decision-makers, consistent with the Charter. The Board of Supervisors may expand the application of this Section 344 and Municipal Code, Business and Tax Regulation Code Section 26 to other forms of housing by ordinance but shall not restrict its application to 100% Affordable Housing Projects and Teacher Housing Projects.

(b) Definitions and Eligibility.

(1) Definitions.

<u>100% Affordable Housing</u>. <u>100% Affordable Housing shall have the</u> meaning set forth in Charter Section <u>16.126(a)</u>.

<u>100% Affordable Housing Project. A 100% Affordable Housing Project</u> shall have the meaning set forth in Charter Section 16.126(a).

MOHCD. The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development or its successor agency. Teacher Housing Project. A Teacher Housing Project shall have the

<u>Teacher Housing Project. A Teacher Housing Project shall have the</u> meaning set forth in Charter Section 16.126(a).

Mayor Breed; Supervisors Brown, Safai BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

(2) Eligibility. To be eligible for streamlining under this Section 344, projects shall meet the following requirements:

(A) The project shall be a 100% Affordable Housing Project or Teacher Housing Project; and

(B) The project shall be located on a site that (i) is not designated open space under the jurisdiction of the Recreation and Park Department and used as a public park, (ii) is not located in a zoning district that prohibits dwelling units, (iii) is not located in an RH-1, RH-1(D), or RH-2 zoning district, and (iv) does not cause any removal or demolition of an individual designated state or national landmark, or designated City landmarks or contributory buildings in a designated historic district as provided in Planning Code Article 10, or a Significant Building designated Category I or II as provided in Planning Code Article 11.

(c) Notwithstanding any other provisions of the Municipal Code, including but not limited to Business and Tax Regulation Code Section 26 and Sections 311 and 317 of this Code, an eligible 100% Affordable Project or a Teacher Housing Project that complies with the Zoning Maps, Height and Bulk Maps and objective standards of the Planning Code, including but not limited to modifications permitted by Planning Code Sections 206 et seq., any discretionary approval that could be authorized under the Planning Code, and the State Density Bonus Law, shall be deemed consistent with the Planning Code and shall be considered ministerial actions, as defined by California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15369. If an eligible 100% Affordable Housing Project or Teacher Housing Project would be permitted with a conditional use authorization under any section of this Code, such project shall be considered a principally permitted use, consistent with the Planning Code, and shall not require a conditional use permit or authorization from the Planning Commission. All eligible 100% Affordable Housing Projects or Teacher Housing Projects shall not require authorization by the Historic Preservation Commission or the Planning Commission that otherwise may be required by the Planning Code. 1

23

24

25

Projects. Eligible 100% Affordable Housing Projects and Teacher Housing Projects shall not require a Certificate of Appropriateness under Planning Code Article 10 or a Permit to Alter under Planning Code Article 11, provided that the Planning Department shall develop and apply objective criteria for review that is substantially similar to the criteria for issuance of a Certificate of Appropriateness or a Permit to Alter, as applicable, to the design of a proposed 100% Affordable Housing Project or Teacher Housing Project, but shall not have the authority to disapprove such projects. It is the intent of this section to exempt eligible 100% Affordable Housing Projects and Teacher Housing Projects from any requirements for review by the Planning Commission, Historic Preservation Commission, Board of Supervisors, and Board of (d) Adoption and Application of Objective Standard Measures. The Planning Director, in consultation with the Environmental Review Officer, shall adopt objective standard measures that shall be incorporated, if applicable, into the approval of 100% Affordable Housing Projects and Teacher Housing Projects. The measures may address, but are not limited to relevant topics

No requests for discretionary review shall be accepted by the Planning Department or heard by

(e) Design Review. The Planning Department shall conduct a review of the aesthetic elements of 100% Affordable Housing Projects and Teacher Housing Projects within 60 days of the submission of an application from the sponsor of a 100% Affordable Housing Project or a Teacher Housing Project. Design review shall be limited to the aesthetic aspects and design of the 100% Affordable Housing Project or Teacher Housing Project and not to the uses, density, height, zoning modifications, or any other approval or disapproval of the proposed eligible project.

	(f) Compliance with Planning Code Article 4. A 100% Affordable Housing Project and
	a Teacher Housing Project shall comply with the requirements of Article 4, "Development
	Impact Fees and Project Requirements that Authorize the Payment of In-Lieu Fees," except as
	such projects or any portion of such projects may otherwise be exempt from such requirements,
	or in the event such requirements are reduced, adjusted, or waived as provided in Planning
	Code Article 4. Notwithstanding the foregoing or any provision to the contrary in Planning
,	Code Article 4, a 100% Affordable Housing Project or a Teacher Housing Project shall not be
	required to comply with the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Requirements set forth in Planning
	<u>Code Sections 415 et seq.</u>
	(g) Approval. Building permit applications for eligible 100% Affordable Housing
	Projects and Teacher Housing Projects that comply with the controls set forth in this Section 344
	shall be ministerially approved by the Planning Department and ministerially issued by the
	Department of Building Inspection and shall not be subject to Business and Tax Regulation Code
	Section 26 or an appeal to the Board of Appeals. Notwithstanding any contrary provision in the
	Municipal Code, such projects shall not require a Planning Code Article 3 authorization,
	discretionary review hearing, or any other Planning Commission or Historic Preservation
'	Commission hearing. It is the intent of this Section 344 to exempt eligible 100% Affordable
	Housing Projects and Teacher Housing Projects from any requirements for review by the City,
	including but not limited to the Planning Commission, Historic Preservation Commission, Board
	of Supervisors, and Board of Appeals.

SEC. 101.1. GENERAL PLAN CONSISTENCY AND IMPLEMENTATION.

(a) The General Plan shall be an integrated, internally consistent and compatible statement of policies for San Francisco. To fulfill this requirement, after extensive public

Mayor Breed; Supervisors Brown, Safai **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

participation and hearings, the Planning Commission shall in one action amend the General Plan by January 1, 1988.

(b) The following Priority Policies are hereby established. They shall be included in the preamble to the General Plan and shall be the basis upon which inconsistencies in the General Plan are resolved:

(1) That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;

(2) That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;

(3) That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced, *and that new housing for households of all income levels be produced to meet the needs of City residents today and tomorrow;*

(4) That commuter traffic not impede Muni transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;

(5) That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;

(6) That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;

(7) That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved; and,

(8) That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.

(c) The City may not adopt any zoning ordinance or development agreement authorized pursuant to Government Code Section 65865 after November 4, 1986, unless prior to that adoption it has specifically found that the ordinance or development agreement is consistent with

Mayor Breed; Supervisors Brown, Safai **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

the Priority Policies established above.

(d) The City may not adopt any zoning ordinance or development agreement authorized pursuant to Government Code Section 65865 after January 1, 1988, unless prior to that adoption it has specifically found that the ordinance or development agreement is consistent with the General Plan.

(e) Prior to issuing a permit for any project or adopting any legislation which requires an initial study under the California Environmental Quality Act, and prior to issuing a permit for any demolition, conversion or change of use, and prior to taking any action which requires a finding of consistency with the General Plan, the City shall find that the proposed project or legislation is consistent with the Priority Policies established above. For any such permit issued or legislation adopted after January 1, 1988 the City shall also find that the project is consistent with the General Plan. *Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Section 101.1, an eligible 100% Affordable Housing Project or Teacher Housing Project as defined in Charter Section 16.126 shall be deemed to be consistent with this Section 101.1 and shall not require a separate finding of consistency with this Section 101.1.*

SECTION 5. BUSINESS AND TAX REGULATIONS CODE AMENDMENTS. The Business and Tax Regulations Code shall be amended by revising Section 26 to read as follows:

SEC. 26. FACTS TO BE CONSIDERED BY DEPARTMENTS.

(a) Subject to Subsection (b) below, in the granting or denying of any permit, or the revoking or the refusing to revoke any permit, except for permits associated with an eligible
<u>100% Affordable Housing Project or Teacher Housing Project, as defined in Charter Section</u>
<u>16.126</u>, the granting or revoking power may take into consideration the effect of the proposed business or calling upon surrounding property and upon its residents, and inhabitants thereof; and in granting or denying said permit, or revoking or refusing to revoke a permit, may exercise its

sound discretion as to whether said permit should be granted, transferred, denied or revoked.

* * * *

SECTION 6. CONFLICT WITH OTHER MEASURES. This Charter Amendment and Initiative Ordinance (collectively, "Initiative") will be deemed to conflict with any other measure appearing on the same ballot if such other measure addresses planning or zoning controls or project approval processes that would be applicable to 100% Affordable Housing Projects or Teacher Housing Projects as defined in Charter Section 16.126, whether the measure does so by specific application or as a more general enactment that could otherwise be applied to 100% Affordable Housing Projects or Teacher Housing Projects. In the event this Initiative and any other measure appearing on the same ballot are approved by the voters at the same election, and this Initiative receives a greater number of affirmative votes than any other conflicting measure appearing on the same ballot, this Initiative shall control in its entirety and the other measures shall be rendered void and without any legal effect. If this Initiative is approved by a majority of the voters but not does not receive a greater number of affirmative votes than any other conflicting initiative, this Initiative shall take effect to the extent permitted by law.

SECTION 7. AMENDMENT. The provisions of this Initiative amending the Charter and San Francisco Codes may only be amended by the voters of the City and County of San Francisco except as specifically provided in the terms of the Initiative.

SECTION 8. SEVERABILITY. If any provision of this Initiative or any application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect any

| ///

|||

provision or application of this Initiative that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application. To this end, the provision of this Initiative are severable.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:

KATE HERRMANN STACY Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2019\1900407\01355300.docx

Mayor Breed; Supervisors Brown, Safai **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**