File No.	190391	Committee Item No.	2
		Board Item No.	16 .

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGEN	DA PACKET CC	MIENISLE	51				
Committee: Land Use and Tra	nsportation Com	mittee Date	May 20, 20	<u> 19 </u>			
Board of Supervisors Meeting	, . 1	Date	JUNE 4	pud			
Cmte Board	,						
Motion Motion	•						
Resolution		•					
◯		•.					
Legislative Diges	st						
Budget and Legi		Report		•			
Youth Commissi		•					
Introduction For	-	•	•	,			
Department/Age	ncy Cover Lette	r and/or Re	port				
∏ ∏ Mou		٠.					
Grant Informatio	n Form						
Grant Budget				•			
Subcontract Bud	laet	•	•	•			
Contract/Agreen							
Form 126 – Ethic							
Award Letter							
Application			-				
Public Correspo	ndence						
<u> </u>							
OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)							
▼ Referral CEQA 0				•			
Referral HPC 04			······································				
Referral Admin F		•	•				
CEQA Determina				• •			
CEQA Determina HPC Response (······································	·			
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			:			
	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
			-				
	144	······································					
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Completed by: Erica Major Date May 16, 2019							
Completed by: Erica Majo		Date (%)31					

NOTE:

[Administrative Code - Requirements for Mills Act Applications]

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to allow applications for Mills Act contracts for historic properties to be filed concurrently with applications for designation of the same properties; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.

Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u>.

Deletions to Codes are in <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman font</u>.

Board amendment additions are in <u>double-underlined Arial font</u>.

Board amendment deletions are in <u>strikethrough Arial font</u>.

Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Findings.

- (a) <u>Environmental Findings</u>. The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 190391 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this determination.
- (b) Referral to Historic Preservation Commission. On May 15, 2019, the Historic Preservation Commission considered this ordinance, and thereafter submitted written comment to the Board of Supervisors regarding the effects of the ordinance on historic resources, as required by Charter Section 4.135. Said written comment is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 190391 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Section 2. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by revising Section 71.2, to read as follows:

SEC. 71.2. ELIGIBILITY.

- (a) Qualified Historical Property. An owner, or an authorized agent of the owner, of a qualified historical property may apply for a historical property contract. For purposes of this Chapter 71, "qualified historical property" shall mean privately owned property that is not exempt from property taxation and that <u>either has submitted a complete application for listing or designation, or has been listed or designated in one of the following ways on or before December 31 of the year before the application is made:</u>
- (1) Individually listed in the National Register of Historic Places or the California Register of Historical Resources;
- (2) Listed as a contributor to a historic district included on the National Register of Historic Places or the California Register of Historical Resources;
 - (3) Designated as a City landmark pursuant to Planning Code Article 10;
- (4) Designated as contributory to a historic district designated pursuant to Planning Code Article 10; or
- (5) Designated as Significant (Categories I or II) or Contributory (Categories III or IV) pursuant to Planning Code Article 11.

Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

Section 4. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:

ANDREARUIZ-ESQUIDE Deputy City Attorney

n:\land\as2019\0900449\01351524.docx

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Administrative Code - Requirements for Mills Act Applications]

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to allow applications for Mills Act contracts for historic properties to be filed concurrently with applications for designation of the same properties; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Existing Law

The Mills Act is a state law that authorizes local governments to enter into contracts with owners of qualified historical properties who will rehabilitate, restore, preserve, and maintain those properties. As consideration for the rehabilitation, restoration, preservation and maintenance of the qualified historical properties, the City provides certain property tax reductions to the owners.

Chapter 71 of the Administrative Code implements the Mills Act in San Francisco. It sets forth, among other things, the processes for application and approval of a Mills Act contract in the City, eligibility criteria, and fees. As part of the eligibility criteria, Chapter 71 requires a property to be listed in the National or California registers of historic properties, or landmarked under City law, in order to apply for a Mills Act contract.

Amendments to Current Law

This Ordinance would amend Chapter 71 of the Administrative Code to allow a property owner to apply to landmark his or her property concurrently with applying for a Mills Act contract.

Background Information

This Ordinance would make the process to obtain a Mills Act contract easier, by allowing the Mills Act contract application to be processed concurrently with a landmarking application.

n:\land\as2019\0900449\01351558.docx



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

April 17, 2019

File No. 190391

Lisa Gibson Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Gibson:

On April 9, 2019, Supervisor Peskin introduced the following legislation:

File No. 190391

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to allow applications for Mills Act contracts for historic properties to be filed concurrently with applications for designation of the same properties; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk
Land Use and Transportation Committee

. Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Laura Lynch, Environmental Planning Not defined as a project under CEQA Guildines 15378 and 15060(c)(2) because it would not result in a direct or indirect physical change in the environment. Any physical project would require environmental review.

joy navarrete Digitally signed by Joy navarrete

DN: dc=org, dc=sfgov, dc=cityplanning,
ou=ClyPlanning, ou=Environmental
Planning, cn=joy navarrete,
"temail=joy.navarrete@sfgov.org

Historic Preservation Commission Resolution No. 1056

HEARING DATE: MAY 15, 2019

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415,558.6378

Fax:

415,558,6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Project Name:

Mills Act Amendment

Case Number: Staff Contact: 2019-006789PCA [Board File No. 190391] Michelle Taylor, Preservation Planner michelle.taylor@sfgov.org, 415-575-9197

Reviewed by:

Timothy Frye, Historic Preservation Officer

tim.frye@sfgov.org, 415-575-6822

ADOPTING A RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING APPROVAL FOR A PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT WOULD AMEND ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTER 71 TO ALLOW APPLICATIONS FOR MILLS ACT CONTRACTS FOR HISTORIC PROPERTIES TO BE FILED CONCURRENTLY WITH APPLICATIONS FOR DESIGNATION OF THE SAME PROPERTIES; ADOPTING FINDINGS, INCLUDING ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS, AND FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN AND PLANNING CODE SECTION 101.1.

WHEREAS, on April 9, 2019 Supervisors Peskin introduced a proposed Ordinance under Board of Supervisors (hereinafter "Board") File Number 190391, which would amend Chapter 71 of the Administrative Code to allow applications for local designation concurrent with filing of a Mills Act application;

WHEREAS, The Historic Preservation Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance on May 15,2019; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to be categorically exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15060(c); and

WHEREAS, the Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience, and general welfare require the proposed amendment; and

www.sfplanning.org

MOVED, that the Commission hereby adopts a recommendation for approval of the proposed ordinance.

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. Currently, eligibility is limited to those properties that are listed or designated on or before December 31 of the year before the application is made.
- 2. Eligible properties with a demonstrated need for restoration, rehabilitation and preservation could apply for Article 10 or 11 designation at the same time as the Mills Act.
- 3. Modification to the designation timeframe would expand eligibility to more property owners with a preservation need and give property owners more of an incentive to seek historic designation.
- 4. General Plan Compliance. The proposed Ordinance is consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE A SOUND AND DIVERSE ECONOMIC BASE AND FISCAL STRUCTURE FOR THE CITY.

URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 1

EMPHASIS OF THE CHARACTERISTIC PATTERN WHICH GIVES TO THE CITY AND ITS NEIGHBORHOODS AN IMAGE, A SENSE OF PURPOSE, AND A MEANS OF ORIENTATION.

Policy 2.4

Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

The proposed Ordinance will partner a financial incentive that with local designation to encourage the protection of historic and cultural resources associated with San Francisco and its communities.

Policy 2.5

Use care in remodeling of older buildings, in order to enhance rather than weaken the original character of such buildings.

The proposed Ordinance will provide a financial incentive for newly designated properties to leverage resources for the care of rehabilitating these important historic and cultural assets.

- 5. Planning Code Section 101 Findings. The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:
 - 1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on neighborhood serving retail uses and will not have a negative effect on opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of neighborhood-serving retail.

2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;

The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on housing or neighborhood character.

3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's supply of affordable housing.

4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;

The proposed Ordinance would not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.

5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development, and future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors would not be impaired.

6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on City's preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's Landmarks and historic buildings.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby ADOPTS a recommendation for approval of the proposed Ordinance as described in this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on May 15, 2019.

Jonas P. Ionin

Commission Secretary

AYES:

Hyland, Matsuda, Black, Pearlman, Johnck, Wolfram

NOES:

None

ABSENT:

Johns

ADOPTED:

May 15, 2019



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

May 6, 2019

Planning Department Attn: John Rahaim 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Rahaim:

On April 9, 2019, Supervisor Peskin introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 190391

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to allow applications for Mills Act contracts for historic properties to be filed concurrently with applications for designation of the same properties; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to the Rules of Order 3.22 for review and comment. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use and Transportation Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk
Land Use and Transportation Committee

c: Scott Sanchez, Acting Deputy Zoning Administrator Corey Teague, Zoning Administrator Lisa Gibson, Environmental Review Officer Devyani Jain, Deputy Environmental Review Officer AnMarie Rodgers, Director of Citywide Planning Dan Sider, Director of Executive Programs Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Laura Lynch, Environmental Planning Tim Frye, Principal Planner



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

May 6, 2019

Planning Department Attn: John Rahaim 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Rahaim:

On April 9, 2019, Supervisor Peskin introduced the following proposed legislation:

File No. 190391

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to allow applications for Mills Act contracts for historic properties to be filed concurrently with applications for designation of the same properties; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

The proposed ordinance is being transmitted pursuant to the Rules of Order 3.22 for review and comment. The ordinance is pending before the Land Use and Transportation Committee and will be scheduled for hearing upon receipt of your response.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk
Land Use and Transportation Committee

c: Aaron Starr, Acting Manager of Legislative Affairs Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator Sarah Jones, Chief, Major Environmental Analysis AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs Laura Lynch, Environmental Planning Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Jonas Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs, Historic Preservation

Commission

FROM:

Erica Major, Assistant Clerk, Land Use and Transportation Committee

DATE:

April 17, 2019

SUBJECT:

REFERRAL FROM BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Land Use and Transportation Committee

The Board of Supervisors' Land Use and Transportation Committee has received the following legislation, which is being referred to the Historic Preservation Commission, pursuant to Charter, Section 4.135, for comment and recommendation.

File No. 190391

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to allow applications for Mills Act contracts for historic properties to be filed concurrently with applications for designation of the same properties; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Please return this cover sheet with the Commission's response to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

c: John Rahaim, Director
Scott Sanchez, Zoning Administrator
Lisa Gibson, Environmental Review Officer
Devyani Jain, Deputy Environmental Review Officer
AnMarie Rodgers, Legislative Affairs
Tim Frye, Preservation Coordinator
Andrea Ruiz-Esquide, Deputy City Attorney
Joy Navarrete, Major Environmental Analysis
Georgia Powell, Planning Misc. Permits Routing



City Hall
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

April 17, 2019

File No. 190391

Lisa Gibson Environmental Review Officer Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Ms. Gibson:

On April 9, 2019, Supervisor Peskin introduced the following legislation:

File No. 190391

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to allow applications for Mills Act contracts for historic properties to be filed concurrently with applications for designation of the same properties; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

This legislation is being transmitted to you for environmental review.

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

By: Erica Major, Assistant Clerk

Land Use and Transportation Committee

Attachment

c: Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning Laura Lynch, Environmental Planning Print Form

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):	2019 APR -9 Ploring	stamp ethig date
1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or	Charter Amendment).	
2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.	and the second second	e.
3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.		
4. Request for letter beginning:"Supervisor		inquiries"
5. City Attorney Request.		
6. Call File No. from Committee.		•
7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion).		
8. Substitute Legislation File No.		
9. Reactivate File No.		•
10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on		
	Ethics Comm	ission
Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agen	ida), use the Imperative	Form.
Sponsor(s): Peskin		
Subject:		
[Administrative Code - Requirements for Mills Act Applications]		
The text is listed:		on
Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to allow applications for M be filed concurrently with applications for designation of the same proper Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality	ties; and affirming the Pla	• •
Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:	Men Il	

For Clerk's Use Only

LEGISLATIVE AIDE REVIEW MEETING - LIST OF THINGS TO DISCUSS

Legislative Division

- Meeting absences/excusals protocols around notifying the COB/Clerks/President when a Supervisor may be absent from a Board/Committee meetings
- Amendments:
 - Proper way to handle amendments in Board/Committee (headers, copies, sending us the e-version)
 - o Find out before the meeting if they are substantive; if so, will need another hearing.
- New or Increased Fees: require special noticing and additional lead time before hearing
- Privilege of the Floor who should be afforded, unanimous consent, etc.
- Resolutions support/opposing state/federal legislation complete package and required position papers
- Mayoral Appearance proper notification and process
- Introduction Deadlines 5:00 or end of Board, any extension allowed on Wednesday (but no later than 11:00) is an accommodation that shouldn't be anticipated
- Power Point Presentations Provide hard copies for Supervisors/Clerk
- Special Committee Meetings approval is required from COB, and notification to Clerk in order for them to confirm time slot, room availability, staff availability, etc.
- Quorum of Board in Committee
 - o Can only occur if blurb is on committee agenda
 - o Chairs should contemplate ahead of time and when Clerk advises, please consider
- Quorum in Board/Committee quorum of the body must <u>always</u> be present. If you anticipate losing quorum, coordinate with President on retrieving a temporary assignment. Supervisors MAY NOT leave the room if it will break quorum during a meeting, the Chair must recess if it is necessary.
- Agenda Approval agendas must be approved by the deadline given and any additional changes after the deadline must be approved by the COB.
- In Memoriams must be received by the COB (or Sgt. at Arms on her behalf) at least 15 minutes before adjournment; suggest it should be submitted by the end of Roll Call for Introductions.
- Post-Passage Directives if language is included in legislation for the COB to send the final to individuals, bodies, organizations, etc. you must provide the name, address and contact information for each recipient or we cannot fulfill the directive.
- Committee Meeting Length and Heavy Topics consideration of the length of your agenda and scheduling multiple heavy topics on the same day; quorum issues, public waiting for later hearing, etc.
- Leading Hearings proper protocols when a Chair hands over lead of hearings to the sponsor; who sets the time, calls up speakers, etc.
- Staff/Expert presentation length mindful of timing presentation lengths, privilege of the floor, etc.

- Substitutes cannot substitute legislation that has been agendized for hearing, already been heard, or if it has been noticed (special noticing).
- Committee Reports deadlines for Chair submission of memo and ensuring Committee Members state the motion correctly for the record.
- Roll Call for Introductions don't give Supervisors "committee talking points" for statements during Roll Call

Operations Division

- Conference Room scheduling
- Translation Requests: 48 hours prior to the hearing

Administration Division

- Legislative Account Balance and New Funding for FY219-2020
- Local 21 Employee Development Fund for FY2019-2020
- Year-End Carryforward of Accrued Balances