BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Tom Hui, Director, Department of Building Inspection

Sonya Harris, Secretary, Building Inspection Commission

FROM: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk, Land Use and Transportation Committee

DATE: May 15, 2019

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following substitute legislation, introduced by Supervisor Peskin on May 7, 2019:

File No. 181216-2

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to increase penalties for violations of the Planning Code and change the administrative enforcement procedure; provide new definitions for Residential Demolitions and Residential Flats, expand definitions of Alteration and Removal, expand definitions of, require additional notice, and impose new conditional use criteria for Residential Demolitions, Mergers, and Conversions; require additional review for changes of use to Child Care Facilities that propose an increase in the exterior dimension of the building; expand definition of change of use for Residential, Neighborhood Commercial (NC) and Neighborhood Commercial Transit (NCT) districts; add new notification requirements; add requirements for replacement structures; and establish definitions, criteria and procedures for approvals of Major Expansions of Existing Residential Buildings in certain residential districts; amending the Building Code to make the definition of Residential Demolition consistent with the Planning Code, and require pre-permit inspections and additional application requirements; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; adopting findings of public convenience, necessity, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302; and instructing the Clerk to forward this Ordinance to the California Building Standards Commission upon final passage.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to Charter, Section D3.750-5, for public hearing and recommendation. It is pending before the Land Use and Transportation Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Please forward me the Commission's recommendation and reports at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: <u>Erica.Major@sfgov.org</u>.

c: William Strawn, Department of Building Inspection Carolyn Jayin, Department of Building Inspection

7

8

12 13

11

14 15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

[Planning, Building Codes - Controls on Residential Demolition, Merger, Conversion, and Alteration; Review of Additional Non--Residential Changes of Use and Alterations]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to increase penalties for violations of the Planning Code and change the administrative enforcement procedure; provide new definitions for Residential Demolitions and Residential Flats, expand definitions of Alteration and Removal, expand definitions of, require additional notice, and impose new conditional use criteria for Residential Demolitions, Mergers, and Conversions; require additional review for changes of use to Child Care Facilities that propose an increase in the exterior dimension of the building; expand definition of change of use for Residential, Neighborhood Commercial (NC) and Neighborhood Commercial Transit (NCT) Districts; add new notification requirements; add requirements for replacement structures; and establish definitions, criteria and procedures for approvals of Major Expansions of Existing Residential Buildings in certain residential districts; amending the Building Code to make the definition of Residential Demolition consistent with the Planning Code, and require pre-permit inspections and additional application requirements; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; adopting findings of public convenience, necessity, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302; and instructing the Clerk to forward this Ordinance to the California Building Standards Commission upon final passage.

NOTE:

Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.

Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u>.

Deletions to Codes are in <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman font</u>.

Board amendment additions are in <u>double-underlined Arial font</u>.

Board amendment deletions are in <u>strikethrough Arial font</u>.

Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Findings.

	(a)	The Planning Dep	artment has determined that the actions contemplated in this
ordina	ance co	mply with the Calif	ornia Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources
Code	Section	ns 21000 et seq.).	Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
Supe	rvisors i	n File No	and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board
affirm	s this d	etermination.	

- (b) On ______, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. ______, adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. ______, and is incorporated herein by reference.
- (c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, this Board finds that this Planning Code Amendment will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. _____ and the Board incorporates such reasons herein by reference. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____.
- (d) On _____, the Building Inspection Commission considered this ordinance at a duly noticed public hearing pursuant to Charter Section D3.750-5.

Section 2. California Health and Safety Code Section 18909(d). No local findings are required under California Health and Safety Code Section 17958.7 because the amendments to the Building Code contained in this ordinance relate in their entirety to civil and administrative procedures and remedies available for enforcing code violations, which are

expressly excluded from the definition of a "building standard" by Section 18909.

Section 3. Article 1.7 of the Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Section 176 and deleting Section 176.1, to read as follows:

SEC. 176. ENFORCEMENT AGAINST VIOLATIONS.

* * * *

- (b) **Methods of Enforcement.** The Zoning Administrator shall have authority to enforce this Code against violations thereof by any of the following actions:
- (1) Serving notice requiring the cessation, removal, or correction of any violation of this Code <u>("Notice of Violation")</u> upon the owner, agent, or tenant of the property that is the subject of the violation, or upon the architect, builder, contractor, or other person who commits or assists in such violation;

* * * *

(c) Penalties.

or correction of any violation of this Code, the Zoning Administrator may assess upon the responsible party an administrative penalty for each violation in anthe amount up toof \$250.001000 for each day the violation continues unabated, in addition to any penalties required by Sections 317 or 319 of this Code.

For purposes of this Section 176, each real property address, each Dwelling Unit within a real property address, and each separate violation of the Planning Code is a distinct violation for calculation of applicable administrative penalties. The "responsible party" is the owner(s) of the real property on which the code violation is located, as listed in the records of the San Francisco Assessor, and the current leaseholder if different from the current owner(s) of the

real property.

hearing in order to show cause why the notice requiring the cessation, removal, or correction of the violation and any assessment of administrative penalties is in error and should be rescinded. The Zoning Administrator may designate a member of Department staff to act as the hearing officer in *his or herthe Zoning Administrator's* place. The Department shall send a notice of the date, hour, and place of the hearing to the responsible party at the address specified in the request for hearing and to any member of the public who has expressed an interest in the matter.

The responsible party may also request that the Zoning Administrator terminate abatement proceedings under Section 176 and refer the matter to the Director for enforcement action under the process set forth in Section 176.1 of this Code. If the Zoning Administrator determines that the enforcement case will proceed under Section 176, that determination shall be made as part of the final written decision and is not appealable separately from the decision on the merits.

Administrator's hearing and proceed directly to an appeal to the Board of Appeals under Section 308.2 of this Code. Administrative penalties shall not accrue during the period of time that the matter is pending before the Zoning Administrator on a request for hearing or before the Board of Appeals on appeal, except that penalties will continue to accrue during the period of any requests for extensions of time other than where such requests are made by the Zoning Administrator, the Board of Appeals, or other City department. If the responsible party elects to request a Zoning Administrator's hearing, the request for hearing must be in writing and submitted to the Zoning Administrator prior to the expiration date of the Notice of Violation and Penalty. If a request for a Zoning Administrator's hearing is timely filed, any appeal to the Board of Appeals shall be from the decision of the Zoning Administrator rendered after the

25 |

///

hearing.

after a full and fair consideration of the evidence and testimony received at the hearing, shall render within *thirty30* days following the conclusion of the hearing a written decision that either rescinds the notice of violation and dismisses the proceedings, upholds the original decision, or modifies the original decision. In rendering a decision, *including a determination regarding the amount of administrative penalties to be assessed, if any,* the Zoning Administrator or the Zoning Administrator's designee shall consider:

* * * *

- (J) whether the violation is easy to correct; and
- (K) whether any provision of Section 317 has been violated; if so, the enforcement provisions of Section 317(i) shall apply;
- (L) whether any provision of Section 319 has been violated; if so, the enforcement provisions of Section 319(d) shall apply; and
- (M) such other factors as the Zoning Administrator or <u>the</u>

 Administrator's <u>his or her</u> designee may consider relevant.

In hearing any appeal of the Zoning Administrator's determination, the Board of Appeals shall consider the above factors. If the Board upholds the Zoning Administrator's decision in whole or in part but reduces the amount of the penalty, it may not reduce the amount of the penalty below \$100.00500 for each day that the violation exists, excluding the period of time that the matter has been pending either before the Zoning Administrator on a request for hearing or before the Board of Appeals on appeal. The Board of Appeals may, by a vote of four members (or if a vacancy exists, by a vote of three members), reduce the penalty below the amount of any penalty imposed pursuant to Sections 317 or 319 of this Code.

In addition to any administrative penalties imposed under this subsection 176(c)(1) and any penalties imposed under Section 317 or 319 of this Code, the Zoning Administrator may recover any attorneys' fees and costs, including but not limited to expert witness fees, incurred by the City in pursuing administrative remedies. The provision of administrative penalties is not intended to be punitive in nature but is intended to secure compliance with the Planning Code and to compensate the City for its costs of enforcement.

(2)**Civil Penalties**. Any individual, firm, partnership, corporation, company, association, society, group, or other person or legal entity that violates any provision of this Code shall be liable for the City's costs of enforcement and a civil penalty, of not less than \$200.001,000 for each day such violation is committed or permitted to continue, which penalty shall be assessed and recovered in a civil action brought in the name of the people of the City and County of San Francisco by the City Attorney in any court of competent jurisdiction. For purposes of this Section 176, each real property address, each Dwelling Unit within a real property address, and each separate violation of the Planning Code is a distinct violation for calculation of applicable civil penalties. The City Attorney may file a civil action or pursue any other legal remedy to collect such unpaid amount, fine, and interest. In any civil action for collection, the City shall be entitled to obtain a judgment for the unpaid amounts, fine, and interest, and for the costs and attorneys' fees incurred by the City in bringing such civil action. The City Attorney may seek recovery of any attorneys' fees and costs, including but not limited to expert witness fees, incurred by the City in bringing such civil action. In assessing the amount of the civil penalty, the court shall consider any one or more of the relevant circumstances presented by any of the parties to the case, including but not limited to, the following: the nature and seriousness of the misconduct, the number of violations, the persistence of the misconduct, the length of time over which the misconduct occurred, the willfulness of the responsible party's misconduct, and the responsibly party's assets, liabilities and net worth. For civil actions to enforce Municipal Code provisions related to general advertising

signs, the penalties, attorneys' fees and costs set forth in this Section 176 shall be in addition to those authorized by Section 610 of this Code.

- (3) **Criminal Penalties**. Any individual, firm, partnership, corporation, company, association, society, group, or other person or legal entity that violates any provision of this Code shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be fined in an amount not less than \$200.001,000 or be imprisoned for a period not exceeding six months or be both so fined and imprisoned. Each day such violation is committed or permitted to continue shall constitute a separate offense and shall be punishable as such hereunder.
- (4) Planning Code Enforcement Fund. Any fees and penalties collected pursuant to this Section 176 shall be deposited in the Planning Code Enforcement Fund established by Administrative Code Section 10.100-166. The Planning Department, through the Planning Code Enforcement Fund, shall reimburse City departments and agencies, including the City Attorney's Office, for all costs and fees incurred in the enforcement of this Section 176.
- (d) Additional Methods of Enforcement and Penalties for Violation of Sign Regulations. Violation of the general advertising sign regulations set forth in Article 6 are subject to the administrative penalties and enforcement procedures set forth in Section 610 of this Code, in addition to those set forth in this Section 176.
- (e) <u>Additional Methods of Enforcement and Penalties for Violation of Section 317.</u>

 Violation of the provisions set forth in Section 317 of this Code shall be subject to the penalties and enforcement procedures set forth in Section 317(j), in addition to those set forth in this Section 176.
- (f) Additional Methods of Enforcement and Penalties for Violation of Section 319.

 Violation of the provisions set forth in Section 319 of this Code shall be subject to the penalties and enforcement procedures set forth in Section 319(d), in addition to those set forth in this Section 176.

Any administrative penalty and/or enforcement costs assessed under this Section 176 is a debt to the City and County of San Francisco and shall be paid to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco. Any amount paid late shall be subject to an additional late fine of 10% on the unpaid amount. The sum of the unpaid amount and the 10% late fine shall accrue interest at the rate of 1% per month (or fraction thereof) until fully paid; any partial payments made shall first be applied to accrued interest.

(h) Lien for Administrative Penalty. Where an activity or condition on San

Francisco real property has caused, contributed to, or been a substantial factor in causing the
violation, the Director may initiate proceedings to make any unpaid administrative penalty,
enforcement costs, fine, and interest, and all additional authorized costs and attorneys' fees, a lien on
the property. Such liens shall be imposed in accordance with Administrative Code Sections 10.23010.237, or any successor provisions. Before initiating lien proceedings, the Director shall send a
request for payment under Administrative Code Section 10.230A.

(ei) Use of Penalties Collected. All penalties collected under this Section 176 shall be deposited in the Planning Code Enforcement Fund established in Administrative Code Section 10.100.166 and shall be used for the purposes specified in that section. All penalties collected under the provisions of Section 317 and 319 shall be deposited into the funds specified in those Sections.

Remedies under this Section 176 are non-exclusive, and, notwithstanding subsection (b)(2), the City Attorney may at any time institute civil proceedings for injunctive and monetary relief, including civil penalties, against any person for violations of the Planning Code, without regard to whether the Zoning Administrator has issued a notice of violation, instituted abatement proceedings, scheduled or held a hearing on a notice of violation, or issued a final decision. For proceedings instituted under this subsection (fi), the City Attorney

shall notify the Zoning Administrator or the Planning Director, as appropriate, and collaborate, where mutually desired, on the prosecution of the action. The City Attorney may seek recovery of any attorneys fees and costs, including but not limited to expert witness fees, incurred by the City in bringing a proceeding under this subsection (f).

(k) If any party fails to pay the administrative or enforcement costs imposed by this

Section 176 within 30 days of the Zoning Administrator's notice requiring the cessation, removal or

correction of any violation of this Code pursuant to Section 176 (c)(1) of this Code, or within 30 days

of the date the penalties have been upheld on appeal, the Zoning Administrator may take such action to

collect the fees as the Zoning Administrator deems appropriate, including referral of the matter to the

Bureau of Delinquent Revenue Collection under Chapter 10, Article V, Section 10.39 of the

Administrative Code, initiation of lien proceedings under Chapter 10, Article XX, Sections 10.230 et

seq. of the Administrative Code, and/or requesting that the City Attorney pursue collection of the

penalties imposed against the responsible party, and all applicable civil penalties, in a civil action.

SEC. 176.1. ADMINISTRATIVE ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES.

-(a) Purpose and Intent.

(1) The Board of Supervisors finds that enforcement of the Planning Code is vital to ensuring the quality of life in San Francisco's neighborhoods and in the City as a whole. A comprehensive code enforcement program using a combination of judicial and administrative remedies is likely to be the most successful approach to secure compliance with Planning Code requirements. Therefore, it is in the best interests of the City and its citizens to provide an alternative method of administrative enforcement that is designed to induce compliance with the Planning Code through action by the Director to issue and record orders of abatement and assess administrative penalties.

— (2) The alternative methods of administrative enforcement established by this Section do not replace but rather are intended to supplement the enforcement remedies established in Section 176 and other penalties or methods of enforcement, both civil and criminal, that are authorized by law. The

provision for administrative penalties is not intended to be punitive in nature but is intended to secure compliance with the Planning Code and to compensate the City for its costs of enforcement.

— (3)—By establishing multiple enforcement mechanisms, it is intended that the Department will elect to use the mechanism most likely to achieve an expeditious and effective resolution of the violation in a particular case with the best use of the City's resources. In exercising this discretion, the Department should usually elect to use the Director's authority under this Section 176.1 in those cases where the legal or factual issues are not complex and where an interpretation of the Planning Code is not at issue, and reserve the enforcement mechanisms in Section 176for those cases that are more complex or where interpretations of the Planning Code are at issue.

(b) Authority of the Director. The Director may enforce against violations of the Planning Code through the alternative administrative remedies of this Section 176.1. The Director may designate a member of Department staff to act under his or her authority with respect to any action the Director is authorized to take in this Section 176.1.

If the Department elects to use the administrative remedies of this Section, the Department must use the abatement process set forth in this Section. However, as provided in Section (d)(3) below, the Department is not precluded from pursing the alternative remedies of Section 176 if abatement of the violation has not been achieved under this Section 176.1. In addition, the Department's election of this process shall not affect the City Attorney's Charter authority to pursue a civil action. If the City Attorney filed a civil action against the property prior to the Director's issuance of the notice of violation under this Section 176.1, at the City Attorney's election the process under this Section 176.1 shall be terminated and abatement of the alleged violations shall be pursued by the City Attorney in the ongoing civil action.

(c) Notice of Violation.

(1) Issuance. After the Department has determined that a violation of this Code exists, the Director shall give written notice of the violation to the responsible party. For purposes of this Section

176.1, "responsible party" means the owners(s) of the real property on which the code violation is located, as listed in the records of the San Francisco Assessor, and the current leaseholder if different from the current owner(s) of the real property.

(2) Contents of Notice. The notice shall cite to this Section 176.1 and describe the violation(s) with specificity, including: the date and location of the violations and the approximate time the violations were observed; citation to applicable Code sections; and a description of how what was observed violated the Code sections. The notice of violation shall state that the responsible party has thirty days from the date of service to (i) correct all violations or (ii) file an application for a building permit or other authorization necessary to abate the violations and proceed diligently to obtain all approvals and complete the work, as specified by the Director's order and within the time periods required:

The notice of violation shall inform the responsible party that if the action required in the notice of violation is not taken by the stipulated deadline, the Director will (i) will issue an order of abatement, (ii) cause the order of abatement to be recorded against the property's records in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, and (iii) assess administrative penalties under Section 176.1(e). The notice of violation shall also inform the responsible party of the right to request a Director's hearing under Subsection (d)(3) below prior to issuance of an order of abatement and assessment of administrative penalties. Service of the notice of violation shall be as specified in Section (g) below.

-(d) Order of Abatement.

(1) Issuance; Administrative Penalties; Request for Hearing. If a property remains in violation after the deadlines established in the notice of violation, the Director shall issue an order of abatement and assess administrative penalties against the responsible party by following the procedure set forth in Section 176.1(e). The order of abatement shall state the amount of penalty imposed, explain how and when the penalty shall be paid, and describe the consequences of failure to pay the penalty.

The order of abatement shall inform the responsible party of the right to appeal the order of abatement and assessment of administrative penalties to an administrative law judge under Subsection (f) below.

The Department shall not proceed to enforce the order of abatement or collect the administrative penalties until the time for appeal has passed or the order and penalties have been upheld on appeal.

(2) Recording. The Director shall record the order of abatement against the property's records in the Office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco. The Department shall not record the order of abatement until the time for appeal has passed or the Director's decision has been upheld on appeal. Within fourteen business days after the violation has been finally abated and all fees and penalties have been paid, the Director shall record a notice of compliance that cancels the order of abatement.

(3) Request for Hearing. Prior to expiration of the compliance deadlines set forth in the notice of violation, the responsible party may request a Director's hearing in order to show cause why the order of abatement should not issue and administrative penalties should not be assessed. The responsible party may also request that the Department not proceed with abatement proceedings under this Section 176.1 but instead proceed under Section 176. The Director's decision to continue proceeding under Section 176.1 is final and not appealable.

The Director may designate a member of Department staff to may act in his or her place as the hearing officer. The hearing officer shall have the same authority as the Director to hear and decide the case and to make any order provided for in this section. The responsible party may waive the right to a Director's hearing and proceed directly to an appeal under Subsection (f) below after the order of abatement is issued and administrative penalties have been assessed. If the responsible party requests a Director's hearing, the following procedure shall apply:

(A) Request for hearing; notice. The responsible party shall submit a written request for a Director's hearing prior to expiration of the compliance deadlines set forth in the notice of violation on a form or in the manner required by the Director. The Director shall send a notice of the date, hour,

shall it bar further enforcement action by the City.

(2) Amount of Penalty. The penalty assessed for each violation shall be \$100.00 if the violation has not been corrected within thirty days from the date of service of the notice of violation, \$250.00 if the violation has not been corrected within sixty days from the date of service of the notice of violation, and \$500.00 if the violation has not been corrected within ninety days from the date of service of the notice of violation. If at the end of the 90-day period the violation has not been corrected and the matter has not been appealed, the Zoning Administrator may exercise his or her discretion to initiate abatement proceedings under Section 176 of this Code or to refer the matter to the City Attorney or District Attorney for prosecution.

(3) Failure to Pay the Administrative Penalties. If the responsible party fails to pay the administrative penalties to the Department within thirty days of service of the order of abatement, or within thirty days of the date the penalties have been upheld on appeal, the Director may take such action to collect the fees as he or she deems appropriate, including (i) referral of the matter to the Bureau of Delinquent Revenue Collection under Article V, Section 10.39 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, initiation of lien proceedings under Article XX, Section 10.230 et seq. of the San Francisco Administrative Code, and/or a requesting that the City Attorney pursue collection of the penalties imposed against the Responsible Party in a civil action. The City Attorney may request its attorneys' fees in any action that he or she pursues to collect the administrative penalties or to enforce collection of the penalties.

-(f) Appeal of Order of Abatement and Administrative Penalties.

(1) Method of Appeal; Fee. The responsible party may appeal the issuance of an order of abatement and any the administrative penalties assessed in the order by filing a written request in the form required by the Department within fifteen days of the service of the order. The appeal shall describe in detail why the appellant believes that the order of abatement was issued in error or why the administrative penalty was assessed in error or should be modified.

The appeal shall be filed on a form or in the manner required by the Director and be accompanied by the payment of a fee of \$400.00. The Department shall increase this fee on an annual basis at a rate equal to that of the Consumer Price Index (CPI). In addition to the appeal fee and administrative penalties assessed in the order of abatement, the Director shall assess upon the responsible party the Department's cost of preparation for and appearance at the hearing and all prior and subsequent attendant costs of the enforcement action. These fees shall be waived if the responsible party would qualify for a waiver of court fees and costs under California Government Code Section 68511.3.

(2) Scheduling of Hearing. Upon timely filing of the appeal and payment of the appeal fee, the Director shall schedule a hearing before an administrative law judge, who shall serve as the hearing officer. The hearing shall be scheduled for a date no later than thirty days after the request. The Director shall notify the responsible party and the appellant, if different from the responsible party, of the hearing date, hour, and place of the hearing as soon as the hearing is scheduled and in no event later than ten days prior to the hearing. Notice of the hearing shall also be given to any member of the public who has expressed interest in the matter. Notice shall be given in the manner specified in Subsection (g) below.

— (3) Documentation to be Provided to the Administrative Law Judge. The Director shall provide to the administrative law judge no later than ten days prior to the hearing a copy of the Department's case file, which shall include at a minimum the notice of violation, the order of abatement, other written communications between the Department and the responsible party, and communications submitted by interested members of the public concerning the case. The Director may also submit, but is not required to do so, written arguments on why the Director's order should be upheld. Anything submitted to the administrative law judge by either party to the appeal shall be served upon the other party at the same time and in the same manner as it is submitted to the administrative law judge.

copy of the decision shall also be mailed to the Director of Planning at the offices of the Planning Department.

(5) Continuance of Hearing. The parties may by mutual agreement continue the hearing date. If the parties do not mutually agree on another hearing date, the party wanting a continuance may request the administrative law judge to grant the continuance by submitting a written request for a continuance and demonstrating good cause with supporting documentation. A written request for a continuance shall be made at the earliest possible date but in no event less than five days before the hearing unless unforeseen circumstances prevent such notification. The party requesting the continuance shall notify any other parties of the request in the most expeditious manner and provide them with copies of the complete request and the supporting documentation. A request for continuance made at the time of the hearing may be granted only in those exceptional cases where the requesting party demonstrates both good cause and that the party was unable through no fault of their own to make the request at an earlier time. The administrative law judge may grant more than one continuance, but the combination of all continuances granted shall be for no longer than forty five days.

— For purposes of this section, "good cause" may include:

(B) verified travel of a party, attorney, or material witness outside of San Francisco scheduled before receipt of the notice of hearing;

(C) failure to receive timely notice of the hearing date; or

///

In deciding whether to grant the request for continuance, the administrative law judge shall also take into consideration the nature of the alleged violation and its impact on neighboring properties and the general public if the alleged violations are allowed to continue for an additional period of time.

— (6) Finality and Effect of the Decision. The decision of the administrative law judge shall be the City's final administrative action on the matter and there shall be no further administrative appeals.

order of abatement in whole or in part, the responsible party shall comply with the decision and pay to the Department any administrative penalties that were upheld within thirty days of the date the decision was served. If the responsible party is proceeding diligently to obtain required permits and to complete the abatement work, the Director may grant additional time to comply with the decision. If the responsible party fails to comply with the decision and/or to pay the administrative penalties within the time period required, the Director may take such action to collect the fees and enforce the decision as he or she deems appropriate, including (i) referral of the matter to the Bureau of Delinquent Revenue Collection under Article V, Section 10.39 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, initiation of lien proceedings under Article XX, Section 10.230 et seq. of the San Francisco Administrative Code, and/or a requesting that the City Attorney pursue enforcement of the decision and collection of the penalties imposed against the responsible party in a civil action.

If the administrative law judge overrules the Director and determines that the order of abatement was issued in error, the Department shall consider the case abated and all administrative penalties reseinded.

(8) Rescission of Order of Abatement or Withdrawal of Appeal Prior to the Hearing. If the Director rescinds the order of abatement in its entirety prior to the hearing, the case shall be considered abated and the appeal withdrawn, and any assessed administrative penalties shall be

considered rescinded. The Department shall refund to the responsible party in a timely manner any appeal fees that he or she has paid.

If the responsible party elects to withdraw the appeal and comply with the order of abatement, the Department shall refund in a timely manner any appeal fees that he or she has paid. Any administrative penalties already assessed must be paid in full before the Department will consider the case abated. If the responsible party withdraws the appeal within ten days of the date the appeal was filed, he or she may apply to the Director in writing for a reduction in the amount of any assessed administrative penalties based upon the number of days between the filing of the appeal and its withdrawal. Any decision by the Director to grant or deny the request shall be at the Director's sole discretion and is not appealable.

(g) Service of Notices and Orders; Proof of Service. Service of a notice of violation, order of abatement, or other notice or order required by this Section 176.1 shall be given to the owner of the property or other person to be notified by depositing the notice or order in the United States mail in a sealed envelope, postage prepaid, addressed to the person to be notified at that person's last known business or residence address as shown in the Assessor's records. Service by mail shall be considered to have been completed at the time of deposit in the United States mail.

If the identity of the person or business entity owning the property in question is unknown, the notice of violation shall be posted in a conspicuous location on, or if access to the property is not available in a conspicuous location as close as practicable to, the building or property. The notice shall also be hand delivered to the person, if any, in real or apparent charge and control of the subject premises or property. Once the identity of the person or business entity is known, the notice of violation shall be mailed to such person or business entity without the delay affecting the time limits, fees, or administrative penalties imposed by this Section 176.1.

— Proof of giving any notice may be made by the certificate of any officer or employee of the City and County of San Francisco or by affidavit of any person over the age of 18 years, which shows

service in conformity with the San Francisco Municipal Code or any other applicable provisions of law.

- (h) Failure of the City to Comply with Timelines. The failure of the Director, the

 Department, or the administrative law judge to comply with any of the timelines set forth in this Section

 176.1 shall not render the code violations unenforceable.
- (i) Use of Fees and Penalties Collected. All fees and penalties collected under this Section 176.1 shall be deposited in the Planning Code Enforcement Fund established in Administrative Code Section 10.100.166 and shall be used for the purposes specified in that section.
- (j) Remedies under this Section 176.1 are non-exclusive, and the City Attorney may at any time institute civil proceedings for injunctive and monetary relief, including civil penalties, against any person for violations of the Planning Code, without regard to whether the Planning Director has issued a notice of violation, scheduled or held a hearing on a notice of violation, issued an order of abatement and/or an assessment of administrative penalties, or whether an appeal has been filed or decided.

Section 4. Article 3 of the Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 311 and 317, and adding a new Section 319, to read as follows:

SEC. 311. PERMIT REVIEW PROCEDURES.

(a) **Purpose.** The purpose of this Section <u>311</u> is to establish procedures for reviewing building permit applications to determine compatibility of the proposal with the neighborhood and for providing notice to property owners and residents on the site and neighboring the site of the proposed project and to interested neighborhood organizations, so that concerns about a project may be identified and resolved during the review of the permit <u>and prior to any approval action</u>.

///

- (b) Applicability. Except as indicated herein, all building permit applications in Residential, NC, NCT, and Eastern Neighborhoods Districts for a change of use; establishment of a Micro Wireless Telecommunications Services Facility; establishment of a Formula Retail Use; demolition, new construction, or alteration of buildings, and the removal of an authorized a Residential Unit or an Unnauthorized residential unit Unit shall be subject to the notification and review procedures required by this Section 311. In addition, all building permit applications that would establish Cannabis Retail or Medical Cannabis Dispensary Uses, regardless of zoning district, shall be subject to the review procedures required by this Section 311. Notwithstanding the foregoing or any other requirement of this Section 311, a change of use to a Child Care Facility, as defined in Section 102, shall not be subject to the review requirements of this Section 311, provided there is no increase in the exterior dimensions of the building. Notwithstanding the foregoing or any other requirement of this Section 311, building permit applications to construct an Accessory Dwelling Unit pursuant to Section 207(c)(6) shall not be subject to the notification or review requirements of this Section 311.
- (1) **Change of Use**. For the purposes of this Section 311, a change of use is defined as follows:
- (A) Residential, NC, and NCT Districts. For all Residential, NC, and NCT Districts, a change of use is defined as a change to, or the addition of any of the following land uses as defined in Section 102 of this Code: Adult Business, Bar, Cannabis Retail, General Entertainment, Group Housing, *Health Service*, Limited Restaurant, Liquor Store, Massage Establishment, Medical Cannabis Dispensary, Nighttime Entertainment, Outdoor Activity Area, Post-Secondary Educational Institution, Private Community Facility, Public Community Facility, Religious Institution, Residential Care Facility, Restaurant, School, Tobacco Paraphernalia Establishment, Trade School, and Wireless Telecommunications Facility. A change of use from a Restaurant to a Limited-Restaurant shall not be subject to the

24

25

provisions of this Section 311. Any accessory massage use in .the Ocean Avenue Neighborhood Commercial Transit District shall be subject to the provisions of this Section 311. For all Residential Uses, a change of use shall also be defined as a change of occupancy, as defined and regulated by the Building Code, or any change in use, as defined and regulated by the Planning Code, of any Residential Unit(s) or Unauthorized Unit(s) to a Non-Residential or Student Housing use, even if cooking facilities are not removed.

- **Alterations.** For the purposes of this Section <u>311</u>, an alteration shall be (2)defined as any an-increase to the exterior dimensions of a building except those features listed in Sections 136(c)(1) through Section 136(c)(24) and 136(c)(26). In addition, an alteration in RH, RM, and RTO Districts shall also include the removal of more than 75% of a residential building's existing interior wall framing or the removal of more than 75% of the area of the existing framing, the addition of a garage, roof deck, or penthouse, or the infilling of a lightwell that blocks the windows on an adjacent building.
- (3) Demolition, Removal of Residential Unit. For the purposes of this Section 311, Demolition and Removal shall be defined as set forth in Section 317 and 319 of this Code and Building Code Section 103A.3.3.
- Micro Wireless Telecommunications Services Facilities. Building (4) permit applications for the establishment of a Micro Wireless Telecommunications Services Facility, other than a Temporary Wireless Telecommunications Services Facility, shall be subject to the review procedures required by this Section. Pursuant to Section 205.2, applications for Temporary Wireless Telecommunications Facilities to be operated for commercial purposes for more than 90 days shall also be subject to the review procedures required by this Section.

///

- (c) Building Permit Application Review for Compliance. Upon acceptance of any application subject to this Section 311, the Planning Department shall review the proposed project for compliance with the Planning Code and anyall applicable design guidelines including, without limitation, the design policies and guidelines of the General Plan and any Area Plan adopted by the Planning Commission, the design guidelines set forth in Articles 10 and 11 of the Planning Code and Appendices thereto, and the Residential Design Guidelines in effect as of the effective date of the ordinance in Board file No. 181216approved by the Planning Commission.

 Applications determined not to be in compliance with the standards of Articles
 1.2, 1.5, 2, and 2.5, 7, and 8 of the Planning Code, the Residential Design Guidelines, including and all applicable design guidelines for specific areas adopted by the Planning Commission, or with any applicable conditions of previous approvals regarding the project, shall be held until either the application is determined to be in compliance, is disapproved or a recommendation for cancellation is sent to the Department of Building Inspection.
- policies and guidelines in effect as of the effective date of the ordinance in Board file No. 181216. The construction of new buildings and alteration of existing buildings shall be consistent with the design policies and guidelines of the General Plan and with the "Residential Design Guidelines" and all other applicable design guidelines as adopted and periodically amended for specific areas or conditions by the Planning Commission. The design for new buildings with residential uses in RTO Districts shall also be consistent with the design standards and guidelines of the "Ground Floor Residential Units Design Guidelines" as adopted and periodically amended by the Planning Commission. The Planning Director mayshall require modifications to the exterior of a proposed new building or proposed alteration of an existing building in order to bring it into conformity with the applicable design guidelines. These modifications may include, but are not limited to, changes in sitingsite design, building

envelope, scale, *height, form, materials, roofline, architectural features, windows,* texture and detailing, openings, and landscaping.

- Residential Unit or an authorized or Uunauthorized residential-Unit is proposed, in addition to complying with the requirements of Section 317 of this Code, the Applicant shall provide notice as required in this Section 311, and shall include contact information for the appropriate City agency or resource for assistance in securing tenant counseling or legal services, as applicable. The Applicant shall provide a list of all existing Residential or Unauthorized Units in the subject property to the Zoning Administrator. Within five days of filing a permit application to remove any Residential or Unauthorized Unit, the Applicant shall post a notice of the application at least 30 inches by 30 inches in a conspicuous common area of the subject property, and such sign shall be posted no later than the start date of the notification period required by this Section 311 and shall remain posted until the conclusion of any hearings on the permit before the Planning Commission, the Zoning Administrator, the Board of Supervisors or the Board of Appeals. The Zoning Administrator shall determine any additional notification procedures to be applied in such a case.
- (3) Replacement Structure Required. Unless the building is determined to pose a serious and imminent hazard as defined in the Building Code, an application authorizing demolition of an historic or architecturally important building or of a dwelling shall not be approved and issued until the City has granted final approval of No permit authorizing demolition of a residential building shall be issued until a Conditional Use authorization for the demolition and the replacement structure has been finally approved pursuant to Section 317 of this Code and a building permit for construction of the replacement building, consistent with the Conditional Use authorization, has been finally approved. A building permit Conditional Use authorization is finally approved if the Board of Appeals Supervisors has taken final action for approval on an appeal of the issuance or

///

(4) Completeness of Plans, and Accuracy of Demolition Calculations. All proposed plans submitted by the project applicant shall be prepared by a California licensed architect who shall attest, under penalty of perjury, to their accuracy and completeness. Such plans shall depict the existing and proposed conditions of the subject building and site, including any alterations to floor plans and exterior facades. The plans shall show all existing above grade elements, external walls, and internal structural framework to be demolished, destroyed, or removed, even temporarily, and shall include demolition calculations for each. All dimensions shall be clearly shown on the plans. Each permit application shall include the following information, attesting under oath to its accuracy:

(A) whether and how many existing tenants reside in any Residential or Unauthorized Unit at the subject property:

(B) whether the proposed project will result in the loss or Removal of any affordable housing unit, as defined in Section 401 of this Code, or any unit(s) subject to the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance; and

(C) whether the proposed project will result in the loss or Removal of any housing unit(s) currently occupied by a tenant or tenants or that was occupied by a tenant or tenants at any time within seven years prior to the filing of the permit application, and if so, whether all Residential Units have been withdrawn from residential rental pursuant to Administrative Code Section 37.9(a)(13).

required by this Section 311, the project planner assigned to review the permit application shall confirm the accuracy and completeness of the proposed plans submitted with the building permit application, including the existing and proposed conditions of the subject building and site, including all required demolition calculation(s) and verification of such calculations by the project applicant under oath reflecting the percentage of the interior and exterior elements of the existing structure to be demolished, destroyed, or removed, even temporarily. Modifications to the proposed plans shall be required as necessary to insure their accuracy and completeness. The permit application shall either be held until complete plans have been submitted or disapproved with a recommendation for cancellation sent to the Department of Building Inspection. If necessary to confirm the existing conditions and demolition calculations, the project planner shall conduct a site visit and consult with the Department of Building Inspection.

shall determine whether the proposed project would result in the Demolition of a Residential Building or in the Removal of a Residential Unit or an Unauthorized Unit through Demolition, Merger or Conversion pursuant to the requirements of Section 317 of this Code, or whether the proposed project is a Major Expansion of a Residential Building pursuant to Section 319 of this Code. Upon such a determination by the Planning Department, the project sponsor shall be required to apply for a Conditional Use authorization pursuant to the applicable provisions of this Code, unless exempt from Conditional Use authorization pursuant to subsections 317(c)(2) or 319(h)(2) of this Code. For all

projects subject to the Conditional Use requirements of Sections 317 or 319 of this Code, the

Department shall notify the Department of Building Inspection that the building permit application

shall be held pending action by the Planning Commission to approve or disapprove all Conditional Use

authorizations for the proposed project.

- (d) **Notification.** Upon determination that an application is *complete and* in compliance with the *requirements and* development standards of the Planning Code *and all applicable design guidelines*, the Planning Department shall cause a notice to be posted on the site pursuant to rules established by the Zoning Administrator and shall cause a written notice describing the proposed project to be sent in the manner described below. This notice shall be in addition to any notices required by the Building Code and shall have a format and content determined by the Zoning Administrator. It shall include a description of the proposal compared to any existing improvements on the site with *accurate* dimensions of the basic features, elevations, and site plan of the proposed project including the position of any adjacent buildings, exterior dimensions and finishes, and a graphic reference scale, existing and proposed uses or commercial or institutional business name, if known. The notice shall describe the project review process and shall set forth the mailing date of the notice and the expiration date of the notification period. *The required contents of the notification package shall be as set forth in subsection 311(d)(7), below.*
- (1) <u>Notification Group and Area.</u> Written notice shall be mailed to the notification group which shall include the project sponsor, tenants of the subject property, relevant neighborhood organizations as described in subsection 311(d)(4), all individuals having made a written request for notification for a specific parcel or parcels and all owners and, to the extent practical, occupants, of properties in the notification area. For the purposes of Section 311(c)(2), written notice shall also be mailed to tenants of the subject property in *Uu*nauthorized *residential-Uu*nits.

(6) **Elimination of Duplicate Notice.** The notice provisions of this Section 311 may be waived by the Zoning Administrator for building permit applications for projects that have been, or before approval will be, the subject of a duly noticed public hearing before the Planning Commission or Zoning Administrator, provided that the notice of such public hearing complies with all of the other notification requirements set forth in this subsection 311(d),

including the notification group and area, notification period, and contents of the notification

included in the hearing notice and is the subject of the hearing.

packagenature of work for which the building permit application is required is both substantially

- (7) **Notification Package.** The notification package for a project subject to notice under this Section 311 shall include a written notice and reduced-size drawings of the project, and shall include the names of the property owner or owners (if different from the project sponsor), the contractor, and the design professional as well as the name and contact information for any primary contact persons for the project.
- (A) The written notice shall compare the proposed project to the existing conditions at the development lot. Changes to basic features of the project that are quantifiable shall be disclosed on the written notice. The basic features of existing and proposed conditions shall include, where applicable, front setback, building depth, rear yard depth side, setbacks, building height, number of stories, dwelling unit count, building square footage, and use of the building, and number of parking spaces.
- (B) The written notice shall describe whether the project is a demolition, new construction, or alteration project. If the project is an alteration, the type of alteration shall be described: horizontal, vertical, or both horizontal and vertical additions and where the alteration is located. *The project description shall also include, as applicable, descriptions of (i) an addition or expansion of a garage, roof deck, parapet, penthouse; (ii) an*

alteration of a ground floor storefront; (iii) alterations to existing fenestration pattern, material, dimension, or configuration; (iv) whether the structure is historic or over 50 years old as of the date of the project application; (v) whether the project would partially or completely infill a light well or obstruct windows on adjacent buildings; and (vi) demolition calculations as confirmed by the Planning Department.

- (C) Written project description shall be part of the notice. In addition, the notice shall describe the project review process, <u>including whether the project will require a Variance, Conditional Use authorization, or amendment to the Planning Code or General Plan,</u> information on how to obtain additional information, and the <u>name, telephone number, and email address of the project planner at the Planning Department assigned to review the application contact information of the Planning Department.</u>
- (D) The building permit application number(s) shall be disclosed in the written notice. The start and expiration dates of the notice shall be stated. <u>The written notice</u> <u>shall also provide a</u> description about the recipient's rights to request additional information, to request Discretionary Review by the Planning Commission and to appeal to other boards or commissions <u>shall be provided</u>, <u>and how to request receipt of notices of public hearings on the project</u>.
- (E) 11x17 sized *or equivalent* drawings to scale shall be included with the *Section 311* written notice. The drawings shall *clearly* illustrate the existing and proposed conditions in relationship to the adjacent properties. All dimensions and text throughout the drawings shall be legible. The drawings shall include a site plan, floor plans and elevations documenting dimensional changes that correspond to the basic features included in the written notice.
- (F) The existing and proposed site plan shall illustrate the project including the full lots and structures of the directly adjacent properties <u>and</u>, <u>if a horizontal</u>

addition is proposed, the site plan shall include the properties on the block within which the project is located showing rear and front setbacks.

- the location and removal of <u>all</u> interior and exterior <u>wallselements of the existing structure to be</u> <u>demolished, destroyed, or removed, even temporarily, and include the existing and proposed square</u> <u>footage as well as the amount and location of existing square footage proposed to be demolished. The project applicant shall verify, under oath, the accuracy of all required demolition calculations</u>. The <u>existing and proposed dimensions, square footage, and uses</u> of each room shall be labeled.

 Significant dimensions shall be provided to document the changes proposed by the project.
- (H) The existing and proposed elevations shall document the change in building volume: height and depth. Dimensional changes shall be documented, including overall building height and also parapets, penthouses, *roof decks, garage additions*, and other proposed vertical and horizontal building extensions. The front and rear elevations shall include the full profiles of the adjacent structures including the adjacent structures' doors, windows, and general massing. Each side elevation shall include the full profile of the adjacent building in the foreground of the project, and the adjacent windows, lightwells, and general massing shall be illustrated.
- (I) An architectural rendering, or architectural illustration, shall be submitted depicting the relationship of the proposed project to adjacent properties.
- (J) The declaration of compliance with the San Francisco Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance, as required by Building Code Section 106A.3.1(11), shall be included in the notice package.
- (K) The notification package shall include a list of all work done under any and all previous permit applications, including revisions to permits, over the five years prior to filing of the application.

* * * *

- (e) Requests for Planning Commission Review. A request for the Planning Commission to exercise its discretionary review powers over a specific building permit application shall be considered by the Planning Commission if received by the Planning Department no later than 5:00 p.m. of the last day of the notification period as described in this Section 311, subject to guidelines adopted by the Planning Commission. The project sponsor of a building permit application may request discretionary review by the Planning Commission to resolve conflicts between the Director of Planning and the project sponsor concerning requested modifications to comply with the Residential Design Guidelines, or other applicable design guidelines.
- (1) **Scheduling of Hearing.** The Zoning Administrator shall set a time for hearing requests for discretionary review by the Planning Commission within a reasonable period.
- (2) **Notice.** Mailed notice of the discretionary review hearing by the Planning Commission shall be given pursuant to the requirements of Section 333 of this Code.
- (f) The Department shall retain in its files until completion of the project and final inspection by the Department of Building Inspection of the scale drawings, site plans, floor plans and elevations for each project.

SEC. 317. LOSS OF RESIDENTIAL AND UNAUTHORIZED UNITS THROUGH DEMOLITION, MERGER, AND OR CONVERSION.

- (a) Findings. <u>The Board of Supervisors finds that this Section 317 will serve the public</u> necessity, convenience and welfare for the following reasons:
- (1) San Francisco faces a continuing shortage of affordable housing. There is a high ratio of rental to ownership tenure among the City's residents. The General Plan

recognizes that existing housing is the greatest stock of rental and financially accessible rResidential uUnits, and is a resource in need of protection. The General Plan further provides, among other things, that existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected. Therefore, a public hearing will be held prior to approval of any permit that would remove existing housing, with certain exceptions, as described below. The Planning Commission shall develop a Code Implementation Document setting forth procedures and regulations for the implementation of this Section 317 as provided further below. The Zoning Administrator shall modify economic criteria related to property values and construction costs in the Implementation Document as warranted by changing economic conditions to meet the intent of this Section: Given the cost of new construction and the insufficiency of public funds available to support housing construction, priority should be given to the retention of existing units as a primary means to provide affordable housing and protect existing tenants. Even if the existing housing is replaced, the new units are generally more costly and demolition often results in displacement of residents, causing personal hardship, relocation problems, and an increased risk of homelessness.

- Balance Report No 7 covering the ten-year period from July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2018, San

 Francisco lost more than one existing affordable housing unit for every two it created. Data provided in the report shows that on average, more than 400 rent-controlled units disappeared from San

 Francisco each year between 2008 and 2018 (a total of 4,263), due primarily to evictions, owner moveins, condominium conversions, and demolitions. In contrast, an average of just 650 new affordable units were built each year during that time period (a total of 6,577). The number of rent-controlled units continues to decline, particularly in smaller two- and three-unit buildings.
- (3) In addition to the production of affordable housing through San Francisco's inclusionary housing ordinance, which requires that new development include a certain number of units affordable to households with incomes that do not exceed the limits for moderate-income, lower

///

income, very low income or extremely low income, the city's existing supply of housing must be preserved and protected as an important part of the City's overall housing strategy.

- (4) The original intent of Section 317 of the Planning Code was to provide a process for preserving and protecting the City's existing housing stock by requiring a public hearing to be held prior to the approval of any permit that would remove existing housing. However, the absence of clear definitions and standards for the review of residential demolitions, mergers, conversions, and major expansions, and the absence of well-defined enforcement mechanisms and penalties for violations has fueled a speculative real estate market that has accelerated tenant evictions and caused the loss of existing financially accessible residential units and residential buildings that cannot be replaced by new construction.
- (5) The protection of the City's existing housing stock from such further loss is an emergency that must be immediately addressed through amendments to the City's Planning Code and Building Code to protect rental housing, conserve existing housing, preserve affordable housing, and discourage speculation in the City's existing housing stock.
- (6) The following problems have furthered the loss of the City's existing financially accessible housing stock:
- (A) Conflicting definitions and requirements between the Planning and Building Codes;
- (B) Lack of clarity for the applicant, neighbors and staff in the proper interpretation of the Planning Code and the approval process;
- (C) Intentional avoidance by developers of public notice and other requirements for housing demolitions by filing an application for an alteration permit and modifying it through serial permitting; and

- (I) Ensuring strict and consistent enforcement and penalties for violations and noncompliance.
- (b) **Definitions.** For the purposes of this Section 317, the terms below shall be as defined below. Capitalized terms not defined below are defined in Section 102 of this Code.
- (1) "Residential Conversion" <u>or "Conversion"</u> shall mean <u>the removal of</u> <u>cooking facilities</u>, change of occupancy (as defined and regulated by the Building Code), or change of use (as defined and regulated by the Planning Code), of any Residential Unit or Unauthorized Unit to a Non-Residential or Student Housing use, <u>even if cooking facilities are not removed</u>.
- (2) "Residential Demolition" <u>or "Demolition of a Residential Unit"</u> shall mean any <u>of the following loss of residential housing, including any one or more of the following:</u>
- (A) <u>The total tearing down of an existing Residential Building; Any work on a</u>

 Residential Building for which the Department of Building Inspection determines that an application for a demolition permit is required, or
- (B) <u>Removal of one or more Residential Units or Unauthorized Units</u>; A major alteration of a Residential Building that proposes the Removal of more than 50% of the sum of the Front Facade and Rear Facade and also proposes the Removal of more than 65% of the sum of all exterior walls, measured in lineal feet at the foundation level, Or
- (C) <u>Removal of existing above grade elements, external walls, or internal</u>

 <u>structural framework in amounts equal to or greater than the percentages set forth in Building Code</u>

 <u>Section 103A.3.3</u>; A major alteration of a Residential Building that proposes the Removal of more than 50% of the Vertical Envelope Elements and more than 50% of the Horizontal Elements of the existing building, as measured in square feet of actual surface area.
- (D) The Planning Commission may reduce the above numerical elements of the criteria in Subsections (b)(2)(B) and (b)(2)(C), by up to 20% of their values should it deem that

adjustment is necessary to implement the intent of this Section 317, to conserve existing sound housing and preserve affordable housing

To determine whether a project proposes Residential Demolition, calculation of the percentages of Removal under this subsection (b)(2) shall include all work included in permits approved for the property within the prior five years.

- (3) "Façade" is defined in Section 102 of this Code.
- (4) "Front Façade" is defined in Section 102 of this Code.
- (5) "Horizontal Elements" shall mean all roof areas and all floor plates, except floor plates at or below grade.
 - (6) "Mandatory Discretionary Review" is defined in Section 102 of this Code.
 - (37) "Residential Merger" or "Merger" shall mean one or more of the following:
- (A) the combining of two or more <u>existing</u> Residential or Unauthorized Units <u>within a Residential Building containing two or more such Units</u>, resulting in a decrease in the number of Residential Units and Unauthorized Units within a building, or
- (B) the enlargement of one or more existing units by more than 10% of its original floor area while substantially reducing the size of othersone or more other existing units by more than 25%10% of their original floor area, even if the number of units is not reduced, or
 - (C) the Removal of a Residential Flat.

The Planning Commission may reduce the numerical element of this criterion by up to 20% of its value should it deem that adjustment is necessary to implement the intent of this Section 317, to conserve existing housing and preserve affordable housing.

- (8) "Rear Façade" is defined in Section 102 of this Code.
- (49) "Removal" shall mean, with reference to a the external wall, roof or floor, internal wall, partition, floor or ceiling, or the internal structural framework, interior bearing element or floor plate of a structure, its temporary or permanent dismantling, its relocation, or its alteration.

and/or replacement of the exterior function by construction of a new building elementexterior to it.

Where a portion of an exterior or interior wall is removed, any remaining wall with a height less than the Building Code requirement for legal head room shall be considered demolished Removal for the purposes of this Section 317. Where Ordinary maintenance and repair, the sole purpose and effect of which is to correct deterioration, decay, or damage of existing materials, where exterior finishing and deteriorated elements of a building are removed and replaced for repair or maintenance, in kind with like materials, with no increase in the extent of the element or volume of the building, such replacement shall not be considered Removal for the purposes of this Section 317, provided that any deterioration, decay, or damage of existing materials is verified in advance of Removal under this Section 317 and Building Code Section 103A.3.1. The foregoing does not supersede any requirements for or restrictions on noncomplying structures and their reconstruction as governed by Article 1.7 of this Code.

- (510) "Removal" shall mean, with reference to a Residential or Unauthorized Unit, its elimination of the Residential or Unauthorized Unit by Conversion, Demolition, or Merger with another Residential or Unauthorized Unit as defined in this Section 317.
 - (11) "Residential Building" is defined in Section 102 of this Code.
- (6) "Residential Flats" shall mean a housing typology consisting of two or more

 Dwelling Units in a single building that have exposure onto open areas at the front and rear of the

 property.
- (712) "Residential Unit" shall mean a legal conforming or legal nonconforming Dwelling Unit, a legal nonconforming Live/Work Unit, or Group Housing, or an Accessory

 Dwelling Unit. It shall not include a Residential Unit that is regulated by Chapter 41 of the

 Administrative Code (the Residential Hotel Unit Conversion and Demolition Ordinance).
- (<u>8</u>13) "Unauthorized Unit" shall mean one or more rooms within a building that have been used, without the benefit of a building permit, as a separate and distinct living or

sleeping space independent from Residential Units on the same property. "Independent" shall mean that $(\underline{A}i)$ the space has independent access that does not require entering a Residential Unit on the property and $(\underline{B}ii)$ there is no open, visual connection to a Residential Unit on the property.

- (14) "Vertical Envelope Elements" shall mean all exterior walls that provide weather and thermal barriers between the interior and exterior of the building, or that provide structural support to other elements of the building envelope.
- (c) <u>Conditional Use Authorization Required;</u> Applicability, Exemptions, <u>and</u> <u>Conditions of Approval</u>.
- (1) <u>Applicability. Conditional Use authorization pursuant to the requirements of this</u>
 Section 317 is required prior to:
 - (A) the approval of Aany application for a permit that would result in:
- (i) the Removal <u>by Demolition, Merger, or Conversion</u> of one or more Residential Units or Unauthorized Units; <u>is required to obtain Conditional Use authorization,</u>
 <u>or</u>
 - (ii) the Demolition of a Residential Building;
- (B) the approval of an application for a replacement structure in the case of a Residential Demolition, or
 - (C) the approval of an alteration permit in the case of a Conversion.

For Unauthorized Units, this Conditional Use authorization will not be required for Removal if the Zoning Administrator has determined in writing that the unit cannot be legalized under any applicable provision of this Code. *The application for a replacement building or alteration permit shall also be subject to Conditional Use Requirements.*

(2) The Conditional Use requirement of Subsection (c)(1) shall apply to (A) any building or site permit issued for Removal of an Unauthorized Unit on or after March 1, 2016, and (B)

any permit issued for Removal of an Unauthorized Unit prior to March 1, 2016 that has been suspended by the City or in which the applicant's rights have not vested.

(23) Exemptions.

(A) The <u>Demolition, Merger, or Conversion Removal</u> of a Residential Unit <u>or the Demolition of a Residential Building</u> that has received <u>final</u> approval from the Planning Department through administrative approval or the Planning Commission through a Discretionary Review or Conditional Use authorization prior to the effective date of the Conditional Use requirement of <u>Subsection (c)(1) the ordinance in Board file No. 181216is does</u> not required to apply for an additional approval under <u>Subsection (e)(1) this Section 317 unless the construction activity exceeds the Conditions of Approval or scope of work under a building permit, in which case the provisions of subsection (h) shall apply.</u>

(B) This Section 317 shall not apply to property:

(i) owned by the United States or any of its agencies, with the exception of such property not used exclusively for a governmental purpose;

(ii) owned by the State of California or any of its agencies, with the exception of such property not used exclusively for a governmental purpose;

(iii) under the jurisdiction of the Port of San Francisco or the Office of

Community Investment and Infrastructure, also known as the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment

Agency of the City and County, where the application of this Section 317 is prohibited by State law; or

(iv) where demolition of the building or Removal of a Residential Unit

or Unauthorized Unit is necessary to comply with a court order that directs the owner to demolish the building or remove the unit due to conditions that present an imminent threat to life safety, or where the Director of the Department of Building Inspection or the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety has issued a written determination that an imminent safety hazard exists, and that the proposed demolition or alteration of the structure, feature, or part thereof, is the only feasible means to

correct the condition and secure the public safety; provided, however, that only such work as is necessary to correct the unsafe or dangerous condition may be performed.

- (3) Conditions of Approval. The Planning Commission, or the Board of Supervisors on appeal, may prescribe such additional conditions as may be necessary to secure the objectives of this Section 317 and the General Plan to protect existing housing as the greatest stock of rental and financially accessible housing.
- (4) The Removal of an Unauthorized Unit does not require a Conditional Use authorization pursuant to Subsection (c)(1) if the Department of Building Inspection has determined that there is no path for legalization under Section 106A.3.1.3 of the Building Code.
- (5) The Demolition of a Single-Family Residential Building that meets the requirements of subsection (d)(3) below may be approved by the Department without requiring a Conditional Use authorization.
- (d) <u>Building Inspection Review of Specified Projects.</u> For all projects subject to the <u>Conditional Use authorization requirement of this Section 317 that would require structural retrofit or foundation upgrade, Planning Department staff may require the project sponsor to submit plans for the structural work prepared by a California licensed structural engineer who shall attest, under penalty of perjury, to their accuracy and completeness. Planning Department staff may request the Department of <u>Building Inspection to review these plans and provide further structural or engineering review or review of proposed construction means and methods to be included in the Planning Department staff report for the Conditional Use authorization hearing before the Planning Commission.</u></u>
- (e) Issuance of Residential Demolition <u>Permit; Approval of Replacement Structure</u>
 Required.
- (1) No permit <u>for a Residential Demolition of to Demolish a an existing</u>
 Residential <u>Building Unit or an Unauthorized Unit</u> in any zoning district shall be issued until a

 Conditional Use authorization for the Demolition and the replacement structure have been finally

approved pursuant to the criteria set forth in this Section 317, and a building or site permit for the replacement structure consistent with the Conditional Use authorization has been issued. -is finally approved, unless the building is determined to pose a serious and imminent hazard as defined in the Building Code. A building permit Conditional Use authorization is finally approved if the Board of Appeals Supervisors has taken final action for approval on an appeal of the issuance or denial of the permit Conditional Use authorization, or if the permit has been issued and the time for filing an appeal with the Board of Appeals Supervisors has lapsed with no appeal filed.

- (2) Conditional Use authorization is required for approval of the permit for Residential Demolition, and $t\underline{T}$ he Commission shall consider the replacement structure as part of its decision on the Conditional Use application for the Residential Demolition pursuant to the criteria set forth in subsection 317(g)(6), below. If Conditional Use authorization is required for the replacement structure by other sections of this Code, the Commission shall consider the Residential dDemolition as part of its decision on that the Conditional Use application provided that the criteria for the Demolition set forth in subsection 317(g)(6)(A) shall apply.
- (3) An application to demolish a Single-Family Residential Building on a site in a RH-1 or RH-1(D) District that is demonstrably not affordable or financially accessible housing is exempt from the Conditional Use authorization requirement of Subsection (c)(1). Specific numerical criteria for such analyses shall be adopted by the Planning Commission in the Code Implementation Document, in accordance with this Section 317, and shall be adjusted periodically by the Zoning Administrator based on established economic real estate and construction indicators.
- (A) The Planning Commission shall determine a level of affordability or financial accessibility, such that Single-Family Residential Buildings on sites in RH-1 and RH-1(D)

 Districts that are demonstrably not affordable or financially accessible, that is, housing that has a value greater than at least 80% of the combined land and structure values of single-family homes in San Francisco as determined by a credible appraisal, made within six months of the application to

demolish, are not subject to a Conditional Use hearing. The demolition and replacement building applications shall undergo notification as required by other sections of this Code. The Planning Commission, in the Code Implementation Document, may increase the numerical criterion in this Subsection by up to 10% of its value should it deem that adjustment is necessary to implement the intent of this Section 317, to conserve existing housing and preserve affordable housing.

- (B) The Planning Commission, in the Code Implementation Document, shall adopt criteria and procedures for determining the soundness of a structure proposed for demolition, where "soundness" is an economic measure of the feasibility of upgrading a residence that is deficient with respect to habitability and Housing Code requirements, due to its original construction. The "soundness factor" for a structure shall be the ratio of a construction upgrade cost (i.e., an estimate of the cost to repair specific habitability deficiencies) to the replacement cost (i.e., an estimate of the current cost of building a structure the same size as the existing building proposed for demolition), expressed as a percent. A building is unsound if its soundness factor exceeds 50%. A Residential Building that is unsound may be approved for demolition.
- (34) Nothing in this Section 317 is intended to permit \underline{a} Residential Demolition in those areas of the City where other sections of this Code prohibit such \underline{a} Demolition or \underline{a} replacement structure.
- proposed demolition Demolition is proposed from undergoing additional review with respect under any other provision of this Code that regulates Demolition or replacement structures, including without limitation buildings or sites subject to Articles 10 and 11 of this the Planning Code, where the requirements of those articles also apply. Notwithstanding the definition of "Residential Demolition" in this section and as further described in the Code Implementation Document with regard to Residential Demolition, the criteria of Section 1005 shall apply to projects subject to review under the requirements of Article 10 with regard to the structure itself.

(fe) Conversion to Student Housing. The eC onversion of Residential Units to Student Housing is prohibited. For the purposes of this subsection fe, Residential Units that have been defined as such by the time a First Certificate of Occupancy has been issued by the Department of Building Inspection for new construction shall not be converted to Student Housing.

(f) Residential Merger. The Merger of Residential Units, not otherwise subject to Conditional Use authorization by this Code, shall be prohibited.

(g) Conditional Use Criteria.

(1) *General*.

(A) The Conditional Use criteria set forth in this Section 317 shall be in addition to the criteria set forth in Section 303 of this Code, provided that in the event of a conflict, the criteria set forth in this Section 317 shall apply.

(B) Prior to granting any Conditional Use authorization under this Section 317, the Planning Commission shall find that the following criteria are met: (i) the proposed project will not result in the loss or Removal of any affordable housing unit, as defined in Section 401 of this Code, or any unit(s) subject to the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance; and (ii) the proposed project will not result in the loss or Removal of any housing unit(s) that is/are currently occupied by a tenant or tenants or was occupied by a tenant or tenants at any time within seven years prior to the filing of the Conditional Use Application, provided that this criterion shall not apply to any building where all Residential Units have been withdrawn from residential rental pursuant to Administrative Code Section 37.9(a)(13).

(2) **C-3 Districts.** When considering whether to grant Conditional Use authorization for the loss or Removal of Residential or Unauthorized Unit(s) in the C-3 districts, *in lieu of the criteria set forth in Planning Code Section 303, consideration shall be given to* the *Planning Commission shall find that, in addition to the criteria set forth in subsection (g)(1), the*

<u>loss or Removal of the Residential or Unauthorized Unit(s) will have no</u> adverse impact on the public health, safety, and general welfare <u>of due to</u> the loss of housing stock in the district-<u>and</u> to any unreasonable hardship to the applicant if the permit is denied.

- (32) **Residential Merger.** In addition to the criteria set forth in subsection (g)(1), Tthe Planning Commission shall find that the following criteria are met before a Conditional Use authorization for the consider the following criteria in the review of applications to merge Merger of Residential Units or Unauthorized Units can be approved:
- (A) <u>Merger of the units would not result in any single Residential Unit larger</u>

 than 1,200 square feet or the average size of the existing Residential Units within 300 feet of the subject

 property, whichever is less; whether removal of the unit(s) would eliminate only owner-occupied

 housing, and if so, for how long the unit(s) proposed to be removed have been owner occupied; and
- (B) <u>Merger would not result in the elimination of one or more Residential</u>

 <u>Flats or adversely impact the existing exposure of any Residential Flat or Residential Unit onto open</u>

 <u>areaswhether removal of the unit(s) and the merger with another is intended for owner occupancy.</u>
- (C) whether removal of the unit(s) will remove an affordable housing unit as defined in Section 401 of this Code or housing subject to the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance; and
- (D) if removal of the unit(s) removes an affordable housing unit as defined in Section 401 of this Code or units subject to the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration

 Ordinance, whether replacement housing will be provided which is equal or greater in size, number of bedrooms, affordability, and suitability to households with children to the units being removed;
 - (E) how recently the unit being removed was occupied by a tenant or tenants;
- (F) whether the number of bedrooms provided in the merged unit will be equal to or greater than the number of bedrooms in the separate units;

///

(G) whether removal of the unit(s) is necessary to correct design or functional deficiencies that cannot be corrected through interior alterations;

(H)—the appraised value of the least expensive Residential Unit proposed for merger only when the merger does not involve an Unauthorized Unit.

The Planning Commission shall not approve an application for Residential Merger if any tenant has been evicted pursuant to Administrative Code Sections 37.9(a)(9) through 37.9(a)(14) where the tenant was served with a notice of eviction after December 10, 2013 if the notice was served within 10 years prior to filing the application for merger. Additionally, the Planning Commission shall not approve an application for Residential Merger if any tenant has been evicted pursuant to Administrative Code Section 37.9(a)(8) where the tenant was served with a notice of eviction after December 10, 2013 if the notice was served within five (5) years prior to filing the application for merger. This Subsection (g)(2)(II) shall not apply if the tenant was evicted under Section 37.9(a)(11) or 37.9(a)(14) and the applicant(s) either (A) have certified that the original tenant reoccupied the unit after the temporary eviction or (B) have submitted to the Planning Commission a declaration from the property owner or the tenant certifying that the property owner or the Rent Board notified the tenant of the tenant's right to reoccupy the unit after the temporary eviction and that the tenant chose not to reoccupy it.

(43) **Residential Conversion.** <u>In addition to the criteria set forth in subsection</u>
(g)(1), <u>Tt</u>he Planning Commission shall <u>consider the following criteria in the review of applications</u>
for <u>Residential Conversion find</u>, <u>by substantial evidence</u>, <u>that the following criteria are met before a</u>
Conditional <u>Use authorization for a Residential Conversion can be approved</u>:

(A) whether conversion of the unit(s) would eliminate only owner-occupied housing, and if so, for how long the unit(s) proposed to be removed were owner occupied;

<u>(AB)</u>	whetherthe Residential Conversion would will provide desirable new						
Non-Residential Use(s) appropriate for the neighborhood and that is principally permitted within							
the zoning adjoining district(s) in which it is located; and							
(<u>B</u> C)	$i\underline{I}$ n districts where Residential Uses are not permitted, $whether$ the						

- $(\underline{B}C)$ $i\underline{I}$ n districts where Residential Uses are not permitted, whether the Residential-Conversion will bring the building eloser into conformance with the Uses permitted in the zoning district; and
- (D) whether conversion of the unit(s) will be detrimental to the City's housing stock;
- (\underline{CE}) whether \underline{eC} onversion of the unit(s) is necessary to eliminate design, functional, or habitability deficiencies that cannot otherwise be corrected;
- (F) whether the Residential Conversion will remove Affordable Housing, or units subject to the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance.
- (3) Planning Commission approval shall not be required for the change of use or occupancy of a dwelling unit, group housing, or SRO to Student Housing if the dwelling unit, group housing, or SRO will be Student Housing owned, operated or otherwise controlled by a not for profit post-secondary Educational Institution and
 - (A) it was built by the post-secondary Educational Institution;
 - (B) it is in a convent, monastery, or similar religious order facility;
- (C)—it is on an adjoining lot (i.e., sharing the same lot line) to the post-secondary Educational Institution, so long as the lot has been owned by the post-secondary Educational Institution for at least ten years as of the effective date of Ordinance 188-12; or
- (D)—as of August 10, 2010, it was owned, operated or otherwise controlled by a post-secondary Educational Institution that had an Institutional Master Plan on file with the Planning Commission, and where the occupancy by those other than students at that date was less than 20% of the total occupants. For purposes of determining occupancy, the post-secondary Educational

Institution shall present to the Planning Department verified information regarding its rental or lease of units as of that date.

- (5) Change of use or occupancy of a dwelling unit, group housing, or SRO to Student Housing. Planning Commission approval shall not be required for the change of use or occupancy of a dwelling unit, group housing, or SRO to Student Housing if the dwelling unit, group housing, or SRO will be Student Housing owned, operated or otherwise controlled by a not for profit post-secondary Educational Institution, and:
 - (A) it was built by the post-secondary Educational Institution;
 - (B) it is in a convent, monastery, or similar religious order facility;
- (C) it is on an adjoining lot (i.e., sharing the same lot line) to the postsecondary Educational Institution, so long as the lot has been owned by the post-secondary Educational Institution for at least ten years as of the effective date of Ordinance 188-12; or
- (D) as of August 10, 2010, it was owned, operated or otherwise controlled by a post-secondary Educational Institution that had an Institutional Master Plan on file with the Planning Commission, and where the occupancy by non-students at that date was less than 20% of the total occupants. For purposes of determining occupancy, the post-secondary Educational Institution shall present to the Planning Department verified information regarding its rental or lease of units as of that date.
- (4) Planning Commission approval shall not be required for a Residential

 Conversion if the Residential Unit was subject to the Residential Hotel Unit Conversion and

 Demolition Ordinance, San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 41, and obtained a permit to

 convert in compliance with the requirements set forth therein.
- (56) Residential Demolition; <u>Replacement Structure</u>. <u>The Planning Commission</u>

 shall consider the following additional criteria in the review of applications for Residential Demolition:

 As a condition precedent to granting a Conditional Use authorization for a Residential Demolition

cost of projects on the Planning Department's Master List of Additional Dwelling Units Approved required by Section 207.3(k) of this Code; *and*

Unauthorized Unit or Units. Such determination will be based on the costs to legalize the Unauthorized Unit(s) under the Planning, Building, and other applicable Codes in comparison to the added value that legalizing said Units would provide to the subject property. The gain in the value of the subject property shall be based on the current value of the property with the Unauthorized Unit(s) compared to the value of the property if the Unauthorized Unit(s) is/are legalized. The calculation of the gain in value shall be conducted and approved by an independent California licensed property appraiser who shall present the appraisal to the Planning Commission as a part of the consideration of the Conditional Use authorization. Legalization would be deemed financially feasible if gain in the value of the subject property is equal to or greater than the cost to legalize the Unauthorized Unit pursuant to subsection (i), above.

(C) If no City funds are available to assist the property owner with the cost of legalization, whether the cost would constitute a financial hardship.

- Denial of Application to Remove an Unauthorized Unit; Requirement to Legalize the Unit. If the Planning Commission denies an application to Remove an Unauthorized Unit, the property owner shall file an application for a building permit to legalize the Unit. Failure to do so within a reasonable period of time, as determined by the Zoning Administrator, shall be deemed to be a violation of the Planning Code.
- (hi) **Notice of Conditional Use Hearing.** For any hearing to consider a Conditional Use authorization required under *subsections* (g)(2), (g)(3), (g)(4), or (g)(5)*this Section 317*, the Zoning Administrator shall provide notice as required by Section 333 of this Code, including an explanation of the process for demolishing, merging, or converting Residential Units or Unauthorized Units, and including a description of subsequent permits that would be required

from the Planning Department and Department of Building Inspection and how they could be appealed, in addition to any other notice required under this Code, *including without limitation* notice required by Section 311.

- (j) Enforcement Against Violations. The failure to obtain a Conditional Use authorization pursuant to the requirements of this Section 317 and/or any construction activity that exceeds the Conditions of Approval of the Conditional Use authorization shall be unlawful, shall constitute a violation of the Planning Code, and shall be subject to the enforcement provisions set forth in Section 176 of this Code.
- (1) Unlawful Demolition. "Unlawful Demolition" or "Unlawfully Demolish" shall mean the Demolition, as defined in subsection 317(b)(2) above, of any building or structure containing one or more Residential Units that takes place without a Conditional Use authorization as required by this Section 317, or any alteration of a Residential Building that takes place beyond the scope of or in violation of an approved Conditional Use authorization or building permit such that a Demolition has taken place. The following restrictions and penalties shall apply to an Unlawful Demolition:
- (A) The Zoning Administrator shall issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to

 Section 176 of this Code and immediately request that the Building Inspection Department issue a stop

 work order for the entire project.
- (B) The Planning Department shall not approve any permit that legalizes any work performed without a Conditional Use authorization, or beyond the scope of or in violation of a Conditional Use authorization or building permit.
- (C) In addition to the penalties set forth in Section 176 of this Code, and in order to provide a disincentive for a property owner to violate the Code by preventing the owner from receiving a windfall profit for the illegal activity, the City shall impose a penalty for the Unlawful Demolition equal to any increase in the value of the property resulting from the project sponsor's illegal or wrongful conduct as measured by the difference in fair-market value of the property prior to

and after the illegal conduct. The Zoning Administrator shall engage a certified real property appraiser to determine the fair-market value of the property prior to and after the illegal conduct and such costs of procuring a certified real property appraiser shall be borne by the project sponsor.

(D) The Department shall place a Block Book Notation on the property address and shall cause a Notice of Special Restrictions to be recorded against the property providing that for five years from the date of the Notice of Violation for the Unlawful Demolition, no permit authorizing the construction or alteration of any building or structure for that site shall be issued, except for a permit for the construction of a building or structure with the same number of Residential Units, with the same proportion of Residential Units to nonresidential units at their original size(s), with the same or fewer square feet as the building or structure that was Unlawfully Demolished, with the same materials and design, and restoring original design features that were removed. All permit applications for construction of the building or structure pursuant to this provision shall be routed to the Planning Department for design review subject to the design review criteria for a Replacement Structure under subsection 317(g)(6)(B) and for compliance with these requirements. Permit applications shall be subject to public notice under Sections 311, and any other public notice(s) required for a new project. The Planning Department shall approve no over-the-counter permits for the building or site until the project, pursuant to the approved Conditional Use authorization, has been completed and a notice of occupancy has been issued.

(2) Unlawful Merger. "Unlawful Merger" shall mean a Residential Merger, as

defined in subsection 317(b)(3), that takes place without a Conditional Use authorization as required

by this Section 317. The following restrictions and administrative penalty shall apply to an Unlawful

Residential Merger:

(A) The Zoning Administrator shall issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to

Section 176 of this Code, and shall request that the Building Inspection Department issue a stop work

order for the entire project.

9

7

10 11

13

12

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

2425

(D) The Department shall place a Block Book Notation on the property address and shall cause a Notice of Special Restrictions to be recorded against the property requiring the restoration of the original residential occupancy and/or use of unit or units.

Unlawful Demolition or Alteration of Historic Building. In addition to the (4) penalties set forth in Section 176 of this Code or in this subsection 317(j), the Zoning Administrator shall impose an additional administrative penalty of up to \$500,000 for the Unlawful Demolition or Unlawful Alteration or Expansion of a building or structure that, prior to the demolition, or the removal or alteration of architectural features, was an historic or architecturally significant building, or was located within an existing or potential national or local historic district, as identified in any local, state, or national survey. In assessing the amount of the administrative penalty, the Zoning Administrator shall consider any one or more of the following factors: the nature and seriousness of the misconduct, the number of violations, the persistence of the misconduct, the length of time over which the misconduct occurred, the willfulness of the responsible party's misconduct, and the responsibly party's assets, liabilities and net worth. In lieu of an administrative penalty, the Zoning Administrator may require the property owner to restore any building, structure, or architectural feature that is subject to this subsection 317(j)(4) to its original condition. All penalties collected under this Section 317(j)(4) shall be deposited into San Francisco's Historic Preservation Fund administered by the Mayor's Office of Economic and Workforce Development to be disbursed in accordance with the recommendations of the Historic Preservation Fund Committee.

(5) Except those penalties collected under Subsection 317(j)(4), all administrative penalties collected under this Section 317, less costs incurred by the Department for time and materials to enforce the provisions of this Section 317, shall be deposited into San Francisco's Small Sites

Program administered by the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development for the acquisition and rehabilitation of small multifamily residential buildings to protect and establish long-term affordable housing.

5

9

7

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

Supervisors Peskin; Mandelman, Yee, Ronen, Fewer

SEC. 319. MAJOR EXPANSION OF AN EXISTING RESIDENTIAL BUILDING IN THE RH-1(D), RH-1, RH-2, AND RH-3 DISTRICTS.

(a) Findings.

- Passed by San Francisco voters as an advisory document in 1986, and rendered (1) a mandatory document by subsequent voter approval in 1995, the San Francisco General Plan mandates, among other things, that existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of San Francisco's neighborhoods. The General Plan also includes a general goal of providing housing representing good standards for all residents.
- Since at least 2015, the City has confronted the rampant and often extraordinary expansion of single family homes with legislative remedies seeking to preserve existing housing, conserve neighborhood character, and protect the cultural and economic diversity of San Francisco neighborhoods.
- The permissive development potential of existing lots has fueled a speculative (3) real estate market that imperils residential tenants living in existing buildings and structures subject to major expansions.
- The City, the broader Bay Area region and the State of California are experiencing a housing crisis which, if not exacerbated by the investment of private funds in the major expansion of existing housing, is not ameliorated by the expansion of existing Residential Units, particularly when the expansion of existing Residential Units is not accompanied by any increase in the number of Residential Units.
- (5) Even in zoning districts that permit higher density of Residential Units per lot and for Accessory Dwelling Units, demand for expanding single family homes without any accompanying increase in that residential density impairs the City's ability to build out its substantial housing capacity incrementally and citywide.

- (6) The price per square foot of existing housing increases dramatically with the increased development capacity of the lots on which they exist, and responsible regulation should steer that development capacity away from market constraints on the development of new housing and toward the construction of additional units of housing.
- (7) Neither existing nor prospective residents of the City benefit from the major expansion of residential housing without any accompanying increase in residential density. By imperiling residents in the City's existing housing, major expansions that fail to include added housing units are inconsistent with the San Francisco General Plan and its Housing Element.
- (b) Purpose. The purpose of this Section is to ensure that all large residential projects

 proposed in the RH-1(D), RH-1, RH-2, and RH-3 Districts are reviewed by the Planning Commission,

 in an effort to achieve the objectives and policies of the General Plan, the applicable Design

 Guidelines, and the purposes of this Code.
- (c) Definitions. For the purposes of this Section 319, the terms below shall be as defined below. Capitalized terms not defined below are defined in Section 102 of this Code.
- (1) "Major Expansion of a Residential Building" or a "Major Expansion" shall mean any work on a Residential Building that would increase the floor area ratio ("FAR") to exceed the FAR set forth in the table contained in Section 319(d), or, for Residential Buildings already in excess of the FAR set forth in the table contained in Section 319(d), an expansion greater than a Minor Expansion, as defined in subsection 319(f).
- (2) "Residential Building" shall be defined as any structure containing one or more

 Residential Units as a principal use regardless of any other uses present in the building and regardless

 of how the site is otherwise zoned.
- (d) Applicability. This Section applies to the alteration or new construction of all Residential Buildings in the RH-1(D), RH-1, RH-2, and RH-3 Districts where such alteration or new construction will exceed the Floor Area Ratio (FAR) triggers stated in the table below, except that FAR

contained in any accessory structure permitted pursuant to Planning Code Section 136(c)(23) is excluded from the FAR triggers set forth below.

Zoning	<u>FAR Triggers</u>				
<u>RH-1(D)</u>	<u>0.5</u>				
<u>RH-1</u>	<u>0.6</u>				
<u>RH-2</u>	<u>0.6</u>	<u>1.2 (2 units)</u>			
<u>RH-3</u>	<u>0.6 (1 unit)</u>	1.2 (2 units) 1.8 (3 units)			

- (e) Unit Proportionality. For all Major Expansions, the square footage of the smallest unit must be equal to at least 3/4 of the square footage of the largest unit, exclusive of parking and common spaces shared by all tenants of the building.
- (f) Minor Expansions to Existing Residential Buildings. Residential Buildings that

 exceed the FAR limits set forth in Section 319(d) are exempt from this Section 319 if the proposed

 dwelling unit expansion is 10% or less of the Gross Floor Area of the existing Residential Building.

 Such expansions will be reviewed cumulatively, based on building permit applications filed within the

 previous ten years.
- (g) Accessory Dwelling Units. A project may include an Accessory Dwelling Unit in addition to the FAR in the table above without requiring review by the Planning Commission.
- (h) Conditional Use Authorization Required; Applicability, Exemptions, and Conditions of Approval.
- (1) Applicability. Conditional Use authorization pursuant to the requirements of this Section 319 is required prior to the approval of any application for a permit that would result in a Major Expansion of a Residential Building.

(2) Exemptions.

(A) The Major Expansion of a Residential Building that has received final approval from the Planning Department through administrative approval or the Planning Commission through a Discretionary Review or Conditional Use authorization prior to the effective date of the Conditional Use requirement of the ordinance in Board File No. 181216 does not require an additional approval under this Section 319 unless the construction activity exceeds the Conditions of Approval or scope of work under a building permit, in which case the provisions of this Section 319 shall apply.

(B) This Section 319 shall not apply to property:

(i) owned by the United States or any of its agencies, with the exception of such property not used exclusively for a government purpose;

(ii) owned by the State of California or any of its agencies, with the exception of such property not used exclusively for a government purpose; or

(iii) under the jurisdiction of the Port of San Francisco or the Office of

Community Investment and Infrastructure, also known as the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment

Agency of the City and County where the application of this Section is prohibited by State law.

(3) Conditions of Approval. The Planning Commission, or the Board of Supervisors on appeal, may prescribe such additional conditions as may be necessary to secure the objectives of this Section 319 and the General Plan to protect existing housing as the greatest stock of rental and financially accessible housing.

(i) Conditional Use Criteria.

- (1) The Conditional Use criteria set forth in this Section 319 shall be in addition to the criteria set forth in Section 303 of this Code, provided that in the event of a conflict, the criteria set forth in this Section 319 shall apply.
- (2) <u>Prior to granting any Conditional Use authorization under this Section 319, the</u> Planning Commission shall find that the following criteria are met:

Unlawful Alteration of Historic Building. In addition to the penalties (D)set forth in Section 176 of this Code or in this Section 319(j), the Zoning Administrator shall impose an additional administrative penalty of up to \$500,000 for the Unlawful Alteration or Expansion of a building or structure that, prior to the expansion, was an historic or architecturally significant building, or was located within an existing or potential national, state, or local historic district, as identified in any local, state, or national survey. In assessing the amount of the administrative penalty, the Zoning Administrator shall consider any one or more of the following factors: the nature and seriousness of the misconduct, the number of violations, the persistence of the misconduct, the length of time over which the misconduct occurred, the willfulness of the responsible party's misconduct, and the responsibly party's assets, liabilities and net worth. In lieu of an administrative penalty, the Zoning Administrator may require the property owner to restore any building, structure or architectural feature that is subject to this subsection 317(j)(4) to its original condition. All penalties collected under this Section 319(j)(2)(D) shall be deposited into San Francisco's Historic Preservation Fund administered by the Mayor's Office of Economic and Workforce Development to be disbursed in accordance with the recommendations of the Historic Preservation Fund Committee.

(E) The department shall put a block book notation on the property address and shall cause a notice of special restrictions to be recorded against the property providing that for five years from the date of the notice of violation for the unlawful alteration or expansion, no permit authorizing the construction or alteration of any building or structure for that site shall be issued, except for a permit to restore or rebuild the building or structure to its original condition, with the same number of residential units at their original size(s), with the same proportion of residential to nonresidential units, with the same or fewer square feet as the building or structure that was unlawfully altered or expanded, with the same materials and design, and with restoration of original features that were removed. All permit applications for restoration or rebuilding of the building or structure shall be routed to the Planning Department for design review subject to design review criteria for this

Section 319 and for compliance with these requirements. All permit applications shall be subject to
public notice under Section 311, and any other public notice(s) required for a new project. No over-
the-counter permits shall be issued for the building or site until the project, pursuant to the approved
Conditional Use authorization, has been completed and a notice of occupancy has been issued.

(F) If the Planning Department or the responsible party believes that the Conditional Use criteria for an expansion can be met as in set forth in subsection 319(i), the project sponsor may file an application for a Conditional Use authorization for the project, which the Planning Department shall review as a new project application for a Major Expansion, including, without limitation, design review pursuant to conditional use criteria for a Major Expansion under subsection 319(i). The application shall be subject to public notice under Section 311, and any other public notice(s) required for a new project. If the Department determines that the Conditional Use criteria for a Major Expansion can be met, a Planning Commission hearing on the Conditional Use application will be scheduled. The Planning Commission may either approve or deny the application for a Conditional Use authorization pursuant to the requirements of subsection 319(i) as follows:

(i) if the Planning Commission finds that the Conditional Use criteria for a Major Expansion of a Residential Building are met, a Conditional Use authorization shall be approved and the responsible party shall be relieved of the penalty assessed pursuant to subsection 319(d)(2)(C) above; provided however, that the responsible party shall not be relieved of the penalties assessed pursuant to section 176 of this code for work done prior to the approval of the Conditional Use authorization or for any penalties for the demolition or alteration of the features of a historic building under Section 319(d)(2)(D); and provided further that the Department shall approve no overthe-counter permits for the building or site until the project, pursuant to the approved Conditional Use authorization, has been completed and a notice of occupancy has been issued.

(ii) if the Planning Commission determines that the Conditional Use criteria for a Major Expansion of a residential building are not met, the Conditional Use application

shall be denied, and the restrictions and penalties for an unlawful alteration or expansion set forth in subsection 319(d)(2) shall apply.

Section 5. The Building Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 103A.3 and 202, and adding Sections 106A.4.1.2 and 106A.4.14, to read as follows:

103A.3 Restrictions of unlawful rResidential dDemolition replacement.

103A.3.1 Demolition permit required. A Residential Demolition, as defined below, shall require a demolition permit. Prior to commencement of the project, a building inspector shall inspect the building and site to confirm the existing conditions. No demolition to remove dry rot shall occur without prior inspection by a building inspector and a demolition permit for the proposed removal.

103A.3.2 † Demolition without permit. Whenever the demolition of any building or structure containing one or more #Residential #Units takes place without the issuance of a demolition permit as required by Section 103A.3.1 this code, the site on which the unlawful demolition occurred shall be subject to the following restrictions and penalties set forth in Section 103A.3.4. ÷

For five years from the date of the unlawful demolition, no permit authorizing the construction or alteration of any building or structure for that site shall be issued, except for a permit for the construction or alteration of a building or structure with the same number of residential units, with the same proportion of residential to nonresidential units, and with the same or fewer square feet as the building or structure that was unlawfully demolished.

103A.3. Definitions. For the purposes of this <u>sSection</u> <u>103A.3</u>, the following definitions shall apply:

<u>RESIDENTIAL</u> **DEMOLITION** means the total tearing down or destruction of a building containing one or more residential units, or any alteration which destroys or removes, as those terms are defined by the Building Official of the Department of Building Inspection, principal portions of an existing structure containing one or more residential units loss of residential housing.

///

25

///

103A.3.43 Hearing. The Building Official shall hold a hearing within a reasonable period of time after discovering that an unlawful demolition may have taken place. The Building Official shall cause notice to be given to the owners of the affected property, and to the owners and occupants of property on the same block as the affected property's site and across the street from the site for one block (that is, on lots which abut the same street as that which abuts the site to the nearest intersections on either side of the site), using the names and addresses of the owners as shown on the last assessment rolls of the City and County of San Francisco. For corner lots, notice shall be provided to the owners and occupants of property on the same block as the affected property's site and for one block along both streets which the lot abuts (that is, on lots which abut the two streets which the site abuts to the nearest intersection on either side of the site) and, in addition, to the other corner lots at the intersection where the site is located. Notice may be given either by personal service or any mail, not less than 30 days before the scheduled date of the hearing. Immediately after giving such notice, the Building Official shall cause a copy of the notice, printed on a card of not less than 8 inches by 10 inches (203.2 mm × 254 mm), to be posted in a conspicuous place on the affected property. The notice shall specify the date and nature of the hearing and that the following issues will be determined at the hearing: whether an unlawful demolition has taken place as described in Sections 103A.3.1 and 103A.3.2, and, if so, the number of $r\underline{R}$ esidential $u\underline{U}$ nits that existed on the site, the proportion of residential to nonresidential units that existed on the site and the total square feet of the building or structure that existed on the site. Upon determination that an unlawful demolition has taken place, the Building Official shall promptly record a notice in the official records of the Recorder of the City-and County of San Francisco; the recorded notice shall state that the property is subject to the restrictions set forth in Section 103A.3.5.1 of this code.

Upon determination that an unlawful demolition has taken place, the Building Official shall send a notice of payment due to the property owner at the address shown on the City's last assessment rolls assessing the owner all costs incurred by the City and County of San Francisco in detecting violations of this section and conducting the Building Official's hearing by sending a notice of payment due to the property owner at the address shown on the City's last assessment rolls. The notice shall list the costs incurred by the City in detecting violations of the ordinance this Section 103A.3 and conducting the Building Official's hearing, advise the owner that he or she is liable for these costs, and advise the owner that payment to the City is due within 60 days of the mailing date of the notice. The notice shall also advise that, if payment of the costs is not received within 30 days of the due date, a lien may be imposed on the property pursuant to the report and confirmation procedure set forth in Sections 102A.18 and 102A.19 of this code.

103A.3.54 Restrictions and penalties for unlawful demolition.

103A.3.5.1. Restriction. For five years from the date of the unlawful demolition, no permit authorizing the construction or alteration of any building or structure for that site shall be issued, except for a permit for the construction or alteration of a building or structure with the same number of Residential Units, with the same proportion of residential to nonresidential units, and with the same or fewer square feet as the building or structure that was unlawfully demolished.

<u>103A.3.5.2.</u> **Civil penalties.** Any agent, contractor or other person acting on behalf of the owner of a building or structure containing one or more \underline{rR} esidential \underline{uU} nits who causes or permits the demolition of the building or structure with the knowledge that a demolition permit has not been issued as required by this code shall be subject to a civil penalty of \$5,000. Any owner who causes or permits the demolition of $\underline{the\ owner's\ his\ or\ her}$ building or structure containing one or more \underline{rR} esidential \underline{uU} nits with the knowledge that no demolition permit has been issued as required by this code shall be subject to a civil penalty of \$1,000.

103A.3. 6 5 Penalties nonexclusive. The penalties set forth in this section are not exclusive, but are in addition to any other penalties set forth in this code or other Municipal Codes.

SECTION 106A - PERMITS

106A.1 Permits required. Except as specified in Section 106A.2, no building or structure regulated by this code shall be erected, constructed, enlarged, altered, repaired, moved, improved, removed, converted or demolished unless a separate permit for each building or structure has first been obtained from the Building Official.

106A.3.1 Application. To obtain a permit, the applicant shall first file an application therefor in writing on a form furnished by the code enforcement agency for that purpose. Every such application shall:

For any application for a building permit to construct, alter, or demolish a structure containing one or more Residential or Unauthorized Units, include a declaration attesting under oath that:

submitted plans accurately reflect the existing structure and any proposed changes to the existing structure, including percentage removal of above-grade exterior elements, external walls facing a public street, and internal structural elements; and

the permit application accurately reflects whether and how many existing tenants reside in any Residential or Unauthorized Unit at the subject property; and

25

if any existing residential tenants will be removed temporarily from any Residential or Unauthorized Unit at the subject property, that Applicant has informed all tenants of applicable provisions of the San Francisco Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance and provided all tenants with contact information for the San Francisco Rent Board; and

(d) the project will not result in a substantial decrease in housing services without a corresponding, reasonable, and consensual, reduction in any affected tenant's base rent; and

12. Include a declaration attesting under oath the construction means and methods that will be employed to perform the project work, including any proposed temporary removal and replacement of elements in excess of the percentages set forth in Section 103A.3.3.

106A.3.1.1 Application processing. The application, plans, specifications and other information submitted shall be referred for such review and approval as is required under applicable ordinances and laws. Each such reviewing bureau, department or agency shall indicate in a manner determined by the Building Official its approval, approval with conditions, or disapproval.

106A.4 Permits issuance.

106A.4.1.5 Permits to authorize work constructed without permit or beyond the scope of an issued permit. The Department shall not issue a permit after-the-fact to authorize work done without the benefit of a permit or beyond the scope of an issued permit even if such work would comply with the requirements of the Building Code or other Municipal Codes. Before a permit authorizing such work may be issued, the property owner or the owner's authorized agent shall file and obtain a permit to remove the illegal work and return the building and/or site to its pre-existing condition.

106A.4.1.6 Pre-inspection required. Before a permit is issued for work on a building classified by this

Code as a Residential Group R Occupancy to any person, property owner, contractor, or permit

expeditor, or to a firm, corporation, or other legal entity, with a prior violation for doing work without

a permit or going beyond the scope of an issued permit, the Department shall inspect the building and the site to verify the existing conditions.

Section 6. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance

Section 7. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

Section 8. Directions to Clerk. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to forward a copy of this ordinance to the California Building Standards Commission upon final passage.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:

KRISTEN A. JENSEN Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2019\1800222\01359223.docx

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

(Substituted 05/07/19)

[Planning, Building Codes - Controls on Residential Demolition, Merger, Conversion, and Alteration; Review of Additional Non - Residential Changes of Use and Alterations]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to increase penalties for violations of the Planning Code and change the administrative enforcement procedure; provide new definitions for Residential Demolitions and Residential Flats, expand definitions of Alteration and Removal, expand definitions of, require additional notice, and impose new conditional use criteria for Residential Demolitions, Mergers, and Conversions; require additional review for changes of use to Child Care Facilities that propose an increase in the exterior dimension of the building; expand definition of change of use for Residential, Neighborhood Commercial (NC) and Neighborhood Commercial Transit (NCT) districts; add new notification requirements; add requirements for replacement structures; and establish definitions, criteria and procedures for approvals of Major Expansions of Existing Residential Buildings in certain residential districts; amending the Building Code to make the definition of Residential Demolition consistent with the Planning Code, and require pre-permit inspections and additional application requirements; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; adopting findings of public convenience, necessity, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302; and instructing the Clerk to forward this Ordinance to the California Building Standards Commission upon final passage.

Existing Law

Enforcement of Violations, Penalties and Abatement

Planning Code Section 176 establishes methods of enforcement for violations of the Planning Code, as well as administrative, civil and criminal penalties.

Administrative Penalties: Current law permits the Zoning Administrator to assess administrative penalties on the responsible party in an amount up to \$250 for each day the violation continues unabated. In any appeal of the Zoning Administrator's determination, if the Board of Appeals upholds the Zoning Administrator's decision in whole or in part, it may not reduce the penalty below \$100 for each day the violation exists.

Civil Penalties: The City may also recover civil penalties in an amount of not less than \$200 for each day the violation is committed or permitted to continue. Violation of the Planning Code is a misdemeanor subjecting violators to a fine in an amount of not less than \$200 or imprisonment for a period not exceeding six months, or both.

Enforcement Methods: Instead of administrative proceedings before the Zoning Administrator, the responsible party may request that the Zoning Administrator terminate abatement proceedings and refer the matter to the Director for enforcement under the process set forth in Section 176.1 of the Code. Civil penalties shall be recovered in a civil action brought by the City Attorney.

Abatement: Generally, work performed without a permit, or work performed beyond the authorized scope of a permit can be legalized so long as it complies with applicable Code provisions.

Notice Requirements and Permit Review Procedures

Section 311 identifies the applications to which neighborhood notice and review requirements apply, defines Changes of Use, Alterations, and other uses subject to Section 311 review, and provides guidelines for building permit review. Neighborhood notification is required for most building expansions and for specific land uses in Residential and Neighborhood Commercial Districts. Certain building features - typically those that are small or less prominent - are exempt from this requirement.

Where a project would remove an historic or architecturally important building or a dwelling, Section 311 prohibits issuance of a demolition permit until a building permit for a replacement structure has been finally approved. Section 311 allows administrative approval of a permit to demolish a building when the Director of the Department of Building Inspection, the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Investigation or the Director of Public Works determines that an imminent safety hazard exists and the proposed demolition is the only means to secure the public safety.

Loss of Residential and Unauthorized Units by Demolition, Merger and Conversion

Current law requires conditional use authorization for the removal of any residential unit, for both legal and illegal existing units, with limited exceptions.

Residential Demolitions

The current Planning Code defines Residential Demolition as the removal of either (a) two-thirds of a building's foundation or (b) the majority of a building's walls and floors. Excepting unsound or unaffordable single-family homes, Demolition requires Conditional Use authorization. Below Market Rate or rent-controlled units that are demolished as part of a new project containing 10 or more units must be replaced.

Residential Mergers

Under current law, combining two or more units into a single unit or reducing the size of one unit by at least 25% to make another unit larger requires Conditional Use Authorization.

Residential Conversions

Current law limits additions to residential buildings to specific depths, widths, and heights set forth in the Planning Code, as further restricted by the Residential Design Guidelines.

Permit Issuance; Residential Demolition

The Building Code defines Demolition, sets forth requirements for building permit applications, and prohibits construction for five years following the date of an unlawful demolition.

Amendments to Current Law

Enforcement of Violations, Penalties and Abatement

Administrative Penalties: This ordinance would increase the daily amounts for administrative penalties to \$1000 per day. Additional fines would be as follows:

- Unlawful Merger or Conversion: up to \$50,000 per violation;
- Unlawful Alteration or Demolition of a historic or architecturally significant building: up to \$500,000 per violation;
- Unlawful Demolition or Unlawful Major Expansion: Penalties equal to any increase in the value of the property resulting from the unlawful conduct.

In any appeal of the Zoning Administrator's determination under the proposed new section 176, if the Board of Appeals upholds the Zoning Administrator's decision in whole or in part, it may not reduce the penalty below \$500 for each day the violation exists.

The ordinance would provide that the penalty provisions of Section 176 are not exclusive. Any penalties imposed for violations under Section 176 would be in addition to those required by new Sections 317 and 319.

Civil Penalties: This ordinance would increase the daily amounts for civil and criminal penalties to \$1000 per day.

The ordinance confirms that each real property address, each Dwelling Unit within a real property address, and each separate violation of the Planning Code is a distinct violation for calculation of applicable administrative and civil penalties.

Enforcement Methods: This ordinance would delete Section 176.1 from the Code.

In the case of Unlawful Mergers and Unlawful Conversions, the ordinance also requires responsible parties to restore the original Residential or Unauthorized Units to their original condition, with the original number of units, in their original square footage and location. For

Unlawful Demolition or Alteration of historic buildings, the ordinance provides new administrative penalties or, in the alternative, permits the Zoning Administrator to require the property owner to restore the building or the feature demolished without or in excess of permit to its previous condition.

Notice Requirements and Permit Review Procedures

This ordinance would expand the application of Section 311 notice requirements, revises the definition of Alterations, provides new definitions of Demolition, New Construction and Removal of Residential Units. Under this ordinance, additional building features or land uses that do not currently require notification would require public notification and could no longer be approved over-the-counter. These features include adding a backyard retaining wall to maintain existing grade, adding a third-unit within an existing two-unit building, replacing front-entry stairs, and building a backyard fence.

The Ordinance would provide that a change of use to a Child Care Facility, as defined in Section 102, would not be subject to the review requirements of Section 311, provided there is no increase in the exterior dimensions of the building. The ordinance expands the categories of changes in use in Residential, NC and NCT Districts subject to Section 311 notice requirements to add Health Service uses. For all Residential Uses, the Ordinance expands the definition of a change of use to a change of occupancy, as defined and regulated by the Building Code, or any change in use, as defined and regulated by the Planning Code, of any Residential Unit(s) or Unauthorized Unit(s) to a Non-Residential or Student Housing use, even if cooking facilities are not removed.

The Ordinance would require that building permit applications be reviewed for compliance with all applicable design guidelines, expand application requirements for proposed Removal of Residential Units, and provide that no permit for demolition of a Residential building would be issued until a Conditional Use authorization for a replacement structure has been finally approved. The ordinance requires project applicants to submit additional plans and calculations with project applications, including verification under oath of the accuracy and completeness of those plans and calculations. The ordinance also would expand the requirements for materials to be included in required notification packets for proposed projects.

Loss of Residential and Unauthorized Units by Demolition, Merger and Conversion

This ordinance revises the definitions of Residential Conversion, Residential Demolition, Residential Merger, Removal, and Residential Unit, and adds new defined terms to the Code for Residential Flats, Unlawful Demolition, Unlawful Merger and Unlawful Conversion. The ordinance expands the applicability of Section 317's Conditional Use requirement, and provides limited exemptions for Demolition, Merger or Conversion of a Residential Unit or the Demolition of a Residential Building that has received final approval from the Planning Department through administrative approval or the Planning Commission through a

Discretionary Review or Conditional Use authorization prior to the effective date of the Conditional Use requirement of the ordinance unless the construction activity exceeds the Conditions of Approval or scope of work under a building permit, and for projects proposed on the following property:

- (i) owned by the United States or any of its agencies, with the exception of such property not used exclusively for a governmental purpose;
- (ii) owned by the State of California or any of its agencies, with the exception of such property not used exclusively for a governmental purpose;
- (iii) under the jurisdiction of the Port of San Francisco or the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County where the application of this Section is prohibited by State law; or
- (iv) where demolition of the building or Removal of a Residential Unit or Unauthorized Unit is necessary to comply with a court order that directs the owner to demolish the building or remove the unit due to conditions that present an imminent threat to life safety, or where the Director of the Department of Building Inspection or the Chief of the Bureau of Fire Prevention and Public Safety has issued a written determination that an imminent safety hazard exists, and that the proposed demolition or alteration of the structure, feature, or part thereof, is the only feasible means to correct the condition and secure the public safety; provided, however, that only such work as is necessary to correct the unsafe or dangerous condition may be performed.

With these exceptions, the ordinance requires that all proposed projects that would result in the Demolition of a Residential Unit or Removal of a Residential Building, or the Removal of a Residential Unit or Unauthorized Unit through Demolition, Merger or Conversion, or would result in a Major Expansion of a Residential Building (as defined in the ordinance), must obtain Conditional Use authorization. The ordinance requires that the Planning Department request Department of Building Inspection review of specified projects, and provides that no permit to for a Residential Demolition shall be issued until a Conditional Use authorization for a replacement structure has been finally approved. The ordinance also provides new criteria for Conditional Use authorizations reviewed under Section 317.

This ordinance prohibits the Planning Department from approving any permit to legalize work performed without a required Conditional Use authorization, or beyond the scope or in violation of a Conditional Use authorization or building permit. In addition, the ordinance imposes new penalties for Unlawful Demolitions, Unlawful Mergers and Unlawful Conversions, described above.

Residential Demolitions

Demolitions would require a structural engineering report upon submittal with subsequent reports to reflect any changes or revisions. The ordinance defines Residential Demolition as loss of residential housing, including any one or more of the following:

(A) The total tearing down of an existing Residential Building; or

- (B) Removal of one or more Residential Units or Unauthorized Units; or
- (C) Removal of existing above grade elements, external walls, or internal structural framework in amounts equal to or greater than the percentages set forth in Building Code Section 103A.3.3, which are:
 - (1) Removal of more than 50% of the sum of all existing above-grade external elements from their function as all external elements; or
 - (2) Removal of more than 25% of the surface of all external walls facing a public street or streets; or
 - (3) Removal of more than 75% of the building's existing internal structural framework, interior bearing elements and/or floor plates.

Residential Flats Defined

The ordinance defines "Residential Flats" as a housing typology consisting of two or more Dwelling Units in a single building that have exposure onto open areas at the front and rear of the property,

Major Expansion of an Existing Residential Building

This ordinance would add a new Section 319 to the Planning Code to define and regulate Major Expansions and Unlawful Expansions of Residential Buildings. The purpose of the new Section 319 is to ensure that all large residential projects proposed in the RH-1(D), RH-1, RH-2, and RH-3 Districts are reviewed by the Planning Commission, in an effort to achieve the objectives and policies of the General Plan, the applicable Design Guidelines, and the purposes of this Code.

Major Expansions

The ordinance defines a Major Expansion in terms of the Floor Area Ratio ("FAR") set forth in the following table or, for Residential Buildings already in excess of the FAR set forth in the table, an expansion greater than a Minor Expansion, as defined in the ordinance:

Zoning	FAR Triggers				
<u>RH-1(D)</u>	<u>0.6</u>				
<u>RH-1</u>	<u>0.7</u>				
<u>RH-2</u>	<u>0.8</u>		<u>1.2 (2 units)</u>		
<u>RH-3</u>	<u>0.9 (1 unit)</u>		1.3 (2 units)	<u>1.7 (3 units)</u>	

The new section 319 would require, for all Major Expansions, that the square footage of the smallest unit must be equal to at least 3/4 of the square footage of the largest unit, exclusive of parking and common spaces shared by all tenants of the building.

Minor Expansions

The ordinance defines Minor Expansions to Existing Residential Buildings as a Dwelling Unit expansion that is 10% or less of the Gross Floor Area of the existing Residential Building. Such expansions will be reviewed cumulatively, based on building permit applications filed within the previous ten years.

Any project that qualifies as a Major Expansion under this code section would require a Conditional Use authorization and be subject to notice under Planning Code Section 311. The ordinance provides limited exceptions to its applicability, establishes conditional use criteria applicable to Major Expansions, and creates enforcement procedures and penalties for Unlawful Expansions.

The ordinance would prohibit the Planning Department from approving any permit that legalizes an Unlawful Expansion. The Ordinance would also provide a penalty for an unlawful expansion in addition to the penalties set forth in Section 176 of this Code, equal to any increase in the value of the property as a result of the responsible party's illegal or wrongful conduct as measured by the difference in fair-market value of the property prior to and after the illegal conduct.

Permit Issuance; Residential Demolition

The ordinance would amend the Building Code to conform the definition of Residential Demolition with that in the revised Planning Code; require that a building inspector shall inspect the building and site to confirm existing conditions prior to commencement of a project involving Residential Demolition; prohibit demolitions to remove dry rot without prior inspection; and require that project sponsors provide additional materials and information in connection with building permit applications.

The ordinance would prohibit the Department of Building Inspection from issuing a permit authorizing the construction or alteration of any building or structure for any site of an unlawful residential demolition for five years following such unlawful demolition, except for a permit for the construction or alteration of a building or structure with the same number of Residential Units, with the same proportion of residential to nonresidential units, and with the same or fewer square feet as the building or structure that was unlawfully demolished. The ordinance would prohibit the Department of Building Inspection from issuing a permit to legalize work previously conducted without a permit or beyond the scope of an issued permit even if such work would comply with the requirements of applicable codes. Before such a permit may be issued, the property owner must obtain a permit to remove the illegal work and return the building or site to its pre-existing condition.

FILE NO. 181216

n:\legana\as2018\1800222\01356923.docx