File Number:	190482	
(Provided by	Clerk of Board of Supervisors)	

Grant Resolution Information Form

(Effective July 2011)

Purpose: Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors resolutions authorizing a Department to accept and expend grant funds.

The following describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:

- 1. Grant Title: Airport Improvement Program (AIP) Voluntary Airport Low Emissions Program (VALE) Grant
- 2. Department: Airport

3. Contact Person: Tina Ko Telephone: (650) 821-2826

4. Grant Approval Status (check one):

[] Approved by funding agency [X] Not yet approved

5. Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$6,750,000

- **6.** a. Matching Funds Required: \$2,250,000
 - b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): **General Airport Revenue Bonds**
- 7. a. Grant Source Agency: Federal Aviation Administration
 - b. Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): n/a
- 8. Proposed Grant Project Summary: The requested AIP VALE grant funds will provide for the purchase and installation of 125 ground service equipment (GSE) electric charging ports together with supporting electrical infrastructure at San Francisco International Airport. The completion of the proposed project will result in significant emission reductions from the use of electrical GSE as a cleaner and more sustainable alternative to diesel-powered GSE.
 - 9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:

Start-Date: **September 1, 2019** End-Date: **March 31, 2021**

- **10.** a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: **\$9,000,000**
 - b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? Yes.
 - c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements? **N/A.**
 - d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? **One-time**.
- **11.** a. Does the budget include indirect costs?

[] Yes [**X**] No

- b. 1. If yes, how much? \$
- b. 2. How was the amount calculated?
- c. 1. If no, why are indirect costs not included?

[] Not allowed by granting agency [X] To maximize use of grant funds on direct services [] Other (please explain):

c. 2. If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs? N/A.

12. Any other significant grant requirements or comments: N/A.

Forms to the Mayor's Offic	· •	a a copy of all completed Grant information
13. This Grant is intended fo	r activities at (check all that appl	y):
[X] Existing Site(s) [] Rehabilitated Site(s) [] New Site(s)	[X] Existing Structure(s) [] Rehabilitated Structure(s) [] New Structure(s)	[] Existing Program(s) or Service(s) [] New Program(s) or Service(s)
concluded that the project as other Federal, State and loca	proposed will be in compliance	e on Disability have reviewed the proposal and with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all ations and will allow the full inclusion of persons ited to:
1. Having staff trained in h	ow to provide reasonable modifi	cations in policies, practices and procedures;
2. Having auxiliary aids an	d services available in a timely r	manner in order to ensure communication access;
3. Ensuring that any service have been inspected and a Disability Compliance Office.	pproved by the DPW Access Co	en to the public are architecturally accessible and ompliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on
If such access would be tech	nically infeasible, this is describe	ed in the comments section below:
Comments:		
Departmental ADA Coordina Susan Kim	tor or Mayor's Office of Disability	Reviewer:
(Name)		
<u>Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion</u>	on Manager and Title VI/Sec 504	1 Coordinator
Date Reviewed: <u>4//s//</u>		(Signature Required)
Department Head or Desig	nee Approval of Grant Informa	ation Form:
Ivar C. Satero		
(Name)		
Airport Director (Title)		ur-
Date Reviewed: 4/1	8/19	(Signature Required)
/		(Orginature reception)